

# Arithmetic Progressions of Integers that are Relatively Prime to their Digital Sums

Ryan Blau<sup>\*1</sup>, Joshua Harrington<sup>†2</sup>, Sarah Lohrey<sup>‡3</sup>, Eliel Sosis<sup>§4</sup>, and Tony W. H. Wong<sup>¶5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics & Physical Sciences, The College of Idaho

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Cedar Crest College

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bryn Mawr College

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania

August 11, 2023

## Abstract

For an integer  $b \geq 2$ , we call a positive integer  $b$ -anti-Niven if it is relatively prime to the sum of the digits in its base- $b$  representation. In this article, we investigate the maximum lengths of arithmetic progressions of  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers.

*MSC:* 11A63, 11B25.

*Keywords:* Niven, anti-Niven, arithmetic progressions.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let  $b \geq 2$  be an integer. For all positive integers  $n$ , let  $s_b(n)$  denote the sum of the digits in the base- $b$  expansion of  $n$ , i.e., if  $n = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j b^j$ , where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $0 \leq a_j \leq b - 1$  are integers for each  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , then  $s_b(n) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j$ .

For positive integers  $n$ ,  $d$ , and  $t$ , we call the sequence  $\{n + jd : 0 \leq j \leq t - 1\}$  a  $d$ -AP of length  $t$  and we call the sequence  $\{n + jd : j \geq 0\}$  a  $d$ -AP of infinite length. A positive

---

\*ryan.blau@yotes.collegeofidaho.edu

†joshua.harrington@cedarcrest.edu

‡slohrey@brynmawr.edu

§esosis@umich.edu

¶wong@kutztown.edu

integer  $n$  is *b-Niven* if  $s_b(n) \mid n$ . If every term of a  $d$ -AP is *b-Niven*, we call it a *b-Niven d-AP*. We note that a *b-Niven 1-AP* is a sequence of consecutive Niven numbers.

In 1993, Cooper and Kennedy [1] showed that the maximum length of a 10-Niven 1-AP is 20. Grundman [3] generalized this result in 1994 by showing that the maximum length of a *b-Niven 1-AP* is  $2b$ . These maximum lengths were shown to be attainable by Wilson [7]. More recently, Grundman, Harrington, and Wong [4] investigated maximum length *b-Niven d-APs* for  $d > 1$  and Harrington, Litman, and Wong [5] showed that every infinite  $d$ -AP contains infinitely many *b-Niven* numbers.

In 1975, Olivier [6] studied sets  $S_b = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \gcd(n, s_b(n)) = 1\}$  and showed that the natural density of these sets is  $\frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|(b-1)} \frac{p}{p+1}$ . In 1997, Cooper and Kennedy [2] published a weaker result that established Olivier's density as an upper bound for the density of  $S_{10}$ .

In this paper, we define a positive integer  $n$  to be *b-anti-Niven* if  $\gcd(s_b(n), n) = 1$ . If every term of a  $d$ -AP is *b-anti-Niven*, then we call it a *b-anti-Niven d-AP*. In Section 2 we give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $d$ ,  $b$ , and  $n$  for which the  $d$ -AP  $\{n + jd : j \geq 0\}$  contains at least one *b-anti-Niven* number. We also show that there is no *b-anti-Niven d-AP* of infinite length, but for any  $b$  and  $t$ , there are infinitely many *b-anti-Niven d-APs* of length  $t$ . In Section 3 we investigate the maximum length of *b-anti-Niven d-APs* when  $b$  and  $d$  satisfy various constraints.

