

# Probing the weak mixing angle at high energy

CLARA LAVINIA DEL PIO<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, SIMONE AMOROSO<sup>c</sup>, MAURO CHIESA<sup>b</sup>, EKATERINA LIPKA<sup>c,d</sup>, FULVIO PICCININI<sup>b</sup>, FEDERICO VAZZOLER<sup>c</sup>, ALESSANDRO VICINI<sup>e,f</sup>

(\*) *Speaker*

(a) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, via A. Bassi 6, Pavia, Italy*

(b) *INFN, Sezione di Pavia, via A. Bassi 6, Pavia, Italy*

(c) *Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany*

(d) *Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstrasse 20, Wuppertal, Germany*

(e) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, via G. Celoria 16, Milano, Italy*

(f) *INFN, Sezione di Milano, via G. Celoria 16, Milano, Italy*

The weak mixing angle is a probe of the vector-axial coupling structure of electroweak interactions. It has been measured precisely at the  $Z$ -pole by experiments at the LEP and SLD colliders, but its energy dependence above  $M_Z$  remains unconstrained.

In this contribution we propose to exploit measurements of Neutral-Current Drell Yan at large invariant dilepton masses at the Large Hadron Collider, to determine the scale dependence of the weak mixing angle in the  $\overline{MS}$  renormalisation scheme,  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$ . Such a measurement can be used to test the Standard Model predictions for the  $\overline{MS}$  running at TeV scales, and to set model-independent constraints on new states with electroweak quantum numbers. To this end, we present an implementation of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  in the POWHEG-BOX Monte Carlo event generator, which we use to explore the potential of future analyses with the LHC Run 3 and High-Luminosity datasets. In particular, the impact of the higher order corrections and of the uncertainties due to the knowledge of parton distribution functions are studied. This contribution is based on [1].

PRESENTED AT

DIS2023: XXX International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects,  
Michigan State University, USA, 27-31 March 2023



# 1 Introduction

The electroweak mixing angle,  $\theta_w$ , is one of the fundamental parameters of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. It has a crucial role in the gauge structure of the electroweak interaction, as it regulates the mixing of the unphysical fields to give the photon and  $Z$ -boson fields, and it enters the unification relation of the weak and electromagnetic forces. In the electroweak (EW) SM Lagrangian it is defined as:

$$\sin \theta_w = \frac{e}{g_2} = \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $e$  is the positron charge, while  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are the  $U(1)_Y$  and  $SU(2)_L$  gauge couplings. In the on-shell renormalization scheme, the weak mixing angle is defined in terms of the  $W$  and  $Z$  masses, in such a way that the relation:

$$\sin^2 \theta_w^{OS} = 1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2} \quad (2)$$

holds at all orders in perturbation theory. Another possible definition is the effective one, that is introduced at the  $Z$ -boson peak, namely:

$$\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f = \frac{1}{4|Q_f|} \left( 1 - \text{Re} \frac{g_V^f}{g_A^f} \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $Q_f$  is the electric charge of fermion  $f$  in units of the positron charge, and  $g_{V(A)}^f$  are the effective vector (axial-vector) couplings of fermion  $f$  to the  $Z$  boson. At tree-level, all definitions coincide, but they start to differ when considering radiative corrections, acquiring a dependence on the renormalization scheme and on the input parameter scheme used.

In the modified minimal-subtraction ( $\overline{MS}$ ) renormalization scheme, the one used here, the running quantity  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  is defined as:

$$\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu) \equiv \frac{4\pi\alpha^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)}{g_2^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale and  $\alpha^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  is the running electromagnetic coupling.

The EW mixing angle at the  $M_Z$  scale has been determined by using the effective definition  $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^f$ , at both leptonic [2] and hadronic colliders [3–6], with a precision at the sub-percent level. At low energies  $\sin^2 \theta_w$  has been extracted via measurements of atomic parity violation, neutrino, and polarised electron scattering on fixed targets [7–15].

Although some results on the EW mixing angle at large space-like scales have been obtained from deep inelastic scattering (DIS) data [16, 17], the running at time-like scales above the  $Z$ -boson mass has never been experimentally probed. Measuring the running of  $\sin^2 \theta_w$  at high energies serves as an important test of the SM consistency, while, on the other hand, the high energy regime offers an indirect means for probing new states carrying EW quantum numbers, potentially leading to modifications in the running of the EW gauge couplings[18, 19]. The question this contribution tries to answer is: will it be possible to test the running of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  at high energies at the LHC?

