Construction of graphs being determined by their generalized Q-spectra

Gui-Xian Tian^a^{*}, Jun-Xing Wu^a, Shu-Yu Cui^b, Hui-Lu Sun^a

^a Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P.R. China

^bXingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P.R. China

Abstract

Given a graph G on n vertices, its adjacency matrix and degree diagonal matrix are represented by A(G) and D(G), respectively. The Q-spectrum of G consists of all the eigenvalues of its signless Laplacian matrix Q(G) = A(G) + D(G) (including the multiplicities). A graph G is known as being determined by its generalized Q-spectrum (DGQSfor short) if, for any graph H, H and G have the same Q-spectrum and so do their complements, then H is isomorphic to G. In this paper, we present a method to construct DGQS graphs. More specifically, let the matrix $W_Q(G) = [e, Qe, \ldots, Q^{n-1}e]$ (e denotes the all-one column vector) be the Q-walk matrix of G. It is shown that $G \circ P_k$ (k = 2, 3) is DGQS if and only if G is DGQS for some specific graphs. This also provides a way to construct DGQS graphs with more vertices by using DGQS graphs with fewer vertices. At the same time, we also prove that $G \circ P_2$ is still DGQS under specific circumstances. In particular, on the basis of the above results, we obtain an infinite sequences of DGQSgraphs $G \circ P_k^k$ ($k = 2, 3; t \ge 1$) for some specific DGQS graph G.

AMS classification: 05C50

Keywords: Graph spectrum; Cospectral graph; Determined by generalized $Q\mbox{-spectrum};$ Rooted product graph

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple, that is, finite undirected graphs without multiple edges and loops. For a graph G with (0, 1)-adjacency matrix A(G) and degree diagonal matrix D(G), the matrix Q(G) = D(G) + A(G) is called the signless Laplacian matrix of G (Q-matrix for short). It is well-known that the Q-eigenvalues are the eigenvalues of Q(G).

Given a graph G on n vertices and a graph H with a root vertex u, then the rooted product graph of G and H is obtained by copying one graph G and copying n graphs H, by gluing the *i*-th vertex of G and the rooted vertex u in the *i*-th copy of H for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see Godsil and McKay [2]). Let P_k be the rooted path of order k and the root vertex be an endpoint, then the rooted product $G \circ P_k$ of graph G and P_k is described in Figure 1.

Two graphs are cospectral if they have the same spectrum. A graph G is known as being determined by its spectrum (DS for short) if, for any graph H, H and G have the same spectrum, then H is isomorphic to G. The generalized spectrum of G is the spectrum of G

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail address: gxtian@zjnu.cn or guixiantian@163.com.

and the spectrum of its complement graph \overline{G} , where \overline{G} is the complement of G satisfying $A(\overline{G}) = J - I - A(G)$.

"Which graphs are DS?" is an interesting question in graph theory. The problem goes back sixty years and is rooted in chemical theory (see [3]). Another similar question was also raised by Kay in [4]: "Can one hear the shape of a drum?" This problem simulates the sound of the drum as the eigenvalue of the graph and the shape of the drum as the graph. However, it turns out that determining whether a graph is DS is a difficult problem.

It is well-known that DS graphs are very few and have special structural properties. Wang and Xu in [5, 6] considered the above problem relative to the generalized spectrum determined in the controllable graph, where a graph G is controllable if and only if the walk-matrix $W(G) = [e, Ae, \ldots, A^{n-1}e]$ is non-singular. A graph G is known as being determined by its generalized spectrum (DGS for short) if, for any graph H, H and G have the same spectrum and so do their complements, then H is isomorphic to G. In 2006, they also proposed a simple arithmetic condition to prove that a class of graphs is DGS in which G satisfies $\frac{\det(W(G))}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$ is odd and square-free. Recently, some families of DGS graphs were constructed by using the rooted product operation in [1, 8]. More specifically, it was proved that the rooted product $G \circ P_k$ (k = 2, 3, 4) is DGS when the graph G satisfies $\det(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ (n is even) and $a_0 = \pm 1$ (where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of G). In [7], the authors also presented a similar simple arithmetic condition to prove that a class of graphs is determined by its generalized Q-spectrum (DGQS for short) in which G satisfies $\frac{\det(W_Q(G))}{2^{\lfloor \frac{3n-2}{2} \rfloor}}$ is odd and square-free. In this paper, we follow this research direction and explore how to

The contributions of this article are as follows:

(1) We first establish an important determinant relationship between $W_Q(G)$ and $W_Q(G \circ P_k)$ (k = 2, 3) as follows

$$\det W_Q(G \circ P_2) = \pm a_0 (\det W_Q(G))^2, \ \det W_Q(G \circ P_3) = \pm a_0^2 (\det W_Q(G))^3, \tag{1}$$

where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of the matrix Q(G).