## 2 *b-anti-Niven* Numbers in $d$ -APs

In this section, we are going to give several general results on *b-anti-Niven* numbers in  $d$ -APs.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\delta$  be a positive integer such that  $\delta \mid (b - 1)$ . Then for all positive integers  $n$ ,  $\delta \mid n$  if and only if  $\delta \mid s_b(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j b^j$ , where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $0 \leq a_j \leq b - 1$  are integers for each  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . The proof follows from the simple observation that  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{\delta}$  and thus  $\sum_{j=0}^m a_j b^j \equiv \sum_{j=0}^m a_j \pmod{\delta}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The  $d$ -AP of infinite length  $\{n + jd : j \geq 0\}$  contains a *b-anti-Niven* number if and only if  $\gcd(n, d, b - 1) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming that  $\gcd(n, d, b - 1) = 1$ , we have  $\gcd(s_b(n), s_b(d), b - 1) = 1$  by Lemma 2.1. By Proposition 2.6 of Harrington, Litman, and Wong [5], there exists a positive multiple  $\bar{d}$  of  $d$  such that  $\gcd(s_b(n), s_b(\bar{d})) = 1$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $s_b(n) + k \cdot s_b(\bar{d}) = p$  is a prime with  $p > \max(b, \bar{d})$ , and we further let  $m_0 = \lfloor \log_b(n) \rfloor + 1$  and  $m_i = m_{i-1} + \lfloor \log_b(\bar{d}) \rfloor + 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Consider  $n + j\bar{d}$  and  $n + j'\bar{d}$ , where  $j = \sum_{i=0}^k b^{m_i}$  and  $j' = j - b^{m_k} + b^{m_k+1}$ . Note that both  $s_b(n + j\bar{d})$  and  $s_b(n + j'\bar{d})$  are equal to  $s_b(n) + k \cdot s_b(\bar{d}) = p$ , and  $(n + j'\bar{d}) - (n + j\bar{d}) = b^{m_k}(b - 1)d$  is not divisible by  $p$  since  $p > \max(b, \bar{d})$ . Hence, at least one of  $n + j\bar{d}$  and  $n + j'\bar{d}$  is our desired *b-anti-Niven* number in the given  $d$ -AP.

Conversely, if  $\gcd(n, d, b - 1) = \delta > 1$ , then  $\delta \mid \gcd(n + jd, s_b(n + jd))$  for all integers  $j \geq 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Therefore,  $\{n + jd : j \geq 0\}$  does not contain any *b-anti-Niven* numbers.  $\square$

The following theorem is a consequence of a result of Harrington, Litman, and Wong [5] who showed that every arithmetic progression of infinite length contains at least one  $b$ -Niven number  $n$  with  $s_b(n) \neq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *For any positive integer  $d$ , there is no  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP of infinite length.*

Our next theorem shows that there exist arithmetic progressions of arbitrary length containing only  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers.

**Theorem 2.4.** *For every positive integer  $t$ , there exist positive integers  $n$  and  $d$  such that  $\{n + jd : 0 \leq j \leq t - 1\}$  is a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP of length  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $b^m / (m(b - 1) + 1) \geq t$ , and let  $d = b(b^m - 1)(m(b - 1) + 1)$ . Consider the  $d$ -AP  $\{(d + 1) + jd : 0 \leq j \leq t - 1\}$ . For all  $0 \leq j \leq t - 1$ , note that  $\tilde{j} = (j + 1)(m(b - 1) + 1) \leq b^m$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} s_b((d + 1) + jd) &= s_b(\tilde{j}(b^{m+1} - b) + 1) \\ &= s_b((\tilde{j} - 1)b^{m+1} + b(b^m - 1 - (\tilde{j} - 1)) + 1) \\ &= s_b(\tilde{j} - 1) + s_b(b^m - 1 - (\tilde{j} - 1)) + 1 \\ &= s_b(\tilde{j} - 1) + s_b\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (b - 1)b^j - (\tilde{j} - 1)\right) + 1 \\ &= s_b(\tilde{j} - 1) + m(b - 1) - s_b(\tilde{j} - 1) + 1 \\ &= m(b - 1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(d + 1) + jd \equiv 1 \pmod{m(b - 1) + 1}$ , we conclude that  $(d + 1) + jd$  is  $b$ -anti-Niven for all  $0 \leq j \leq t - 1$ .  $\square$