## 2 Implementation of the running in POWHEG-BOX

The sensitivity to  $\sin^2 \theta_w$  is here studied by exploiting the substantial dataset of neutral-current Drell-Yan (NCDY) events at high dilepton invariant masses ( $m_{\ell\ell}$ ) expected to be produced in proton-proton collisions at the LHC, with a center-of-mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$  TeV.

To improve the accuracy of existing analyses, that rely on leading order (LO) EW matrix elements, a full EW next-to-leading order (NLO) calculation has been implemented. It features a hybrid renormalization scheme, where the Lagrangian parameters  $e$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_w$  are renormalized in the  $\overline{MS}$  scheme, by following the convention in [20], while the  $Z$ -boson mass is taken in the on-shell scheme:  $(\alpha^{\overline{MS}}(\mu), \sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu), M_Z)$ . The code lies in the framework of an upgraded version [21] of the Z\_EW-BMNNPV package [22] of the POWHEG-BOX [23–25] Monte Carlo (MC) event generator. In the presented study, the running parameters are calculated by activating the decoupling option for the  $W$  boson and top quark for  $\mu < M_W$  and  $\mu < m_{\text{top}}$ , respectively, while we set to zero the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$  threshold corrections.

## 3 Analysis and fit strategy

We investigate the triple differential NCDY cross sections as a function of the dilepton invariant mass  $m_{\ell\ell}$ , rapidity  $y_{\ell\ell}$ , and of the cosine of the angle between the incoming and outgoing fermions in the Collins-Soper reference frame,  $\theta_{CS}$ :

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{dm_{\ell\ell} dy_{\ell\ell} d\cos\theta_{CS}}. \quad (5)$$

At the  $Z$  peak, the EW mixing angle has been usually extracted by measuring the forward-backward asymmetry  $A_{FB}$ , but at high energy the absolute differential cross section is a more suitable observable for the extraction of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$ , as it can be seen by defining the sensitivity to  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  as the logarithmic derivative multiplied by  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$ , that at 1 TeV is found to be three times larger for the cross-sections than for  $A_{FB}$ .

We consider NCDY production at the LHC by analysing two scenarios: LHC Run 3 (integrated luminosity of  $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ) and High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC,  $3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ). We evaluate the triple differential NCDY cross section in six bins in  $m_{\ell\ell}$ , from 116 GeV up to 5000 GeV, six bins in  $|y_{\ell\ell}|$  from 0.0 to 2.5, and two bins in  $\cos\theta_{CS}$  for the forward and backward directions. Fiducial selections, usually employed in ATLAS and CMS measurements, are applied to the leptons, that are defined at Born level.

The MC predictions are generated at NLO QCD+NLO EW with POWHEG-BOX, excluding photonic corrections, and are then interfaced to PYTHIA8.307 [26] to include the effect of parton showering, underlying event, hadronization and QED radiation from quarks.

Since we are using a scheme with  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  in input, we can consistently generate templates at any order in perturbation theory, by varying the  $\overline{MS}$  parameter to be determined in the template fit procedure, thus allowing a solid precision determination of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  at hadronic colliders. The input EW parameters for the generation of the nominal pseudo-data are set to their  $\overline{MS}$  values taken at  $\mu = M_Z$ . Templates are generated assuming the

SM running of  $\alpha^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$ , and setting the initial condition for the running of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  in each  $m_{\ell\ell}$  bin to the expected SM prediction at the central value of the bin  $\hat{m}_{\ell\ell}$ , varied by  $\pm 0.01$ . In each  $m_{\ell\ell}$  bin,  $10^9$  MC events are generated.

Detector response is emulated with parameterized lepton efficiencies and resolutions, inspired by those derived by ATLAS at Run 2 [27–29] and evaluated with RIVET [30].

## 4 Uncertainties

Statistical uncertainties in the pseudo-data are computed from the predicted number of events at reconstructed level in each bin. Systematic uncertainties in the lepton reconstruction and efficiencies are taken by ATLAS measurements at Run 2 [31] and extrapolated to the working conditions at Run 3 and HL-LHC, assuming a reduction factor of 2 and 4, respectively, while the uncertainty in the luminosity determination is 1.5% for Run 3 and 1% for HL-LHC.