- (2) Based on the results above, we prove that the rooted product graph $G \circ P_k$ (k = 2, 3) is DGQS when $\det W_Q(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{3n-2}{2}}$ (n is even) and $a_0 = \pm 2$. In particular, we also prove that each graph in $G \circ P_k^t$ $(k = 2, 3 \text{ and } t \ge 1)$ is DGQS.
- (3) We study a family of graph G satisfying $\frac{\det W_Q(G)}{2\lfloor \frac{3n-2}{2} \rfloor}$ is odd and square-free. We show that the rooted product graph $G \circ P_2$ is still DGQS in some cases.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary results. In Section 3, we give a relationship between $\det W_Q(G)$ and $\det W_Q(G \circ P_k)$ (k = 2, 3). Section 4 constructs some families of infinite DGQS graphs. In Section 5, we show that $G \circ P_2$ is DGQS under certain circumstances.

2 Preliminaries

construct DGQS graphs.

In this section, we present some basic knowledges that will be used in the following sections. First, we give two matrices A and B as below:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(k-1)\times(k-1)}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

Suppose that the characteristic polynomials of matrix A and matrix B are $a_{k-1}(t)$ and $b_k(t)$, respectively. By a direct calculation, $a_{k-1}(t)$ and $b_k(t)$ satisfy the recursive relations of the following polynomials:

$$a_0(t) = 1$$
, $a_1(t) = t - 1$ and $a_{k-1}(t) = (t - 2)a_{k-2}(t) - a_{k-3}(t)$ for $k \ge 3$, (2)

$$b_0(t) = 1$$
, $b_1(t) = t - 1$ and $b_k(t) = (t - 1)a_{k-1}(t) - a_{k-2}(t)$ for $k \ge 2$. (3)

Next, we introduce the definition of rooted product graph. Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ and P_k is a path of length k whose vertex set $V(P_k) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$. As shown in Figure 1, the rooted product graph of G and P_k is represented as $\widehat{G}_k = G \circ P_k$. It is obvious that \widehat{G}_k has vertex set $V(\widehat{G}_k) = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le k\}$ and edge set $E(\widehat{G}_k) = \{(u_i, v_1) \sim (u_j, v_1) \mid u_i u_j \in E(G)\} \cup \{(u_i, v_s) \sim (u_i, v_{s+1}) \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le s \le k-1\}$.



Figure 1: The rooted product graph $\widehat{G}_k = G \circ P_k$.

Let $V^j = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, then V^1, V^2, \ldots, V^k is a vertex partition of $V(\hat{G}_k)$. Following this partition, we can write the block matrix of the adjacency matrix of \hat{G}_k as follows:

$$A(\widehat{G}_k) = \begin{bmatrix} A(G) & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0\\ I_n & 0 & I_n & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_n & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_n & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4)

We know that the degree diagonal matrix of \widehat{G}_k is $D(\widehat{G}_k) = \text{diag}(D(G)+I_n, 2I_n, \dots, 2I_n, I_n)$. Then the Q-matrix with respect to \widehat{G}_k can be written as follows:

$$Q(\widehat{G}_k) = \begin{bmatrix} Q(G) + I_n & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_n & 2I_n & I_n & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 2I_n & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2I_n & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_n & 2I_n & I_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_n & I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$
(5)

In [8], the authors gave an interesting relationship between $\det W(G)$ and $\det W(G \circ P_2)$ as described below.

Theorem 2.1 ([8]). Let the graph $G \circ P_2$ be obtained by taking the rooted product of a graph G and the graph P_2 . Then $\det W(G \circ P_2) = \pm a_0 (\det(W(G)))^2$, where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of G.

In [1], the authors also gave the relation between $\det W(G)$ and $\det W(G \circ P_k)$ (k = 3, 4) as described below.

Theorem 2.2 ([1]). Let the graph $G \circ P_3$ (resp. $G \circ P_4$) be obtained by taking the rooted product of a graph G and the graph P_3 (resp. P_4). Then $\det W(G \circ P_3) = \pm a_0 (\det(W(G)))^3$ (resp. $\det W(G \circ P_4) = \pm a_0^2 (\det(W(G)))^4$, where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of G.

In [1], the authors proposed a conjecture, which is proved in [9] as follows.

Theorem 2.3 ([9]). Let the graph $G \circ P_k$ be obtained by taking the rooted product of a graph G and the graph P_k . Then $\det W(G \circ P_k) = \pm a_0^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (\det(W(G)))^k$, where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of G.

In this paper, we replace W(G) with $W_Q(G)$, then a similar relationship is obtained as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Let the graph $G \circ P_2$ (resp. $G \circ P_3$) be obtained by taking the rooted product of a graph G and the graph P_2 (resp. P_3). Then $\det W_Q(G \circ P_2) = \pm a_0 (\det(W_Q(G)))^2$ (resp. $\det W_Q(G \circ P_3) = \pm a_0^2 (\det(W_Q(G)))^3$, where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of the matrix Q(G).

