

Traffic-Domain Video Question Answering with Automatic Captioning

Ehsan Qasemi¹ and Jonathan M. Francis² and Alessandro Oltramari²

Abstract—Video Question Answering (VidQA) exhibits remarkable potential in facilitating advanced machine reasoning capabilities within the domains of Intelligent Traffic Monitoring and Intelligent Transportation Systems. Nevertheless, the integration of urban traffic scene knowledge into VidQA systems has received limited attention in previous research endeavors. In this work, we present a novel approach termed Traffic-domain Video Question Answering with Automatic Captioning (TRIVIA), which serves as a weak-supervision technique for infusing traffic-domain knowledge into large video-language models. Empirical findings obtained from the SUTD-TrafficQA task highlight the substantial enhancements achieved by TRIVIA, elevating the accuracy of representative video-language models by a remarkable 6.5 points (19.88%) compared to baseline settings. This pioneering methodology holds great promise for driving advancements in the field, inspiring researchers and practitioners alike to unlock the full potential of emerging video-language models in traffic-related applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Intelligent Traffic Monitoring (ITMo) represents an essential instrument to improve road safety and security in intelligent transportation systems [38], [22], [21], estimated to be worth approximately \$3B in the U.S. [17]. With further adoption of autonomous vehicles, ITMo is poised to become an even more relevant part of the smart city infrastructure of the future [8], [35], [12].

The main challenge in ITMo is related to the fusion of large quantities of multi-modal information (e.g., surveillance cameras feed, acoustic sensors) and the integration of state-of-the-art (SOTA) reasoners to utilize such knowledge [41]. Multiple studies have addressed different aspects of ITMo, either by proposing symbolic methods for reasoning over and managing multimodal sensor data [23], [41], [34], [25], [46], proposing knowledge resources related to the domain [53], [9]. Specifically, SUTD-TrafficQA [53] is a crowdsourced video question-answering resource that includes 6 reasoning tasks corresponding to various traffic scenarios. SOTA’s performance on these tasks remains far below human-level performance.

With the advent of transformer-based language and video-language models [26], [54], [15], which are SOTA on the SUTD-TrafficQA task, there has been a widespread push to find methods to inject domain-specific knowledge into such models [27], [30], [31], [44], [40], [53]. In this work,

we propose a method for *Traffic-domain Video Question-Answering with Automatic Captioning* (TRIVIA)—a weak supervision method to inject traffic-domain knowledge into video-language models. TRIVIA starts from raw urban traffic videos, widely available online, for different geographic locations.¹ We use off-the-shelf video/image annotations tools (for example [58], [14], [24], [20], [45], [6]) to extract information such as objects, their position, color, trajectory, and speed from these traffic scenes, then we use the HANS framework [41] to store and manage the information in a symbolic knowledge graph. To infuse the SOTA video-language model reasoners, we propose a *synthetic captioning* method to inject traffic domain knowledge, inspired by the common training process of video-language models: we use automatic methods to generate synthetic natural language descriptions (captions) for each video based on the above extracted information. The resulting video-caption pairs are used to fine-tune the model to inject it with the traffic domain information. We show how our synthetic captioning method can improve the accuracy of the VIOLET video-language model [15] on SUTD-TrafficQA [53] task, by 6.5 percentage points, through curriculum learning [39].

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORKS

In this section, we briefly cover the backgrounds of video language models and the utilization of weak supervision methods to improve language models across different natural language understating spectrums.

A. Video Language Models

A broad spectrum of downstream tasks, from question-answering[42] to event forecast[1] can benefit from video language models([26], [54], [15]), which can ground reasoning on the interconnection between vision and language. From the implementation standpoint, video language models borrow the notion of transformer architecture[50], [28] from language models, and realize different variations of attention across modalities. For example, VIOLET [15] has two pipelines for encoding visual and textual information into embedded features: it then uses a Cross-modal Transformer to combine the video and text features into unified contextualized embeddings. For the video pipeline, VIOLET uses the video swine-transformer [29] to model sparsely sampled video frames along both spatial and temporal dimensions as video features. For the text pipeline, VIOLET follows WordPiece [52], where word tokens are considered as textual features.

