

Improved Lower Bounds for Monotone q -Multilinear Boolean Circuits

Andrzej Lingas¹ and Mia Persson²

¹ Department of Computer Science, Lund University, 22100 Lund, Sweden.

`Andrzej.Lingas@cs.lth.se`

² Department of Computer Science and Media Technology, Malmö University, 20506 Malmö, Sweden. `miapersson@mau.se`

Abstract. A monotone Boolean circuit is composed of OR gates, AND gates and input gates corresponding to the input variables and the Boolean constants. It is q -multilinear if for each its output gate o and for each prime implicant s of the function computed at o , the arithmetic version of the circuit resulting from the replacement of OR and AND gates by addition and multiplication gates, respectively, computes a polynomial at o which contains a monomial including the same variables as s and each of the variables in s has degree at most q in the monomial.

First, we study the complexity of computing semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean forms in terms of the size of monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits. In particular, we show that any monotone 1-multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint Boolean form with p prime implicants includes at least p AND gates. We also show that any monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint Boolean form with p prime implicants has $\Omega(\frac{p}{q^4})$ size.

Next, we study the complexity of the monotone Boolean function $Isol_{k,n}$ that verifies if a k -dimensional Boolean matrix has at least one 1 in each line (e.g., each row and column when $k = 2$), in terms of monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits. We show that that any Σ_3 monotone Boolean circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$ has an exponential in n size or it is not $(k - 1)$ -multilinear.

³ This manuscript is a substantial extension and improvement of [7].

1 Introduction

Prospects for deriving superlinear lower bounds on the size of Boolean circuits for natural problems are very weak. For this reason, already by the end of the 70s and the beginning of the 80s, one started to study the complexity of monotone arithmetic circuits and/or monotone Boolean circuits for natural multivariate arithmetic polynomials and natural Boolean functions, respectively. The monotone arithmetic circuits are composed of addition gates, multiplication gates and input gates for variables and non-negative real constants. Similarly, monotone Boolean circuits are composed of OR gates, AND gates, and the input gates for variables and Boolean constants. In the case of monotone arithmetic circuits, one succeeded to show even exponential lower bounds relatively easily [3,20] while in the case of monotone Boolean circuits, the derivation of exponential lower bounds for natural problems required more effort [1,18].

In this context, the problem of computing the permanent of an $n \times n$ 0–1 matrix equivalent to counting the number of perfect matchings in a bipartite graph is very interesting. Jerrum and Snir established an exponential lower bound on the size of monotone arithmetic circuits for this problem [3] while the best known lower bound on the size of a monotone Boolean circuit for the Boolean variant of the permanent due to Razborov [19] is only superpolynomial. In order to tackle the gap, Ponnuswami and Venkateswaran considered the concept of monotone multilinear Boolean circuits and showed an exponential lower bound on the size of such circuits for the Boolean permanent [14]. They call a monotone Boolean circuit multilinear if for any its AND gate the two input functions have no variable in common. Soon after, Krieger obtained exponential lower bounds on the number of OR gates in monotone multilinear Boolean circuits for among other things a clique function [6]. He used a much more restricted syntactic version of multilinearity. In this version, the function computed at a gate is declared to be dependent on a variable if there is a path from the input gate with the variable to the gate in the circuit. On the other hand, his lower bounds include also DeMorgan multilinear Boolean circuits. These circuits are a generalization of monotone multilinear Boolean circuits, allowing for the restricted use of negation operation that can be applied only to the input variables.

In a recent report [8], Lingas used a simple argument to obtain in a way a more general result than the lower bounds of Ponnuswami and Venkateswaran or Krieger in [14] and [6], respectively. He has shown that the known lower bounds on the size of monotone arithmetic circuits for multivariate polynomials that are sums of monomials consisting of the same number of distinct variables [3,20] yield almost the analogous lower bounds on the size of monotone multilinear Boolean circuits computing the functions represented by the corresponding multivariate Boolean polynomials. His result has been slightly improved by Jukna in [5] who showed that exactly analogous lower bounds can be obtained by using the lower envelope argument from [3].

One should also mention that Raz and Widgerson showed that monotone Boolean circuits for the Boolean permanent require linear depth [17] and Raz

proved that multilinear Boolean formulas for this problem have superpolynomial size [16].

The concept of circuit multilinearity is also natural for circuits over other semi-rings beside the Boolean one $(\{0, 1\}, \vee, \wedge)$ such as the arithmetic one $(R_+, +, \times)$ or the tropical one $(R_+, \min, +)$, where R_+ stands for the set of nonnegative real numbers [5]. In particular, Jukna observed that the classical dynamic programming algorithms for shortest paths and traveling salesperson problems can be expressed as multilinear circuits over the tropical semi-ring [5].