Before proving Theorem 2.4, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ([10]). Let $\hat{G}_k = G \circ P_k$ with the *Q*-matrix in (5). If t is a *Q*-eigenvalue of \hat{G}_k with the corresponding eigenvector

$$\zeta = \left[x_1^T, x_2^T, \dots, x_k^T\right]^T,$$

where the vertices of V^s correspond to elements of x_s for $1 \le s \le k$, then $\lambda = \frac{a_k(t)}{a_{k-1}(t)} + 1$ is a Q-eigenvalue of G with the corresponding eigenvector x_1 , and $x_s = \frac{a_{k-s}(t)}{a_{k-1}(t)}x_1$ $(a_{k-1}(t) \ne 0)$ for $2 \le s \le k$, where $a_s(t)$ is defined in (2).

Remark 2.6. Due to Lemma 2.5, we know that the *Q*-eigenvalue λ of *G* satisfies $\lambda = \frac{a_k(t)}{a_{k-1}(t)} + 1$. Using the recursive relation of the polynomial $a_{k-1}(t)$, we can get that $\lambda = \frac{a_k(t) + a_{k-1}(t)}{a_{k-1}(t)} = \frac{(t-2)a_{k-1}(t) - a_{k-2}(t) + a_{k-1}(t)}{a_{k-1}(t)} = \frac{b_k(t)}{a_{k-1}(t)}$. Then the *Q*-eigenvalue *t* of \hat{G}_k satisfies the following polynomial:

$$\varphi(t) = b_k(t) - \lambda a_{k-1}(t).$$

Since $\varphi(t)$ is a polynomial of degree k, we can conclude that one Q-eigenvalue of G corresponds exactly to k Q-eigenvalues of \hat{G}_k .

The following theorem is an immediate conclusion from Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.6.

Theorem 2.7. Let λ_i be the eigenvalue of the graph G with respect to the normalized eigenvector x_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Let $t_i^{(j)}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., k) be the Q-eigenvalues of the rooted product graph \hat{G}_k , and the eigenvector ζ_i^j corresponding to the Q-eigenvalue $t_i^{(j)}$ can be written $\zeta_i^j = \left[x_i^T, \frac{a_{k-2}(t_i^{(j)})}{a_{k-1}(t_i^{(j)})} x_i^T, \ldots, \frac{a_0(t_i^{(j)})}{a_{k-1}(t_i^{(j)})} x_i^T \right]^T$. In addition, $t_i^{(j)}$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\varphi(t_i^{(j)}) = b_k(t_i^{(j)}) - \lambda_i a_{k-1}(t_i^{(j)}),$$

where $b_k(t)$ and $a_{k-1}(t)$ are characteristic polynomials of B and A, respectively.

Lemma 2.8 ([11]). Any symmetric polynomial can be uniquely expressed as a polynomial in elementary symmetric polynomials.

Definition 2.9. Given any two polynomials $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and $g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, the resultant of f(x) and g(x) is expressed as $\operatorname{Res}(f(x), g(x))$, which is defined to be

$$a_n^m b_m^n \prod_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m} (\alpha_i - \beta_j),$$

where α_i 's $\in \mathbb{C}$ are the root of f(x) and β_i 's $\in \mathbb{C}$ are the root of g(x).

3 The determinant of $W_Q(\widehat{G}_k)$

In this section, We shall show an interesting relationship between the determinants of $W_Q(G)$ and the determinants of $W_Q(\widehat{G}_k)$. First of all, we will prove that the determinant of $W_Q(G)$ is determined by the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix Q(G).

Lemma 3.1. Let λ_i be the *Q*-eigenvalue of *G* with the corresponding normalized eigenvector x_i for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then

$$\det W_Q(G) = \pm \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (e_n^T x_i).$$

Proof. On the grounds of $Q(G)x_i = \lambda_i x_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $Q^k(G)x_i = \lambda_i^k x_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{T} \\ e^{T}Q(G) \\ \vdots \\ e^{T}Q^{n-1}(G) \end{bmatrix} [x_{1}, x_{2,...}, x_{n}] = \begin{bmatrix} e^{T}x_{1} & e^{T}x_{2} & \cdots & e^{T}x_{n} \\ \lambda_{1}e^{T}x_{1} & \lambda_{2}e^{T}x_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{n}e^{T}x_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{1}^{n-1}e^{T}x_{1} & \lambda_{2}^{n-1}e^{T}x_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{n-1}e^{T}x_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{1}^{n-1} & \lambda_{2}^{n-1} & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{T}x_{1} & & & \\ e^{T}x_{2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & & e^{T}x_{n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by taking the determinants of both sides of the above equation and $det([x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]) = \pm 1$, we obtain the required result.