Although Theorem 2.4 shows that there are  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -APs of arbitrary length, the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP is bounded above by  $b - 2$  for many values of  $b$  and  $d$ , as shown in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For  $b > 2$  and a positive integer  $d$ , let  $p$  be the smallest prime such that  $p \mid (b - 1)$  and  $p \nmid d$ . Then every  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP has length at most  $p - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $p \nmid d$ , every  $d$ -AP of length  $p$  contains a multiple of  $p$ . By Lemma 2.1, this multiple of  $p$  is not  $b$ -anti-Niven. Hence, the maximum length of a  $d$ -AP that contains only  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers is at most  $p - 1$ .  $\square$

### 3 Maximum Length $b$ -anti-Niven $d$ -APs

Theorem 2.5 in the previous section gives a bound on the maximum length of certain  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -APs. We begin this section by demonstrating that there are instances when this bound is achieved. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 investigate 1-APs, i.e. sequences of consecutive  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers, and 2-APs, respectively. The following lemma will be a common tool in establishing these two theorems.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all finite collections of distinct primes  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t$ , there exist infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that  $b^m \equiv b \pmod{q}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that there exists  $0 \leq t' \leq t$  such that  $q_i \nmid b$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t'$  and  $q_i \mid b$  for all  $t' + 1 \leq i \leq t$ . By Euler's theorem,  $b^{k\varphi(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{t'})} \equiv 1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{t'}}$  for every positive integer  $k$ . Hence,  $m = k\varphi(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{t'}) + 1$  is our desired choice of integer.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *For  $b > 2$ , let  $p$  be the smallest prime such that  $p \mid (b - 1)$ . Then the maximum length of a sequence of consecutive  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers is  $p - 1$ . Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length  $p - 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5, the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven 1-AP is at most  $p - 1$ . It remains to show that such sequences occur infinitely often. Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t$  be all primes less than  $p$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exist infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that  $b^m \equiv b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t}$ . Now, for all  $0 \leq j \leq p - 2$ , we have  $s_b(b^m + j) = j + 1$ . Since  $j + 1 < p$ , for any prime divisor  $q$  of  $j + 1$ , we have  $b^m + j \equiv b + j \equiv b - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Therefore,  $\gcd(b^m + j, s_b(b^m + j)) = 1$ , implying that  $\{b^m + j : 0 \leq j \leq p - 2\}$  forms a sequence of  $p - 1$  consecutive  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $b > 2$  be such that  $b \neq 2^r + 1$  for any integer  $r$ , and let  $p$  be the smallest odd prime such that  $p \mid (b - 1)$ . Then the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP is  $p - 1$ . Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length  $p - 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5, the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP is at most  $p - 1$ . It remains to show that such sequences occur infinitely often. Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t$  be all primes less than or equal to  $b$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exist infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that  $b^m \equiv b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t}$ .

Consider the case when  $b$  is even. For all  $0 \leq j \leq p - 2$ , we have  $s_b(b^m + 2j + 1) = 2(j + 1)$ . Since  $j + 1 < p$ , for any prime divisor  $q$  of  $2(j + 1)$ , we have  $b^m + 2j + 1 \equiv b + 2j + 1 \equiv b - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Therefore,  $\gcd(b^m + 2j + 1, s_b(b^m + 2j + 1)) = 1$ , implying that  $\{b^m + 2j + 1 : 0 \leq j \leq p - 2\}$  forms a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP of length  $p - 1$ .

