

Minimal Linear Codes Constructed from hierarchical posets with two levels

Xia Wu¹, Wei Lu^{1*}, Xupu Qin¹, Xiwang Cao²

¹School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

²Department of Math, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211100, China

E-mail: wuxia80@seu.edu.cn, luwei1010@seu.edu.cn, 2779398533@qq.com, xwcao@nuaa.edu.cn

*Corresponding author. (Email: luwei1010@seu.edu.cn)

Abstract: J. Y. Hyun, et al. (Des. Codes Cryptogr., vol. 88, pp. 2475-2492, 2020) constructed some optimal and minimal binary linear codes generated by one or two order ideals in hierarchical posets of two levels. At the end of their paper, they left an open problem: it also should be interesting to investigate the cases of more than two orders in hierarchical posets with two levels or many levels. In this paper, we use the geometric method to determine the minimality of linear codes generated by any orders in hierarchical posets with two levels. We generalize their cases of one or two orders to any orders and determine the minimality of the linear codes completely.

Index Terms: Linear code, Minimal code, Order ideal, Hierarchical posets.

MSC: 94B05, 94A62

1 Introduction

Let q be a prime power and \mathbb{F}_q the finite field with q elements. Let n be a positive integer and \mathbb{F}_q^n the vector space with dimension n over \mathbb{F}_q . In this paper, all vector spaces are over \mathbb{F}_q and all vectors are row vectors. For a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, let $\text{Suppt}(\mathbf{v}) := \{1 \leq i \leq n : v_i \neq 0\}$ be the support of \mathbf{v} . The *Hamming weight* of vector of \mathbf{v} is $\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}) := \#\text{Suppt}(\mathbf{v})$. For any two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, if $\text{Suppt}(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq \text{Suppt}(\mathbf{v})$, we say that \mathbf{v} covers \mathbf{u} (or \mathbf{u} is covered by \mathbf{v}) and write $\mathbf{u} \preceq \mathbf{v}$. Clearly, $a\mathbf{v} \preceq \mathbf{v}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

An $[n, k]_q$ linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Vectors in \mathcal{C} are called codewords. A codeword \mathbf{c} in a linear code \mathcal{C} is called *minimal* if \mathbf{c} covers only the codewords $a\mathbf{c}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, but no other codewords in \mathcal{C} . That is to say, if a codeword \mathbf{c} is minimal in \mathcal{C} , then for any codeword \mathbf{b} in \mathcal{C} , $\mathbf{b} \preceq \mathbf{c}$ implies that $\mathbf{b} = a\mathbf{c}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For an arbitrary linear code \mathcal{C} , it is hard to determine the set of its minimal codewords [8, 10].

If every codeword in \mathcal{C} is minimal, then \mathcal{C} is said to be a *minimal linear code*. Minimal linear codes have interesting applications in secret sharing [11, 12, 16, 26, 38] and secure two-party computation [2, 13], and could be decoded with a minimum distance decoding method [1]. Searching for minimal linear codes has been an interesting research topic in coding theory and cryptography.

Up to now, there are two approaches to study minimal linear codes. One is algebraic method and the other is geometric method. The algebraic method is based on the Hamming weight of the codewords. In [1], Ashikhmin and Barg gave a sufficient condition on the minimum and maximum nonzero Hamming weights for a linear code to be minimal. Inspired by Ding's work [14, 15], many minimal linear codes with $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} > \frac{q-1}{q}$ have been constructed by selecting the proper defining sets or from functions over finite fields (see [18, 21, 25, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 40]). Cohen et al. [13] provided an example to show that the condition $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} > \frac{q-1}{q}$ is not necessary for a linear code to be minimal. Ding, Heng and Zhou [17, 20] generalized this sufficient condition and derived a sufficient and necessary condition on all Hamming weights for a given linear code to be minimal. Based on this sufficient and necessary condition, Ding et al. presented three infinite families of minimal binary linear codes with $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ in [17] and an infinite family of minimal ternary linear codes with $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} < \frac{2}{3}$ in [20], respectively. In [39], Zhang et al. constructed four families of minimal binary linear codes with $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ from Krawtchouk polynomials. In [37], Xu and Qu constructed three classes of minimal linear codes with $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} < \frac{p-1}{p}$ for any odd prime p . In [23, 27, 36], minimal linear codes constructed from functions are introduced. When using the algebraic method to prove the minimality of a given linear code, one needs to know all the Hamming weights in the code, which is very difficult in general. Even all the Hamming weights are known, it is also hard to use the algebraic method to prove the minimality.

