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#### Abstract

The uniform Turán density $\pi_{\bullet}(F)$ of a 3 -uniform hypergraph $F$ is the supremum over all $d$ for which there is an $F$-free hypergraph with the property that every linearly sized subhypergraph with density at least $d$. Determining $\pi_{\therefore}(F)$ for given hypergraphs $F$ was suggested by Erdős and Sós in 1980s. In particular, they raised the questions of determining $\pi_{\bullet} \cdot\left(K_{4}^{(3)-}\right)$ and $\pi_{\bullet} \cdot\left(K_{4}^{(3)}\right)$. The former question was solved recently in [Israel J. Math. 211 (2016), 349-366] and [J. Eur. Math. Soc. 20 (2018), 1139-1159], while the latter is still a major open problem. In addition to $K_{4}^{(3)-}$, there are very few hypergraphs whose uniform Turán density has been determined.

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for 3-uniform hypergraphs $F$ satisfying $\pi_{\bullet} \cdot(F)=1 / 4$. In particular, currently all known 3 -uniform hypergraphs whose uniform Turán density is $1 / 4$, such as $K_{4}^{(3)-}$ and the 3 -uniform hypergraphs $F_{5}^{\star}$ studied in [arXiv:2211.12747], satisfy this condition. Moreover, we find some intriguing 3-uniform hypergraphs whose uniform Turán density is also $1 / 4$.


## 1. Introduction

For a positive integer $n$, we denote by $[n]$ the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Given $k \geq 2$, for a finite set $V$, we use $[V]^{k}$ to denote the collection of all subsets of $V$ of size $k$. We may drop one pair of brackets and write $[n]^{k}$ instead of $[[n]]^{k}$. In addition, we simply write $i_{1} \ldots i_{k}$ to denote a set $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$. A $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ (or $k$-graph for short) is a pair $H=(V, E)$, where $V$ is a finite set of vertices and $E \subseteq[V]^{k}$ is a set of (hyper)edges (or $k$-edges). Given a 3-graph $F$ and a vertex $v \in V(F)$, let $\partial F:=\{e \backslash\{x\}: x \in e \in E(F)\}$ be the shadow of $F$, and $L_{v}(F):=\{e \backslash\{v\}: v \in e \in E(F)\}$ be the link graph of $v$. Clearly, $\partial F=\cup_{v \in V(F)} L_{v}(F)$. Given two 3-graphs $F$ and $H$, we write $F \subseteq H$ to denote that $H$ contains a copy of $F$ as a subhypergraph.

A classical extremal problem for (hyper)graphs introduced by Turán [26] about 80 years ago, asks to study for a given (hyper)graph $F$ its Turán number ex $(n, F)$, the maximum number of (hyper)edges in an $F$-free (hyper)graph on $n$ vertices. It is a long-standing open problem in Extremal Combinatorics to develop some understanding of these numbers. Ideally, one would like to compute them exactly, but even asymptotic results (for $k \geq 3$ ) are currently only known in certain cases, see a wonderful survey [16]. It is well known and not hard to observe that the sequence $e x(n, F) /\binom{n}{k}$ is decreasing. Thus, one often focuses on the Turán density $\pi(F)$ of $F$ defined by

$$
\pi(F)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e x(n, F)}{\binom{n}{k}}
$$

[^0]Turán densities are well-understood for graphs, i.e., 2-graphs. Indeed, the Mantel's theorem [17] and the Turán's theorem [26] gave the Turán number of complete graphs exactly, and Erdős and Stone [9] (also see Erdős and Simonovits [7]) determined the Turán density of any graph $F$ to be equal to $\frac{\chi(F)-2}{\chi(F)-1}$, where $\chi(F)$ denotes the chromatic number of $F$, that is the minimum number of colors used to color $V(F)$ such that any two adjacent vertices receive distinct colors. In particular, let $\Pi^{(k)}=\{\pi(F): F$ is a $k$-graph $\}$. Then

$$
\Pi^{(2)}=\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \ldots, \frac{t-2}{t-1}, \ldots\right\}
$$

However, the extremal problems for hypergraphs are notoriously difficult, even for "simple" hypergraphs like the 3 -uniform clique $K_{4}^{(3)}$ on four vertices and $K_{4}^{(3)-}$, the 3 -graph with four vertices and three hyperedges. Despite much effort and attempts so far, our knowledge is somewhat limited, such as the best-known bounds (see $[6,10,1,2])$ for $\pi\left(K_{4}^{(3)}\right)$ and $\pi\left(K_{4}^{(3-)}\right)$ are

$$
0 . \dot{5}=\frac{5}{9} \leq \pi\left(K_{4}^{(3)}\right) \leq 0.5615 \quad \text { and } \quad 0 . \dot{2} \dot{8} \dot{5} \dot{\mathrm{~F}} \dot{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{2}{7} \leq \pi\left(K_{4}^{(3)-}\right) \leq 0.2871
$$

Moreover, it is known that the corresponding set $\Pi^{(3)}$ is much more complicated than $\Pi^{(2)}$ and as a subset of the reals it is not well-ordered (see, e.g., [11, 18, 14]).
1.1. Turán problems in uniformly dense hypergraphs. In the following, we focus on 3 graphs, and unless stated otherwise, all hypergraphs considered are 3-uniform. An important reason for the extreme difficulty of the hypergraph Turán problems is the existence of certain quasirandom hypergraphs (some hypergraphs with positive density obtained from random tournaments or random colorings of complete graphs) that avoid given subhypergraphs. More precisely, a $k$ graph $H=(V, E)$ is quasi-random with density $d>0$ if every subset $U \subseteq V$ satisfies

$$
\left|\left|\binom{U}{k} \cap E\right|-d\binom{|U|}{k}\right|=o\left(|V|^{k}\right) \text {. }
$$

The main result in [5] asserts quasi-random graphs with positive density contain a correct number of copies of arbitrary graphs $F$ of fixed size, namely, the number of copies of $F$ is as expected in the random graph with the same density. As mentioned above, the subhypergraph containment problem for quasi-random hypergraphs is quite different from the graph case. This suggests a systematic study of subhypergraph containment problem in quasi-random hypergraphs. Note that for Turán-type problems, it is sufficient to require only for a 3 -graph $H=(V, E)$ a lower bound of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\binom{U}{3} \cap E\right| \geq d\binom{|U|}{3}-\mu|V|^{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to hold for any $U \subseteq V$ and $\mu>0$. In general, a 3-graph $H$ satisfying Inequality (1.1) is said to be (d, $\mu$ )-dense (or uniformly dense).

In 1982, Erdős and Sós [8] were the first to raise questions on the Turán density in uniformly dense hypergraphs. Specifically, restricting to uniformly dense hypergraphs, the uniform Turán density $\pi_{\bullet} .(F)$ for a given 3 -graph $F$ can be defined as

$$
\pi_{\therefore} \therefore(F)=\sup \left\{d \in[0,1]: \text { for every } \mu>0 \text { and } n_{0} \in \mathbb{N} \text {, there exists an } F\right. \text {-free }
$$

With this notation at hand, Erdős and Sós asked to determine $\pi_{\bullet}\left(K_{4}^{(3)-}\right)$ and $\pi_{\therefore} .\left(K_{4}^{(3)}\right)$. However, determining $\pi_{\therefore}(F)$ of a given 3 -graph $F$ is also very challenging. $\pi_{\bullet}\left(K_{4}^{(3)-}\right)=1 / 4$ was solved recently by Glebov, Král' and Volec [13], and independently by Reiher, Rödl and Schacht [23]. The conjecture for $\pi_{\bullet}\left(K_{4}^{(3)}\right)=1 / 2$ has been an urgent problem in this area since Rödl [24] gave a quasirandom construction in 1986. In addition to $K_{4}^{(3)-}$, Reiher, Rödl and Schacht [21] characterised all 3 -graphs $F$ with $\pi \therefore(F)=0$.

Theorem 1.1 ([21, Theorem 1.2]). A 3-graph $F$ on $f$ vertices satisfies $\pi_{.} .(F)=0$ if and only if it has the following property. There exists an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ of $V(F)$ and there is a 3-coloring $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red, blue, green $\}$ such that every hyperedge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i} v_{k}\right)\right)=(\text { red, blue, green }) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, they [22] also proposed the following problem.
Problem 1.2 ([22, Problem 1.7]). Determine $\pi .:(F)$ for all 3-graphs $F$.
Very recently, Bucić, Cooper, Král', Mohr and Munhá Correia [3] determined the uniform Turán density of all tight 3 -uniform cycles of length at least five; Garbe, Král' and Lamaison [12] shown a specific family of 3 -graphs $F$ with $\pi_{\bullet} .(F)=1 / 27$; Chen and Schülke [4] proved $\pi_{.} .\left(F_{5}^{\star}\right)=1 / 4$, where $F_{5}^{\star}$ is a 3 -graph on 5 vertices that is obtained from $K_{4}^{(3)-}$ by adding a new vertex whose link graph forms a matching of size 2 on the vertices of $K_{4}^{(3)-}$. Given a 3 -graph $F$, the $t$-blow-up of $F$, denoted by $F(t)$, is the 3-graph obtained from $F$ by replacing every vertex of $F$ by $t$ copies of itself. Further, we say $F^{\prime}$ is a blow-up of $F$ if $F \subseteq F^{\prime} \subseteq F(t)$ for some positive integer $t$. By the Hypergraph Blow-up Lemma of Keevash [15], one can easily verify that $\pi_{\therefore} .(F)=\pi_{.} .\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ for every 3 -graph $F$ and its blow-up $F^{\prime}$. Although there are partial results for the uniform Turán density of other 3 -graphs (see Reiher's survey [20]), as far as we know, the aforementioned 3-graphs and their blow-ups are the only 3 -graphs whose uniform Turán density is determined.
1.2. Our result. In this work, we first characterize a class of 3 -graphs with the density $1 / 4$. We start with some notation. For simplicity, we define $\mathcal{F} \geq 1 / 4$ as the collection of 3 -graphs $F$ with $f$ vertices that do not satisfy the following property:
(中) There exists an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ of $V(F)$ and there is a 2-coloring $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red, blue $\}$ such that every 3 -edge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies

$$
\left.\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i} v_{k}\right)\right)=(\text { red, red, blue }) \text { or (blue, blue, red }\right) .
$$

Using a probabilistic construction, we have the following fact.
Fact 1.3. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4}$, we have $\pi_{:} .(F) \geq 1 / 4$.
Proof. Given a positive integer $n$, we consider a random 2-coloring $\chi:[n]^{2} \rightarrow\{$ red, blue $\}$ with each color associated to a pair with probability $1 / 2$ independently. We define a random 3-graph $H(n)$ on set $[n]$ as follows. For any triple $i j k \in[n]^{3}, i j k \in E(H(n))$ if and only if $i j k$ satisfies

$$
(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { red, red, blue }) \\
3
\end{array} \text { or (blue, blue, red }\right) .
$$
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Observe that for any $i j k \in[n]^{3}$, the probability of the event " $i j k \in E(H(n))$ " happening is $1 / 4$. By standard probabilistic arguments, we can easily check that for any fixed $\mu>0$ with probability tending to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty, H(n)$ is $(1 / 4, \mu)$-dense. In addition, each subhypergraph of $H(n)$ satisfies the property ( $\boldsymbol{\&}$ ). Therefore, $H(n)$ is $F$-free and $\pi .:(F) \geq 1 / 4$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F} \geq 1 / 4$.