For the sake of convenience, let $f_k(t) = a_0(t) + a_1(t) + \cdots + a_{k-1}(t)$, which will be useful in the following proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let λ_i be the *Q*-eigenvalues of the graph *G* with respect to the normalized eigenvectors x_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Let $t_i^{(j)}$ be the eigenvalues of the rooted product graph $G \circ P_k$ with respect to the eigenvectors ζ_i^j (i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., k). Then

$$\det(W_Q(G \circ P_k)) = \pm (\det(W_Q(G))^k \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} a_{k-1}(t_i^{(k_2)}) f_k(t_i^{(k_2)}).$$

Proof. Suppose that the signless Laplacian matrix of rooted product graph $G \circ P_k$ is $\widetilde{Q} = Q(G \circ P_k)$. Then we can obtain, for j = 1, 2, ..., k,

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{kn}^T \\ e_{kn}^T \widetilde{Q} \\ \vdots \\ e_{kn}^T \widetilde{Q}^{kn-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \dots, E^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, \dots, M^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} D_{kn},$$
(6)

where

$$E^{(j)} = \left[\zeta_1^j, \zeta_2^j, \dots, \zeta_n^j\right],$$

$$M^{(j)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ t_1^{(j)} & t_2^{(j)} & \cdots & t_n^{(j)} \\ (t_1^{(j)})^2 & (t_2^{(j)})^2 & \cdots & (t_n^{(j)})^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (t_1^{(j)})^{kn-1} & (t_2^{(j)})^{kn-1} & \cdots & (t_n^{(j)})^{kn-1} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$D_{kn} = \operatorname{diag} \left(\frac{f_k(t_1^{(1)})}{a_{k-1}(t_1^{(1)})} e_n^T x_1, \frac{f_k(t_2^{(1)})}{a_{k-1}(t_2^{(1)})} e_n^T x_2, \dots, \frac{f_k(t_n^{(1)})}{a_{k-1}(t_n^{(1)})} e_n^T x_n, \dots, \right.$$
$$\frac{f_k(t_1^{(k)})}{a_{k-1}(t_1^{(k)})} e_n^T x_1, \frac{f_k(t_2^{(k)})}{a_{k-1}(t_2^{(k)})} e_n^T x_2, \dots, \frac{f_k(t_n^{(k)})}{a_{k-1}(t_n^{(k)})} e_n^T x_n \right).$$

Taking the determinant of both sides of the formula (6), we obtain

$$\det(W_Q(G \circ P_k))\det\left[E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \dots, E^{(k)}\right] = \det\left(\left[M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, \dots, M^{(k)}\right]\right) \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (e_n^T x_i)^k \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} \frac{f_k(t_i^{(k_2)})}{a_{k-1}(t_i^{(k_2)})}.$$

Remark that, in the following calculation, we only consider the absolute value of the determinant. First of all, we have

where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{a_{k-1}(t_1^{(1)})} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{a_{k-1}(t_1^{(k)})} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_{k-1}(t_2^{(1)})} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{a_{k-1}(t_2^{(k)})} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{a_n(t_1^{(1)})} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{a_{k-1}(t_n^{(k)})} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We first extract the common factor of the matrix L and then do the elementary row transformation of the matrix L,

$$\det\left[E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \dots, E^{(k)}\right] = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} a_{k-1}(t_i^{(k_2)})}\right) \det\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1\\ t_i^{(1)} & t_i^{(2)} & \cdots & t_i^{(k)}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ (t_i^{(1)})^{k-1} & (t_i^{(2)})^{k-1} & \cdots & (t_i^{(k)})^{k-1} \end{array}\right]$$
$$= \frac{\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_1 \le k_2 \le k} (t_i^{(k_2)} - t_i^{(k_1)})}{\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} (a_{k-1}(t_i^{(k_2)}))}.$$

Next, we compute the Vandermonde determinant det $[E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \ldots, E^{(k)}]$. Assume that det $[E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \ldots, E^{(k)}] = V_1 V_2$, where

$$V_{1} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq k_{1} < k_{2} \leq k} (t_{i}^{(k_{2})} - t_{i}^{(k_{1})}),$$

$$V_{2} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq k_{2} \leq k} \prod_{1 \leq k_{1} \leq k} (t_{j}^{(k_{2})} - t_{i}^{(k_{1})})$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq k_{2} \leq k} (b_{k}(t_{j}^{(k_{2})}) - \lambda_{i}a_{k-1}(t_{j}^{(k_{2})}))$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq k_{2} \leq k} (\lambda_{j}a_{k-1}(t_{j}^{(k_{2})}) - \lambda_{i}a_{k-1}(t_{j}^{(k_{2})}))$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{i})^{k} \prod_{1 \leq k_{2} \leq k} a_{k-1}(t_{j}^{(k_{2})}).$$

Thus, we can get that

$$\det(W_Q(G \circ P_k)) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (e_n^T x_i)^k \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^k \prod_{1 \le j \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} a_{k-1}(t_j^{(k_2)}) \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} f_k(t_j^{(k_2)})$$
$$= (\det(W_Q(G))^k \prod_{1 \le j \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} a_{k-1}(t_j^{(k_2)}) \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} f_k(t_i^{(k_2)})$$
$$= (\det(W_Q(G))^k \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} a_{k-1}(t_i^{(k_2)}) f_k(t_i^{(k_2)}).$$

Since we only consider the absolute values in the process of the proof, then the conclusion is clearly valid. This completes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

In what follows, we shall give the proof of Theorem 2.4:

Proof of Theorem 2.4.

