

# Highly engaging events reveal semantic and temporal compression in online community discourse

Antonio Desiderio<sup>1,2,+</sup>, Anna Mancini<sup>1,2</sup>, Giulio Cimini<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, and Riccardo Di Clemente<sup>3,4‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department and INFN, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, Rome, 00133, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Centro Ricerche Enrico Fermi, Via Panisperna 89a, Rome, 00184, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Complex Connections Lab, Network Science Institute, Northeastern University London, 58 St Katharine's Way, London, E1W 1LP, United Kingdom.

<sup>4</sup>ISI Foundation, Via Chisola 5, Turin, 10126, Italy.

‡riccardo.diclemente@nulondon.ac.uk

\*giulio.cimini@roma2.infn.it

## ABSTRACT

People nowadays express their opinions in online spaces, using different forms of interactions such as posting, sharing and discussing with one another. How do these digital traces change in response to events happening in the real world? We leverage Reddit conversation data, exploiting its community-based structure, to elucidate how offline events influence online user interactions and behavior. Online conversations, as posts and comments, are analysed along their temporal and semantic dimensions. Conversations tend to become repetitive with a more limited vocabulary, develop at a faster pace and feature heightened emotions. As the event approaches, the shifts occurring in conversations are reflected in the users' dynamics. Users become more active and they exchange information with a growing audience, despite using a less rich vocabulary and repetitive messages. The recurring patterns we discovered are persistent across a wide range of events and several contexts, representing a fingerprint of how online dynamics change in response to real-world occurrences.

## Introduction

In today's world of data, the detection of human interactions is increasingly being realized through the continuous stream of signals generated<sup>1-4</sup> and the knowledge extracted from them can be fed into reliable predictive models<sup>5,6</sup>, continuously refining our portrait of human behaviour<sup>7-9</sup>. As human beings, we are social animals living in a community<sup>10-12</sup> and we communicate social issues with others to share our ideas and views<sup>13-15</sup>. Communication is a complex phenomenon, shaped by individuals' responses to external stimuli through various channels of communication<sup>16,17</sup>. Nowadays, online social networks represents the most popular means through which humans communicate<sup>18,19</sup>, digest information<sup>20,21</sup> and engage in discussions around offline events<sup>22,23</sup>. These digital discussions provide an unprecedented amount of data that can lead to a quantitative understanding of how we interact with each other<sup>24</sup> and in turn help us address major socio-political challenges of our times<sup>25</sup>. For instance, by collecting tweets related to climate change conferences<sup>26</sup> we can analyze the discussions and reveal a significant rise in ideological polarization due to the growing presence of right-wing activity.

Offline events like political elections, championship sport matches, or large-scale epidemic outbreaks, are characterised by a mass convergence of online attention and in turn these events can be used to quantify these collective behavioral dynamics<sup>25,27</sup>. The research literature typically characterizes users' attention as the amount of engagement with news. We understood that news propagates and fades away with a stretched-exponential law<sup>28</sup>, using the news' popularity index submitted by users on Digg.com, and we measured a burst of activity followed by power-law relaxation using views of new Youtube videos<sup>29,30</sup>. Analyzing user-generated content and real-time communication platforms such as Twitter and Yahoo Research, it has been measured that temporal patterns in users' tweet streams changes from the baselines during shocking events<sup>31,32</sup>, such as terrorist attacks, natural disasters or election. However, human interactions around social issues consists of a continuous dynamical exchange of ideas typical of communities<sup>33</sup>, defined as group of individuals who share common interests, characteristics, and interact with one another on a regular basis. How do events affect community discourse, interaction frequency, and writing style? Are these changes, along the temporal and semantic dimension, specific to the type of event, or are they recurrent across events? Offline events shape the environment in which online conversations take place, thereby changing the direction and altering the dynamics of online discussions, influencing how individuals digest online information<sup>25</sup>.

We address these questions using Reddit conversation data. Reddit is a public online forum whose users interact with each other by submitting new posts and adding comments to existing posts or comments, thus creating conversation threads<sup>34</sup>. The

wide thematic spectrum of Reddit conversations enables us to deepen our comprehension of human communication within communities<sup>35</sup>: for instance it was shown how users become more intuitive and express their sadness during COVID-19 warning and lockdown phase<sup>36</sup> or how users try to shift the point of view of their interlocutors according to their preconceptions<sup>37</sup>. We investigate the temporal and semantic dimensions of online community discourse during highly engaging events<sup>27,31,32</sup>. By analyzing the time sequence of comments, we can identify variations in discussion activity speed, while the semantic dimension uncovers unique patterns of words and statistically significant expressions within the conversation. Reddit conversations during these events display extreme variations: the frequency of replies increases, conversations develop at a faster pace and are repetitive, the use of word combinations changes, and there is an increase of total emotions shared. These changes in semantic-temporal patterns are ubiquitous across different kinds of events. Conversations develop through the exchange of messages between users discussing a particular topic or event. Users express their opinions and thoughts on a given event through a comment, that is shared with the community at a specific *time* and with a *semantic* fingerprint. During events, user begins to increase her activity frequency, more users interact with her. High frequency of activity involves a lack of language, and extremely repetitive messages. As users interact with a growing audience, joining the debate and *de facto* broadening the exchange of information. The semantic diversity of a user's conversation peers increases as they occupy a larger semantic space<sup>38,39</sup>, shifting the dialogue in practice. The resulting picture tells us that the increased production of community content around offline events is accompanied by users' semantic redundancy, which develops over high activity frequency.

## Results and Discussion

The Reddit platform consists of a vast collection of communities, each dedicated to a specific topic<sup>40</sup>. Here, we focus on communities with a large user base that discuss U.S. politics (*r/politics*) and european (*r/europe*) politics, as well as U.S. basketball (*r/NBA*) and football (*r/NFL*). Our Reddit dataset comprises over 60 million comments, with a time range spanning from January 01, 2020 to January 31, 2021. This period includes a broad range of events such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the U.S. 2020 elections, NBA interruption, Kobe Bryant death, several NFL matches etc...(see Supplementary Table 1 for the full list of the events considered). Within this timeframe, we specifically observed the mentioned highly engaging events, partly due to our aggregation of signals on a weekly basis to ensure reliable statistical analysis.

### Burst of Activity and Conversation Characterization.

A burst in the overall conversations' volume around an event is the hallmark of its attractiveness<sup>28,31</sup>. We identify highly engaging weeks by ranking the weeks based on the daily z-scores of the comments (see Supplementary Material 2 and Methods for further information). Then, we contextualize these significant activity bursts using Wikipedia (see Supplementary Table 2 for the pages retrieved). In the case of the sport communities, we cross-checked the conversation volume with Google Trends. The events extracted represent notable occurrences on a weekly basis amidst the continuous stream of daily events. We trace more than 20 highly engaging weeks in the chosen Reddit communities, as documented on the Wikipedia pages. On the contrary, events such as the first impeachment trial of U.S. president Donald Trump (Jan 16, 2020) or Bulgarian protests in July 2020 (listed on the Wikipedia pages) received limited engagement from the respective communities. Figure 1A shows the burst of activity within Reddit political communities, in terms of overall number of daily posts and comments generated around highly engaging events. In general, volumes of both posts and comments increase during the event, with some noteworthy exceptions (e.g. COVID-19 for the U.S. politics community where comments grow much more than posts). To cross-check the events selected we have integrated into our analysis Google Trends data (using *nba* and *nfl* as query terms for *r/NBA* and *r/NFL*, respectively). Figure 1B shows how the time series for the number of posts of the sport communities and the Google Trends are strongly correlated (NBA Correlation 0.7, NFL Correlation 0.8), and the peaks mostly coincide, meaning that people search for events (Google Trends) as they talk more about them (Reddit). For these communities, we observe events that span several weeks, coinciding with weekly matches, and in this case, we consider the start and end of this period as an event. To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the interplay between external events and the communication patterns within the Reddit communities, it is common approach to explore the users' behavior around the observed peaks<sup>31,32</sup>. Figure 1C displays the Z-scored hourly activity the week before and that of the event. We observe that the digital circadian rhythm of content production is different around specific hours, most likely modified by the events<sup>31</sup>. In the sports cases the difference is marked around the match kickoff and endgame. In the EU case we do not observe a relevant gap, while in the U.S. case there is a marked shift in the evening.

