

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF ENTROPY AS A UNIVERSAL MONOIDAL NATURAL TRANSFORMATION

CHEUK TING LI

**ABSTRACT.** We show that the essential properties of entropy (monotonicity, additivity and subadditivity) are consequences of entropy being a monoidal natural transformation from the under category functor  $-/\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  (where  $\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  is category of  $\rho$ -th-power-summable probability distributions,  $0 < \rho < 1$ ) to  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Moreover, the Shannon entropy can be characterized as the universal monoidal natural transformation from  $-/\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  to the category of integrally closed partially ordered abelian groups (a reflective subcategory of the lax-slice 2-category over  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\ell$  in the 2-category of monoidal categories), providing a succinct characterization of Shannon entropy as a reflection arrow. We can likewise define entropy for every monoidal category with a monoidal structure on its under categories (e.g. the category of finite abelian groups, the category of finite inhabited sets, the category of finite dimensional vector spaces, and the augmented simplex category) via the reflection arrow. This implies that all these entropies over different categories are components of a single natural transformation (the unit of the idempotent monad), allowing us to connect these entropies in a natural manner. We also provide a universal characterization of the conditional Shannon entropy based on the chain rule which, unlike the characterization of information loss by Baez, Fritz and Leinster, does not require any continuity assumption.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Previous Works	6
2. Preliminaries	8
3. Monoidal Strictly-Indexed Monoidal Categories	9
4. Reflective Subcategories of $\mathbf{MonSiMonCat}$	11
4.1. $\mathbf{OrdCMon}$ as a Reasonable “Category of Codomains”	12
4.2. $\mathbf{OrdCMon}$ as a Reflective Subcategory	13
4.3. Other “Categories of Codomains” of Entropy	14
5. Universal Entropy as the Reflection Morphism	16
6. Shannon and Hartley Entropy for Finite Probability Spaces	20
7. Shannon Entropy for $\rho$ -th-Power-Summable Probability Distributions	27
8. Universal Entropy of Various Categories	31
8.1. Universal Entropy of Sets	31
8.2. Universal Entropy of Vector Spaces and Gaussian Distributions	33
8.3. Universal Entropy of the Opposite Category of Sets and “Information as Commodity”	35

---

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION ENGINEERING, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG,  
HONG KONG SAR OF CHINA  
*E-mail address:* ctli@ie.cuhk.edu.hk.

8.4. Universal Entropy of the Augmented Simplex Category and “Information of Transformations”	37
8.5. Universal Entropy of Finite Abelian Groups	38
9. Global Naturality	39
10. Conditional Entropy	42
10.1. Discretely-Indexed Monoidal Strictly-Indexed Monoidal Categories	42
10.2. Embedding $\text{OrdCMon}$ into $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$	46
10.3. Chain Rule	47
10.4. $\text{OrdCMon}$ as a Reflective Subcategory of $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$	48
10.5. Universal Conditional Entropy	49
11. Conclusion and Discussions	52
12. Acknowledgement	53
References	53

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the category  $\text{FinProb}$  of finite probability spaces, with morphisms given by measure-preserving functions between probability spaces, and tensor product given by the product probability space [1, 2, 3]. For a probability space  $P \in \text{FinProb}$ , the under category  $P/\text{FinProb}$ , consisting of measure-preserving functions from  $P$  to another probability space, can be regarded as the “category of random variables defined over  $P$ ”, with a categorical product that corresponds to the joint random variable of two random variables [3]. It was observed by Baez, Fritz and Leinster [3] that the Shannon entropy  $H_1 : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  can be regarded as a strong monoidal functor (where  $[0, \infty)$  is the monoidal posetal category, where there is a morphism  $a \rightarrow b$  for  $a, b \in [0, \infty)$  if  $a \geq b$ , with tensor product given by addition), which corresponds to the *monotonicity property* of entropy:

$$(1.1) \quad H_1(X) \geq H_1(Y)$$

for discrete random variables  $X, Y$  where  $Y$  is a function of  $X$ , due to  $H_1$  being a functor; and the *additivity property* of entropy:

$$(1.2) \quad H_1(X) + H_1(Y) = H_1(X, Y)$$

for any independent discrete random variables  $X, Y$ , due to  $H_1$  being strongly monoidal. Also, the functor mapping a random variable  $x \in P/\text{FinProb}$  to its Shannon entropy is a lax monoidal functor [3], which corresponds to the *subadditivity property* of entropy:

$$(1.3) \quad H_1(X) + H_1(Y) \geq H_1(X, Y)$$

for any jointly-distributed discrete random variables  $X, Y$ . This way, three important properties of entropy can be stated as properties of strong/lax monoidal functors. Note that these properties are also satisfied by the Hartley entropy [4]  $H_0(X) = \log |\text{supp}(X)|$ , where  $\text{supp}(X)$  is the support set of  $X$ .

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that these three properties are consequences of the fact that the natural transformation  $h : -/\text{FinProb} \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$  (where  $-/\text{FinProb} : \text{FinProb}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$  maps  $P \in \text{FinProb}$  to  $P/\text{FinProb} \in \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$ , and  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{FinProb}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$  is the constant functor mapping everything to the

monoidal posetal category  $\mathbb{R} \in \text{MonCat}_\ell$ ), with components  $h_P(X) = H_1(X)$  for random variable  $X : P \rightarrow Q$ , is a monoidal natural transformation (here we assume  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a lax monoidal functor with coherence map given by the tensor product of  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e., addition over real numbers). We have the following correspondence between the properties of entropy and the properties of  $h$  being a monoidal natural transformation:

- Monotonicity  $\leftrightarrow h_P$  is a functor,
- Subadditivity  $\leftrightarrow h_P$  is lax monoidal,
- Additivity  $\leftrightarrow h$  is a monoidal natural transformation.

In this paper, we prove the following succinct characterization of the pairing of the Hartley entropy and the Shannon entropy, given by  $\tilde{h} : -/\text{FinProb} \Rightarrow \Delta_{(\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}}$  with components  $\tilde{h}_P(X) = (H_0(X), H_1(X))$ . This can be considered as an abstraction and generalization of the characterizations by Aczél, Forte and Ng [5]. Write  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  for the category of integrally closed partially ordered (i.c.p.o.) abelian group [6, 7].<sup>1</sup> We have:

*The pairing of the Hartley entropy and the Shannon entropy  $\tilde{h}$  is the universal monoidal natural transformation in the form  $\gamma : -/\text{FinProb} \Rightarrow \Delta_W : \text{FinProb}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  where  $W \in \text{lcOrdAb}$ .*<sup>2</sup>

Furthermore, if we consider  $\text{LProb}_\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < 1$ ), the category of  $\rho$ -th-power-summable discrete probability distributions (containing probability mass functions  $P$  over finite or countable sets satisfying  $\sum_x (P(x))^\rho < \infty$ ) instead of  $\text{FinProb}$ , then the Hartley entropy is no longer defined, and the Shannon entropy alone is the universal monoidal natural transformation. This provides a succinct characterization of Shannon entropy:

*The Shannon entropy  $h$  is the universal monoidal natural transformation in the form  $\gamma : -/\text{LProb}_\rho \Rightarrow \Delta_W : \text{LProb}_\rho^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  where  $W \in \text{lcOrdAb}$ .*

Intuitively, Shannon entropy is the universal “monoidal cocone” from  $-/\text{LProb}_\rho$  to an i.c.p.o. abelian group. Unlike previous characterizations of Shannon entropy [8, 9, 10, 5, 11, 2, 12], this characterization does not involve  $\mathbb{R}$  outside of the definition of  $\text{LProb}_\rho$ . Even though this characterization does not require  $W$  to be  $\mathbb{R}$  or linearly ordered, the structure of  $\text{LProb}_\rho$  ensures that every monoidal natural transformation  $\gamma : -/\text{LProb}_\rho \Rightarrow \Delta_W$  must order all probability distributions linearly according to their entropies, and the structure of  $\mathbb{R}$  is “extracted” from  $\text{LProb}_\rho$ .

A consequence is that the Shannon entropy  $H_1$  is the universal function in the form  $H : \text{LProb}_\rho \rightarrow W$ , where  $W$  is an i.c.p.o. abelian group, satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3).<sup>3</sup>

Moreover, the characterization of entropy can be applied not only to  $\text{FinProb}$  and  $\text{LProb}_\rho$ , but also to any monoidal category with a monoidal structure over its under categories, generalizing the concept of entropy to all these categories. Define

<sup>1</sup>A partially ordered abelian group is *integrally closed* if  $nx \leq y$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  implies  $x \leq 0$  [6, 7].

<sup>2</sup>More explicitly, for every such  $\gamma$ , there exists a unique morphism  $F : (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W$  in  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  such that  $\gamma = \Delta_F \tilde{h}$ .

<sup>3</sup>Universality means that every such  $H : \text{LProb}_\rho \rightarrow W$  can be factorized uniquely as  $H = fH_1$  where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W$  is an order-preserving group homomorphism.

the category of monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (*MonSiMon*) categories, written as  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , as the lax-slice 2-category over  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  in the 2-category of monoidal categories. Each object of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  is a monoidal category  $\mathbb{C}$ , where each object  $P$  is associated with a monoidal category  $N(P)$  via a lax monoidal functor  $N : \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$ . Examples include  $\text{FinProb}$  (where each  $P \in \text{FinProb}$  is associated with the under category  $N(P) = P/\text{FinProb}$ ),  $\text{LProb}_\rho$ , the category of finite abelian groups, the category of finite sets, the category of finite dimensional vector spaces, the category of Gaussian distributions, and the augmented simplex category.

