

Time, momentum, and energy resolved pump-probe tunneling spectroscopy of two-dimensional electron systems

H. M. Yoo¹, M. Korkusinski², D. Miravet³, K. W. Baldwin², K. West², L. Pfeiffer²,
P. Hawrylak³, and R. C. Ashoori¹

¹Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

²Emerging Technologies Division, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, ON, K1A 0R6, Canada

³Department of Physics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, K1N 6N5, Canada

⁴Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Real-time probing of electrons can uncover intricate relaxation mechanisms and many-body interactions hidden in strongly correlated materials. While experimenters have used ultrafast optical pump-probe methods in bulk materials, laser heating and insensitivity below the surface prevent their application to encapsulated low-dimensional electron systems at millikelvin temperatures, home to numerous intriguing electronic phases. Here, we introduce time, momentum, and energy resolved pump-probe tunneling spectroscopy (Tr-MERTS). The method allows the injection of electrons at particular energies and observation of their subsequent decay in energy-momentum space. Using Tr-MERTS, we visualize electronic decay processes in Landau levels with lifetimes up to tens of microseconds. Although most observed features agree with simple energy-relaxation, we discover an unexpected splitting in the nonequilibrium energy spectrum in the vicinity of a ferromagnetic state. An exact diagonalization study of the system suggests that the splitting arises from a maximally spin-polarized higher energy state, distinct from a conventional equilibrium skyrmion. Furthermore, we observe time-dependent relaxation of the splitting, which we attribute to single-flipped spins forming topological spin textures. These results establish Tr-

MERTS as a powerful tool for studying the dynamics and properties of a two-dimensional electronic system beyond equilibrium.

Nonequilibrium response in a material driven by laser and electrical pulses can reveal many-body effects involving strong interactions between electrons and their surrounding medium. In superconductors, the relaxation dynamics of excited quasiparticles reflects the strength of electron interactions with phonons or other collective excitations, such as spin fluctuations, that may give rise to unconventional superconductivity¹⁻⁴. The measurement of relaxation times in other correlated states also permits investigation of their electronic origins⁵⁻⁷. Furthermore, pumping a system can tune electron-lattice interactions^{8,9} and magnetic couplings^{10,11}, providing a new pathway for exploring many-body correlations that are undetectable in an equilibrium state. However, despite this promise, there are severe limitations in applying standard optical pump-probe spectroscopy^{3,12} to low-dimensional correlated materials. First, a high-intensity laser easily heats up the sample and prevents the study of temporal dynamics at millikelvin temperatures, where a variety of delicate correlated phases emerge. In addition, the laser pulse excites carriers into any available states that have transition energy equal to the photon energy, making it difficult to pump carriers only into a specific state. An alternative method, pump-probe scanning tunneling microscopy¹³, can be utilized for investigating the nonequilibrium properties in two-dimensional (2D) materials. However, this method is sensitive to the surface of a sample and is not easily applicable to high-quality 2D materials that are often encapsulated with insulating dielectrics. Moreover, for 2D conductors, local pumping from a scanning tip would lead to rapid lateral charge spreading, making it difficult to study dynamics that do not involve transport.

Here, we present a new method, “time, momentum, and energy resolved pump-probe tunneling spectroscopy (Tr-MERTS)” that uses planar tunneling and allows visualization of nonequilibrium states

in a two-dimensional electronic system (2DES). Tr-MERTS employs short duty cycle pulses and functions in the millikelvin temperature range. In addition, fine control of electrical pulses utilized in Tr-MERTS permits high-temporal and high-energy resolution¹⁴ and precision tunability of pumping electron densities. Finally, since the pumping energy can be tuned by the height of an applied pulse, electrons can be pumped into a specific energy state even for a system with equidistant energy levels (Fig. 1c). In this study, we demonstrate the capability of Tr-MERTS via its application to interacting electrons in Landau levels (LLs) and explore the spin-dependent temporal dynamics. Surprisingly, we uncover a transient level splitting that arises from the formation of a locally excited electronic droplet triggered by the addition of a minority-spin into a ferromagnetic ground-state.