Offline events influence users' online activity<sup>31,41-43</sup>, how do these events change the way people communicate with each other? On Reddit, users discuss about specific topics or events by writing posts and commenting to other posts or comments. Hence we can consider a post and all its comments underneath as a single conversation. Figure 1D illustrates how Reddit posts are depicted and compared along the temporal and semantic dimensions. From each post we extract a time series by considering time intervals of length  $\Delta t$ , starting from the creation of the post, and counting how many comments are written

within each of these intervals. We also extract a text, or document, for each post by joining all the comments underneath, and compute its compression as the fraction of unique words to the total number of words in the document.

### **Accelerating online conversational pace during offline events.**

We measure Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) and Coherence distance between conversations of one week and the week before to capture the temporal shift of conversation dynamics (see Methods). The aim of DTW<sup>44</sup> is to find the optimal alignment between two time series by warping one of them in a nonlinear way. This alignment process captures stretching and compression between the two series. When the DTW distance increases, the two series become less similar (temporal mismatch/distortions), as the alignment process requires more warping or compressing of the sequences to work properly. Coherence, instead, allows to measure possible enhancing time series' relationships between weeks by computing the frequency spectra, and detecting common frequency patterns<sup>45,46</sup>. The purpose of coherence is to measure the degree of linear synchronization between time series, providing insights into how much two time series are correlated at different frequencies and it indicates how well the phases align at different frequencies (consistency of their temporal shifts). Conversely, low coherence values points to inconsistent or random temporal shifts (see Methods). When the coherence increases significantly during an event week compared to the value obtained from the coherence of the weeks when there is no event (defined as the baseline period), it determines a changing of the structure of conversations (constructive or destructive). The average distances are shown in Figure 2A and for most of the events analysed they display significant changes. During the tournament matches in the sports cases such measures exhibit large variations associated to the starting dates and after these the average distances become stationary during all the tournament. The European community displays a marked variation only for the U.S. 2020 election. Since DTW is sensitive to time distortion and different speeds, we validate the results by testing against null models obtained through randomization of the timestamps of the comments to statistically validate the changes in the way conversations are structured along the temporal dimension (Supplementary Material 3). Another interesting quantity to look at is the reply speed, defined as the temporal distance between a comment and its response. We find that the weekly distributions of reply speeds are well approximated by Log-Normal functions, in agreement with other analyses of human temporal patterns<sup>47</sup>. We observe that there is a decrease of the reply speed during the events (see Figure 2B), exceeding 30% in the majority of the cases: the peaks of the distributions during the events are getting sharper and shifting to lower values (see Supplementary Material 4 for the standard deviation of the reply speeds). These variations are not the same for all events, due to their heterogeneous attractiveness. Furthermore, we observe that the reply speed remains consistently low – approximately at the same level – for events that span several weeks, such as NFL matches or the initial lockdown period in Europe. We can conclude that, during highly engaging events, conversations along the temporal dimension are structured differently and take place with an overall faster pace.

### **Reduced focus yet increased diversity in conversation.**

To measure the focus of conversations on a specific topic, we explore the information content of the text associated to each conversation thread. We measure the compression of the conversation using the Lempel-Ziv complexity index, which measures the repetitiveness of the content (see Methods for further details). The idea behind Lempel-Ziv complexity is to measure the amount of information in a sequence or a string of symbols by identifying and encoding repeated patterns. Figure 3A shows the variation of the compression between the week of the event and the week before: a negative variation marks that conversations have become more repetitive. Most of the events are characterised by a large variation in terms of compression level with respect to the preceding period; however, while the discussions about sports become in general more repetitive, the political discussions tend in the opposite direction. Compression however focuses only on words, while people tend to repeat certain structures such as word sequences or phrases, which can be important for conveying meaning or establishing a sense of belonging of a user to the community, especially during a particular event. To capture the changes in language before and after events, we define and monitor the statistically significant structures within conversations. We generate an ensemble of document realizations for each week by randomizing the order of words, and compute the relevant bi-grams against the ground truth to assess their statistical significance. We limit our analysis to the top bi-grams and we exploit them to compare the weeks using Jaccard similarity index among bi-grams (see Figure 3B and Methods). We notice that the majority of events, independently of the topic, display different statistically relevant bi-grams, as their Jaccard similarity index is lower with respect to the other weeks. Moreover, in the sports cases the match weeks are very similar to each other and different from the other weeks; forming a cluster of events according to the similarity of statistically relevant bi-grams (areas of figure 3B with similar Jaccard index values). During the U.S. 2020 election weeks (October 2020), we notice that there is a similar usage of bigrams across this period, showing a cluster of weeks as observed in the time analysis. We derive the dissimilarity index from the Jaccard indices, which is the number of weeks where the Jaccard index falls below the median value for a given week (see Supplementary Material 5).

We further perform sentiment analysis to provide a more complete understanding of conversation content and of people's perceptions and attitudes towards an event. Sentiment analysis is a standard technique in online social network analysis to capture the polarity of a text<sup>48-50</sup>. We, first, compute the sentiment of each post and comment using VADER. Sentiment varies between -1 (negative) and +1 (positive). We binned this interval and compute, for each week, the histogram of post/comment

sentiment values. Then, we compute the Z-score of each bin by using the average value and standard deviation of all weeks. The total “emotion” of a week is defined as the sum of Z-scores over all bins (that is, the area of the denoised histogram); this represents how distant the week is from the baseline and thus captures the sentiment variation that is possibly associated to an event (see Methods). Finally, we compute the variation of the emotion between a week and the week before.

Generally there is a consistent positive emotion shift concerning the week of the event and the week before, with respect to the variation of two consecutive weeks prior to the event (see Figure 3C). As in the previous results, all emotion changes for the weeks of NBA and NFL matches lie on the upper tail of the distribution. Meanwhile, in the U.S. politics community we find significant variations for the election weeks and the entire Black Lives Matter protest period, while in the EU case during the first COVID-19 lockdown. We can conclude that communities express their views and feelings towards the event in a multifaceted manner, with large variations in sentiment and expressions defined by different combinations of words.