We can define entropies over the aforementioned  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories, i.e., those categories are the domains of the entropy functors. The codomains of the entropy functors (e.g.,  $\mathbb{R}$  for Shannon entropy) are  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories as well. The entropy functors are morphisms in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ . Moreover, in this paper, the entropy can be given as the universal reflection morphism from  $\text{FinProb}$  (or another  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $\mathbb{C}$  under consideration) to the reflective subcategory of allowed codomains in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  (e.g., cancellative commutative monoids, i.c.p.o. abelian groups, i.c.p.o. vector spaces). For example, the reflection morphism from  $-/\text{LProb}_\rho$  to i.c.p.o. abelian groups is the Shannon entropy, whereas the reflection morphism from  $-/\text{FinProb}$  to i.c.p.o. abelian groups is the pairing of the Shannon and Hartley entropies.

Furthermore, the universal entropy of  $\mathbb{C} \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  can be given as the component  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\phi : \text{id}_{\text{MonSiMonCat}} \Rightarrow T$  is the unit of the idempotent monad  $T : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  sending a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category to its reflection in the reflective subcategory of allowed codomains, which we call the *universal entropy monad*. The natural transformation  $\phi$  allows us to connect the entropies of various categories as components of the same natural transformation. For example, passing the forgetful functor  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  (where  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  is the category of finite inhabited sets with surjective functions as morphisms, and the forgetful functor maps a distribution to its support) to the monad  $T$  gives the functor  $\pi_1 : (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  that only retains the Hartley entropy  $H_0(P)$  among the pair  $(H_0(P), H_1(P))$ , corresponding to the fact that forgetting the probabilities will make us lose the ability to calculate the Shannon entropy. On the other hand, passing the inclusion functor  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{LProb}_\rho$  to the monad  $T$  will give  $\pi_2 : (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that loses the Hartley entropy since it cannot be defined for distributions with infinite support, and only retain the Shannon entropy. We will also discuss some insights provided by the naturality of  $\phi$ , such as whether the differential entropy or the information dimension [13] is a “nicer” notion of entropy for Gaussian random variables, and whether information can be treated as commodities and vice versa. See Figure 1.1 for an illustration.

We also provide a universal characterization of the conditional Shannon entropy based on the chain rule

$$H_1(X|Z) = H_1(X|Y) + H_1(Y|Z),$$

for discrete random variables  $X, Y, Z$  where  $Z$  is a function of  $Y$ , which in turn is a function of  $X$ , via a construction called *discretely-indexed monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal category*. The conditional Shannon entropy can be characterized as a universal functor to an i.c.p.o. abelian group. In contrast, for the unconditional case, the universal functor from  $\text{FinProb}$  is the pairing of the Shannon and Hartley entropies. This provides an alternative characterization of conditional Shannon




FIGURE 1.1. The universal entropy of a MonSiMon category  $C \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  is the MonSiMon functor  $\phi_C : C \rightarrow T(C)$  from  $C$  to its reflection  $T(C)$  in the reflective subcategory of integrally closed partially ordered (i.c.p.o.) abelian groups, where  $T : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  is the idempotent monad (the *universal entropy monad*) sending a category to its reflection, and  $\phi : \text{id}_{\text{MonSiMonCat}} \Rightarrow T$  is the monad unit. We will see that the component  $\phi_C$  is basically a monoidal natural transformation to a constant functor. We can then use the natural transformation  $\phi$  to naturally connect the entropies over various domains, e.g., the (epimorphism wide subcategories of) the opposite category of  $\text{FinSet}$  (with entropy given by cardinality), the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  (entropy given by dimension), the category of finite abelian group (entropy given in Section 8.5), the category of finite probability spaces (entropy given by the pairing of the Hartley entropy  $H_0$  and the Shannon entropy  $H_1$ ), the category of finite inhabited sets (entropy given by log cardinality) and the category of  $\rho$ -th-power-summable discrete probability spaces ( $0 < \rho < 1$ , entropy given by Shannon entropy). Refer to Section 9 for the descriptions of all the arrows (functors) in the above diagram (which is a commutative diagram in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ ).

entropy or information loss [2]. Unlike [2], here the characterization does not require the continuity axiom in [2]. Note that the continuity axiom is not stated purely in terms of the category  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ , but requires endowing a topology on  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ . Here we show that such topological structure is unnecessary for the characterization of Shannon entropy. Shannon entropy arises naturally from the structure of  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  itself.

**1.1. Previous Works.** Since the first axiomatic characterization of entropy by Shannon [8], many other axiomatic characterizations of entropy have been proposed (e.g., [9, 10, 11, 12]). Interested readers are referred to [14] for a comprehensive survey. An elegant characterization was given by Aczél, Forte and Ng [5], who showed that any function mapping finite probability distributions to nonnegative real numbers that satisfies the additivity property (1.2) and the subadditivity property (1.3), as well as being invariant under permutation of labels and the addition of a zero mass,<sup>4</sup> must be a nonnegative linear combination of the Shannon and Hartley entropies. Note that [5] also showed that if we impose an additional requirement that the function must be continuous, then it must be a nonnegative multiple of the Shannon entropy. In comparison, both characterizations of Shannon entropy in this paper (the entropy of  $\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  in Section 7, and the conditional entropy of  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  or  $\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  in Section 10) do not require continuity. We show that monotonicity (1.1), additivity (1.2) and subadditivity (1.3) (as well as being invariant under the addition of a zero mass) are sufficient to characterize Shannon entropy (up to a multiplicative constant), if we consider  $\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  instead of  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ . The work by Müller and Pastena [12] relates the Shannon and Hartley entropies with the concept of majorization among discrete distributions [15], which will be an important tool in the proofs in this paper.

The categorical treatment of probability theory dates back to Lawvere [16] and Giry [17]. It is natural to study whether entropy can be characterized in a category-theoretic manner. In [2], the conditional Shannon entropy, which sends the morphism  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  to the conditional Shannon entropy  $H_1(P) - H_1(Q)$ , is characterized as the only function  $F$  (up to multiplication by a nonnegative number) sending morphisms in  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  to  $[0, \infty)$  that obeys:

- (functoriality / chain rule)  $F(gf) = F(f) + F(g)$  for morphisms  $P \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} R$ ;
- (convex linearity)  $F(\lambda f \oplus (1 - \lambda)g) = \lambda F(f) + (1 - \lambda)F(g)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f : P_1 \rightarrow Q_1$ ,  $g : P_2 \rightarrow Q_2$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes the convex mixture of measure-preserving functions [2]; and
- (continuity)  $F(f)$  (where  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ ) is continuous with respect to the finite probability measure  $P$ .

This characterization, while being simple to state, requires a nontrivial amount of work to be translated into purely category-theoretic language. The convex linearity axiom requires a “convex combination”<sup>5</sup> functor  $\lambda(-) \oplus (1 - \lambda)(-) : \mathbf{FinProb} \times \mathbf{FinProb} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinProb}$  for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  (which can be defined using operads [18]),

<sup>4</sup>This means that the entropy of the probability mass function  $P : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the same as the entropy of the probability mass function  $Q : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$  if there is an injective function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying that  $Q$  is the pushforward measure of  $P$  along  $f$ , or equivalently,  $Q(f(x)) = P(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

<sup>5</sup>This functor is not a convex combination in the usual sense since  $\lambda P \oplus (1 - \lambda)P$  is not isomorphic to  $P$  for  $P \in \mathbf{FinProb}$ .

and the continuity axiom requires endowing a topology on  $\text{FinProb}$ . In comparison, the characterization of conditional Shannon entropy in this paper (Section 10) only requires the properties of the over categories  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  and their under categories  $f/(\text{FinProb}/Q)$ , and is based on familiar notions in category theory (over/under categories and monoidal categories).

For other related works, the characterization in [2] was extended to stochastic maps in [19]. A categorical characterization of the relative entropy was given in [20]. It was extended to the relative entropy on standard Borel spaces in [21]. Characterizations of entropy via operads were studied in [22, 23]. Entropy over Markov categories [24] has been studied in [25].

Category	Objects	Morphisms
$*$ (Terminal category)	One object $\bullet$	Identity $\text{id}_\bullet$
$\Delta_+$ (Aug. simplex cat.)	$[n]$ for $n \geq -1$	Order-preserving maps
$\text{FinlSet}$	Finite inhabited (nonempty) sets	Functions
$\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}$	Finite-dimensional vector spaces over the field $\mathbb{F}$	Linear maps
$\text{FinAb}$	Finite abelian groups	Homomorphisms
$\text{Mon}$	Monoids	Homomorphisms
$\text{Mon}(\mathcal{C})$	Monoids in category $\mathcal{C}$	Morphisms respecting monoids
$\text{OrdMon}$	Ordered monoids (as monoidal posetal categories)	Order-preserving hom.
$\text{OrdCMon}$	Ordered commutative monoids (as mon. pos. cat.)	Order-preserving hom.
$\text{COrdCMon}$	Cancellative ord. comm. monoids (Sec. 4)	Order-preserving hom.
$\text{OrdAb}$	Ordered abelian groups (Sec. 4)	Order-preserving hom.
$\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	Ordered vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Sec. 4)	Order-preserving linear maps
$\text{lcOrdCMon}$	Integrally closed ord. comm. monoid (Sec. 4)	Order-preserving hom.
$\text{lcOrdAb}$	Integrally closed ord. abelian group (Sec. 4)	Order-preserving hom.
$\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	Integrally closed ord. vect. spaces (Sec. 4)	Order-preserving linear maps
$\text{MonCat}_\ell$	Small monoidal categories	Lax monoidal functors
$\text{MonCat}_s$	Small monoidal categories	Strong monoidal functors
$\text{FinProb}$	Finite probability spaces	Measure-preserving maps
$\text{LProb}_\rho$	$\rho$ -th-power-summable prob. mass functions (Sec. 7)	Measure-preserving maps
$\text{HProb}$	Finite entropy prob. mass functions (Sec. 7)	Measure-preserving maps
$\text{MonSiMonCat}$	Monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal cat. (Sec. 3)	MonSiMon functors
$\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$	DisiMonSiMon categories (Sec. 10)	DisiMonSiMon functors

TABLE 1. Table of categories used in this paper.