Next, we define $\mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$ as the collection of 3 -graphs $F$ with $f$ vertices satisfying the following property:
$(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$ There exists an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ of $V(F)$ with an integer $i^{\star} \in[f]$, and there is a 6 coloring $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red,blue, green, violet, cyan, black $\}$ such that every 3 -edge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies exactly one of the following:
(1) $\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=($ blue, violet, red $)$ and $i j k \in[f]^{3}$;
(2) $\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=\left(\right.$ green, cyan, blue) and $i j k \in\left[i^{\star}\right]^{3}$;
(3) $\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=\left(\right.$ green, black, red) and $1 \leq i<j<i^{\star}<k \leq f$.

Combining the properties ( $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ ) and $(\boldsymbol{\uparrow})$, we obtain the following general result.
Theorem 1.4. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$, we have $\pi_{:}(F)=1 / 4$.
We notice that the family $\mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F} \leq 1 / 4$ contains many intriguing 3 -graphs. For instance, it is easy to check that $K_{4}^{(3)-} \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$ (for more details, refer to the proof of Theorem 1.5). Recalling that $F_{5}^{\star}$ is a 3 -graph that is obtained from $K_{4}^{(3)-}$ by adding a new vertex whose link graph forms a matching on the vertices of $K_{4}^{(3)-}$ (see Figure 1a). From that point of view, the shadow $\partial K_{4}^{(3)-}$ of $K_{4}^{(3)-}$ can be described as the complete graph $K_{4}$ which can be partitioned into exactly three matchings of size 2 . Given $t \in\{5,6,7\}$, let $F_{t}^{\star}$ denote a 3 -graph on $t$ vertices that is obtained from $K_{4}^{(3)-}$ by adding $(t-4)$ vertices such that the link graph of each additional vertex forms a matching of size 2 on $V\left(K_{4}^{(3)-}\right)$ and the link graphs of additional vertices are disjoint (see Figure 1). Furthermore, we consider a 3-graph, denoted by $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$, by adding a hyperedge consisting of three additional vertices to the 3 -graph $F_{7}^{\star}$. In other words, $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ is formed by 7 vertices $\{a, b, c, d, x, y, z\}$ and 10 hyperedges $\{a b c, a b d, a c d, x a b, x c d, y a c, y b d, z a d, z b c, x y z\}$. Using Theorem 1.4, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.5. We have $\pi_{\therefore}\left(\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}\right)=1 / 4$.
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Remark 1. Observe that $K_{4}^{(3)-} \subseteq F_{5}^{\star} \subseteq F_{6}^{\star} \subseteq F_{7}^{\star} \subseteq \hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$, but $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ is not a blow-up of $K_{4}^{(3)-}$, $F_{5}^{\star}, F_{6}^{\star}$ or $F_{7}^{\star}$. In particular, due to $K_{4}^{(3)-} \subseteq F_{5}^{\star} \subseteq F_{6}^{\star} \subseteq F_{7}^{\star} \subseteq \hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$, Theorem 1.5 implies that $\left\{F_{5}^{\star}, F_{6}^{\star}, F_{7}^{\star}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$.

Given a positive integer $t \geq 3$, let $W_{t}^{(3)}$ denote the 3-uniform wheel on $t$ vertices, is a 3 -graph with $t$ vertices that can be ordered $\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}\right\}$ such that $\left\{v_{0}, v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\}$ is a 3 -edge of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t} \backslash\{0\}$ (see Figure 2a). Clearly, the shadow $\partial W_{t}^{(3)}$ of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ forms a wheel graph on $t$ vertices. In addition, $W_{4}^{(3)}=K_{4}^{(3)-}$. Using Theorem 1.4, we completely determine the uniform Turán density of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ for all $t \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.6. For $t \geq 3$,

$$
\pi_{\therefore}\left(W_{t}^{(3)}\right)= \begin{cases}1 / 4, & \text { if } t \text { is even } \\ 0, & \text { if } t \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2. A double pyramid is a 3 -graph on $t+1$ vertices $x, y, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}$ for some integer $t \geq 4$, whose hyperedges are $\left\{x, v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{y, v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t} \backslash\{0\}$, see Figure (2b). The double pyramids have very good connectivity and symmetry. In the study of 3 -graphs, the double pyramid has been of interest because of its connections to other structures and properties, such as Brown, Erdős and Sós [25] proved that if a 3 -graph $H$ has $n$ vertices and $\Omega\left(n^{5 / 2}\right)$ edges, then $H$ contains a double pyramid. By Theorem 1.6, we can directly obtain the uniform Turán density of all double pyramids with given size, since every double pyramid on $t+1$ vertices is a blow-up of $W_{t}^{(3)}$. Note that we have that $\pi(F) \geq \pi \cdot:(F)$ for every 3 -graph $F$. Therefore, the Turán density of a double pyramid with odd vertices is at least $1 / 4$.
1.3. Organization. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will review a framework presented in Reiher's survey [20], namely, reduced hypergraphs (or reduced 3graphs). This framework encompasses regularity arguments for 3 -graphs and has been a widely recognized tool for embedding subhypergraphs in dense 3 -graphs. In Section 3, we will prove some intersection lemmas for reduced 3 -graphs that match the scenarios used in our arguments. We will then apply these lemmas to analyze the structural properties of reduced 3 -graphs with a density greater than $1 / 4$ in Section 4, and give an embedding lemma (see Lemma 4.5), which is the key to proving Theorem 1.4. At the end of Section 4, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.4. Using

Theorem 1.4, we will prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 in Section 5. Finally, we close the paper with some further discussions in the last section.

## 2. Reduced hypergraphs

In this section, we introduce the concept of reduced 3-graphs, which encapsulates hypergraph regularity arguments pertaining to the uniform Turán density of 3 -graphs. We follow some notion introduced in Reiher's survey [20, Section 3]. It should be noted that the concept of reduced 3 -graphs has also been mentioned in other related works $[23,21,3,12,4]$.

Definition 2.1 (Reduced 3-graph). Given a finite index set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, for each pair $i j \in[I]^{2}$, let $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ denote a finite nonempty vertex set such that for any two distinct pairs $i j, i^{\prime} j^{\prime} \in[I]^{2}$ the sets $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ are disjoint. For any triple $i j k \in[I]^{3}$, let $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$ denote a 3 -partite 3 -graph with vertex partition $\mathcal{P}_{i j}, \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{i k}$. Then the $\binom{|I|}{2}$-partite 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ with

$$
V(\mathcal{A})=\bigcup_{i j \in[I]^{2}} \mathcal{P}_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad E(\mathcal{A})=\bigcup_{i j k \in[I]^{3}} E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)
$$

is called an $I$-reduced 3 -graph with index set $I$, vertex classes $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ and constituents $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$. Moreover, we refer to vertices that belong to $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ as left vertices of $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$, those that belong to $\mathcal{P}_{j k}$ as right vertices of $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$ and those that belong to $\mathcal{P}_{i k}$ as top vertices of $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$.

Remark 3. For brevity, we often simply write " $\mathcal{A}$ is a reduced 3 -graph" instead of " $\mathcal{A}$ is an $I$ reduced 3-graph for some index set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ ". Given an $I$-reduced 3-graph $\mathcal{A}$ with $I=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$, the reverse of $\mathcal{A}$ is an $I$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ with the same vertex set and the same hyperedge set as $\mathcal{A}$ but with the partition of vertices given by $\mathcal{P}_{x_{i}, x_{j}}^{-1}=\mathcal{P}_{x_{n-j+1}, x_{n-i+1}}$ for $i j \in[n]^{2}$. Clearly, every reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ and its reverse $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ are isomorphic. In particular, left vertices with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ become right vertices of $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$; right vertices with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ becomes left vertices of $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$; top vertices with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ are still top for $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$.

Similar to the role of reduced graphs in the Szemerédi's regularity method, we need to express our density conditions in terms of reduced 3 -graphs.

Definition 2.2. Given $d \in[0,1]$ and an $I$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is $d$-dense if

$$
\left|E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right| \geq d\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|
$$

holds for all $i j k \in[I]^{3}$.
When applying the regularity method for 3 -graphs, if a given 3 -graph $F$ can be embedded into a uniformly dense 3 -graph $H$, then the corresponding reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to $H$ inherits a property reflecting this. As a result, we need to define how a reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ "embeds" a given 3 -graph $F$.

Definition 2.3. Given a 3 -graph $F$ and an $I$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ embeds $F$ if there is a pair of maps $(\phi, \psi)$ such that
(1) $\phi: V(F) \rightarrow I$ and $\psi: \partial F \rightarrow V(\mathcal{A})$;
(2) if $\{u, v\} \in \partial F$, then $\psi(u, v) \in \mathcal{P}_{\phi(u) \phi(v)}$;
(3) if $\{u, v, w\} \in E(F)$, then $\{\psi(u, v), \psi(v, w), \psi(u, w)\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{\phi(u) \phi(v) \phi(w)}\right)$.

In Reiher's survey [20], a general theorem is provided that reduces the process of proving the upper bound of the uniform Turán density of a 3 -graph $F$ to embedding $F$ into reduced 3 -graphs with the same density.