¹Supported by NSFC (Nos. 11971102, 12171241)

MSC: 94B05, 94A62

Recently, minimal linear codes were characterized by geometric approaches in [3, 24, 30]. In [3, 30], the authors used the cutting blocking sets to study the minimal linear codes. In [24], the authors used the basis of linear space to study the minimal linear codes. Based on these results, it is easier to construct minimal linear codes or to prove that some linear codes are minimal, see [4–7, 9, 22].

In [19], the authors used the algebraic method to construct some optimal and minimal binary linear codes generated by one or two order ideals in hierarchical posets of two levels. At the end of their paper, they left an open problem: it also should be interesting to investigate the cases of more than two orders in hierarchical posets with two levels or many levels. In this paper, we use the geometric method in [24] to completely determine the minimality of binary linear codes generated by any order ideals in hierarchical posets with two levels.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce basic concepts on posets and hierarchical posets with two levels. We also introduce the sufficient and necessary condition in [24]. In Section 3, we completely determine the minimality of minimal linear codes constructed from hierarchical posets with two levels. In Section 4, we conclude this paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Posets

Let $[n]$ be the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $2^{[n]}$ the power set of $[n]$. There exists a bijection between \mathbb{F}_2^n and $2^{[n]}$, defined by $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \text{Suppt}(\mathbf{v})$. **Throughout this paper, we will identify a vector in \mathbb{F}_2^n with its support.**

We say that $\mathbb{P} = ([n], \preceq)$ is a partially ordered set (abbreviated as a poset) if \preceq is a partial order relation on $[n]$, that is, for all $i, j, k \in [n]$ we have that: (i) $i \preceq i$; (ii) $i \preceq j$ and $j \preceq i$ imply $i = j$; (iii) $i \preceq j$ and $j \preceq k$ imply $i \preceq k$.

Let $\mathbb{P} = ([n], \preceq)$ be a poset. Two distinct elements i and j in $[n]$ are called comparable if either $i \preceq j$ or $j \preceq i$, and incomparable otherwise.

A nonempty subset I of \mathbb{P} is called an order ideal if $j \in I$ and $i \preceq j$ imply $i \in I$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ denote the set of all order ideals of \mathbb{P} . For a subset E of \mathbb{P} , the smallest order ideal of \mathbb{P} containing E is denoted by $\langle E \rangle$. For an order ideal I of \mathbb{P} , we use $I(\mathbb{P})$ to denote the set of order ideals of \mathbb{P} which are contained in I . Let $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$. We define

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{P}) = \{J \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} : J \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I}\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m I_i(\mathbb{P}).$$

2.2 Hierarchical posets with two levels

Let m and n be positive integers with $m \leq n$. We say that $\mathbb{H}(m, n) = ([n], \preceq)$ is a hierarchical poset with two levels if $[n]$ is the disjoint union of two incomparable subsets $U = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $V = \{m+1, \dots, n\}$, and $i \prec j$ whenever $i \in U$ and $j \in V$.

Lemma 2.1. [19, Lemma 4.1] *Every order ideal of $\mathbb{H}(m, n)$ can be expressed by $A \cup B$ for $A \subseteq [m]$, $B \subseteq [n] \setminus [m]$, and one of the following holds: (i) $B = \emptyset$; (ii) $B \neq \emptyset$ and $A = [m]$.*

Let $l := n - m$. Then $\mathbb{F}_2^n = \mathbb{F}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^l$. Since we identify a vector in \mathbb{F}_2^n with its support in this paper, we use $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ to denote the subset of $[n]$ where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2^l$. Then Lemma 2.1 can be described as the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma)$ is an order ideal of $\mathbb{H}(m, n)$, then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\gamma = \mathbf{0}$;
- (ii) $\gamma \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\beta = \mathbf{1}$.

