Minimal model for the W-boson mass, $(g-2)_{\mu}$, $h \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ and quark-mixing-matrix unitarity

Andreas Crivellin^{*}

Physik-Institut, Universitat Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland and Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

Matthew Kirk[†]

Departament de Física Quàntica i Astrofísica (FQA), Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Spain

Anil Thapa[‡]

Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904-4714, USA

The $SU(2)_L$ triplet scalar with hypercharge Y = 0 predicts a positive definite shift in the W mass, w.r.t. the Standard Model prediction, if it acquires a vacuum expectation value. As this new field cannot couple directly to SM fermions (on its own), it has no significant impact on other low-energy precision observables and is weakly constrained by collider searches. In fact, the multilepton anomalies at the LHC even point towards new scalars that decay dominantly to W bosons, as the neutral component of the triplet naturally does. In this article, we show that with a minimal extension of the scalar triplet model by a heavy vector-like lepton, being either I) an $SU(2)_L$ doublet with Y = -1/2 or II) an $SU(2)_L$ triplet with Y = -1, couplings of the triplet to Standard Model leptons are possible. This minimal extension can then provide, in addition to the desired positive shift in the W mass, a chirally enhanced contribution to $(g - 2)_{\mu}$. In addition version I) and II) can improve on $Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ and alleviate the tension in first-row CKM unitarity (known as the Cabibbo angle anomaly), respectively. Finally, both options, in general, predict sizable changes of $h \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, i.e., much larger than most other $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ explanations where only O(%) effects are expected, making this channel a smoking gun signature of our model.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is the theory describing the fundamental constituents and interactions of matter according to our current state of knowledge. However, it is clear that it cannot be the ultimate description of nature. For instance, it cannot account for the existence of Dark Matter established at cosmological scales, nor for the non-vanishing neutrino masses required by neutrino oscillations. Unfortunately, these observations can be addressed in many different ways and within a very wide range for the new physics scale. Therefore, in the absence of confirmed direct signals for new particles, more information on possible extensions of the SM is thus necessary to make progress towards a theory superseding the SM that can be tested at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) or next-generation experiments. In this context, we can use deviations from the SM predictions in low-energy observables, known as anomalies, as a guide for identifying promising extensions of the SM, within which one can then calculate predictions for future verification (or falsification) of the model. Prominent candidates among these indirect hints for physics beyond the SM (see e.g. Ref [1] for a recent

review) are the anomaly in the W boson mass [2], the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon $((g-2)_{\mu})$ [3] as well as the deficit in first-row CKM unitarity, known as the Cabibbo angle anomaly (CAA) [4]. While in the first observable, the CDF II result is in some tension with LHC measurements [5, 6], the significance of the deviation in $(g-2)_{\mu}$ depends on the SM prediction, where inconsistencies between the data-driven method [7] and lattice results [8] exist. However, it is still very interesting and instructive to see which models can give sizable effects in these observables. While several combined NP explanations of $(g-2)_{\mu}$ and the W mass have been proposed in the literature [9–37], a simple combined explanation of all three anomalies is still missing (to the best of our knowledge).

In this article, we aim at constructing a minimal model that can naturally provide sizable effects in both m_W and $(g-2)_{\mu}$ (and possibly explain the CAA) and investigate its phenomenological consequences. For this, our starting point is the Δ SM [38–45], where an $SU(2)_L$ triplet scalar with hypercharge 0 (Δ) is added to the SM particle content. Its vacuum expectation value (VEV) violates custodial symmetry at the tree-level via a positive contribution to the W boson mass, as suggested by the measurement of the CDF II measurement [46–55]. Since the neutral component of the triplet scalar can dominantly decay to pairs of W bosons, while the decay to Z pairs is suppressed by mixing with the SM Higgs, this model is well motivated by the LHC multi-lepton anomalies [56–60], including the hint for an enhanced W pair

^{*} andreas.crivellin@cern.ch

[†] mjkirk@icc.ub.edu

[‡] wtd8kz@virginia.edu



FIG. 1. Leading one-loop effect contribution to $(g-2)_{\mu}$ from the VLL doublet D (triplet T). Note that while the upper diagram involves μ , the lower diagram is proportional to the VEV of the SM doublet and therefore in general dominant.

production at the electroweak (EW) scale [61].

Next, we aim at extending the Δ SM to obtain a sizable effect in g-2 of the muon. In fact, there are only two minimal options that can, as we will show, give rise to chirally enhanced effects in $(g-2)_{\mu}$ (see e.g. Refs. [62–69] for generic models with chiral enhancement). We can supplement the Δ SM by a vector-like lepton

I)
$$D \sim (1, 2, -1/2)$$
 or II) $T \sim (1, 3, -1)$,

where the numbers in the bracket denote their representation under the SM gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. The corresponding Feynman diagrams giving the dominant contribution to g-2 are shown in Fig. 1.¹

Interestingly, both model versions lead to unavoidable tree-level effects in the dim-6 operator $(H^{\dagger}H)(\bar{\ell}_L H e_R)$ [72] contributing to the muon mass and $h \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ after electroweak (EW) symmetry breaking. In fact, while most other $(g-2)_{\mu}$ explanations only predict effects of the order of a few percent [70, 73, 74], we will see that our model, in general, predicts much larger effects.

II. MODEL

Our starting point is to add a real scalar $SU(2)_L$ triplet with Y = 0 (Δ) to the SM particle content, called the Δ SM. The most general renormalizable scalar potential involving SM Higgs H and real triplet Δ reads

$$V = -\mu_H^2 H^{\dagger} H + \mu_{\Delta}^2 \text{Tr}[\Delta^2] + \lambda_1 (H^{\dagger} H)^2 + \lambda_2 \text{Tr}[\Delta^4] + \lambda_3 (H^{\dagger} H) \text{Tr}[\Delta^2] + \mu H^{\dagger} \Delta H , \qquad (1)$$

where the scalar fields are defined as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^0 & \sqrt{2}\Delta^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\Delta^- & -\Delta^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

in terms of electric charge eigenstates. The scalar potential has a global $O(4)_H \times O(3)_\Delta$ symmetry in the limit $\mu \to 0$. Therefore, μ softly breaks this symmetry and is naturally small. We denote the VEVs as $\langle H^0 \rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$ and $\langle \Delta^0 \rangle = v_\Delta$, with $v^2 + 4v_\Delta^2 \approx (246 \text{GeV})^2$, and the minimization conditions are

$$-\mu_H^2 + \lambda_1 v^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu v_\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 v_\Delta^2 = 0, \qquad (3)$$

$$\mu_{\Delta}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{3}v^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu}{v_{\Delta}}v^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2}v_{\Delta}^{2} = 0, \qquad (4)$$