### **User dynamics reveal amplified repetition along with heightened speed.**

When people engage in a conversation, they exchange comments with one another and with the community, giving rise to a dynamic process of communication. The dynamical changes of conversations as a whole due to the occurrence of a particular event, that we observed in the previous results, also imply the existence of shifts in temporal activity and semantic structure at the level of individual users. Hence in this section we focus our analysis on individual behaviors. Events typically involve a higher number of users who are active solely during the event. As a result, the observed conversational shifts in the previous sections – even if validated with null models – may be attributed to these random users<sup>27</sup>. Consequently, in this analysis, we consider only recurrent or dutiful users – those who actively and consistently engage in the community over several weeks (see Methods for details). Furthermore, we consider an additional dimension given by the number of conversation peers of each user, that is, how many neighbors she has in the network of social interactions (see Methods). We characterise the individual temporal dimension using the frequency of activity, considering both comments and posts contributed by each user, as an indicator of her level of engagement with the community. We find that there is a linear correlation between the users’ activity frequency and the number of users with whom it interacts, the users’ degree, regardless of the event ( $R^2 > 0.7$ , see Figure 4A). Hence during an event, users tend to increase their activity frequencies and they engage in conversations with an expanding group of users (see also the distributions shift in Figure 4A and Supplementary Material 6 for more examples). As more users join the discussion surrounding the event, they become more engaged and reach a larger audience. Moreover, we exploit the users’ dynamics to observe shifts in the distributions of users’ activity frequency and degree to fully characterize their engagement level. Then, we compute the Wasserstein distance<sup>51</sup>, due to its ability to compare distributions with varying supports and to capture a dynamic shift. We consider the two political communities during the shared events (U.S. 2020 election and the Capitol Hill riot) and we observe that there are no changes (Wasserstein distance near zero) across events in the European case, contrary to the U.S. case, showing that the users’ engagement level is not simple related to the community volume production (see Supplementary Material 6 and 7). We then move to the analysis of the semantic dimension, considering all the posts and comments contributed by a user in a given week. We found that at the conversation level the combinations of words chosen by users to express their feelings changes during the events (Figure 3B-C). By mapping the text of comments into the Mikolov semantic space<sup>52</sup>, where words that share similar contexts in the corpus are located in close proximity, we can capture users’ movements in the conversation by measuring their semantic diversity (see Methods). Due to the shorter text data at the individual user level, statistically validated bi-grams can be noisy in capturing semantic diversity. We find that during events, users’ peers become more semantically dispersed, and connected at the same time, as shown by the shifts in Figure 4B. Other events can be found in Supplementary Material 6 and 7. Additionally, we find that the average semantic displacement of each post, defined as the average distance in the semantic space between a comment and the succeeding one, tends to increase as the semantic diversity of the user also increases (see Supplementary Material 7). In other words, as the users’ peers become more semantically dispersed and connected, they are introducing new and varied semantic structures into the conversations. Finally, we notice that as the users’ activity frequency increases, their semantic compression also grows (Figure 4C). Overall, during events of highly engaging events, users tend to interact with a greater number of peers and the messages they exchange become even more repetitive.

## **Conclusion**

The fingerprints extracted from the digital discussions on Reddit provide evidence of how offline events are perceived by online users. The increased production of online content regarding in-person events is characterized by discussions marked by semantic redundancy, which develop over time at an accelerated pace, regardless of the event type. The observed changes in online social media discussions are reflected in the dynamics of users, where their semantic spaces shrink as activity frequencies rise. By examining the language used by each user’s peers, we discover that individuals with broader vocabularies engage more frequently, hence influencing the direction of the conversation.

Our framework for evaluating the impact of offline events on the digital discourse of a community is subject to certain constraints. First, the use of Reddit, an online social network where users communicate anonymously and predominantly in English, limits the range of our findings since the development of conversations can be affected by various factors, including the nature of the topic, the language employed and the characteristics of the participants<sup>53</sup>. Indeed, while focusing on U.S. communities such as NBA and NFL, U.S. politics, given their large user bases, we analyze heterogeneous events, thereby overcoming the issue of topic limitation. Second, we have selected the timeframe of 2020, which was characterized by a massive increase in the usage of online platforms by individuals due to the COVID-19 containment measures<sup>36</sup>. Given this limitation, we have explored the timeframe of June 2016 specifically for NBA and U.S. politics, and the findings substantiate our main results (refer to Supplementary Material 9). Finally, our results are derived from a single social media platform, Reddit, due to its community structure, yet our framework; which relies solely on semantics and temporal aspects of online interactions, can be readily applied to other platforms, thereby corroborating our findings.

Our analysis contribute to a deeper understanding of how offline events are discussed by online communities<sup>33</sup>. The dissemination of knowledge and the consumption of news<sup>54,55</sup> are crucial aspects of modern societies<sup>56</sup>, fostering social cohesion by providing a shared awareness of nowadays events and promoting exchange of perspectives. With advancements in technology, news receive more collective attention but individual exposure is shortening<sup>41</sup> and individual daily activity is more fragmented<sup>57</sup>. Here, we explore the semantic component of online debate, demonstrating that semantic redundancy is always coupled with higher activity frequency. This could result from users repeatedly expressing the same concept at a higher frequency, thus not fostering much deeper conversation. Studying semantic recurrences over longer time scales can reveal how language and culture change and adapt over time<sup>42,58</sup>, which can have valuable implications for fields such as linguistics<sup>59</sup> and anthropology<sup>43</sup>. Furthermore, our framework holds the potential to identify events and remove biases within corpora employed for ML pipelines, specifically by identifying and excluding event-related data<sup>60</sup>.

## Methods

### Dataset

We retrieved Reddit conversation data from Pushshift<sup>61</sup>, an API that regularly copies activity data of Reddit and other social media. We queried the service to retrieve information about the chosen subreddits' posts and comments from January 01, 2020 to January 31, 2021. The datasets was cleaned by removing posts/comments made by users with username ending with *bot* and *AutoModerator* (see Supplementary Table 3). Google Search engine data were generated by the Google Trends platform and were retrieved via the Python package *pytrends* (see Supplementary Table 2 for the keywords used). Highly engaging events are selected according to the daily Z-score of the Reddit posting activity, where The mean  $\mu_s(t) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{t'=1}^t x_s(t')$  and variance  $\sigma_s^2(t) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{t'=1}^t [x_s(t') - \mu_s(t)]^2$  of the time series  $x_s(t)$  are used to compute it<sup>62</sup>. This quantity captures the variation of engagement of the community in a given week, thereby allowing us to rank weeks according to it. The daily Z-scores are reported in Supplementary Material 1. The events were contextualized with Wikipedia by manually inspecting the corresponding page of the subreddit (see Supplementary Table 2 for the pages). The events considered for each community are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

### Temporal Analysis

To compute the hourly activity, we have first counted the comments/posts for each hour within a week, then we have computed the hourly Z-score with respect to the average hourly activity of the overall period. We have extracted a time series from each post by considering time intervals of length  $\Delta t$ , starting from the creation of the post, and counting how many comments are written within each of these intervals. We consider a post lifetime of 24 hours and discarded comments written afterwards (less than 5% of the total, on average). For each week we have considered only the top 100 posts by number of comments (accounting for over 50% of comments) and we measured *Dynamic Time Warping* (DTW) distance<sup>63</sup> and Coherence between all the possible combinations of conversations of one week and the week before. Coherence has been computed via Welch's method<sup>64</sup> using Hann window, with an overlap of 50% between the two time series<sup>65</sup>. If we have two time series,  $y(t)$  and  $x(t)$ , that are linked by a convolution relation and additive white noise  $w(t)$  such that  $y(t) = H \otimes x(t) + w(t)$ , we can compute coherence as follows

$$C_{xy}(\omega) = \frac{|S_{xy}(\omega)|^2}{S_{xx}(\omega)S_{yy}(\omega)} = \left(1 + \frac{S_{ww}}{S_{xx}^2|H|^2}\right)^{-1} = \begin{cases} S_{ww} \gg S_{xx}^2|H|^2 \implies C_{xy} \sim 0 \\ S_{xx}^2|H|^2 \gg S_{ww} \implies C_{xy} \sim 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $S_{xy}$  is the cross-spectral density between  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $S_{xx}$  the auto spectral density (same for  $y$ ). If coherence increases, then the impulse response function  $H$  is greater than white noise  $w$ ; this means that the variability of  $y$  can be well explained

by the variability of  $x$ . DTW is a technique mainly used to find the optimal match between two time series with different lengths by non-linearly mapping one signal to the other<sup>44</sup>. The key idea is to create a matrix  $M_{ij}$ , where the entries are the distances between each point  $i$  in the signal  $x(t)$  and each point  $j$  in the other signal  $y(t)$ . The matrix  $M_{ij}$  can be interpreted as the weighted adjacency matrix of a graph, where the point  $i$  is connected to the point  $j$  with a weight  $M_{ij}$ . We can use the Dijkstra's algorithm to find the weighted shortest path through the graph (cumulative distances between each point), which corresponds to the optimal DTW path between the two time series<sup>44</sup>. The reply speeds have been computed as the elapsed time between a comment and its response, in this case all the comments have been considered within a week.

