

Jefimenko Made Easy: Electromagnetic Fields through Retardation

Shengchao Alfred Li

Amateur scientist in Potomac, Maryland, USA

Email: shengchao.li@gmail.com

June 28, 2023

Abstract

Oleg D. Jefimenko's electrodynamics textbook is unique in its approaches to deriving the electric and magnetic fields of arbitrary charge and current distributions, and of an arbitrarily moving point charge. However, an uncommon form of the inhomogeneous wave equations used in the early steps often poses difficulty for readers right from the beginning. In this paper, we substitute in a commonly used form, making his approaches readily accessible.

Oleg D. Jefimenko (1922-2009) was a Professor Emeritus in the Department of Physics (renamed to the Department of Physics and Astronomy in 2012) at West Virginia University. Persisting into his retirement were his studies in theoretical physics, many of which are controversial. However, his work in electromagnetic retardation, as collected in the first five chapters in his book "Electromagnetic Retardation and Theory of Relativity: New Chapters in the Classical Theory of Fields, Second Edition" [1], is compatible with other textbooks (thus "correct") [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] but is unique enough to be worth reading.

Retardation refers to the phenomenon that it takes time for the effect of a source to propagate to an observer. Starting from Maxwell's Equations

and the concept of electromagnetic retardation, without having to rely on the concept of retarded potentials, he derived Jefimenko's Equations (named after him by the popular textbook author David J. Griffiths [13] [10], but see [2] [21] [22]), which describe the electric and magnetic fields of an arbitrary charge and current distribution. These equations are usually included in newer textbooks, where they are found by differentiating retarded potentials [23] [11] [13] [22] (but see [24] [15]). From these equations, he derived the fields of several charge and current distributions. He derived the fields of an arbitrarily moving point charge by reducing the size of a moving body of charge, in contrast to the popular but non-straightforward approach of differentiating the Lienard-Wiechert potentials in time domain [2] [3] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [11] [13] [14] [16] [18] [19] [20] or in frequency domain [4].

However, in deriving Jefimenko's Equations, he used an uncommon form of the inhomogeneous wave equations, posing difficulty for readers from the very beginning. In this paper, we replace the uncommon form of the inhomogeneous wave equations in his work with a common one. We also add comments in places as needed. Both are aimed at helping to understand.

This paper aligns mostly with the first two chapters of Jefimenko's book.

1 Fields, Sources and Retardation

In classical electrodynamics, the concept of fields, invented by Faraday, is used in describing electric and magnetic phenomena.

In a 3-dimensional space, a time-dependent scalar field is expressed as a scalar function $V(x, y, z, t)$ defined at every position (x, y, z) within a region of space and at every time t within a time interval. Similarly, a time-dependent vector field ("vector wave field" by Jefimenko) is expressed as a vector function $\mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)$. We often omit the coordinates (x, y, z) and t when there is no confusion.

The relation between a vector field $\mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)$ and its source field $\mathbf{Z}(x, y, z, t)$ is often expressed through a differential equation or a set of differential equations. If $\mathbf{Z}(x, y, z, t)$ is given, we can find $\mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)$ by solving the equation or the set of equations, subject to certain

pre-determined conditions.

Since it takes time for the effect (e.g., field value at (x, y, z) at time t) to propagate from its cause (e.g., source at (x', y', z') at time t')¹, t' must be earlier than t . If the propagation speed is a fixed speed c , we have $t' = t - r/c$ where r is the distance between (x', y', z') and (x, y, z) , thus $r = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}$.

We define the retardation of \mathbf{Z} as

$$[\mathbf{Z}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t' = t - r/c),$$

where “def” stands for “is defined as” and $[\]$ is called the “retardation symbol”. When there is no confusion, we also call the value r/c retardation and $t' = t - r/c$ retarded time.

If we utilize the delta function² (δ -function) $\delta(t)$, the retardation of \mathbf{Z} can be expressed as

$$[\mathbf{Z}] = \int \mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t') \delta(t' - (t - r/c)) dt'.$$

For future use, we define³

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla' \mathbf{Z}] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla' \mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t'))|_{t'=t-r/c}, \\ \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t'} \right] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t')}{\partial t'} \right) \Big|_{t'=t-r/c}, \end{aligned}$$

where the differentiation ∇' is applied to the primed coordinates. Similarly, we define

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{Z}] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t'))|_{t'=t-r/c}, \\ [\nabla' \times \mathbf{Z}] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla' \times \mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t'))|_{t'=t-r/c}. \end{aligned}$$

¹Note that the prime symbol “'” is only used to discriminate between observers and sources. The not-primed and primed coordinates belong to the same coordinate system. Those who have learned special relativity shall not confuse the primed coordinates used here with those used in special relativity conventions.

²It is often called Dirac’s delta function, but Heaviside had intensely used it before Dirac.

³Note that, for the second expression, Jefimenko used the symbol $\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t} \right]$, which we think may cause confusion. This is because, for the sake of symmetry of notations, we shall either use the pair $[\nabla' \mathbf{Z}]$ and $\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t'} \right]$ or use the pair $[\nabla \mathbf{Z}]$ and $\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t} \right]$, but not the pair $[\nabla' \mathbf{Z}]$ and $\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t} \right]$ as Jefimenko did.

2 Maxwell's Equations and the Inhomogeneous Wave Equations

Maxwell's Equations in vacuum are,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{E} is the electric field, \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field, ρ is the charge density, \mathbf{J} is the current density, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum, μ_0 is the permeability of the vacuum, and $\epsilon_0 \mu_0 = 1/c^2$, where c is the speed of light in vacuum.