which we use to eliminate μ_H^2 and μ_Δ^2 . The scalar mass matrices, in the basis (H^+, Δ^+) and (Re H^0 , Re Δ^0), are

$$M_{+}^{2} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} v_{\Delta} & \frac{v}{2} \\ \frac{v}{2} & \frac{v^{2}}{4v_{\Delta}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5)$$

$$M_0^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_1 v^2 & \frac{-\mu}{2}v + \lambda_3 v v_\Delta \\ \frac{-\mu}{2}v + \lambda_3 v v_\Delta & \frac{\mu v^2}{4v_\Delta} + \lambda_2 v_\Delta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

with mass eigensates

$$G^{+} = \frac{-vH^{+} + 2v_{\Delta}\Delta^{+}}{\sqrt{v^{2} + 4v_{\Delta}^{2}}}, \quad \delta^{+} = \frac{2v_{\Delta}H^{+} + v\Delta^{+}}{\sqrt{v^{2} + 4v_{\Delta}^{2}}}, \quad (7)$$

$$h = \cos \alpha \operatorname{Re}(H^0) + \sin \alpha \operatorname{Re}(\Delta^0), \qquad (8)$$

$$\delta^0 = -\sin\alpha \operatorname{Re}(H^0) + \cos\alpha \operatorname{Re}(\Delta^0), \qquad (9)$$

where

$$\sin 2\alpha = \frac{\mu v - 2\lambda_3 v v_\Delta}{m_{\delta^0}^2 - m_h^2} \,. \tag{10}$$

Note that the massless eigenstate is the Goldstone boson (G^{\pm}) , eaten up by the W^{\pm} gauge boson, while the other combination (δ^{\pm}) is a physical charged Higgs field. The field h is to be identified as the SM-like Higgs boson of mass 125 GeV and in the limit of a small mixing angle α , the splitting between the charged and neutral component of the triplet field is $m_{\delta^+}^2 - m_{\delta^0}^2 \simeq v_{\Delta}(\mu - \lambda_2 v_{\Delta})$, i.e., both components are nearly degenerate. In the limit $v_{\Delta} \ll v$ we have $v_{\Delta} = \mu v^2/(4m_{\delta^0}^2)$.

As stated in the introduction, the triplet model can be minimally extended by two different vector-like leptons (VLLs) in order to allow for couplings to SM leptons:

I) An $SU(2)_L$ doublet with Y = -1/2 (D) with Yukawa interactions given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{I}} \supset Y_{L}^{\mathbf{I}} \bar{D}_{R} \ell_{L} \Delta + Y_{R}^{\mathbf{I}} \bar{D}_{L} e_{R} H + Y^{\mathbf{I}} \bar{D}_{L} \Delta D_{R} + \text{h.c.}$$
(11)

¹ Note that contrary to Ref. [70], or the MSSM with R-parity conservation (see Ref. [71] for a review), we do not impose a (effective) Z_2 symmetry. This extension allows for a more minimal setup in which only two new fields are needed (instead of 3 in Ref. [70]).

II) An $SU(2)_L$ triplet with Y = -1 (T) with Yukawa interactions given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{II}} \supset Y_{R}^{\mathbf{II}} \mathrm{Tr}[\bar{T}_{L}\Delta] e_{R} + Y_{L}^{\mathbf{II}} H^{\dagger} \bar{T}_{R} \ell_{L} + Y^{\mathbf{II}} \mathrm{Tr}[\bar{T}_{L}\Delta T_{R}] + \mathrm{h.c.}$$
(12)

where ℓ (e) is the SM lepton doublet (singlet) and the VLL T is defined as

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T^{-} & \sqrt{2}T^{0} \\ \sqrt{2}T^{--} & -T^{-} \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (13)

Integrating out the new VLL at the tree-level leads to the following effective interactions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{|Y_R^{\text{I}}|^2}{2m_D^2} (H^{\dagger} i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D_{\mu}} H) (\bar{e}_R \gamma^{\mu} e_R) \\ &- \frac{3|Y_L^{\text{II}}|^2}{16m_T^2} (H^{\dagger} i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D_{\mu}} H) (\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \ell_L) \\ &+ \frac{|Y_L^{\text{II}}|^2}{16m_T^2} (H^{\dagger} i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D_{\mu}} H) (\bar{\ell}_L \sigma^a \gamma^{\mu} \ell_L) \\ &- \left(\frac{(Y_L^{\text{I}})^* Y_R^{\text{I}}}{m_D} + \frac{(Y_L^{\text{II}})^* Y_R^{\text{II}}}{2m_T} \right) \bar{\ell}_L \Delta H e_R \,, \end{aligned}$$
(14)

which, after EW breaking modify gauge bosons couplings to leptons, affect Higgs decay to lepton pairs $h \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ and induce couplings of the triplet scalar Δ to leptons.

III. PHENOMENOLOGY

The CDF II collaboration updated their previous measurement of the W boson mass, finding M_W = 80.4335(94) GeV, which leads to the new Tevatron average 80.4270(89) GeV when combined with the D0 [2] result. However, the recent ATLAS update [6] (superseding their 2017 result [75]) of $M_W = 80.360(16) \,\text{GeV}$, as well the LHCb [5], find significantly smaller values. Together with LEP [76], a naive average gives $M_W =$ 80.406(7) GeV. Since the consistency of the data is poor $(\chi^2/dof = 4.3)$, we inflate the error to get a conservative estimate² of $M_W^{\text{comb}} = 80.406(15) \text{ GeV}$. Comparing this to the SM prediction of $M_W^{\text{SM}} = 80.355(5) \text{ GeV}$ [78–85], with $m_t = 172.5(7) \text{ GeV}$ [85], we see a discrepancy of 51 MeV, with a significance of slightly more than 3σ . If instead we disregard the CDF II result, the data agree well amongst themselves, and we find an average of $M_W^{\text{comb (w/o CDF II)}} = 80.372(10) \text{ GeV}$, which would correspond to a discrepancy of 17 MeV with a significance of below 2σ . In the Δ SM we have

$$m_W^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} (v^2 + 4v_\Delta^2), \qquad m_Z^2 = \frac{g^2}{4\cos\theta_W^2} v^2, \qquad (15)$$

which shows that the VEV of the triplet can easily alter the W mass in the desired direction³.