Let $I = [m] \cup B$ be an order ideal of $\mathbb{H}(m, n)$, where $B \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$I(\mathbb{P}) = \{(\mathbf{1}, \gamma) \mid \text{Suppt}(\gamma) \subseteq B\} \cup \{(\beta, \mathbf{0}) \mid \beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^m\}.$$

2.3 Minimal linear codes

All linear codes can be constructed by the following way. Let $k \leq n$ be two positive integers. Let $D := \{\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_n\}$ be a multiset, where $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$. Let $\text{rank}(D)$ be the rank of D (it equals to the dimension of the vector space $\text{Span}(D)$ over \mathbb{F}_q),

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(D) = \{\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}; D) = (\mathbf{x}\mathbf{d}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{d}_n^T), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is an $[n, \text{rank}(D)]_q$ linear code. We always study the minimality of $\mathcal{C}(D)$ by considering some appropriate multisets D .

A new sufficient and necessary condition is presented as a main result in [24], for easier reading, we list the results once more. To present the new sufficient and necessary condition in [24], some concepts are needed.

For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{y}) &:= \mathbf{y}^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \mid \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^T = 0\}, \\ H(\mathbf{y}, D) &:= D \cap H(\mathbf{y}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in D \mid \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^T = 0\}, \\ V(\mathbf{y}, D) &:= \text{Span}(H(\mathbf{y}, D)). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $H(\mathbf{y}, D) \subseteq V(\mathbf{y}, D) \subseteq H(\mathbf{y})$.

Proposition 2.3. *For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}) \preceq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{y})$ if and only if $H(\mathbf{y}, D) \subseteq H(\mathbf{x}, D)$.*

Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. The following lemma gives a sufficient and necessary condition for the codeword $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(D)$ to be minimal. The key idea is that, instead of the weights, we consider the zeros in the codewords: $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}) \preceq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{y})$ if and only if $H(\mathbf{y}, D) \subseteq H(\mathbf{x}, D)$.

Lemma 2.4. [24, Theorem 3.1] *Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{y})$ is minimal in $\mathcal{C}(D)$;
- (2) $\dim V(\mathbf{y}, D) = k - 1$;
- (3) $V(\mathbf{y}, D) = H(\mathbf{y})$.

In the following section, we will use the above lemma to consider the minimality of linear codes constructed from hierarchical posets with two levels.

3 Minimal linear codes constructed from hierarchical posets with two levels

Let t be a positive integer. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{H}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_t\}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$, where $I_i = [m] \cup B_i$ are order ideals of $\mathbb{H}(m, n)$, where $B_i \neq \emptyset$, $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Define

$$D := (\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{P}))^c = 2^{[n]} \setminus \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{P}), \quad (1)$$

$$D_0 := \{(\beta, \gamma) \mid \beta \neq \mathbf{1}, \gamma \neq \mathbf{0}\}, \quad (2)$$

$$D_1 := \{(\mathbf{1}, \gamma) \mid \text{Suppt}(\gamma) \not\subseteq B_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\}. \quad (3)$$

We can see $D = D_0 \cup D_1$. Since $D_0 \subseteq D$, by the following lemma, in order to study the minimality of $\mathcal{C}(D)$, we can first study the minimality of $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$.

Lemma 3.1. [24, Proposition 4.1] *Let $M \subseteq N$ be two multisets with elements in \mathbb{F}_q^k and $\text{rank}(M) = \text{rank}(N) = k$. If $\mathcal{C}(M)$ is minimal, then $\mathcal{C}(N)$ is minimal.*

In the rest of this paper, let \mathbf{e}_i denote the i^{th} standard basis vector.

First we consider the minimality of $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n = m + l$, where $m, n, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$.*

- (1) *When $m > 2$ and $l \geq 2$, $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is minimal.*
- (2) *When $m = 2$ and $l \geq 2$, $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is not minimal.*
- (3) *When $m \geq 2$ and $l = 1$, $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is not minimal.*
- (4) *When $m = 1$ and $l \geq 1$, $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is minimal.*

Proof. (1) When $m > 2$ and $l \geq 2$, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}(D_0)) = n$. For any $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^l$, we will prove that all the codewords $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{C}(D_0)$ are minimal in three different cases.