## Semantic Analysis

For each post, we joined all the (lower-cased text of) comments underneath, respecting their temporal order, to obtain a document. For each week we have considered only the top 100 posts by number of comments and we have computed the Lempel-Ziv complexity index<sup>66</sup>. The algorithm works by scanning a string sequence and identifying repeated patterns or substrings, and then encoding those patterns using a dictionary of previously seen substrings. The number of distinct sequences found is the Lempel-Ziv index<sup>66</sup>. In our case we have removed the substrings of length less than 2 as they are uninformative. Regular signals can be characterized by a small number of patterns and hence have low complexity, while irregular signals are content-rich and therefore less predictable. Lempel-Ziv complexity was introduced to study binary sequences and the ideas introduced were later extended to become the basis of the well-known zip compression algorithm<sup>67</sup>. We have computed compression of a post as the ratio between its Lempel-Ziv complexity index and the total length of the document. To find the significant structures within a document we have generated an ensemble of 100 documents for each post by randomizing the order of words. We have employed such ensemble as benchmarks to extract the statistically relevant bi-grams for each week by computing the residual occurrence. We have considered only the statistically relevant bi-grams with respect to the average residual (between 30-40% of the total bi-grams) and computed the Jaccard similarity index among weeks to assess whether two weeks are statistically similar, i.e. they have the same semantic structures. In this case we have cleaned the text by removing stop-words and punctuation, and considered only the bi-grams with at least 25 occurrences.

Sentiment analysis has been carried out via VADER (*Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner*)<sup>68</sup>, a python tool that assigns to each piece of text a score  $s$  between -1 (very negative) and +1 (very positive). For each comment/post within a week we have applied VADER to the text and extracted the associated sentiment. The total emotion of each week has been computed as the total area of the denoised histogram of sentiment, thereby aggregating across bins to potentially account for extreme variations in both positive and negative directions. The denoising of each bin has been carried out by using all the weeks by computing the Z-score, thus revealing weeks with intense sentiment.

## Users Analysis

For each week we have reconstructed the network of social interactions by considering posts and comments. Each user who contributed at least five of these posts/comments during that week is represented as a node, and a direct link between user  $i$  and  $j$  is present if  $i$  commented on posts/comments by  $j$ . User degree is defined as the number of first neighbors (in both directions) in the network. To frame the changes in the structure of thematic dialogues we focused on dutiful users that interact persistently with more than 10 posts/comments per week and at least in 70% of the weeks considered. We report the number of users in Supplementary Table 4. To compute the activity frequency of each user, we have considered the ordered sequence of comments and posts of the user. The mean temporal distance between two consecutive contributions by the user gives the activity period, whose inverse defines the activity frequency. The semantic compression of each user has been computed via the Lempel-Ziv complexity index, as described in the conversations' analysis but on the document obtained by joining all the comments and posts of the user. To compute the semantic diversity of each user, we have, firstly, trained Word2Vec<sup>52</sup> on all the subreddits, using the Python package *gensim*<sup>69</sup>. Word2Vec has been trained using the continuous bag of words (CBOW) model to learn word embeddings. In this neural network model, the goal is to predict a target word given a set of context words, where the target is the middle word of the context. The context words, represented as one-hot encoding vectors, are fed into an embedding layer, which serves as a lookup table for the corresponding word embeddings (dense vectors). The embeddings are then fed into a shallow neural network to predict the probability distribution over the vocabulary for the target word, and the weights are updated using back-propagation; thus refining the word embeddings of the first input layer (embedding layer). In this case we have cleaned the text by removing punctuation and stop-words, lowering and stemming it. We have considered an embedding vector of 100 dimensions, with word window 3 and we have ignored all words with total occurrence lower than 4. The total number of words on which the model is trained is approx. 850M and we have trained the neural network till the loss reached a plateau (max 100 epochs). We have, then, mapped each comment/post to a point in the semantic space, by averaging the

embeddings of the words appearing in a given text. The semantic diversity has been computed as

$$d_u = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_u} \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \|v_{i,u} - \langle v \rangle_u\|^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $v_{i,u}$  is the semantic vector of post/comment  $i$  by user  $u$  and  $\langle v \rangle_u$  is the average semantic vector over the possible  $N_u$  posts/comments made by user  $u$  during the week considered.

## References

1. Peters, B. The Age of Big Data - Forbes. *Forbes* **11**, 4–9 (2012).
2. Sapiezynski, P., Stopczynski, A., Lassen, D. D. & Lehmann, S. Interaction data from the Copenhagen Networks Study. *Sci. Data* **6**, 1–10, DOI: [10.1038/s41597-019-0325-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0325-x) (2019).
3. Solomon, M. R., White, K., Dahl, D. W., Zaichkowsky, J. L. & Polegato, R. *Consumer behavior: Buying, having, and being*, vol. 12 (Pearson Boston, MA, 2017).
4. Yang, Y., Pentland, A. & Moro, E. Identifying latent activity behaviors and lifestyles using mobility data to describe urban dynamics, DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2209.12095](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2209.12095) (2022). [2209.12095](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.12095).
5. Eagle, N., Pentland, A. S. & Lazer, D. Inferring friendship network structure by using mobile phone data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 15274–15278, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.0900282106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900282106) (2009).
6. Lu, X., Bengtsson, L. & Holme, P. Predictability of population displacement after the 2010 Haiti earthquake. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am.* **109**, 11576–11581, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1203882109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203882109) (2012).
7. Lazer, D. *et al.* Social science: Computational social science. *Science* **323**, 721–723, DOI: [10.1126/science.1167742](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167742) (2009).
8. Burgon, J. Making the links. *Nurs. standard (Royal Coll. Nurs. (Great Britain) : 1987)* **16**, 112 (2002).
9. Lazer, D. M. *et al.* Computational social science: Obstacles and opportunities. *Science* **369**, 1060–1062, DOI: [10.1126/science.aaz8170](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz8170) (2020).
10. Mowlana, H. On Human Communication. *Javnost* **25**, 226–232, DOI: [10.1080/13183222.2018.1418978](https://doi.org/10.1080/13183222.2018.1418978) (2018).
11. Emery, N. J., Clayton, N. S. & Frith, C. D. Introduction. Social intelligence: From brain to culture, DOI: [10.1098/rstb.2006.2022](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.2022) (2007).
12. Littlejohn, S. W. & Foss, K. A. *Theories of Human Communication* (Waveland press, 2008).
13. Lee, N. J., Shah, D. V. & McLeod, J. M. Processes of Political Socialization: A Communication Mediation Approach to Youth Civic Engagement. *Commun. Res.* **40**, 669–697, DOI: [10.1177/0093650212436712](https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650212436712) (2013).
14. Kahne, J. & Bowyer, B. The Political Significance of Social Media Activity and Social Networks. *Polit. Commun.* **35**, 470–493, DOI: [10.1080/10584609.2018.1426662](https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2018.1426662) (2018).
15. Jin, S. V. & Ryu, E. "I'll buy what she's #wearing": The roles of envy toward and parasocial interaction with influencers in Instagram celebrity-based brand endorsement and social commerce. *J. Retail. Consumer Serv.* **55**, 102121, DOI: [10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102121](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102121) (2020).
16. Stevens, S. S. Introduction: A Definition of Communication. *The J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **22**, 689–690, DOI: [10.1121/1.1906670](https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1906670) (1950).
17. Anguera, M. T. & Izquierdo, C. Methodological approaches in human communication: From complexity of perceived situation to data analysis. *Emerg. Commun. Stud. New Technol. Pract. Commun.* **9**, 203–222 (2012).
18. Heidemann, J., Klier, M. & Probst, F. Online social networks: A survey of a global phenomenon. *Comput. Networks* **56**, 3866–3878, DOI: [10.1016/j.comnet.2012.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2012.08.009) (2012).
19. Segerberg, A. & Bennett, W. L. Social media and the organization of collective action: Using twitter to explore the ecologies of two climate change protests. *Commun. Rev.* **14**, 197–215, DOI: [10.1080/10714421.2011.597250](https://doi.org/10.1080/10714421.2011.597250) (2011).
20. Cinelli, M. *et al.* The COVID-19 social media infodemic. *Sci. Reports* **10**, 1–10, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-020-73510-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73510-5) (2020). [2003.05004](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.05004).
21. Lazer, D. M. *et al.* The science of fake news: Addressing fake news requires a multidisciplinary effort. *Science* **359**, 1094–1096, DOI: [10.1126/science.aao2998](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998) (2018).