Symbol	Description
$\mathbb{N}$	Set of positive integers $\{1, 2, \dots\}$
$\text{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$	Hom-set containing morphisms in the form $A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{C}$
$!$	Unique morphism to a terminal object
$\text{Epi}(\mathbf{C})$	The wide subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$ containing the epimorphisms of $\mathbf{C}$
$\text{Dis}$	Comonad $\mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ sending a category to its discrete subcategory
$\Delta_X$	The constant functor that maps any object to $X$
$H_0(P)$	Hartley entropy $H_0(P) = \log  \{x : P(x) > 0\} $
$H_1(P)$	Shannon entropy $H_1(P) = -\sum_x P(x) \log P(x)$

TABLE 2. Table of notations.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We first review the basic properties of  $\text{FinProb}$  in [1, 3]. The category  $\text{FinProb}$  has finite probability spaces, or equivalently, strictly positive probability mass functions (i.e.,  $P : \text{supp}(P) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $P(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \text{supp}(P)$ , and  $\sum_x P(x) = 1$ )<sup>6</sup> as objects, and a morphism from the probability space  $P$  to  $Q$  is a measure-preserving function (i.e.,  $f : \text{supp}(P) \rightarrow \text{supp}(Q)$  with  $Q(y) = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} P(x)$ ) [1, 3, 2]. It is a monoidal category with tensor product given by the product distribution, i.e.,  $P \otimes Q$  is given by  $R : \text{supp}(P) \times \text{supp}(Q) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $R(x, y) = P(x)Q(y)$ , and the tensor unit is the degenerate probability space where  $\text{supp}(P)$  is a singleton.

For  $P \in \text{FinProb}$ , the under category  $P/\text{FinProb}$ , containing objects that are morphisms in  $\text{FinProb}$  in the form  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ , can be regarded as the category of random variables defined over the probability space  $P$ . It was noted in [3] that  $P/\text{FinProb}$  has a categorical product, where the product  $f_1 \times_P f_2$  between  $f_1 : P \rightarrow Q_1$  and  $f_2 : P \rightarrow Q_2$  is given by  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  where  $\text{supp}(Q) = \text{im}((f_1, f_2))$  (the image of the pairing  $(f_1, f_2) : P \rightarrow Q_1 \times Q_2$ ),  $Q(y_1, y_2) = \sum_{x \in (f_1, f_2)^{-1}(y_1, y_2)} P(x)$ , and  $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$ , which represents the joint random variable of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

Consider the monoidal posetal category  $[0, \infty)$ , where there is a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  for  $X, Y \in [0, \infty)$  if  $X \geq Y$ , with tensor product given by addition. Consider the Shannon entropy  $H_1 : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by [8]

$$H_1(P) = - \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P)} P(x) \log P(x).$$

It is a functor since a measure-preserving function maps a finer probability space to a coarser probability space, which must have a smaller (or equal) entropy. It is strongly monoidal ( $H_1(P \otimes Q) = H_1(P) + H_2(Q)$ ) due to the additive property of entropy (1.2) [3]. Consider the under category  $P/\text{FinProb}$  equipped with the

<sup>6</sup>We note that [2, 3] does not require  $P$  to be strictly positive. This is a minor difference since we can always ignore elements in a probability space with zero probability, and would not affect the universal entropy of  $\text{FinProb}$  derived in Theorem 12. A nice consequence of requiring  $P$  to be strictly positive is that all morphisms in  $\text{FinProb}$  are epimorphisms. Also, an issue with allowing non-strictly-positive probability measures is that two almost surely equal measure-preserving functions might not be considered identical.



codomain functor  $\text{Cod}_P : P/\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{FinProb}$  mapping  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  to  $Q$ . The composition  $H_1 \text{Cod}_P : P/\text{FinProb} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a lax monoidal functor (with respect to the categorical product over  $P/\text{FinProb}$ ) [3], since we have

$$H_1(\text{Cod}_P(f)) + H_1(\text{Cod}_P(g)) \geq H_1(\text{Cod}_P(f \times_P g))$$

due to the subadditive property of entropy (1.3).

In this paper, unlike many previous approaches, we define entropy over random variables instead of probability distributions. We will see later that this leads to a simple characterization of entropy.

### 3. MONOIDAL STRICTLY-INDEXED MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

We have seen in the previous section that  $\text{FinProb}$  is not only a monoidal category, but its under categories  $P/\text{FinProb}$  are also monoidal. We can have an “under category functor”  $-/\text{FinProb} : \text{FinProb}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$ , which maps the morphism  $g : P \rightarrow Q$  to the precomposition functor  $Q/\text{FinProb} \rightarrow P/\text{FinProb}$ ,  $(Q \xrightarrow{f} R) \mapsto (P \xrightarrow{fg} R)$ , which is a (strong) monoidal functor corresponding to an “extension of probability space”, i.e., it maps a random variable  $f : Q \rightarrow R$  over the probability space  $Q$  to the “same” random variable  $fg : P \rightarrow R$  over a finer probability space  $P$ . Therefore,  $-/\text{FinProb}$  can be treated as an indexed monoidal category (recall that an  $\mathbb{S}$ -indexed monoidal category [26, 27, 28] is a pseudofunctor  $\mathbb{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_s$ , though we use  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  instead in this paper). Moreover,  $-/\text{FinProb}$  is actually a strict functor, so  $-/\text{FinProb}$  is a “strictly-indexed monoidal category”.

Treat  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  as a monoidal category with a tensor product  $\times$  given by product category. We can check that the under category functor  $-/\text{FinProb} : \text{FinProb}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  is a lax monoidal functor, where the coherence map

$$(3.1) \quad \zeta_{P,Q} : (P/\text{FinProb}) \times (Q/\text{FinProb}) \rightarrow (P \otimes Q)/\text{FinProb}$$

sends a pair of random variables  $X : P \rightarrow A$ ,  $Y : Q \rightarrow B$  to the joint random variable  $X \otimes Y : P \otimes Q \rightarrow A \otimes B$  over the product probability space  $P \otimes Q$ . Note that  $\zeta_{P,Q}$  itself is a lax monoidal functor as well.<sup>7</sup> Hence,  $-/\text{FinProb}$  is a “monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal category” (recall that a monoidal indexed category [29] is a lax monoidal pseudofunctor in the form  $\mathbb{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  where  $\mathbb{S}$  is a monoidal category).

In this section, we define the concept of *monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal category*. It is basically a straightforward combination of the notions of indexed monoidal categories [26, 27, 28] and monoidal indexed categories [29], where we focus on (strict) functors instead of pseudofunctors. It can be defined succinctly below as an object in a lax-slice 2-category [30].

**Definition 1** (Monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal categories). Assume  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  contains only small categories with respect to a Grothendieck universe. Let  $\mathbb{U}$  be a Grothendieck universe large enough such that  $\mathbb{UMonCat}_\ell$ , the 2-category of  $\mathbb{U}$ -small

<sup>7</sup>The coherence map of  $\zeta_{P,Q}$  is  $\varpi_{(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2)} : \zeta_{P,Q}(X_1, Y_1) \otimes_{P \otimes Q} \zeta_{P,Q}(X_2, Y_2) \rightarrow \zeta_{P,Q}(X_1 \otimes_P X_2, Y_1 \otimes_Q Y_2)$  for  $X_1, X_2 \in P/\text{FinProb}$ ,  $Y_1, Y_2 \in Q/\text{FinProb}$ , where  $\otimes_P = \times_P$  is the tensor product in  $P/\text{FinProb}$ . Since  $\zeta_{P,Q}(X_1, Y_1) \otimes_{P \otimes Q} \zeta_{P,Q}(X_2, Y_2)$  is the joint random variable “ $((X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2))$ ” over the probability space  $P \otimes Q$ , and  $\zeta_{P,Q}(X_1 \otimes_P X_2, Y_1 \otimes_Q Y_2)$  is the joint random variable “ $((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2))$ ”,  $\varpi$  simply reorders  $((X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2))$  to  $((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2))$ .

monoidal categories with lax monoidal functors as 1-cells and monoidal natural transformations as 2-cells, contains  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  as an object. Then the *category of monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (MonSiMon) categories*  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  is given as the lax-slice 2-category

$$\text{MonSiMonCat} = \mathbb{U}\text{MonCat}_\ell // \text{MonCat}_\ell.$$

In short,  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  is the lax-slice 2-category over  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  in the 2-category of monoidal categories.<sup>8</sup> We now unpack the above definition. An object of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , which we call a *monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (MonSiMon) category*, is a pair  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$ , where  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{U}\text{MonCat}_\ell$ , and  $N : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  is a lax monoidal functor. A morphism in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  (which we call a *MonSiMon functor*) from  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$  to  $(\mathbf{D}, M)$  is a pair  $(F, \gamma)$ , where  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is a lax monoidal functor, and  $\gamma : N \Rightarrow MF^{\text{op}}$  is a monoidal natural transformation. Composition of MonSiMon functors  $(\mathbf{C}_1, N_1) \xrightarrow{(F_1, \gamma_1)} (\mathbf{C}_2, N_2) \xrightarrow{(F_2, \gamma_2)} (\mathbf{C}_3, N_3)$  is given by  $(F_2 F_1, \gamma_2 F_1^{\text{op}} \circ \gamma_1)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{C}_1^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{F_1^{\text{op}}} & \mathbf{C}_2^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{F_2^{\text{op}}} & \mathbf{C}_3^{\text{op}} \\ & \searrow N_1 & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \gamma_1 \\ \downarrow N_2 \\ \searrow \gamma_2 \end{array} & \nearrow N_3 & \\ & & \text{MonCat}_\ell & & \end{array}$$

Refer to [31] for the definition of monoidal natural transformations. For the convenience of the readers, we include the coherence conditions for  $\gamma : N \Rightarrow MF^{\text{op}}$  being a monoidal natural transformation here. In addition of being a natural transformation, the following diagrams in  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  must also commute for every  $P, Q \in \mathbf{C}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(P) \times N(Q) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_P \times \gamma_Q} & MF(P) \times MF(Q) \\ \zeta_{P,Q} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_{F(P), F(Q)} \\ N(P \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} Q) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{P \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} Q}} & MF(P \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} Q) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} * & & \\ \epsilon \downarrow & \searrow \delta & \\ N(I_{\mathbf{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{I_{\mathbf{C}}}} & MF(I_{\mathbf{C}}) \end{array}$$

where  $N(P) \times N(Q)$  denotes the product monoidal category,  $I_{\mathbf{C}}$  is the tensor unit of the tensor product  $\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $\zeta_{P,Q}, \epsilon$  are the coherence maps of the lax monoidal functor  $N$ , and  $\eta_{F(P), F(Q)}, \delta$  are those of  $MF^{\text{op}}$ .