Case 1: When $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}\}$ which is linearly independent and satisfying $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l-1$. It is easy to verify that

$$(\mathbf{e}_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (\mathbf{e}_m, \gamma_1), (\mathbf{0}, \gamma_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \gamma_{l-1}) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0),$$

and this vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

Case 2: When $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}\}$ which is linearly independent, and satisfying $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_i = 0$. If for some i , $\beta_i = \mathbf{1}$, without loss of generality, we set $\beta_{m-1} = \mathbf{1}$. In this case, we replace β_{m-1} with $\beta_1 + \beta_{m-1}$. Since $m > 2$, we can see that the vector group $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-2}, \beta_{m-1}\}$ is linearly independent, $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_i = 0$ and $\beta_i \neq \mathbf{1}$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. It is easy to verify that $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1), (\beta_1, \mathbf{e}_1), \dots, (\beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{e}_1) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

Case 3: When $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, since $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exist $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$, such that $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_i = 0 = -\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{0}$, $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, and there exists γ_0 such that $-\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_0 \neq 0$. As the discussion in Case 2, we know that there exists β_1, \dots, β_m which is linearly independent, such that $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_i = -\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_0$ and $\beta_i \neq \mathbf{1}$. It is easy to verify that $(\mathbf{0}, \gamma_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \gamma_2), (\beta_1, \gamma_0), \dots, (\beta_m, \gamma_0) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

(2) When $m = 2$ and $l \geq 2$, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}(D_0)) = n$, let $\mathbf{u} = (1, 1, \mathbf{0})$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, 0, \mathbf{0})$. First we prove that $H(\mathbf{u}, D_0) \subseteq H(\mathbf{v}, D_0)$. For any $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = (1, 1) \cdot \beta + \mathbf{0} \cdot \gamma = 0$, we get $\beta = (0, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$. Since $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in D_0$, we get $\beta = (0, 0)$ and $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. Then $\alpha \in H(\mathbf{v}, D_0)$ and $H(\mathbf{u}, D_0) \subseteq H(\mathbf{v}, D_0)$. Then by Proposition 2.3, we get $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}) \preceq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$. For $\alpha = (0, 1, \mathbf{e}_1) \in D_0$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = 1$, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})$. It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}) \neq \mathbf{0}$, thus $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is not a minimal codeword and $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is not minimal.

(3) When $m \geq 2$ and $l = 1$, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}(D_0)) = n$. Let $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{0}, 1)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, 1)$. We prove that $H(\mathbf{u}, D_0) \subseteq H(\mathbf{v}, D_0)$. For any $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, we know that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0} \cdot \beta + 1 \cdot \gamma = 0$, then $\gamma = 0$, it conflicts with the fact that $\alpha \in D_0$. So $H(\mathbf{u}, D_0) = \emptyset$ and $H(\mathbf{u}, D_0) \subseteq H(\mathbf{v}, D_0)$. By Proposition 2.3, we get $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}) \preceq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$. For $\alpha = (\mathbf{e}_1, 1) \in D_0$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = 1$, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})$. It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}) \neq \mathbf{0}$, thus $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is not a minimal codeword and $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is not minimal.

(4) When $m = 1$ and $l \geq 1$, $D_0 = \{(\beta, \gamma) \mid \beta = 0, \gamma \neq \mathbf{0}\}$, we can see $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}(D_0)) = n - 1$. For any $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$,

$$\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) = (u_1 \cdot \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma)_{\gamma \neq \mathbf{0}} = (\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma)_{\gamma \neq \mathbf{0}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_2^l \setminus \{0\}).$$

It is easy to see $\mathcal{C}(D_0) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_2^l \setminus \{0\})$ and then $\mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is minimal.

This completes the proof. \square

Now we consider the minimality of $\mathcal{C}(D)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n = m + l$, where $m, n, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$.*

- (1) *When $m > 2$ and $l \geq 2$, $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is minimal.*
- (2) *When $m = 2$ and $l \geq 2$, $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is minimal if and only if for any B_i , $|B_i| < l$, $1 \leq i \leq t$.*
- (3) *When $m \geq 2$ and $l = 1$, $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is not minimal.*
- (4) *When $m = 1$ and $l \geq 1$, there are three cases in the following:*
 - (i) *If there exists B_{i_0} such that $|B_{i_0}| = l$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$, then $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is minimal;*
 - (ii) *If for all B_i , $|B_i| \leq l - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, and there exists B_{i_0} such that $|B_{i_0}| = l - 1$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$, then $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is not minimal;*
 - (iii) *If for all B_i , $|B_i| < l - 1$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$, then $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is minimal.*

Proof. (1) When $m > 2$ and $l \geq 2$, by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.1, the result is immediate.