Let us start with the tree-level effects induced by the couplings Y_L^{II} and Y_R^{I} , which give rise to modifications of EW gauge bosons couplings to leptons. Here, both D and T modify $Z\mu\mu$ couplings, which are constrained from LEP measurements [87], while T, in addition, modifies the leptonic W vertex as $\bar{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}P_L\nu_{\mu}W_{\alpha}^- \rightarrow [1+v^2|Y_L^{\rm II}|^2/(16m_T^2)]\bar{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}P_L\nu_{\mu}W_{\alpha}^-$. Therefore, in case II), the extraction of CKM elements is affected by the determination of the Fermi constant G_F from the muon lifetime [88] (dominantly V_{ud} from beta decays [88]). Furthermore, lepton flavour universality (LFU) measurements in the charged current (see Ref. [89] for an overview) receive new physics contributions. In fact, the CAA, i.e. the deficit in the first row unitarity relation $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$ [90–94], with a significance at the 3σ level [95–98], can be resolved by the VLL triplet [92, 99, 100]. Performing a combined fit using smelli v2.4.0 $[101, 102]^4$ (which is built on flavio v2.5.4 [104, 105] for the observable calculations and wilson [106] for the renormalization group evolution), we show the region in parameter space favoured by the global EW fit, tests of LFU, the W mass and CKM unitarity in Fig. 2. The best-fit points are

$$Y_R^1 v/m_D = \pm 0.05, \quad v_\Delta = 4.5 \,\text{GeV}\,,$$
 (16)

$$Y_L^{11} v/m_T = \pm 0.09, \quad v_\Delta = 4.8 \,\text{GeV}\,.$$
 (17)

with pulls relative to the SM of 3.1σ and 3.6σ , respectively (taking into account two degrees of freedom). Note that the preference for a non-zero coupling $Y_R^{\rm I}$ ($Y_L^{\rm II}$) is mainly due to ${\rm Br}(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ (the CKM unitarity deficit).

For g-2 of the muon, the experimental value [3, 107] deviates from the SM prediction [108–127], resulting in a 4.2σ tension

$$\Delta a_{\mu}[e^{+}e^{-}]^{\rm WP} = a_{\mu}^{\rm exp} - a_{\mu}^{\rm SM}[e^{+}e^{-}] = 251(59) \times 10^{-11},$$
(18)

according to the White Paper [7]. However, the significance crucially depends on the value used for hadronic vacuum polarization (HPV). While e^+e^- data underlies Eq. (18), this dispersive approach has been challenged

² Note that these averages agree well with the more sophisticated combinations done by HEPfit [77] prior to the ATLAS update.

³ The triplet scalar Δ also contributes to the oblique parameters at one loop level, parameterized by the singly charged scalar m_{δ}^+ , the mass difference $\Delta m = m_{\delta}^+ - m_{\delta}^0$, and VEV v_{Δ} . In the limit $v_{\Delta} << v$, $\Delta m \sim 0$ (required by the perturbative unitarity) and for $m_{\delta}^+ > 100$ GeV, the one loop contribution is subdominant [48, 86]. Similarly, the contribution to S and T parameters from the VLLs is suppressed due to VLL mixing with leptons and a loop factor, compared to tree-level effect, which is seen in the very mild to invisible dependence of the M_W regions in Fig. 2 on the VLL couplings.

⁴ For the complete list of observables included in our global fit, we refer the interested reader to Ref. [103], to which we added the tests of LFU Br($\tau \rightarrow e\nu\nu$), Br($\tau \rightarrow \mu\nu\nu$), Br($\pi^+ \rightarrow e\nu$), and Br($K^+ \rightarrow e\nu$)/Br($K^+ \rightarrow \mu\nu$).



FIG. 2. Global fit to EW precision data, the W mass, CKM unitarity and tests of LFU, for the case of the VLL doublet (left) and the triplet (right). The preference for a non-zero coupling $Y_R^{\rm I}$ ($Y_L^{\rm II}$) is mainly due to ${\rm Br}(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ (the CKM unitarity deficit). The solid lines correspond to the conservative average $M_W^{\rm comb}$ while the dashed lines use the W mass average without CDF-II.

by lattice QCD [8, 128–133], leading to a smaller tension with experiment. The reason for this mismatch is not understood, and also the recent measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ by CMD-3 [134] differs from previous measurements [135–140] at a combined level of 5σ . Therefore, in this article, we consider ourselves agnostic to the exact value and do not aim for any specific range, merely noting two possible options in the figure to guide the reader.

Neglecting scalar mixing, which is naturally small given the preferred range of v_{Δ} , the leading (chirally enhanced) 1-loop contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment is given by

$$\Delta a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{I}} = \frac{m_{\mu} v (Y_{L}^{\mathrm{I}})^{*} Y_{R}^{\mathrm{I}}}{64\sqrt{2}\pi^{2} m_{D}^{2} (r-1)^{3}} \\ \times \left(\frac{4r v_{\Delta} m_{D}}{v^{2}} \left[7 + r(r-8) + (r+2)\log r^{2}\right] + Y^{\mathrm{I}} \left[1 + r(4-5r) + r(r+2)\log r^{2}\right]\right), \quad (19)$$

$$\Delta a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{II}} = \frac{m_{\mu} v(Y_L^{\mathrm{II}})^* Y_R^{\mathrm{II}}}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^2 m_T^2 (r-1)^3} \\ \times \left(\frac{4r v_{\Delta} m_T}{v^2} \left[-1 + r(8-7r) + (5r-2)\log r^2\right] \\ - 2Y^{\mathrm{II}} (r-1) \left[1 - r + r\log r\right]\right), \qquad (20)$$

for the two cases,⁵ where $r = m_{\delta^0}^2/m_{D,T}^2$ for the doublet and triplet case, respectively. The dominant modification of $h \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ arises already at tree-level resulting in

$$\frac{\mathrm{Br}(h \to \mu\mu)}{\mathrm{Br}(h \to \mu\mu)^{\mathrm{SM}}} = \left| 1 + \frac{vv_{\Delta}Y_LY_R}{N\sqrt{2}m_{\mu}m_{\psi}} \right|^2, \qquad (21)$$

where $m_{\psi} = m_D$ or m_T and N = 1 or 2 for the doublet or triplet VLL cases, respectively. The average of the ATLAS [142] and CMS [143] measurements is

$$\frac{\operatorname{Br}(h \to \mu\mu)}{\operatorname{Br}(h \to \mu\mu)^{\mathrm{SM}}} = 1.21^{+0.36}_{-0.34}, \qquad (22)$$

while a precision of around 10% is expected at the HL-LHC with an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} [144].