There is a continuity condition implicitly contained in Maxwell's equations. We make it explicit by applying the $\nabla \cdot$ operator to both sides of Eq. (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} && \text{(linearity property)} \\ 0 &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}. && \text{(use Eq. (A.5); use Eq. (1))} \end{aligned}$$

Given sources $\rho(x, y, z, t)$ and $\mathbf{J}(x, y, z, t)$, we can obtain $\mathbf{E}(x, y, z, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(x, y, z, t)$ by solving these equations. In this section we show that the four equations can be reduced to two inhomogeneous wave equations, one relates \mathbf{E} to its sources, and the other relates \mathbf{B} to its sources, as follows.

We apply the $\nabla \times$ operator to both sides of Eq. (3) and obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= \nabla \times \left(-\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} && \text{(linearity property)} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) && \text{(use Eq. (4))} \\ &= -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}. && \text{(linearity property)} \end{aligned}$$

After rearrangement, we have

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t}. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, we apply the $\nabla \times$ operator to Eq. (4) and obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \nabla \times \left(\mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} && \text{(linearity property)} \\ &= \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) && \text{(use Eq. (3))} \\ &= \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2}. && \text{(linearity property)} \end{aligned}$$

After rearrangement, we have

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}. \quad (6)$$

Note that both Eq (5) and (6) are in the same general form

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} = \mathbf{K}(x, y, z, t)$$

where \mathbf{V} is \mathbf{E} or \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{K} is $-\mu_0 \partial \mathbf{J} / \partial t$ or $\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}$, respectively. This is the inhomogeneous wave equation Jefimenko dealt with.

However, if we plug the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) &= \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} && \text{(use Eq. (A.6))} \\ &= \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho \right) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} && \text{(use Eq. (1))} \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla \rho - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} && \text{(linearity property)} \end{aligned}$$

in Eq. (5), we get

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla \rho - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t},$$

and after rearrangement,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \nabla \rho + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t}. \quad (7)$$

Similarly, if we plug the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) &= \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} && \text{(use Eq. (A.6))} \\ &= -\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} && \text{(use Eq. (2))}\end{aligned}$$

in Eq. (6), we get

$$-\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J},$$

and after rearrangement,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}. \quad (8)$$

Note that both Eq (7) and (8) are in the general form

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} = \mathbf{Z}(x, y, z, t). \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{V} is \mathbf{E} or \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{Z} is $1/\epsilon_0 \nabla \rho + \mu_0 \partial \mathbf{J} / \partial t$ or $-\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J}$, respectively, and $\nabla^2 \mathbf{V}$ is called the Laplacian of \mathbf{V} . This is the inhomogeneous wave equation we usually see in electrodynamics textbooks [25] [23] [26] [15] [22]. In this paper, we shall work with this form instead.

3 Retarded Integral as a Solution of the Inhomogeneous Wave Equation

The vector inhomogeneous wave equation is

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} = \mathbf{Z}(x, y, z, t), \quad \text{(Eq (9) revisited)}$$

where \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{Z} are time-dependent vector fields. We assume \mathbf{Z} is given, and for simplicity, \mathbf{Z} is zero outside a finite region of space. Then \mathbf{V} describes waves that propagate through space and time.

Theorem 1. *The retarded integral*

$$\mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t' = t - r/c)}{r} dV'$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\mathbf{Z}]}{r} dV' \quad (10)$$

is a solution of the inhomogeneous wave equation Eq. (9), subject to the condition that $[\mathbf{Z}]$ is the cause and \mathbf{V} is the effect, and \mathbf{V} is zero at positions far far away from the source, where $r = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}$, c is the speed of light, and dV' is the infinitesimal volume at position (x', y', z') to be integrated with.

Note that due to linearity, Eq. (9) can be thought as having 3 components, each is a scalar inhomogeneous differential equation corresponding to one of the three coordinates, taking the form

$$\nabla^2 V(x, y, z, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} = Z(x, y, z, t). \quad (11)$$

To prove this theorem, due to linearity, we only need to show that each component of Eq. (10), taking the form

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y, z, t) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{[Z]}{r} dV' \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{Z(x', y', z', t' = t - r/c)}{r} dV', \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

satisfies the corresponding scalar inhomogeneous wave equation Eq. (11), where V and Z are scalars.

This theorem deserves extensive explanations before we prove it. We address some questions as follows.

1. *Why is the wave equation linear?*

Answer: The answer that it is because Maxwell's Equations are linear is valid but somewhat superficial. A deeper answer is that the linearity of the wave equation and of Maxwell's Equations merely reflects the experimental results that the forces or fields produced by the sources are addable. \square

2. *Why is the solution an integral?*

Answer: This question is tightly related to the first one. Because the wave equation is linear, by definition, we can divide the region occupied by the source \mathbf{Z} into a large number of small volumes. Then, the field value $\mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)$ becomes the sum of all the small field values, each of which is

caused by the sources (charge and current) in one of the volumes. The sum becomes an integral when the sizes of the volumes are made infinitesimal. \square

3. *Why does retardation lead to a solution of the wave equation?*

Answer: Retardation and wave propagation are essentially two different names for one phenomenon. If a point source at (x', y', z') emits something⁴ at time t' which moves outwards with speed c , at time t it will reach position (x, y, z) which lies on the surface of a sphere centered at the source position with diameter $r = c(t - t')$. \square

4. *Why isn't the distance r placed in the retardation symbol $[]$ as $[\mathbf{Z}/r]$?*