Note that  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  is a 2-category, where a 2-cell  $(F, \gamma) \Rightarrow (G, \delta) : (\mathbf{C}, N) \rightarrow (\mathbf{D}, M)$  is a monoidal natural transformation  $\kappa : F \Rightarrow G$  such that  $(M\kappa) \circ \gamma = \delta$ , which comes from the definition of lax-slice 2-category [30]. In this paper, we will usually treat  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  as a 1-category and ignore these 2-cells.

One class of examples of MonSiMon categories is  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$  where  $\mathbf{C}$  is a monoidal category, and  $N = -/\mathbf{C} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  is the under category functor, which is a lax monoidal functor with coherence map  $\zeta : N(-) \times N(-) \Rightarrow N(- \otimes -)$  given by the tensor product in  $\mathbf{C}$ , i.e.,  $\zeta_{P,Q}(X, Y) = X \otimes Y$  for  $X : P \rightarrow A$ ,

<sup>8</sup>Written out,  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  is the lax-slice 2-category over the category of monoidal categories with lax monoidal functors as morphisms, in the 2-category of monoidal categories with lax monoidal functors as 1-cells and monoidal natural transformations as 2-cells, which could be a tongue twister.

$Y : Q \rightarrow B$ ,<sup>9</sup> and  $\epsilon : * \rightarrow N(I_C)$  mapping the unique object to the tensor unit of  $N(I_C)$ . For  $(C, N)$  to be a MonSiMon category, we also need  $\zeta_{P,Q}$  to be a lax monoidal functor satisfying the coherence conditions, though they are usually straightforward to check for specific examples of  $C$ . We will write such MonSiMon category as  $(C, -/C)$ , or even simply  $-/C$  if it is clear from the context.

For example, consider the MonSiMon category  $(\mathbf{FinProb}, -/\mathbf{FinProb})$ . The functor  $N = -/\mathbf{FinProb}$  sends a finite probability space  $P$  to the monoidal category  $N(P)$  of random variables defined over  $P$ , where objects are random variables defined over  $P$  (measure-preserving functions from  $P$  to another finite probability space), morphisms are measurable functions between random variables, and the tensor product is the joint random variable. The functor  $N$  can be regarded as a  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\ell$ -valued presheaf on  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ . The functor  $N$  is lax monoidal, with a coherence map  $\zeta_{P,Q}$  sending a pair of random variables  $(X, Y)$  (over the space  $P$  and the space  $Q$ , respectively) to the joint random variable  $(X, Y)$  over the product space  $P \otimes Q$ . See (3.1).

We remark that the usual notations for indexed category [32]  $\mathbb{C} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$  is to write  $\mathbb{C}^X = \mathbb{C}(X)$  for objects  $X \in \mathbf{C}$ , and  $f^* = \mathbb{C}(f)$  for morphisms  $f$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . The archetypal example of indexed category is the self-indexing, where  $\mathbf{C}$  is a category with pullbacks,  $\mathbb{C}^X = \mathbf{C}/X$  is the over category, and  $f^*$  is the base change functor given by pullback. We avoid these notations since  $N(X)$  in this paper is often the under category (not the over category), and  $N(f)$  is often the precomposition functor (not the base change), so writing  $f^*$  (which usually denotes the base change) would be confusing. We use the standard functor notations and write  $N(X)$  and  $N(f)$ .

#### 4. REFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF MonSiMonCat

A MonSiMon category  $(C, N)$  can be thought as a collection of “categories of random variables over the probability space  $P$ ” given by  $N(P)$ , linked together by the contravariant functor  $N : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_\ell$ . Our goal is to define an “entropy” over the MonSiMon category  $(C, N)$  as a MonSiMon functor from  $(C, N)$  to a suitable codomain, which is another MonSiMon category satisfying some desirable properties. An entropy sends any random variable over any probability space to a fixed space (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The space where the entropy of a random variable  $X \in N(P)$  resides should not depend on the probability space  $P$ . Hence, the codomain of the entropy, as a MonSiMon category, should be in the form  $(*, F : * \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_\ell)$  (where  $*$  is the terminal monoidal category with one object  $\bullet$ ), which is a collection that contains only one “space”  $F(\bullet)$ . Every MonSiMon functor  $(!, \gamma)$  from  $(C, N)$  to  $(*, F : * \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_\ell)$  would map every random variable  $X \in N(P)$  to an object  $\gamma_P(X) \in F(\bullet)$  in the same space  $F(\bullet)$ . If our goal is only to recover the Shannon entropy, then we can simply take  $F(\bullet) = \mathbb{R}$ . Nevertheless, not every MonSiMon category has a universal entropy to  $\mathbb{R}$  (we will see later that  $-/\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  for  $0 < \rho < 1$  has a universal entropy to  $\mathbb{R}$ , but  $-/\mathbf{FinProb}$  only has a universal entropy to  $(\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}$ ). To allow the universal entropy to be defined for every MonSiMon category, we want to find a “category of codomains” of entropy that is more general than  $\mathbb{R}$ .

<sup>9</sup>For morphisms,  $\zeta_{P,Q}(f, g) = f \otimes g$  for  $X : P \rightarrow A$ ,  $X' : P \rightarrow A'$ ,  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  with  $X' = fX$  (i.e.,  $f$  is a morphism in  $N(P)$ ), and  $Y : Q \rightarrow B$ ,  $Y' : Q \rightarrow B'$ ,  $g : B \rightarrow B'$  with  $Y' = gY$ .

In this paper, we will take the “category of codomains” to be  $\text{OrdCMon}$  or its reflective subcategories, where  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is the category of ordered commutative monoids with order-preserving monoid homomorphisms as morphisms [33, 34]. Each ordered commutative monoid  $M$  in  $\text{OrdCMon}$  can be treated as a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(*, N)$ , where  $N(\bullet) \in \text{MonCat}_\ell$  is simply taken to be  $M$  treated as a symmetric monoidal skeletal posetal category. This defines an embedding functor  $R : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$ . The next section will provide justifications for restricting attention to  $\text{OrdCMon}$ .

**4.1.  $\text{OrdCMon}$  as a Reasonable “Category of Codomains”.** In this subsection, we explain why  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is a reasonable choice of the codomain of an entropy. This subsection is not essential, and readers may opt to skip this subsection.

Write  $[*, \text{MonCat}_\ell]$  for the category of lax monoidal functors  $* \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  (where  $*$  is the terminal monoidal category with one object  $\bullet$ ), with morphisms being monoidal natural transformations. It is known that this is simply the category of monoids in  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  [35, 36]:

$$[*, \text{MonCat}_\ell] \cong \text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell).$$

Recall that  $\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell)$  is the category of monoid objects in  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$ , with morphisms being morphisms in  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$  that respects the monoid structure. A lax monoidal functor  $F : * \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  have coherence maps  $\mu_{\bullet, \bullet} : F(\bullet) \times F(\bullet) \rightarrow F(\bullet)$  and  $\nu : * \rightarrow F(\bullet)$ , which can be treated as the multiplication and unit morphisms of  $F(\bullet)$  respectively, making  $(F(\bullet), \mu_{\bullet, \bullet}, \nu)$  a monoid in  $\text{MonCat}_\ell$ . Also, each object in  $\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell)$  is a duoidal category [37, 38] (a category with two tensor products satisfying some coherence conditions), where one product  $\mu_{\bullet, \bullet}$  of  $F(\bullet)$  is strict monoidal, and the other product is the tensor product of  $F(\bullet) \in \text{MonCat}_\ell$ . We can then embed  $\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell)$  into  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  via the functor  $E : \text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell) \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  defined as the composition

$$\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell) \cong [*, \text{MonCat}_\ell] \hookrightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat},$$

where “ $\hookrightarrow$ ” is the obvious embedding. It follows from  $[*, \text{MonCat}_\ell] \cong \text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell)$  that  $E$  is fully faithful. We can regard  $\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell)$  as a full subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ .

Since the purpose of entropy is to compare the amount of information in different objects, we will assume that an entropy takes values over a monoidal poset, or a monoidal skeletal posetal category.<sup>10</sup> Equivalently, this is  $\text{OrdMon}$ , the category of (partially) ordered monoids with order-preserving monoid homomorphisms as morphisms [33]. Recall that an ordered monoid is a monoid equipped with a partial order  $\geq$  which is order-preserving, i.e.,  $x \geq y$  and  $z \geq w$  implies  $x + z \geq y + w$ . Note that each element of  $\text{OrdMon}$  is regarded as a monoidal skeletal posetal category, not a one-object category (which is sometimes how a monoid is treated as a category). Hence, we will consider  $[*, \text{OrdMon}] \cong \text{Mon}(\text{OrdMon})$  instead of  $[*, \text{MonCat}_\ell] \cong \text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_\ell)$ .