To perform Tr-MERTS measurements, we apply a sequence of three pulses to a bilayer tunnel device (Fig. 1a and b). The first “pump” pulse ejects electrons from one of the two layers (source) and pumps these electrons into the other layer (target). We set the energy of the electrons injected into the target during pumping by varying the height of a pump pulse. Immediately after the pump pulse, we apply a second “delay” pulse to induce an out-of-resonance condition, in which the pumped states in the target and the available states in the source are misaligned in energy. In such a condition, the pumped electrons cannot flow back to the source and remain in the target (see Extended data Fig. 1 for details). After the delay pulse, we apply a short “probe” pulse and measure the tunneling current (I) by detecting an initial rise in the image charge of tunneled electrons^{14–16}. We use precisely defined pulses with 1%-99% rise time of ~4ns, using specialized home-built electronics that eliminates all ringing. Unlike optical pump-probe experiments, the applied pulses in Tr-MERTS do not overlap in time, and we thus measure current solely driven by the probe pulse (see Extended data Fig. 1). Finally, by controlling the time delay (t) between the pump and probe pulses, we monitor time-dependent changes in tunneling spectra. We simultaneously acquire momentum space information by applying an in-plane magnetic field B_{\parallel} that shifts the momentum

$\Delta k = eB_{\parallel}d/\hbar$ of the tunneling electrons (Fig. 1c), where d is the physical separation between the target and the source layers¹⁶.

Fig. 1d shows a sequence of Tr-MERTS spectra measured before ($t < 0$) and after ($t \geq 0$) pumping the target in an applied perpendicular magnetic field $B_{\perp} = 5.1$ T and at a temperature $T = 50$ mK. The Landau level filling factors of the source and target are $\nu = 0.35$ and $\nu = 1$ respectively, and the electrons injected from the source are nearly fully spin-polarized^{17,18}. At $t < 0$, the spectra show quantized LLs in energy and momentum space¹⁶. When we drive the target out of equilibrium by pumping electrons into the $N = 1$ LL, we observe an upward energy shift ΔE in the spectrum. This ΔE arises from a charging energy, proportional to the density of the pumped electrons n^{pump} (see Methods). Furthermore, the spectrum shows a transient negative current at energy below the $N = 1$ LL (see yellow features in Fig. 1d). This transient current arises from the pumped electrons flowing back to the source under a specific condition: When the pumped energy level in the target matches available energy states in the source, the pumped electrons tunnel back to the source (see Extended data Fig. 2 for a detailed explanation). The Δk distribution of the transient current reveals the information about pumped energy states (i.e. the $N = 1$ LL), and its intensity is proportional to the number of the excited electrons. At large t , the transient current disappears as the pumped electrons decay to the $N = 0$ LL in the target.

To study the relaxation dynamics of electrons between the two lowest ($N = 0$ and 1) LLs, we measured the target filling factor (ν) dependence of Tr-MERTS spectra. Fig. 2a shows TR-MERTS spectrum measured as functions of E , Δk , and ν immediately after pumping the $N = 1$ LL. Each surface in the figure displays a constant E , Δk , or ν cut, and the surface color represents the intensity map of the tunneling current. In a constant momentum cut taken at $\Delta k = 0.014 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, we observe a transient negative current at energy slightly below the $N = 1$ LL at $\nu = 1$ and $\nu \geq 1.5$. At other filling factors in the target, these transient features are absent because electrons can relax between the LLs at a rate faster than the

tunneling rate. For more quantitative analysis, we measured the t dependence of the spectrum for different values of ν (see Figs 2b to d). At $\nu = 1$ and $5/3$, the transient current persists up to $t \approx 1 \mu\text{s}$ and $10 \mu\text{s}$, respectively. On the other hand, at $\nu = 3/2$, the transient current disappears at $t \approx 0.1 \mu\text{s}$. This behavior suggests that the relaxation time is substantially slowed down at integer and fractional fillings, where the ground-state properties change significantly owing to strong electronic interactions^{17,18}.

