

Quasi-cyclic perfect codes in Doob graphs and special partitions of Galois rings

Minjia Shi, Xiaoxiao Li, Denis S. Krotov, Ferruh Özbudak

Abstract—The Galois ring $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ is the residue ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(h(x))$, where $h(x)$ is a basic primitive polynomial of degree Δ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . For any odd Δ larger than 1, we construct a partition of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta) \setminus \{0\}$ into 6-subsets of type $\{a, b, -a-b, -a, -b, a+b\}$ and 3-subsets of type $\{c, -c, 2c\}$ such that the partition is invariant under the multiplication by a nonzero element of the Teichmüller set in $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ and, if Δ is not a multiple of 3, under the action of the automorphism group of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$.

As a corollary, this implies the existence of quasi-cyclic additive 1-perfect codes of index $(2^\Delta - 1)$ in $D((2^\Delta - 1)(2^\Delta - 2)/6, 2^\Delta - 1)$ where $D(m, n)$ is the Doob metric scheme on \mathbb{Z}^{2m+n} .

Index Terms—Doob graph, Galois ring, 1-perfect code, quasi-cyclic code.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE motivation of studying the codes in Doob graph is the application of association schemes in coding theory [3]. Codes in Doob graphs can be used for information transmission in channels with two-dimensional or complex-valued modulation. In fact, these codes are special cases of codes over Eisenstein–Jacobi integers, see, e.g., [5], [12]. From the point of view of the distance-regular graphs, the algebraic parameters of the schemes associated with Doob graphs are the same as for the quaternary Hamming scheme. The vertices of a Doob graph can be considered as words in the mixed

This is the accepted peer-reviewed version of the paper published in the IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2023.3272566> © 2023 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission.

This research is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (12071001). The work of D.S. Krotov was carried out within the framework of the state contract of the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics (Project FWNF-2022-0017).

Minjia Shi is with the Key Laboratory of Intelligent Computing and Signal Processing, Ministry of Education, School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China; State Key Laboratory of Information Security Institute of Information Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100093.

Xiaoxiao Li is with School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China.

Denis S. Krotov is with Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, pr. Akademika Koptuyuga 4, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia (e-mail: krotov@math.nsc.ru).

Ferruh Özbudak is affiliated with Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabancı University, 34956, Istanbul, and Middle East Technical University, 06800, Ankara, Turkey (e-mail: ferruh.ozbudak@sabanciuniv.edu).

alphabet consisting of elements of the quotient (modulo 4 and modulo 2) rings of the ring of Eisenstein–Jacobi integers, see e.g. [8].

The Doob graph $D(m, n)$ is the Cartesian product of m copies of the Shrikhande graph Sh and n copies of the complete graph K_4 on 4 vertices. The Shrikhande graph is the Cayley graph on \mathbb{Z}_4^2 with the connecting set $\{\pm(0, 1), \pm(1, 0), \pm(1, 1)\}$, where two vertices are adjacent if and only if their difference is in the connecting set. The complete graph K_4 in our theory is a Cayley graph on \mathbb{Z}_4 or \mathbb{Z}_2^2 . A set of vertices of a graph is called a 1-perfect code if every vertex is at distance at most 1 from exactly one code vertex.

The study of perfect codes in Doob graphs was initiated by Koolen and Munemasa in [7], where they constructed 1-perfect codes in the two Doob graphs of diameter 5, $D(1, 3)$ and $D(2, 1)$. In [8], linear 1-perfect codes are characterized, where a code is linear if it is a submodule of the module $\text{GR}(4^2)^m \times \text{GF}(2^2)^n$, naturally associated with the vertex set of $D(n, m)$; such codes can be constructed if and only if

$$n = \frac{4^{\gamma+\delta} - 1}{3}, \quad m = \frac{4^{\gamma+2\delta} - 4^{\gamma+\delta}}{6} \quad (1)$$

for some integers $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$ (case $\delta = 0$ also has a sense, but it corresponds to the Hamming graph $D(0, n) = H(n, 4)$ over the 4-ary alphabet). However, the sphere-packing necessary condition on the existence of 1-perfect codes requires only the divisibility of the number $16^m \cdot 4^n$ of the vertices of the graph by the cardinality $1 + 6m + 3n$ of a radius-1 ball. The family of parameters satisfying this condition is much wider than the ones meeting (1). For example, all 10 Doob graphs of diameter 21, $D(1, 19)$, $D(2, 17)$, \dots , $D(10, 1)$, have radius-1 balls of size 64, dividing 4^{21} , while only one of them, $D(8, 5)$, falls into restrictions (1). As was shown in [10], the sphere-packing divisibility condition is sufficient for the existence of unrestricted 1-perfect codes in a Doob graph, where “unrestricted” means that the code is not implied to have any algebraic structure. Motivated by a possibility to cover by algebraic constructions more parameters than the parameters of linear codes, the concept of additive codes was suggested in [8]. A Doob graph $D(m, n)$ inherits the structure of the module $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m+n''} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n'}$, where $n = n' + n''$, and a code is additive if it is additively closed, or, equivalently, forms a submodule of this module. Necessary conditions

$$2m + n' + n'' = \frac{2^{\Gamma+2\Delta} - 1}{3}, \quad 3n' + n'' = 2^{\Gamma+\Delta} - 1, \\ 0 \leq n'' \leq 2^\Delta - 1, \quad n'' \neq 1, \quad \Gamma \geq 0, \quad \Delta \geq 2 \quad (2)$$

were given and first examples constructed in [8], while constructions developed in [13] cover the whole three-parameter family of Doob graphs $D(m, n' + n'')$ satisfying (2). Apart from recursive constructions solving the existence problem for additive 1-perfect codes in Doob graphs, three nice codes were described in [8], [13]. They are in the graphs

$$D\left((2^\Delta - 1) \cdot \frac{2^\Delta - 2}{6}, 0 + (2^\Delta - 1)\right) \quad (3)$$

with $\Delta = 3, 5, 7$ and have a quasicyclic permutational automorphism of order $2^\Delta - 1$. Generalizing these quasicyclic additive 1-perfect codes to the case of an arbitrary odd Δ is the main goal of the current paper.

