

A simple criterion for essential self-adjointness of Weyl pseudodifferential operators

Robert Fulsche, Lauritz van Luijk

July 11, 2023

Abstract

We prove a new criterion for essential self-adjointness of pseudodifferential operators which does not involve ellipticity type assumptions. Essential self-adjointness is proved for symbols in C^{2d+3} with derivatives of order two and higher being uniformly bounded. These results also apply to hermitian operator-valued symbols on infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces which are important to applications in physics. Our method relies on a phase space differential calculus for quadratic forms on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Calderón-Vaillancourt type theorems and a recent self-adjointness result for Toeplitz operators.

Self-adjointness of operators is a crucial property appearing in both mathematical physics and the theory of differential equations. Weyl pseudodifferential operators are well-known for being formally self-adjoint, provided their symbol is real-valued. Surprisingly, there are only a few criteria in the literature for extending formal self-adjointness to essential self-adjointness. Using methods recently developed in [1], we obtain a new criterion for essential self-adjointness of Weyl pseudodifferential operators. The simplest version is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in C^{2d+3}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, \mathbb{R})$ with derivatives of order 2 to $2d+3$ being uniformly bounded, i.e., $\|\partial^\gamma f\|_\infty < \infty$ for multi-indices $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2d}$ with $2 \leq |\gamma| \leq 2d+3$. Then $\text{op}^w(f)$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with domain $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

We comment briefly on the assumption of bounded derivatives of second and higher orders. In classical mechanics, the only general tool for establishing global existence of the dynamics, which is the classical analogue of essential self-adjointness, is the Picard-Lindelöf theorem [7, Appendix to Sec. X.I]. Typically there are two scenarios. Either the Hamiltonian function goes off to infinity in every direction so that all sub-level sets are compact and the global existence is evident, or one has to assume that the second-order derivatives are bounded.

Therefore, all symbols f that satisfy the theorem also satisfy the assumptions of the Picard-Lindelöf theorem and the converse holds up to the additional regularity imposed on the higher-order derivatives. A naive extension of the theorem where the assumption is relaxed to, say, the third-order fails as the symbol $f(x, \xi) = \xi^2 + x^3$ readily shows. Interestingly, it also fails on the classical side [13].

Note that the assumptions on f imply that it is polynomially bounded, so that f defines a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^{2d} . This already ensures that $\text{op}^w(f)$ makes sense as a continuous quadratic form on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Our method relies on quadratic form techniques which reduce the problem to a self-adjointness theorem for Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space.

We indeed prove a more general result (Theorem 4), which weakens the regularity assumptions on f significantly and allows for operator-valued symbols. We also want to emphasize that this result is indeed a rather straightforward consequence of results from [1], in particular Theorem 20 of that paper. The present work should therefore not be seen as a paper on deep new proof ideas, but rather as a report on the presented result. Before going into more detail, let us briefly discuss the few other results concerning essential self-adjointness of Weyl pseudodifferential operators available in the literature.

Most papers that provide criteria for essential self-adjointness of pseudodifferential operator either assume ellipticity [5] are restrict themselves to symbols that are of a well-motivated but specific form [4, 6] The paper [12] due to Yamazaki also considers non-elliptic symbols and uses a more traditional approach. Its conditions are similar in spirit but require significantly higher regularity and are obtained by completely different methods. Besides higher regularity, Yamazaki's results offer some flexibility in the choice of symbol class that the derivatives of the symbol have to be contained in. Nevertheless, it seems that, besides all the flexibility, Yamazaki's theorem always asks for conditions not present in our result. For example, for symbols $b = b(x, \xi)$ (i.e., not only depending on one variable), it is always necessary that the second derivatives of b satisfy some decay condition at infinity. Besides all this, all the flexibility in the choices of parameters does not make Yamazaki's result particularly simple in terms of applications. Additionally, it seems that our result on essential self-adjointness is the first to deal with non-elliptic operator-valued symbols.

We return now to our results. As mentioned above, we will allow for operator-valued symbols. For a more general discussion on operator-valued symbols and Hilbert space valued Schwartz spaces we refer to [11]. Given such an operator-valued symbol $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))$, the Weyl pseudodifferential operator $\text{op}^w(f) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$ defines a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ -valued quadratic form on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is jointly continuous for the \mathcal{S} -topology, i.e., one gets a continuous sesqui-linear map $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. We always take quadratic forms to be conjugate-linear in the second entry, e.g., the quadratic form corresponding to a bounded

operator B is $(\psi, \phi) \mapsto \langle B\psi, \phi \rangle$. To be more precise, the quadratic form of $\text{op}^w(f)$ is given by

$$A_f(\phi, \psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y) e^{i(y-x)\cdot\xi} \overline{\psi(x)} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) dy d\xi dx, \quad (1)$$

for $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with the natural interpretation of the above expression if f is not given by an appropriately integrable function but a proper distribution. [1] gives a sufficient condition for a continuous quadratic form A on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to define an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with domain $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To state this condition we introduce some concepts: Consider the usual vector $R = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d, P_1, \dots, P_d)$ of position and momentum operators, where $Q_j\psi(x) = x_j\psi(x)$ and $P_j\psi(x) = -i\partial_j\psi(x)$. R satisfies the canonical commutation relations $[z \cdot R, w \cdot R] = i\sigma(z, w)\mathbf{1}$, $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, where σ is the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2d} :

$$\sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) = x \cdot \eta - y \cdot \xi, \quad x, y, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2)$$