¹Department of Computer Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA qasemi@usc.edu

²Human-Machine Collaboration, Bosch Center for Artificial Intelligence, Pittsburgh, PA, USA {jon.francis, alessandro.oltramari}@us.bosch.com

¹e.g., NY511, YouTube, crowd-curated resources such as SUTD-TrafficQA [53], etc.




Fig. 1. Overview of TRIVIA for urban traffic knowledge-injection for Video Question Answering.

B. Weak Supervision for Knowledge Injection

In weak supervision, the objective closely resembles that of supervised learning. However, instead of relying on human experts to directly annotate unlabeled data, an alternative approach involves leveraging expert knowledge to create user-defined patterns that can be used to infer “noisy” or “imperfect” labels [43], [55], [11], [45]. Obtaining such imperfect labels can be achieved through the application of heuristic rules or by re-purposing external knowledge [2], [5], [33], as well as other forms of domain knowledge [48]. Weak supervision has found extensive utility in the field of Natural Language Understanding (NLU). Notable applications include its use in enhancing the understanding of object affordances [40], extracting temporal common-sense information from raw text [57], generating reasoning rationale [4], improving neural ranking models [11], and enhancing translation in African languages [19]. Furthermore, weak supervision has been applied in the development of ASER [56] and ASCENT [36], which are frameworks utilized to extract relations from unstructured text.

Language models can be considered implicit knowledge bases that store a vast amount of information about the world. Consequently, querying language models as a source of weak supervision represents a feasible approach. For instance, authors in [51] employ language model-based augmentation to enhance the saliency of data in tables, authors in [32] employ language models as a source of weak supervision in named entity recognition, and authors in [10] utilize masked language models for weak supervision in entity typing.

III. METHODOLOGY

The schematic representation of the TRIVIA system can be observed in Fig. 1. This section provides a comprehensive explanation of our meticulous approaches employed in the acquisition of videos, generation of automatic annotations,

production of automatic captions for each video, and finally, using the automatically generated parallel video-captions to inject traffic domain knowledge into a video language model.

A. Restrictions on the Input Videos

The raw traffic data utilized in TRIVIA consists of video feeds obtained from stationary cameras, such as traffic cameras. We deliberately exclude ego-view video² sources like A2D2 [16], Argoverse [7], and CAP-DATA [13] due to the inherent difficulties associated with obtaining reliable automatic annotations from such sources. Notably, the variation in perspectives within ego-view videos can negatively impact the accuracy of speed predictions, making them unsuitable for our purposes.

B. Video Annotations

TRIVIA employs readily available off-the-shelf automatic video/image annotation tools to generate annotations, acknowledging the potential presence of noise in the generated annotations. Object detectors are utilized to identify objects of interest within each frame while tracking algorithms are employed to assign persistent IDs to these detected objects across video frames. It is important to note that the scope of the study is limited to urban traffic scenarios, resulting in the detection of six specific classes: car, truck, bus, motorbike, pedestrian, and bicycle. To estimate the trajectory and size of the objects, bounding box size is utilized as a noisy approximation of object size. Furthermore, for color extraction, the model proposed in VIPHY [45] is applied to automatically extract the top color candidates for each detected bounding box.

C. Semantic Representation

HANS [41] is a neuro-symbolic architecture and framework for multi-modal context understanding for ITMO. It

²for example, from the vehicle’s perspective

utilizes knowledge graph technology to serve as a backbone and proposes an ontology for traffic monitoring (*domain level*). HANS is built to extend the Scene Ontology (introduced in [37]; *core level*), which extended W3C’s Semantic Sensor Network ontology (SSN) specifications (introduced in [18]; *foundational level*). HANS employs appropriate mapping mechanisms to instantiate the domain ontology using video annotations, thereby generating a comprehensive Traffic Monitoring Knowledge Graph.