Concerning direct LHC bounds, the lower limits on the masses of VLLs which are triplets or doublets of $SU(2)_L$ are around 700 GeV for third generation VLLs [145], meaning that we expect somehow stronger limits for second generation VLLs and to be conservative we will set the mass to 2 TeV. Furthermore, since the VLLs induce couplings of the triplet to muons and muon neutrinos, to a good approximation, the bounds on slepton searches in the limit of a vanishing neutralino mass apply for the mass of the scalar triplet, which are as well around $700 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ [146]. Taking into account these constraints, in Fig. 3 we predict $\operatorname{Br}(h \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ as a function of $(g-2)_{\mu}$ and M_W . Note that Δa_{μ} can be as large as 250×10^{-11} while at the same time providing a sizable effect in M_W . It is important to note that the numerical value of the loop function entering $(g-2)_{\mu}$ is larger in model II) than in model I). Therefore, in model II) one can obtain a sizable effect with smaller couplings $Y_{L,R}$ which leads to the small effects in $h \to \mu\mu$ compared to model I), as well as to bounds from the perturbativity of $Y_L^{\rm I}$ (if $Y_R^{\rm I}$ is fixed to the best-fit value in Eq. (16).

⁵ We confirmed these results using MatchMakerEFT [141].



FIG. 3. Prediction for $h \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ as a function of Δa_μ and ΔM_W for model I) (left) and model II) (right). In the left figure, " $Y_L^{\rm I}$ perturbativity" means $Y_L^{\rm I} > 2$, see main text for further details.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we proposed (two versions of) a minimal model obtained by extending the SM with a scalar triplet with hypercharge 0 and a vector-like lepton that is I) an $SU(2)_L$ doublet with Y = -1/2 or II) $SU(2)_L$ triplet with Y = -1. This model can:

- Provide naturally a positive definite shift in the W mass of the size suggested by the current tension.
- Give a sizable effect in g 2 of the muon.
- Improve on $Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ in case I) or explain the CAA in case II).

For both model versions, effects in $h \to \mu^+\mu^-$ of the order of 10% (while most other models on the market only generate O(%) effects), as well as $\mu^+\mu^-$ plus missing energy signatures at the LHC, are predicted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.C. thanks Martin Hoferichter for useful discussions concerning the status of the SM prediction for $(g-2)_{\mu}$. Financial support from the SNSF (PP00P21_76884) is gratefully acknowledged. M.K. acknowledges support from a Maria Zambrano fellowship, and from the State Agency for Research of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the "Unit of Excellence María de Maeztu 2020-2023" award to the Institute of Cosmos Sciences (CEX2019-000918-M) and from PID2019-105614GB-C21 and 2017-SGR-929 grants. The work of A.T. is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant PHY-2210428. A.T. acknowledges the Department of Physics at Washington University in St. Louis for local hospitality during the completion of this work.

- A. Crivellin, "Anomalies in Particle Physics," in 8th Symposium on Prospects in the Physics of Discrete Symmetries. 4, 2023. [2304.01694].
- [2] CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*,
 "High-precision measurement of the W boson mass with the CDF II detector," *Science* **376** no. 6589, (2022) 170–176.
- [3] Muon g-2 Collaboration, B. Abi et al., "Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.46 ppm," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** no. 14, (2021) 141801, [2104.03281].
- [4] A. Crivellin, "Explaining the Cabibbo Angle

Anomaly," 7, 2022. [2207.02507].

- [5] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "Measurement of the W boson mass," *JHEP* 01 (2022) 036, [2109.01113].
- [6] **ATLAS** Collaboration, "Improved W boson Mass Measurement using 7 TeV Proton-Proton Collisions with the ATLAS Detector,".
- [7] T. Aoyama *et al.*, "The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model," *Phys. Rept.* 887 (2020) 1–166, [2006.04822].
- [8] S. Borsanyi *et al.*, "Leading hadronic contribution to the muon magnetic moment from lattice QCD,"

Nature **593** no. 7857, (2021) 51–55, [2002.12347].

- [9] A. D'Alise *et al.*, "Standard model anomalies: lepton flavour non-universality, g 2 and W-mass," *JHEP* **08** (2022) 125, [2204.03686].
- [10] E. Bagnaschi, M. Chakraborti, S. Heinemeyer, I. Saha, and G. Weiglein, "Interdependence of the new "MUON G-2" result and the W-boson mass," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 82 no. 5, (2022) 474, [2203.15710].
- [11] K. S. Babu, S. Jana, and V. P. K., "Correlating W-Boson Mass Shift with Muon g - 2 in the Two Higgs Doublet Model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** no. 12, (2022) 121803, [2204.05303].
- [12] K. Cheung, W.-Y. Keung, and P.-Y. Tseng, "Isodoublet vector leptoquark solution to the muon g-2, $R(K, K^*)$, $R(D, D^*)$, and W-mass anomalies," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 1, (2022) 015029, [2204.05942].
- [13] J. Kawamura, S. Okawa, and Y. Omura, "W boson mass and muon g - 2 in a lepton portal dark matter model," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 1, (2022) 015005, [2204.07022].
- [14] K. I. Nagao, T. Nomura, and H. Okada, "A model explaining the new CDF II W boson mass linking to muon g-2 and dark matter," [2204.07411].
- [15] G. Arcadi and A. Djouadi, "2HD plus light pseudoscalar model for a combined explanation of the possible excesses in the CDF M_W measurement and $(g-2)_{\mu}$ with dark matter," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 9, (2022) 095008, [2204.08406].
- [16] T. A. Chowdhury, J. Heeck, A. Thapa, and S. Saad, "W boson mass shift and muon magnetic moment in the Zee model," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 3, (2022) 035004, [2204.08390].
- [17] A. Bhaskar, A. A. Madathil, T. Mandal, and S. Mitra, "Combined explanation of W-mass, muon g - 2, $R(K^{(*)})$ and $R(D^{(*)})$ anomalies in a singlet-triplet scalar leptoquark model," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 11, (2022) 115009, [2204.09031].
- [18] S. Baek, "Implications of CDF W-mass and $(g-2)_{\mu}$ on $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$ model," [2204.09585].
- [19] J. Kim, S. Lee, P. Sanyal, and J. Song, "CDF W-boson mass and muon g - 2 in a type-X two-Higgs-doublet model with a Higgs-phobic light pseudoscalar," *Phys. Rev. D* 106 no. 3, (2022) 035002, [2205.01701].
- [20] J. Kim, "Compatibility of muon g 2, W mass anomaly in type-X 2HDM," *Phys. Lett. B* 832 (2022) 137220, [2205.01437].
- [21] R. Dcruz and A. Thapa, "W boson mass shift, dark matter, and $(g-2)_{\ell}$ in a scotogenic-Zee model," *Phys. Rev. D* **107** no. 1, (2023) 015002, [2205.02217].
- [22] T. A. Chowdhury and S. Saad, "Leptoquark-vectorlike quark model for the CDF m_W , $(g-2)_{\mu}$, $R^{(K(*)})$ anomalies, and neutrino masses," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 5, (2022) 055017, [2205.03917].
- [23] N. Chakrabarty, "The muon g 2 and W-mass anomalies explained and the electroweak vacuum stabilised by extending the minimal Type-II seesaw," [2206.11771].
- [24] O. Atkinson, M. Black, C. Englert, A. Lenz, and A. Rusov, "MUonE, muon g - 2 and electroweak precision constraints within 2HDMs," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 11, (2022) 115031, [2207.02789].
- [25] S. Arora, M. Kashav, S. Verma, and B. C. Chauhan, "Muon (g-2) and the W-boson mass anomaly in a

model based on Z4 symmetry with a vector-like fermion," *PTEP* **2022** no. 11, (2022) 113B06, [2207.08580].