In this paper, we consider a generalization of monotone multilinear Boolean circuits to include monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits. A monotone Boolean circuit is q -multilinear if for each its output gate o and for each prime implicant s of the function computed at o , the arithmetic version of the circuit resulting from the replacement of OR and AND gates by addition and multiplication gates, respectively, computes a polynomial at o which contains a monomial including the same variables as s and each of the variables in s has degree at most q in the monomial.

The central question is how restrictive is the requirement of q -multilinearity in monotone Boolean circuits. In particular, whether or not there are substantial gaps between the sizes of monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits and the sizes of the monotone $(q+1)$ -multilinear Boolean circuits computing the same Boolean functions.

Monotone q -multilinear circuits correspond to the so called monotone read- q Boolean circuits introduced by Jukna in [5]. He showed in [5] that monotone 1-multilinear (i.e., read-1) circuits coincide with monotone multilinear Boolean circuits. He also proved that the function $Isol_n$ that verifies if an input $n \times n$ Boolean matrix has at least one 1 in each of its rows and columns admits a monotone 2-multilinear Boolean circuit of linear size but any monotone 1-multilinear Boolean circuit computing $Isol_n$ has an exponential in n size.

First, we study the complexity of computing semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean forms. Boolean matrix product and Boolean vector convolution are the best known examples of semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean forms. A central challenge here is to show that any monotone Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean form with p prime implicants has $\Omega(p)$ size. We address this challenge in terms of the size of monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits. In particular, we show that any monotone 1-multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint Boolean form with p prime implicants includes at least p AND gates. We also show that any monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint Boolean form with p prime implicants has $\Omega(\frac{p}{q^4})$ size. The latter bound applied to the n -dimensional Boolean convolution subsumes the best known lower bound of $\Omega(n^2/\log^6 n)$ on the size of monotone Boolean circuits for this problem due to Grinchuk and Sergeev [2] as long as $q = o(\log^{3/2} n)$.

Next, we address the intriguing question about the power of idempotency. A challenge here is to show that for every natural q , there is a Boolean function that admits a monotone $(q+1)$ -multilinear Boolean circuit of polynomial size but any monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits that computes this function

has a superpolynomial size. As a candidate function, we choose the monotone Boolean function $Isol_{k,n}$ that is a generalization of the function $Isol_n$ considered in [5]. The generalized function verifies if a k -dimensional Boolean matrix has at least one 1 in each line (e.g., each row and column when $k = 2$). We show that any Σ_3 monotone Boolean circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$ has an exponential in n size or it is not $(k - 1)$ -multilinear. The latter result subsumes a corresponding result relying on additional assumptions, given in Theorem 6 in [7].

2 Monotone Boolean circuits and functions

A *monotone Boolean circuit* is a finite directed acyclic graph with the following properties.

1. The indegree of each vertex (termed gate) is either 0 or 2.
2. The source vertices (i.e., vertices with indegree 0 called input gates) are labeled by variables or the Boolean constants 0, 1.
3. The vertices of indegree 2 are labeled by elements of the set $\{OR, AND\}$ and termed OR gates and AND gates, respectively.
4. A distinguished set of gates forms the set of output gates of the circuit.

For convenience, we denote also by g the function computed at a gate g of a monotone Boolean circuit. The *size* of a monotone Boolean circuit is the total number of its non-input gates.

A monotone Boolean circuit is *multilinear* if for any AND gate the two input Boolean functions have no variable in common. The *conjunction depth* of a monotone Boolean circuit is the maximum number of AND gates on a path from an input gate to an output gate. The *alternation depth* of a monotone Boolean circuit is the maximum number of blocks of consecutive OR gates and blocks of consecutive AND gates on a path from an input gate to an output gate. A Σ_d -circuit (respectively, Π_d -circuit) is a circuit with the alternation depth not exceeding d such that the output gates are OR gates (AND gates, respectively).

With each gate g of a monotone Boolean circuit, we associate a set $T(g)$ of terms in a natural way. Thus, with each input gate, we associate the singleton set consisting of the corresponding variable or constant. Next, with an OR gate, we associate the union of the sets associated with its direct predecessors. Finally, with an AND gate g , we associate the set of concatenations $t_1 t_2$ of all pairs of terms t_1, t_2 , where $t_i \in T(g_i)$ and g_i stands for the i -th direct predecessor of g , for $i = 1, 2$. The function computed at the gate g is the disjunction of the functions (called monoms) represented by the terms in $T(g)$. The monom $con(t)$ represented by a term t is obtained by replacing concatenations in t with conjunctions, respectively. A term in $T(g)$ is a *zero-term* if it contains the Boolean constant 0. Clearly, a zero-term represents the Boolean constant 0. By the definition of $T(g)$ and induction on the structure of the monotone Boolean circuit, $g = \bigvee_{t \in T(g)} con(t)$ holds. For a term $t \in T(g)$, the set of variables occurring in t is denoted by $Var(t)$.