Theorem 2.4 (Reiher [20]). Let $F$ be a 3-graph and $d \in[0,1]$. If for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each $(d+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ embeds $F$, then $\pi_{\bullet} \cdot(F) \leq d$.

## 3. Intersection lemmas

In this section, we present some intersection lemmas for reduced 3 -graphs that match the scenarios used in our arguments. To prove these lemmas, a main tool is the classical Ramsey theorem for multicolored $k$-graphs, which is stated below for reference.

Theorem 3.1 (Ramsey [19]). For any $r_{R}, k_{R}, n_{R} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N_{R} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $r_{R^{-}}$ edge-coloring of a $k_{R}$-uniform clique with $N_{R}$ vertices contains a monochromatic $k_{R}$-uniform clique with $n_{R}$ vertices.

Given an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, with candidate sets of good properties for each constituent, such as considering the candidate sets as top vertices with large degrees for each constituent, we aim to choose a representative vertex from each vertex class in $\mathcal{A}$ that possesses good properties for each constituent that it belongs to. Since each vertex class in $\mathcal{A}$ may belong to many different constituents, it is possible that no single vertex is suitable for all constituents of $\mathcal{A}$, even if the size of the candidate sets with good properties relative to each constituent involving the vertex class is linearly proportional. However, by leveraging the power of Ramsey theory, it is possible to find such a representative vertex by passing to the induced subhypergraph of $\mathcal{A}$.

The next two lemmas are intended to identify representative vertices based on candidate sets from the top vertices and the right vertices of the constituents, respectively. It should be noted that the proofs of these two lemmas have been implicitly presented in [21] using an iterative approach, while alternative proofs can also be found in $[12,3]$.

Lemma 3.2 ([3, Lemma 4.3] or [12, Lemma 10]). For any $\delta>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph and there exists a subset $X_{i j k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ with $\left|X_{i j k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$ for all $i j k \in[N]^{3}$, then there is an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $n$ and there are vertices $x_{i k} \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ for all $i k \in[I]^{2}$ such that

$$
x_{i k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, j \in I} X_{i j k} .
$$

Lemma 3.3 ([3, Lemma 4.5] or [12, Lemma 9]). For any $\delta>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph and there exists a subset $X_{i j k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ with $\left|X_{i j k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$ for all $i j k \in[N]^{3}$, then there is an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $n$ and vertices $x_{j k} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j k \in[I]^{2}$ such that

$$
x_{j k} \in \bigcap_{\substack{i<j<k, i \in I \\ 7}} X_{i j k}
$$

The following intersection lemma is designed to select representative vertices from candidate sets based on 3-partite graphs that we define later on sets $\mathcal{P}_{i j} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ for indices $i<j<j^{\prime}<k$. Given an [ $N]$-reduced 3-graph $\mathcal{A}$, for any $i j j^{\prime} k \in[N]^{4}$, if we have a candidate set $R_{i j j^{\prime} k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$ that is linearly proportional in size, then by Ramsey theory, we can still find a representative vertex with respect to those sets $P_{i j^{\prime}}$ by passing to the induced subhypergraph of $\mathcal{A}$. In fact, fixed $i$ and $j^{\prime}$, the candidate indicators of $j$ and $k$ can form a dense bipartite graph, and any bipartite graph with positive density contains a complete bipartite graph of a given size. Note that the following lemma is equivalent to [3, Lemma 4.5], and as a result, we omit its proof here.

Lemma 3.4 ([3, Lemma 4.8]). For any $\delta>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that following holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph and there exists a subset $R_{i j j^{\prime} k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$ with $\left|R_{i j j^{\prime} k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}\right|$ for each $i j j^{\prime} k \in[N]^{4}$, then there is an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $n$ and there are vertices $r_{i j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$ for all $i j^{\prime} \in[I]^{2}$ such that

$$
r_{i j^{\prime}} \in \bigcap_{i<j<j^{\prime}<k, j k \in[I]^{2}} R_{i j j^{\prime} k}
$$

Given an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, if we have a dense candidate set $L_{i j j^{\prime} k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for any $i j j^{\prime} k \in$ $[N]^{4}$, we can not obtain the analogous conclusion as in Lemma 3.4. It is possible that there may not be any vertex $\ell_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ such that $\ell_{i j} \in L_{i j x y} \cap L_{i j x z} \cap L_{i j y z}$ for any $1 \leq i<j<x<y<z \leq N$. In fact, for fixed $i$ and $j$, the candidate indicators of $j^{\prime}$ and $k$ form a dense graph, but a graph with positive density does not necessarily contain a complete graph of a given size; it may not even contain a triangle. However, we may still need to identify the representative vertex from some vertex class of $\mathcal{A}$ that match the scenarios used in our later arguments. Therefore, we give the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.5. For any $\delta>0$ and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph and there exists a subset $L_{i j j^{\prime} k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ with $\left|L_{i j j^{\prime} k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|$ for each ijj $j^{\prime} k \in[N]^{4}$, then there is an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ where $\left|I_{1}\right|=n_{1}$, $\left|I_{2}\right|=n_{2}$ and $\max I_{1}<\min I_{2}$, and there exist vertices $\ell_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
\ell_{i j} \in \bigcap_{i<j<j^{\prime}<k, j^{\prime} \in I_{1}, k \in I_{2}} L_{i j j^{\prime} k}
$$

Proof. Given $\delta>0$ and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, we apply Theorem 3.1 with $r_{R}=2, k_{R}=n_{1}+n_{2}+2$ and $n_{R}=\max \left\{2 n_{1}+n_{2}, n_{0}+3\right\}$ to get $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $n_{0}$ is a positive integer such that any balanced bipartite graph on $n_{0}$ vertices with at least $\frac{\delta n_{0}^{2}}{4}$ edges contains a complete bipartite graph $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph satisfying the condition of the lemma. We now consider a 2 -edgecoloring $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)$-uniform clique on the vertex set [ $N$ ] as follows. Given an $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)$-edge $Q=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2} \in[N]^{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}$, we define that $Q$ is colored blue if there exists a vertex $\ell_{a_{1} a_{2}} \in \mathcal{P}_{a_{1} a_{2}}$ such that

$$
\ell_{a_{1} a_{2}} \in \bigcap_{a_{2}<x \leq a_{n_{1}+2}<y \leq a_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}, x y \in[Q]^{2}} L_{a_{1} a_{2} x y},
$$

otherwise $Q$ is colored red.

By Theorem 3.1, there exists a subset $S \subseteq[N]$ with $|S|=n_{R}$ such that all edges $Q$ induced on $S$ have same color. For convenience, we rearrange the indices in $S$ and write $S=\left[n_{R}\right]$. Note that each $L_{12 x y} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{12}$ satisfies $\left|L_{12 x y}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{12}\right|$ for all $2<x \leq\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+1<y \leq n_{R}$. By double counting, there exists a vertex $\ell_{12} \in \mathcal{P}_{12}$ that is contained in at least

$$
\delta\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}-2}{2}\right\rceil\left\lfloor\frac{n_{R}-2}{2}\right\rfloor>\frac{\delta n_{0}^{2}}{4}
$$

$L_{12 x y}$ 's for some $x \in\left\{3, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+1\right\}$ and $y \in\left\{\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+2, \ldots, n_{R}\right\}$. Let us define a bipartite graph $G$ with partition sets $\left\{3, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+1\right\}$ and $\left\{\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+2, \ldots, n_{R}\right\}$ by putting an edge $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ if and only if $\ell_{12} \in L_{12 x y}$. Clearly, $|E(G)|>\frac{\delta n_{0}^{2}}{4}$. Based on the choice of $n_{0}$, there exists a complete graph $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ in $G$, which means that there is an $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)$-set $Q=12 a_{3} \ldots a_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}$ with $\left\{a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n_{1}+2}\right\} \subset\left\{3, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+1\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n_{1}+3}, \ldots, a_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}\right\} \subset\left\{\left\lceil\frac{n_{R}}{2}\right\rceil+2, \ldots, n_{R}\right\}$ such that $Q$ is colored blue. Therefore, the common color for the edges induced on $S$ is blue.

Now we choose $I=\left\{1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}, I_{2}=\left\{n_{R}-n_{2}+1, \ldots, n_{R}\right\}$. Clearly, $I_{1} \cup I_{2} \subset\left[n_{R}\right],\left|I_{1}\right|=n_{1}$, $\left|I_{2}\right|=n_{2}$ and $\max I_{1}<\min I_{2}$. For any $i j \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{2}$, we extend $\{i, j\} \cup\left\{x \in I_{1}: x>j\right\} \cup I_{2}$ to an $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)$-set $Q$ by adding some elements from set $\left\{n_{1}+1, \ldots, 2 n_{1}\right\}$. Since $Q$ is colored blue, there is a vertex $\ell_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ satisfying

$$
\ell_{i j} \in \bigcap_{i<j<j^{\prime}<k, j^{\prime} \in I_{1}, k \in I_{2}} L_{i j j^{\prime} k} .
$$

In the proofs of the next section, we will encounter a situation that appears unfavorable. Given an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, we may only have sets of candidate properties for some constituents of $\mathcal{A}$, but not all. For example, only consider candidate sets for those $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$ with $i<j<t$ and $t<k$ for some $t \in[N]$. Obviously, we can not directly use Ramsey's theorem to reach the analogous conclusion as in Lemma 3.2 or Lemma 3.3, since Ramsey's theorem is based on complete hypergraphs. The following intersection lemma is designed to select representative vertices based on candidate sets depending on some, but not all, constituents of $\mathcal{A}$. In this case, it is feasible to select the representative vertices by using Ramsey's theorem iteratively.