- [26] W.-S. Hou, G. Kumar, and S. Teunissen, "Enhanced $B_q \to \ell \ell'$ and $B \to (K, \pi) \ell \ell'$ in light of $(g 2)_{\mu}$," [2209.02086].
- [27] J. M. Yang and Y. Zhang, "Low energy SUSY confronted with new measurements of W-boson mass and muon g 2," *Sci. Bull.* **67** no. 14, (2022) 1430–1436, [2204.04202].
- [28] F. Domingo, U. Ellwanger, and C. Hugonie, " M_W , dark matter and a_{μ} in the NMSSM," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 82 no. 11, (2022) 1074, [2209.03863].
- [29] G. Cacciapaglia, A. Cagnotta, R. Calabrese, F. Carnevali, A. De Iorio, A. O. M. Iorio, S. Morisi, and F. Sannino, "Radiative flavor template at the LHC: g - 2 and the W mass," *Phys. Rev. D* 107 no. 5, (2023) 055033, [2210.07131].
- [30] A. de Giorgi, L. Merlo, and S. Pokorski, "The Low-Scale Seesaw Solution to the M_W and $(g-2)_{\mu}$ Anomalies," [2211.03797].
- [31] R. Primulando, J. Julio, and P. Uttayarat, "Minimal Zee model for lepton g 2 and W-mass shifts," *Phys. Rev. D* **107** no. 5, (2023) 055034, [2211.16021].
- [32] R. N. C. Pfeifer, "Calculations beyond the Standard Model: W mass in CDF II, and Muon g 2," [2212.01255].
- [33] C.-H. Chen, C.-W. Chiang, and C.-W. Su, "Top-quark FCNC decays, LFVs, lepton g 2, and W mass anomaly with inert charged Higgses," [2301.07070].
- [34] P. Mishra, M. K. Behera, and R. Mohanta, "Neutrino phenomenology, W mass anomaly & muon (g-2) in minimal type-III seesaw using T' modular symmetry," [2302.00494].
- [35] M. Ahmadvand and F. Hajkarim, "Lepton g 2 and W-boson mass anomalies in the DFSZ axion model," [2302.09610].
- [36] J. Heeck and A. Thapa, "Zee-model predictions for lepton flavor violation," *Phys. Lett. B* 841 (2023) 137910, [2303.13383].
- [37] X.-F. Han, F. Wang, L. Wang, J. M. Yang, and Y. Zhang, "Joint explanation of W-mass and muon g-2 in the 2HDM*," *Chin. Phys. C* 46 no. 10, (2022) 103105, [2204.06505].
- [38] D. A. Ross and M. J. G. Veltman, "Neutral Currents in Neutrino Experiments," *Nucl. Phys. B* 95 (1975) 135–147.
- [39] J. F. Gunion, R. Vega, and J. Wudka, "Higgs triplets in the standard model," *Phys. Rev. D* 42 (1990) 1673–1691.
- [40] P. H. Chankowski, S. Pokorski, and J. Wagner, "(Non)decoupling of the Higgs triplet effects," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 50 (2007) 919–933, [hep-ph/0605302].
- [41] T. Blank and W. Hollik, "Precision observables in SU(2) × U(1) models with an additional Higgs triplet," Nucl. Phys. B 514 (1998) 113–134, [hep-ph/9703392].
- [42] J. R. Forshaw, A. Sabio Vera, and B. E. White, "Mass bounds in a model with a triplet Higgs," *JHEP* 06 (2003) 059, [hep-ph/0302256].
- [43] M.-C. Chen, S. Dawson, and T. Krupovnickas, "Higgs triplets and limits from precision measurements," *Phys. Rev. D* 74 (2006) 035001, [hep-ph/0604102].
- [44] R. S. Chivukula, N. D. Christensen, and E. H. Simmons, "Low-energy effective theory, unitarity, and

non-decoupling behavior in a model with heavy Higgs-triplet fields," *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 035001, [0712.0546].

- [45] P. Bandyopadhyay and A. Costantini, "Obscure Higgs boson at Colliders," *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 1, (2021) 015025, [2010.02597].
- [46] M. Chabab, M. C. Peyranère, and L. Rahili, "Probing the Higgs sector of Y = 0 Higgs Triplet Model at LHC," *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** no. 10, (2018) 873, [1805.00286].
- [47] P. Fileviez Perez, H. H. Patel, and A. D. Plascencia,
 "On the W mass and new Higgs bosons," *Phys. Lett.* B 833 (2022) 137371, [2204.07144].
- [48] Y. Cheng, X.-G. He, F. Huang, J. Sun, and Z.-P. Xing, "Electroweak precision tests for triplet scalars," [2208.06760].
- [49] T.-K. Chen, C.-W. Chiang, and K. Yagyu, "Explanation of the WS mass shift at CDF II in the extended Georgi-Machacek model," *Phys. Rev. D* 106 no. 5, (2022) 055035, [2204.12898].
- [50] T. G. Rizzo, "Kinetic mixing, dark Higgs triplets, and M_W," Phys. Rev. D 106 no. 3, (2022) 035024, [2206.09814].
- [51] W. Chao, M. Jin, H.-J. Li, and Y.-Q. Peng, "Axion-like Dark Matter from the Type-II Seesaw Mechanism," [2210.13233].
- [52] J.-W. Wang, X.-J. Bi, P.-F. Yin, and Z.-H. Yu, "Electroweak dark matter model accounting for the CDF W-mass anomaly," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 5, (2022) 055001, [2205.00783].
- [53] Y. Shimizu and S. Takeshita, "W Boson Mass and Grand Unification via the Type-II Seesaw-like Mechanism," [2303.11070].
- [54] G. Lazarides, R. Maji, R. Roshan, and Q. Shafi, "Heavier W boson, dark matter, and gravitational waves from strings in an SO(10) axion model," *Phys. Rev. D* 106 no. 5, (2022) 055009, [2205.04824].
- [55] G. Senjanović and M. Zantedeschi, "SU(5) grand unification and W-boson mass," *Phys. Lett. B* 837 (2023) 137653, [2205.05022].
- [56] S. von Buddenbrock, A. S. Cornell, A. Fadol, M. Kumar, B. Mellado, and X. Ruan, "Multi-lepton signatures of additional scalar bosons beyond the Standard Model at the LHC," *J. Phys. G* 45 no. 11, (2018) 115003, [1711.07874].
- [57] S. Buddenbrock, A. S. Cornell, Y. Fang, A. Fadol Mohammed, M. Kumar, B. Mellado, and K. G. Tomiwa, "The emergence of multi-lepton anomalies at the LHC and their compatibility with new physics at the EW scale," *JHEP* **10** (2019) 157, [1901.05300].
- [58] S. von Buddenbrock, R. Ruiz, and B. Mellado,
 "Anatomy of inclusive ttW production at hadron colliders," *Phys. Lett. B* 811 (2020) 135964,
 [2009.00032].
- [59] Y. Hernandez, M. Kumar, A. S. Cornell, S.-E. Dahbi, Y. Fang, B. Lieberman, B. Mellado, K. Monnakgotla, X. Ruan, and S. Xin, "The anomalous production of multi-lepton and its impact on the measurement of *Wh* production at the LHC," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 81 no. 4, (2021) 365, [1912.00699].
- [60] O. Fischer *et al.*, "Unveiling hidden physics at the LHC," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 82 no. 8, (2022) 665, [2109.06065].