Let us describe why the parameters (3) are special. An additive 1-perfect code C is a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m+n''} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n'}$, and the quotient $Q = (\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m+n''} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n'})/C$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4^\Delta \times \mathbb{Z}_2^\Gamma$. It is a free module if $\Gamma = 0$. In this case, Q can be associated with the Galois ring $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$, and C can potentially have a coordinate-permutation automorphism of order $2^\Delta - 1$ associated to the multiplication by a nonzero element of the Teichmuller set in $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. This can only happen if the numbers m, n', n'' of coordinates of each type are divisible by $2^\Delta - 1$, which immediately leads to $n' = 0$ and to the parameters shown in (3).

Let us consider several motivation points of our study. At first, cyclic and quasicyclic codes are of theoretical and practical importance because of the possibility to represent the code in a compact way and, as a corollary, to create coding and decoding algorithms that are efficiently realized in hardware. Codes in mixed alphabets seem to be rarely used in practice. However, the number n'' of the last-group of ‘‘Hamming’’ coordinates is relatively small with respect to the number m of ‘‘Shrikhande’’ coordinates, and shortening a 1-perfect code in all these n'' coordinates makes it a non-mixed code in $D(m, 0)$, non-perfect, but still very close to optimal. We also mention the result of [1] which states that cyclic 1-perfect codes over the mixed $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -alphabet with the Lee metric do not exist, except for one example. Taking into account some similarity between codes over the mixed $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -alphabet and codes in Doob metric, we can conjecture that cyclic 1-perfect codes hardly exist in Doob graphs, and the quasicyclic structure is the best possible.

At second, additive codes we consider are related with a very interesting class of partitions of a module over \mathbb{Z}_4 . To construct a check matrix for an additive 1-perfect code in $D(m, n' + n'')$, one needs to partition the non-zero elements of $\mathbb{Z}_4^\Delta \times \mathbb{F}_2^\Gamma$ into m sextuples of type $\{\pm a, \pm b, \pm(a + b)\}$, n'' triples of type $\{a, 2a, -a\}$, and n' triples of type $\{2a, 2b, 2a + 2b\}$ (the last group is empty in our current research). In the case $m = n'' = \Delta = 0$, such partitions are a special case of *spreads*, widely studied in discrete geometry, see e.g. [6]. For all admissible collections of parameters (m, n', n'') , required partitions were constructed in [13], using three combinatorial recursive constructions. That technique is useless if we want to construct quasi-cyclic perfect codes with the corresponding partitions invariant under the multiplicative action of the Teichmuller set in $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. So, we use totally different algebraic technique to prove the

existence of partitions with this nice property (moreover, in the case $\Delta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, our partitions are also invariant under the action of the automorphism group of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$). Note that predescribing an automorphism group, often based on multiplications in the field or the ring associated to the ambient space, is an effective approach for finding different combinatorial structures computationally, see e.g. [2], where discovering of the first known subspace Steiner structures is described. In our study, we also began with solving small cases computationally, but finally found the theoretical approach, presented in this paper.

Finally, as was noted in [7], the codes with the parameters dual to the parameters of 1-perfect codes are singleton codes, also known as tight 2-designs, and with properly defined inner product and dual graph (the details can be found in [9]), additive 1-perfect codes in Doob graphs correspond to additive singleton codes. Moreover, the duality preserves permutational automorphisms, which means that we construct quasicyclic singleton codes as well.

The structure of the paper is as follows. The next section compiles the background necessary to the forthcoming sections. Special partitions of Galois rings are constructed in Section III. Section IV contains the main result of this paper: a construction of quasicyclic 1-perfect codes in Doob graphs. Section V concludes the paper.

II. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

In this section, we introduce some preliminary results from three parts as follows.

A. Galois Rings

Let Δ be odd. If $h(x)$ is a basic primitive polynomial of degree Δ over \mathbb{Z}_4 and ξ is a root of $h(x)$, then any element in the residue class ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(h(x))$ can be written as $h_0 + h_1\xi + \dots + h_{\Delta-1}\xi^{\Delta-1}$, which could also be viewed as the vector $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{\Delta-1})$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . The ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(h(x))$ is called the Galois ring with 4^Δ elements and is denoted by $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. The Teichmuller set $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{2^\Delta-2}\}$ is a set of representatives of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta} \simeq \text{GR}(4^\Delta)/2\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. It is known that $\text{GR}(4^\Delta) = \mathcal{T} \oplus 2\mathcal{T}$ (2-adic decomposition of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$), and that the group of units of the Galois ring is $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)^* = \mathcal{T}^* \oplus 2\mathcal{T}$ with $\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}$. The following formula for the sum of elements of \mathcal{T} is useful.