Next, consider the case when  $b$  is odd. For all  $0 \leq j \leq (p - 1)/2$ , we have  $s_b(b^m + b - p + 2j) = 1 + b - p + 2j$ . Since  $1 + b - p + 2j \leq b$ , for any prime divisor  $q$  of  $1 + b - p + 2j$ , we have  $b^m + b - p + 2j \equiv 2b - p + 2j \equiv 2b - p + 2j - 2(1 + b - p + 2j) \equiv p - 2j - 2 \pmod{q}$ . Note that  $-1 \leq p - 2j - 2 \leq p - 2$ , so none of these odd numbers share a common prime factor with  $b - 1$ . Hence,  $\gcd(p - 2j - 2, 1 + b - p + 2j) = \gcd(p - 2j - 2, b - 1) = 1$ , implying that  $p - 2j - 2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Thus,  $\gcd(b^m + b - p + 2j, s_b(b^m + b - p + 2j)) = 1$  when  $0 \leq j \leq (p - 1)/2$ .

Furthermore, for all  $0 \leq j \leq (p - 5)/2$ , we have  $s_b(b^m + b + 1 + 2j) = 3 + 2j$ . Since  $3 + 2j \leq p - 2 < b$ , for any prime divisor  $q$  of  $3 + 2j$ , we have  $b^m + b + 1 + 2j \equiv 2b + 1 + 2j \equiv 2b + 1 + 2j - (3 + 2j) \equiv 2(b - 1) \pmod{q}$ . Note that  $2(b - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  since  $q$  is an odd prime less than  $p$ . Thus,  $\gcd(b^m + b + 1 + 2j, s_b(b^m + b + 1 + 2j)) = 1$  when  $0 \leq j \leq (p - 5)/2$ . Therefore,  $\{b^m + b - p + 2j : 0 \leq j \leq (p - 1)/2\} \cup \{b^m + b + 1 + 2j : 0 \leq j \leq (p - 5)/2\}$  forms a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP of length  $p - 1$ .  $\square$

So far, the theorems in this section have shown that the bound provided in Theorem 2.5 is attainable. However, there are infinitely many instances when the maximum length of  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -APs does not attain this bound. The following theorem illustrates one such instance.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $b \geq 6$  be even, and let  $3 \leq d \leq b/2$  be an odd integer. Then the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP is at most  $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 2$ .*

Note that when  $b - 1$  is an odd prime, the bound given by Theorem 2.5 is  $b - 2$ , while the bound given by Theorem 3.4 is strictly smaller when  $b > 15$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* Suppose there are two  $b$ -anti-Niven numbers from a  $d$ -AP in the interval  $[ab, ab + b - 1]$ . Let these two numbers be  $ab + a_0$  and  $ab + a_0 + d$  for some nonnegative integers  $a$  and  $a_0 \leq b - 1 - d$ . Recalling that  $d$  is odd, there exists  $\chi \in \{0, 1\}$  so that  $a_0 + \chi d$  is even. As a result,  $ab + a_0 + \chi d$  is also even since  $b$  is even. Since  $ab + a_0 + \chi d$  is  $b$ -anti-Niven,  $s_b(ab + a_0 + \chi d) = s_b(a) + a_0 + \chi d$  is odd, implying that  $s_b(a)$  is odd.

Note that there are at most  $\lceil 2b/d \rceil$  terms from a  $d$ -AP in the interval  $[ab, (a+1)b + (b-1)]$ . Hence, if there is a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP of length  $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 3$ , then there exists a nonnegative integer  $a$  such that each of the intervals  $[ab, ab + b - 1]$ ,  $[(a+1)b, (a+1)b + b - 1]$ , and  $[(a+2)b, (a+2)b + b - 1]$  contains at least two terms from this  $d$ -AP. From the above observation, we conclude that  $s_b(a)$ ,  $s_b(a+1)$ , and  $s_b(a+2)$  are all odd, which is a contradiction. This establishes an upper bound for the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP as stated in the theorem.  $\square$