- [61] G. Coloretti, A. Crivellin, S. Bhattacharya, and B. Mellado, "Searching for Low-Mass Resonances Decaying into W Bosons," [2302.07276].
- [62] A. Czarnecki and W. J. Marciano, "The Muon anomalous magnetic moment: A Harbinger for 'new physics'," *Phys. Rev. D* 64 (2001) 013014, [hep-ph/0102122].
- [63] D. Stöckinger, "Muon (g 2) and Physics Beyond the Standard Model," Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. 20 (2009) 393–438.
- [64] G. F. Giudice, P. Paradisi, and M. Passera, "Testing new physics with the electron g 2," *JHEP* **11** (2012) 113, [1208.6583].
- [65] A. Freitas, J. Lykken, S. Kell, and S. Westhoff, "Testing the Muon g - 2 Anomaly at the LHC," *JHEP* 05 (2014) 145, [1402.7065]. [Erratum: JHEP 09, 155 (2014)].
- [66] K. Kowalska and E. M. Sessolo, "Expectations for the muon g-2 in simplified models with dark matter," *JHEP* **09** (2017) 112, [1707.00753].
- [67] A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter, and P. Schmidt-Wellenburg, "Combined explanations of $(g-2)_{\mu,e}$ and implications for a large muon EDM," *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 11, (2018) 113002, [1807.11484].
- [68] R. Capdevilla, D. Curtin, Y. Kahn, and G. Krnjaic, "No-lose theorem for discovering the new physics of $(g-2)_{\mu}$ at muon colliders," *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 1, (2022) 015028, [2101.10334].
- [69] G. Guedes and P. Olgoso, "A bridge to new physics: proposing new — and reviving old — explanations of a_μ," *JHEP* **09** (2022) 181, [2205.04480].
- [70] A. Crivellin and M. Hoferichter, "Consequences of chirally enhanced explanations of $(g 2)_{\mu}$ for $h \rightarrow \mu \mu$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$," *JHEP* **07** (2021) 135, [2104.03202].
- [71] D. Stockinger, "The Muon Magnetic Moment and Supersymmetry," J. Phys. G 34 (2007) R45–R92, [hep-ph/0609168].
- [72] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek, "Dimension-Six Terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian," *JHEP* **10** (2010) 085, [1008.4884].
- [73] A. Crivellin, D. Mueller, and F. Saturnino, "Correlating $h \beta \mu^+ \mu^-$ to the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon via Leptoquarks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** no. 2, (2021) 021801, [2008.02643].
- [74] S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik, and M. Tammaro, "Interplay of New Physics effects in $(g-2)_{\ell}$ and $h \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ lessons from SMEFT," *JHEP* **06** (2021) 099, [2103.10859].
- [75] ATLAS Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et al.*, "Measurement of the W-boson mass in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 no. 2, (2018) 110, [1701.07240]. [Erratum: Eur.Phys.J.C 78, 898 (2018)].
- [76] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, LEP Electroweak Collaboration, S. Schael *et al.*, "Electroweak Measurements in Electron-Positron Collisions at W-Boson-Pair Energies at LEP," *Phys. Rept.* 532 (2013) 119–244, [1302.3415].
- [77] J. de Blas, M. Pierini, L. Reina, and L. Silvestrini, "Impact of the Recent Measurements of the Top-Quark and W-Boson Masses on Electroweak Precision Fits," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** no. 27, (2022) 271801, [2204.04204].
- [78] A. Sirlin, "On the $O(\alpha^2)$ Corrections to $\tau(\mu), m(W),$

m(Z) in the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ Theory," *Phys. Rev. D* **29** (1984) 89.

