
VISION TRANSFORMERS FOR MOBILE APPLICATIONS: A SHORT SURVEY

Nahid Alam*
Cohere for AI Community

Steven Kolawole*
ML Collective

Simardeep Sethi*
IIT Delhi

Nishant Bansali
Cohere for AI Community

Karina Nguyen
UC Berkeley

June 1, 2023

ABSTRACT

Vision Transformers (ViTs) have demonstrated state-of-the-art performance on many Computer Vision Tasks. Unfortunately, deploying these large-scale ViTs is resource-consuming and impossible for many mobile devices. While most in the community are building for larger and larger ViTs, we ask a completely opposite question: How small can a ViT be within the tradeoffs of accuracy and inference latency that make it suitable for mobile deployment? We look into a few ViTs specifically designed for mobile applications and observe that they modify the transformer’s architecture or are built around the combination of CNN and transformer. Recent work has also attempted to create sparse ViT networks and proposed alternatives to the attention module. In this paper, we study these architectures, identify the challenges and analyze what really makes a vision transformer suitable for mobile applications. We aim to serve as a baseline for future research direction and hopefully lay the foundation to choose the exemplary vision transformer architecture for your application running on mobile devices.

Keywords Vision Transformer · Attention · Sparse Vision Transformer

1 Introduction

Inspired by the success of transformer Vaswani et al. [2017] models in Natural Language Processing (NLP) application, A. Dosovitskiy et al. [2020] introduced Vision Transformer (ViT) for Computer Vision (CV) applications. Vision transformer models have shown 77.9% to 81.3% Top-1 accuracy on ImageNet dataset Touvron et al. [2021a], Dosovitskiy et al. [2020], Liu et al. [2021a] and have been used in many downstream image recognition task such as classification Caron et al. [2021], Liu et al. [2021b], object detection Carion et al. [2020], Li et al. [2021] and segmentation Xie et al. [2021], Cheng et al. [2021]. Although the Top-1 accuracy scores of these models are impressive, they are generally too big and too slow to deploy on mobile devices Wang et al. [2022]. These models’ massive number of parameters is a burden to compute, storage, memory, and inference latency of a mobile application. The Multi-Head Self-Attention (MHSA) operation in the Transformer module is quadratic in nature. The MLP module in ViT projects the embedding space by a factor of four, applies non-linearity, and then projects it back to its original shape. As a result, the latency of ViT models, in general, is unrealistic for edge devices such as iPhone, Google Edge TPU [2022], NVIDIA Jetson Nano, Intel Edge devices such as Movidious [2022], FPGA [2020] and Hexagon DSPs from Qualcomm etc.

The motivation for this work comes from our experience working with various computer vision applications on mobile devices where CNNs are still prevalent. While the applications in these domains can benefit from the improved performance of a ViT-based backbone, compared to CNN, ViTs have 1.5-4x inference latency Wang et al. [2022], Mehta and Rastegari [2021]. Therefore CNNs are the prevailing architecture of choice in those applications.

*Equal contribution

Recently, various architectures have been proposed specifically targeting CV tasks in mobile devices that combine transformers with CNNs. In addition, techniques such as quantization, pruning, distillation, etc., are applied to an existing model to fit it in mobile devices Kurtz et al. [2020]. Kuznedelev et al. [2022a] demonstrated a training-aware pruning framework to sparsify ViTs that achieves almost SOTA accuracy with 50% sparsity.

We aim to explore vision transformer architectures optimized for mobile devices. We are specifically interested in their inference efficiency with accuracy/latency tradeoffs. We identify a few common patterns in design choices and challenges in building mobile-optimized ViTs and serve as a guide for edge-optimized vision transformer research.

2 Related Work

Han et al. Han et al. [2022] did a comprehensive survey on Vision Transformers. Still, their work focused on the traditional ViT architectures, not on the ones specifically suitable for mobile applications. Wang et al. Wang et al. [2022] looked into the state of Vision Transformer for mobile devices from the inference perspective. Their work is designed to check if traditional ViTs are suitable for mobile or not and have not looked into any mobile-suitable ViTs other than T2T-ViT Yuan et al. [2021]. In our work, we look into 8 different Vision Transformers designed for mobile applications, pruning frameworks for sparsifying ViTs, and alternatives to attention networks that can further enhance inference efficiency for Vision Transformers.