(2) " \Leftarrow " For any $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^l$, we will prove the codeword $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{C}(D)$ is minimal in three different cases.

Case 1: When $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, the proof is the same as the Case 1 in Theorem 3.2(1).

Case 2: When $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{0}$:

if $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1)$, set $\beta = (1, 1)$, it is easy to verify that $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1), (\beta, \mathbf{1}) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D)$, and the vector group is linearly independent;

if $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, we set $\beta = (0, 1)$ or $(1, 0)$ respectively, we can verify that $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1), (\beta, \mathbf{e}_1) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

Case 3: When $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, since $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$, such that $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_i = 0 = -\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{0}$, $i = 1, \dots, l-1$.

If $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1)$, set $\beta_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\beta_2 = (0, 1)$. Since $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\gamma_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $-\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_0 = 1 = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_1 = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_2$. We can verify that $(\mathbf{0}, \gamma_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \gamma_{l-1}), (\beta_1, \gamma_0), (\beta_2, \gamma_0) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, and the vector group is linearly independent;

If $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, we set $\beta_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\beta_2 = (0, 1)$. Since $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\gamma_{01} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\gamma_{02} \neq \mathbf{0}$, such that $-\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_{01} = -\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_1$ and $-\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_{02} = -\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \beta_2$. We can verify that $(\mathbf{0}, \gamma_1), \dots, (\mathbf{0}, \gamma_{l-1}), (\beta_1, \gamma_{01}), (\beta_2, \gamma_{02}) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

" \Rightarrow " If there exists B_{i_0} such that $|B_{i_0}| = l$, then $D_1 = \emptyset$ and $D = D_0$, by Theorem 3.2(2), $\mathcal{C}(D) = \mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is not minimal. The result follows.

(3) When $m \geq 2$ and $l = 1$, $D_1 = \{(\mathbf{1}, \gamma) \mid \text{supp}(\gamma) \not\subseteq B_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\} = \emptyset$, so $D = D_0$. By Theorem 3.2(3), $\mathcal{C}(D) = \mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is not minimal. The result follows.

(4)(i) When $m = 1$ and $l \geq 1$, if there exists B_{i_0} such that $|B_{i_0}| = l$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$, then $D_1 = \emptyset$. By Theorem 3.2(4), $\mathcal{C}(D) = \mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is minimal. The result follows.

(4)(ii) Let $\mathbf{u} = (0, \mathbf{1} - B_{i_0})$. Since $|B_{i_0}| = l - 1$, there exists \mathbf{e}_{j_0} , such that $\mathbf{u} = (0, \mathbf{e}_{j_0})$. Let $\mathbf{v} = (1, \mathbf{e}_{j_0})$. For any $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D)$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha = 0 \cdot \beta + \mathbf{e}_{j_0} \cdot \gamma = 0$, we know that $\text{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq B_{i_0}$, and $\alpha \notin D_1$. Since $\alpha \in D$, we get $\alpha \in D_0$, then $\beta = 0$. So $\mathbf{v} \cdot \alpha = 1 \cdot \beta + \mathbf{e}_{j_0} \cdot \gamma = 0$, $\alpha \in H(\mathbf{v}, D)$. We get $H(\mathbf{u}, D) \subseteq H(\mathbf{v}, D)$, by Proposition 2.3, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}) \preceq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$. We set $\alpha_1 = (0, \mathbf{e}_{j_0}) \in D$, then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha_1 = 1$, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \alpha_1 = 1$, so $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. For $\alpha_2 = (1, \mathbf{1}) \in D$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \alpha_2 = 1$, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \alpha_2 = 0$, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})$, thus $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is not a minimal codeword and $\mathcal{C}(D)$ is not minimal.

(4)(iii) For any $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^l$, we will prove the codeword $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{C}(D_0)$ is minimal in three different cases.