A Boolean *form* is a finite set of Boolean 0-1 functions. An *implicant* of a Boolean form F is a conjunction of some variables and/or Boolean constants (monom) such that there is a function belonging to F which is true whenever the conjunction is true. If the conjunction includes the Boolean 0 then it is a *trivial implicant* of F . A non-trivial implicant of F that is minimal with respect to included variables is a *prime implicant* of F . The set of prime implicants of F is denoted by $PI(F)$.

A (monotone) *Boolean polynomial* is a disjunction of monoms, where each monom is a conjunction of some variables and Boolean constants. It is a *minimal* Boolean polynomial representing a given Boolean function if after the removal of any variable or constant occurrences, it does not represent this function.

A set F of monotone Boolean functions is a *semi-disjoint bilinear form* if it is defined on the set of variables $X \cup Y$ and the following properties hold.

1. For each minimal Boolean polynomial representing a Boolean function in F and each variable $z \in X \cup Y$, there is at most one monom of the polynomial containing z .
2. Each monom of a minimal Boolean polynomial representing a Boolean function in F consists of exactly one variable in X and one variable in Y .
3. The sets of monoms of minimal Boolean polynomials representing different Boolean functions in F are pairwise disjoint.

Boolean matrix product and Boolean vector convolution are the best known examples of semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean forms.

3 Monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits

Recall that a monotone Boolean circuit is multilinear if for any of its AND gates the two input functions do not share a variable.

The following lemma provides a characterization of the terms produced at the gates of a monotone multilinear circuit which lays ground to the generalization of the multilinearity to include the q -multilinearity. To specify the lemma, we need to introduce the following additional notation.

Let g stand for a gate of a monotone multilinear circuit. For two terms $t, t' \in T(g)$, the relationship $t' \leq t$ holds if and only if for each variable x , the number of occurrences of x in t' does not exceed that in t . A *variable repetition* takes place in t if there is a variable which occurs at least two times in t .

Lemma 1. (*companion lemma*) *Let g be a gate of a monotone multilinear Boolean circuit without the Boolean constants, and let $t \in T(g)$. There is $t' \in T(g)$ without variable repetitions such that $t' \leq t$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the structure of the circuit in a bottom-up manner. If g is an input gate corresponding to a variable then $t' = t$. If g is an OR gate then the lemma for the gate immediately follows from the induction hypothesis. Suppose that g is an AND gate with two direct gate predecessors g_1

and g_2 . Consider $t = t_1 t_2 \in T(g)$, where $t_i \in T(g_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. By the induction hypothesis, there are (non-zero) terms $t'_i \in T(g_i)$ without variable repetitions such that $t'_i \leq t_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $t' = t'_1 t'_2$. It follows that $t' \leq t$. If t' has a variable repetition then there exist a variable x and $j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that t'_j has an occurrence of the variable but the function g_j does not depend on x . Hence, there must exist a term $t''_j \in T(g_j)$ without an occurrence of x such that the monom represented by t''_j is implied by t'_j , i.e., $\text{Var}(t''_j) \subset \text{Var}(t'_j)$. We may assume without loss of generality that t''_j does not contain variable repetitions since otherwise we can replace it with a smaller term with respect to \leq without variable repetitions by the induction hypothesis. We may also assume without loss of generality that $j = 1$. Hence, $t''_1 t'_2 \leq t$ and if $t''_1 t'_2$ is free from variable repetitions we are done. Otherwise, we repeat the procedure eliminating next variable on one of the sides. Because the number of variables is finite the process must eventually result in a term satisfying the lemma. \square

A monotone Boolean circuit computing a monotone Boolean form F is said to be *q-multilinear* if for each prime implicant p of each function $f \in F$, there is a term $t \in T(o)$ representing p , where o is the output gate of the circuit computing f , such that no variable occurs more than q times in t . Moreover, if for each gate g of the circuit, no term in $T(g)$ contains more than q occurrences of a single variable then the circuit is called *strictly q-multilinear*.

Our definition of a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit corresponds to that of a monotone read- q Boolean circuit from [5].

By the companion lemma or Lemma 4 in [5], any monotone multilinear Boolean circuit is 1-multilinear. For the reverse implication (in terms of monotone read-1 Boolean circuits), see also Lemma 4 in [5]. Among other things because of the aforementioned equivalence, we believe that the name “ q -multilinear” is more natural than the name “read- q ” used in [5].

When a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computes a Boolean form with prime implicants of length k then by the following theorem it can be transformed into a monotone strictly $(k(q - 1) + 1)$ -multilinear Boolean circuit.

Theorem 1. *Let C be a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing a Boolean form F . Let s be the size of C and let m be the maximum number of variables in a prime implicant of F . The circuit C can be transformed into a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit C' computing F such that the size of C' is $O(m^2 q^2 s)$ and no term produced by C' has more than mq variable occurrences. Consequently, the circuit C' is strictly $(mq - m' + 1)$ -multilinear, where m' is the minimum number of variables in a prime implicant of F .*

Proof. We may assume w.l.o.g. that C does not use Boolean constants. The idea of the construction of C' on the basis of C is as follows. For each gate g of C , there are at most mq corresponding gates g_1, \dots, g_{mq} in C' . For $1 \leq \ell \leq mq$, the set of terms in $T(g_\ell)$ is supposed to consist of the (non-zero) terms in $T(g)$ having exactly ℓ variable occurrences.