3 Vision Transformers for Mobile Applications

Mobile suitable vision transformers can be broadly classified into two categories. First, changing the model post-training - techniques such as quantization, pruning Han et al. [2015a], Han et al. [2015b] and a mix of model sparsification techniques Kurtz et al. [2020] are applied. Second - model architecture changes. This can be a mix of transformer+CNN model, MLP-based models Touvron et al. [2021b], or sparse models Fedus et al. [2022]. This work focuses on the architectural changes that make vision transformers suitable for mobile applications.

3.1 Exploring the Architectures

Receptive Field Increase A common challenge in CV tasks is to process higher-resolution images for better accuracy without additional compute costs. Both Efficient-ViT Cai et al. [2022] and EdgeNeXt Maaz et al. [2022] propose design changes to tackle this issue. Efficient-ViT consists of MobileNetV2 Sandler et al. [2018] Depth-Wise Convolution (DWConv) layers followed by a linear attention module that replaces Softmax attention. It is followed by a Feed Forward Network (FFN) with deformable CNN. Similarly, EdgeNeXt proposes a Split Depth-wise Transpose Attention (SDTA) encoder instead of the vanilla MHSA module. The SDTA encoder splits the input tensors into multiple channel groups. It then utilizes DWConv and self-attention across channel dimensions to effectively increase the receptive field the model operates on.

Pooling Layers A set of hybrid CNN+Transformer architectures uses pooling layers after the attention module to decrease the inference latency. NextViT Li et al. [2022a] consists of alternate Convolutional and Transformer blocks. The Convolutional block uses Multi-head Convolution Attention (MHCA) formed of a grouped 3X3 Convolution operation and an MLP. The Transformer block uses MHSA consisting of a pooling layer for the Key and Value vectors. Moreover, channel reduction is applied to improve inference speed. PoolFormer Yu et al. [2022] suggests an alternative token mixture module - MetaFormer, where the token mixture is modified with a pooling layer, resulting in a similar accuracy with fewer parameters compared to ViT.

Principles of CNN LeViT Graham et al. [2021] brings the principles of CNN network to transformers - specifically activation maps through decreasing resolution. It passes the input through a 3x3 convolution and then does a shrinkage of the attention module. Instead of positional embeddings, LeViT encodes the location information through an attention bias term to the attention map. The training process of LeViT is similar to DeiT, which uses a distillation token head after the last stage. MobileViT Mehta and Rastegari [2021] introduces the MobileViT module that is responsible for capturing the interaction of local and global features of the image. The local representation is captured using NxN convolutions with 1x1 point-wise convolution whose output feature map serves as an input for the global feature capturing module.

Consistent Tensor Dimension EfficientFormer Li et al. [2022b] brings a consistent feature dimension to the token mixture. The overall network is divided per the tensor dimension; First, based on 4D tensors. A Convolution layer termed MB4D is applied on 4-Dimensional tensors and, second, based on 3D tensors consisting of MHSA as a token




Figure 1: Abstract Vision Transformer Architectures From Left to Right: Vanilla Transformer; Efficient-Former; PoolFormer; EdgeNext; EfficientViT; LeViT; NextViT; MobileViT

mixer for the transformer module. Embedding layers are used between stages to project the token length to a lower dimension, alleviating the dimension mismatch and thereby improving the inference speed. Figure 1 shows a high-level view of these architectures described so far.

Parallel Layers We found a category of models that execute specific layers in parallel to improve time complexity. The two parallel branches of Mobile-Former Chen et al. [2022a] extract local and global representations, respectively and concatenate through a bridge architecture. MixFormer’s Chen et al. [2022b] two parallel branches communicate with each other through channel interactions and spatial interactions, providing complementary clues for better representation learning in both branches.