We chose to utilize HANS instead of the newly-designed ASAM’s OpenX standard[49] primarily due to HANS’s intuitive nature and widespread adoption in modeling temporal properties. Unlike OpenX, which associates temporal extensions directly with participants, the SSN ontology represents time instants and intervals as properties of events. For example in OpenX, the relations “hasBeginning” and “hasEnding” are predicated over instances of the type *car*. The conceptual choice in SSN aligns better with the nature of camera-based feeds commonly encountered in traffic monitoring scenarios. For instance, a single instance of type *car* can participate in multiple events within a video, each event having its distinct start and end times. Furthermore, OpenX lacks direct definitions for temporal quantities, reducing them to the “PhysicalQuantity” property with floating-point values. This modeling approach hampers temporal reasoning, which necessitates the presence of *time stamps* and derived time intervals as *first class citizens* in the ontology of reference.

D. Automatic Caption Generation

To generate the captions for the extracted semantic knowledge, we employ a series of template sentences, as a widely used method for lexicalizing structured knowledge (for example [30], [3]). These templates, such as “The video initially contains \downarrow count \downarrow \downarrow object \downarrow ,” are populated with information derived from the annotations stored in the HANS ontology.

Automatic captions generated in TRIVIA consist of two main sections/paragraphs: composition, and features.

The composition paragraph provides an overview of the video’s general composition, including the number of objects and their respective counts (as depicted by the top greyed box in Fig. 1). To maintain conciseness, we focus on four specific moments within the video: the beginning, the frame with the highest number of objects, the frame with the lowest number of objects, and the end.

The features paragraph delves into the characteristics of individual objects within the video. Each sentence in this section explores features such as object type, speed, motion direction, appearance time in the scene, persistence length in the scene, disappearance time from the scene, and more. To avoid an excessive number of sentences arising from transient features like speed and direction, we select salient changes as checkpoints and report average values for the periods in between. This approach effectively captures the notion that changes in direction and accelerations hold valuable information for scene understanding tasks.

E. Traffic-Domain Knowledge Injection

One effective strategy for injecting domain-specific knowledge into language models, and by extension video language models, is through the process of fine-tuning them on data specific to that domain (for example as used in [28]). By fine-tuning a pre-trained model on a corpus of parallel text and video that is relevant to the target domain, in our case traffic domain, the model can learn to better understand language specific to that domain. This process allows the model to capture the nuances, terminology, and patterns of the domain, ultimately enhancing its performance and effectiveness in tasks within that specific domain, thereby providing a valuable means to incorporate and leverage domain-specific knowledge.

Hence, as the final step in our framework, we fine-tune the Video-LM model on the combination of raw traffic video and automatically-generated textual captions.

IV. EVALUATION

In our framework, we employ a novel approach to enhance the performance of general video language models (VidLMs) by injecting video annotations-derived captions into the models. This infusion of traffic knowledge aims to improve their efficacy in downstream tasks. In this section, we elaborate on the experimental setup utilized and examine the impact of the proposed TRIVIA setup on the overall performance of a video-LM in a representative traffic-domain video question-answering task.

A. Dataset

We utilize SUTD-TrafficQA [53] as our target task, which pertains to the domain of video question-answering in a multiple-choice format. An illustrative example is presented in Fig. 2. The primary objective of SUTD-TrafficQA is to evaluate models in comprehending traffic scenarios across various levels of complexity. This entails fundamental recognition aspects such as determining the type of road (through the question *what is the type of the road?*), as well as more sophisticated reasoning abilities like counterfactual inference (e.g., assessing the likelihood of an accident occurring with fewer vehicles through the question *would the accident still happen if there were fewer vehicles?*) and event forecasting (e.g., predicting whether a white sedan will collide with a barrier through the question *will the white sedan crash into the barrier?*).

The SUTD-TrafficQA dataset encompasses 10,080 real-world videos and relies on human annotators to generate a total of 62,535 question-answer pairs. Among these pairs, 56,460 are included in the training set, while the remaining 6,075 constitute the test set. It is noteworthy that 33,522 QA pairs pertain to stationary video perspectives, such as those obtained from traffic cameras, whereas 29,011 pairs involve an ego-view perspective, typically captured by autonomous vehicles. This dataset serves as the basis for evaluating the performance of the proposed TRIVIA framework on a general video language model. As the source of raw videos for TRIVIA, utilized the videos made available by



Fig. 2. An example from the video-based question-answer pairs in SUTD-TrafficQA [53].