22. Halu, A., Zhao, K., Baronchelli, A. & Bianconi, G. Connect and win: The role of social networks in political elections. *Epl* **102**, 16002, DOI: [10.1209/0295-5075/102/16002](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/102/16002) (2013). [1210.1996](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.1996).
23. Cinelli, M., de Francisci Morales, G., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociochi, W. & Starnini, M. The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am.* **118**, e2023301118, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.2023301118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023301118) (2021).
24. Omodei, E., De Domenico, M. & Arenas, A. Characterizing interactions in online social networks during exceptional events.  
DOI: [10.3389/fphy.2015.00059](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2015.00059).
25. Lorenz-Spreen, P., Oswald, L., Lewandowsky, S. & Hertwig, R. A systematic review of worldwide causal and correlational evidence on digital media and democracy. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **7**, 74–101, DOI: [10.1038/s41562-022-01460-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01460-1) (2023).
26. Falkenberg, M. *et al.* Growing polarization around climate change on social media. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **12**, 1114–1121, DOI: [10.1038/s41558-022-01527-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01527-x) (2022). [2112.12137](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.12137).
27. Szell, M., Grauwil, S. & Ratti, C. Contraction of online response to major events.  
DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0089052](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089052).
28. Wu, F. & Huberman, B. A. Novelty and collective attention. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am.* **104**, 17599–17601, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.0704916104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704916104) (2007). [0704.1158](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1158).
29. Crane, R. & Sornette, D. Robust dynamic classes revealed by measuring the response function of a social system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am.* **105**, 15649–15653, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.0803685105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803685105) (2008). [0803.2189](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.2189).
30. Yang, J. & Leskovec, J. Patterns of temporal variation in online media. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM 2011*, 177–186, DOI: [10.1145/1935826.1935863](https://doi.org/10.1145/1935826.1935863) (2011).
31. Sasahara, K., Hirata, Y., Toyoda, M., Kitsuregawa, M. & Aihara, K. Correction: Quantifying collective attention from tweet stream. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e61823, DOI: [10.1371/annotation/25b6b59d-c3d4-4f9a-b14c-d8d6c389bda8](https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/25b6b59d-c3d4-4f9a-b14c-d8d6c389bda8) (2013).
32. He, X. & Lin, Y. R. Measuring and monitoring collective attention during shocking events. *EPJ Data Sci.* **6**, 1–22, DOI: [10.1140/epjds/s13688-017-0126-4](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-017-0126-4) (2017).
33. Candia, C., Jara-Figueroa, C., Rodriguez-Sickert, C., Barabási, A. L. & Hidalgo, C. A. The universal decay of collective memory and attention. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **3**, 82–91, DOI: [10.1038/s41562-018-0474-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0474-5) (2019).
34. Choi, D. *et al.* Characterizing conversation patterns in reddit: From the perspectives of content properties and user participation behaviors. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on Conference on Online Social Networks*, 233–243, DOI: [10.1145/2817946.2817959](https://doi.org/10.1145/2817946.2817959) (ACM).
35. Proferes, N., Jones, N., Gilbert, S., Fiesler, C. & Zimmer, M. Studying Reddit: A Systematic Overview of Disciplines, Approaches, Methods, and Ethics. *Soc. Media Soc.* **7**, 20563051211019004, DOI: [10.1177/20563051211019004](https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051211019004) (2021).
36. Ashokkumar, A. & Pennebaker, J. W. Social media conversations reveal large psychological shifts caused by COVID-19's onset across U.S. cities. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabg7843, DOI: [10.1126/sciadv.abg7843](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg7843) (2021).
37. Monti, C., Aiello, L. M., De Francisci Morales, G. & Bonchi, F. The language of opinion change on social media under the lens of communicative action. *Sci. Reports* **12**, 1–11, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-022-21720-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21720-4) (2022).
38. González, M. C., Hidalgo, C. A. & Barabási, A. L. Understanding individual human mobility patterns (Nature (2008) 453, (779-782)). *Nature* **458**, 238, DOI: [10.1038/nature07850](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07850) (2009).
39. Lombardo, G., Tomaiuolo, M., Mordonini, M., Codeluppi, G. & Poggi, A. Mobility in Unsupervised Word Embeddings for Knowledge Extraction—The Scholars' Trajectories across Research Topics. *Futur. Internet* **14**, 25, DOI: [10.3390/fi14010025](https://doi.org/10.3390/fi14010025) (2022).
40. Olson, R. S. & Neal, Z. P. Navigating the massive world of reddit: Using backbone networks to map user interests in social media. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* **2015**, e4, DOI: [10.7717/peerj-cs.4](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.4) (2015). [1312.3387](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3387).
41. Lorenz-Spreen, P., Mønsted, B. M., Hövel, P. & Lehmann, S. Accelerating dynamics of collective attention. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1759, DOI: [10.1038/s41467-019-09311-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09311-w) (2019).
42. Fortier, I. & Castellanos Juarez, M. How Hypermodern and Accelerated Society is Challenging the Cultural Sector, DOI: [10.1080/10632921.2017.1387004](https://doi.org/10.1080/10632921.2017.1387004) (2017).
43. Malley, B. & Knight, N. Some cognitive origins of cultural order. *J. Cogn. Cult.* **8**, 49–69, DOI: [10.1163/156770908X289206](https://doi.org/10.1163/156770908X289206) (2008).