By the standard Eckmann-Hilton argument [39], we have

$$[*, \text{OrdMon}] \cong \text{Mon}(\text{OrdMon}) \cong \text{OrdCMon},$$

<sup>10</sup>A skeletal posetal category is a category where the homset between two objects contains at most one morphism, and it is skeletal (isomorphisms are identity morphisms). Hence, every strong monoidal functor between monoidal skeletal posetal categories must be strict.

where  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is the category of (partially) ordered commutative monoids with order-preserving monoid homomorphisms as morphisms [33, 34]. Again we emphasize that an object of  $\text{OrdCMon}$  should be regarded as a symmetric monoidal skeletal posetal category, not a one-object category. For the direction  $\text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{Mon}(\text{OrdMon}) \cong [*, \text{OrdMon}]$ , we can map a symmetric monoidal posetal category  $\mathbb{C}$  to the monoid  $(\mathbb{C}, \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}, I_{\mathbb{C}})$  in  $\text{OrdMon}$ , or the lax monoidal functor  $F : * \rightarrow \text{OrdMon}$  in  $[*, \text{OrdMon}]$  with  $F(\bullet) = \mathbb{C}$  and coherence maps  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} : F(\bullet) \times F(\bullet) \rightarrow F(\bullet)$  and  $I_{\mathbb{C}} : * \rightarrow F(\bullet)$  in  $\text{OrdMon}$ . Another benefit of considering  $\text{Mon}(\text{OrdMon})$  is that now we do not have to consider two different products (as in duoidal categories in  $\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_{\ell})$ ), since the two products must coincide and must be commutative [39].<sup>11</sup>

Hence, we can embed  $\text{OrdCMon}$  into  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  via  $R : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  defined as the composition

$$\text{OrdCMon} \cong \text{Mon}(\text{OrdMon}) \hookrightarrow \text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_{\ell}) \xrightarrow{E} \text{MonSiMonCat}.$$

To summarize, we can deduce that the ‘‘category of codomains’’ must be  $\text{OrdCMon}$  based on the sole assumption that an entropy takes values over a poset. The poset is automatically an ordered monoid due to the definition of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  categories, and it is automatically commutative by the Eckmann-Hilton argument [39].

**4.2.  $\text{OrdCMon}$  as a Reflective Subcategory.** We can write the left adjoint of  $R$  explicitly, exhibiting  $\text{OrdCMon}$  as a reflective subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ .

**Lemma 2.**  *$R : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  is fully faithful, and has a left adjoint  $L : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{OrdCMon}$ . Hence,  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is fully faithful, and  $\text{OrdCMon} \hookrightarrow \text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_{\ell})$  is fully faithful,<sup>12</sup>  $R$  is fully faithful as well. We now construct  $L$ . Consider a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\mathbb{C}, N)$ , and let the coherence maps of the lax monoidal functor  $N : \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$  be  $\zeta : N(-) \times N(-) \Rightarrow N(- \otimes -)$  and  $\epsilon : * \rightarrow N(I_{\mathbb{C}})$ . Consider the disjoint union  $\coprod_{P \in \mathbb{C}} \text{Ob}(N(P))$  where  $\text{Ob}(N(P))$  is the set of objects of  $N(P)$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the commutative monoid generated by the set  $\coprod_{P \in \mathbb{C}} \text{Ob}(N(P))$ , with product denoted as  $+$  and identity denoted as  $I_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Consider a binary relation  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\mathbb{N}$ . We want  $\mathcal{R}$  to turn  $\mathbb{N}$  into a monoidal posetal category, which we will take as  $L((\mathbb{C}, N))$ . In order to have a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor  $(*, \gamma)$  from  $(\mathbb{C}, N)$  to  $R(\mathbb{N})$  (where  $\gamma : N \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\gamma_P$  maps  $X \in N(P)$  to itself in  $\mathbb{N}$ ), we need  $\mathcal{R}$  to include the following pairs:

- $(X, Y)$  for  $X, Y \in N(P)$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{C}$  whenever there is a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  in  $N(P)$  (needed for the functoriality of  $\gamma_P : N(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ );
- $(X+Y, X \otimes_{N(P)} Y)$  for  $X, Y \in N(P)$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{C}$  (needed for  $\gamma_P$  being a lax monoidal functor);

<sup>11</sup>We briefly repeat the argument in [39] here. For a monoid  $(\mathbb{C}, \odot, J)$  in  $\text{OrdMon}$ , whether the product and identity of  $\mathbb{C}$  are  $\otimes$  and  $I$  respectively, we must have  $J = I$  since the unit  $* \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{C}$  of the monoid must map to  $I$ . Since  $\odot$  is a morphism in  $\text{OrdMon}$ , we have  $(A \odot B) \otimes (C \odot D) = (A \otimes C) \odot (B \otimes D)$ . Hence  $A \otimes B = (A \odot I) \otimes (I \odot B) = (A \otimes I) \odot (I \otimes B) = A \odot B$ . Also,  $A \otimes B = (I \odot A) \otimes (B \odot I) = (I \otimes B) \odot (A \otimes I) = B \odot A$ , so  $\otimes$  and  $\odot$  coincide and are both commutative.

<sup>12</sup>Consider  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D} \in \text{OrdCMon}$  and morphism  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  in  $\text{Mon}(\text{MonCat}_{\ell})$ .  $F$  is order-preserving since it is also a morphism in  $\text{MonCat}_{\ell}$ , and  $F(X \otimes Y) = F(X) \otimes F(Y)$  since  $F$  is a morphism in a category of monoids. Hence  $F$  corresponds to a unique morphism in  $\text{OrdCMon}$ .

- $(I_{\mathbf{N}}, I_{N(P)})$  for  $P \in \mathbf{C}$  (needed for  $\gamma_P$  being a lax monoidal functor);
- $(X, N(f)(X))$  and  $(N(f)(X), X)$  for  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $X \in N(Q)$  (needed for the naturality of  $\gamma$ );
- $(X+Y, \zeta_{P,Q}(X, Y))$  and  $(\zeta_{P,Q}(X, Y), X+Y)$  for  $P, Q \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $X \in N(P)$ ,  $Y \in N(Q)$  (needed for  $\gamma$  being a monoidal natural transformation);
- $(I_{\mathbf{N}}, \epsilon(\bullet))$  and  $(\epsilon(\bullet), I_{\mathbf{N}})$  (needed for  $\gamma$  being a monoidal natural transformation).

Consider the “monoidal closure”  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R})$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ , which contains  $(X_1 + \dots + X_n, Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)$  for  $X_i, Y_i \in \mathbf{C}$  if  $(X_i, Y_i) \in \mathcal{R}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Consider the transitive closure  $\text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$ , which contains  $(X, Y)$  if  $(X, Z_1), (Z_1, Z_2), \dots, (Z_{n-1}, Z_n), (Z_n, Y) \in \text{Mon}(\mathcal{R})$  for some  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathbf{C}$ . Note that  $\text{Mon}(\text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))) = \text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$ , and  $\text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$  is the smallest monoidal preorder containing  $\mathcal{R}$ . We can then turn  $\mathbf{N}$  into a preordered commutative monoid using  $\text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$ . Let  $\bar{\mathbf{N}}$  be the symmetric monoidal posetal category (or equivalently, ordered commutative monoid) where objects are equivalent classes in the preorder of  $\mathbf{N}$ , tensor product is given by  $+$ , and there is a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  if  $X \geq Y$  in the preorder. We then take  $L((\mathbf{C}, N)) = \bar{\mathbf{N}}$ . Consider the MonSiMon functor  $(*, \bar{\gamma})$  from  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$  to  $R(\bar{\mathbf{N}})$  where  $\bar{\gamma}_P$  maps  $X \in N(P)$  to its equivalence class in  $\bar{\mathbf{N}}$ . It is clear from the construction that  $\bar{\mathbf{N}}$  is the universal symmetric monoidal posetal category such that there is a MonSiMon functor from  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$  to  $R(\bar{\mathbf{N}})$ , and  $(*, \bar{\gamma})$  is the universal functor from  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$  to  $R$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**4.3. Other “Categories of Codomains” of Entropy.** Since  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , every reflective subcategory of  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is also a reflective subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , and would be a suitable choice for the “category of codomains” of an entropy. We have the following diagram of reflective subcategories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{IcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \hookrightarrow & \text{IcOrdAb} & \hookrightarrow & \text{IcOrdCMon} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \hookrightarrow & \text{OrdAb} & \hookrightarrow & \text{COrdCMon} & \hookrightarrow & \text{OrdCMon} \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & & & \text{MonSiMonCat}
\end{array}$$

which will be explained in the following paragraphs.

**Definition 3** (Cancellative ordered commutative monoids [40, 34]).  $\text{COrdCMon}$  is the category where each object is a cancellative ordered commutative monoid, i.e.,  $M \in \text{OrdCMon}$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $x + z \geq y + z$  implies  $x \geq y$  [40, 34]. Morphisms in  $\text{COrdCMon}$  are order-preserving homomorphisms.

**Definition 4** (Ordered abelian groups [41]).  $\text{OrdAb}$  is the category where each object is an ordered abelian group, i.e., an abelian group  $G$  with a partial order  $\geq$  satisfying that  $x \geq y$  implies  $x + z \geq y + z$  for all  $z$  [41]. Morphisms in  $\text{OrdAb}$  are order-preserving homomorphisms.

**Definition 5** (Ordered vector spaces [42]).  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the category where each object is an ordered vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e., a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a partial order  $\geq$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in V$ ,  $a \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $x \geq y$  implies  $x + z \geq y + z$ , and  $x \geq y$  implies  $ax \geq ay$  [42]. Morphisms in  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  are order-preserving linear functions.