SUTD-TrafficQA and derived automatic captions from these video sources. Our training and tuning process strictly excluded any information sourced from SUTD-TrafficQA beyond the raw video material.

B. Implementation Details

We employ the HANS framework [41] for the purpose of storing and managing information within a symbolic knowledge graph. HANS is implemented utilizing Stardog[47], a widely recognized knowledge graph framework designed for enterprise-level applications. To perform object detection and tracking within the video data, we utilize a proprietary object tracker that has been specifically fine-tuned for traffic scenarios. This enables us to accurately extract objects and track their movements throughout the duration of the video. To maintain an optimal balance between scene granularity, storage capacity, and computational query time, we process the videos at a rate of 1 frame per second. This approach aligns with our engineering requirements and ensures efficient handling of the data.

TABLE I

STATS ON AVERAGE DETECTED OBJECTS IN VIDEOS REFLECTED IN THE GENERATED CAPTIONS.

Object Type	Average Count
Car	18.23
Pedestrian	1.30
Motorbike	0.08
Truck	0.78
Bicycle	0.08
Bus	0.09
Total	20.57

C. Experimental Setup

We employed the VIOLET video language model [15] (refer to Section II), which represents a state-of-the-art (SOTA) model with the ability to simultaneously process video and text. To utilize the VIOLET model for the multiple-choice question-answering task in SUTD-TrafficQA, we introduced a linear layer (dense layer) as the classification

head on top of the embeddings generated by VIOLET. The classification head is responsible for producing the label corresponding to the correct choice, and it is a commonly adopted practice for transformer-based architectures applied to classification tasks [28]. We compare the label generated for the choice with the correct value and report the model’s accuracy on the test portion of the SUTD-TrafficQA in two setups.

The results are presented and compared for two setups. Firstly, we assess the baseline performance of VIOLET on the test subset after fine-tuning it on the training subset of SUTD-TrafficQA, using cross-entropy loss. In the second setup, VIOLET undergoes a traffic knowledge injection process and is subsequently fine-tuned on the training subset of SUTD-TrafficQA, followed by evaluation on the test subset. For the knowledge injection process, as discussed in Section III, we employ the generated captions along with the original video (for which the captions are generated) as training data, fine-tuning the VIOLET model based on its original loss function: Masked Visual-token Modeling (MVM) [15]. To accommodate the model’s size to fit on standard hardware, we freeze the parameters in the language encoder and the video encoder (swine transformer) within the VIOLET architecture. Given that the VIOLET model operates on video frames extracted from the input video, according to its default configuration, we sample 5 frames from the video for use in the remaining stages of the pipeline.

D. Results

a) *Statistics of Generated Captions*:: The statistical analysis reveals that the average length of the generated captions is 5556.14 characters, encompassing approximately 996.39 words and comprised of an average of 67.25 sentences. These captions exhibit a vocabulary of 219 distinct words, excluding numerical representations. The pertinent information pertaining to the extracted objects, which is directly manifested in the caption generation, is concisely presented in Table I.

b) *Performance on SUTD-TrafficQA*:: The performance of the baseline model, i.e. the VIOLET model without traffic knowledge injection, achieves an accuracy of 32.7%, slightly surpassing that of random guessing (25% accuracy). Upon subjecting VIOLET to a single round of knowledge injection using the methodology proposed in TRIVIA, we observe a significant improvement in accuracy, reaching 39.2%. This improvement corresponds to a 6.5 percentage point increase in absolute value or a 19.88% enhancement relative to the baseline performance.

Our analysis of the results reveals that the majority of these improvements are specifically attributed to the resolution of *basic* questions within the SUTD-TrafficQA dataset. These questions pertain to fundamental scene features, counting, and similar aspects. As elaborated in Section III, the generated captions produced by TRIVIA primarily address this particular type of information.