44. Berndt, D. & Clifford, J. Using dynamic time warping to find patterns in time series. In *Workshop on Knowledge Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, vol. 398, 359–370 (Seattle, WA, USA:, 1994).
45. Grinsted, A., Moore, J. C. & Jevrejeva, S. Application of the cross wavelet transform and wavelet coherence to geophysical time series. *Nonlinear Process. Geophys.* **11**, 561–566, DOI: [10.5194/npg-11-561-2004](https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-11-561-2004) (2004).
46. Maharaj, E. A. & D’Urso, P. A coherence-based approach for the pattern recognition of time series. *Phys. A: Stat. Mech. its Appl.* **389**, 3516–3537, DOI: [10.1016/j.physa.2010.03.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2010.03.051) (2010).
47. Kaltenbrunner, A. *et al.* Homogeneous temporal activity patterns in a large online communication space. *CEUR Work. Proc.* **245** (2007). [0708.1579](https://doi.org/10.7081.1579).
48. Box-Steffensmeier, J. M. & Moses, L. Meaningful messaging: Sentiment in elite social media communication with the public on the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabg2898, DOI: [10.1126/sciadv.abg2898](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg2898) (2021).
49. Bovet, A., Morone, F. & Makse, H. A. Validation of Twitter opinion trends with national polling aggregates: Hillary Clinton vs Donald Trump. *Sci. Reports* **8**, 8673, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-018-26951-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26951-y) (2018). [1610.01587](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26951-y).
50. Matalon, Y., Magdaci, O., Almozlino, A. & Yamin, D. Using sentiment analysis to predict opinion inversion in Tweets of political communication. *Sci. Reports* **11**, 1–9, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-021-86510-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86510-w) (2021).
51. Kantorovich, L. V. Mathematical methods of organizing and planning production. *Manag. science* **6**, 366–422 (1960).
52. Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G. & Dean, J. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. In Burges, C. J. C., Bottou, L., Welling, M., Ghahramani, Z. & Weinberger, K. Q. (eds.) *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 26, 1–9 (Curran Associates, Inc., 2013). [1310.4546](https://doi.org/10.1109/nips.2013.2668324).
53. Stans, S. E., Dalemans, R. J., de Witte, L. P., Smeets, H. W. & Beurskens, A. J. The role of the physical environment in conversations between people who are communication vulnerable and health-care professionals: a scoping review. *Disabil. Rehabil.* **39**, 2594–2605, DOI: [10.1080/09638288.2016.1239769](https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2016.1239769) (2017).
54. Del Vicario, M. *et al.* The spreading of misinformation online. *Proc. national academy Sci.* **113**, 554–559 (2016).
55. Gravino, P., Prevedello, G., Galletti, M. & Loreto, V. The supply and demand of news during COVID-19 and assessment of questionable sources production. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **6**, 1069–1078, DOI: [10.1038/s41562-022-01353-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01353-3) (2022).
56. Watts, D. J., Rothschild, D. M. & Mobius, M. Measuring the news and its impact on democracy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am.* **118**, e1912443118, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1912443118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912443118) (2021).
57. Sullivan, O. & Gershuny, J. Speed-Up Society? Evidence from the UK 2000 and 2015 Time Use Diary Surveys. *Sociology* **52**, 20–38, DOI: [10.1177/0038038517712914](https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038517712914) (2018).
58. Brucker, M. C. The Evolution of Language. *Nurs. for Women’s Heal.* **25**, 321–322, DOI: [10.1016/j.nwh.2021.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nwh.2021.08.002) (2021).
59. Foster, I. The future of language learning. *Lang. Cult. Curriculum* **32**, 261–269, DOI: [10.1080/07908318.2019.1661154](https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2019.1661154) (2019).
60. Meade, N., Poole-Dayana, E. & Reddy, S. An Empirical Survey of the Effectiveness of Debiasing Techniques for Pre-trained Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 2022).
61. Baumgartner, J., Zannettou, S., Squire, M. & Blackburn, J. The pushshift telegram dataset. In *Proceedings of the 14th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, ICWSM 2020*, vol. 14, 840–847, DOI: [10.1609/icwsm.v14i1.7348](https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v14i1.7348) (2020). [2001.08438](https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v14i1.7348).
62. Mancini, A., Desiderio, A., Di Clemente, R. & Cimini, G. Self-induced consensus of Reddit users to characterise the GameStop short squeeze. *Sci. Reports* **12**, 13780, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-022-17925-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17925-2) (2022).
63. Bellman, R. & Kalaba, R. On adaptive control processes. *IRE Transactions on Autom. Control.* **4**, 1–9, DOI: [10.1109/TAC.1959.1104847](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1959.1104847) (1958).
64. Welch, P. D. The Use of Fast Fourier Transform for the Estimation of Power Spectra: A Method Based on Time Averaging Over Short, Modified Periodograms. *IEEE Transactions on Audio Electroacoustics* **15**, 70–73, DOI: [10.1109/TAU.1967.1161901](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAU.1967.1161901) (1967).
65. and Virtanen, P. *et al.* Author Correction: SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 352–352, DOI: [10.1038/s41592-020-0772-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0772-5) (2020).
66. Lee, D. S. & Kang, S. On the complexity of finite sequences over a finite set. *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **87**, 133–147 (2014).

67. Group, N. W., Deutsch, P. & Enterprises, A. DEFLATE Compressed Data Format Specification version 1.3. Tech. Rep. (1996).
68. Hutto, C. J. & Gilbert, E. VADER: A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, ICWSM 2014*, vol. 8, 216–225, DOI: [10.1609/icwsm.v8i1.14550](https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v8i1.14550) (2014).
69. Rehurek, R. & Sojka, P. Gensim–python framework for vector space modelling. *NLP Centre, Fac. Informatics, Masaryk Univ. Brno, Czech Repub.* **3** (2011).

## Author contributions statement

A.D. and A.M gathered the data. A.D. performed the analysis. A.D. and A.M. realised the figures. G.C. and R.D.C. designed and supervised the analysis. All the authors discussed the results, wrote the paper and approved the final manuscript.

## Additional information


**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

## Data and Code availability


Reddit conversation data used in this study can be retrieved from the Reddit or Pushshift API at <https://www.reddit.com/r/pushshift/> and were retrieved before October 2022. The code to reproduce the analysis is released on [GitHub](#).

## Acknowledgments


R.D.C. acknowledges Sony CSL Laboratories in Paris for hosting him during part of the research. G.C. acknowledges support from “Deep ’N Rec” Progetto di Ricerca di Ateneo of University of Rome Tor Vergata.




**Figure 1. Burst of Activity and Conversation Characterization** In subplots A-B we apply a 7-day moving average to the time series. A) Number of posts (solid line) and comments (dashed lines) for the U.S. politics community (upper panel) and European community (lower panel). The grey vertical dashed-dotted lines mark the highly engaging events and correspond to the peaks of the signals. B) Number of posts compared to the Google Trends for the NBA community (upper panel) and NFL community (lower panel). C) Radar plots showing, for each subreddit, the average Z-scored hourly activity in the week before (dashed line) and that of the event (solid line), with the shaded area representing the standard deviation. For the European community, the Amsterdam timezone is selected, while the US/Eastern timezone is employed for all other communities. D) Schematic representation of how we characterise a conversation. For each post we capture the temporal dimension as the time series extracted by counting the comments underneath within a  $\Delta t = 5$  minutes time interval, and the semantic dimension by merging all the comments into a single text, whose compression is obtained as the ratio between the number of unique patterns of words (in red) and of all words (unique and repeated).



**Figure 2. Temporal Dimension.** A) Average Dynamic Time Warping (solid) and coherence (dashed) distances between the conversations of a week and of the previous one, for each subreddit. B) Average reply speeds, for each subreddit. In all panels, the grey vertical dashed-dotted lines mark the events.