- [79] A. Djouadi and C. Verzegnassi, "Virtual Very Heavy Top Effects in LEP / SLC Precision Measurements," *Phys. Lett. B* 195 (1987) 265–271.
- [80] L. Avdeev, J. Fleischer, S. Mikhailov, and O. Tarasov, " $0(\alpha \alpha_s^2)$ correction to the electroweak ρ parameter," *Phys. Lett. B* **336** (1994) 560–566, [hep-ph/9406363]. [Erratum: Phys.Lett.B 349, 597–598 (1995)].
- [81] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn, and M. Steinhauser, "QCD corrections from top quark to relations between electroweak parameters to order *alpha^s_s*," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 3394–3397, [hep-ph/9504413].
- [82] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn, and M. Steinhauser, "Corrections of order $\mathcal{O}(G_F M_t^2 \alpha_s^2)$ to the ρ parameter," *Phys. Lett. B* **351** (1995) 331–338, [hep-ph/9502291].
- [83] M. Awramik, M. Czakon, A. Freitas, and G. Weiglein, "Precise prediction for the W boson mass in the standard model," *Phys. Rev. D* 69 (2004) 053006, [hep-ph/0311148].
- [84] G. Degrassi, P. Gambino, and P. P. Giardino, "The $m_W m_Z$ interdependence in the Standard Model: a new scrutiny," *JHEP* **05** (2015) 154, [1411.7040].
- [85] Particle Data Group Collaboration, R. L. Workman et al., "Review of Particle Physics," PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01.
- [86] S. Kanemura and K. Yagyu, "Radiative corrections to electroweak parameters in the Higgs triplet model and implication with the recent Higgs boson searches," *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 115009, [1201.6287].
- [87] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, LEP Electroweak Working Group Collaboration, J. Alcaraz *et al.*, "A Combination of preliminary electroweak measurements and constraints on the standard model," [hep-ex/0612034].
- [88] A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter, and C. A. Manzari, "Fermi Constant from Muon Decay Versus Electroweak Fits and Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa Unitarity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** no. 7, (2021) 071801, [2102.02825].
- [89] D. Bryman, V. Cirigliano, A. Crivellin, and G. Inguglia, "Testing Lepton Flavor Universality with Pion, Kaon, Tau, and Beta Decays," Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 72 (2022) 69–91, [2111.05338].
- [90] B. Belfatto, R. Beradze, and Z. Berezhiani, "The CKM unitarity problem: A trace of new physics at the TeV scale?," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80 no. 2, (2020) 149, [1906.02714].
- [91] Y. Grossman, E. Passemar, and S. Schacht, "On the Statistical Treatment of the Cabibbo Angle Anomaly," *JHEP* 07 (2020) 068, [1911.07821].
- [92] A. Crivellin, F. Kirk, C. A. Manzari, and M. Montull, "Global Electroweak Fit and Vector-Like Leptons in Light of the Cabibbo Angle Anomaly," *JHEP* 12 (2020) 166, [2008.01113].
- [93] A. M. Coutinho, A. Crivellin, and C. A. Manzari, "Global Fit to Modified Neutrino Couplings and the Cabibbo-Angle Anomaly," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** no. 7, (2020) 071802, [1912.08823].
- [94] A. Crivellin and M. Hoferichter, "β Decays as Sensitive Probes of Lepton Flavor Universality," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** no. 11, (2020) 111801, [2002.07184].
- [95] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, "Superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$

nuclear β decays: 2020 critical survey, with implications for V_{ud} and CKM unitarity," *Phys. Rev.* C 102 no. 4, (2020) 045501.

- [96] C.-Y. Seng, D. Galviz, W. J. Marciano, and U.-G. Meißner, "Update on $|V_{us}|$ and $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$ from semileptonic kaon and pion decays," *Phys. Rev. D* 105 no. 1, (2022) 013005, [2107.14708].
- [97] C.-Y. Seng, X. Feng, M. Gorchtein, and L.-C. Jin, "Joint lattice QCD-dispersion theory analysis confirms the quark-mixing top-row unitarity deficit," *Phys. Rev.* D 101 no. 11, (2020) 111301, [2003.11264].
- [98] V. Cirigliano, A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter, and M. Moulson, "Scrutinizing CKM unitarity with a new measurement of the $K_{\mu3}/K_{\mu2}$ branching fraction," *Phys. Lett. B* 838 (2023) 137748, [2208.11707].
- [99] M. Endo and S. Mishima, "Muon g 2 and CKM unitarity in extra lepton models," *JHEP* 08 no. 08, (2020) 004, [2005.03933].
- [100] M. Kirk, "Cabibbo anomaly versus electroweak precision tests: An exploration of extensions of the Standard Model," *Phys. Rev. D* 103 no. 3, (2021) 035004, [2008.03261].
- [101] J. Aebischer, J. Kumar, P. Stangl, and D. M. Straub, "A Global Likelihood for Precision Constraints and Flavour Anomalies," *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no. 6, (2019) 509, [1810.07698].
- P. Stangl, D. Straub, M. Kirk, A. Smolkovic, M. Hudec, and N. Sahoo, "smelli/smelli: v2.4.0," Apr., 2023. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7868616.
- [103] A. Crivellin, M. Kirk, T. Kitahara, and F. Mescia, "Global fit of modified quark couplings to EW gauge bosons and vector-like quarks in light of the Cabibbo angle anomaly," *JHEP* 03 (2023) 234, [2212.06862].
 [104] D. M. Straub, "flavio: a Python package for flavour
- [104] D. M. Straub, "flavio: a Python package for flavour and precision phenomenology in the Standard Model and beyond," [1810.08132].
- [105] D. Straub, P. Stangl, M. Kirk, A. Smolkovic, J. Kumar, ChristophNiehoff, E. Gurler, M. Hudec, N. Sahoo, A. Puig, Felicia56, J. Aebischer, J. Kriewald, J. A. Gallo, M. Prim, M. Schmidt, M. Reboud, afalkows017, bednya, langenbruch, O. Sumensari, whereforebound, and Z. S. Wang, "flav-io/flavio: v2.5.4," Apr., 2023. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7859312.
- [106] J. Aebischer, J. Kumar, and D. M. Straub, "Wilson: a Python package for the running and matching of Wilson coefficients above and below the electroweak scale," *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 no. 12, (2018) 1026, [1804.05033].
- [107] Muon g-2 Collaboration, G. W. Bennett *et al.*, "Final Report of the Muon E821 Anomalous Magnetic Moment Measurement at BNL," *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 072003, [hep-ex/0602035].
- [108] T. Aoyama, M. Hayakawa, T. Kinoshita, and M. Nio, "Complete Tenth-Order QED Contribution to the Muon g - 2," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 111808, [1205.5370].
- [109] T. Aoyama, T. Kinoshita, and M. Nio, "Theory of the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Electron," *Atoms* 7 no. 1, (2019) 28.
- [110] A. Czarnecki, W. J. Marciano, and A. Vainshtein, "Refinements in electroweak contributions to the muon anomalous magnetic moment," *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 073006, [hep-ph/0212229]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 73,

119901 (2006)].