The construction of C' is straightforward. For an input gate g corresponding to a variable x , only $g_1 = x$ is defined. Consider a gate g of C with direct

predecessor gates g' , g'' and $1 \leq \ell \leq mq$. If g is an OR gate then $g_\ell = g'_\ell \vee g''_\ell$, provided that both g'_ℓ and g''_ℓ are defined. If only one of the latter gates is defined then it is substituted for g_ℓ , if none then g_ℓ is undefined. Thus, there are at most mq OR gates in C' corresponding to an OR gate in C . If g is an AND gate then $g_\ell = \bigvee_{j=1}^{\ell-1} g'_j \wedge g''_{\ell-j}$, where the conjunction $g'_j \wedge g''_{\ell-j}$ takes place if both g'_j and $g''_{\ell-j}$ are defined for $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$. If no conjunction takes place in the disjunction then g_ℓ is undefined. Thus, in the case of the AND gate, a partial convolution of (g'_1, \dots, g'_{mq}) and (g''_1, \dots, g''_{mq}) needs to be computed. It requires $O((mq)^2)$ AND and OR gates. For each output gate g of C , the corresponding output gate of C' computes the disjunction of the defined gates g_ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq mq$.

It follows by the construction of C' that it has size $O(m^2 q^2 s)$, and produces terms having at most mq occurrences of variables. It remains to show that C' computes the form F , it is q -multilinear, and strictly $(mq - m' + 1)$ -multilinear.

Consider an output gate g' of C' corresponding to an output gate g of C . By the definition of g' , each term in $T(g')$ represents an implicant of the function computed at g . By the q -multilinearity of C and the definition of g' , for each prime implicant of the function computed at g , $T(g)$ and consequently $T(g')$ contain a term representing this prime implicant such that no variable in the term occurs more than q times. It follows that g' computes the same Boolean function as g , and that C' is also q -multilinear.

Finally, since each output term t produced by C' contains at most mq variable occurrences and represents an implicant of F , no variable in t can be repeated more than $mq - m'$ times. Thus, C' is strictly $(mq - m' + 1)$ -multilinear. \square

Recall that a monotone Boolean circuit is of conjunction depth d if the maximum number of AND gates on any path from an input gate to an output gate in the circuit is d . A bounded conjunction depth yields a rather weak upper bound on the q -multilinearity of a monotone Boolean circuit.

Theorem 2. *Let F be a monotone Boolean form, and let k be the minimum number of variables forming a prime implicant of F . A monotone Boolean circuit of conjunction depth d computing F is strictly $(2^d - k + 1)$ -multilinear.*

Proof. An AND gate can at most double the maximum length of the terms (i.e., the number of variable occurrences in the terms) produced by its direct predecessors. Hence, the output terms of a monotone Boolean circuit of conjunction depth d have length not exceeding 2^d . Consider a variable x occurring in an output term of a monotone Boolean circuit of conjunction depth d computing F . As the term represents an implicant of F , it has to contain at least $k - 1$ other variables. Hence, the maximum number of occurrences of x in the term is $2^d - k + 1$. \square

The reverse relationship is much stronger.

Theorem 3. *Let C be an optimal monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit without the Boolean constants computing a monotone Boolean form F whose prime implicants are formed by at most k variables. The circuit has conjunction depth not exceeding $kq - 1$.*

Proof. Consider terms at the output gates of C representing prime implicants of F . We know that for each prime implicant p of F there is a term t_p representing p , where each variable occurs at most q times. Consider the sub-dag C_p of the circuit generating the term t_p . Note that C_p includes the input gates corresponding to the at most k variables in t_p and for any OR gate included in C_p exactly one of the direct predecessors gates in C is included (such a sub-dag is termed *parse graph* in [21]). Let P be a path from an input gate labeled by a variable x to the output gate in the sub-dag having the maximum number of AND gates. Note that at each AND gate h on the path P , a subterm of t_p including x and belonging to $T(h)$ has to be larger at least by one variable occurrence than that belonging to the direct predecessor of h on the path. We conclude that there are at most $kq - 1$ AND gates on the path P .