The following inclusions are straightforward:

$$\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \text{OrdAb} \hookrightarrow \text{COrdCMon} \hookrightarrow \text{OrdCMon}.$$

It has been shown in [34] that the above three embeddings are reflective subcategories. We briefly describe the reflections below:

- The reflection of  $M \in \text{OrdCMon}$  in  $\text{COrdCMon}$  is constructed as follows [34]. Define a preorder  $\succeq$  over  $M$  as  $x \succeq y$  if there exists  $z$  such that  $x + z \geq y + z$ . The reflection is the cancellative ordered commutative monoid  $(M/\sim, \succeq)$ , where  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation defined as  $x \sim y$  if  $x \succeq y \succeq x$ . This is referred as *catalytic regularization* in [34].
- The reflection of  $M \in \text{COrdCMon}$  in  $\text{OrdAb}$  is the standard Grothendieck group of differences. More explicitly, the reflection  $G$  contains formal differences in the form  $x - y$ , where  $x, y \in M$ , modulo the equivalence relation  $x - y \sim z - w$  if  $x + w = z + y$  in  $M$ , with operations  $-(x - y) = (y - x)$ ,  $(x - y) + (z - w) = (x + z) - (y + w)$ , and  $x - y \geq z - w$  if  $x + w \geq z + y$  in  $M$ .
- The reflection of  $G \in \text{OrdAb}$  in  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is constructed as follows. Define a preorder  $\succeq$  over  $G$  by  $x \succeq y$  if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $nx \geq ny$ . This is referred as *many-copy convertibility* in [34]. It was shown in [34] that the quotient group  $\tilde{G} := G/\{x \in G : 0 \succeq x \succeq 0\}$  is torsion-free. The reflection of  $G$  is the vector space  $V$  generated by  $\tilde{G}$ , with a positive cone given by the conic hull of the positive cone  $\{x \in G : x \succeq 0\}$  of  $G$ .<sup>13</sup>

It may also be desirable for the codomain of entropy to satisfy an “approximate” version of the many-copy convertibility property in [34]. For elements  $x, y$ , if there exists  $a, b$  such that  $nx + a \geq ny + b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then we might expect  $x \geq y$  to also hold. This is captured by the following definition, which is a straightforward extension of the concept of integrally closed (partially) ordered groups [6, 7] to cancellative ordered commutative monoids.

**Definition 6** (Integrally closed ordered commutative monoids [6, 7]). An ordered commutative monoid  $M \in \text{COrdCMon}$  is called *integrally closed* if for  $x, y, a, b \in M$ , if  $nx + a \geq ny + b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then  $x \geq y$ .<sup>14</sup> Let  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$  be the category of integrally closed ordered commutative monoid,  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  be the category of integrally closed ordered abelian group [6, 7] (full subcategory of  $\text{OrdAb}$ ), and  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be

<sup>13</sup>An earlier version of the preprint of this paper incorrectly claims that  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow \text{OrdAb}$  is a reflective subcategory. This is untrue since the vector space  $\mathbb{R}$  (equipped with the discrete ordering), after being treated as an abelian group, would become indistinguishable with an uncountable-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by considering the Hamel basis of  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

<sup>14</sup>The definition here is based on the concept of integrally closed (partially) ordered groups [6, 7], where an ordered group is integrally closed if  $nx \leq a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  implies  $x \leq 0$ . It is clear that Definition 6, when applied on an ordered group, gives the conventional definition of integrally closed partially ordered groups. Also note that the Grothendieck group of differences of an integrally closed ordered commutative monoid is an integrally closed ordered abelian group.

the category of integrally closed ordered vector spaces, which coincides with the Archimedean ordered vector spaces [43, 44] (full subcategory of  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

An integrally closed ordered commutative monoid  $M$  must also be cancellative. To show this, for  $x, y, z \in M$  with  $x + z \geq y + z$ , we have  $nx + z \geq (n-1)x + y + z \geq \dots \geq ny + z$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and hence  $x \geq y$  by integral closedness. Therefore,  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$  is a full subcategory of  $\text{COrdCMon}$ .

Moreover,  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{COrdCMon}$ , where the reflection of  $M \in \text{COrdCMon}$  is constructed as follows. Let  $\succeq$  be the smallest integrally closed preorder over  $M$  that contains  $\geq$ , i.e., it is the intersection of all preorders  $\tilde{\succeq}$  over  $M$  which satisfies that if  $x \geq y$ , then  $x \tilde{\succeq} y$ ; and if  $nx + a \tilde{\succeq} ny + b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then  $x \tilde{\succeq} y$ . The reflection is then taken as  $\bar{M} = (M / \sim, \succeq)$ , where  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation defined as  $x \sim y$  if  $x \succeq y \succeq x$ . The reflection morphism  $r : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  maps an element to its equivalence class. To show the desired adjunction, for every morphism  $f : M \rightarrow S$  in  $\text{COrdCMon}$  where  $S \in \text{lcOrdCMon}$ , define a preorder  $\tilde{\succeq}$  over  $M$  by  $x \tilde{\succeq} y$  if  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ . For  $x, y, a, b \in M$ , if  $nx + a \tilde{\succeq} ny + b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then  $nf(x) + f(a) \geq nf(y) + f(b)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and hence  $f(x) \geq f(y)$  since  $S$  is integrally closed, and  $x \tilde{\succeq} y$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\succeq}$  is an integrally closed preorder. Let  $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \rightarrow S$  be defined as  $\bar{f}([x]) = f(x)$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the equivalence class of  $x \in M$  modulo  $\sim$ . To check that  $\bar{f}$  is well-defined and order-preserving, if  $x \succeq y$ , then  $x \tilde{\succeq} y$  by definition of  $\succeq$ , and  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ . Hence  $\bar{f}r = f$ . Such  $\bar{f}$  satisfying  $\bar{f}r = f$  is clearly unique. Hence  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{COrdCMon}$ . We can similarly show that  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{OrdAb}$ , and that  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (this fact about  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  was also shown in [44]).

## 5. UNIVERSAL ENTROPY AS THE REFLECTION MORPHISM

In the previous section, we have justified considering the codomain of entropy to be an object of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ , which is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  via the embedding  $R : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$ . We can also be more restrictive and require the codomain to be an object of  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  or other subcategories of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ . Therefore, an entropy is simply defined as a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor to a specified subcategory of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ .

**Definition 7** (V-entropy). Consider a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $\mathbf{C} \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$ , and a subcategory  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ . A *V-entropy* of  $\mathbf{C}$  is a morphism  $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow R(\mathbf{W})$  in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  where  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{V}$ .

A V-entropy must be in the form  $(!, h)$ , where  $! : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow *$  is the unique lax monoidal functor, and  $h : N \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{W}}$  (where  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{V}$ ) is a monoidal natural transformation, where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{W}} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$  denotes the lax monoidal functor that sends everything to the monoidal posetal category  $\mathbf{W}$ , with a coherence map given by the tensor product of  $\mathbf{W}$ . We sometimes simply call  $h$  a V-entropy.

The universal entropy is then the universal  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor from  $\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{V}$ , i.e., a morphism  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow R(\mathbf{W})$  in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  (where  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{V}$ ), satisfying that every  $F' : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow R(\mathbf{W}')$ ,  $\mathbf{W}' \in \mathbf{V}$  can be factorized as  $F' = R(G)F$  (where  $G : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}'$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{V}$ ) in a unique manner. In this paper, we focus on the case where  $\mathbf{V}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ , which guarantees that  $\mathbf{V}$  is a reflective



subcategory of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  as well by Lemma 2. In this case, the universal  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor can be given as the reflection morphism.

**Definition 8** (Universal  $V$ -entropy). Consider a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $C \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$ , and a reflective subcategory  $V$  of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ , the *universal  $V$ -entropy* of  $C$  is the reflection morphism from  $C$  to  $V$ . More explicitly, if  $L' : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow V$  is the left adjoint to the embedding functor  $R' : V \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  with an adjunction unit  $\phi : \text{id}_{\text{MonSiMonCat}} \Rightarrow R'L'$ , then the universal  $G$ -entropy of  $C$  is given by the reflection morphism

$$\phi_C : C \rightarrow T(C),$$

where we call  $T = R'L' : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  the *universal  $V$ -entropy monad*.

Definition 8 allows us to define the universal  $V$ -entropy of any  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category, for  $V$  being  $\text{OrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ , or other reflective subcategories discussed in Section 4.3.

In the remainder of this paper, we will often omit the embedding functors  $R$ ,  $R'$  and regard  $V \subseteq \text{OrdCMon} \subseteq \text{MonSiMonCat}$  for any reflective subcategory  $V$  of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ , so a  $V$ -entropy of  $C$  would be a morphism  $C \rightarrow W$  in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  where  $W \in V$ .

We will now discuss how Definition 7 captures the notion of entropy. Assume  $V \subseteq \text{OrdCMon}$ . Let the coherence maps of the lax monoidal functor  $N : C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_\ell$  be  $\zeta : N(-) \times N(-) \Rightarrow N(- \otimes -)$  (where  $\zeta_{P,Q}$  maps the “random variables”<sup>15</sup>  $X \in N(P)$  and  $Y \in N(Q)$  to the “joint random variable”  $\zeta_{P,Q}(X, Y)$  in the “product probability space”  $P \otimes Q$ ) and  $\epsilon : * \rightarrow N(I_C)$  (picking the “degenerate random variable” in the “degenerate probability space”  $N(I_C)$ ). Consider a  $V$ -entropy given as a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor  $(!, h)$  from  $(C, N)$  to  $(*, * \xrightarrow{W} \text{MonCat}_\ell)$ ,  $W \in V$ . The tensor product of  $W$  is denoted as  $+$ , and the tensor unit is denoted as  $0$ . For a “random variable”  $X \in N(P)$  over the “probability space”  $P \in C$ , its entropy is  $h_P(X) \in W$ . The following properties follow from  $h$  being a monoidal natural transformation.

- (Monotonicity) For “random variables”  $X, Y \in N(P)$  ( $P \in C$ ) where “ $Y$  is a function of  $X$ ”, i.e., there is a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ , we have

$$h_P(X) \geq h_P(Y).$$

This follows from the functoriality of  $h_P : N(P) \rightarrow W$ , and is precisely the monotonicity property of entropy (1.1).

- (Subadditivity) For “random variables”  $X, Y \in N(P)$ , their “joint random variable”  $X \otimes_{N(P)} Y$  satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad h_P(X) + h_P(Y) \geq h_P(X \otimes_{N(P)} Y),$$

which follows from  $h_P$  being a lax monoidal functor. This is precisely the subadditivity property of entropy (1.3).

---

<sup>15</sup>The double quotes around “random variables” are because the considered  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category might not be  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$ , though one may still think of  $P \in C$  as a “probability space” and  $X \in N(P)$  as a “random variable” for the sake of intuition.