Given that the spectral weight of the transient current is proportional to the density of excited electrons, we deduce the decay constant (τ) by fitting an exponential function $e^{-t/\tau}$ to the time dependence of the energy integrated transient current (see Extended data Fig. 3). Fig. 2e shows τ for $1 \leq \nu < 2$. A simple model based on Fermi's golden rule for a two-level system predicts monotonically increasing τ because the number of available states (ρ_f) in the lower LL linearly decreases with increasing ν . However, we observe more than an order of magnitude reduction in τ at $\nu \approx 1.2$ and 1.5 . Such behavior suggests that a spin-flip process is responsible for the slow relaxation time observed around $\nu = 1$ and $\nu \geq 5/3$. In these ranges of ν , electrons in the occupied states form nearly fully spin-polarized states^{17,18}, with unoccupied states in the $N = 0$ LL spin-polarized in the opposite direction. In such a case, the spin of pumped electrons is antiparallel to that of unoccupied states in the $N = 0$ LL in the target because the electrons are injected from a nearly spin-polarized source¹⁷. As a result, relaxation requires a slow scattering process involving the emission of phonons that can mediate the spin-flips via the spin-orbit interaction^{19,20}. Between $\nu = 1.2$ and 1.5 , the unoccupied states in the $N = 0$ LL are unpolarized. Therefore, τ decreases rapidly in these trivial (unpolarized) states because the relaxation process does not require spin-flips.

To corroborate this assertion, we measured the T dependence of the relaxation at $\nu = 1$ because the magnetization of a 2DES is sensitive to temperature changes²¹. Tr-MERTS spectra in Extended data Fig. 4a show a faster decay of transient current (see yellow features) with increasing T . This trend is consistent with the spin-dependent relaxation process described above. As T increases, thermally excited magnons

depolarize the 2DES at $\nu = 1$ ²². Likewise, the unoccupied states (holes) in the $N = 0$ LL become spin-depolarized. In this case, the decay no longer requires a spin-flip process and becomes faster. The T dependence at $\nu = 5/3$ also displays a similar behavior (see Extended data Fig. 4b for details).

To investigate the nonequilibrium dynamics in more detail, we reduce the width of the pump pulse and fine-tune n^{pump} injected into the target. Fig. 3b shows a constant wavevector cut of the spectrum measured as a function of n^{pump} immediately after pumping the $N = 1$ LL in the target at $\nu = 1$. At small n^{pump} , we observe a single tunneling peak in the $N = 1$ LL, with an unexpected higher energy peak emerging at higher pumping density. A crossover between the two peaks occurs at intermediate $n^{\text{pump}} \sim 4.6 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Furthermore, we have detected these two split peaks in various B_{\perp} , but they are absent away from $\nu=1$ (see Extended data Fig. 5). Lastly, the $\sqrt{B_{\perp}}$ energy scaling of the splitting between the two peaks (see Fig. 4c) suggests that it originates from electronic interactions in the $\nu = 1$ state.

The formation of other equilibrium states such as skyrmions^{17,21,23} cannot be responsible for the splitting because the tunneling spectrum measured without pumping shows no signature of the splitting at static electron density n^{static} tuned away from $\nu = 1$ (see Fig. 3d and Extended data Fig. 6). In addition, after a sufficiently long-time delay $t = 300 \sim 500$ ns, the split peaks disappear and transform back to a single energy peak (see Fig. 3e). This temporal behavior suggests that the splitting is characteristic to the system driven out of equilibrium.