Answer: This is because r is only related to the infinitesimal volumes to be integrated with and does not depend on retardation or the retarded time $t - r/c$. It is a property of space only⁵. \square

5. *What is special about $1/r$ so that it plays a role in the solution?*

Answer: The function of $1/r$ is very special because its Laplacian $\nabla^2(1/r)$ is zero everywhere except at the point $r = 0$, and at $r = 0$ it is a δ -function, whose volume integration is finite. Proof (follow [17]):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{r} = \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} \nabla r \right) && \text{(chain rule)} \\
 &= \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} \nabla (r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad \text{(note it is easier to use } \nabla r = \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \text{)} \\
 &= \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{1}{2} (r^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla r^2 \right) && \text{(chain rule)} \\
 &= \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{1}{2r} \nabla r^2 \right) = \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2r^3} \nabla (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \right) && \text{(a trick: } r^2 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \text{)} \\
 &= \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2r^3} 2(\nabla \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{r} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{r} \right) \quad \text{(chain rule; use Eq. (A.3))}
 \end{aligned}$$

⁴It helps to imagine that in 1-dimensional space, this “something” can be stones, bullets; in 2-dimensional space, water surface waves, expanding oil film on a surface; in 3-dimensional space, dust, colored gas, sound waves, etc. Here we have found a common property of moving objects and propagating waves: they move (propagate) in space over time.

⁵Readers who have learned the Lienard-Wiechert potentials shall note that this is radically different from r there.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} \right) \quad (\text{so far we have proved } \nabla \frac{1}{r} = -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} \text{ and } \nabla r = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}) \quad (13) \\
&= -\frac{1}{r^3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{r^3} \quad (\text{chain rule, use Eq. (A.4)}) \\
&= -\frac{3}{r^3} - \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \quad (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} = 3; r = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ from } r^2 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \\
&= -\frac{3}{r^3} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{\frac{5}{2}}} \nabla(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \quad (\text{chain rule}) \\
&= -\frac{3}{r^3} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \frac{2\mathbf{r}}{r^5} \quad (\text{for } \nabla(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}), \text{ see above or use Eq. (A.3)}) \\
&= -\frac{3}{r^3} + \frac{3r^2}{r^5} \quad (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} = r^2) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \neq 0, \\ ?, & \text{if } r = 0. \text{ (can't cancel them out as warned by [17]{}^6)} \end{cases} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

At $r = 0$ the value is ill-defined in our calculation, but its integration over the volume of a small ball centered at the origin is well defined. We present here the proof based on Gauss' Law (but see [14] for a different one),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\text{ball}} \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} dV &= \int_{\text{ball}} -\nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} dV \quad (\text{see remarks in Eq. (13)}) \\
&= - \int_{\text{ball}} \nabla \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^2} dV \quad (\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/r) \\
&= - \int_{\text{sphere}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^2} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad (\text{to surface integration by Gauss' Law}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{r_0^2} \int_{\text{sphere}} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\frac{1}{r_0^2} \int_{\text{sphere}} dS = -\frac{1}{r_0^2} 4\pi r_0^2 = -4\pi,
\end{aligned}$$

where r_0 is the radius of the ball or sphere being integrated with. This result is just the definition of a δ -function,

$$\nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} = -4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r}). \quad (15)$$

We will use this equation when we prove the theorem. \square

6. What really is special about $1/r$?

Answer: The above mathematical answer to question 5 is valid but not very intuitive. The answer may be in the Taylor expansion of a decreasing

⁶He argued that the reason why the two terms cannot be canceled at $r = 0$ is that they are both infinity at $r = 0$.

function of r that is infinity at $r = 0$ and zero at $r = \infty$, with terms depending on $1/r$, $1/r^2$, $1/r^3$, etc. The author of this paper has not yet come up with an intuitive answer. \square

7. *Why is there a coefficient $-\frac{1}{4\pi}$ in the formula?*

Answer: We inspect Eq. (15) and recognize that a coefficient $-1/(4\pi)$ can normalize $\nabla^2(1/r)$ so that the result, a δ -function, has coefficient one. This, in turn, is related to the fact that the area of the sphere is $4\pi r_0^2$. \square

8. How can the solution be found mathematically?

Answer: As we have argued previously, the solution of the inhomogeneous scalar wave equation is an integral of the solutions of infinitesimal or point sources.

We derive the solution of a point source by exploiting spherical symmetry⁷. In this case, the solution is the Green function [2] [4] [8] [11] [14] [18]. It can be derived in the time domain [4] [5] [27] [28] [17] [22] or in the frequency domain [2] [11] [12] [28] [29]. We reproduce the result here in the time domain.

Suppose we want to solve the scalar inhomogeneous wave equation with a point source at $(x', y', z') = (0, 0, 0)$. The equation is $\nabla^2 V = 0$ everywhere except at $(0, 0, 0)$. We first solve $\nabla^2 V = 0$ for the whole space except for $(0, 0, 0)$, then we apply the results from question 5 to $(0, 0, 0)$.