Form the sub-dag C' of C that is the union of the sub-dags C_p , $p \in PI(F)$. Note that some OR gates in C' may have only one direct predecessor, we replace the missing one with the Boolean 0. Let g' be the output gate of C' corresponding to the output gate g of C . By the definition, $T(g')$ includes terms representing all prime implicants of F represented in $T(g)$. Consider a non-zero (i.e., not including 0) term $t \in T(g')$ that does not represent a prime implicant of F . Consider the sub-dag (parse-graph) C'_t of C' that generates exactly the term t . It also generates the term t in the original circuit C . We conclude that t is an implicant of F and consequently that C' computes F . By the definition, C' has conjunction depth bounded by $kq - 1$, size not exceeding that of C , and it is also q -multilinear. Since the Boolean constants can be eliminated from C' decreasing its size, we conclude that C' has the same size as C by the optimality of C and consequently that $C = C'$ by the construction of C' . \square

4 Lower bounds for q -multilinear Boolean circuits

4.1 Lower bounds for semi-disjoint bilinear forms

For the Boolean matrix product of two $n \times n$ Boolean matrices, there are known tight $\Theta(n^3)$ bounds on the number of AND and OR gates in monotone Boolean circuits computing the product [11,13,15]. They yield analogous bounds on the number of AND and OR gates in monotone 1-multilinear Boolean circuits for the product. In case of Boolean convolution of two n -dimensional Boolean vectors, the tight lower bounds on the number of additions and multiplications in monotone arithmetic circuits computing the arithmetic convolution of two n -dimensional vectors [3,20] translate to the analogous bounds on the number of OR gates and AND gates in monotone multilinear Boolean circuits computing the Boolean vector convolution, by the general equivalence established in [5].

We shall derive a general lower bound on the number of AND gates in a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean form with p prime implicants. However, first we shall derive a tight lower bound in the case $q = 1$, showing that p AND gates are needed then. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let C be a Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint bilinear form F on the variables x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} and y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} . Let h be an AND gate computing the conjunction of the functions computed at the gates h_1 and h_2 , respectively. Suppose that the single variables u_1, u_2 belong to the set of prime implicants of the function computed at h_1 while the single variable w_1 belongs to the set of prime implicants of the function computed at h_2 so that u_1w_1 is a prime implicant of F . Let o be an output gate computing the function in F for which u_1w_1 is a prime implicant. If a term in $T(h)$ representing u_1w_1 is a part of a term in $T(o)$ representing u_1w_1 in which each variable occurs at most once then C cannot be 1-multilinear.*

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, let $t(u_iw_1)$ be the term in $T(h)$ representing u_iw_1 . We may assume w.l.o.g. that the term in $T(o)$ representing u_1w_1 has the form $t_1t(u_1w_1)t_2$ and that no variable occurs more than once in it. It follows that the only variables that t_1t_2 could include are u_1 and/or w_1 . But then at least one variable would occur two times in $t_1t(u_1w_1)t_2$, contradicting our assumptions. If t_1, t_2 are empty words or equivalent to the Boolean 1 then $t_1t(u_1w_1)t_2$ does not represent an implicant of the function computed at o by the definition of a semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean form (first condition). We obtain a contradiction. \square

Our first main result is as follows.

Theorem 4. *A monotone 1-multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean form with p prime implicants has at least p AND gates.*

Proof. Let C be a monotone 1-multilinear Boolean circuit computing the semi-disjoint bilinear form F with p prime implicants. To each prime implicant s of F , we can assign a term $a(s)$ in $T(o)$, where o is the output gate of C that computes the function whose set of prime implicants includes s , such that $a(s)$ represents s and no variable occurs twice or more times in $a(s)$. Next, for an AND gate h of C , let S_h denote the set of prime implicants s of F such that:

1. s is a prime implicant of the function computed at h that is represented by a term $t(s)$ in $T(h)$,
2. s is not a prime implicant of the function computed at either of the two direct predecessors h_1 and h_2 of h , and
3. for the output gate o such that s is a prime implicant of the function computed at this gate, $t(s)$ is a subterm of the term $a(s)$ in $T(o)$ assigned to s (thus, there is a directed path connecting h with o).

Consider any AND gate h of the circuit C . Suppose that $|S_h| \geq 2$. Then, h jointly with its direct predecessors satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2. We obtain a contradiction with the 1-multilinearity of C . We conclude that $|S_h| \leq 1$. On the other hand, note that for each $s \in PI(F)$, there must exist an AND gate h in C such that $s \in S_h$. (To find such a gate h start from the output gate o computing the function in F for which s is a prime implicant and $a(s) \in T(o)$, and iterate the following steps: check if the current gate g satisfies $s \in S_g$, if not go to the direct predecessor of g that computes a function having s as a prime implicant represented by a subterm of $a(s)$.) \square

Our second result/observation in this subsection relies on Corollary 1 in [10].

Fact 1 (Corollary 1 in [10]) *Let C be a monotone Boolean circuit of conjunction depth at most d computing a semi-disjoint bilinear form F with p prime implicants. The circuit C has at least $\frac{p}{2^{2d}}$ AND gates.*

The following theorem is immediately implied by Theorem 3 and Fact 1.

Theorem 5. *Let C be a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint bilinear form F with p prime implicants. The circuit C has at least $\frac{p}{2^{4q-2}}$ gates.*

We can substantially subsume the lower bound of Theorem 5 for larger q by adhering to another lower bound on the size of restricted monotone Boolean circuits computing semi-disjoint bilinear Boolean forms [10].