In our future endeavors, we intend to broaden the scope of scene knowledge that can be captured and integrated within

our framework. This expansion represents a critical stride towards further enhancing our approach and enabling advanced forms of reasoning, such as counterfactual inference, event forecasting, and more.

E. Ethical Considerations

Our research commenced with the utilization of publicly accessible video data, which was gathered through a combination of crowd contributions and rigorous neutralization processes. Despite our best efforts, it is essential to recognize that these data sets might still exhibit certain biases inherent to human perception and interpretation. In order to extract meaningful information from the video data, we employed SOTA automatic annotation models. However, it is crucial to note that these models were primarily developed and optimized for traffic situations commonly observed in Western countries. As a consequence, the cultural context embedded within these models may introduce an additional layer of bias to the final results. One specific manifestation of such bias could be the incomplete detection of all vehicles present in the video footage. Since the models were primarily trained and fine-tuned using data from Western countries, they might not perform as effectively in scenarios that deviate from the Western cultural context. Therefore, it is important to interpret the outputs of our models with caution, considering the potential limitations arising from cultural biases.

F. Limitations of TRIVIA

The solution proposed in our study focuses specifically on addressing narrow traffic situations, as these scenarios present distinct challenges and considerations. By narrowing our scope to this domain, we were able to develop a solution that is tailored to the unique characteristics and requirements of such scenarios.

From a linguistic perspective, the generated sentences produced by our system exhibit a basic and rigid structure. While this simplicity may suffice for the immediate purpose of conveying information in the context of traffic situations, it is important to recognize that language generation from structured data is a well-researched area with extensive literature. This body of research offers various techniques and approaches that can be incorporated into future iterations of our work to enhance the complexity and flexibility of the generated sentences. Specifically, the introduction of large-scale language models, such as ChatGPT, which possess significant capabilities in generating high-quality text. Leveraging these state-of-the-art models opens up new possibilities for improving the quality and richness of the generated captions.

The quality of annotations can also limit the generalization of our work. As discussed in Section III, our method does not heavily rely on perfect quality for automatic annotations. While this flexibility allows for practical applications and reduces the dependency on precise annotations, it is crucial to recognize the potential challenges posed by adversarially noisy annotations. These noisy annotations, which may contain misleading or incorrect information, have the potential to