Note that we chose our conventions so that $\text{op}^w(x_j) = Q_j$ and $\text{op}^w(\xi_j) = P_j$. We need the Weyl operators $W_z = e^{i\sigma(z, R)} = e^{-i(\xi \cdot Q - x \cdot P)}$, $z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, which define a strongly continuous projective unitary representation of $(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, +)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which leaves $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ invariant. The family W_z indeed satisfies these properties, which is clear from the more concrete form of the Weyl operators, $W_z f(y) = e^{-iy \cdot \xi + \frac{i}{2} x \cdot \xi} f(y - x)$, where $z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, cf. also [2, p. 22]. In fact, $W_z \phi$ is smooth in z w.r.t. the topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [1, Prop. 2].

For a continuous quadratic form A on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define another continuous form $\alpha_z A$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $\alpha_z A(\phi, \psi) = A(W_{-z}\phi, W_{-z}\psi)$. As an example, for the quadratic form corresponding to the operator $w \cdot R$ we get $\alpha_z(w \cdot R) = w \cdot R + (w \cdot z)\mathbf{1}$.

For any pair of Schwartz functions $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha_z A(\phi, \psi)$ is a smooth function of z and the derivative is given by

$$\nabla_w \alpha_z A(\phi, \psi) \Big|_{z=0} = i[\sigma(w, R), A](\phi, \psi) =: \nabla_w A(\phi, \psi), \quad (3)$$

where the commutator of quadratic form A and a continuous linear operator $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the form $[T, A] = A(\cdot, T\cdot) - A(T\cdot, \cdot)$. We use the shorthand $\partial_j A = \nabla_{e_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2d$, where e_j denotes the j th basis vector of \mathbb{R}^{2d} . The so defined quadratic forms are called the (phase space) derivatives of A . We define higher order derivatives $\partial^\gamma A$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2d}$, in a similar way (which are then given by nested commutators).

The above also makes sense for continuous $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ -valued quadratic forms on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., continuous sesqui-linear mappings $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ denotes the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{K} . The space of vector-valued Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$, with \mathcal{K} being a separable Hilbert space, contains

those functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\langle \psi(\cdot), \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$. An equivalent definition is that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ (note that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a nuclear Fréchet space so that the tensor product is uniquely defined [10, Ch. 50]). This equivalence is of course established by $(\psi \otimes \xi)(x) = \psi(x)\xi$. An operator valued quadratic form is hermitian if $A(\psi, \psi)$ is an hermitian operator for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For quadratic forms on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we write $\|A\| = \sup \|A(\phi, \psi)\|$ where the supremum is over all pairs of Schwartz functions with unit L^2 -norm. The criterion that we want to apply is the following:

Lemma 2 ([1, Thm. 20]). *Let \mathcal{K} be a separable Hilbert space. Let A be a hermitian $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ -valued continuous quadratic form on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying*

$$\|\partial_j A - \alpha_z(\partial_j A)\| \leq c(1 + |z|), \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, 2d, \quad (4)$$

for some $c > 0$. Then there is an essentially self-adjoint operator \hat{A} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$ with domain $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$ so that $\langle \hat{A}\psi \otimes v, \phi \otimes w \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})} = \langle A(\psi, \phi)\xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ for all $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}$.

In particular, the criterion is fulfilled if $\|\partial^\gamma A\| < \infty$ for all multi-indices α with $|\alpha| = 2$ [1]. Roughly speaking, Eq. (4) is the condition that the first-order derivatives of A have bounded oscillation.

We now return to pseudodifferential operators. For every tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))$, the Weyl pseudodifferential operator $\text{op}^w(f)$ makes sense as a continuous quadratic form on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If we define $\alpha_z f = f(\cdot + z)$ as the phase space shift of a function or tempered distribution, we get symbolical covariance in the sense that $\alpha_z \text{op}^w(f) = \text{op}^w(\alpha_z f)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, where the left-hand side is defined in the sense of quadratic forms as above. With this, one can see [1] that op^w intertwines the quadratic form derivative (see Eq. (3)) with the distributional derivative on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$:

$$\partial^\gamma \text{op}^w(f) = \text{op}^w(\partial^\gamma f). \quad (5)$$

The same holds for operator-valued tempered distributions if we replace $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})) \equiv \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ (note that $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is nuclear so that this is well-defined). With this we can now restate Lemma 2 for $A = \text{op}^w(f)$ as:

Lemma 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))$ be a hermitian operator-valued tempered distribution whose first-order distributional derivatives are such that*

$$\|\text{op}^w(\partial_j f - \partial_j f(\cdot + z))\| \leq c(1 + |z|) \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, 2d, \quad (6)$$

for some $c > 0$. Then $\text{op}^w(f) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$ is essentially self-adjoint. In particular, this holds if the second-order derivatives satisfy $\|\text{op}^w(\partial_i \partial_j f)\| < \infty$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 2d$.