**Figure 3. Semantic Dimension.** A) Percentage change of compression between the week associated with the event (darker) and the week before (lighter) for each subreddit. The grey shaded vertical area is the standard deviation of the mean change between one week and the preceding week. B) Jaccard index among statistically relevant bi-grams between all weeks, the lighter the color the more the weeks are dissimilar. Events are marked with grey lines. C) Emotion variation for each subreddit between consecutive weeks. The triangles mark the variation associated to the events.



**Figure 4. Users' dynamics.** In the following subplots the data used on the left panels are of the users active on the subreddit r/NBA during the NBA Trades, while on the right of the users on r/politics during the U.S. 2020 election. A) The central panels show the relation between the frequency of activity of each user and the number of interacting peers (the degree). The marginal plots report the survival function of each variable for the two weeks. B) The density plots show the variations of the peers' degree and semantic diversity. C) The central panels show the relation between user's compression and frequency of activity. Marginal plots report the survival function of each variable for the two weeks.

## Supplementary Materials, Section 1 : Dataset Information

Subreddit	Date	Event	Label
europe	2020-01-31	Brexit	g
europe	2020-09-10	Cyprus Tensions	j
europe	2020-08-10	Belarus Protest	i
europe	2020-05-01	Lockdown Ease	h
europe	2020-11-03	US 2020	e
europe	2021-01-06	Capitol Hill	f
europe	2020-03-11	COVID-19	b
politics	2020-02-05	Trump Trial	a
politics	2020-10-02	Trump COVID-19	d
politics	2020-11-03	US 2020	e
politics	2021-01-06	Capitol Hill	f
politics	2020-03-11	COVID-19	b
politics	2020-06-06	Black Lives Matter	c
nba	2020-01-26	Kobe Bryant	k
nba	2020-03-12	NBA Stop	l
nba	2020-12-22	Regular Season	p
nba	2020-07-31	NBA Restart	m
nba	2020-10-11	Finals	n
nba	2020-11-21	NBA Trades	o
nfl	2020-04-24	Draft	u
nfl	2020-09-11	Kickoff Game	v
nfl	2020-02-02	SuperBowl LIV	s
nfl	2020-03-18	NFL Trades	t
nfl	2021-01-10	PlayOff	w

**Supplementary Table 1.** Large-scale events considered in the analysis with the relative subreddit community.

Subreddit	Google Trends Query	Wikipedia Pages
europe	–	<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_in_the_European_Union">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_in_the_European_Union</a>
politics	–	<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_in_the_United_States">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_in_the_United_States</a>
nba	nba	<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020-21_NBA_season">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020-21_NBA_season</a>
nfl	nfl	<a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_NFL_season">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_NFL_season</a>

**Supplementary Table 2.** Wikipedia pages retrieved and Google Trends keywords queried for the relative subreddit community.

Column	Description
Author	Username
Author ID	ID that uniquely identifies each Reddit user
Comment ID	ID that uniquely identifies each comment
Submission ID	ID of the post under which the comment was made
Parent ID	ID of the post or ID of the comment to which the given comment is a reply
Text	Text of the comment
UTC	Epoch Unix timestamp of the comment


**Supplementary Table 3.** Metadata downloaded from Pushshift for each Reddit comment.

<b>Subreddit</b>	<b>Users</b>	<b>Threshold weeks</b>	<b>Threshold Comments</b>
politics	1209	0.7	10
nba	416	0.7	10
nfl	535	0.7	10
europe	403	0.5	5

**Supplementary Table 4.** Number of dutiful users considered in the Users Dynamics analysis, with relative thresholds for the number of comments in a week and the fraction of weeks active.




## Supplementary Materials, Section 2 : Daily Z-score to identify highly engaging events




**Supplementary Figure S1.** Daily Z-score of Post Activity in Subreddits. The solid line represents the daily Z-score of the number of posts for the following subreddits: U.S. politics (panel A), European (panel B), NBA (panel C), and NFL (panel D). The grey vertical dashed-dotted lines indicate highly engaging events, which correspond to the peaks in the Z-score, signifying increased subreddit activity during these times.

## Supplementary Materials, Section 3 : Null Models of Dynamic Time Warping and Coherence


To test whether the large variations observed for the Dynamic Time Warping and coherence distances are due to changes of the conversations' temporal structure, we perform a permutation test. We consider for each week the time series  $X$  and the surrogate time series  $\tilde{X}$ , obtained by shuffling the comments' timestamps. For each time series we compute the temporal difference between each comment and its following, then we shuffle these differences and we compute the cumulative sum of the shuffled differences to obtain the surrogate time series. We generate 1000 surrogates for each time series. As shown in figures S2, S3, S4, S5, the distributions of the coherence distances between the surrogate time series are different from the real ones: they display a sharper peak around 0.1.




**Supplementary Figure S2.** Distributions of coherence distances between the time series of US politics community for the US 2020 election. The dashed lines are the surrogate distributions, while the solid lines are the ground truth. The top panel displays the comparison between the time series of the event week and week before, while the bottom panel displays the case between the week before and two weeks before.



**Supplementary Figure S3.** Distributions of coherence distances between the time series of European community for the Coronavirus Outbreak Event. The dashed lines are the surrogate distributions, while the solid lines are the ground truth. The top panel displays the comparison between the time series of the event week and week before, while the bottom panel displays the case between the week before and two weeks before.



**Supplementary Figure S4.** Distributions of coherence distances between the time series of NBA community for the NBA Trades event. The dashed lines are the surrogate distributions, while the solid lines are the ground truth. The top panel displays the comparison between the time series of the event week and week before, while the bottom panel displays the case between the week before and two weeks before.




**Supplementary Figure S5.** Distributions of coherence distances between the time series of NFL community for the NFL Kickoff game event. The dashed lines are the surrogate distributions, while the solid lines are the ground truth. The top panel displays the comparison between the time series of the event week and week before, while the bottom panel displays the case between the week before and two weeks before.

## Supplementary Materials, Section 4 : Time Metrics


The distributions of the reply speeds become sharper during large-scale events as displayed by the solid lines in Supplementary Figures [S7](#),[S8](#),[S9](#),[S10](#). The fit of the distributions is not the scope of this work, however we find that data are well approximated by log-normal distributions. We report for several weeks and subreddits the residual sum of squares (RSS) in Table 5. As shown in the panels of Supplementary Figure [S6](#), the standard deviation of the reply speed significantly decreases during exogenous events.

Subreddit	Date	Distribution	RSS
nba	2020-11-21	Log-Normal	0.04
nba	2020-11-21	Power-Law	0.37
nba	2020-11-21	Gamma	0.18
nba	2020-03-12	Log-Normal	0.09
nba	2020-03-12	Power-Law	0.92
nba	2020-03-12	Gamma	0.48
nfl	2020-09-11	Log-Normal	0.42
nfl	2020-09-11	Power-Law	2.65
nfl	2020-09-11	Gamma	1.81
nfl	2020-04-24	Log-Normal	0.20
nfl	2020-04-24	Power-Law	1.54
nfl	2020-04-24	Gamma	1.02
europe	2020-01-31	Log-Normal	0.03
europe	2020-01-31	Power-Law	0.19
europe	2020-01-31	Gamma	0.1
europe	2020-08-10	Log-Normal	0.03
europe	2020-08-10	Power-Law	0.3
europe	2020-08-10	Gamma	0.15
politics	2020-06-06	Log-Normal	0.26
politics	2020-06-06	Power-Law	0.54
politics	2020-06-06	Gamma	0.33
politics	2020-11-03	Log-Normal	0.52
politics	2020-11-03	Power-Law	0.65
politics	2020-11-03	Gamma	0.8


**Supplementary Table 5.** Residual Sum of Squares (RSS) for the reply speeds for different distributions (Gamma, Log-Normal, Powerl-Law) for several weeks for all the subreddit.