Lemma 1 (Yamada’s formula, see, e.g., [14, Corol.6.9]). *If $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, and $c_1 + c_2 = a + 2b$, $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$, then*

$$a = c_1 + c_2 + 2(c_1c_2)^{1/2}, b = (c_1c_2)^{1/2},$$

where $(c_1c_2)^{1/2}$ denotes the unique element in \mathcal{T} such that $((c_1c_2)^{1/2})^2 = c_1c_2$.

We know that the kernel of the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\cdot} : \mathbb{Z}_4[x] &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[x] \\ \overline{h_0 + h_1x + \dots + h_nx^n} &= \bar{h}_0 + \bar{h}_1x + \dots + \bar{h}_nx^n \end{aligned}$$

is the ideal $2\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ and the image of $(h(x))$ under $\bar{\cdot}$ is $(\bar{h}(x))$. Therefore the ring homomorphism induces a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\cdot} : \mathbb{Z}_4[\xi] &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[\bar{\xi}] \\ \overline{h_0 + h_1\xi + \cdots + h_{\Delta-1}\xi^{\Delta-1}} &= \bar{h}_0 + \bar{h}_1\bar{\xi} + \cdots + \bar{h}_{\Delta-1}\bar{\xi}^{\Delta-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\xi}$ is the image of ξ . Actually, $\bar{\xi}$ is a root of $(\bar{h}(x))$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2[\bar{\xi}] = \mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}$.

The generalized Frobenius map on $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ defined by

$$f : \text{GR}(4^\Delta) \rightarrow \text{GR}(4^\Delta), \quad c = a + 2b \mapsto f(c) = a^2 + 2b^2,$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$, is a ring automorphism of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. Moreover, if σ is a ring automorphism of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$, then $\sigma = f^l$ for some l , $0 \leq l \leq \Delta - 1$, see e.g. [14, Chapter 6].

B. Trace map

For $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}$, the trace $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}/\mathbb{F}_2}(u)$ of u over \mathbb{F}_2 is defined by

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}/\mathbb{F}_2}(u) = u + u^2 + \cdots + u^{2^{\Delta-1}}.$$

$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}/\mathbb{F}_2}(u)$ is the absolute trace of u and simply denoted by $\text{Tr}(u)$.

The following lemmas are important for us to prove the main theorems.

Lemma 2. *If $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}$ and $\text{Tr}(y_1) = \text{Tr}(y_2)$, then $\text{Tr}(y_1 y_2) = 0$ or $\text{Tr}((y_1 + 1)(y_2 + 1)) = 0$.*

Proof. It can be directly calculated by $\text{Tr}((y_1 + 1)(y_2 + 1)) = \text{Tr}(y_1 y_2) + \text{Tr}(y_1 + y_2) + \text{Tr}(1) = \text{Tr}(y_1 y_2) + 1$. \square

The next fact is a special case of a well-known result, Hilbert's Theorem 90 (see, [11, Note 3 in page 75]). We give a simple proof for the special case that we consider.

Lemma 3. *If $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\Delta}$, then the equation*

$$x^2 + x = a \tag{4}$$

has two solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^Δ} if $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$ and has no solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^Δ} if $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$.

Proof. The claim is straightforward from the following two observations.

- (i) For every element x_0 of \mathbb{F}_{2^Δ} , both x_0 and $x_0 + 1$ are solutions of (4) with $a = x_0(x_0 + 1)$. On the other hand, a quadratic equation cannot have more than two solutions. This means that (4) is solvable for $2^\Delta/2$ values of a .
- (ii) If x_0 is a solution of (4), then $\text{Tr}(a) = \text{Tr}(x_0) + \text{Tr}(x_0^2) = \text{Tr}(x_0) + \text{Tr}(x_0) = 0$. \square

C. Additive 1-perfect codes in Doob graphs

Denote by $D(m, n)$ the Cartesian product $\text{Sh}^m \times K^n$ of m copies of the Shrikhande graph Sh and n copies of the complete 4-vertex graph. If $m > 0$, then $D(m, n)$ is called a *Doob graph*. (case $m = 0$ corresponds to a Hamming graph $H(n, 4) = D(0, n)$). The *Shrikhande graph* Sh is the Cayley graph of the additive group \mathbb{Z}_4^{2+} of \mathbb{Z}_4^2 with the connecting set $S = \{01, 30, 33, 03, 10, 11\}$. Take the set of $(2m + 2n)$ -tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_{2m}, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ from $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ as the vertex set of $D(m, n)$. If a code $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ is closed with respect to addition, then we say it is *additive*. An additive code is necessarily closed with respect to multiplication by an element of \mathbb{Z}_4 . So, it is in fact a submodule of \mathbb{Z}_4^{2m+n} .

Remark 1. In [8], additive codes are defined as a more general class: some of the last n coordinates have values from \mathbb{Z}_2^2 . However, as explained in the introduction, in our current study such coordinates are absent.

A 1-perfect code in a Doob graph $D(m, n)$ is a subset C of $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ which is an independent set such that every vertex in $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n \setminus C$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in C .

Let $(A|A'')$ be a matrix with rows from $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n$. It is called a *check matrix* of a code C in $D(m, n' + n'')$ if $C = \{c \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n : (A|A'')c^T = \bar{0}^T\}$.