Token Reduction. Memory and inference latency in a transformer strongly correlate to the number of tokens. But many of these tokens are redundant for the task at hand. Recent work has demonstrated token reduction by pruning tokens Meng et al. [2021], Fayyaz et al. [2021], combining tokens Kong et al. [2021], Ryoo et al. [2021]. All of these methods require training of the model, while ToMe Bolya et al. [2022] proposes a technique for merging tokens based on similarity without any further model training.

Integer Quantization Quantization is used to decrease inference latency at edge devices. However, an integer-only arithmetic operation on the vision transformer is an open challenge. I-ViT Li and Gu [2022] addresses this gap by applying a dyadic arithmetic pipeline to use integer-only arithmetic for linear operations. For non-linear operations, I-ViT introduces 3 novel operations - Shiftmax, ShiftGelu, and I-LayerNorm. Shiftmax serves as an alternative to the softmax function. It uses the scaling factor of quantization obtained from the MatMul operation and the quantized integer weight to get an integer-only value. Similarly, ShiftGelu is an alternative to the Gelu activation function and I-LayerNorm for an integer-only layer normalization operation that improves top-1 accuracy and latency.

3.2 Sparse Vision Transformers

One approach to building an optimized Vision Transformer is to build a Sparse Neural Network (SNN) version of the dense model. SNN typically refers to Liu and Wang [2023], Gale et al. [2019] weight sparsity, i.e. setting weights to zero. This can be helpful when the model is over-parameterized for a given task. SNN can also be based on activation sparsity which measures the number of zeros after non-linearity, i.e. activation function Rhu et al. [2018].

Sparsity can be structured - where a block of weight matrix can either be dense or sparse Gale et al. [2019]. In unstructured sparsity, there is no pattern to follow Kuzmin et al. [2019], Liu and Wang [2023]. Unstructured sparsity removes the least significant weights regardless of where they are - leading to irregular sparse patterns. Han et al. Han et al. [2015b] proposed the popular method of weight pruning at Neural Networks - training, pruning, and retraining. This approach generally requires multiple iterations of retraining to recover the lost accuracy. This is specifically challenging for Vision Transformers since they are already hard to train and stabilize.

Latency (ms) vs. Top-1 Accuracy (%)




Figure 2: Top-1 Accuracy vs. Latency for Image Classification on ImageNet-1K using CoreML on iPhone12

While CNNs allow for greater than 80% unstructured sparsity Singh and Alistarh [2020], and up to 40% structured sparsity Liu et al. [2021c], before significant accuracy drops, ViT sparsity is harder to achieve. Kuznedelev et al. [2022b] showed that ViT models lose significant amounts of accuracy in each pruning step. And recovering that lost accuracy is harder for ViTs than CNN. To alleviate this issue, Frankle et al. in Lottery Ticket Hypothesis [LTH] Frankle and Carbin [2018] showed that it is possible to find a Sparse subnetwork (i.e. “winning tickets”) inside a Dense network that we can train in isolation for full accuracy. Finding that sparse subnetwork, though, is an NP-hard problem, therefore, requires an iterative pruning process to get to that useful subnetwork. Chen et al. [2021] demonstrated the use of an end-to-end dynamic sparse network to address the challenges of LTH. The authors used ImageNet with DeiT-Tiny/Small/Base. They demonstrated 50% model sparsity with DeiT-Small produces, saving 49.32% FLOPs and 4.40% running time while attaining a surprising improvement of 0.28% accuracy. Kuznedelev et al. [2022b] proposed the oViT framework that iteratively prunes the model while finetuning. With oViT, EfficientFormer-L1 with 50% sparsity achieves 78% Top-1 accuracy vs the dense counterpart of 78.9% accuracy.

3.3 Alternatives to Attention

Recent work on finding alternatives to the attention module shows promising results. Zhai et al. [2021] proposed an attention-free transformer architecture. The authors suggest replacing attention with gating and Softmax or gating and explicit convolution. Michael et al. [2023] look to find a subquadratic operator that can match the quality of the attention network at scale. They showed that their attention-free network reaches transformer quality with 20% less compute for language modeling tasks. Their proposed subquadratic Hyena operators are twice as fast as highly optimized attention at sequence length 8K and 100× faster at sequence length 64K, tested on WIKITEXT103 and THE PILE dataset. Using these subquadratic operators as a drop-in replacement for attention modules can be a direction for future research.