Lemma 3.6. For any $\delta>0$ and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph and there exist subsets $W_{i j k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ with $\left|W_{i j k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$ for each $1 \leq i<j \leq N-n_{2}<k \leq N$, then there is an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I \cup\left\{N-n_{2}+1, \ldots, N\right\}$ where $I \subseteq\left[N-n_{2}\right]$ and $|I|=n_{1}$, and there exist vertices $w_{j k} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j \in I$ and $k \in\left\{N-n_{2}+1, \ldots, N\right\}$ such that

$$
w_{j k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in I} W_{i j k} .
$$

Proof. Given $\delta>0$ and $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, we apply Theorem 3.1 iteratively $n_{2}$ times, and choose constants satisfying the following hierarchy (from right to left):

$$
N-n_{2}=m_{n_{2}} \gg t_{n_{2}} \gg m_{n_{2}-1} \gg \underset{9}{\cdots} \gg t_{2} \gg m_{1} \gg t_{1} \gg m_{0}=n_{1}, 1 / \delta
$$

To be more precise, in the first iteration, we apply Theorem 3.1 with $r_{R}=2, k_{R}=m_{0}$ and $n_{R}=t_{1}=\max \left\{2 n_{1},\left\lceil\frac{n_{1}}{\delta}\right\rceil\right\}$ to get $N_{R}=m_{1}$. In the $\ell$ th iteration, we apply Theorem 3.1 with $r_{R}=2, k_{R}=m_{\ell-1}$ and $n_{R}=t_{\ell}=\max \left\{2 m_{\ell-1},\left\lceil\frac{m_{\ell-1}}{\delta}\right\rceil\right\}$ to get $N_{R}=m_{\ell}$. In the last iteration, we apply Theorem 3.1 with $r_{R}=2, k_{R}=m_{n_{2}-1}$ and $n_{R}=t_{n_{2}}=\max \left\{2 m_{n_{2}-1},\left\lceil\frac{m_{n_{2}-1}}{\delta}\right\rceil\right\}$ to get $N_{R}=m_{n_{2}}$, and set $N=m_{n_{2}}+n_{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $[N]$-reduced 3-graph satisfying the condition of the lemma. First, let $k=N-n_{2}+1$. We consider a 2-edge-coloring $m_{n_{2}-1}$-uniform clique on the vertex set $\left[m_{n_{2}}\right]$ as follows. Given a $m_{n_{2}-1}$-edge $Q=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m_{n_{2}-1}} \in\left[m_{n_{2}}\right]^{m_{n_{2}-1}}$, let $Q$ be colored blue if there exists a vertex $w_{a_{m_{n_{2}-1}} k} \in \mathcal{P}_{a_{m_{n_{2}-1}} k}$ such that

$$
w_{a_{m_{n_{2}-1}} k} \in \bigcap_{i \in Q \backslash\left\{a_{m_{n_{2}-1}}\right\}} W_{i a_{m_{n_{2}-1}} k},
$$

otherwise $Q$ is colored red.
By Theorem 3.1, there exists a set $T_{n_{2}} \subseteq\left[m_{n_{2}}\right]$ with $\left|T_{n_{2}}\right|=t_{n_{2}}$ such that all hyperedges $Q$ induced on set $T_{n_{2}}$ have same color. For convenience, we rearrange the indices in $T_{n_{2}}$ and write $T_{n_{2}}=\left[t_{n_{2}}\right]$. By the condition of the lemma, each $W_{i t_{n_{2}} k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{t_{n_{2}} k}$ satisfies $\left|W_{i t_{n_{2}} k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{t_{n_{2}} k}\right|$ for all $i \in\left[t_{n_{2}}-1\right]$. By double counting, there exists a vertex $w_{t_{n_{2}} k} \in \mathcal{P}_{t_{n_{2}} k}$ that is contained in at least

$$
\frac{\delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{t_{n_{2}} k}\right|\left(t_{n_{2}}-1\right)}{\left|\mathcal{P}_{t_{n_{2}} k}\right|}=\delta\left(t_{n_{2}}-1\right) \geq m_{n_{2}-1}-1
$$

$W_{i t_{n_{2}} k}$ 's for some $i \in\left[t_{n_{2}}-1\right]$, where the last inequality follows from $t_{n_{2}}=\max \left\{2 m_{n_{2}-1},\left\lceil\frac{m_{n_{2}-1}}{\delta}\right\rceil\right\}$. This implies that the common color for the hyperedges induced on $\left[t_{n_{2}}\right]$ is blue. Now we choose $M_{n_{2}-1}=\left\{m_{n_{2}-1}+1, \ldots, 2 m_{n_{2}-1}\right\}$. For any $j \in M_{n_{2}-1}$, consider the $m_{n_{2}-1}$-set $Q=\left\{j-m_{n_{2}-1}+\right.$ $1, \ldots, j\}$. Since $Q$ is colored blue, there is a vertex $w_{j k} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ satisfying

$$
w_{j k} \in \bigcap_{i \in Q \backslash\{j\}} W_{i j k} \subseteq \bigcap_{i<j<k,} W_{i \in M_{n_{2}-1}} W_{i j k}
$$

Next, let $K_{\ell}=\left\{N-n_{2}+1, \ldots, N-n_{2}+\ell\right\}$ for $\ell \in\left[n_{2}\right]$. For convenience, we rearrange the indices in $M_{n_{2}-\ell+1}$ and write $M_{n_{2}-\ell+1}=\left[m_{n_{2}-\ell+1}\right]$. We apply Theorem 3.1 again, but this time to consider a 2 -edge-coloring $m_{n_{2}-\ell \text {-uniform clique on the vertex set }\left[m_{n_{2}-\ell+1} \text { ] as follows. Given a }\right.}^{\text {- }}$ $m_{n_{2}-\ell}$-edge $Q=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m_{n_{2}-\ell}} \in\left[m_{n_{2}-\ell+1}\right]^{m_{n_{2}-\ell}}$, we define that $Q$ is colored blue if there exists a vertex $w_{a_{m_{n_{2}-\ell}} k} \in \mathcal{P}_{a_{m_{n_{2}-\ell}}} k$ for $k \in K_{\ell} \backslash K_{\ell-1}$ (let $K_{0}=\emptyset$ ) such that

$$
w_{a_{m_{n_{2}}-\ell}} k \in \bigcap_{i \in Q \backslash\left\{a_{m_{n_{2}}-\ell}\right\}} W_{i a_{m_{n_{2}-\ell}} k},
$$

otherwise $Q$ is colored red. Similar to the first step, there exists a set $T_{n_{2}-\ell+1} \subseteq\left[m_{n_{2}-\ell+1}\right]$ with $\left|T_{n_{2}-\ell+1}\right|=t_{n_{2}-\ell+1}$ such that all hyperedges $Q$ induced on set $T_{n_{2}-\ell+1}$ is colored blue. Therefore, we can choose a set $M_{n_{2}-\ell} \subset T_{n_{2}-\ell+1}$ such that for each $j \in M_{n_{2}-\ell}$, there is a vertex $w_{j k} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ with $k \in K_{\ell} \backslash K_{\ell-1}$ satisfying

$$
w_{j k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in M_{n_{2}-\ell}} W_{i j k}
$$

After performing the iteration procedure $n_{2}$ times as described, we obtain sets $K_{n_{2}}=\left\{N-n_{2}+\right.$ $1, \ldots, N\}, I \subset T_{1} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots \subset T_{n_{2}} \subset\left[m_{n_{2}}\right]$ with $|I|=n_{1}$, and vertices $w_{j k} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j \in I$ and $k \in K_{n_{2}}$ such that

$$
w_{j k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in I} W_{i j k}
$$

## 4. Structural results

In this section, we will extract some good properties from the reduced 3-graphs $\mathcal{A}$ with density greater than $1 / 4$ by passing to the induced subhypergraph of $\mathcal{A}$, which guarantees the existence of certain structures of $\mathcal{A}$.

We first project each constituent of reduced 3-graphs onto appropriate bipartite graph, similar to the approach used in $[23,4]$. Given a $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $I$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ (without loss of generality, let $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ), for any $i j k \in[I]^{3}$ we define a bipartite graph $Q_{j k}^{i}$ with bipartition $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{i j}, \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right\}$ by putting an edge between $u \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ if and only if there exist at least $\varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$ vertices $w \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ such that $\{u, v, w\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$. Similarly, let $Q_{i j}^{k}$ denote the bipartite graph on the vertex set $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{i k}, \mathcal{P}_{j k}\right\}$ by putting an edge between $u \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ if and only if there exist at least $\varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|$ vertices $w \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ such that $\{u, v, w\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$. We write $d_{Q_{j k}^{i}}(v)$ and $d_{Q_{i j}^{k}}(v)$ for the degree of $v \in V\left(Q_{j k}^{i}\right)$ or $v \in V\left(Q_{i j}^{k}\right)$, respectively. Based on the above definitions and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, Chen and Schülke [4] gave the following observation.

Observation 4.1 ([4]). For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. For each $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, there exists $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ induced on set $I \subseteq[N]$ of size $n$ such that at least one of the following holds:
(A1) For all $i j k \in[I]^{3}, \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}} d_{Q_{j k}^{i}}(v)^{2} \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$.
(A2) For all $i j k \in[I]^{3}, \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}} d_{Q_{i j}^{k}}(v)^{2} \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$.
Given an $[n]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, if $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the property (A2) in Observation 4.1, clearly by Remark 3, the reverse $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the property (A1) in Observation 4.1, and vice versa. In addition, since every reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ and its reverse $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ are completely isomorphic, we directly obtain the following observation.

Observation 4.2. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. For each $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $n$ such that for all $i j k \in[I]^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}} d_{Q_{j k}^{i}}(v)^{2} \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we give a definition to collect these top vertices with large degree in $Q_{j k}^{i}$. Given a $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$ dense $I$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, for each $i j k \in[I]^{3}$ and positive integer $r$, let

$$
S_{i k}^{j}(r):=\left\{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}: d_{Q_{j k}^{i}}(v) \geq\left(1 / 2+r \varepsilon^{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\right\}
$$

Using Observation 4.2 and Theorem 3.1, we have the following result. We note that the proof of Lemma 4.3 is implied in the statement in [23, Section 2] and [4, Section 3], and thus, we only include its sketch for completeness.