We now turn our attention to  $d$ -APs for which Theorem 2.5 does not apply.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $b$  be even. Then the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $(b-1)$ -AP is  $2b + 1$ . Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length  $2b + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there is a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $(b-1)$ -AP  $\mathcal{S}$  of length at least  $2b + 1$ . Since  $\gcd(b-1, b) = 1$ , there exist two terms in  $\mathcal{S}$  that are multiples of  $b$ . Let these two terms be  $ab$  and  $ab + (b-1)b$  for some positive integer  $a$ . Note that  $ab$  is even, so  $s_b(ab) = s_b(a)$  is odd. Since  $ab + 2(b-1) = (a+1)b + b - 2$  is an even term in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the digit sum  $s_b((a+1)b + b - 2) = s_b(a+1) + b - 2$  must be odd, implying that  $s_b(a+1)$  is also odd. Hence,  $a = cb + b - 1$  for some nonnegative integer  $c$ , where  $s_b(c)$  is even. In other words,  $ab = cb^2 + (b-1)b$  and  $ab + (b-1)b = (c+1)b^2 + (b-2)b$ . Also,  $s_b(c+1)$  is odd since  $s_b((c+1)b^2 + (b-2)b) = s_b(c+1) + b - 2$  is odd.

Now, note that  $cb^2$  and  $s_b(cb^2) = s_b(c)$  are even, so  $cb^2$  is not in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Similarly,  $(c+1)b^2 + (b-1)b + b - 2$  and  $s_b((c+1)b^2 + (b-1)b + b - 2) = s_b(c+1) + b - 1 + b - 2$  are even, so  $(c+1)b^2 + (b-1)b + b - 2 = cb^2 + (2b+2)(b-1)$  is also not in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subsequence of  $\{cb^2 + j(b-1) : 1 \leq j \leq 2b+1\}$ , thus the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $(b-1)$ -AP is at most is  $2b + 1$ .

It remains to show that such sequences occur infinitely often. Let  $c$  be a nonnegative integer such that  $s_b(c+1) = s_b(c) + 1$ . Then it is not difficult to observe that  $s_b(cb^2 + j(b-1)) = s_b(c) + b - 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq b$  and  $b + 2 \leq j \leq 2b$ , and  $s_b(cb^2 + j(b-1)) = s_b(c) + 2(b-1)$

for  $j \in \{b+1, 2b+1\}$ . Hence, it suffices to show that there exist infinitely many positive integers  $c$  such that

- $b \nmid (c+1)$ ,
- $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), s_b(c) + b - 1) = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq b$  and  $b+2 \leq j \leq 2b$ , and
- $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), s_b(c) + 2(b-1)) = 1$  for  $j \in \{b+1, 2b+1\}$ .

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t$  be all primes less than or equal to  $2b$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exist infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that  $b^{m+1} \equiv b \pmod{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t}$ . In other words,  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t \mid b(b^m - 1)$ . Let  $P = b^m + 1$ . Since  $\gcd(b^m + 1, b) = 1$  and  $\gcd(b^m + 1, b^m - 1) = 1$ , we have  $\gcd(P, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) = 1$ . Next, consider  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\tau$  be all prime factors of  $b^{m-1} + 1$ . Hence,  $b^{m-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\tau}$ . Let  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{(P-b+1)/2}$  be positive integers, where  $r_{i+1} - r_i \geq m+1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq (P-b-1)/2$ , be defined as follows.

- If  $(P-b+1)/2$  is odd, then

$$b^{r_i+2} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\tau} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq (P-b-1)/4; \\ 1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\tau} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If  $(P-b+1)/2$  is even, then