This problem has spherical symmetry so we can simplify the equation by using the spherical coordinate system. We assume that since a point source Z is independent of angles, so is V . Thus according to Eq. (A.1) we have

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}(rV) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = 0.$$

let $u = rV$, we have $V = u/r$. Plug it into the equation we have

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{c^2 r} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

⁷Jefimenko criticized [9] and [10] for their assumption of the source being spherically symmetrical. In hindsight, we can see the authors were talking about a point source thus spherical symmetry assumption should not cause problems. [10] was clearer than [9] on this issue but still did not emphasize it enough to prevent misunderstanding.

and for places $r \neq 0$ it reduces to

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad (16)$$

This two-variable partial differentiation equation is readily solvable with standard methods. We shall omit the derivation here and just write down the general solution of Eq. (16) as follows,

$$u = g(t - r/c) + h(t + r/c),$$

where g and h are arbitrary functions. Thus

$$V = \frac{g(t - r/c)}{r} + \frac{h(t + r/c)}{r}, \quad (17)$$

where $g(t - r/c)/r$ is called the retarded solution while $h(t + r/c)/r$ is called the advanced solution.

Combining the results with the work done in question 5, we find that we have two particular solutions,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_{\text{ret}}(x, y, z, t) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t' = t - r/c)}{r} dV', \\ \mathbf{V}_{\text{adv}}(x, y, z, t) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{Z}(x', y', z', t' = t + r/c)}{r} dV', \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where “ret” stands for “retarded”, and “adv” “advanced”. Of course, a weighted mixture of the two is also a particular solution.

The retarded solution is attractive in physics because it is compatible with the idea of causality (But see [30] for discussions about the advanced solution). \square

9. *We learn from the theories that to obtain the general solution of an inhomogeneous partial differential equation, we superpose a particular solution of the general solution of the corresponding homogeneous partial differential equation. How does this reconcile with the fact that we have at least two particular solutions in this case: the retarded integral and the advanced integral?*

Answer: Of course, we immediately know from linearity [4] that the difference

$$\mathbf{V}_{\text{ret}}(x, y, z, t) - \mathbf{V}_{\text{adv}}(x, y, z, t) \quad (19)$$

is a solution of the homogeneous wave equation

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t^2} = 0. \quad (20)$$

This solution, when added to the advanced integral, gives us the retarded integral.

We shall think about the physical meaning of this solution. It describes a field, infinitesimally small at the distance of infinity, whose time-varying ripples, or waves, propagate inwards with light speed c , toward where \mathbf{Z} could have been. The waves are not absorbed by \mathbf{Z} because it is missing. They propagate through where \mathbf{Z} could have been, and then propagate outwards, toward infinity just like the waves generated by \mathbf{Z} , had \mathbf{Z} existed.

Of course, there are other solutions of the homogeneous equation Eq. (20) if spherical symmetry is not required (e.g., a plane wave). These solutions, when superposed with the particular solutions, give us other particular solutions. \square

Now we are ready to prove this theorem. We follow Schwartz's proof [6] (using the δ -function⁸) to show that the solution satisfies the equation and the conditions. Though he proved the theorem for potentials, the mathematics is similar.

Proof. We demonstrate that Eq. (12) satisfies Eq. (11).

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 V &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \nabla^2 \frac{[Z]}{r} dV' && \text{(use Eq. (12); linearity property)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \nabla \cdot \nabla \frac{[Z]}{r} dV' && \text{(definition of } \nabla^2 \text{)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla[Z]}{r} + [Z] \nabla \frac{1}{r} \right) dV' && \text{(chain rule)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{\nabla^2[Z]}{r} + \nabla \frac{1}{r} \cdot \nabla[Z] + [Z] \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} + \nabla[Z] \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{r} \right) dV' && \text{(chain rule)} \end{aligned}$$

⁸Some authors tried to avoid using the δ -function. They divided the whole space to be integrated with into two complementary volumes, infinitesimally small ball V_1 which contained the observation position and V_2 which did not [9] [10] [1] [17], and argued that in V_1 the wave equation became the Poisson's equation ($\nabla^2 V \neq 0$). We think this approach is less clear and merely reproduces the work done by the δ -function.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{\nabla^2[Z]}{r} + 2\nabla\frac{1}{r} \cdot \nabla[Z] + [Z]\nabla^2\frac{1}{r} \right) dV' \quad (\text{combine terms}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{\nabla^2[Z]}{r} - 2\frac{1}{r^2}\nabla r \cdot \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'}\nabla t' + [Z]\nabla^2\frac{1}{r} \right) dV' \\
&\quad (\text{chain rule; } [Z] \text{ depends on } (x, y, z) \text{ only through } t') \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{\nabla^2[Z]}{r} + 2\frac{1}{r^2}\nabla r \cdot \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'}\frac{1}{c}\nabla r + [Z]\nabla^2\frac{1}{r} \right) dV' \\
&\quad (\text{use } t' = t - r/c) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{\nabla^2[Z]}{r} + \frac{2}{cr^2}\frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} + [Z]\nabla^2\frac{1}{r} \right) dV'. \\
&\quad (\text{see remarks in Eq. (13)}) \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

The first term has $\nabla^2[Z]$ which we process as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2[Z] &= \nabla \cdot (\nabla[Z]) \\
&= \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'}\nabla t' \right) \quad ([Z] \text{ depends on } (x, y, z) \text{ only through } t') \\
&= \nabla \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \cdot \nabla t' + \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \nabla \cdot \nabla t' \quad (\text{use Eq. (A.4)}) \\
&= \frac{\partial\nabla[Z]}{\partial t'} \cdot \nabla t' + \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \nabla \cdot \nabla t' \quad (\text{linearity property}) \\
&= \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} \nabla t' \cdot \nabla t' + \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \nabla \cdot \nabla t' \\
&\quad ([Z] \text{ depends on } (x, y, z) \text{ only through } t') \\
&= \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} \frac{1}{c^2} (-\nabla r) \cdot (-\nabla r) - \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \frac{1}{c} \nabla \cdot \nabla r \quad (\text{use } t' = t - r/c) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \quad (\text{see remarks in Eq. (13)}) \quad (22) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \quad (\text{use } \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} = \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t^2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{1}{r} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} + \nabla \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \right) \quad (\text{use Eq. (A.4)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{3}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \nabla r \cdot \mathbf{r} \right) \quad (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} = 3 \text{ and chain rule}) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \left(\frac{3}{r} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \quad (\text{see remarks in Eq. (13)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2[Z]}{\partial t'^2} - \frac{2}{cr} \frac{\partial[Z]}{\partial t'} \quad (\text{see next footnote for combining terms})
\end{aligned}$$