Lemma 4.3. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist positive integers $N$ and $r_{*}$ such that the following holds. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph satisfying Inequality (4.1) for all $i j k \in[N]^{3}$. Then there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ on set I of size $n$ such that for all ijk $\in[I]^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}} d_{Q_{j k}^{i}}(v)^{2} \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|, \quad\left|S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}+1\right)\right|<\varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1 with $r_{R}=\left\lfloor\left(2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\rfloor, k_{R}=3$ and $n_{R}=n$ to get $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph satisfying Inequality (4.1) for all $i j k \in[N]^{3}$. Due to $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying Inequality (4.1) for all $i j k \in[N]^{3}$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}} d_{Q_{j k}^{i}}(v)^{2} \leq\left|S_{i k}^{j}(1)\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k} \backslash S_{i k}^{j}(1)\right|\left(\left(1 / 2+\varepsilon^{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\right)^{2},
$$

and we have $\left|S_{i k}^{j}(1)\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$ by $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Moreover, $S_{j k}^{i}(r)=\emptyset$ for $r>\left(2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1}$. Thus, we may color each triple $i j k \in[N]^{3}$ by the maximum $r \in\left\lfloor\left(2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\rfloor$ for which $\left|S_{i k}^{j}(r)\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists a subset $I \subseteq[N]$ of size $n$ and a positive integer $r_{*}$ such that the desired conclusion holds.

Now we restrict ourselves to the $I$-reduced 3-graphs satisfying Inequalities (4.2). For any $i \in I$, let $Q^{i}:=\bigcup_{i j k \in[I]^{3}} Q_{j k}^{i}$. Chen and Schülke [4] proved the following result, which is a robust version of a result in [23].

Lemma 4.4 ([4, Lemma 3.2]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an I-reduced 3-graphs satisfying Inequalities (4.2). Then for any $i j j^{\prime} k \in[I]^{4}$ and $v \in S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right) \cap S_{i k}^{j^{\prime}}\left(r_{*}\right)$, there are at least $\varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}\right|$ triangles $\{v, u, w\}$ in $Q^{i}$ with $u \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ and $w \in \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$.

We are now ready to prove an embedding lemma of reduced 3-graphs with density greater than $1 / 4$, which is the main result of this section. The lemma will be used to upper bound the uniform Turán density of 3 -graphs $F$ with $F \in \mathcal{F} \leq 1 / 4$.

Lemma 4.5. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ contains an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ such that $\left|M_{1}\right|=m_{1},\left|M_{2}\right|=m_{2}, \max M_{1}<\min M_{2}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) There exist vertices $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, w_{i j}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all ij $\in\left[M_{1} \cup M_{2}\right]^{2}$ such that $\left\{y_{i j}, w_{j k}^{1}, x_{i k}\right\} \in$ $E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in\left[M_{1} \cup M_{2}\right]^{3}$.
(2) There also exist vertices $z_{i j}, w_{i j}^{2}, w_{i j}^{3} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in\left[M_{1}\right]^{2}$ such that $\left\{z_{i j}, w_{j k}^{2}, y_{i k}\right\} \in$ $E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in\left[M_{1}\right]^{3}$, and $\left\{z_{i j}, w_{j k}^{3}, x_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j \in\left[M_{1}\right]^{2}$ and $k \in M_{2}$.
Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$ and $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose constants satisfying the following hierarchy (from right to left):

$$
N \gg N_{7} \gg N_{6} \gg N_{5} \gg N_{4} \gg N_{3} \gg N_{2} \gg N_{1} \gg m_{1}, m_{2}, 1 / \varepsilon
$$

To be more precise, we determine $N$ as follows: first apply Lemma 3.6 with $\delta=\varepsilon^{2}, n_{1}=m_{1}$ and $n_{2}=m_{2}$ to get $N_{1}$, then Lemma 3.3 with $\delta=\varepsilon^{2}$ and $n=N_{1}-m_{2}$ to get $N_{2}$, then Lemma 3.5 with $\delta=\varepsilon^{2} / 2, n_{1}=N_{2}$ and $n_{2}=m_{2}$ to get $N_{3}$, then apply Lemma 3.3 again with $\delta=\varepsilon^{2}$ and $n=N_{3}$ to get $N_{4}$, then Lemma 3.4 with $\delta=\varepsilon^{2} / 2$ and $n=N_{4}$ to get $N_{5}$, then Lemma 3.2 with $\delta=\varepsilon^{2}$ and $n=N_{5}$ to get $N_{6}$, then Lemma 4.3 with $\varepsilon$ and $n=N_{6}$ to get $N_{7}$ and $r_{*}$, and finally apply Observation 4.2 with $\varepsilon$ and $n=N_{7}$ to get $N$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph. By Observation 4.2, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{7} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I_{7}$ of size $N_{7}$ that satisfies Inequality (4.1) for all $i j k \in\left[I_{7}\right]^{3}$.

For the sake of clarity, the rest of the proof will be processed by the following six steps.
Step 1: choose the vertices $x_{i j}$. We apply Lemma 4.3 to $\mathcal{A}_{7}$, and obtain an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{6} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{7}$ with index set $I_{6}$ of size $N_{6}$ satisfying Inequalities (4.2). In particular, for all $i j k \in\left[I_{6}\right]^{3}$ we have

$$
S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| .
$$

We now apply Lemma 3.2 to $\mathcal{A}_{6}$ and sets $S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right)$, obtaining an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{5} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{6}$ on set $I_{5}$ of size $N_{5}$, and vertices $x_{i k} \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ for all $i k \in\left[I_{5}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
x_{i k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, j \in I_{5}} S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right) .
$$

Step 2: choose the vertices $y_{i j}$. For each $i j j^{\prime} k \in\left[I_{5}\right]^{4}$, we define $R_{i j j^{\prime} k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$ to be the set of vertices $v$ in $\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$ such that $\left\{v, x_{i k}\right\}$ is contained at least $\varepsilon^{2} / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|$ triangles in the graph $Q^{i}\left[\mathcal{P}_{i j} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right]$. Since $x_{i k} \in S_{i k}^{j}\left(r_{*}\right) \cap S_{i k}^{j^{\prime}}\left(r_{*}\right)$, by Lemma 4.4, there are at least $\varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}\right|$ edges in the graph $Q^{i}\left[\mathcal{P}_{i j} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}\right]$. By the definition of $R_{i j j^{\prime} k}$, we obtain that

$$
\varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}\right| \leq\left|R_{i j j^{\prime} k}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|+\varepsilon^{2} / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}} \backslash R_{i j j^{\prime} k}\right|,
$$

which implies that $\left|R_{i j j^{\prime} k}\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}\right|$. By Lemma 3.4 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{5}$ and sets $R_{i j j^{\prime} k}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{4} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{5}$ with index set $I_{4}$ of size $N_{4}$, and vertices $y_{i j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}}$ for all $i j^{\prime} \in\left[I_{4}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
y_{i j^{\prime}} \in \bigcap_{i<j<j^{\prime}<k, j k \in\left[I_{4}\right]^{2}} R_{i j j^{\prime} k} .
$$

Step 3: choose the vertices $w_{i j}^{1}$. For each $i j k \in\left[I_{4}\right]^{3}$, let

$$
W_{i j k}^{1}=\left\{w \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}:\left\{y_{i j}, w, x_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since $\left\{y_{i j}, x_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(Q_{j k}^{i}\right)$, by the definition of $Q_{j k}^{i}$, we have $\left|W_{i j k}^{1}\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$ for all $i j k \in\left[I_{4}\right]^{3}$. Apply Lemma 3.3 to $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ and sets $W_{i j k}^{1}$, to get an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{3} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{4}$ with index set $I_{3}$ of size $N_{3}$, and vertices $w_{j k}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j k \in\left[I_{3}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
w_{j k}^{1} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in I_{3}} W_{i j k}^{1} .
$$

Until now, we have $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, w_{i j}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in\left[I_{3}\right]^{2}$ and $\left\{y_{i j}, w_{j k}^{1}, x_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in\left[I_{3}\right]^{3}$. Step 4: choose the vertices $z_{i j}$. For any $i j j^{\prime} k \in\left[I_{3}\right]^{4}$, Let

$$
L_{i j j^{\prime} k}=\left\{z \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}:\left\{z, y_{i j^{\prime}}, x_{i k}\right\} \text { forms a triangle in } Q^{i}\left[\mathcal{P}_{i j} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i j^{\prime}} \cup \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right]\right\} .
$$

Due to the choice of $y_{i j^{\prime}}$ in Step 2, we have $\left|L_{i j j^{\prime} k}\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2} / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|$. By Lemma 3.5 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ and sets $L_{i j j^{\prime} k}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{3}$ on set $I_{2} \cup M_{2}$ with $\left|I_{2}\right|=N_{2}$, $\left|M_{2}\right|=m_{2}$ and $\max I_{2}<\min M_{2}$, and there exist vertices $z_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
z_{i j} \in \bigcap_{i<j<j^{\prime}<k, j^{\prime} \in I_{2}, k \in M_{2}} L_{i j j^{\prime} k} .
$$

Step 5: choose the vertices $w_{i j}^{2}$. For each $i j k \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{3}$, let us define

$$
W_{i j k}^{2}=\left\{w \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}:\left\{z_{i j}, w, y_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right\} .
$$

Similar to Step 3, we have $\left|W_{i j k}^{2}\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$ since $\left\{z_{i j}, y_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(Q_{j k}^{i}\right)$. We apply Lemma 3.3 with the induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{A}_{2}$ on set $I_{2}$ and the sets $W_{i j k}^{2}$, to get an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}$ on set $I_{1} \subseteq I_{2}$ of size $N_{1}$, and vertices $w_{j k}^{2} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j k \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
w_{j k}^{2} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in I_{1}} W_{i j k}^{2} .
$$

Step 6: choose the vertices $w_{i j}^{3}$. Finally let $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ be the reduced 3 -graph induced with index set $I_{1} \cup M_{2}$, and define

$$
W_{i j k}^{3}=\left\{w \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}:\left\{y_{i j}, w, x_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right\}
$$

for all $i j \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{2}$ and $k \in M_{2}$. Since $\left\{y_{i j}, x_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(Q_{j k}^{i}\right)$, we have $\left|W_{i j k}^{3}\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$. Then we apply Lemma 3.6 with $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and the sets $W_{i j k}^{3}$ to obtain an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{1}$ on set $M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ with $M_{1} \subseteq\left[I_{1}\right]$ and $\left|M_{1}\right|=m_{1}$, and vertices $w_{j k}^{3} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j \in M_{1}$ and $k \in M_{2}$ such that

$$
w_{j k}^{3} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in M_{1}} W_{i j k}^{3} .
$$

Hence, the reduced 3-graph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with index set $M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ and the vertices $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, z_{i j}, w_{i j}^{1}, w_{i j}^{2}$ and $w_{i j}^{3}$ satisfy the conclusion of the lemma.