Lemma 4 ([13, Lemma 1]). *Let $(A|A'')$ be a check matrix of an additive code C in $\mathbb{Z}_4^{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n$. Denote by a_i the i th column of $(A|A'')$, and by Q the linear span of all a_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2m + n$. The code C is 1-perfect in $D(m, n)$ if and only if the collection of the following sets form a partition of $Q \setminus \{\bar{0}^T\}$:*

(I) *six-element sets*

$$\{\pm a_{2i-1}, \pm a_{2i}, \pm(a_{2i-1} + a_{2i})\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

(II) *three-element sets*

$$\{a_j, 2a_j, -a_j\}, \quad j = 2m + 1, \dots, 2m + n.$$

In general, Q is a module of type $\mathbb{Z}_4^\Delta \times \mathbb{Z}_2^\Gamma$ for some Δ and Γ , but we are interested in the case $\Gamma = 0$, and assume for the convenience that $Q = \text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. Our goal is to find a partition of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta) \setminus \{0^\Delta\}$ into sets of type (I) and (II) such that the partition is invariant under the multiplication by ξ . We set $a_j = \xi^j$, $j = 2m + 1, \dots, 2m + n$, so the elements of form ξ^j , $2\xi^j$, and $3\xi^j = -\xi^j$ are covered by the sets of type (II). Then, the remaining elements of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ have to be covered by sets of type (I).

III. PARTITIONS OF $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$

Let $\mathcal{R} = \text{GR}(4^\Delta)$. Every element of \mathcal{R} is uniquely represented in the form $A = X + 2Y$, where $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$. If $A \notin \mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T}$, then $A = \xi^i(1 + 2\xi^j)$ for some unique i and j from $\{0, \dots, 2^\Delta - 2\}$. These will be denoted by $i(A)$ and $j(A)$, respectively. Let $C_i = \{i \cdot 2^j \bmod 2^\Delta - 1 : 0 \leq j \leq \Delta - 1\}$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^\Delta - 2$. For each i , the set C_i is called a *2-cyclotomic coset* modulo $2^\Delta - 1$. It is straightforward that

$C_i = C_j$ or $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ for any i, j . By Cos, we denote the set of all 2-cyclotomic cosets except $\{0\}$; by $\text{Cos}(s)$, the set $\{\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cos} : |\mathcal{A}| = s\}$, and by N_s , its cardinality.

Lemma 5. For every A, B from $\mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$,

- (i) if $j(A) = j(B)$, then $j(-A) = j(-B)$;
- (ii) $j(A)$ and $j(-A)$ ($j(B)$ and $j(-B)$) belong to different cosets in Cos;
- (iii) if $j(A)$ and $j(B)$ are in the same coset, then $j(-A)$ and $j(-B)$ are in the same coset.

Proof. Without losing generality, suppose $A = 1 + 2\xi^{j(A)}$ and $B = \xi^{i(B)}(1 + 2\xi^{j(B)})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{i(-B)}(1 + 2\xi^{j(-B)}) - B &= B + 2B \\ &= \xi^{i(B)}(1 + 2(1 + \xi^{j(B)})) \quad (5) \\ &= \xi^{i(B)}(1 + 2\xi^j), \end{aligned}$$

for some j . Therefore, $j = j(-B)$ and $i(B) = i(-B)$. Similarly, $i(A) = i(-A) = 0$.

(i) If $j(A) = j(B)$, then $B = \xi^{i(B)}A$, which implies $-B = -\xi^{i(B)}A$, $-B = \xi^{i(-B)}(-A)$, and $j(-B) = j(-A)$.

(ii) From (5) we see that $2(1 + \xi^{j(B)}) = 2\xi^{j(-B)}$. Hence, $1 + \xi^{j(B)} = \xi^{j(-B)}$, and $1 + \text{Tr}(\xi^{j(B)}) = \text{Tr}(\xi^{j(-B)})$. On the other hand, $\text{Tr}(\xi^{j(B)}) = \text{Tr}(\xi^{2^s j(B)})$ for all s . Hence, there is no s such that $j(B) \equiv 2^s j(-B) \pmod{2^\Delta - 1}$, which implies claim (ii) for $j(\pm B)$. For $j(\pm A)$, the proof is similar.

(iii) If $j(A)$ and $j(B)$ are in the same coset, then for some s we have $\xi^{j(B)} = \xi^{2^s j(A)}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{j(-B)} &= 1 + \xi^{j(B)} = 1^{2^s} + (\xi^{j(A)})^{2^s} \\ &= (1 + \xi^{j(A)})^{2^s} = (\xi^{j(-A)})^{2^s} = \xi^{2^s j(-A)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $j(-A)$ and $j(-B)$ are in the same coset as well. \square

Lemma 5 establishes a matching on the cosets in Cos. For a coset \mathcal{A} , the coset matched to \mathcal{A} will be denoted by $-\mathcal{A}$. The notation $\pm\mathcal{A}$ will mean the union of \mathcal{A} and $-\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 1. For every positive integer Δ and every integer $s > 2$, the value $N_s \cdot s$ is divisible by 3.