Plug this result back into Eq. (21) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2 V &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 [Z]}{\partial t^2} - \frac{2}{cr} \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial t'} \right) + \frac{2}{cr^2} \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial t'} + [Z] \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} \right) dV' \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 [Z]}{\partial t^2} + [Z] \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} \right) dV' \quad (\text{cancel out terms}^9) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int \frac{[Z]}{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int [Z] \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} dV' \quad (\text{linearity property}) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} V - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int [Z] \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} dV', \quad (\text{use Eq. (10) for the first term})
\end{aligned}$$

and after rearrangement,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2 V - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} V &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int [Z] \nabla^2 \frac{1}{r} dV' \\
&= \int Z(x', y', z', t' = t - r/c) \delta(\mathbf{r}) dV' \quad (\text{use Eq. (15)}) \\
&= Z(x, y, z, t) \quad (\text{property of the } \delta\text{-function; } t' = t \text{ at } \mathbf{r} = 0)
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Jefimenko's Equations

We apply Theorem 1 directly to the inhomogeneous wave equations Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) and obtain

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\left[\nabla' \rho + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right]}{r} dV' \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\nabla' \times \mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \quad (24)$$

These equations relate \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} to their causal sources, and are the particular solutions of Maxwell's Equations subject to the conditions that

⁹Why could we cancel out terms here but can not in Eq. (14)? A not-so-mathematical, but somehow informative answer might be that although the terms here are also infinity at (0,0,0), they are not as "large" as those in Eq. (14). After all, if terms in $1/r^3$ are integrated to be non-zero in an infinitesimal ball, terms in $1/r^2$ and lower orders are expected to be integrated to be 0.

the fields are zero at positions far, far away from the sources, and that causality is assumed.

By manipulating these two equations, we can derive related formulas as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\left[\nabla' \rho + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right]}{r} dV' \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{[\nabla' \rho]}{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right]}{r} dV' \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \nabla \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \nabla' \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right]}{r} dV' \\
&\hspace{25em} \text{(use Eq. (A.9))} \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \nabla \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right]}{r} dV' \quad \text{(use Eq. (A.12)) (25)} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left(\frac{[\rho]}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2 c} \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t'} \right] \right) \mathbf{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \int \frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right] dV', \text{ (use (A.14))} \\
&\hspace{25em} \text{(26)}
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B} &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\nabla' \times \mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \\
&= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \nabla \times \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' + \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \nabla' \times \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \quad \text{(use Eq. (A.10))} \\
&= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \nabla \times \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \quad \text{(use Eq. (A.13)) (27)} \\
&= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2 c} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right] \right) \times \mathbf{r} dV' \quad \text{(use Eq. (A.15)) (28)}
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (26) and Eq. (28) are called Jefimenko's Equations. There are other tightly related equations [2] [21].

5 Retarded Potentials

Retarded potentials¹⁰ can be introduced based on the solutions of \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} .

As to the magnetic field \mathbf{B} , we have

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \nabla \times \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \quad (\text{Eq. (27) revisited})$$

$$= \nabla \times \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \right) \quad (\text{linearity property})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla \times \mathbf{A},$$

if we define retarded vector potential

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV'.$$

As to the electric field \mathbf{E} , we have

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \nabla \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right]}{r} dV' \quad (\text{Eq. (25) revisited})$$

$$= -\nabla \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial(t-r/c)} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \right)$$

(linearity property; $\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t'} \right] = \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}(x', y', z', t')}{\partial t'} \Big|_{t'=t-r/c} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}(x', y', z', t-r/c)}{\partial(t-r/c)}$)

$$= -\nabla \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV' \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\nabla\varphi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t},$$

again if we define retarded scalar potential φ and borrow retarded vector potential \mathbf{A} from above such that

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{[\rho]}{r} dV' \quad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{r} dV'. \quad (30)$$

¹⁰Here we treat potentials as tools that simplify calculations. There are physicists who think potentials are real and have real physical effects (e.g., the Aharonov–Bohm effect). Under different gauge conditions, there are different potentials.

We collect the two equations relating fields to potentials as follows,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi - \frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (32)$$

6 Electromagnetic Induction

Jefimenko argued that since Eq. (26) and (28) show that \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are generated by their corresponding sources, electric induction (changing electric field induces magnetic field) and magnetic induction (changing magnetic field induces electric field) are just illusions.

This argument sheds some light on electromagnetic phenomena. After all, in electromagnetic waves, the electric field and magnetic field are in phase [13], reaching peaks and troughs together, not alternatively.

He then argued that the physics world has long ignored the “electrokinetic field” he defined, $-\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int (\partial[\mathbf{J}]/\partial t)/r \, dV'$ (the second term of Eq. (26)), and spent two pages arguing its significance. But since his results are the same as those of classical electrodynamics, this argument may not be true.