Now, we will prove our main theorem using Lemma 4.5.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix a 3-graph $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F} \leq 1 / 4$ with $f$ vertices. By Fact 1.3, we have $\pi_{\therefore} \cdot(F) \geq 1 / 4$. Next, by Theorem 2.4 , we only need to show that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ can embed $F$.

Due to $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$, there exists an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ of $V(F)$ with an integer $i^{\star} \in[f]$, and there is a 6 -coloring $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red,blue, green, violet, cyan, black $\}$ such that every hyperedge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies exactly one of the following:
(1) $\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=($ blue, violet, red $)$ and $i j k \in[f]^{3}$;
(2) $\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=\left(\right.$ green, cyan, blue) and $i j k \in\left[i^{\star}\right]^{3}$;
(3) $\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=($ green, black, red $)$ and $1 \leq i<j<i^{\star}<k \leq f$.

We apply Lemma 4.5 with $\varepsilon>0, m_{1}=i^{\star}$ and $m_{2}=f-i^{\star}$ to get $N$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $(1 / 4+\varepsilon)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph. By Lemma 4.5, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ satisfying the properties given in Lemma 4.5, where $\left|M_{1}\right|=m_{1},\left|M_{2}\right|=m_{2}$ and $\max M_{1}<\min M_{2}$.

For brevity, let $M_{1}=\left\{1, \ldots, m_{1}\right\}, M_{2}=\left\{m_{1}+1, \ldots, f\right\}$ and $\phi: V(F) \rightarrow M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ satisfying $\phi\left(v_{\ell}\right)=\ell$ for all $\ell \in[f]$. For any pair $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \in \partial F$ with $i j \in[f]^{2}$, we choose the vertex $x_{i j}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is red, choose the vertex $y_{i j}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is blue, choose the vertex $z_{i j}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is green, choose the vertex $w_{i j}^{1}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is violet, choose the vertex $w_{i j}^{2}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is cyan, and choose the vertex $w_{i j}^{3}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is black. Recalling Definition 2.3, the induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ can exactly embed $F$.

## 5. Applications of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 using Theorem 1.4. We start with the following result.
Lemma 5.1. For any even integer $t>3, W_{t}^{(3)} \in \mathcal{F} \geq 1 / 4$.
Proof. Given an even integer $t>3$, let $v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}$ be the vertices of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ and $\left\{v, v_{\ell}, v_{\ell+1}\right\}$ be an edge for every $\ell \in[t-1]$ ( subscripts module $t-1$ ). We will check that $W_{t}^{(3)}$ does not satisfy the property ( $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ ).

Assume by contradiction that there exists an ordering mapping $\sigma:\left\{v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}\right\} \rightarrow[t]$. To simplify our notation, we write $\{i, j, k\} \in E\left(W_{t}^{(3)}\right)$ to mean $\left\{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j), \sigma^{-1}(k)\right\} \in E\left(W_{t}^{(3)}\right)$ and $\{i, j\} \in \partial W_{t}^{(3)}$ to mean $\left\{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)\right\} \in \partial W_{t}^{(3)}$. Moreover, suppose that there is a 2-coloring $\chi: \partial W_{t}^{(3)} \rightarrow\{R, B\}$ such that every hyperedge $\{i, j, k\}$ of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ with $i j k \in[t]^{3}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=(R, R, B) \text { or }(B, B, R) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next construct an auxiliary directed graph $G$ on set $[t]$ as follows. For any $\{i, j\} \in \partial W_{t}^{(3)}$ with $i j \in[t]^{2}$, if $\chi(i, j)=R$ then make $(i, j)$ into a directed edge of $G$; if $\chi(i, j)=B$ then make $(j, i)$ into a directed edge of $G$. Due to (5.1), each 3-edge of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ corresponds to a cyclically directed triangle in $G$. Therefore, for every 3 -edge $\left\{v, v_{\ell}, v_{\ell+1}\right\}$ with $\ell \in[t-1]$, either $\left(\sigma\left(v_{\ell}\right), \sigma(v)\right)$ and $\left(\sigma(v), \sigma\left(v_{\ell+1}\right)\right)$ in $G$, or $\left(\sigma\left(v_{\ell+1}\right), \sigma(v)\right)$ and $\left(\sigma(v), \sigma\left(v_{\ell}\right)\right)$ in $G$, which is impossible as $t-1$ is odd.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ be the 3-graph with vertex set $\{a, b, c, d, x, y, z\}$ and edge set $\{a b c, a b d, a c d, x a b, x c d, y a c, y b d, z a d, z b c, x y z\}$. Using Theorem 1.4, we only need to verify that $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star} \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F} \leq 1 / 4$. Note first that $W_{4}^{(3)} \subseteq \hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$, we directly obtain that $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star} \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4}$ by Lemma 5.1.

Next we will check that $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ satisfies the property ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\oplus}\right)$. Let $\sigma:=(a, y, z, b, x, c, d)$ be an ordering of $V\left(\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}\right)$. Moreover, let $\chi: \partial \hat{F}_{7}^{\star} \rightarrow\{$ red, blue, green, violet, cyan,black $\}$ be a 6 -coloring satisfying the following properties.
(1) All pairs in set $E_{1}=\{\{a, d\},\{y, d\},\{x, d\}\}$ are colored red;
(2) All pairs in set $E_{2}=\{\{a, z\},\{a, x\},\{a, c\},\{y, x\},\{z, c\},\{x, c\}\}$ are colored blue;
(3) All pairs in set $E_{3}=\{\{a, y\},\{a, b\},\{y, z\},\{y, b\},\{z, b\}\}$ are colored green;
(4) All pairs in set $E_{4}=\{\{z, d\},\{c, d\}\}$ are colored violet;
(5) All pairs in set $E_{5}=\{\{y, c\},\{b, x\},\{b, c\},\{z, x\}\}$ are colored cyan;
(6) All pairs in set $E_{6}=\{\{b, d\}\}$ are colored black.

Then, under the ordering $\sigma$ and coloring $\chi$, every 3 -edge of $\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ satisfies exactly one of the cases given in the property $(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. Indeed, the integer $i^{\star}$ in the property $(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$ takes 6 and the hyperedge set $E\left(\hat{F}_{7}^{\star}\right)$ is partitioned into the following three cases: $(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=$ (blue, violet, red)


Figure 3. An illustration of $\partial \hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ with 6 -clorings.
for every 3-edge $i j k \in\{a c d, x c d, a z d\} ;(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=$ (green, cyan,blue) for $i j k \in$ $\{a b c, a b x, a y c, z b c, y z x\} ;(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=($ green, black, red) for $i j k \in\{a b d, y b d\}$, see Figure 3.

Finally we will give the proof of Theorem 1.6.
Proof of Theorem 1.6. Given an integer $t \geq 3$, let $v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t-1}$ be the vertices of $W_{t}^{(3)}$ and $\left\{v, v_{\ell}, v_{\ell+1}\right\}$ be an edge for every $\ell \in[t-1]$ (subscripts module $t-1$ ).

Let first $t=2 m+1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We next show that $W_{2 m+1}^{(3)}$ has the property given in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Let $\sigma:=\left(v, v_{1}, v_{3}, \ldots v_{2 m-1}, v_{2}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{2 m}\right)$ be an ordering of $V\left(W_{2 m+1}^{(3)}\right)$ and $\chi: \partial W_{2 m+1}^{(3)} \rightarrow\{$ red, blue, green $\}$ be a 3-coloring of $\partial W_{2 m+1}^{(3)}$ as follows. For each $i \in[2 m]$, the pair $\left\{v, v_{i}\right\}$ is colored red if $i$ is odd, green if $i$ is even. The remaining pairs $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\}$ are all colored blue. Observe that every 3 -edge of $W_{2 m+1}^{(3)}$ satisfies the equation (1.2).

Now let $t=2 m+2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Theorem 1.4, we only need to verify that $W_{2 m+2}^{(3)} \in$ $\mathcal{F} \geq 1 / 4 \cap \mathcal{F} \leq 1 / 4$. By Lemma 5.1, we already have $W_{2 m+1}^{(3)} \in \mathcal{F} \geq 1 / 4$. Next we consider an ordering mapping $\sigma:\left\{v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 m+1}\right\} \rightarrow[2 m+2]$ such that $\sigma(v)=1, \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)=\frac{i+1}{2}+1$ for odd $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq 2 m-1, \sigma\left(v_{j}\right)=\frac{j}{2}+m+1$ for even $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq 2 m$, and $\sigma\left(v_{2 m+1}\right)=2 m+2$. To simplify notation, we write $\{i, j, k\} \in E\left(W_{2 m+1}^{(3)}\right)$ for $\left\{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j), \sigma^{-1}(k)\right\} \in E\left(W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}\right)$, and $\{i, j\} \in \partial W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}$ for $\left\{\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(j)\right\} \in \partial W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}$. Under the ordering $\sigma$, we have $E\left(W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}\right)=$ $\{\{1, \ell, m+\ell\} \cup\{1, \ell+1, m+\ell\}: 2 \leq \ell \leq m\} \cup\{\{1,2,2 m+2\},\{1, m+1,2 m+1\},\{1,2 m+1,2 m+2\}\}$. Moreover, let $\chi: \partial W_{2 m+1}^{(3)} \rightarrow\{$ red,blue, green, violet, cyan,black $\}$ be a 6 -coloring satisfying the following properties:
(1) $\chi(1,2 m+2)=r e d ;$
(2) $\chi(1, \ell)=$ blue for all $m+2 \leq \ell \leq 2 m+1$;
(3) $\chi(1, \ell)=$ green for all $2 \leq \ell \leq m+1$;
(4) $\chi(2 m+1,2 m+2)=$ violet;
(5) $\chi(\ell, \ell+m)=\chi(\ell+1, \ell+m)=$ cyan for all $2 \leq \ell \leq m$, and $\chi(m+1,2 m+1)=$ cyan;
(6) $\chi(2,2 m+2)=$ black.