3.4 Comparing Results

Figure 2 shows Top-1 accuracy vs. latency on several Vision Transformer models that might be suitable for edge devices. These models are trained on ImageNet-1K for 300 epochs with AdamW optimizer on an image resolution of 224x224. Latency is measured using the CoreML framework on an iPhone 12. Table 1 lists a few state-of-the-art models based on CNN, Hybrid, and pure transformer-based architectures and their number of parameters.

Model	Parameters(M)
ResNet50	25.5
MobileNetv2	6.1
DeiT-T	5.9
DeiT-S	22.5
EdgeNeXt	5.6
MobileViT-XS	2.3
LeViT-256	18.9
EfficientFormer-L1	12.3
EfficientFormer-L3	31.3
EfficientFormer-L7	82.1
MobileFormer-214	9.4
PoolFormer-s12	12
PoolFormer-s24	21
PoolFormer-s36	31
EfficientViT	10.9
NextViT-S	31.7
NextViT-B	44.8
NextViT-L	57.8

Table 1: Number of model parameters on ImageNet classification

These results suggest that we have a few interesting choices for mobile vision transformers within the accuracy-latency tradeoffs. For example, EfficientFormer-L3 has an 82.4% accuracy with a considerably low latency of 3ms. In comparison, NextViT-S has an 82.5% accuracy on the classification task, with a 3.5ms latency on CoreML. In our observation, a sub-20M parameter model is a mixed CNN-transformer architecture, mainly because the sub-quadratic alternatives to attention operators are still not prevalent in ViTs Poli et al. [2023].

4 Conclusion and Future Work

We aim to serve as a bird’s-eye view of the current state of ViTs for mobile applications. We surveyed ViTs that are specifically designed for mobile applications. We identified a few different models with around 10M parameters and reasonable latency-accuracy trade-offs. The majority of these models reduce the time complexity of the MHSA module by modifying the attention operation or completely removing it. ViT one-shot pruning is hard, and the accuracy recovery process is challenging Kuznedelev et al. [2022b] - leading to the need for further research. Token reduction techniques, specifically the ones without requiring further model training, might serve the purpose of the mobile vision transformer community. Moreover, we think that finding attention-free subquadratic operators that act as a drop-in replacements for attention modules in transformers Poli et al. [2023] can be a research direction worth exploring for mobile vision transformers.