We shall call a class K of monotone Boolean circuits *k -nice* if (i) for each circuit $U \in K$, for each output gate o in U , each non-zero term in $T(o)$ contains at most k variables, and (ii) K is closed under the replacement of a gate in U by a Boolean constant.

Fact 2 [10]. *Let C be a monotone circuit that computes a semi-disjoint bilinear form F on the variables x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} and y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} , having p prime implicants in total. Suppose that C belongs to a k -nice class K and achieves a minimum size among monotone circuits in K that compute F . C has at least p/k^2 AND gates.*

By combining Theorem 1 with Fact 2, we obtain the following lower bound that subsumes that of Theorem 5 asymptotically. It is our second main result.

Theorem 6. *Let C be a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing a semi-disjoint bilinear form F with p prime implicants. The circuit C has $\Omega(\frac{p}{q^4})$ gates.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, C can be transformed into a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit C' computing the same semi-disjoint bilinear form such that all output terms of C' include at most $2q$ variable occurrences and the size of C' is at most $O(q^2)$ times larger than that of C . The set of monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuits whose output terms include at most $2q$ variable occurrences forms a $2q$ -nice class. Hence, by Fact 2, C' has at least $\frac{p}{4q^2}$ gates. Consequently, C has $\Omega(\frac{p}{q^4})$ gates. \square

In particular, Theorem 6 yields the lower bound of $\Omega(\frac{p}{q^4})$ on the size of a monotone q -multilinear Boolean circuit computing the n -dimensional Boolean vector convolution. The latter bound subsumes the best known lower bound of $\Omega(n^2/\log^6 n)$ on the size of monotone Boolean circuits for this problem due to Grinchuk and Sergeev [2] as long as $q = o(\log^{3/2} n)$.

4.2 Bounds for $Isol_{k,n}$

We define the monotone Boolean function $Isol_{k,n}$ as follows.

Let $X = (x_{i,j,\dots,r})$ be an k -dimensional Boolean matrix such that the indices i, j, \dots, r are in $[n]$, where $[s]$ stands for the set of positive natural numbers not exceeding s . A *line* in X is any sequence of n variables in X , where $k-1$ indices are fixed and the index on the remaining position varies from 1 to n . E.g., in the four-dimensional case, it can be $x_{7,1,5,2}, x_{7,2,5,2}, \dots, x_{7,n,5,2}$.

$Isol_{k,n}(X) = 1$ if and only if in each line in X there is at least one 1. For convenience, we say that a variable *guards* a line if (the matrix entry represented by) the variable belongs to the line.

We shall denote the set of shortest (prime) implicants of a Boolean form F by $SPI(F)$. Note that $SPI(Isol_{k,n})$ consists of (prime) implicants of $Isol_{k,n}$ composed of n^{k-1} distinct variables guarding (i.e., belonging to) pairwise disjoint sets of k lines in the k -dimensional matrix (x_{i_1,\dots,i_k}) . In case $k = 2$, the aforementioned implicants correspond to perfect matchings in $K_{n,n}$.

Lemma 3. *The equality $|SPI(Isol_{k,n})| = \frac{1}{(n^{k-1})!} \prod_{i=0}^{n^{k-1}-1} (n^k - ikn + i(k-1))$ holds.*

Proof. We can pick the first variable in a (prime) implicant in $SPI(Isol_{k,n})$ in n^k ways, the second one in $n^k - kn + (k-1)$ ways, since we have to avoid the lines already guarded by the first variable, similarly the third one in $n^k - 2kn + 2(k-1)$ ways, and so on. Finally, we need to divide the product of all the numbers of ways by $(n^{k-1})!$ as the order of the n^{k-1} variables does not matter. \square

Jukna showed that $Isol_{2,n}$ admits a monotone 2-multilinear Boolean circuit with $\leq 2n^2$ gates [5]. This upper bound can be easily generalized to include an arbitrary $k \geq 2$. The straightforward idea is to let the circuit to compute for each line the disjunction of variables representing matrix entries on the line and then to compute the conjunction of the disjunctions. As each entry belongs to k lines, each variable occurs k times in some terms representing prime implicants of $Isol_{k,n}$ produced by the circuit and on the other hand it never occurs more than k times in any term produced by the circuit. Hence, we obtain the following remark.

Remark 1. $Isol_{k,n}$ admits a Π_2 monotone strictly k -multilinear Boolean circuit with $kn^{k-1}(n-1)$ OR gates and $kn^{k-1} - 1$ AND gates.

A higher alternation depth yields a possibility of lowering the number of occurrences of at least a $\frac{1}{n}$ fraction of the input variables to 1 in the terms produced by a monotone Boolean circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$, without increasing the size of the circuit.