negatively impact the performance of video-language models on the given task. Consequently, it is important for future research to delve deeper into the analysis and understanding of the effects of annotation quality on the performance and robustness of such models. By conducting thorough investigations into this aspect, we can gain valuable insights and inform the development of more resilient and accurate video-language models.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We propose TRIVIA, a weak supervision method to inject traffic-domain knowledge into video-language models. Our solution starts with raw traffic videos and uses a combination of automatic annotation tools and template-based language generation to create automatic captions for the video. We show that by fine-tuning a representative video-LM, VIOLET [15], on the combination of videos and generated captions, the model's performance improves in traffic-related reasoning tasks. Our results on SUTD-TrafficQA [53] benchmark show that the VIOLET's accuracy increases up to 6.5 absolute values in accuracy.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chaitanya Ahuja and Louis-Philippe Morency. Language2pose: Natural language grounded pose forecasting. In *3DV*, pages 719–728. IEEE, 2019.
- [2] Enrique Alfonseca, Katja Filippova, Jean-Yves Delort, and Guillermo Garrido. Pattern learning for relation extraction with a hierarchical topic model. In *ACL*, pages 54–59, Jeju Island, Korea, 2012. ACL.
- [3] Zied Bouraoui, José Camacho-Collados, and Steven Schockaert. Inducing relational knowledge from BERT. In *AAAI*, pages 7456–7463. AAAI Press, 2020.
- [4] Faeze Brahman, Vered Shwartz, Rachel Rudinger, and Yejin Choi. Learning to rationalize for nonmonotonic reasoning with distant supervision. *arXiv:2012.08012*, 2020.
- [5] Razvan Bunescu and Raymond Mooney. Learning to extract relations from the web using minimal supervision. In *ACL*, pages 576–583, Prague, Czech Republic, 2007. ACL.
- [6] Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In *ECCV*, pages 213–229. Springer, 2020.
- [7] Ming-Fang Chang, John Lambert, Patsorn Sangkloy, Jagjeet Singh, Slawomir Bak, Andrew Hartnett, De Wang, Peter Carr, Simon Lucey, Deva Ramanan, et al. Argoverse: 3d tracking and forecasting with rich maps. In *IEEE-CVF*, pages 8748–8757, 2019.
- [8] Sreyasi Nag Chowdhury, Ruwan Wickramarachchi, Mohamed H Gadelrab, Daria Stepanova, and Cory Henson. Towards leveraging commonsense knowledge for autonomous driving. *SEMWEB*, 2021.
- [9] Sreyasi Nag Chowdhury, Ruwan Wickramarachchi, Mohamed H. Gadelrab, Daria Stepanova, and Cory Andrew Henson. Towards leveraging commonsense knowledge for autonomous driving. In *SEMWEB*, 2021.
- [10] Hongliang Dai, Yangqiu Song, and Haixun Wang. Ultra-fine entity typing with weak supervision from a masked language model. In *IJCAI*, pages 1790–1799, Online, 2021. ACL.
- [11] Mostafa Dehghani, Hamed Zamani, Aliaksei Severyn, Jaap Kamps, and W. Bruce Croft. Neural ranking models with weak supervision. In Noriko Kando, Tetsuya Sakai, Hideo Joho, Hang Li, Arjen P. de Vries, and Ryen W. White, editors, *SIGIR*, pages 65–74. ACM, 2017.
- [12] Fabio Duarte. Self-driving cars: A city perspective. *Science robotics*, 4(28):eaav9843, 2019.
- [13] Jianwu Fang, Lei-Lei Li, Kuan Yang, Zhedong Zheng, Jianru Xue, and Tat-Seng Chua. Cognitive accident prediction in driving scenes: A multimodality benchmark. *arXiv:2212.09381*, 2022.
- [14] Tobias Fischer, Jiangmiao Pang, Thomas E Huang, Linlu Qiu, Haofeng Chen, Trevor Darrell, and Fisher Yu. Qdtrack: Quasi-dense similarity learning for appearance-only multiple object tracking. *arXiv:2210.06984*, 2022.