Proof. We only prove the case of $G \circ P_3$ in Theorem 2.4, the case of $G \circ P_2$ can be proved in the same way. From Theorem 3.2, we know

$$\det(W_Q(G \circ P_k))) = \pm (\det(W_Q(G))^k \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le k} a_{k-1}(t_i^{(k_2)}) f_k(t_i^{(k_2)}).$$

When k = 3, we have

$$\det(W_Q(G \circ P_3))) = \pm (\det(W_Q(G))^3 \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} a_2(t_i^{(k_2)}) f_3(t_i^{(k_2)}).$$

Notice that the roots of quadratic equation $a_2(t)$ are $\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and the roots of quadratic equation $f_3(t)$ are 1, 1. Then it can be concluded that

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} a_2(t_i^{(k_2)}) f_3(t_i^{(k_2)}) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}) (t_i^{(k_2)} - 1) (t_i^{(k_2)} - 1).$$

Now we shall compute $\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}), \prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2})$ and $\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - 1)$ as below:

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (t_i^{(1)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2})(t_i^{(2)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2})(t_i^{(3)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2})$$
$$= t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}(t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(3)} + t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)})$$
$$+ \frac{7 + 3\sqrt{5}}{2}(t_i^{(1)} + t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(3)}) - 9 - 4\sqrt{5},$$
(7)

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (t_i^{(1)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2})(t_i^{(2)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2})(t_i^{(3)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2})$$
$$= t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}(t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(3)} + t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)})$$
$$+ \frac{7 - 3\sqrt{5}}{2}(t_i^{(1)} + t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(3)}) - 9 + 4\sqrt{5},$$
(8)

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - 1) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (t_i^{(1)} - 1)(t_i^{(2)} - 1)(t_i^{(3)} - 1)$$

= $t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)} - (t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(3)} + t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)}) + (t_i^{(1)} + t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(3)}) - 1.$
(9)

Since t is the root of the equation

$$\varphi(t_i^{(j)}) = b_3(t_i^{(j)}) - \lambda_i a_2(t_i^{(j)}) = (t_i^{(j)})^3 - (4 + \lambda_i)(t_i^{(j)})^2 + (3 + 3\lambda_i)t_i^{(j)} - \lambda_i,$$

 then

$$\begin{aligned} (t_i^{(1)} + t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(3)}) &= 4 + \lambda_i, \\ (t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} + t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(3)} + t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)}) &= 3 + 3\lambda_i, \\ t_i^{(1)} t_i^{(2)} t_i^{(3)} &= \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above equation into (7), (8) and (9), we get

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2},$$

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2},$$
$$\prod_{1 \le i \le n} \prod_{1 \le k_2 \le 3} (t_i^{(k_2)} - 1) = -\lambda_i.$$

Hence, we get

$$\det(W_Q(G \circ P_3)) = \pm \prod_{1 \le i \le n} (\lambda_i)^2 (\det(W_Q(G))^3 = \pm a_0^2 (\det(W_Q(G)))^3.$$

This completes the proof.

We conclude this section by asking a question similar to the conjecture in [1].

Question 3.3. Let G be a graph with a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of the matrix Q(G). Is it true that $\det W(G \circ P_k) = \pm a_0^{\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor} (\det(W(G)))^k$ whenever $k \ge 4?$

Constructig a infinite families of DGQS graphs 4

In this section, we use the method of the rooted product operation to construct some families of infinite DGQS graphs. For convenience, we need to define graph $G \circ P_k^t$ $(k \ge 2, t \ge 1)$. Graph $G \circ P_k^t$ is the graph obtained by taking the rooted product of graph $G \circ P_k^{t-1}$ and the graph P_k . For example, $G \circ P_k^0 = G$, $G \circ P_k^1 = G \circ P_k$, $G \circ P_k^2 = (G \circ P_k) \circ P_k$, $G \circ P_k^3 = ((G \circ P_k) \circ P_k) \circ P_k$,... First, we recall some known results in [7], the authors defined a family of graphs

$$\mathcal{F}_{Q,n} = \left\{ G : \frac{\det W_Q(G)}{2^{\left\lfloor \frac{3n-2}{2} \right\rfloor}} \text{ is an odd and square-free integer} \right\}$$

and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 ([7]). Every graph in $\mathcal{F}_{Q,n}$ is DGQS.