7 The Fields of an Arbitrarily Moving Point Charge

Jefimenko spent chapters 3-5 of his book on finding electric field \mathbf{E} and magnetic field \mathbf{B} of a few case studies of moving charge distributions. However, current distributions are not included, except for current induced by the moving charges, making the case studies not very general.

A special case about an arbitrarily moving point charge is interesting because it is related to subatomic charged particles and thus is included in most electrodynamics textbooks.

For this special case, a straightforward approach to obtaining \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} used by Jefimenko is to find the field expressions up to low-order approximations of Eqs. (23) and (24), then let the size of the body shrink infinitesimally while keeping the total charge constant.

The results are the same as those obtained in other textbooks with the Lienard-Wiechert potential method, so are the results of such a charge moving in a constant velocity, which is also identical to those obtained from special relativity.

8 Discussion

Jefimenko's electrodynamics textbook is a good complement to other electrodynamics textbooks. The fact that the results regarding the electric and magnetic fields are the same gives us confidence that they are what Maxwell's Equations mean to lead to.

As we move forward, we shall remind ourselves of the spectacular result that the electric and magnetic fields of a point charge moving in constant velocity obtained from retardation coincide with those obtained from special relativity.

A Notations and Identities

In this appendix, we collect definitions and identities used in this paper.

Definitions

We represent a vector in Cartesian coordinates as row vector (x, y, z) , or as column vector $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = (x, y, z)^T$, where T stands for transpose, or as $x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}$, or as $\mathbf{i}x + \mathbf{j}y + \mathbf{k}z$, where \mathbf{i} , \mathbf{j} , and \mathbf{k} are unit vectors pointing to the positive direction of the x , y , and z axes, respectively, and x , y , and z are coefficients. Note that we reuse x, y, z symbols here both for the axes and for the coefficients. Note that the unit vectors can be written as $\mathbf{i} = (1, 0, 0)^T$, $\mathbf{j} = (0, 1, 0)^T$, $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1)^T$ thus

$$x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k} = x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + y \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write down the space derivatives of a field in Cartesian coordinates,
Gradient:

$$\nabla a = \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z},$$

Divergence:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z},$$

Curl:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{a} &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ a_x & a_y & a_z \end{vmatrix} && (| | \text{ stands for determinant}) \\ &= \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} \right) + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} \right) + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 a = \nabla \cdot \nabla a = \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial z^2},$$

Laplacian in spherical coordinates:

$$\nabla^2 V = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (rV) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi^2} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle and θ is the zenith angle.

Laplacian of a vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \mathbf{a} &= \mathbf{i} \nabla^2 a_x + \mathbf{j} \nabla^2 a_y + \mathbf{k} \nabla^2 a_z \\ &= \mathbf{i} \nabla \cdot (\nabla a_x) + \mathbf{j} \nabla \cdot (\nabla a_y) + \mathbf{k} \nabla \cdot (\nabla a_z) \\ &= \mathbf{i} \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} \right) + \mathbf{j} \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{k} \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial z^2} \right) + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial z^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial z^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Identities

$$\nabla(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \nabla \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a} \quad (\text{definition of } \nabla \mathbf{a} \text{ in proof}) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) &= \left(\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (a_x b_x + a_y b_y + a_z b_z) \\ &= \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} b_x + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} b_y + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} b_z \right) + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} b_x + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} b_y + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} b_z \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} b_x + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} b_y + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} b_z \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial b_x}{\partial x} a_x + \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial x} a_y + \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial x} a_z \right) + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial b_x}{\partial y} a_x + \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial y} a_y + \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial y} a_z \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial b_x}{\partial z} a_x + \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial z} a_y + \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial z} a_z \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_x \\ b_y \\ b_z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \\ a_z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} b_x \\ b_y \\ b_z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \\ a_z \end{pmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla \mathbf{a})^T \mathbf{b} + (\nabla \mathbf{b})^T \mathbf{a} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a} \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $\nabla \mathbf{a}$ (or $\nabla \mathbf{b}$) is a 3×3 matrix. Its dot product with a vector is defined here as the product of the transpose of the matrix and the vector. This definition is consistent with the dot product of two vectors, i.e., $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}$ (a scalar) where \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} are 3×1 vectors.

This formula when used in Electrodynamics is much better than another one used in many textbooks [1] [6],

$$\nabla(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}),$$

because the former formula exposes the physical intuition of the derivative of a (dot) product of two factors, that the result is the sum of two terms, each is the derivative of one factor multiplied by the other. It is a well-known identity, but its first use in the differentiation of electromagnetic potentials, as far as the author knows, is in Zucchini's master's thesis [31].

$$\nabla \cdot (a\mathbf{b}) = \nabla a \cdot \mathbf{b} + a\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (a\mathbf{b}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(ab_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(ab_y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(ab_z) \\ &= \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}b_x + \frac{\partial a}{\partial y}b_y + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z}b_z + a\frac{\partial b_x}{\partial x} + a\frac{\partial b_y}{\partial y} + a\frac{\partial b_z}{\partial z} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \mathbf{k}\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \right) \cdot (\mathbf{i}b_x + \mathbf{j}b_y + \mathbf{k}b_z) + a\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} \\ &= \nabla a \cdot \mathbf{b} + a\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

□

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = 0 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{a} &= \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} \right) + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} \right) + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{a} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}) &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial x \partial z} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial x \partial y} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial y \partial z} \right), \\
\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a}) &= \nabla \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} \right) \\
&= \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial x \partial z} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{j} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial y \partial z} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a_x}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 a_y}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 a_z}{\partial z^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and compare $\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a}) - \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{a})$ to $\nabla^2 \mathbf{a}$ (see Eq. (A.2)) we know that the identity is proved. \square

Manipulations of Retarded Quantities

In our derivations, we often need to perform mathematical manipulations of expressions involving retarded quantities. Retarded quantities often emerge with the “source” and are with the primed coordinates. Retarded quantities are often represented with the retardation operator [].