Proof. Assume a is an element of a 2-cyclotomic coset of size s in the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{2^\Delta - 1}^+$. By the definition, we have

$$2^s a \equiv a \pmod{2^\Delta - 1}, \quad (6)$$

where s is the minimum positive integer for which (6) holds. Since, obviously, $2^\Delta a \equiv a \pmod{2^\Delta - 1}$, we see that s divides Δ . In particular, $2^s - 1$ divides $2^\Delta - 1$. Next, (6) means that $(2^s - 1)a = A(2^\Delta - 1)$ for some A . Hence, $a = A \cdot \frac{2^\Delta - 1}{2^s - 1}$, and a is a multiple of $\frac{2^\Delta - 1}{2^s - 1}$. We conclude the following:

- (*) Every coset of size s lies in the subgroup $\frac{2^\Delta - 1}{2^s - 1} \mathbb{Z}_{2^\Delta - 1}^+$ of order $2^s - 1$ of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{2^\Delta - 1}^+$.

With (*), the claim of the proposition can be easily proved by induction on Δ . The induction base is $\Delta = 1$ and $\Delta = 2$, when there are no s satisfying $s > 2$ and $N_s > 0$. Assume that $\Delta > 2$. From (*) and the induction hypothesis, we have the following:

- (**) If $2 < s < \Delta$, then $N_s \cdot s$ is divisible by 3.

It remains to show that $N_\Delta \cdot \Delta$ is divisible by 3. We derive this from the obvious $2^\Delta - 1 = |\mathbb{Z}_{2^\Delta - 1}^+| = \sum_{s < \Delta} N_s \cdot s$:

$$\begin{aligned} N_\Delta \cdot \Delta &= 2^\Delta - 1 - \sum_{s < \Delta} N_s \cdot s \\ &= (2^\Delta - 1 - N_1 \cdot 1 - N_2 \cdot 2) - \sum_{s: 2 < s < \Delta} N_s \cdot s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_1 = 1$, $N_2 = 1$ for even Δ , and $N_2 = 0$ for odd Δ , the expression in the parenthesis is divisible by 3. The remaining part is divisible by 3 according to (**). Hence, $N_\Delta \cdot \Delta$ is divisible by 3, and the proof by induction is complete. \square

Theorem 1. Assume that Δ and s are odd positive integers such that s divides Δ , $s > 2$, and s is not divisible by 3. In $\mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$, there are $A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k, B_k$, where $k = N_s/6$, such that $j(A_i), j(B_i), j(-A_i - B_i), j(-A_i), j(-B_i), j(A_i + B_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, represent all cosets of size s in Cos; in particular, $|C_{j(A_i)}| = |C_{j(B_i)}| = |C_{j(-A_i - B_i)}| = |C_{j(-A_i)}| = |C_{j(-B_i)}| = |C_{j(A_i + B_i)}| = s$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Since s is not divisible by 3, the size N_s of $\text{Cos}(s)$ is divisible by 3 by Proposition 1. According to case (ii) of Lemma 5, if $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cos}(s)$ then $-\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cos}(s)$, i.e., N_s is divisible by 2. Hence, N_s is divisible by 6.

By Lemma 5, it is sufficient to prove the following:

- (*) For any given three cosets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \text{Cos}(s)$ such that $\pm\mathcal{A} \neq \pm\mathcal{B} \neq \pm\mathcal{C} \neq \pm\mathcal{A}$, there exist A and B in $\mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$j(A) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad j(B) \in \pm\mathcal{B}, \quad j(A + B) \in \pm\mathcal{C}.$$

We now assume $i(A) = 1$ without loss of generality, fix arbitrary values of $j(A)$ in \mathcal{A} , $j(B)$ in \mathcal{B} , and j' in \mathcal{C} , and try to find the value of $i(B)$ such that $j(A + B) = j'$. Denote $\xi^{j(A)}, \xi^{i(B)}, \xi^{j(B)}, \xi^{j'}$ by α, x, β, γ respectively; in particular,

$$A = 1 + 2\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad B = x(1 + 2\beta).$$

From Yamada's formula (Lemma 1), we have

$$A + B = (1 + x + 2\sqrt{x})(1 + 2\gamma), \quad (7)$$

where $(1 + x + 2\sqrt{x}) \in \mathcal{T}$. Recall that we want to find x . After expanding, (7) turns to

$$2(1 + x)\gamma = 2(\alpha + x\beta + \sqrt{x}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(1 + \bar{x})\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{x}\bar{\beta} + \sqrt{\bar{x}}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma}$ and denoting $T = (\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})\sqrt{\bar{x}}$ we rewrite it as

$$T^2 + T + (\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma})(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

(note that $\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma} \neq 0$ because $j(B)$ and j' belong to different cosets, by the hypothesis). We now consider two cases.

Case 1. Assume $\text{Tr}((\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma})(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})) = 0$. By Lemma 3, there is a nonzero solution T of equation (8). From T , we find $\bar{x} = T^2/(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})^2$, x , and $i(B) = \log_{\xi}\bar{x} = \log_{\xi}x$. From (7) we see that $j(A+B) = j'$, as required, and (*) holds with

$$j(A) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad j(B) \in \mathcal{B}, \quad j(A+B) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Case 2. Assume $\text{Tr}((\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma})(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})) = 1$. Depending on the values of $\text{Tr}(\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma})$ and $\text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})$, at least one of the following is true:

$$\text{Tr}((\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma})(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma} + 1)) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\text{Tr}((\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma} + 1)(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma})) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Tr}((\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\gamma} + 1)(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma} + 1)) = 0. \quad (11)$$