Theorem 7. *$Isol_{k,n}$ admits a Π_4 monotone strictly k -multilinear Boolean circuit with $kn^{k-1}(n-2) + n^{k-1}$ OR gates and $kn^{k-1} - 1$ AND gates, where a $\frac{1}{n}$ fraction of variables occur at most once in any term produced by the circuit.*

Proof. Consider the Π_2 monotone k -multilinear circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$ given in the proof of Remark 1. Pick a shortest prime implicant in $SPI(Isol_{k,n})$ and let Y be the set of variables forming it. For each $y \in Y$, let $L_1(y), \dots, L_k(y)$ be the k lines in the input matrix, where the matrix entry represented by y occurs. Recall that for any distinct $y, y', \in Y$ and any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, $L_i(y) \neq L_j(y')$, and that for each line L in the input matrix there is $y \in Y$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $L = L_i(y)$. In the conjunction of disjunctions computed by the Π_2 circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$, for $y \in Y$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, there must be disjunctions $y \vee Y_i(y)$, representing $L_i(y)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, respectively, where $Y_i(y)$ are disjunctions of variables representing the remaining entries in the lines $L_i(y)$. Thus, the Π_2 circuit computes $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k} y \vee Y_i(y))$. This is equivalent to $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} (y \vee \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k} Y_i(y))$. So, we can modify the Π_2 monotone Boolean circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$ to a Π_4 one computing the latter conjunction. It is easy to see that the modified circuit uses even a slightly smaller number of OR gates and the same number of AND gates as the original one. It is still not $(k-1)$ -multilinear but at least a $\frac{1}{n}$ fraction of the input variables (i.e., those in Y) occur at most once in terms produced by the circuit. \square

As we have mentioned in the introduction, Jukna observed an exponential gap between the size of monotone 2-multilinear Boolean circuits and the size of monotone multilinear (i.e., 1-multilinear) circuits for $Isol_{2,n}$ [5]. In the following, we show that a similar gap holds between Σ_3 monotone k -multilinear Boolean circuits and Σ_3 monotone $(k-1)$ -multilinear Boolean circuits for $Isol_{k,n}$. Our result substantially subsumes a corresponding result stated in Theorem 6 in [7] relying on additional assumptions on the number of terms produced by the circuit. We make use of the following observation.

Lemma 4. *Consider a gate computing a disjunction of variables in a monotone Boolean circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$. If the variables in the disjunction represent entries that do not belong to a common line in the input matrix then the gate can be replaced by the Boolean constant 1.*

Proof. Suppose that there are two variables in the disjunction that do not share a line. Then, no variable occurrence from the disjunction is necessary to guard uniquely a line (i.e., be the only variable belonging to the line) in any output term depending on the disjunction in order to make the term an implicant of $Isol_{k,n}$. Otherwise, the sibling output term resulting from replacing the variable by another one belonging to the disjunction but not lying on the line would not be an implicant of $Isol_{k,n}$. Hence, the gate can be replaced by the Boolean constant 1. Consequently, each pair of variables in the disjunction shares a line which implies that (the entries represented by) all variables in the disjunction occur on the same line in the matrix. \square

Our third main result follows.

Theorem 8. *Any Σ_3 monotone Boolean circuit for $Isol_{k,n}$ has at least $|SPI(Isol_{k,n})|$ AND gates or it is not $(k-1)$ -multilinear.*

Proof. We may assume w.l.o.g. that no gate in the circuit specified in the theorem statement can be replaced by a Boolean constant. Suppose also that the circuit is $(k - 1)$ -multilinear. We shall call a term produced at a gate of the circuit q -multilinear if no variable in the term occurs more than q times.

Consider an AND gate g in the circuit whose $(k - 1)$ -multilinear terms represent the largest number of members in $SIP(Isol_{k,n})$ among all AND gates. We may assume w.l.o.g. that g is a direct predecessor of some OR gate on the top level and there is a direct path from g to the output gate in the circuit.

The gate g computes a conjunction of disjunctions of variables. If the conjunction contains the number of distinct single variable disjunctions equal to the length of a shortest prime implicant of $Isol_{k,n}$ then the terms produced at the gate g (i.e., belonging to $T(g)$) can represent only one such an implicant. Consequently, the number of AND gates has to be not less than $|SIP(Isol_{k,n})|$ in this case.

Otherwise, some of the aforementioned disjunctions have to contain at least two variables. By our assumptions and Lemma 4, each of the at least two-variable disjunctions is composed of variables (representing entries) guarding (i.e., lying on) the same line. On the the hand, for each line at least one of the aforementioned disjunctions has to contain variables lying on this line.

Consider $s \in SIP(Isol_{k,n})$ represented by a $(k - 1)$ -multilinear term produced at g . Recall that each variable in s guards a disjoint set of k lines. It follows that there is at least one set of k lines that is uniquely guarded in s by the same variable belonging solely to ℓ distinct at least two variable disjunctions. If $\ell < k$ then if one picked a single alternative variable from each of the latter disjunctions, the picked variables could guard at most $k - 1$ of these lines. Thus, the gate g and consequently an output gate of the circuit would produce a term not representing an implicant of $Isol_{k,n}$.