Recall that we let ∇ denote derivatives with respect to the ordinary coordinates and ∇' with respect to the primed coordinates. We use \mathbf{r} to represent the vector distance from the source at (x', y', z') to the field at (x, y, z) as $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{i}(x - x') + \mathbf{j}(y - y') + \mathbf{k}(z - z')$ and r the scalar distance $r = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} f(\mathbf{r}) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} f(r) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{f}(r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \mathbf{f}(r) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where $f(\mathbf{r})$, $f(r)$, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{f}(r)$ are any scalar or vector functions of the vector distance \mathbf{r} or the scalar distance r between the field position and the source position.

The intuition of these equations is that, if the field and its source are related only through the distance vector, moving the observation position is equivalent to moving the source position in the opposite direction. This is the familiar Galilean relativity.

Proof. It suffices to prove the first equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} f(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r})}{\partial(x-x')} \frac{\partial(x-x')}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r})}{\partial(x-x')} \frac{\partial(x-x')}{\partial x'} \\
&= \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r})}{\partial(x-x')} \left(\frac{\partial(x-x')}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(x-x')}{\partial x'} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{[\partial Z/\partial x']}{r} &= \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial x r} + \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial x' r}, \\
\frac{[\partial Z/\partial y']}{r} &= \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial y r} + \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial y' r}, \\
\frac{[\partial Z/\partial z']}{r} &= \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial z r} + \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial z' r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Proof. Because of symmetry we only need to prove the first equation.

$$\frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial x r} + \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial x' r}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [Z] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [Z] + [Z] \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} [Z] && \text{(chain rule)} \\
&= [Z] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [Z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} [Z] \right) && \text{(combine terms)} \\
&= \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{t'} [Z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} [Z] \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \Big|_{t'} [Z] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} [Z] \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x'} \right) && \text{(use Eq. (A.7))} \\
&= \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} [Z] \left(\frac{\partial t'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x'} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \Big|_{t'} [Z] \right) && ([Z] \text{ depends on } x \text{ only through } t') \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \Big|_{t'} [Z] && \text{(use Eq. (A.7), } t' = t - r/c \text{ is a function of } r) \\
&= \frac{[\partial Z / \partial x']}{r},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \Big|_{t'}$ means when calculating the derivatives, we do not go into t' with the chain rule. \square

We notice that if we replace the factor $1/r$ with any function $f(r)$, the equations still hold.

The intuition of these equations is, if we co-move x and x' , y and y' , or z and z' , the net change of the right hand of the equations is caused by the change in Z value due to change of the primed coordinates.

$$\frac{[\nabla' Z]}{r} = \nabla \frac{[Z]}{r} + \nabla' \frac{[Z]}{r} \tag{A.9}$$

$$\frac{[\nabla' \times \mathbf{Z}]}{r} = \nabla \times \frac{[\mathbf{Z}]}{r} + \nabla' \times \frac{[\mathbf{Z}]}{r} \tag{A.10}$$

Proof. We notice that these are simple extensions of Eq. (A.8). \square

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \partial / \partial x' f(x', y', z') dx' &= 0, \\
\int \partial / \partial y' f(x', y', z') dy' &= 0, \\
\int \partial / \partial z' f(x', y', z') dz' &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

if $f(x', y', z')$ is zero outside of a finite region of space.

Proof. Because of symmetry we only need to prove the first equation.

$$\begin{aligned}\int \partial/\partial x' f(x', y', z') dx' &= \int d_{x'}(f(x', y', z')) \\ &= f(\infty, y', z') - f(-\infty, y', z') = 0\end{aligned}$$

□

The intuition is that, if we start from the foot on the left side of a hill, climb to the peak, descend to the right, and reach the foot of the hill on the right side, the net height we cover is zero.

$$\int \nabla' f(x', y', z') = 0, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\int \nabla' \times f(x', y', z') = 0, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

if $f(x', y', z')$ is zero outside of a finite region of space.

Proof. We notice that these are simple extensions of Eq. (A.11). □

This proof is simple because we have limited $f(x', y', z')$ to be zero outside of a finite region of space. A more general version of the condition requires the value of f to vanish at infinity with a rate sufficiently fast, regarding distance r .

$$\nabla \left[\frac{Z}{r} \right] = -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} [Z] - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^2 c} \left[\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t'} \right] \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \left[\frac{Z}{r} \right] \\ = [Z] \nabla \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \nabla [Z]\end{aligned} \quad (\text{chain rule})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [Z] \left(-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial [Z]}{\partial t'} \nabla t' && \text{(see remarks in Eq. (13))} \\
&= [Z] \left(-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t'} \right] \nabla \left(t - \frac{r}{c} \right) \\
&= [Z] \left(-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} \right) - \frac{1}{rc} \left[\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t'} \right] \nabla r \\
&= -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} [Z] - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^2 c} \left[\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t'} \right]. && \text{(see remarks in Eq. (13))}
\end{aligned}$$