Case 1: When $u_1 = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$ which is linearly independent, such that $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_i = 0$. (1) If $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}_2)$ is even, we set $\gamma_0 = \mathbf{1}$; (2) if $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}_2)$ is odd, since $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists γ_0 such that $\text{wt}(\gamma_0) = l - 1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_0 = 0$. It is easy to verify that $(0, \gamma_1), \dots, (0, \gamma_{l-1}), (1, \gamma_0) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

Case 2: When $u_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, it is easy to verify that $(0, \mathbf{e}_1), (0, \mathbf{e}_2), \dots, (0, \mathbf{e}_l) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D_0)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

Case 3: When $u_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, since $\mathbf{u}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$ which is linearly independent, such that $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l - 1$. (1) If $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}_2)$ is odd, we set $\gamma_0 = \mathbf{1}$; (2) if $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}_2)$ is even, since $|B_i| < l - 1$, there exists γ_0 such that $\text{wt}(\gamma_0) = l - 1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \gamma_0 = 1$. It is easy to verify that $(0, \gamma_1), \dots, (0, \gamma_{l-1}), (1, \gamma_0) \in H(\mathbf{u}, D)$, and the vector group is linearly independent. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u})$ is minimal.

This completes the proof. □

Remark 1. Here we compare the results in Theorem 3.3 with those in [19]. When the number of order ideals $t = 1$, [19, Theorem 6.1(3)] determine the minimality in all cases. When $t = 2$, only in two cases, the minimality is determined: (1) $|B_1| = |B_2| = 1$, $1 < m \leq n - 2$, see [19, Theorem 6.2]; (2) $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, $|B_1| + |B_2| = n - m$, and $\max\{|B_1|, |B_2|\} \leq n - 2$, see [19, Theorem 6.4]. While in Theorem 3.3 in this paper, for all positive integer t , we determine the minimality in all cases.

4 Concluding remarks

In [19], J. Y. Hyun, H. K. Kim, et al. constructed some optimal and minimal binary linear codes generated by one or two order ideals in hierarchical posets of two levels, and used the algebraic method to determine the minimality of the linear codes in some cases. In this paper, we use the geometric method in [24] to determine the minimality of binary linear codes generated by any order ideals in hierarchical posets with two levels in all cases. Our results have answered the open problem in the end of [19]. We generalize the cases with one or two orders in [19] to any orders and determine the minimality of the linear codes completely. It is hardly to use the algebraic method in [19] to prove the results in this paper, while it is very easy to use the geometric method to prove them. It is also interesting to consider the cases where hierachical posets with more than two levels.

References

- [1] A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg, "Minimal vectors in linear codes," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 2010-2017, sep. 1998.

- [2] A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg, G. Cohen, L. Huguet, “Variations on minimal codewords in linear codes,” in: Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, (AAECC-11), (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 948), G. Cohen, M. Giusti, and T. Mora, Eds. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 96-105, 1995.
- [3] G. N. Alfarano, M. Borello and A. Neri, “A geometric characterization of minimal codes and their asymptotic performance,” arxiv: 1911.11738.
- [4] G. N. Alfarano, M. Borello, Alessandro Neri, A. Ravagnani, “Three Combinatorial Perspectives on Minimal Codes,” arXiv:2010.16339v2
- [5] D. Bartoli and M. Bonini, “Minimal linear codes in odd characteristic,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 4152-4155, 2019.
- [6] D. Bartoli, M. Bonini, B. Gunes, “An inductive construction of minimal codes,” *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 439-449, 2021.
- [7] D. Bartoli, A. Cossidente, G. Marino, F. Pavese, “On cutting blocking sets and their codes,” arXiv: 2011.11101v1.
- [8] E. R. Berlekamp, R. J. McEliece and H. C. A. van Tilborg, “On the Inherent Intractability of Certain Coding Problems,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 384-386, 1978.
- [9] M. Bonini and M. Borello, “Minimal linear codes arising from blocking sets,” *J. Algebraic Comb.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 327-341, 2021.
- [10] J. Bruck and M. Naor, “The Hardness of Decoding Linear Codes with Preprocessing,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 381-385, 1990.
- [11] C. Carlet, C. Ding, J. Yuan, “Linear codes from highly nonlinear functions and their secret sharing schemes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2089-2102, May. 2005.
- [12] H. Chabanne, G. Cohen, and A. Patey, “Towards secure two-party computation from the wire-tap channel,” in: Proceedings of ICISC 2013(Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8565), H.-S. Lee and D.-G. Han Eds. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 34-46, 2014.
- [13] G. D. Cohen, S. Mesnager, A. Patey, “On minimal and quasi-minimal linear codes,” in: Proceedings of IMACC (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8308), M. Stam, Eds. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 85-98, 2003.
- [14] C. Ding, “Linear codes from some 2-designs,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 3265-3275, Jun. 2015.
- [15] C. Ding, “A construction of binary linear codes from Boolean functions,” *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 339, no. 9, pp. 2288-2303, Sep. 2016.
- [16] C. Ding and J. Yuan, “Covering and secret sharing with linear codes,” in *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, vol. 2731, Springer-Verlag, pp. 11-25, 2003.
- [17] C. Ding, Z. Heng, and Z. Zhou, “Minimal binary linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 6536 - 6545, Oct. 2018.
- [18] K. Ding and C. Ding, “A class of two-weight and three-weight codes and their applications in secret sharing,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 5835-5842, Nov. 2015.
- [19] J. Y. Hyun, H. K. Kim, Y. S. Wu, Q. Yue, “Optimal minimal linear codes from posets,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 88, pp. 2475-2492, 2020.
- [20] Z. Heng, C. Ding, and Z. Zhou, “Minimal linear codes over finite fields,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 54, pp. 176-196, Nov. 2018.