$$b^{r_i+2} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\tau} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq (P-b-3)/4; \\ 1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\tau} & \text{if } (P-b+1)/4 \leq i \leq (P-b-3)/2; \\ b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_\tau} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let  $c = \sum_{i=1}^{(P-b+1)/2} b^{r_i} (b^m + 1)$ . Since  $b \mid c$ , we have  $b \nmid (c+1)$ . Next,  $s_b(c) = P - b + 1$  from our construction, thus  $s_b(c) + b - 1 = P = b^m + 1$ , which is a factor of  $c$ . Recalling that  $P$  is relatively prime to all positive integers up to  $2b$ , we have  $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), P) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq 2b$ . It remains to prove that  $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), s_b(c) + 2(b-1)) = 1$  for  $j \in \{b+1, 2b+1\}$ . Note that  $s_b(c) + 2(b-1) = P - b + 1 + 2(b-1) = P + b - 1 = b(b^{m-1} + 1)$ . For any prime factor  $q$  of  $b^{m-1} + 1$ , we clearly have  $q \nmid b$ . Moreover,  $q \nmid (b-1)$ , or otherwise,  $q \mid b(b^{m-1} + 1)$  and  $q \mid (b-1)$  imply  $q \mid P$ , contradicting that  $P$  is relatively prime to all positive integers up to  $2b$ . If  $(P-b+1)/2$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} cb^2 + (b+1)(b-1) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{(P-b+1)/2} b^{r_i+2} \right) P + b^2 - 1 \\ &\equiv P + b^2 - 1 \\ &\equiv P + b^2 - 1 - (P + b - 1) \\ &\equiv b(b-1) \\ &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \end{aligned}$$

and  $cb^2 + (2b + 1)(b - 1) = cb^2 + (b + 1)(b - 1) + b(b - 1) \equiv 2b(b - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . If  $(P - b + 1)/2$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} cb^2 + (b + 1)(b - 1) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{(P-b+1)/2} b^{r_i+2} \right) P + b^2 - 1 \\ &\equiv 2bP + b^2 - 1 \\ &\equiv 2bP + b^2 - 1 - 2b(P + b - 1) \\ &\equiv -(b - 1)^2 \\ &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \end{aligned}$$

and  $cb^2 + (2b + 1)(b - 1) = cb^2 + (b + 1)(b - 1) + b(b - 1) \equiv -(b - 1)^2 + b(b - 1) \equiv b - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ . Finally, our proof is completed by noticing that  $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b - 1), b) = 1$  for  $j \in \{b + 1, 2b + 1\}$ .  $\square$

To complete the investigation on 1-APs, we provide the following corollary by choosing  $b = 2$  in Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.6.** *For  $b = 2$ , the maximum length of a sequence of consecutive 2-anti-Niven numbers is 5. Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length 5.*

## 4 Concluding Remarks

Theorem 3.3 establishes the that the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP is at most  $b - 2$  when  $b - 1$  has an odd prime divisor. Although we have not established an upper bound for the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP when  $b = 2^r + 1$  for some nonnegative integer  $r$ , the following theorem establishes a lower bound.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $b = 2^r + 1$  for some nonnegative integer  $r$ . Then the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP is at least  $b$ .*

*Proof.* If  $r = 0$ , then  $b = 2$ , and  $\{2, 4\}$  forms a 2-anti-Niven 2-AP of length 2. If  $r > 0$ , then  $b$  is odd. For all  $0 \leq j \leq (b - 1)/2$ , we have  $\gcd(b + 2j, s_b(b + 2j)) = \gcd(b + 2j, 1 + 2j) = \gcd(b + 2j, b - 1) = \gcd(2^r + 1 + 2j, 2^r) = 1$ . Furthermore, for all  $0 \leq j \leq (b - 3)/2$ , we have  $\gcd(2b + 1 + 2j, s_b(2b + 1 + 2j)) = \gcd(2b + 1 + 2j, 3 + 2j) = \gcd(2b + 1 + 2j, 2b - 2) = \gcd(2^{r+1} + 3 + 2j, 2^{r+1}) = 1$ . Therefore,  $\{b + 2j : 0 \leq j \leq (b - 1)/2\} \cup \{2b + 1 + 2j : 0 \leq j \leq (b - 3)/2\}$  forms a  $b$ -anti-Niven 2-AP of length  $b$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.5 establishes the that the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $(b - 1)$ -AP is at most  $2b + 1$  when  $b$  is even. The following theorem establishes a lower bound when  $b$  is an odd prime.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $b$  be an odd prime. Then the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $(b - 1)$ -AP is at least  $2b + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, 1,  $b$ , and  $b^2$  are  $b$ -anti-Niven. For all  $1 \leq j \leq b - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(b + j(b - 1), s_b(b + j(b - 1))) &= \gcd((j + 1)b - j, s_b(jb + b - j)) \\ &= \gcd((j + 1)b - j, j + b - j) \\ &= \gcd((j + 1)b - j, b) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