- (Extension of probability space) For “random variable”  $X \in N(Q)$  and an “extension of probability space”  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ , the “random variable in the extended space”  $N(f)(X) \in N(P)$  has the same entropy, i.e.,

$$(5.2) \quad h_P(N(f)(-)) = h_Q(-),$$

which follows from the naturality of  $h$ . Basically, entropy is invariant under extension of probability space, which is expected from every reasonable probabilistic concept [45].

- (Additivity) For “random variables”  $X \in N(P)$ ,  $Y \in N(Q)$ , their “product random variable”  $\zeta_{P,Q}(X, Y)$  over the “product probability space”  $P \otimes Q$  satisfies

$$h_{P \otimes Q}(\zeta_{P,Q}(X, Y)) = h_P(X) + h_Q(Y),$$

which follows from  $h$  being a monoidal natural transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N(P) \times N(Q) & \xrightarrow{h_P \times h_Q} & \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \\
 \zeta_{P,Q} \downarrow & & \downarrow + \\
 N(P \otimes Q) & \xrightarrow{h_{P \otimes Q}} & \mathbb{W}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 * & & \\
 \epsilon \downarrow & \searrow 0 & \\
 N(I_C) & \xrightarrow{h_{I_C}} & \mathbb{W}
 \end{array}$$

This is precisely the additivity property of entropy (1.2).

Definition 8 tells us that the universal entropy is given by the MonSiMon functor that is universal among MonSiMon functors that satisfies the aforementioned properties. Definition 8 allows us to find the universal OrdCMon-entropy of any  $C \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  by Lemma 2. Different choices of reflective subcategories  $\mathbb{V}$  will greatly affect the resultant universal entropy. In the following subsections, we will explore the universal OrdCMon, lcOrdCMon, and lcOrdAb-entropies of various MonSiMon categories.

Conventionally, we not only talk about the entropy of a random variable, but also the entropy of a probability distribution. Here, in the special case where  $N = -/C$  is the under category functor of  $C$  with coherence map given by  $\otimes_C$  (see Section 3), we can also define the entropy of a “probability space”  $P \in C$ . This is a consequence of the invariance under extension of probability space property, which tells us that the entropy  $h_P(X)$  of the random variable  $X : P \rightarrow Q$  only depends on  $Q$ .

**Proposition 9.** *Consider the MonSiMon category  $(C, N)$  where  $N = -/C$  with coherence map given by  $\otimes_C$ , and a subcategory  $\mathbb{V}$  of OrdCMon. For any  $\mathbb{V}$ -entropy  $(!, h)$ ,  $h : N \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{W}}$ ,  $\mathbb{W} \in \mathbb{V}$ , there is a unique strongly monoidal functor  $H : C \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  satisfying  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$  (both are monoidal natural transformations), i.e., we have the following equality in  $\mathbb{UMonCat}_\ell$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{!} & * \\
 \downarrow N & \xRightarrow{h} & \swarrow W \\
 \text{MonCat}_\ell & & \\
 \downarrow U & & \\
 \text{Cat} & & 
 \end{array} & = & 
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{!} & * \\
 \downarrow N & \xRightarrow{\text{Cod}} & \mathbb{C} \xRightarrow{H} \mathbb{W} \\
 \downarrow U & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{U} & \text{MonCat}_\ell
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

where  $U : \text{MonCat}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  is the forgetful functor,  $\text{Cod} : UN \Rightarrow U\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the codomain natural transformation (a monoidal natural transformation) with component  $\text{Cod}_P(X) = Q$  for  $X : P \rightarrow Q$ . In this case, we call  $H$  the baseless  $\mathbb{V}$ -entropy corresponding to  $h$ .

*Proof.* Given a  $\mathbb{V}$ -entropy  $h : N \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{W}}$ , we define  $H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ ,  $H(P) = h_P(\text{id}_P)$  for  $P \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $H(f) = h_P(f)$  for  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ . Since the coherence map  $\zeta$  of  $N$  is given by the tensor product,  $H(P \otimes Q) = h_{P \otimes Q}(\text{id}_{P \otimes Q}) = h_{P \otimes Q}(\zeta_{P,Q}(\text{id}_P, \text{id}_Q)) = h_P(\text{id}_P) \otimes h_Q(\text{id}_Q)$ , where the last equality is because  $h$  is a monoidal natural transformation. Hence  $H$  is strongly monoidal. For  $X : P \rightarrow Q$ , by invariance under extension of probability space, we have  $h_P(X) = h_Q(\text{id}_Q) = H(Q) = H(\text{Cod}_P(X))$ . Hence  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$ . For uniqueness, if  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$ , then  $H(P) = H(\text{Cod}_P(\text{id}_P)) = h_P(\text{id}_P)$  is uniquely determined by  $h$ .  $\square$

Therefore, for the special case  $N = -/\mathbb{C}$ , there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{V}$ -entropy  $(!, h)$  and baseless  $\mathbb{V}$ -entropy  $H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ , given by  $H(P) = h_P(\text{id}_P)$  and  $h_P(X) = H(\text{Cod}_P(X))$ . The entropy of a random variable  $X : P \rightarrow Q$  can be obtained as  $h_P(X) = H(Q)$ , which only depends on its distribution  $Q$ . To check whether  $H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  is a baseless entropy when  $\mathbb{W} \in \text{OrdCMon}$ , it suffices to check whether  $H$  satisfies the monotonicity (1.1), additivity (1.2) and subadditivity (1.3) properties. More precisely, the monotonicity property is that for  $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}$  where there is a morphism  $P \rightarrow Q$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad H(P) \geq H(Q),$$

i.e.,  $H$  is a functor. The additivity property is that for  $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(5.4) \quad H(P) + H(Q) = H(P \otimes Q),$$

i.e.,  $H$  is strongly monoidal. The subadditivity property is that for  $X, Y \in N(P)$  (where  $P \in \mathbb{C}$ ),  $X \otimes_{N(P)} Y$  (which is their ‘‘joint random variable  $(X, Y)$ ’’) satisfies

$$(5.5) \quad H(\text{Cod}_P(X)) + H(\text{Cod}_P(Y)) \geq H(\text{Cod}_P(X \otimes_{N(P)} Y)).$$

Recall that  $\text{Cod}_P : N(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the codomain functor, and  $\text{Cod}_P(X)$  is the distribution of the random variable  $X$ . These properties can clearly be deduced from the discussion on monotonicity, additivity and subadditivity earlier in this section.

We can then define the universal baseless  $\mathbb{V}$ -entropy.

**Definition 10** (Universal baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy). Consider the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\mathbf{C}, N)$  where  $N = -/\mathbf{C}$  with coherence map given by  $\otimes_{\mathbf{C}}$ , and a reflective subcategory  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ . We call  $H : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$  a *baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy* if it is the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy corresponding to some  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy (see Proposition 9). The *universal baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy* is a baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $H : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$  such that for every baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $H' : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}'$ , there is a unique  $F : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}'$  in  $\mathbf{V}$  such that  $H' = FH$ .

For the special case  $N = -/\mathbf{C}$ , it is straightforward to check that  $H$  is a universal baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy if and only if  $H$  is the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy corresponding to a universal  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $h$ . These two concepts are equivalent in this special case. Since it is often simpler to work with a monoidal functor  $H$  instead of a monoidal natural transformation  $h$ , we will often use the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy instead of the  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy in subsequent sections.

Nevertheless, we remark that the  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $(!, h)$  (which is a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor) is the more natural notion of entropy compared to the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $H$  (which is a strongly monoidal functor satisfying an additional subadditivity property). The  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $h$  is over random variables, whereas the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy  $H$  is over probability distributions.

Conventionally, although we can talk about the entropy of both random variables and distributions, the usual understanding is that entropy is associated with distributions (e.g. [3]), and the “entropy of a random variable” is merely a shorthand for the “entropy of the distribution of the random variable”. In this paper, it is the other way around, where we first define the  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy, and recover the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy only for the special case  $N = -/\mathbf{C}$ . The reason is threefold. First, the baseless entropy is only defined when  $N = -/\mathbf{C}$ , and does not work if  $N(P)$  does not match  $P/\mathbf{C}$  (e.g. the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\text{Prob}, -/\text{FinProb})$  in Section 6). Second, while the monotonicity and additivity properties can be stated in terms of either  $h$  or  $H$ , it is more natural to state the subadditivity property as  $h$  having lax monoidal components. Comparing (5.1) with (5.5), we can see that the former is more natural. Subadditivity is fundamentally a property about random variables, not distributions. Third, having the universal  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy being a reflection morphism in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  allows us to connect the universal  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropies of various  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories in a natural manner (see Section 9). Although we often use the baseless  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy instead of the  $\mathbf{V}$ -entropy in subsequent sections, we emphasize that this is only due to notational simplicity, and not because the baseless entropy is a more elegant concept.

## 6. SHANNON AND HARTLEY ENTROPY FOR FINITE PROBABILITY SPACES

The following result gives the universal  $\text{COrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $\text{FinProb}$ , which is stated in terms of the Shannon entropy  $H_1$  [8] and the Hartley entropy  $H_0$  [4]. The proof will be given later in this section.

**Theorem 11.** *The universal  $\text{COrdCMon}$ -entropy of the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$  is given by  $(!, h)$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{FinProb} & \xrightarrow{!} & * \\
& \searrow -/\text{FinProb} & \swarrow h \\
& & \text{MonCat}_\ell
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \downarrow (\text{FinProb}, \succ_{01}) \end{array}$$

where:

- $(\text{FinProb}, \succ_{01}) \in \text{COrdCMon}$  is a cancellative ordered commutative monoid,<sup>16</sup> where the monoid operation is the tensor product over  $\text{FinProb}$  (product distribution), and for  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$ ,  $P \succ_{01} Q$  if and only if

$$P \cong Q \text{ or } (H_0(P) \geq H_0(Q) \text{ and } H_1(P) > H_1(Q)).$$

- $h : -/\text{FinProb} \Rightarrow \Delta_{(\text{FinProb}, \succ_{01})}$  has component  $h_P$  mapping a random variable  $X : P \rightarrow Q$  to its distribution  $Q$ .