References

- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 5998–6008, 2017.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Matthijs Douze, Francisco Massa, Alexandre Sablayrolles, and Hervé Jégou. Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 10347–10357. PMLR, 2021a.
- Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. *CoRR*, abs/2103.14030, 2021a.
- Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. *CoRR*, abs/2104.14294, 2021.
- Ze Liu, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Han Hu. Video swin transformer. *CoRR*, abs/2106.13230, 2021b.
- Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. *CoRR*, abs/2005.12872, 2020.
- Yanghao Li, Chao-Yuan Wu, Haoqi Fan, Karttikeya Mangalam, Bo Xiong, Jitendra Malik, and Christoph Feichtenhofer. Improved multiscale vision transformers for classification and detection. *CoRR*, abs/2112.01526, 2021.
- Enze Xie, Wenhai Wang, Zhiding Yu, Anima Anandkumar, Jose M. Alvarez, and Ping Luo. Segformer: Simple and efficient design for semantic segmentation with transformers. *CoRR*, abs/2105.15203, 2021.
- Bowen Cheng, Ishan Misra, Alexander G. Schwing, Alexander Kirillov, and Rohit Girdhar. Masked-attention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. *CoRR*, abs/2112.01527, 2021.
- Xudong Wang, Li Lyna Zhang, Yang Wang, and Mao Yang. Towards efficient vision transformer inference: a first study of transformers on mobile devices. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual International Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications*, pages 1–7, 2022.
- TPU. Edge TPU - Run Inference at the Edge | Google Cloud. <https://cloud.google.com/edge-tpu>, 2022.
- Movidious. Intel Movidious VPU. <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/products/docs/processors/movidius-vpu/myriad-x-product-brief.html?wapkw=movidius>, 2022.
- FPGA. Intel AI FPGA. <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/products/details/fpga/stratix/10/nx.html>, 2020.
- Sachin Mehta and Mohammad Rastegari. Mobilevit: Light-weight, general-purpose, and mobile-friendly vision transformer. *CoRR*, abs/2110.02178, 2021.
- Mark Kurtz, Justin Kopinsky, Rati Gelashvili, Alexander Matveev, John Carr, Michael Goin, William Leiserson, Sage Moore, Bill Nell, Nir Shavit, and Dan Alistarh. Inducing and exploiting activation sparsity for fast inference on deep neural networks. In Hal Daumé III and Aarti Singh, editors, *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5533–5543, Virtual, 13–18 Jul 2020. PMLR.
- Denis Kuznedelev, Eldar Kurtic, Elias Frantar, and Dan Alistarh. ovit: An accurate second-order pruning framework for vision transformers, 2022a. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.09223>.
- Kai Han, Yunhe Wang, Hanting Chen, Xinghao Chen, Jianyuan Guo, Zhenhua Liu, Yehui Tang, An Xiao, Chunjing Xu, Yixing Xu, et al. A survey on vision transformer. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 2022.
- Li Yuan, Yunpeng Chen, Tao Wang, Weihao Yu, Yujun Shi, Zi-Hang Jiang, Francis E.H. Tay, Jiashi Feng, and Shuicheng Yan. Tokens-to-token vit: Training vision transformers from scratch on imagenet. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 558–567, October 2021.
- Song Han, Jeff Pool, John Tran, and William Dally. Learning both weights and connections for efficient neural network. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28, 2015a.
- Song Han, Huizi Mao, and William J Dally. Deep compression: Compressing deep neural networks with pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.00149*, 2015b.