In [1], the authors first showed the relationship between $\det W(G)$ and $\det W(G \circ P_k)$ (k =(3, 4) and then proved the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.2 ([1]). Let G be a graph with det $W(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ (n is even) and $a_0 = \pm 1$. Then $G \circ P_k$ (k = 3, 4) are DGS.

Theorem 4.3 ([1]). Let G be a graph with det $W(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ (n is even) and $a_0 = \pm 1$. Then every graph in the infinite sequence $G \circ P_k^t$ $(k = 3, 4; t \ge 1)$ is DGS.

Next, we can get similar results to Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 by replacing the matrix W(G) and $a_0 = \pm 1$ with $W_Q(G)$ and $a_0 = \pm 2$, respectively. Meanwhile, the proof of the following theorem is mainly based on Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.4. Let G be a graph with det $W_Q(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{3n-2}{2}}$ (n is even) and $a_0 = \pm 2$. Then $G \circ P_k$ (k = 2, 3) are DGQS.

Proof. Let G be a graph of n vertices. From the definition of the rooted product operation, we know that $G \circ P_k$ (k = 2, 3) have kn vertices. Applying the result of Theorem 2.4, we can get $\det W_Q(G \circ P_2) = \pm a_0 \det^2(W_Q(G)) = \pm 2^{3n-1}$ and $\det W_Q(G \circ P_3) = \pm a_0^2 \det^3(W_Q(G)) = \pm 2^{\frac{9n-2}{2}}$. By a direct calculation, we get $\frac{\det W_Q(G \circ P_2)}{2^{\frac{6n-2}{2}}} = \pm 1$ and $\frac{\det W_Q(G \circ P_3)}{2^{\frac{9n-2}{2}}} = \pm 1$. Then we obtain $G \circ P_k$ (k = 2, 3) are DGQS from Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.5. Let G be a graph with $a_0 = \pm 2$, where a_0 is the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of the matrix Q(G). Also let $a_0^{(t)}$ be the constant term with respect to the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $Q(G \circ P_k^t)$. Then $a_0^{(t)} = \pm 2$.

Proof. We will prove this lemma by induction on t. First of all, if t = 1, then

$$a_{0}^{(1)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} Q(G) + I_{n} & I_{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_{n} & 2I_{n} & I_{n} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n} & 2I_{n} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2I_{n} & I_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{n} & I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} Q(G) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_{n} & I_{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n} & I_{n} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{n} & I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \pm 2.$$

Now assume that $a_0^{t-1} = \pm 2$ $(t \ge 3)$ is true, we will prove that $a_0^t = \pm 2$ is true.

$$a_{0}^{(t)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} Q(G \circ P_{k}^{t-1}) + I_{n} & I_{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_{n} & 2I_{n} & I_{n} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n} & 2I_{n} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2I_{n} & I_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{n} & 2I_{n} & I_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I_{n} & I_{n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n} & I_{n} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{n} & I_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{n} & I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \pm 2.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.6. Let G be a graph with det $W_Q(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{3n-2}{2}}$ (n is even) and $a_0 = \pm 2$. Then every graph in the infinite squence $G \circ P_k^t$ (k = 2, 3; $t \ge 1$) are DGQS.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.5, we can get

$$\det W_Q(G \circ P_2^t) = \pm a_0 (\det W_Q(G \circ P_2^{t-1}))^2 = \dots = \pm 2^{3n2^{t-1}-1},$$

and

$$\det W_Q(G \circ P_3^t) = \pm (a_0)^2 (\det W_Q(G \circ P_2^{t-1}))^3 = \dots = \pm 2^{\frac{3^{t+1}n-2}{2}}.$$

Notice that the number of vertices in $G \circ P_2^t$ is $2^t n$, and the number of vertices in $G \circ P_3^t$ is $3^t n$. Thus, we can get that $\frac{\det W_Q(G \circ P_2^t)}{2^{\frac{3n2^t-2}{2}}} = \pm 1$ and $\frac{\det W_Q(G \circ P_3^t)}{2^{\frac{3t+1n-2}{2}}} = \pm 1$. Therefore, $G \circ P_2^t$ and $G \circ P_3^t$ are DGQS from Theorem 4.1.

Remark that, from Theorem 4.6, it is very easy to construct families of infinite DGQS graphs. Starting from a small DGQS graph G, then we can obtain the following infinite sequence:

$$G \circ P_k, \ (G \circ P_k) \circ P_k, \ldots,$$

where k = 2 or k = 3. Clearly, every graph in this sequence is DGQS.

We conclude this section by posing the following question.

Question 4.7. Let G be a graph with det $W_Q(G) = \pm 2^{\frac{3n-2}{2}}$ and $a_0 = \pm 2$. Whether $G \circ P_k^t$ is DGQS whenever $t \ge 1$ and $k \ge 4$?

5 DGQS-property of $G \circ P_2$ for $G \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,n}$

In this section, we consider when $G \circ P_2$ is DGQS for a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,n}$. In the following, we will give some partial answers to this question. We first recall some known results in [7] that will be used later.