- [15] Tsu-Jui Fu, Linjie Li, Zhe Gan, Kevin Lin, William Yang Wang, Lijuan Wang, and Zicheng Liu. Violet: End-to-end video-language transformers with masked visual-token modeling. *arXiv:2111.12681*, 2021.
- [16] Jakob Geyer, Yohannes Kassahun, Mentar Mahmudi, Xavier Ricou, Rupesh Durgesh, Andrew S Chung, Lorenz Hauswald, Viet Hoang Pham, Maximilian Mühlegg, Sebastian Dorn, et al. A2d2: Audi autonomous driving dataset. *arXiv:2004.06320*, 2020.
- [17] Grand View Research. Intelligent traffic management system market size, share & trends analysis report by solution. online, 2021.
- [18] Armin Haller, Krzysztof Janowicz, Simon JD Cox, Maxime Lefrançois, Kerry Taylor, Danh Le Phuoc, Joshua Lieberman, Raúl García-Castro, Rob Atkinson, and Claus Stadler. The modular ssn ontology: A joint w3c and ogc standard specifying the semantics of sensors, observations, sampling, and actuation. *Semantic Web*, 10(1):9–32, 2019.
- [19] Michael A. Hedderich, David Adelani, Dawei Zhu, Jesujoba Alabi, Udia Markus, and Dietrich Klakow. Transfer learning and distant supervision for multilingual transformer models: A study on African languages. In *EMNLP 2020*, pages 2580–2591, Online, 2020. ACL.
- [20] Xinyu Hou, Yi Wang, and Lap-Pui Chau. Vehicle tracking using deep sort with low confidence track filtering. In *AVSS*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2019.
- [21] Matthew Hutson. Watch just a few self-driving cars stop traffic jams. *Science*, November 2018.
- [22] Rateb Jabbar, Mohammed Shinoy, Mohamed Kharbeche, Khalifa Al-Khalifa, Moez Krichen, and Kamel Barkaoui. Urban traffic monitoring and modeling system: An iot solution for enhancing road safety. In *IINTEC*, pages 13–18, 2019.
- [23] Lynn H. Kaack, George H. Chen, and M. Granger Morgan. Truck traffic monitoring with satellite images. In *SIGCAS, COMPASS '19*, page 155–164, New York, NY, USA, 2019. ACM.
- [24] N. Murali Krishna, Ramidi Yashwanth Reddy, Mallu Sai Chandra Reddy, Kasibhatla Phani Madhav, and Gaikwad Sudham. Object detection and tracking using yolo. In *ICIRCA*, pages 1–7, 2021.
- [25] Chan-Tong Lam, Hanyang Gao, and Benjamin Ng. A real-time traffic congestion detection system using on-line images. In *ICCT*, pages 1548–1552. IEEE, 2017.
- [26] Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Peng Gao, Guanglu Song, Yu Liu, Hongsheng Li, and Yu Qiao. Uniformer: Unified transformer for efficient spatiotemporal representation learning. *arXiv:2201.04676*, 2022.
- [27] Bill Yuchen Lin, Xinyue Chen, Jamin Chen, and Xiang Ren. KagNet: Knowledge-aware graph networks for commonsense reasoning. In *EMNLP-IJCNLP*, pages 2829–2839, Hong Kong, China, 2019. ACL.
- [28] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv:1907.11692*, 2019.
- [29] Ze Liu, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Han Hu. Video swin transformer. In *IEEE-CVF*, pages 3202–3211, 2022.
- [30] Kaixin Ma, Jonathan Francis, Quanyang Lu, Eric Nyberg, and Alessandro Oltramari. Towards generalizable neuro-symbolic systems for commonsense question answering. In *Workshop on Commonsense Inference in NLP*, pages 22–32, Hong Kong, China, 2019. ACL.
- [31] Kaixin Ma, Filip Ilievski, Jonathan Francis, Yonatan Bisk, Eric Nyberg, and Alessandro Oltramari. Knowledge-driven data construction for zero-shot evaluation in commonsense question answering. In *AAAI*, volume 35, pages 13507–13515, 2021.
- [32] Yu Meng, Yunyi Zhang, Jiabin Huang, Xuan Wang, Yu Zhang, Heng Ji, and Jiawei Han. Distantly-supervised named entity recognition with noise-robust learning and language model augmented self-training. In *EMNLP 2021*, pages 10367–10378, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 2021. ACL.
- [33] Mike Mintz, Steven Bills, Rion Snow, and Daniel Jurafsky. Distant supervision for relation extraction without labeled data. In *IJCAI*, pages 1003–1011, Suntec, Singapore, 2009. ACL.
- [34] RoopTeja Muppalla, Sarasi Lalithsena, Tanvi Banerjee, and Amit Sheth. A knowledge graph framework for detecting traffic events using stationary cameras. In *WebSci*, pages 431–436, 2017.
- [35] Michael A Nees. Acceptance of self-driving cars: an examination of idealized versus realistic portrayals with a self-driving car acceptance scale. In *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, volume 60, pages 1449–1453. SAGE CA, 2016.