**Supplementary Figure S6.** Standard deviation of the reply speed of each week for the analysed communities (Panels). The vertical grey lines mark the large-scale events.




**Supplementary Figure S7.** Cumulative distributions of the answering times for the US politics community during the Capitol Hill event (solid line) and the week before (dashed line).



**Supplementary Figure S8.** Cumulative distributions of the answering times for the European community during the Brexit event (solid line) and the week before (dashed line).




**Supplementary Figure S9.** Cumulative distributions of the answering times for the NBA community during the NBA trades event (solid line) and the week before (dashed line).




**Supplementary Figure S10.** Cumulative distributions of the answering times for the NFL community during the NFL draft event (solid line) and the week before (dashed line).






**Supplementary Figure S11.** Cumulative distributions of the answering times for the US politics community during the US 2020 election event (solid line) and the week before (dashed line).



**Supplementary Figure S12.** Cumulative distributions of the answering times for the European community during the Capitol Hill event (solid line) and the week before (dashed line).


## Supplementary Materials, Section 5 : Dissimilarity index between weeks




**Supplementary Figure S13.** Dissimilarity indices derived from Jaccard index. The dashed lines represent the weekly dissimilarity indices for the following subreddits: U.S. politics (panel A), European (panel B), NBA (panel C), and NFL (panel D). This figure illustrates the weekly dissimilarity indices, which represent the number of weeks where the Jaccard index falls below the median value. Distinctive peaks in the graph correspond to weeks with high engagement (grey vertical dashed-dotted lines) and unique bi-gram usage, indicating the influence of significant events or topics on language patterns.

## Supplementary Materials, Section 6 : Users dynamics for other events


We report the users' changes of the variables explained in the main text (semantic diversity, compression, activity frequency and degree) for several exogenous events and different communities.




**Supplementary Figure S14.** The event considered is the Capitol Hill event for the American community. In the central panels it is shown the relation between the frequency of activity of each user and the interacting peers (degree). In the marginal plots it is reported the survival function of each variable and each week.




**Supplementary Figure S15.** The event considered is the NFL Kickoff Game event for the NFL community. In the central panels it is shown the relation between the frequency of activity of each user and the interacting peers (degree). In the marginal plots it is reported the survival function of each variable and each week.




**Supplementary Figure S16.** The event considered is the Orlando event for the NBA community. In the central panels it is shown the relation between the frequency of activity of each user and the interacting peers (degree). In the marginal plots it is reported the survival function of each variable and each week.




**Supplementary Figure S17.** The event considered is the Orlando event (Restart NBA) for the NBA community. The density plots show the variations of the peers' degree and semantic diversity.




**Supplementary Figure S18.** The event considered is the NFL Kickoff Game event for the NFL community. The density plots show the variations of the peers' degree and semantic diversity.



**Supplementary Figure S19.** The event considered is the Trump Trial event for the American community. The density plots show the variations of the peers' degree and semantic diversity.




**Supplementary Figure S20.** The event considered is the Trump Trial event for the American community. In the central panels it is shown the relation between the compression and the frequency of activity. In the marginal plots it is reported the survival function of each variable and each week.




**Supplementary Figure S21.** The event considered is the Orlando event (Restart NBA) for the NBA community. In the central panels it is shown the relation between the compression and the frequency of activity. In the marginal plots it is reported the survival function of each variable and each week.






**Supplementary Figure S22.** The event considered is the NFL Kickoff Game event for the NFL community. In the central panels it is shown the relation between the compression and the frequency of activity. In the marginal plots it is reported the survival function of each variable and each week.




**Supplementary Figure S23.** Distribution of semantic diversity across various community-event combinations. Each panel represents a specific combination, with the week prior to the event shaded in grey. Data has been z-scored relative to the preceding week to highlight deviations in semantic diversity.

## Supplementary Materials, Section 7 : Wasserstein distance and Semantic Diversity


We compare the weekly distributions of the activity frequency of the political communities during the US 2020 election (shared event) by computing the Wasserstein distance. In the European case, we find a low distance value during the exogenous event (0.03), comparable with the distance between the distributions of the week before and the two weeks before (0.08). As shown in Figure S24, the distributions are similar. On the contrary, in the American case we find a large value during the exogenous event (0.35); while the distributions of the week before and the two weeks before are similar (0.009) (See Figure). Similar values are obtained for the degree and the users' semantic diversity. In Figure S27 we report the peers and users' semantic diversity of the American community during the US 2020 election. The shift of peer distribution is stronger than that of users.




**Supplementary Figure S24.** Histogram of the activity frequency (top panel) and the degree (bottom panel) of the European community, during the US 2020 election (green); week before (light blue) and two weeks before (blue).



**Supplementary Figure S25.** Histogram of the activity frequency (top panel) and the degree (bottom panel) of the American community, during the US 2020 election (red); week before (light blue) and two weeks before (blue).




**Supplementary Figure S26.** Histogram of the peers' semantic diversity (top panel) and the users' semantic diversity (bottom panel) of the European community, during the US 2020 election (green); week before (light blue) and two weeks before (blue).



**Supplementary Figure S27.** Histogram of the peers' semantic diversity (top panel) and the users' semantic diversity (bottom panel) of the American community, during the US 2020 election (green); week before (light blue) and two weeks before (blue).

## Supplementary Materials, Section 8 : Post Displacements


For each post we compute the average displacement in the semantic space, where we consider as displacement the euclidean distance between a comment and its following. We, then, consider only the posts where the dutiful users interact and compute for each post the user's average semantic diversity. Figure S28 displays that the average semantic displacement of each post tends to increase as the semantic diversity of the user also increases. Moreover, we observe that the user's average semantic diversity is different for each community, as can be seen also from Figure S23.



**Supplementary Figure S28.** It is shown the relation between the average semantic displacement and the average users' semantic diversity for the different communities (colors). The events are NBA Trades, NBA Restart, NBA Regular Season, US 2020 election, Capitol Hill, Trump Trial, NFL Kickoff Game, SuperBowl LIV, NFL Draft, Brexit.

## Supplementary Materials, Section 9 : Conversation changes in U.S. Politics and NBA Communities in June 2016

In this supplementary section, we present an extended analysis conducted on data from the U.S. politics (r/politics) and NBA (r/nba) communities during June 2016. This period was marked by two significant events: the emergence of the email controversy involving Hillary Clinton within the U.S. community on June 7, 2016, and the 2016 NBA Finals within the NBA community on June 19, 2016. First, we notice a surge in community engagement around these events, as evidenced by the increased post and comment activities (refer to the upper panels of Figure S29). Then, we applied two key metrics from the main text to capture shifts in community discourse: dynamic time warping distance and the identification of unique patterns via the Lempel-Ziv scheme. We observe that the number of unique patterns identified decreased following the events (refer to the middle panels of Figure S29). Conversely, the dynamic time warping distance reached its peak during these events (refer to the bottom panels of Figure S29).



**Supplementary Figure S29.** Community engagement and discourse Changes in U.S. Politics and NBA Communities in June 2016. Panel A and Panel B represent the NBA and U.S. Politics communities respectively. The upper panels depict the post and comment activities around the events. The middle panels illustrate the decrease in the number of unique patterns identified through the Lempel-Ziv scheme following the events. The bottom panels show the peak in Dynamic Time Warping distance during the events, indicating significant shifts in discourse patterns.