In subcase (11), replacing $\bar{\gamma}$ by $\bar{\gamma} + 1$ (which changes j' to $\log_{\xi}(\xi^{j'} + 1)$ and moves it to $-\mathcal{C}$) makes equation (8) solvable and proves (*) with

$$j(A) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad j(B) \in \mathcal{B}, \quad j(A+B) \in -\mathcal{C}.$$

In subcase (9), we replace $\bar{\beta}$ by $\bar{\beta} + 1$ (which changes the choice of $j(B)$ and moves it to $-\mathcal{B}$) makes the equation solvable and proves (*) with

$$j(A) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad j(B) \in -\mathcal{B}, \quad j(A+B) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Subcase (10) is solved similarly with replacing both $\bar{\beta}$ by $\bar{\beta} + 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ by $\bar{\gamma} + 1$. \square

Theorem 2. *If s is divisible by 3, then for any coset \mathcal{A} in Cos of size s , there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $j(-A-B) = 2^\delta j(B) = 2^{2\delta} j(A)$ or $j(A+B) = 2^\delta j(B) = 2^{2\delta} j(A)$, where $\delta = s/3$ and $j(A) = j \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. When s is divisible by 3, for a given coset \mathcal{A} in Cos of size s , we need to prove that there exist $j \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $A = 1 + 2y$, $B = x_2(1 + 2y^{2^\delta})$ and $A + B = u_0(1 + 2y^{2^{2\delta}})$ or $-A - B = u_0(1 + 2y^{2^{2\delta}})$, where $y = \xi^j$ and $u_0 = 1 + x_2 + 2\sqrt{x_2}$ from Yamada's formula (Lemma 1). Similar to the previous discussion in Theorem 1, we need to prove that there exist $j \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{y} = \xi^j \in F_{2^\Delta}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}((\bar{y}^{2^\delta} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})(\bar{y} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})) &= 0 \quad \text{or} \\ \text{Tr}((\bar{y}^{2^\delta} + 1 + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})(\bar{y} + 1 + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}((\bar{y}^{2^\delta} + 1 + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})(\bar{y} + 1 + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})) \\ &= \text{Tr}((\bar{y}^{2^\delta} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})(\bar{y} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}}) + (\bar{y}^{2^\delta} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}}) + (\bar{y} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}}) + 1) \\ &= \text{Tr}((\bar{y}^{2^\delta} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})(\bar{y} + \bar{y}^{2^{2\delta}})) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one of the equations above can hold. \square

The main result of the current section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For every odd Δ , there is a partition of $\mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ into $2^\Delta - 1$ triples of form $\{a, 2a, 3a\}$ and $\frac{(2^\Delta - 1)(2^\Delta - 2)}{6}$ sextuples of form $\{\pm a, \pm b, \pm(a+b)\}$ such that the partition is invariant under the multiplication by ξ and, if $\Delta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, under the action of the automorphisms of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T}) \setminus \{0\}$ can partition into $2^\Delta - 1$ triples $\{\xi^i, 2\xi^i, 3\xi^i\}$, $i = 0, \dots, 2^\Delta - 2$, which is invariant under the multiplication by ξ .

It remains to partition $\mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$ into $(2^\Delta - 1)(2^\Delta - 2)/6$ sextuples of the required form. We first prove the following:

(*) *There are $(2^\Delta - 2)/6$ pairs (A, B) , $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$ such that every j in $\{1, \dots, 2^\Delta - 2\}$ is uniquely represented as $j(A), j(B), j(-A-B), j(-A), j(-B)$, or $j(A+B)$ for one of these pairs.*

To prove (*), we split the set $\{1, \dots, 2^\Delta - 2\}$ into the sets $\bigcup_{A \in \text{Cos}(s)} \mathcal{A}$ and for each s construct $N_s \cdot s/6$ pairs that cover the corresponding set in the same sense as in (*). This step is divided into two subcases, based on 3 divides s or not.

(i) *If $s > 1$ is not divisible by 3, then there are $N_s \cdot s/6$ pairs (A, B) , $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$ such that every j in $\bigcup_{A \in \text{Cos}(s)} \mathcal{A}$ is uniquely represented as $j(A), j(B), j(-A-B), j(-A), j(-B)$, or $j(A+B)$ for one of these pairs.*

• *Proof of (i).* By Theorem 1, there are $A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k, B_k$, where $k = N_s/6$, such that $j(A_i), j(B_i), j(-A_i - B_i), j(-A_i), j(-B_i), j(A_i + B_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, represent all cosets from $\text{Cos}(s)$. In other words, $\bigcup_{A \in \text{Cos}(s)} \mathcal{A}$ can split into such sets $\pm \mathcal{A}_i \cup \pm \mathcal{B}_i \cup \pm \mathcal{C}_i$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$. Without loss of generality, suppose $j(A_i) \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $j(B_i) \in \mathcal{B}_i$ and $j(A_i + B_i) \in \mathcal{C}_i$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let f be the automorphism of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ defined in Section II-A. Denote $f^l(A_i), f^l(B_i)$ by $A_i^{(l)}, B_i^{(l)}$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1$. Since f^l is a homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(A_i^{(l)}) &= 2^l j(A_i), \quad j(B_i^{(l)}) = 2^l j(B_i), \quad \text{and} \\ j(A_i^{(l)} + B_i^{(l)}) &= j((A_i + B_i)^{(l)}) = 2^l j(A_i + B_i). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^l j(A_i) &\in \mathcal{A}_i, & 2^l j(-A_i) &\in -\mathcal{A}_i, \\ 2^l j(B_i) &\in \mathcal{B}_i, & 2^l j(-B_i) &\in -\mathcal{B}_i, \\ 2^l j(A_i + B_i) &\in \mathcal{C}_i, & 2^l j(-A_i - B_i) &\in -\mathcal{C}_i. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i &= \{2^l j(A_i)\}_l, & -\mathcal{A}_i &= \{2^l j(-A_i)\}_l, \\ \mathcal{B}_i &= \{2^l j(B_i)\}_l, & -\mathcal{B}_i &= \{2^l j(-B_i)\}_l, \\ \mathcal{C}_i &= \{2^l j(A_i + B_i)\}_l, & -\mathcal{C}_i &= \{2^l j(-A_i - B_i)\}_l \end{aligned}$$