To understand the transient splitting, we perform exact diagonalization calculations^{24,25} for a 9-electron system at $\nu \sim 1$ (see Supplementary Section 2 for details). In the equilibrium state, electronic interactions at $\nu = 1$ create an exchange splitting E_{ex} ¹⁴ and produce a fully spin-polarized system. The top trace in Fig. 4b shows single electron injection spectrum into the $N = 1$ LL for this condition. When many electrons are pumped into the $N = 1$ LL, a fraction of them relax, due to the phonon-induced spin-flip processes²⁶⁻²⁸, to the $N = 0$ LL prior to the application of the probe pulse and create spin-down minorities

in the $N = 0$ LL. The energy-angular momentum diagram in Fig. 4a displays the possible spin configurations that arise when a spin minority electron is introduced into a spin-polarized system containing 8 electrons. First, a high energy state (blue) corresponds to a single minority spin immersed in a fully spin-polarized state, denoted as $\nu=1+$. Second, the global ground-state corresponds to a spin-depolarized skyrmion state (black). However, as the transition between $\nu=1+$ and skyrmions requires energy and spin dissipation, the skyrmion state is unlikely to form immediately after the addition of extra electrons. Instead, the system starts with a nonequilibrium population of several of the excited states, including a substantial contribution from the $\nu=1+$ state with single flipped spins. The subsequent spin-up electrons injected into the $N = 1$ LL probe this new nonequilibrium state, which is manifested by the appearance of the additional peak at energy higher than that of the first injected electrons (bottom trace in Fig. 4b). The double-peak structure observed in Fig. 4b qualitatively agrees with this expectation; the low-energy peak at $0.6E_{ex}$ corresponds to the ferromagnetic $\nu = 1$ state (red trace) while the higher-energy peak at $0.8E_{ex}$ arises from the transient $\nu = 1+$ state (blue trace). In this model, the gradual transition between the $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 1+$ states in Fig. 3b suggests the coexistence of localized $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 1+$ domains. Furthermore, supporting this model, Fig. 4c shows that the measured splitting between the two tunneling peaks is comparable to the calculated splitting between the $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 1+$ states.

Finally, the transient double-peak injection spectrum emerges at relatively small n^{pump} and reverts to the single-peak form as the system re-equilibrates (see Fig. 3e). Within our model discussed above, the relaxation process would involve smooth rearrangements of the local spins in the $\nu = 1+$ droplet and a transition into the skyrmion state^{17,21,23}. However, the critical $n^{\text{pump}} \approx 5 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$, corresponding to an average spacing between injected electrons of $\approx 140 \text{ nm}$, at which the $\nu = 1+$ state grows to dominate the spectrum and the relaxation mechanisms remain to be understood.

In conclusion, Tr-MERTS provides unprecedented access to the nonequilibrium phenomena in a 2DES. The spectra that we measured demonstrate the temporal dynamics of a 2DES in a wide range of tunable parameters such as ν , T , and B_{\perp} . Furthermore, the n^{pump} dependence of Tr-MERTS spectra reveals an unexpected nonequilibrium spin state occurring at ultralow temperatures. These results demonstrate the potential broad applicability of Tr-MERTS for exploring nonequilibrium physics in LLs and other correlated electronic systems realized in 2D materials.

References

1. Perfetti, L. *et al.* Ultrafast Electron Relaxation in Superconducting $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ by Time-Resolved Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 197001 (2007).
2. Gerber, S. *et al.* Femtosecond electron-phonon lock-in by photoemission and x-ray free-electron laser. *Science* (2017).
3. Smallwood, C. L. *et al.* Tracking Cooper Pairs in a Cuprate Superconductor by Ultrafast Angle-Resolved Photoemission. *Science* (2012).
4. Cilento, F. *et al.* Dynamics of correlation-frozen antinodal quasiparticles in superconducting cuprates. *Sci. Adv.* (2018).
5. Baldini, E. *et al.* Discovery of the soft electronic modes of the trimeron order in magnetite. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 541–545 (2020).
6. Hellmann, S. *et al.* Time-domain classification of charge-density-wave insulators. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 1069 (2012).
7. Bretscher, H. M. *et al.* Ultrafast melting and recovery of collective order in the excitonic insulator Ta_2NiSe_5 . *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1699 (2021).
8. Mitrano, M. *et al.* Possible light-induced superconductivity in $\text{K}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}$ at high temperature. *Nature* **530**, 461–464 (2016).
9. Kogar, A. *et al.* Light-induced charge density wave in LaTe_3 . *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 159–163 (2020).
10. Dean, M. P. M. *et al.* Ultrafast energy- and momentum-resolved dynamics of magnetic correlations in the photo-doped Mott insulator Sr_2IrO_4 . *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 601–605 (2016).
11. Ron, A. *et al.* Ultrafast Enhancement of Ferromagnetic Spin Exchange Induced by Ligand-to-Metal Charge Transfer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 197203 (2020).
12. Na, M. X. *et al.* Direct determination of mode-projected electron-phonon coupling in the time domain. *Science* (2019).