Then, under the ordering $\sigma$ and coloring $\chi$, every 3 -edge of $W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}$ satisfies exactly one of the cases given in the property ( $\boldsymbol{\oplus}$ ). Indeed, the integer $i^{\star}$ in the property ( $\boldsymbol{\oplus}$ ) takes $2 m+1$ and
$E\left(W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}\right)$ is partitioned into the following three cases: $(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=($ blue, violet, red $)$ when $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2 m+1,2 m+2\} ;(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=($ green, cyan, blue) for each $\{i, j, k\} \in$ $\{\{1, \ell, \ell+m\} \cup\{1, \ell+1, \ell+m\}: 2 \leq \ell \leq m\} \cup\{\{1, m+1,2 m+1\}\} ;$ and $(\chi(i, j), \chi(j, k), \chi(i, k))=$ (green, black, red) when $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,2 m+2\}$. Therefore, $W_{2 m+2}^{(3)}$ also satisfies the property ( $\mathbf{\uparrow}$ ), i.e., $W_{2 m+2}^{(3)} \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4}$.

## 6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for 3 -graphs $F$ satisfying $\pi .:(F)=1 / 4$, as stated in Theorem 1.4. While we believe that this condition is not necessary, we have not yet found an example of a 3 -graph with uniform Turán density $1 / 4$ that does not belong to $\mathcal{F} \geq 1 / 4 \cap \mathcal{F} \leq 1 / 4$. Therefore, we pose the following natural problem.

Problem 6.1. Characterize the 3 -graphs with uniform Turán density equal to $1 / 4$.
Furthermore, in the proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6, we have observed that known 3-graphs $F$ with $\pi_{\bullet}(F)=1 / 4$, such as $K_{4}^{(3)-}, \hat{F}_{7}^{\star}$ and $W_{2 m}^{(3)}$, satisfy the following property.
$\left(\boldsymbol{\wedge}^{*}\right)$ Let $F$ be a 3 -graph with $f$ vertices. There exists an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ of $V(F)$ with an integer $i^{\star} \in[f]$, and there is a 6 -coloring $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red,blue, green, violet, cyan,black $\}$ such that $E(F)$ can be partitioned into three non-empty subsets $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ with the following properties:
(B1) For $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\} \in E_{1},\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=($ blue, violet, red $)$ and $i j k \in[f]^{3}$;
(B2) For $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\} \in E_{2},\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=$ (green, cyan,blue) and ijk $\in$ $\left[i^{\star}\right]^{3}$;
(B3) For $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\} \in E_{3},\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=($ green, black, red) and $1 \leq i<$ $j<i^{\star}<k \leq f$.
Through further analysis of the property ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{*}$ ), we get the following further result.
Theorem 6.2. Let $d^{*}$ denote the unique solution (triple root) of the equation $(2-x)^{3}=27 x$. For every $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$, if $F$ does not satisfy the property $\left(\boldsymbol{\wedge}^{*}\right)$, then $\pi_{\cdot} \cdot(F) \leq d^{*}$.

Remark 4. It can be easily verified that $0.211<d^{*}<0.212<1 / 4$. In other words, Theorem 6.2 implies that every 3-graph $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\geq 1 / 4} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$ satisfies the property ( $\boldsymbol{\leftrightarrow}^{*}$ ). However, it is not clear for us whether the property $\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{*}\right)$ is necessary to 3 -graphs with uniform Turán density $1 / 4$. Therefore, we have the following natural problem.

Problem 6.3. Is there a 3 -graph $F$ with $\pi_{\bullet:}(F)=1 / 4$ that does not satisfy the property $\left(\boldsymbol{\oplus}^{*}\right)$ ?
Our proof of Theorem 6.2 is based on Theorem 1.1 and the following lemma.
Lemma 6.4. Let $F$ be a 3-graph such that the following holds. There exists an ordering $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ of $V(F)$ and a 5 -coloring $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red, blue, green, violet, cyan $\}$ such that every 3 -edge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies

$$
\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i}, v_{k}\right)\right)=(\text { blue , violet, red }) \text { or }(\text { green, cyan, blue }) .
$$

Then $\pi_{\bullet}(F) \leq d^{*}$.

To prove Lemma 6.4, we need some auxiliary lemmas. Therefore, we first prove Theorem 6.2, and postpone the proof of Lemma Lemma 6.4 to the end of this section.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Given a 3 -graph $F \in \mathcal{F}^{\leq 1 / 4}$ with $f$ vertices, suppose that $F$ does not satisfy the property ( $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{*}$ ), which implies that there is at least one set $E_{\ell}$ for some $\ell \in\{1,2,3\}$ given in the property $\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{*}\right)$ that is empty. For simplicity, let $\sigma:=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{f}\right)$ be an ordering of $V(F)$ and $\chi: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ red, blue, green, violet, cyan, black $\}$ be a 6 -coloring provided by property ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{*}$ ).

If $E_{1}=\emptyset$ in (B1), then we will construct a 3 -coloring $\chi_{1}: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ green, cyan,blue $\}$ which is obtained from the 6 -coloring $\chi$ by replacing violet, cyan and black with cyan, and red and blue with blue. Observe that every hyperedge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies

$$
\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i} v_{k}\right)\right)=(\text { green , cyan, blue }),
$$

under the order $\sigma$ and 3 -coloring $\chi_{1}$. By Theorem 1.1, we have $\pi_{.} .(F)=0<d^{*}$.
If $E_{2}=\emptyset$ in (B2), then we consider a 3-coloring $\chi_{2}: \partial F \rightarrow\{$ blue, violet, red $\}$, which is also obtained from the 6 -coloring $\chi$ by replacing violet, cyan and black with violet, and green and blue with blue. Observe that every hyperedge $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}\right\}$ of $F$ with $i<j<k$ satisfies

$$
\left(\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \chi\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right), \chi\left(v_{i} v_{k}\right)\right)=(\text { blue }, \text { violet }, \text { red })
$$

under the order $\sigma$ and 3 -coloring $\chi_{2}$. By Theorem $1.1, \pi_{\bullet} .(F)=0<d^{*}$.
Finally, we consider $E_{3}=\emptyset$ in (B3). Since $E_{3}=\emptyset$, there is no pair $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \in \partial F$ such that $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=$ black. Clearly, $F$ with the ordering $\sigma$ and coloring $\chi$ satisfies the properties given in Lemma 6.4. Hence, $\pi \cdot:(F) \leq d^{*}$.

Next, we state and prove several lemmas that are useful for the proof of Lemma 6.4. The first lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 by applying it to the reverse of reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$ given in the statement of Lemma 3.3. We note that it also appears in [3, 12].

Lemma 6.5 ([3, Lemma 4.4] or [12, Lemma 8]). For any $\delta>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an [N]-reduced 3 -graph and there exists a subset $X_{i j k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ with $\left|X_{i j k}\right| \geq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|$ for each ijk $\in[N]^{3}$, then there is an $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ induced on set $I$ of size $n$ and there are vertices $x_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in[I]^{2}$ such that

$$
x_{i j} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, k \in I} X_{i j k} .
$$

Given an $I$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}, i j k \in[I]^{3}$ and $\delta>0$, we define

- $L_{i j}^{k}:=\left\{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}: v\right.$ is contained in at least $\delta\left|P_{j k}\right|\left|P_{i k}\right|$ hyperedges in $\left.\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right\}$,
- $R_{j k}^{i}:=\left\{v \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}: v\right.$ is contained in at least $\delta\left|P_{i j}\right|\left|P_{i k}\right|$ hyperedges in $\left.\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right\}$, and
- $T_{i k}^{j}:=\left\{v \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}: v\right.$ is contained in at least $\delta\left|P_{i j}\right|\left|P_{j k}\right|$ hyperedges in $\left.\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right\}$,

Lemma 6.6 ([12, Lemma 6]). For any $\delta>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. For every $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, there exist reals $t_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ and an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set I of size $n$ such that for all ijk $\in[I]^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \leq\left|L_{i j}^{k}\right| /\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right| \leq t_{1}+\delta, \quad t_{2} \leq\left|R_{j k}^{i}\right| /\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right| \leq t_{2}+\delta \quad \text { and } \quad t_{3} \leq\left|T_{i k}^{j}\right| /\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| \leq t_{3}+\delta . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.7. For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. For every $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $n$ such that $\left|L_{i k}^{\ell} \cap T_{i k}^{j}\right| \geq \delta\left|P_{i k}\right|$ for all $i j k \ell \in[I]^{4}$.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $\delta=\varepsilon^{3} / 10$. We first apply Theorem 3.1 with $r_{R}=2, k_{R}=5$ and $n_{R}=2 n+1$ to get $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$, and then apply Lemma 6.6 with $\delta$ and $N_{1}$ to get $N$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph. Using Lemma 6.6 with $\delta$ and $N_{1}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ on set $I_{1}$ of size $N_{1}$ and reals $t_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ satisfying Inequalities (6.1). For convenience, let $L:=L_{i j}^{k}, R:=R_{j k}^{i}$ and $T:=T_{i k}^{j}$. We first claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{3} \geq d^{*}+\varepsilon / 2 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for every $i j k \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{3}$, we have

$$
\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\left\|\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\left|\leq\left|E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right| \leq|T|\right| \mathcal{P}_{i j}| | \mathcal{P}_{j k}|+\delta| \mathcal{P}_{i j}| | \mathcal{P}_{j k}| | \mathcal{P}_{i k} \backslash T\left|\leq\left(t_{3}+2 \delta\right)\right| \mathcal{P}_{i j}\right\|\right| \mathcal{P}_{j k}\right\| P_{i k}\right|,
$$

which means that $t_{3} \geq d^{*}+\varepsilon / 2$ since $\delta<\varepsilon / 10$. Further, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3} \geq 3 \sqrt[3]{d^{*}}+\varepsilon / 3 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If not, suppose that $t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}<3 \sqrt[3]{d^{*}}+\varepsilon / 3$. By Lemma 6.6 , for every $i j k \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right| & \leq \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\left\|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j} \backslash L\right|+\delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|P_{i k}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{j k} \backslash R|+\delta| \mathcal{P}_{i j}\right\|\right| \mathcal{P}_{j k}\right\| \mathcal{P}_{i k} \backslash T\right|+|L \||||T| \\
& \leq 3 \delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{j k}| | \mathcal{P}_{i k}\left|+\left(t_{1}+\delta\right)\left(t_{2}+\delta\right)\left(t_{3}+\delta\right)\right| \mathcal{P}_{i j}\right\| \mathcal{P}_{j k} \| \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| \\
& \leq\left(3 \delta+\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}+3 \delta}{3}\right)^{3}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right\| \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|<\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon / 2\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right\| \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense, where the last inequality follows from $\delta<\varepsilon / 10$.
Next, we consider a 2-edge-coloring 5 -uniform clique on set $I_{1}$ as follows. Given a 5 -edge $Q=$ $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4} a_{5} \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{5}$, if $\left|L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|, Q$ is colored red; otherwise, if $\left|R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}} \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right| \geq$ $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|, Q$ is colored blue. If neither of these two cases occurs, that is, $\left|L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|$ and $\left|R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}} \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|$ which means that

$$
\left|\left(L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cup R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}}\right) \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right|=\left|\left(L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right) \cup\left(R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}} \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right| .
$$