□

$$\nabla \times \frac{[\mathbf{Z}]}{r} = \left(\frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] + \frac{1}{r^2 c} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t'} \right] \right) \times \mathbf{r} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla \times \frac{[\mathbf{Z}]}{r} \\
&= \nabla \frac{1}{r} \times [\mathbf{Z}] + \frac{1}{r} \nabla \times [\mathbf{Z}] && \text{(chain rule)} \\
&= -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} \times [\mathbf{Z}] + \frac{1}{r} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ [Z_x] & [Z_y] & [Z_z] \end{vmatrix} && \text{(see remarks in Eq. (13))} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] \times \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{r} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial t'}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial t'}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial [Z_x]}{\partial t'} & \frac{\partial [Z_y]}{\partial t'} & \frac{\partial [Z_z]}{\partial t'} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] \times \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{r} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} & -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} & -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial [Z_x]}{\partial t'} & \frac{\partial [Z_y]}{\partial t'} & \frac{\partial [Z_z]}{\partial t'} \end{vmatrix} && \text{(because } t' = t - r/c) \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] \times \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{r} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ -\frac{1}{rc} r_x & -\frac{1}{rc} r_y & -\frac{1}{rc} r_z \\ \frac{\partial [Z_x]}{\partial t'} & \frac{\partial [Z_y]}{\partial t'} & \frac{\partial [Z_z]}{\partial t'} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] \times \mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{r^2 c} \mathbf{r} \times \frac{\partial [\mathbf{Z}]}{\partial t'} \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] \times \mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{r^2 c} \mathbf{r} \times \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t'} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{r^3} [\mathbf{Z}] + \frac{1}{r^2 c} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}}{\partial t'} \right] \right) \times \mathbf{r}$$

□

References

- [1] Oleg D Jefimenko. *Electromagnetic retardation and theory of relativity: new chapters in the classical theory of fields, 2nd ed.* Electret Scientific, 2004.
- [2] Wolfgang K H Panofsky and Melba Phillips. *Classical electricity and magnetism, 2nd ed.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1962.
- [3] Aleksey N Matveyev. *Principles of electrodynamics.* Reinhold Publishing Corporation, 1966.
- [4] Boris Podolsky and Kaiser S Kunz. *Fundamentals of Electrodynamics.* Marcel Dekker, 1969.
- [5] L D Landau and E M Lifshitz. *The classical theory of fields, 3rd revised English ed.* Pergamon Press, 1971.
- [6] Melvin Schwartz. *Principles of electrodynamics.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1972.
- [7] John R Reitz, Frederick J Milford, and Robert W Christy. *Foundations of electromagnetic theory, 3rd ed.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1979.
- [8] A O Barut. *Electrodynamics and classical theory of fields and particles.* Dover Publications, Inc., 1980.
- [9] Richard Becker and Fritz Sauter. *Electromagnetic fields and interactions.* Dover Publications Inc., 1982.
- [10] Mark A Heald and Jerry B Marion. *Classical electromagnetic radiation, 3rd ed.* Brooks Cole, 1995.
- [11] John David Jackson. *Classical electrodynamics, 3rd ed.* John Wiley & Sons, 1998.

- [12] Julian Schwinger, Lester L DeRaad Jr, Kimball A Milton, and Wu-yang Tsai. *Classical electrodynamics*. Perseus Books, 1998.
- [13] David J Griffiths. *Introduction to electrodynamics, 3rd ed*. Prentice Hall, 1999.
- [14] Fulvio Melia. *Chicago lectures in physics, electrodynamics*. The University of Chicago Press, 2001.
- [15] Charles A Brau. *Modern problems in classical electrodynamics*. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [16] Satya Pal Puri. *Classical electrodynamics*. Alpha Science International Limited, 2011.
- [17] Andrew M Steane. *Relativity made relatively easy*. Oxford University Press, 2012.
- [18] Jerrold Franklin. *Classical electromagnetism*. Pearson Addison-Wesley, 2017.
- [19] Peter Alan Davidson. *An introduction to electrodynamics*. Oxford University Press, 2019.
- [20] Jonathan W Keohane and Joseph P Foy. *An Introduction to Classical Electrodynamics*. Maricourt Academic Press, 2019.
- [21] Kirk T McDonald. The relation between expressions for time-dependent electromagnetic fields given by jefimenko and by panofsky and phillips. *American Journal of Physics*, 65(11):1074–1076, 1997.
- [22] Andrew Zangwill. *Modern electrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [23] Paul Lorrain, Dale R Corson, and Francois Lorrain. *Electromagnetic fields and waves, including electric circuits, 3rd ed*. W. H. Freeman and Company, 1988.
- [24] Leigh Page. *An introduction to electrodynamics from the standpoint of the electron theory*. Ginn and Company, 1922.
- [25] Curtis C Johnson. *Field and wave electrodynamics*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1965.

- [26] James B Westgard. *Electrodynamics: a concise introduction*. Springer, 1995.
- [27] Roald K Wangsness. *Electromagnetic fields, 2nd ed.* John Wiley & Sons, 1986.
- [28] Wolfgang Pauli and Charles P Enz. *Pauli lectures on physics, volume 1, electrodynamics*. Dover Publications, Inc., 2000.
- [29] Florian Scheck. *Classical field theory: on electrodynamics, non-Abelian gauge theories and gravitation*. Springer-Verlag, 2012.
- [30] John Archibald Wheeler and Richard Phillips Feynman. Interaction with the absorber as the mechanism of radiation. *Reviews of modern physics*, 17(2-3):157–181, 1945.
- [31] Roberto Zucchini. Classical electrodynamics: Retarded potentials and power emission by accelerated charges. Master’s thesis, 2016.