It follows that the aforementioned variable occurs at lest k times in the term representing s . We obtain a contradiction with the assumption on $(k - 1)$ -multilinearity of the term and the circuit in this case. \square

5 Final remark

An ultimate goal would be to establish an exponential separation result similar to that of Theorem 8 without the assumption on bounded alternation depth of the circuit. Unfortunately, the lower envelope argument from [3] seems to be helpful in separating only monotone 2-multilinear circuits from monotone 1-multilinear ones [5].

Acknowledgments

The first author thanks Susanna de Rezende for bringing attention to the monotone Boolean circuit complexity of the Boolean permanent problem studied in [14,19] and valuable discussion on monotone multilinear Boolean circuits. Thanks

also go to Stasys Jukna for his valuable comments on monotone multilinear Boolean circuits. The authors are also grateful to anonymous referees for their valuable comments on prior versions of this paper. The research was partially supported by Swedish Research Council grant 621-2017-03750.

References

1. Alon, N., Boppana, R.: The monotone circuit complexity of boolean functions. *Combinatorica*, 7(1), pp. 1–22, 1987.
2. M.I. Grinchuk, M.I., Sergeev, I.S.: Thin circulant matrices and lower bounds on the complexity of some boolean operations. *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper.* **18**, pp. 35–53, 2011. (See also CORR.abs/1701.08557,2017).
3. Jerrum, M., Snir, M.: Some Exact Complexity Results for Straight-Line Computations over Semirings. *J. ACM*, vol. **29**(3), pp. 874–897, 1982.
4. Jukna, S.: Personal communication, June 2022.
5. Jukna, S.: Notes on Boolean Read-k Circuits. *Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex.* TR22-094 (2022).
6. Krieger, M.P.: On the Incompressibility of Monotone DNFs. *Theory Comput. Syst.*, vol. **41**(2), pp. 211–231, 2007.
7. Lingas, A.: Lower Bounds for Monotone q -Multilinear Boolean Circuits. *Proceedings of SOFSEM 2023: Theory and Practice of Computer Science, 48th Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 301-312.
8. Lingas, A.: A Note on Lower Bounds for Monotone Multilinear Boolean Circuits. *Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex.* TR22-085 (2022).
9. Lingas, A.: Small Normalized Boolean Circuits for Semi-disjoint Bilinear Forms Require Logarithmic Conjunction-depth. *Theoretical Computer Science* **820**, pp. 17-25 (2020) (prel. version Computational Complexity Conference (CCC) 2018).
10. Lingas, A.: Towards an Almost Quadratic Lower Bound on the Monotone Circuit Complexity of the Boolean Convolution. *Proceedings of Conference on Theory and Applications of Models of Computation (TAMC) 2016/2017, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, April 2017.
11. Mehlhorn, K., Galil, Z.: Monotone Switching Circuits and Boolean Matrix Product. *Computing* **16**, pp. 99–111 (1976)
12. Nešetřil, J.,S. Poljak, S.: On the complexity of the subgraph problem. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, **26**(2), pp. 415–419 (1985)
13. Paterson, M.: Complexity of Monotone Networks for Boolean Matrix Product. *Theoretical Computer Science* **1**(1), pp. 13–20 (1975)
14. Ponnuswami, A.K., Venkateswaran, H.: Monotone Multilinear Boolean Circuits for Bipartite Perfect Matching Require Exponential Size. In *Proc. of 24th International Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science (FST-TCS)*, pp. 16-18, 2004.
15. Pratt, R.: The Power of Negative Thinking in Multiplying Boolean Matrices. *SIAM J. Comput.* **4**(3), pp. 326–330 (1975)
16. Raz, R.: Multi-Linear Formulas for Permanent and Determinant are of Super-Polynomial Size. *Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex.*(067) (2003)
17. Raz, R., Wigderson, A.: Monotone circuits for matching require linear depth. *J. ACM* **39**(3), pp. 736–744, 1992.

18. Razborov, A. A.: Lower bounds for the monotone complexity of some boolean functions. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **31**, pp. 354–357, 1985.
19. Razborov, A. A.: Lower bounds on monotone complexity of the logical permanent. *Math. Notes of the Acad. of Sci. of the USSR*, **37**(6), pp. 485–493, 1985.
20. Schnorr, C.P.: A Lower Bound on the Number of Additions in Monotone Computations. *Theoretical Computer Science* **2**(3), pp. 305–315, 1976.
21. Sengupta, R., Venkateswaran, H.: Multilinearity can be exponentially restrictive (pre-liminary version). Technical Report GIT-CC-94-40, Georgia Institute of Technology. College of Computing (1994)
22. Shamir, E., Snir, M.: Lower bounds on the number of multiplications and the number of additions in monotone computations. Tech Rep RC 6757, IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, N Y , 1977.