- [21] Z. Heng and Q. Yue, “Two classes of two-weight linear codes,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 38, pp. 72-92, Mar. 2016.
- [22] T. Héger, Z. L. Nagy, “Short minimal codes and covering codes via strong blocking sets in projective spaces,” arXiv:2103.07393v1.
- [23] X. Li and Q. Yue, “Four classes of minimal binary linear codes with derived from Boolean functions,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 257-271, 2020.
- [24] W. Lu and X. Wu, “The Parameters of Minimal Linear Codes,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 71, pp. 176-196, Mar. 2021.
- [25] G. Luo, X. Cao, S. Xu, and J. Mi, “Binary linear codes with two or three weights from niho exponents,” *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 301-318, Mar. 2018.
- [26] J. L. Massey, “Minimal codewords and secret sharing,” in: *Proc. 6th Joint Swedish-Russian Workshop on Information Theory (Mölle, Sweden, 1993)*, pp. 246-249.
- [27] S. Mesnager, Y. F. Qi, H. M. Ru, C. M. Tang, “Minimal linear codes from characteristic functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2020.2978387>.
- [28] M. Shi, Y. Liu, and Patrick Solé, “Optimal two weight codes from trace codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$,” *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 2346-2349, Dec. 2016.
- [29] M. Shi, Y. Guan, and Patrick Solé, “Two new families of two-weight codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 10, pp. 6240-6246, Oct. 2017.
- [30] C. Tang, Y. Qiu, Q. Liao and Z. Zhou, “Full Characterization of Minimal Linear Codes as Cutting Blocking Sets,” arxiv: 1911.09867
- [31] C. Tang, N. Li, F. Qi, Z. Zhou, and T. Helleseeth, “Linear codes with two or three weights from weakly regular bent functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1166-1176, Mar. 2016.
- [32] Q. Wang, K. Ding, and R. Xue, “Binary linear codes with two weights,” *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 1097-1100, Jul. 2015.
- [33] X. Wu, W. Lu, “Minimal linear codes constructed from functions,” *Cryptogr. Commun.*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12095-021-00553-4>.
- [34] C. Xiang, “Linear codes from a generic construction,” *Cryptogr. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 525-539, Oct. 2016.
- [35] S. Yang and Z. Yao, “Complete weight enumerators of a family of three-weight linear codes,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 663-674, Mar. 2017.
- [36] G. Xu, L. Qu and X. Cao, “Minimal linear codes from Maiorana-McFarland functions,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 65, 101688, 2020.
- [37] G. K. Xu and L. J. Qu, “Three classes of minimal linear codes over the finite fields of odd characteristic,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 65, no. 11, pp. 7067-7078, Nov. 2017.
- [38] J. Yuan, C. Ding, “Secret sharing schemes from three classes of linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 206-212, 2006.
- [39] W. zhang, H. Yan, and H. Wei, “Four families of minimal binary linear codes with $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$,” *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 175-184, 2019.
- [40] Z. Zhou, N. Li, C. Fan and T. Helleseeth, “Linear codes with two or three weights from quadratic bent functions,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 283-295, Nov. 2016.