We estimate theoretical uncertainties due to PDFs by propagating the NNPDF31\_nnlo\_as\_0118\_hessian eigenvectors, and PDF variations by using grids generated with Madgraph\_aMC@NLO and aMCfast [32, 33]. Since the NCDY production cross section is known up to N3LO in  $\alpha_S$ , the n3lox code [34] is employed to compute cross-sections and 7-point variations of the renormalization and factorization scales,  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_F$ , as a function of  $m_{\ell\ell}$  at N3LO in QCD, finding that N3LO corrections to the cross section are small, 2% at maximum. The uncertainty associated to missing EW higher-order contributions is estimated by squaring the size of the NLO weak correction, that amounts to a maximum of 1% in the last  $m_{\ell\ell}$  bin considered. Within the  $\overline{MS}$  scheme, an alternative way to quantify this source of uncertainty is given by a 2-point scale variation in  $\mu$ , which results in a change of the cross sections by about 0.1% at NLO w.r.t. some % at LO.

Fig. 1 shows the contributions of different uncertainty sources to the triple differential NCDY cross sections in the electron channel for the HL-LHC scenario, together with the representative variation of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu = \hat{m}_{\ell\ell})$  by  $\pm 0.01$ . Results in the muon channel are similar.

## 5 Results

The sensitivity to the running is assessed by extracting the expected value of  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  and evaluating its uncertainty  $\delta \sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  as a function of  $\hat{m}_{\ell\ell}$ , assuming SM running for  $\alpha^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$ . The expected  $\delta \sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  values for each  $m_{\ell\ell}$  bin are simultaneously obtained in the fit to the triple differential cross section pseudo-data, by minimising a  $\chi^2$  function with the xFitter analysis tool [35]. When computing the  $\chi^2$ , the cross-section is considered linearly dependent on  $\delta \sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$ , while the expected statistical and experimental systematic uncertainties, the theoretical uncertainties from PDFs and missing higher orders are included as nuisance parameters and are constrained in the fit. The obtained values of  $\delta \sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  are presented in Fig. 2. They range from about 1% (1%) to 7% (3%) for the LHC Run 3 (HL-LHC) scenario.

The largest contribution to the uncertainty on  $\delta \sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  comes from the PDFs at large  $x$ . We repeat the fit using alternative PDF sets, one of them including also the photon,




Figure 1: Relative contribution of the different sources of uncertainty to the triple differential cross section  $d\sigma/d|y_{\ell\ell}|dm_{\ell\ell}$  in the forward (up) and backward (bottom) directions, for the electron channel in the HL-LHC scenario. The variation of  $\sin^2\theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu = \hat{m}_{\ell\ell})$  by a factor  $\pm 0.01$  in each bin is also shown.

and find that the contribution of the PDF uncertainty to  $\delta\sin^2\theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  amounts to some % at maximum and can vary significantly with the PDF set used, by up to a factor 2 in the last  $m_{\ell\ell}$  bin. Future PDFs fits and analyses could however improve these figures by the time HL-LHC will start to run.

## 6 Conclusions and future prospects

In this contribution, we show that NCDY measurements at current and future LHC runs will probe the  $\overline{MS}$  running of the electroweak mixing angle with a precision at the percent level, by using the triple differential NCDY cross sections in  $m_{\ell\ell}$ ,  $y_{\ell\ell}$  and  $\cos\theta_{CS}$  and under the assumption of SM running of the electromagnetic coupling constant.

A future determination of  $\sin^2\theta_w^{\overline{MS}}(\mu)$  at the TeV scale will allow a consistency test of the SM, as it can be connected to low-energy existing measurements via the evolution predicted by the renormalization group equation. The present analysis is framed in a general context where several measurements are foreseen to probe the weak mixing angle with high precision at the  $Z$  pole and higher energies [36–40], as well as at lower scales [41–48, & references therein].

Moreover, a high energy determination of the weak mixing angle could indirectly probe




Figure 2: SM running of the EW mixing angle (blue line), together with the combined experimental measurement at  $\mu = M_Z$  (violet point). The expected results obtained in our analysis are shown in black crosses (black squares) for the LHC Run 3 (HL-LHC), shifted for readability to left and right, respectively. The outer error bars are the total expected uncertainty on  $\sin^2 \theta_w^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu)$ , while the inner error bars include only statistical and experimental uncertainties.

new physics particles, provided that they carry EW quantum numbers and thus enter the running of the EW gauge couplings. The pure SM approach presented here is particularly valuable as it is complementary to general methods that make use of Effective Field Theories [49–51], and could be an additional useful tool to explore new physics beyond the SM.