Lemma 5.1 ([7]). Let G be a graph such that $\det W_Q(G) \neq 0$. Then there exists a graph H such that G and H are cospectral with respect to the generalized Q-spectrum if and only if there exists a rational orthogonal matrix U such that $U^TQ(G)U = U(H)$ and Ue = e.

Define

$$\Gamma(G) = \left\{ U \in O_n(Q) \mid U^T Q(G) U = Q(H) \text{ for some graph } H \text{ and } Ue = e \right\},\$$

where $O_n(Q)$ denotes the set of all orthogonal matrices with rational entries.

Lemma 5.2 ([7]). Suppose that $\det W_Q(G) \neq 0$. Then G is DGQS if and only if $\Gamma(G)$ contains only permutation matrices.

Definition 5.3 ([7]). Let U be an orthogonal matrix with rational entries. The level of U, expressed as $\ell(U)$ or simply ℓ , is the smallest positive integer k such that kU is an integral matrix.

In order to make the following proof easier, we need to define the modified Q-walk matrix $\widetilde{W}_Q(G) = \left[e, \frac{Qe}{2}, \ldots, \frac{Q^{n-1}e}{2}\right]$ or simply \widetilde{W}_Q .

Lemma 5.4. Let the modified Q-walk matrices of G and $G \circ P_2$ be $\widetilde{W}_Q(G)$ and $\widetilde{W}_Q(G \circ P_2)$, respectively. Let p be any prime number. If $\widetilde{W}_Q^T(G)\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then

$$\widetilde{W}_Q^T(G \circ P_2) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \widetilde{W}_Q^T(G \circ P_2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. We can first see that, for any integer $k \ge 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2}Q^k(G \circ P_2) = \begin{bmatrix} Q(G) + I_n & I_n \\ I_n & I_n \end{bmatrix}^k = \begin{bmatrix} f(Q) & g(Q) \\ g(Q) & h(Q) \end{bmatrix}.$$

where polynomials f(x), g(x) and h(x) have degrees of k, k-1 and k-2, respectively. Due to $\widetilde{W}_Q^T(G)\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then we can get

$$e^T \alpha \equiv 0, \ e^T \frac{Q^k}{2} \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$
 (10)

It follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^T, e^T \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} Q^k (G \circ P_2) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^T, e^T \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} f(Q) & g(Q) \\ g(Q) & h(Q) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= e^T \frac{1}{2} f(Q) \alpha + e^T \frac{1}{2} g(Q) \alpha$$
$$\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In the same way, we have $\left[e^T, e^T\right] \frac{1}{2}Q^k(G \circ P_2) \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 5.5. Given a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,n}$ (*n* is even) and $a_0 = \pm 2$. Let *p* be any odd prime factor of det $\widetilde{W}_Q(G)$. Suppose that both of the following conditions are true:

- (i) $\widetilde{W}_{Q}^{T}(G)\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\alpha^{T}\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- (ii) $p \nmid \operatorname{Res}(P_Q(x), \frac{x-1}{2})$, where $P_Q(x)$ is characteristic polynomial of Q(G).

Then the rooted product graph $G \circ P_2$ is DGQS.

Proof. Remark that the proof of this theorem is similar to Theorem 3.9 in [1]. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\det W_Q(G \circ P_2) = \pm 2^{3n-2} p_1^2 p_2^2 \cdots p_s^2$. By the definition of the modified Q-walk matrix, we have $\det \widetilde{W}_Q(G \circ P_2) = \pm 2^n p_1^2 p_2^2 \cdots p_s^2$.

First, the case of p = 2 can easily be ruled out from Theorem 4.1. So we just need to rule out the odd prime factor p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s . Let p > 2 be any prime factor of $\det W_Q(G \circ P_2)$. Suppose that \widehat{U} is the rational orthogonal matrix with level l such that $\widehat{U}^T Q(G \circ P_2)\widehat{U} = A(\Gamma)$, where Γ is a graph being generalized Q-cospectral with $G \circ P_2$. We will prove $p \nmid l$. By contradiction, assume that $p \mid l$. Let ν be any column of $l\widehat{U}$. Then we obtain

$$\widetilde{W}_Q(G \circ P_2)\nu \equiv 0, \ \nu^T\nu = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Observe that $\operatorname{rank}_{p} \widetilde{W}_{Q}(G) = n - 1$. It follows that the nullspace of $\widetilde{W}_{Q}^{T}(G)$ is 1-dimensional. Let $0 \neq \alpha \in \operatorname{Null}(\widetilde{W}_{Q}^{T}(G))$. Then from Lemma 5.3, we know that ν can be represented as a linear combination of $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix}$. There are some k and l such that $\nu = \begin{bmatrix} k\alpha \\ l\alpha \end{bmatrix}$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then we have

$$\ell \widehat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \alpha & k_2 \alpha & \cdots & k_{2n} \alpha \\ l_1 & l_2 & \cdots & l_{2n} \alpha \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}.$$