with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1$. It is not difficult to find that

$\bigcup_{A \in \text{Cos}(s)} \mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{l=0}^{s-1} \left\{ j(A_i^{(l)}), j(B_i^{(l)}), j(A_i^{(l)} + B_i^{(l)}), \right. \\ \left. j(-A_i^{(l)}), j(-B_i^{(l)}), j(-A_i^{(l)} - B_i^{(l)}) \right\}.$$

Hence, these pairs $(A_i^{(l)}, B_i^{(l)})$, where $1 \leq i \leq k$, $0 \leq l \leq s-1$, satisfy the conclusion of (i).

- (ii) If s is divisible by 3, then for every \mathcal{A} in $\text{Cos}(s)$ there are $s/3$ pairs (A, B) , $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$ such that every j in $\pm \mathcal{A}$ is uniquely represented as $j(A)$, $j(B)$, $j(-A-B)$, $j(-A)$, $j(-B)$, or $j(A+B)$ for one of these pairs.

Proof of (ii). By Theorem 2, for any \mathcal{A} in $\text{Cos}(s)$, there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} j(-A-B) &= 2^\delta j(B) = 2^{2\delta} j(A) & (12) \\ \text{or } j(A+B) &= 2^\delta j(B) = 2^{2\delta} j(A), & (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = s/3$ and $j(A) = j \in \mathcal{A}$. We suppose (12) holds (case (13) is similar). Let f be the automorphism of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ defined in Section II-A. Denote $f^l(A)$ by $A^{(l)}$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1$. Since f^l is a homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(A^{(l)}) &= 2^l j(A), & j(-A^{(l)}) &= 2^l j(-A), \\ j(B^{(l)}) &= 2^{\delta+l} j(A), & j(-B^{(l)}) &= 2^{\delta+l} j(-A), \\ j(-A^{(l)} - B^{(l)}) &= 2^{2\delta+l} j(A), \\ & \text{and } j(A^{(l)} + B^{(l)}) &= 2^{2\delta+l} j(-A). \end{aligned}$$

For such A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \{2^l j(A) : 0 \leq l \leq s-1\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=0,1,2} \{2^{l+i\delta} j(A) : 0 \leq l \leq \delta-1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and (see also Lemma 5)

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{A} &= \{2^l j(-A) : 0 \leq l \leq s-1\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=0,1,2} \{2^{l+i\delta} j(-A) : 0 \leq l \leq \delta-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see now that

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{0 \leq l \leq \delta-1} \{j(A^{(l)}), j(B^{(l)}), j(-A^{(l)} - B^{(l)})\},$$

and

$$-\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{0 \leq l \leq \delta-1} \{j(-A^{(l)}), j(-B^{(l)}), j(A^{(l)} + B^{(l)})\}.$$

Therefore, the pairs $(A^{(l)}, B^{(l)})$, where $0 \leq l \leq \delta-1$, satisfy the conclusion of (ii).

Since $\{1, \dots, 2^\Delta - 2\}$ is divided into the sets considered in (i) and (ii), the proof of (*) is completed. Then, for such $(2^\Delta - 2)/6$ pairs (A, B) , the pairs (xA, xB) can do the same things, where $x \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore,

$$\{\pm xA_i, \pm xB_i, \pm x(A_i + B_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq (2^\Delta - 2)/6, x \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}}$$

coincides with $\mathcal{R} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cup 2\mathcal{T} \cup 3\mathcal{T})$. It remains to observe that the following facts which guarantee the required symmetries of the constructed partition. At first, $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{0\}$ is invariant under the multiplication by ξ . At second, if $s > 1$ is not divisible by 3, then all nonempty $\text{Cos}(s)$ fall into Case (i), and by the construction there the resulting partition is invariant under the ring automorphisms. At last, if s is divisible by 3, then every $\pm \mathcal{A}$ from $\text{Cos}(s)$ fall into Case (ii), which is also invariant under the ring automorphisms. \square

IV. QUASI-CYCLIC 1-PERFECT CODES

By Lemma 4, the partition constructed in the previous section corresponds to a 1-perfect code in $D((2^\Delta - 1)\frac{2^\Delta - 2}{6}, (2^\Delta - 1))$. The set of columns of the check matrix is invariant under multiplication by ξ , and this multiplication induces a permutation of the coordinates consisting of cycles of order $(2^\Delta - 1)$.