- [36] Tuan-Phong Nguyen, Simon Razniewski, and Gerhard Weikum. Advanced semantics for commonsense knowledge extraction. In *WWW*, 2021.
- [37] A. Oltramari, J. Francis, C. Henson, K. Ma, and R. Wickramarachchi. Neuro-symbolic architectures for context understanding. In I Tiddi et al., editors, *Knowledge Graphs for eXplainable Artificial Intelligence: Foundations, Applications and Challenges*, pages 143–160. IOS Press, 2020.
- [38] Alessandra Pascale, M Nicoli, F Deflorio, Bruno Dalla Chiara, and Umberto Spagnolini. Wireless sensor networks for traffic management and road safety. *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*, 6(1):67–77, 2012.
- [39] Anastasia Pentina, Viktoriia Sharmanska, and Christoph H. Lampert. Curriculum learning of multiple tasks. In *CVPR*, pages 5492–5500. IEEE Computer Society, 2015.
- [40] Ehsan Qasemi, Piyush Khanna, Qiang Ning, and Muhao Chen. Pinks: Preconditioned commonsense inference with minimal supervision. *arXiv:2206.07920*, 2022.
- [41] Ehsan Qasemi and Alessandro Oltramari. Intelligent traffic monitoring with hybrid ai. *IJCAI Workshop on Artificial Intelligence for Autonomous Driving (AI4AD)*, 2022.
- [42] Pranav Rajpurkar, Jian Zhang, Konstantin Lopyrev, and Percy Liang. Squad: 100,000+ questions for machine comprehension of text. *arXiv:1606.05250*, 2016.
- [43] Theodoros Rekatsinas, Xu Chu, Ihab F Ilyas, and Christopher Ré. Holoclean: Holistic data repairs with probabilistic inference. *arXiv:1702.00820*, 2017.
- [44] Maarten Sap, Ronan Le Bras, Emily Allaway, Chandra Bhagavatula, Nicholas Lourie, Hannah Rashkin, Brendan Roof, Noah A. Smith, and Yejin Choi. ATOMIC: an atlas of machine commonsense for if-then reasoning. In *AAAI*, pages 3027–3035. AAAI Press, 2019.
- [45] Shikhar Singh, Ehsan Qasemi, and Muhao Chen. Viphy: Probing “visible” physical commonsense knowledge. *arXiv:2209.07000*, 2022.
- [46] Reginald Roy Souleyrette, Shauna L Hallmark, MM O’Brien, Sittansu B Pattnaik, and Zachary N Hans. Remote sensing change analysis methodology to support traffic monitoring programs. Technical report, No. CTRE Project 02-114, 2003.
- [47] Stardog. Stardog. link. Accessed: 2023-01-19.
- [48] Russell Stewart and Stefano Ermon. Label-free supervision of neural networks with physics and domain knowledge. In Satinder P. Singh and Shaul Markovitch, editors, *AAAI*, pages 2576–2582. AAAI Press, 2017.
- [49] Jianbo Tao. Asam openontology. link, 2022. Accessed: 2023-01-06.
- [50] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [51] Fei Wang, Kexuan Sun, Jay Pujara, Pedro Szekely, and Muhao Chen. Table-based fact verification with salience-aware learning. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 4025–4036, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 2021. ACL.
- [52] Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V Le, Mohammad Norouzi, Wolfgang Macherey, Maxim Krikun, Yuan Cao, Qin Gao, Klaus Macherey, et al. Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation. *arXiv:1609.08144*, 2016.
- [53] Li Xu, He Huang, and Jun Liu. Sntd-trafficqa: A question answering benchmark and an efficient network for video reasoning over traffic events. In *IEEE-CVF*, pages 9878–9888, 2021.
- [54] Rowan Zellers, Ximing Lu, Jack Hessel, Youngjae Yu, Jae Sung Park, Jize Cao, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. Merlot: Multimodal neural script knowledge models. *NeurIPS*, 34:23634–23651, 2021.
- [55] Ce Zhang, Christopher Ré, Michael Cafarella, Christopher De Sa, Alex Ratner, Jaeho Shin, Feiran Wang, and Sen Wu. Deepdive: Declarative knowledge base construction. *Communications of the ACM*, 60(5):93–102, 2017.
- [56] Hongming Zhang, Xin Liu, Haojie Pan, Yangqiu Song, and Cane Wing-Ki Leung. ASER: A large-scale eventuality knowledge graph. In Yennun Huang, Irwin King, Tie-Yan Liu, and Maarten van Steen, editors, *WWW*, pages 201–211. ACM / IW3C2, 2020.
- [57] Ben Zhou, Qiang Ning, Daniel Khashabi, and Dan Roth. Temporal common sense acquisition with minimal supervision. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7579–7589, Online, 2020. ACL.
- [58] Xingyi Zhou, Tianwei Yin, Vladlen Koltun, and Philipp Krähenbühl. Global tracking transformers. In *IEEE-CVF*, pages 8771–8780, 2022.