Observe that \widehat{U} is an orthogonal matrix. Thus, $(k_i^2 + l_i^2)\alpha^T \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $(k_i k_j + l_i l_j)\alpha^T \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $\alpha^T \alpha \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, then $k_i^2 + l_i^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Obviously, there exists some k_i , l_i with $k_i \neq 0$, $l_i \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $(k_i l_i^{-1})^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, this is contradictory to the fact that $k_i l_i^{-1}$ is a rational number. Now we may suppose that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the congruence equation $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence, $\operatorname{rank}_p(\ell \widehat{U}) = 1$. Suppose the number of non-zero elements in α is r. Then we know that $\ell \widehat{U}$ can be written as

$$\ell \widehat{U} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} k\alpha & m_1 k\alpha & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ l\alpha & m_1 l\alpha & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}.$$

It follows from $\widehat{U}^T Q(G \circ P_2) \widehat{U} = A(\Gamma)$ that

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q(G)+I & I\\ I & I \end{pmatrix} \equiv b_0 \begin{pmatrix} k\alpha\\ l\alpha \end{pmatrix} + b_1 \begin{pmatrix} m_1k\alpha\\ m_1l\alpha \end{pmatrix} + \dots + b_{2r-1} \begin{pmatrix} m_{2r-1}k\alpha\\ m_{2r-1}l\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$kQ(G)\alpha + l\alpha = \lambda k\alpha, \ k\alpha + l\alpha = \lambda l\alpha,$$

where $\lambda := b_0 + m_1 b_1 + \dots + m_{2r-1} b_{2r-1}$. The first equation implies that $Q(G)\alpha + k^{-1}l\alpha \equiv \lambda \alpha \equiv l^{-1}k\alpha + \alpha \pmod{p}$. The second equation implies that $k + l \equiv \lambda l \pmod{p}$. That is, $Q(G)\alpha = (l^{-1}k - k^{-1}l + 1)\alpha \pmod{p}$. Now let $\lambda = kl^{-1}$. Then we obtain

$$\lambda^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } Q(G)\alpha = (2\lambda + 1)\alpha.$$
(11)

It is clear that the Equation (11) is equivalent to the following condition: $x^2 + 1$ and $P_Q(\frac{x-1}{2})$ has a common root over \mathbb{F}_p , i.e. $p \mid \operatorname{Res}(P_Q(x), \frac{x-1}{2})$. This contradicts the condition (ii). Therefore, $p \nmid \ell$. Then $\ell = 1$ and \hat{U} is a permutation matrix. This completes the proof. \Box

Corollary 5.6. Given a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,n}$ (*n* is even) and det $W_Q(G) = \pm 2p_1p_2\cdots p_t$ (*n* is even and p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t are distinct odd primes) and $a_0 = \pm 2$. If $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, then the graph $G \circ P_2$ is DGQS.

Declaration of competing interest The authors report no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements This work was in part supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11801521, 12071048).

References

- L.H. Mao, W. Wang, Generalized spectral characterization of rooted product graphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra 1-15 (2022) doi:10.1080/03081087.2022.2098226.
- [2] C.D. Godsil, B.D. McKay, A new graph product and its spectrum, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 18 (1978) 21-28.
- [3] Hs.H. Günthard, H. Primas, Zusammenhang von Graphentheorie und MO-Theorie von Molekeln mit Systemen konjugierter Bindungen, Helv. Chim. Acta 39 (1956) 1645-1653.
- [4] M. Kac, Can one hear the shape of a drum? Am. Math. Monthly 73 (1966) 1-23.
- [5] W. Wang, C.X. Xu, A sufficient condition for a family of graphs being determined by their generalized spectra, European J. Combin. 27(6) (2006) 826-840.
- [6] W. Wang, C.X. Xu, An excluding algorithm for testing whether a family of graphs are determined by their generalized spectra, Linear Algebra Appl. 418 (2006) 62-74.
- [7] L.H. Qiu, Y.Z. Ji, W. Wang, A new arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized Q-spectrum, Discrete Math. 342 (2019) 2770-2782.
- [8] L.H. Mao, F.J. Liu, W. Wang, A new method for constructing graphs determined by their generalized spectrum, Linear Algebra Appl. 477 (2015) 112-127.
- [9] W. Wang, Z.D. Yan, L.H. Mao, Proof of a conjecture on the determinant of the walk matrix of rooted product with a path, Linear Multilinear Algebra 1-13 (2023) doi: 10.1080/03081087.2023.2165612.
- [10] Z.Z. Lou, Q.X. Huang, X.Y. Huang, On the construction of Q-controllable graphs, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 32 (2017) 365-379.
- [11] E.B. Vinberg, A course in algebra, American Mathematical Society, Moscow, Russia (2003) p.118.