Further, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cup R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}} \cup T_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right| & =\left|L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cup R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}}\right|+\left|T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right|-\left|\left(L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cup R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}}\right) \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right| \\
& =\left|L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}}\right|+\left|R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}}\right|+\left|T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right|-\left|L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cap R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}}\right|-\left|\left(L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cup R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}}\right) \cap T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right| \\
& >\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|-\left(\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}} \backslash T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}}\right|+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right| \\
& \geq\left(\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}\right)-1+t_{3}-\varepsilon / 4-\varepsilon / 2\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right| \\
& \stackrel{(6.2),(6.3)}{>}\left(3 \sqrt[3]{d^{*}}+d^{*}-1+\varepsilon / 13\right)\left|\mathcal{P}_{a_{2} a_{4}}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible since $L_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{5}} \cup R_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{1}} \cup T_{a_{2} a_{4}}^{a_{3}} \subseteq P_{a_{2} a_{4}}$ and $3 \sqrt[3]{d^{*}}+d^{*}=2$. Hence, each 5-edge always gets a color.

By Theorem 3.1, there exists a subset $S \subseteq\left[I_{1}\right]$ with $|S|=2 n+1$ such that all edges $Q$ induced on $S$ have same color. For convenience, we rearrange the indices in $S$ and write $S=[2 n+1]$.

Suppose that the common color is blue on $S$. Let $I^{\prime}=\{2,4, \ldots, 2 n\} \subset S$. For any $i j k \ell \in\left[I^{\prime}\right]^{4}$, since the 5-edge $Q=\{i, j, k, \ell, a\}$ with $a \in S$ and $a>\ell$ is blue, we have $\left|R_{j \ell}^{i} \cap T_{j \ell}^{k}\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|P_{i k}\right| \geq \delta\left|P_{j \ell}\right|$. Since the induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{1}$ with index set $I^{\prime}$ satisfies $\left|R_{j \ell}^{i} \cap T_{j \ell}^{k}\right| \geq \delta\left|P_{j \ell}\right|$ for all $i j k \ell \in\left[I^{\prime}\right]^{4}$, the reverse $A^{\prime}$ of $A_{2}$ on set $I$ satisfies $\left|L_{i k}^{\ell} \cap T_{i k}^{j}\right| \geq \delta\left|P_{i k}\right|$ for all $i j k \ell \in[I]^{4}$, where $I$ is the reverse of $I^{\prime}$. If the common color is red on $S$, we directly choose $I=\{2,4, \ldots, 2 n\} \subset S$. For any $i j k \ell \in[I]^{4}$, since the 5-edge $Q=\{a, i, j, k, \ell\}$ with $a \in S$ and $a<i$ is red, we have $\left|L_{i k}^{\ell} \cap T_{i k}^{j}\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|P_{i k}\right| \geq \delta\left|P_{i k}\right|$.

Now we will give an embedding lemma for $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense reduced 3-graphs $\mathcal{A}$.
Lemma 6.8. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. For every $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph $\mathcal{A}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $n$ and there exist vertices $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, z_{i j}, y_{i j}^{1}, z_{i j}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in[I]^{2}$ such that $\left\{x_{i j}, y_{j k}, z_{i k}\right\},\left\{z_{i j}, y_{j k}^{1}, z_{i k}^{1}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in[I]^{3}$.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose $N$ as follows: First apply Lemma 6.7 with $\varepsilon$ to get $\delta>0$, then apply Lemma 6.5 with $\delta / 2$ and $n$ to get $N_{1}$, then apply Lemma 3.2 with $\delta / 2$ and $N_{1}$ to get $N_{2}$, then Lemma 3.2 with $\delta / 2$ and $n$ to get $N_{1}$, then Lemma 3.3 with $\delta / 2$ and $N_{2}$ to get $N_{3}$, then Lemma 3.4.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph. By Lemma 6.7, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{5} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I_{5}$ of size $N_{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{i k}^{\ell} \cap T_{i k}^{j}\right| \geq \delta\left|P_{i k}\right| \text { for all } i j k \ell \in\left[I_{5}\right]^{4} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.4 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{5}$ and sets $L_{i k}^{\ell} \cap T_{i k}^{j}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{4} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{5}$ with index set $I_{4} \subseteq I_{5}$ of size $N_{4}$, and vertices $z_{i k} \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ for all $i k \in\left[I_{4}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
z_{i k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k<\ell,} L_{j \ell \in[I]^{2}}^{\ell} \cap T_{i k}^{j} .
$$

For each $i j k \in\left[I_{4}\right]^{3}$, let

$$
Y_{i j k}=\left\{y \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}:\left\{y, z_{i k}\right\} \text { is contained in at least } \delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right| \text { hyperedges of } \mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right\} .
$$

Since $z_{i k} \in T_{i k}^{j}$, is contained in at least $\delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$ hyperedges in $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$, we have

$$
\delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right| \leq \delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k} \backslash X_{i j k}\right|+\left|Y_{i j k}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|,
$$

which implies that $\left|Y_{i j k}\right| \geq \delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$. By Lemma 3.3 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ and sets $Y_{i j k}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{3} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{4}$ with index set $I_{3} \subseteq I_{4}$ of size $N_{3}$, and vertices $y_{j k} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j k \in\left[I_{3}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
y_{j k} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in I_{3}} Y_{i j k} .
$$

Next, for each $i j k \in\left[I_{3}\right]^{3}$, let $X_{i j k}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}:\left\{x, y_{j k}, z_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right.$. Clearly, $\left|X_{i j k}\right| \geq \delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}\right|$. By Lemma 6.5 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ and sets $X_{i j k}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{3}$ with index set $I_{2} \subseteq I_{3}$ of size $N_{2}$, and vertices $x_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
x_{i j} \in \bigcap_{\substack{i<j<k, k \in I_{2} \\ 20}} X_{i j k}
$$

Until now, we have $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, z_{i j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{2}$ and $\left\{x_{i j}, y_{j k}, z_{i k}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{3}$. Next for each $i j k \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{3}$, let

$$
Y_{i j k}^{1}=\left\{y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}:\left\{z_{i j}, y^{\prime}\right\} \text { is contained in at least } \delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| \text { hyperedges of } \mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right\} .
$$

Since $z_{i j} \in L_{i j}^{k}$, is contained in at least $\delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k} \| \mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$ hyperedges in $\mathcal{A}_{i j k}$, we have

$$
\delta\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right| \leq \delta / 2\left|\mathcal { P } _ { i k } \left\|\mathcal { P } _ { j k } \backslash Y _ { i j k } ^ { 1 } \left|+\left|Y_{i j k}^{1} \|\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|,\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

which implies that $\left|Y_{i j k}^{1}\right| \geq \delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{j k}\right|$. By Lemma 3.3 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ and sets $Y_{i j k}^{1}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{2}$ with index set $I_{1} \subseteq I_{2}$ of size $N_{1}$, and vertices $y_{j k}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{j k}$ for all $j k \in\left[I_{2}\right]^{2}$ such that

$$
y_{j k}^{1} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, i \in I_{1}} Y_{i j k}^{1} .
$$

Finally for each $i j k \in\left[I_{1}\right]^{3}$, let $Z_{i j k}^{1}=\left\{z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}:\left\{z_{i j}, y_{j k}^{1}, z_{i k}^{1}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)\right\}$. Clearly, $\left|Z_{i j k}^{1}\right| \geq$ $\delta / 2\left|\mathcal{P}_{i k}\right|$. By Lemma 3.2 applied with $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and sets $Z_{i j k}^{1}$, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{1}$ with index set $I \subseteq I_{1}$ of size $n$, and vertices $z_{i k}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i k}$ for all $i k \in[I]^{2}$ such that

$$
z_{i k}^{1} \in \bigcap_{i<j<k, j \in I} Z_{i j k}^{1}
$$

Therefore, the $I$-reduced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ together with the vertices $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, z_{i j}, y_{i j}^{1}, z_{i j}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all $i j \in[I]^{2}$ satisfies the conclusion described in the statement of the lemma.

Finally, let us prove Lemma 6.4 using Lemma 6.8.
Proof of Lemma 6.4. Given a 3 -graph $F$ with $f$ vertices satisfying the properties given by in Lemma 6.4, we only need to show that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph can embed $F$ by Theorem 2.4.

We apply Lemma 6.8 with $\varepsilon$ and $f$ to get $N$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\left(d^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$-dense $[N]$-reduced 3 -graph. By Lemma 6.8, there exists an induced subhypergraph $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with index set $I$ of size $f$ and vertices $x_{i j}, y_{i j}, z_{i j}, y_{i j}^{1}, z_{i j}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{i j}$ for all ij $\in[I]^{2}$ such that $\left\{x_{i j}, y_{j k}, z_{i k}\right\},\left\{z_{i j}, y_{j k}^{1}, z_{i k}^{1}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in[I]^{3}$.

For brevity, let $I=\{1, \ldots, f\}$ and $\phi: V(F) \rightarrow I$ satisfying $\phi\left(v_{\ell}\right)=\ell$ for all $\ell \in[f]$. For any pair $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \in \partial F$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq f$, we choose the vertex $z_{i j}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is blue, choose the vertex $y_{i j}^{1}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is violet, choose the vertex $z_{i j}^{1}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is red, choose the vertex $x_{i j}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is green, and choose the vertex $y_{i j}$ from $\mathcal{P}_{i j}$ if $\chi\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is cyan. Since $\left\{x_{i j}, y_{j k}, z_{i k}\right\},\left\{z_{i j}, y_{j k}^{1}, z_{i k}^{1}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{A}_{i j k}\right)$ for all $i j k \in[I]^{3}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ can embed $F$.
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