The condition in Eq. (6), which is essentially a bound on the oscillation of ∇f , can now be checked using Calderón-Vaillancourt type theorems. With the theorem [2, Thm. 2.73] we obtain Theorem 1 as a corollary of Lemma 3 and with its operator-valued version [9, Thm. A.6] we obtain the analogue of Theorem 1 for operator-valued symbols. One can use more general symbol classes that yield bounded Weyl pseudodifferential operators, such as Sjöstrand’s class $M_{\infty,1}$. We do not want to give the precise definition of this class here, instead we refer to the literature, e.g. [8, Sec. 3] or [3, Thm. 1.1] for the scalar valued and [11, Cor. 4.9] for the operator valued case. Instead, we simply want to emphasize that membership in $M_{\infty,1}$ does not need any form of continuity. Further, $M_{\infty,1}$ contains some well-known symbol spaces such as the Calderón-Vaillancourt class and the Hölder-Zygmund classes $\Lambda^s(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for $s > 2d$, see [3, Prop. 3.6]. Applying the Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem for $M_{\infty,1}$ symbols yields our final result:

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{K} be a separable Hilbert space. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))$ be a hermitian-operator valued symbol such that the $\partial^\alpha f \in M_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))$ for all $|\alpha| = 2$.*

Then $\text{op}^w(f)$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$ with domain $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{K})$.

Remark. The interested reader might wonder if our main result is possibly also obtainable as a consequence of Nelson’s commutator theorem [7, Thm. X.37], by comparing with the harmonic oscillator. Indeed, this is one ingredient used in the proof of Lemma 2 in [1]. Given the fact that the Weyl quantization is not order-preserving, it seems necessary to the authors to pass from $\text{op}^w(f)$ to $\text{op}^w(\tilde{f})$ when wanting to apply the commutator theorem, where \tilde{f} is the *heat transform* of f at a suitable time. The analysis of this is exactly what has been done in [1]. Nevertheless, there the main result was formulated as a result on Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space. Since such operators are unitarily equivalent to Weyl pseudodifferential operators with heat-transformed symbols, the results of [1] can also be understood as results on Weyl pseudodifferential operators. The phase space formalism presented there and also in this paper should not be seen as a necessity to obtain our main result, but as a very convenient framework to work within.

References

- [1] W. Bauer, L. van Luijk, A. Stottmeister, and R. F. Werner. Self-adjointness of Toeplitz operators in the Segal-Bargmann space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 284:109778, 2023.

- [2] G. B. Folland. *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space. (AM-122)*. Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [3] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil. Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators. *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, 34:439–457, 1999.
- [4] T. Ichinose and T. Tsuchida. On essential selfadjointness of the Weyl quantized relativistic Hamiltonian. *Forum Math.*, 5(5):539–560, 1993.
- [5] M. Nagase and T. Umeda. On the essential self-adjointness of pseudodifferential operators. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 64(4), 1988.
- [6] F. Qihong. On the essential self-adjointness of pseudodifferential operators. *Acta Math. Sin.*, 10(1):72–79, 1994.
- [7] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics 2: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*. Academic Press, 1975.
- [8] J. Sjöstrand. An algebra of pseudodifferential operators. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1:185–192, 1994.
- [9] S. Teufel. *Adiabatic perturbation theory in quantum dynamics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.
- [10] F. Trèves. *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*. Academic Press, 1967.
- [11] P. Wahlberg. Vector-valued Modulation Spaces and Localization Operators with Operator-valued Symbols. *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, 59:99–128, 2007.
- [12] M. Yamazaki. The essential self-adjointness of pseudodifferential operators associated with non-elliptic Weyl symbols with large potentials. *Osaka J. Math.*, 29(2):175–202, 1992.
- [13] C. Zhu and J. R. Klauder. Classical symptoms of quantum illnesses. *Amer. J. Phys.*, 61(7):605–611, 1993.

Robert Fulsche
✉ fulsche@math.uni-hannover.de
Institut für Analysis
Leibniz Universität Hannover
Welfengarten 1
30167 Hannover

GERMANY

Lauritz van Luijk

✉ lauritz.vanluijk@itp.uni-hannover.de

Institut für Theoretische Physik

Leibniz Universität Hannover

Appelstraße 2

30167 Hannover

GERMANY