- [111] C. Gnendiger, D. Stöckinger, and H. Stöckinger-Kim, "The electroweak contributions to $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ after the Higgs boson mass measurement," *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (2013) 053005, [1306.5546].
- [112] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, "Reevaluation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to the Standard Model predictions of the muon g - 2 and $\alpha(m_Z^2)$ using newest hadronic cross-section data," *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** no. 12, (2017) 827, [1706.09436].
- [113] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, "Muon g-2 and $\alpha(M_Z^2)$: a new data-based analysis," *Phys. Rev. D* **97** no. 11, (2018) 114025, [1802.02995].
- [114] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, and P. Stoffer, "Two-pion contribution to hadronic vacuum polarization," *JHEP* **02** (2019) 006, [1810.00007].
- [115] M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, and B. Kubis, "Three-pion contribution to hadronic vacuum polarization," *JHEP* 08 (2019) 137, [1907.01556].
- [116] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, "A new evaluation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to the muon anomalous magnetic moment and to $\alpha(\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{Z}}^2)$," *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 3, (2020) 241, [1908.00921]. [Erratum: Eur.Phys.J.C 80, 410 (2020)].
- [117] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, "g-2 of charged leptons, $\alpha(M_Z^2)$, and the hyperfine splitting of muonium," *Phys. Rev. D* **101** no. 1, (2020) 014029, [1911.00367].
- [118] A. Kurz, T. Liu, P. Marquard, and M. Steinhauser, "Hadronic contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment to next-to-next-to-leading order," *Phys. Lett. B* **734** (2014) 144–147, [1403.6400].
- [119] K. Melnikov and A. Vainshtein, "Hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment revisited," *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 113006, [hep-ph/0312226].
- [120] P. Masjuan and P. Sanchez-Puertas, "Pseudoscalar-pole contribution to the $(g-2)_{\mu}$: a rational approach," *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 5, (2017) 054026, [1701.05829].
- [121] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, M. Procura, and P. Stoffer, "Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: two-pion contributions," *JHEP* 04 (2017) 161, [1702.07347].
- [122] M. Hoferichter, B.-L. Hoid, B. Kubis, S. Leupold, and S. P. Schneider, "Dispersion relation for hadronic light-by-light scattering: pion pole," *JHEP* **10** (2018) 141, [1808.04823].
- [123] A. Gérardin, H. B. Meyer, and A. Nyffeler, "Lattice calculation of the pion transition form factor with $N_f = 2 + 1$ Wilson quarks," *Phys. Rev. D* **100** no. 3, (2019) 034520, [1903.09471].
- [124] J. Bijnens, N. Hermansson-Truedsson, and A. Rodríguez-Sánchez, "Short-distance constraints for the HLbL contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment," *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134994, [1908.03331].
- [125] G. Colangelo, F. Hagelstein, M. Hoferichter, L. Laub, and P. Stoffer, "Longitudinal short-distance constraints for the hadronic light-by-light contribution to $(g-2)_{\mu}$ with large- N_c Regge models," *JHEP* **03** (2020) 101, [1910.13432].

- [126] T. Blum, N. Christ, M. Hayakawa, T. Izubuchi, L. Jin, C. Jung, and C. Lehner, "Hadronic Light-by-Light Scattering Contribution to the Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment from Lattice QCD," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** no. 13, (2020) 132002, [1911.08123].
- [127] G. Colangelo, M. Hoferichter, A. Nyffeler, M. Passera, and P. Stoffer, "Remarks on higher-order hadronic corrections to the muon g-2," *Phys. Lett. B* **735** (2014) 90–91, [1403.7512].
- [128] RBC, UKQCD Collaboration, T. Blum, P. A. Boyle, V. Gülpers, T. Izubuchi, L. Jin, C. Jung, A. Jüttner, C. Lehner, A. Portelli, and J. T. Tsang, "Calculation of the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** no. 2, (2018) 022003, [1801.07224].
- [129] M. Cè *et al.*, "Window observable for the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to the muon g 2 from lattice QCD," *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 11, (2022) 114502, [2206.06582].
- [130] Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, C. Alexandrou *et al.*, "Lattice calculation of the short and intermediate time-distance hadronic vacuum polarization contributions to the muon magnetic moment using twisted-mass fermions," *Phys. Rev. D* 107 no. 7, (2023) 074506, [2206.15084].
- [131] A. Bazavov *et al.*, "Light-quark connected intermediate-window contributions to the muon g - 2hadronic vacuum polarization from lattice QCD," [2301.08274].
- [132] T. Blum et al., "An update of Euclidean windows of the hadronic vacuum polarization," [2301.08696].
- [133] G. Colangelo, A. X. El-Khadra, M. Hoferichter, A. Keshavarzi, C. Lehner, P. Stoffer, and T. Teubner, "Data-driven evaluations of Euclidean windows to scrutinize hadronic vacuum polarization," *Phys. Lett. B* 833 (2022) 137313, [2205.12963].
- [134] **CMD-3** Collaboration, F. V. Ignatov *et al.*, "Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section from threshold to 1.2 GeV with the CMD-3 detector," [2302.08834].
- [135] CMD-2 Collaboration, R. R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, "High-statistics measurement of the pion form factor in the rho-meson energy range with the CMD-2 detector," *Phys. Lett. B* 648 (2007) 28–38, [hep-ex/0610021].
- [136] M. N. Achasov *et al.*, "Update of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^$ cross-section measured by SND detector in the energy region 400-MeV < s**(1/2) < file1000-MeV," *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **103** (2006) 380–384, [hep-ex/0605013].
- [137] **BaBar** Collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al.*, "Precise Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ Cross Section with the Initial-State Radiation Method at BABAR," *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 032013, [1205.2228].
- [138] **KLOE-2** Collaboration, A. Anastasi *et al.*, "Combination of KLOE $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma))$ measurements and determination of $a_{\mu}^{\pi^+\pi^-}$ in the energy range $0.10 < s < 0.95 \text{ GeV}^2$," *JHEP* **03** (2018) 173, [1711.03085].
- [139] **BESIII** Collaboration, M. Ablikim *et al.*, "Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section between 600 and 900 MeV using initial state radiation," *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 629–638, [1507.08188]. [Erratum: Phys.Lett.B 812, 135982]

(2021)].

- [140] **SND** Collaboration, M. N. Achasov *et al.*, "Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ process cross section with the SND detector at the VEPP-2000 collider in the energy region $0.525 < \sqrt{s} < 0.883$ GeV," *JHEP* **01** (2021) 113, [2004.00263].
- [141] A. Carmona, A. Lazopoulos, P. Olgoso, and J. Santiago, "Matchmakereft: automated tree-level and one-loop matching," *SciPost Phys.* **12** no. 6, (2022) 198, [2112.10787].
- [142] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, "A search for the dimuon decay of the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector," *Phys. Lett. B* 812 (2021)

135980, [2007.07830].

- [143] CMS Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, "Evidence for Higgs boson decay to a pair of muons," *JHEP* 01 (2021) 148, [2009.04363].
- [144] M. Cepeda et al., "Report from Working Group 2: Higgs Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC," CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. 7 (2019) 221–584, [1902.00134].
- [145] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, "Search for vector-like leptons in multilepton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV," *Phys. Rev. D* **100** no. 5, (2019) 052003, [1905.10853].
- [146] **CMS** Collaboration, "Combined search for electroweak production of winos, binos, higgsinos, and sleptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV,".