13. Loth, S., Etzkorn, M., Lutz, C. P., Eigler, D. M. & Heinrich, A. J. Measurement of Fast Electron Spin Relaxation Times with Atomic Resolution. *Science* (2010).
14. Dial, O. E., Ashoori, R. C., Pfeiffer, L. N. & West, K. W. High-resolution spectroscopy of two-dimensional electron systems. *Nature* **448**, 176–179 (2007).
15. Dial, O. E., Ashoori, R. C., Pfeiffer, L. N. & West, K. W. Anomalous structure in the single particle spectrum of the fractional quantum Hall effect. *Nature* **464**, 566–570 (2010).
16. Jang, J. *et al.* Full momentum- and energy-resolved spectral function of a 2D electronic system. *Science* **358**, 901–906 (2017).
17. Yoo, H. M., Baldwin, K. W., West, K., Pfeiffer, L. & Ashoori, R. C. Spin phase diagram of the interacting quantum Hall liquid. *Nat. Phys.* 1–6 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41567-020-0946-1.
18. Tiemann, L., Gamez, G., Kumada, N. & Muraki, K. Unraveling the Spin Polarization of the $N = 5/2$ Fractional Quantum Hall State. *Science* **335**, 828–831 (2012).
19. Dickmann, S. Goldstone-Mode Relaxation in a Quantized Hall Ferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 206804 (2004).
20. Dikman, S. M. & Iordanskii, S. V. Spin relaxation under conditions of the quantum Hall effect with odd filling. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Lett.* **63**, 50–55 (1996).
21. Barrett, S. E., Dabagh, G., Pfeiffer, L. N., West, K. W. & Tycko, R. Optically Pumped NMR Evidence for Finite-Size Skyrmions in GaAs Quantum Wells near Landau Level Filling $\nu=1$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 5112–5115 (1995).
22. Kasner, M. & MacDonald, A. H. Thermodynamics of Quantum Hall Ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3204–3207 (1996).
23. Plochocka, P. *et al.* Optical Absorption to Probe the Quantum Hall Ferromagnet at Filling Factor $\nu=1$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 126806 (2009).

24. Hawrylak, P., Gould, C., Sachrajda, A., Feng, Y. & Wasilewski, Z. Collapse of the Zeeman gap in quantum dots due to electronic correlations. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 2801–2806 (1999).
25. Wójs, A. & Hawrylak, P. Spectral functions of quantum dots in the integer and fractional quantum Hall regime. *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 13227–13234 (1997).
26. Golovach, V. N., Khaetskii, A. & Loss, D. Phonon-Induced Decay of the Electron Spin in Quantum Dots. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 016601 (2004).
27. Meunier, T. *et al.* Experimental Signature of Phonon-Mediated Spin Relaxation in a Two-Electron Quantum Dot. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 126601 (2007).
28. Florescu, M. & Hawrylak, P. Spin relaxation in lateral quantum dots: Effects of spin-orbit interaction. *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 045304 (2006).