- Hugo Touvron, Piotr Bojanowski, Mathilde Caron, Matthieu Cord, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Edouard Grave, Gautier Izacard, Armand Joulin, Gabriel Synnaeve, Jakob Verbeek, and Hervé Jégou. Resmlp: Feedforward networks for image classification with data-efficient training, 2021b.
- William Fedus, Jeff Dean, and Barret Zoph. A review of sparse expert models in deep learning, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.01667>.
- Han Cai, Chuang Gan, and Song Han. Efficientvit: Enhanced linear attention for high-resolution low-computation visual recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14756*, 2022.
- Muhammad Maaz, Abdelrahman Shaker, Hisham Cholakkal, Salman Khan, Syed Waqas Zamir, Rao Muhammad Anwer, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Edgenext: Efficiently amalgamated cnn-transformer architecture for mobile vision applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10589*, 2022.
- Mark Sandler, Andrew G. Howard, Menglong Zhu, Andrey Zhmoginov, and Liang-Chieh Chen. Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks: Mobile networks for classification, detection and segmentation. *CoRR*, abs/1801.04381, 2018.
- Jiashi Li, Xin Xia, Wei Li, Huixia Li, Xing Wang, Xuefeng Xiao, Rui Wang, Min Zheng, and Xin Pan. Nextvit: Next generation vision transformer for efficient deployment in realistic industrial scenarios. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.05501*, 2022a.
- Weihao Yu, Mi Luo, Pan Zhou, Chenyang Si, Yichen Zhou, Xinchao Wang, Jiashi Feng, and Shuicheng Yan. Metaformer is actually what you need for vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10819–10829, 2022.
- Benjamin Graham, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Hugo Touvron, Pierre Stock, Armand Joulin, Hervé Jégou, and Matthijs Douze. Levit: a vision transformer in convnet’s clothing for faster inference. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 12259–12269, 2021.
- Yanyu Li, Geng Yuan, Yang Wen, Eric Hu, Georgios Evangelidis, Sergey Tulyakov, Yanzhi Wang, and Jian Ren. Efficientformer: Vision transformers at mobilenet speed. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.01191*, 2022b.
- Yinpeng Chen, Xiyang Dai, Dongdong Chen, Mengchen Liu, Xiaoyi Dong, Lu Yuan, and Zicheng Liu. Mobile-former: Bridging mobilenet and transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5270–5279, 2022a.
- Qiang Chen, Qiman Wu, Jian Wang, Qinghao Hu, Tao Hu, Errui Ding, Jian Cheng, and Jingdong Wang. Mixformer: Mixing features across windows and dimensions, 2022b.
- Lingchen Meng, Hengduo Li, Bor-Chun Chen, Shiyi Lan, Zuxuan Wu, Yu-Gang Jiang, and Ser-Nam Lim. Adavit: Adaptive vision transformers for efficient image recognition, 2021.
- Mohsen Fayyaz, Soroush Abbasi Koohpayegani, Farnoush Rezaei Jafari, Sunando Sengupta, Hamid Reza Vaezi Joze, Eric Sommerlade, Hamed Pirsiavash, and Juergen Gall. Adaptive token sampling for efficient vision transformers, 2021.
- Zhenglun Kong, Peiyan Dong, Xiaolong Ma, Xin Meng, Mengshu Sun, Wei Niu, Xuan Shen, Geng Yuan, Bin Ren, Minghai Qin, Hao Tang, and Yanzhi Wang. Spvit: Enabling faster vision transformers via soft token pruning, 2021.
- Michael S Ryoo, AJ Piergiovanni, Anurag Arnab, Mostafa Dehghani, and Anelia Angelova. Tokenlearner: Adaptive space-time tokenization for videos. In A. Beygelzimer, Y. Dauphin, P. Liang, and J. Wortman Vaughan, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2021. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=z-11kpDXs88>.
- Daniel Bolya, Cheng-Yang Fu, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, Christoph Feichtenhofer, and Judy Hoffman. Token merging: Your vit but faster, 2022.
- Zhikai Li and Qingyi Gu. I-vit: Integer-only quantization for efficient vision transformer inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.01405*, 2022.
- Shiwei Liu and Zhangyang Wang. Ten lessons we have learned in the new "sparseland": A short handbook for sparse neural network researchers, 2023.
- Trevor Gale, Erich Elsen, and Sara Hooker. The state of sparsity in deep neural networks, 2019.
- Minsoo Rhu, Mike O’Connor, Niladri Chatterjee, Jeff Pool, Youngeun Kwon, and Stephen Keckler. Compressing dma engine: Leveraging activation sparsity for training deep neural networks. pages 78–91, 02 2018. doi:10.1109/HPCA.2018.00017.
- Andrey Kuzmin, Markus Nagel, Saurabh Pitre, Sandeep Pendyam, Tijmen Blankevoort, and Max Welling. Taxonomy and evaluation of structured compression of convolutional neural networks, 2019.

- Sidak Pal Singh and Dan Alistarh. Woodfisher: Efficient second-order approximation for neural network compression, 2020.
- Liyang Liu, Shilong Zhang, Zhanghui Kuang, Aojun Zhou, Jing-Hao Xue, Xinjiang Wang, Yimin Chen, Wenming Yang, Qingmin Liao, and Wayne Zhang. Group fisher pruning for practical network compression, 2021c.
- Denis Kuznedelev, Eldar Kurtic, Elias Frantar, and Dan Alistarh. ovit: An accurate second-order pruning framework for vision transformers, 2022b.
- Jonathan Frankle and Michael Carbin. The lottery ticket hypothesis: Finding sparse, trainable neural networks. 2018.
- Tianlong Chen, Yu Cheng, Zhe Gan, Lu Yuan, Lei Zhang, and Zhangyang Wang. Chasing sparsity in vision transformers: An end-to-end exploration, 2021.
- Shuangfei Zhai, Walter Talbott, Nitish Srivastava, Chen Huang, Hanlin Goh, Ruixiang Zhang, and Josh Susskind. An attention free transformer, 2021.
- Michael Poli, Stefano Massaroli, Eric Nguyen, Daniel Y. Fu, Tri Dao, Stephen Baccus, Yoshua Bengio, Stefano Ermon, and Christopher Ré. Hyena hierarchy: Towards larger convolutional language models, 2023.