## References

- [1] S. Amoroso, M. Chiesa, C. L. D. Pio, K. Lipka, F. Piccinini, F. Vazzoler, and A. Vicini, “Probing the weak mixing angle at high energies at the LHC and HL-LHC.” 2023. [arXiv:2302.10782 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [2] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD, LEP Electroweak Working Group, SLD Electroweak Group, SLD Heavy Flavour Group Collaboration, ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD, LEP Electroweak Working Group, SLD Electroweak Group, SLD Heavy Flavour Group, “Precision electroweak measurements on the  $Z$  resonance,” *Phys. Rept.* **427** (2006) 257–454, [arXiv:hep-ex/0509008](#).
- [3] CDF, D0 Collaboration, CDF and D0 Collaborations, “Tevatron Run II combination of the effective leptonic electroweak mixing angle,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** no. 11, (2018) 112007, [arXiv:1801.06283 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry of electron and muon pair-production in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **09** (2015) 049, [arXiv:1503.03709 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [5] CMS Collaboration, CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the weak mixing angle using the forward-backward asymmetry of Drell-Yan events in  $pp$  collisions at 8 TeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** no. 9, (2018) 701, [arXiv:1806.00863 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [6] LHCb Collaboration, LHCb Collaboration, “Measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry in  $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  decays and determination of the effective weak mixing angle,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 190, [arXiv:1509.07645 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [7] K. S. Kumar, S. Mantry, W. J. Marciano, and P. A. Souder, “Low Energy Measurements of the Weak Mixing Angle,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63** (2013) 237–267, [arXiv:1302.6263 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [8] C. Wood *et al.*, “Measurement of parity nonconservation and an anapole moment in cesium,” *Science*

- 275** no. 5307, (1997) 1759–1763.
- [9] S. C. Bennett and C. E. Wieman, “Measurement of the  $6S \rightarrow 7S$  transition polarizability in atomic cesium and an improved test of the standard model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 2484–2487. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.2484>.
- [10] J. Guéna, M. Lintz, and M. A. Bouchiat, “Measurement of the parity violating  $6s - 7s$  transition amplitude in cesium achieved within  $2 \times 10^{-13}$  atomic-unit accuracy by stimulated-emission detection,” *Physical Review A* **71** no. 4, (2005) . <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.042108>.
- [11] D. Antypas *et al.*, “Isotopic variation of parity violation in atomic ytterbium,” *Nature Physics* **15** no. 2, (2018) 120–123. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0312-8>.
- [12] NuTeV Collaboration, “Precise determination of electroweak parameters in neutrino-nucleon scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 091802. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.091802>.
- [13] SLAC E158 Collaboration, “Precision measurement of the weak mixing angle in møller scattering,” *Physical Review Letters* **95** no. 8, (2005) . <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.081601>.
- [14] JLAB Qweak Collaboration, “Precision measurement of the weak charge of the proton,” *Nature* **557** no. 7704, (2018) 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0096-0>.
- [15] PVDIS Collaboration, D. Wang *et al.*, “Measurement of parity violation in electron–quark scattering,” *Nature* **506** no. 7486, (2014) 67–70.
- [16] ZEUS Collaboration, ZEUS Collaboration, “Combined QCD and electroweak analysis of HERA data,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93** no. 9, (2016) 092002, [arXiv:1603.09628](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.09628) [hep-ex].
- [17] H1 Collaboration, “Determination of electroweak parameters in polarised deep-inelastic scattering at HERA,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** no. 9, (2018) 777, [arXiv:1806.01176](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.01176) [hep-ex].
- [18] H. Georgi, H. R. Quinn, and S. Weinberg, “Hierarchy of Interactions in Unified Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 451–454.
- [19] M. B. Einhorn and D. R. T. Jones, “The Weak Mixing Angle and Unification Mass in Supersymmetric SU(5),” *Nucl. Phys. B* **196** (1982) 475–488.
- [20] J. Erler and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, “The weak mixing angle at low energies,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 073003, [arXiv:hep-ph/0409169](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0409169).
- [21] M. Chiesa, C. L. Del Pio, and F. Piccinini, “On electroweak corrections to neutral current Drell-Yan with the POWHEG BOX,” *in preparation* .
- [22] L. Barzé *et al.*, “Neutral current Drell-Yan with combined QCD and electroweak corrections in the POWHEG BOX,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** no. 6, (2013) 2474, [arXiv:1302.4606](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4606) [hep-ph].
- [23] P. Nason, “A New method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms,” *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040, [arXiv:hep-ph/0409146](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0409146).
- [24] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method,” *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, [arXiv:0709.2092](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.2092) [hep-ph].
- [25] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX,” *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043, [arXiv:1002.2581](https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.2581) [hep-ph].
- [26] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159–177, [arXiv:1410.3012](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3012) [hep-ph].
- [27] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Collaboration, “Electron reconstruction and identification in the ATLAS experiment using the 2015 and 2016 LHC proton-proton collision data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** no. 8, (2019) 639, [arXiv:1902.04655](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.04655) [physics.ins-det].
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Collaboration, “Muon reconstruction performance in early  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV data,” <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2047831>.
- [29] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Collaboration, “Studies of the muon momentum calibration and performance of the ATLAS detector with  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV,” [arXiv:2212.07338](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.07338) [hep-ex].
- [30] A. Buckley *et al.*, “Rivet user manual,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184** (2013) 2803–2819, [arXiv:1003.0694](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.0694) [hep-ph].
- [31] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the double-differential high-mass Drell-Yan cross section in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **08** (2016) 009, [arXiv:1606.01736](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01736) [hep-ex].
- [32] V. Bertone *et al.*, “aMCfast: automation of fast NLO computations for PDF fits,” *JHEP* **08** (2014) 166, [arXiv:1406.7693](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7693) [hep-ph].
- [33] J. Alwall *et al.*, “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross

- sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations,” *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, [arXiv:1405.0301 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] J. Baglio, C. Duhr, B. Mistlberger, and R. Szafron, “Inclusive production cross sections at N<sup>3</sup>LO,” *JHEP* **12** (2022) 066, [arXiv:2209.06138 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] S. Alekhin *et al.*, “HERAFitter,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** no. 7, (2015) 304, [arXiv:1410.4412 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] M. Mangano *et al.*, “FCC Physics Opportunities: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 1. Future Circular Collider,” Tech. Rep. 6, CERN, Geneva, 2019. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2651294>.
- [37] J. L. A. Fernandez *et al.*, “A large hadron electron collider at CERN report on the physics and design concepts for machine and detector,” *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **39** no. 7, (2012) 075001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2F0954-3899/2F39%2F7%2F075001>.
- [38] H. Baer *et al.*, “The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 2: Physics.” 2013. [arXiv:1306.6352 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] L. Linssen, A. Miyamoto, M. Stanitzki, and H. Weerts, “Physics and Detectors at CLIC: CLIC Conceptual Design Report.” 2012. [arXiv:1202.5940 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [40] T. C. S. Group, “CEPC Conceptual Design Report: Volume 2 - Physics & Detector.” 2018. [arXiv:1811.10545 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [41] J. Zhang *et al.*, “Efficient inter-trap transfer of cold francium atoms,” *Hyperfine Interact.* **237** no. 1, (2016) 150.
- [42] O. Versolato *et al.*, “Laser spectroscopy of trapped short-lived ra+ ions,” *Physical Review A* **82** no. 1, (2010) .
- [43] K. Tsigutkin, D. Dounas-Frazer, A. Family, J. E. Stalnaker, V. V. Yashchuk, and D. Budker, “Parity violation in atomic ytterbium: Experimental sensitivity and systematics,” *Phys. Rev. A* **81** (Mar, 2010) 032114. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.032114>.
- [44] MOLLER Collaboration, “The MOLLER Experiment: An Ultra-Precise Measurement of the Weak Mixing Angle Using Møller Scattering.” 2014. [arXiv:1411.4088 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [45] D. Becker *et al.*, “The P2 experiment,” *The European Physical Journal A* **54** no. 11, (2018) . <https://doi.org/10.1140/2Fepja%2Fi2018-12611-6>.
- [46] H1, ZEUS Collaboration, P. A. Souder, “Parity-violating PVDIS with SoLID,” *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1441** no. 1, (2012) 123–125.
- [47] R. Boughezal *et al.*, “Neutral-current electroweak physics and SMEFT studies at the EIC,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 1, (2022) . <https://doi.org/10.1103/2Fphysrevd.106.016006>.
- [48] R. M. Abraham, S. Foroughi-Abari, F. Kling, and Y.-D. Tsai, “Neutrino Electromagnetic Properties and the Weak Mixing Angle at the LHC Forward Physics Facility.” 2023. [arXiv:2301.10254 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [49] L. Di Luzio, R. Gröber, and G. Panico, “Probing new electroweak states via precision measurements at the LHC and future colliders,” *JHEP* **01** (2019) 011, [arXiv:1810.10993 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [50] R. Torre, L. Ricci, and A. Wulzer, “On the W&Y interpretation of high-energy Drell-Yan measurements,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 144, [arXiv:2008.12978 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [51] S. Alioli, R. Boughezal, E. Mereghetti, and F. Petriello, “Novel angular dependence in Drell-Yan lepton production via dimension-8 operators,” *Phys. Lett. B* **809** (2020) 135703, [arXiv:2003.11615 \[hep-ph\]](#).