Fig. 1: Tr-MERTS setup and spectra. **a**, The tunnel device consists of two 2DESs separated by a thin tunnel barrier (see Methods). We apply a sequence of electrical pulses across the device and detect the image charge of the tunneled electrons^{14–16}. **b**, Schematic representation of the pulse sequence. The first “pump” pulse ejects electrons from the source and pumps these electrons into the target. The second “delay” pulse turns off tunneling between the source and target during the time delay (t). The last “probe” pulse measures the current (I) flowing into the target over a short period of time¹⁴. **c**, A cartoon depicting a pumping process. During the pump pulse, electrons in the $N = 0$ LL in the source are pumped into a higher LL in the target. **d**, A sequence of Tr-MERTS spectra measured as a function of t before and after pumping the $N = 1$ LL at $B_{\perp} = 5.1$ T and $T = 50$ mK. Arrows indicate transient tunneling current. ΔE arises from a charging effect that is proportional to the density of pumped electrons (see Methods). Yellow features

below ΔE are not transient and result from tunneling between the $N = 0$ LLs in the source and target (See Extended data Fig. 2 for a detailed explanation).




Fig. 2: ν dependence of Tr-MERTS spectra. **a**, 4D visualization of Tr-MERTS spectrum measured as functions of E , Δk , and ν . The spectrum is taken immediately after pumping the $N = 1$ LL at $B_{\perp} = 6.5$ T and $T = 50$ mK. The vertical axis is E , proportional to the height of the probe pulse. Horizontal and depth axes are ν of the target and Δk , respectively. Each surface shows a constant Δk , ν , or E cut. Colors represent the intensity of the tunneling current. The ν of the source is fixed at 0.35. **b-d**, Tr-MERTS spectra measured as functions of E and t at $\Delta k = 0.014 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. **e**, ν dependence of relaxation time τ . The red dashed line is a fit based on Fermi's golden rule for decays, inversely proportional to the density of states (ρ_f) available in the lower energy level. The deviation from the fit grows at $\nu > 1$ and $\nu < 5/3$ (see black arrows) due to a sharp change in the ground-state spin polarization^{17,18}. The inset illustrates a slow relaxation process involving spin-flips. Red and grey horizontal lines represent the occupied and unoccupied states in the $N = 0$ LL, respectively.




Fig. 3: Transient tunneling anomaly. **a**, Tr-MERTS spectra measured at $\nu = 1$ for different n^{pump} . The spectra are taken immediately after pumping the $N = 1$ LL. Double-peak structure develops with increasing n^{pump} (see the dashed circles). **b**, A $\Delta k = 0.014 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ cut of the spectrum measured as a function of n^{pump} . Open circles mark the tunneling peaks determined from Gaussian curve fitting. **c**, Temperature dependence of the double-peak structure at $B_{\perp} = 8.0 \text{ T}$ and $\Delta k = 0.017 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. The double-peak structure gradually smoothens and disappears at elevated temperatures $T \approx 600 \text{ mK}$. The grey lines show the sum of two gaussian curves that best fit the data. **d**, A Δk cut of the spectrum measured as a function of static electron density Δn^{static} referenced to $\nu = 1$. Notice that the equilibrium system does not exhibit the splitting displayed in **(b)** (see also Extended data Fig. 6). **e**, Waterfall plot demonstrating time delay dependence of a splitting at $B_{\perp} = 8 \text{ T}$ and $n^{\text{pump}} = 5.5 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The grey lines represent Gaussian curves that depict double-peak structure observed at $t = 0$. The split levels merge into a single peak after $\sim 500 \text{ ns}$.




Fig. 4: Exact diagonalization calculation and model for the transient behavior observed at $\nu = 1$. **a**, Eigenenergy spectrum of the lowest Landau level with 9 electrons occupying 8 spin degenerate states. Note that the $\nu = 1$ state would be comprised of 8 spin-polarized electrons. Blue dashes depict a system with 8 spin polarized electrons and 1 spin-flipped electron, referred to here as the $\nu = 1+$ state. The black dash at angular momentum 31 depicts the ground state comprised of a maximally depolarized skyrmion. **b**, Calculated spectral functions for injecting a single, spin-up, electron into the $N=1$ Landau level probing the $\nu = 1$ (red line) and $\nu = 1+$ (blue line) states. The horizontal axis is the injection energy in the $N = 1$ LL in units of E_{ex} . The two dashed vertical lines depict the double-peak structure when the domains of $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 1+$ coexist in nonequilibrium (see Supplementary Section 2 for details). **c**, B_{\perp} dependence of the transient level splitting $\Delta^{transient}$. The open circles denote the measured splitting. The red curve is the splitting calculated from the exact diagonalization model: $\Delta^{transient} = 0.29 \times E_{ex}$, where E_{ex}