Corollary 1. *Let Δ be odd. Let ξ be a root of any basic primitive irreducible polynomial with degree Δ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Let H be the $\Delta \times \frac{2^{2\Delta} - 1}{3}$ matrix over \mathbb{Z}_4 consisting of $(2^\Delta - 1)\frac{(2^\Delta - 2)}{6}$ pairs of columns (a, b) , where $\{\pm a, \pm b, \pm(a+b)\}$ are sextuples from Theorem 3, in the left part and $2^\Delta - 1$ columns ξ^i , $0 \leq i \leq 2^\Delta - 2$, in the right part. The following holds:*

- the code C defined by the check matrix H is a 1-perfect code in $D((2^\Delta - 1)\frac{2^\Delta - 2}{6}, (2^\Delta - 1))$;
- the coordinate permutation that corresponds to multiply each column by ξ is an automorphism of the code;
- if Δ is not divisible by 3, then the coordinate permutation that corresponds to a ring automorphism of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$.

The proof of the following proposition is the same as that in [13, Sect. 4.4]. We omit the complete proof, only mentioning the idea. The invariant that distinguishes the codes is the number of codewords of weight 3 with all three nonzero positions in the right part of the codeword. Every additive 1-perfect code in $D((2^\Delta - 1)\frac{2^\Delta - 2}{6}, 0 + (2^\Delta - 1))$ has $(2^\Delta - 1)(2^\Delta - 2)/6$

such codewords of order 2. The quasicyclic codes have no such codewords of order 4, while the codes constructed recursively in [13, Sect. 4.4] have.

Proposition 2. *Each of the codes in $D((2^\Delta - 1)\frac{2^\Delta - 2}{6}, 0 + (2^\Delta - 1))$ constructed above is not equivalent to any code constructed in [13, Sect. 3.3], with the corresponding parameters.*

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we have shown how to construct additive 1-perfect codes in a Doob graph that are invariant under a quasicyclic permutation of coordinates of order $2^\Delta - 1$. If Δ is not divisible by 3, then the set of columns of the check matrix in Corollary 1 is also invariant under the ring automorphism of order Δ . This guarantees that the code is even more symmetric than is declared in Corollary 1. For example, since 5 and $2^5 - 1$ are coprime, the code in $D(155, 31)$ has a permutation automorphism of order $155 = 5 \cdot (2^5 - 1)$, which cyclically permutes each of the two groups of coordinates. Such a code can be called *cyclic*, in the sense of [1]. The problem of existence of cyclic 1-perfect codes in $D((2^\Delta - 1)\frac{2^\Delta - 2}{6}, (2^\Delta - 1))$ for $\Delta \geq 7$ remains open.

Another interesting direction is to further study partitions of modules over \mathbb{Z}_4 like the partitions we constructed in Section III, finding their place in discrete geometry, generalizing to other rings, and seeking their applications in coding theory. Note that, as shown in [4], additive 1-perfect codes over non-prime finite fields are related to spreads, and this relation is similar as the relation between perfect codes in Doob graph and partitions of $\text{GR}(4^\Delta)$ considered in the current paper. Spreads are widely studied objects [6], and we believe that different generalizations to finite rings, including the one considered in the current paper, deserve attention.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Borges, C. Fernández-Córdoba, “There is exactly one Z_2Z_4 -cyclic 1-perfect code”, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 557–566, 2017.
- [2] M. Braun, T. Etzion, P. R. J. Östergård, A. Vardy, A. Wassermann, “Existence of q -analogs of Steiner systems”, *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 4, no. e7, pp. 1–14, 2016.
- [3] P. Delsarte, *An Algebraic Approach to Association Schemes of Coding Theory*, volume 10 of *Philips Res. Rep., Supplement*. N.V. Philips’ Gloeilampenfabrieken, Eindhoven, 1973.
- [4] M. Herzog, J. Schönheim, “Linear and nonlinear single-error-correcting perfect mixed codes”, *Inf. Control*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 364–368, 1971.
- [5] K. Huber, Codes over Eisenstein–Jacobi integers. In *Finite Fields: Theory, Applications, and Algorithms (Las Vegas, NV, 1993)*, vol. 168 of *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 165–179, Providence, RI, 1994. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [6] N. L. Johnson, *Combinatorics of Spreads and Parallelisms*, volume 295 of *Pure Appl. Math. (Boca Raton)*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2010.
- [7] J. H. Koolen, A. Munemasa, “Tight 2-designs and perfect 1-codes in Doob graphs”, *J. Stat. Plann. Inference*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 505–513, 2000.
- [8] D. S. Krotov, “Perfect codes in Doob graphs,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 91–102, 2016.
- [9] D. S. Krotov, “On dual codes in the Doob schemes,” In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, Paris, France, July 7–12, 2019*, pp. 1917–1921. IEEE, 2019.
- [10] D. S. Krotov, “The existence of perfect codes in Doob graphs,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1423–1427, 2020.
- [11] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, volume 20 of *Encycl. Math. Appl.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [12] C. Martínez, E. Stafford, R. Bevide, E. M. Gabidulin, “Modeling hexagonal constellations with Eisenstein–Jacobi graphs”, *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2008. Translated from *Probl. Peredachi Inf.* vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 3–14, 2008.
- [13] M. Shi, D. Huang, D. S. Krotov, “Additive perfect codes in Doob graphs,” *Des. Codes Cryptography*, vol. 87, no. 8, pp. 1857–1869, 2019.
- [14] Z. X. Wan. *Quaternary Codes*, vol. 8 of *Series on Applied Mathematics*. World Scientific, 1997.