since  $b$  is a prime. Furthermore, for all  $1 \leq j \leq b - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(b^2 + j(b - 1), s_b(b^2 + j(b - 1))) &= \gcd(b^2 + jb - j, s_b(b^2 + (j - 1)b + b - j)) \\ &= \gcd(b^2 + jb - j, 1 + j - 1 + b - j) \\ &= \gcd(b^2 + jb - j, b) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\{1, b\} \cup \{b + j(b - 1) : 1 \leq j \leq b - 1\} \cup \{b^2\} \cup \{b^2 + j(b - 1) : 1 \leq j \leq b - 1\}$  forms a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $(b - 1)$ -AP of length  $2b + 1$ .  $\square$

Recall that Theorem 3.4 shows that the upper bound on the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP given by Theorem 2.5 may not be achievable for even  $b$ . However, when  $b$  is odd, computational data suggests otherwise. Of course, if  $d$  is odd, then Theorem 2.5 implies that the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP is at most 1, which is clearly attainable. It is more interesting to investigate if  $d$  is even. The next conjecture addresses this more interesting case and generalizes Theorem 3.3 to other even values of  $d$ .

**Conjecture 4.3.** *Let  $b$  be odd such that  $b \neq 2^r + 1$  for any positive integer  $r$ , let  $d$  be even, and let  $p$  be the smallest prime such that  $p \mid (b - 1)$  and  $p \nmid d$ . Then there exist infinitely many  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -APs of length  $p - 1$ .*

Theorem 3.4 established an upper bound for the maximum length of a  $b$ -anti-Niven  $d$ -AP when  $b \geq 6$  is even and  $3 \leq d \leq b/2$  is an odd integer. We conjecture that this bound is attainable for infinitely many pairs  $(b, d)$ .

**Conjecture 4.4.** *Let  $b \geq 6$  be even, and let  $3 \leq d \leq b/2$  be an odd integer. There exist infinitely many pairs  $(b, d)$  for which there is a  $b$ -Niven  $d$ -AP of length  $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 2$ .*

## 5 Acknowledgements

These results are based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant numbered MPS-2150299.

## References

- [1] C. Cooper and R. E. Kennedy, On consecutive Niven numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **31** (1993), 146–151.



- [2] C. Cooper and R. E. Kennedy, On the set of positive integers which are relatively prime to their digital sum and its complement, *J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math. Ser.* **10** (1997), 173–180.
- [3] H. Grundman, Sequences of consecutive  $n$ -Niven numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **32** (1994), 174–175.
- [4] H. Grundman, J. Harrington, and T. W. H. Wong, Arithmetic progressions of  $b$ -Niven numbers, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, to appear (<https://projecteuclid.org/journals/rmjm/rocky-mountain-journal-of-mathematics/Download>).
- [5] J. Harrington, M. Litman, and T. W. H. Wong, Every arithmetic progression contains infinitely many  $b$ -Niven numbers, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear (<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972723000758>).
- [6] M. Olivier, Sur la probabilité que  $n$  soit premier à la somme de ses chiffres, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, **280** (1975), A543–A545.
- [7] B. Wilson, Construction of  $2 * n$  consecutive  $n$ -Niven numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **35** (1997), 122–128.