$\approx 0.88\text{meV}\times(\text{Tesla})^{1/2}$ ¹⁵. **d**, Graphical description of the model of the transient behavior observed at ν close to 1. Before pumping the target at $\nu = 1$, exchange interactions¹⁴ favor the ferromagnetic state at $\nu = 1$. Shortly after pumping, the formation of $\nu = 1+$ droplets with single-flipped spins gives rise to an additional tunneling peak at higher energy. As electrons in the system rethermalize and form an equilibrium skyrmion phase^{17,21}, the double-peak structure evolves into the single-peak form, consistent with the equilibrium tunneling spectrum^{14,17} measured by static density tuning.

Methods

Floating-gate tunnel device

We employed a floating-gate GaAs bilayer device¹⁷ comprising of high-mobility 180 Å and 280 Å wide GaAs quantum wells grown epaxially. The quantum wells are separated by a 130 Å wide Al_{0.25}Ga_{0.75}As tunnel barrier. The floating-gate method allow us to tune the electron density in each quantum well without contacts to the quantum wells.

Pump-probe pulsed tunneling measurement setup

In Tr-MERTS measurements, we apply multiple voltage pulses to the tunnel device (see Extended data Fig. 1) as described in the main text. After applying a sequence of three pulses, we wait ~1 ms for the pumped electrons to fully tunnel back to the source before applying the next sequence of pulses. To measure the tunneling current^{14,16}, we record the image charge of tunneled electrons as a function of time using high electron mobility transistors. We determine the tunneling current from the initial slope of a RC charging curve in the recorded time trace, where R and C are the tunneling resistance and the capacitance of a tunnel junction.

Calibration of pumping electron density

When electrons are pumped into the target, a charging effect gives rise to an energy shift ΔE of the Fermi level of the target relative to the Fermi level of the source. Since the charging effect is proportional to the pumped electron density n^{pump} , we determine n^{pump} using a following algebraic expression:

$$n^{pump} = \frac{Q^{pump}}{eA} = \frac{C}{e^2 A} \Delta E = \frac{\epsilon}{e^2 d} \Delta E,$$

where C and A are the capacitance and area of a tunnel junction, respectively. The physical distance d between the source and target is approximately equal to 36 nm. From the above equation, we calibrate n^{pump} , which ranges between 0 and $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on request.

Acknowledgments: We thank L. Levitov for a helpful discussion. This work is supported by the Basic Energy Sciences Program of the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy through contract no. FG02-08ER46514. The work at Princeton University was funded by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through the EPiQS (Emergent Phenomena in Quantum Systems) initiative grant GBMF4420 and by the National Science Foundation MRSEC (Materials Research Science and Engineering Centers) grant DMR-1420541. The work at Ottawa University was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Quantum Circuits in 2D Materials Strategic grant STPG-521420 and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grant RGPIN 2019-05714 and NRC QSP-078 project.

Author contributions: H.M.Y. and R.C.A. conceived the experiments. L.P., K.W.B. and K.W. contributed in the epitaxial growth of the sample. H.M.Y. carried out the measurements. H.M.Y. and R.C.A. analyzed the experimental data. M. K., D. M., and P. H. performed the theoretical analysis. H.M.Y., M. K., P. H., and R.C.A. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary information

This file includes additional discussion on 1. Temperature dependence of relaxation time and 2. Spectral function of the $\nu = 1+$ state.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.M.Y and R.C.A.