Equivalently, the universal baseless  $\text{COrdCMon}$ -entropy is the obvious functor  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow (\text{FinProb}, \succ_{01})$ .

Theorem 11 is unsatisfactory since the “entropy” of a distribution  $P \in \text{FinProb}$  is merely given as  $P$  itself in a space with a new ordering  $\succ_{01}$ . We expect the entropy to be given as real numbers instead. The issue is that  $\succ_{01}$  is “too fine” and is unable to identify distributions that should have the same entropies. In order to recover the familiar notions of entropy, we have to impose that the codomain of the entropy is integrally closed (Definition 6), i.e., it is in  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ . The integral closedness condition will make the partial order “coarser” so as to identify distributions having the same entropies as being equivalent under this partial order.

We now present one of our main results, which shows that the universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $\text{FinProb}$  is the pairing of the Shannon entropy  $H_1$  and the Hartley entropy  $H_0$ . This allows us to recover two familiar notions of entropy. The proof will be given later in this section.

**Theorem 12.** *The universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$  is given by  $(!, (h_0, h_1))$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{FinProb} & \xrightarrow{!} & * \\
& \searrow -/\text{FinProb} & \swarrow (h_0, h_1) \\
& & \text{MonCat}_\ell
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \downarrow (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb}) \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb}) &:= \{(H_0(P), H_1(P)) : P \in \text{FinProb}\} \\
&= \{(a, b) \in (\log \mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} : a \geq b\} \cup \{(0, 0)\} \\
&\subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \in \text{lcOrdCMon}
\end{aligned}$$

<sup>16</sup>Technically, we have to consider the quotient  $\text{FinProb}/\cong$  modulo the isomorphism relation  $\cong$  in  $\text{FinProb}$  in order to make  $\succ_{01}$  a partial order, though we omit the quotient for the sake of notational simplicity.

is the range of  $(H_0, H_1)$  equipped with the product order over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $(h_0, h_1) : -/\text{FinProb} \Rightarrow \Delta_{(H_0, H_1)}(\text{FinProb})$  has component  $(h_{0,P}, h_{1,P})$  mapping a random variable  $X : P \rightarrow Q$  to the pair formed by its Hartley and Shannon entropies  $(H_0(Q), H_1(Q)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Equivalently, the universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy is the pairing  $(H_0, H_1) : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb})$ .

If the slightly complicated codomain  $(H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb})$  is still not satisfactory, we can consider codomains in  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  or  $\text{lcOrdVect}$  to further simplify the codomain. The following results are direct corollaries of Theorem 12.

**Corollary 13.** *For the MonSiMon category  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy is given by  $(H_0, H_1) : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (2) *The universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $(H_0, H_1) : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $(\mathbb{Q} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} = \{(a \log b, c) : a \in \mathbb{Q}, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .*

These universal entropies can be summarized by the following diagram, where the categories on the left are the ‘‘categories of codomains’’ of entropies (see Section 4.3), and the categories on the right are elements of the corresponding categories on the left. The arrow  $(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}$  is the universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$  in Theorem 12.<sup>17</sup> We write  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$  as  $-/\text{FinProb}$  for brevity. Here  $G$  denotes the reflection of  $(\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01})$  in  $\text{OrdAb}$ , and  $V$  denotes the reflection of  $(\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01})$  in  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (see Section 4.3). The ‘‘?’’ is the codomain of the universal  $\text{OrdCMon}$  entropy of  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$ , which is guaranteed to exist but intractable and uninteresting, and hence omitted.<sup>18</sup>

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{MonSiMonCat} & \ni & -/\text{FinProb} \\
\updownarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{OrdCMon} & \ni & ? \\
\updownarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{COrdCMon} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdCMon} & \ni & (\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01}) \xrightarrow{(H_0, H_1)} (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb}) \\
\updownarrow & & \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
\text{OrdAb} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdAb} & \ni & G \longrightarrow (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \\
\updownarrow & & \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \ni & V \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Q} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}
\end{array}$$

Before we present the proofs of Theorem 11 and Theorem (12), we review the concept of majorization of probability distributions. A probability mass function  $Q \in \text{FinProb}$  *majorizes* another probability mass function  $P \in \text{FinProb}$ , written as

<sup>17</sup>This notation is justified by the one-to-one correspondence  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$  in Proposition 9, where we omit the forgetful functor  $U$  for brevity.

<sup>18</sup>We may combine the categories on the left and those on the right into a single gigantic diagram, by noting that ‘‘ $-/\text{FinProb} \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$ ’’ can be written as ‘‘ $* \xrightarrow{-/\text{FinProb}} \text{MonSiMonCat}$ ’’, and turning all the morphisms on the right into 2-cells. We prefer not to draw the diagram this way since it would be quite unreadable.

$P \preceq Q$ , if  $\max_{A: |A| \leq k} \sum_{x \in A} Q(x) \geq \max_{A: |A| \leq k} \sum_{x \in A} P(x)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , i.e., the sum of the largest  $k$  entries of  $Q$  is larger than or equal to the sum of the largest  $k$  entries of  $P$  [15].

We say that a functor  $H : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  (where  $\mathbb{W} \in \text{OrdCMon}$ ) is *Schur-concave* if  $P \preceq Q$  implies  $H(P) \geq H(Q)$  [15], i.e.,  $H$  is an order-preserving map from  $(\text{FinProb}, \preceq)$  to  $(\mathbb{W}, \geq)$ . Note that Shannon entropy and Hartley entropy are Schur-concave.

The following result is a generalization of [5, Lemma 1] to arbitrary cancellative ordered commutative monoid.

**Lemma 14.** *If  $H : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  (where  $\mathbb{W} \in \text{COrdCMon}$ ) is a baseless COrdCMon-entropy (i.e., it satisfies monotonicity (5.3), additivity (5.4) and subadditivity (5.5)), then  $H$  is Schur-concave.*

*Proof.* Consider distributions  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$  with disjoint supports, and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $E$  be an event with  $\mathbb{P}(E) = \lambda$ . Let  $X, Y$  be two independent random variables with  $X \sim P$  and  $Y \sim Q$ , independent of  $E$ . Assume that  $\phi$  is a symbol not in the support of  $P$  or  $Q$ . Define the random variables

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X} &:= \begin{cases} X & \text{if } E, \\ \phi & \text{if } E^c, \end{cases} & \tilde{Y} &:= \begin{cases} Y & \text{if } E, \\ \phi & \text{if } E^c, \end{cases} \\ Z &:= \begin{cases} X & \text{if } E, \\ Y & \text{if } E^c, \end{cases} & W &:= \begin{cases} Y & \text{if } E, \\ X & \text{if } E^c. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For example,  $\tilde{X}$  is a random variable with  $\tilde{X} = X$  if the event  $E$  occurs, and  $\tilde{X} = \phi$  when  $E$  does not occur. Write  $H(X)$  for the value of  $H$  evaluated at the distribution of  $X$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\tilde{X}) + H(Y) &\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(\tilde{X}, Y) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} H(Z, \tilde{Y}) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} H(Z) + H(\tilde{Y}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where (a) is by the additivity property of the baseless entropy  $H$  since  $\tilde{X}$  and  $Y$  are independent, (b) is by the monotonicity property of  $H$  since  $(\tilde{X}, Y)$  is a function of  $(Z, \tilde{Y})$  (we have  $(\tilde{X}, Y) = (Z, \tilde{Y})$  if  $\tilde{Y} \neq \phi$ ,  $(\tilde{X}, Y) = (\phi, Z)$  if  $\tilde{Y} = \phi$ ), and (c) is by the subadditivity property of  $H$ . Similarly, we have

$$H(\tilde{Y}) + H(X) \leq H(W) + H(\tilde{X}). \tag{6.2}$$

Adding (6.1) and (6.2), and cancelling  $H(\tilde{X})$  and  $H(\tilde{Y})$  since  $\mathbb{W} \in \text{OrdCMon}$  is cancellative, we have

$$H(X) + H(Y) \leq H(Z) + H(W).$$

Equivalently,

$$H(P) + H(Q) \leq H(\lambda P + (1 - \lambda)Q) + H(\lambda Q + (1 - \lambda)P),$$

where  $\lambda P + (1 - \lambda)Q$  denotes the mixture distribution of  $P$  and  $Q$  with weights  $\lambda$  and  $1 - \lambda$ . Applying this on  $P = [p_1, \dots, p_n]$  (written as a probability vector) and

$$Q := \left[ \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} p_2, \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \right],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(P) + H(Q) &\leq H \left( \left[ \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_2, \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \right] \right) \\ &\quad + H \left( \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_2, \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $H$  is invariant under permutation of entries. Cancelling  $H(Q)$  on both sides, we have

$$H(P) \leq H \left( \left[ \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_2, \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_1 + \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda} p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \right] \right).$$

Observe that the right hand side represents a ‘‘Robin Hood transfer’’ [15]. Since  $P \preceq Q$  if and only if we can obtain  $P$  from  $Q$  via a finite sequence of Robin Hood transfers [15], we have  $H(P) \geq H(Q)$  whenever  $P \preceq Q$ .  $\square$

We will also utilize the result in [12, Theorem 1], stated using the notations in this paper.

**Theorem 15** ([12]). *If  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$  satisfies  $H_0(P) \geq H_0(Q)$  and  $H_1(P) > H_1(Q)$ , then there exists  $R \in \text{FinProb}$  and  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in N(R)$  satisfying*

$$P \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3) \preceq Q \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_2) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_3).$$

*Recall that  $\text{Cod}_R(X_1)$  is the distribution of the random variable  $X_1$  in the probability space  $R$ ,  $X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3 \in N(R)$  is the joint random variable ‘‘ $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ ’’, and  $P \otimes \text{Cod}_R(\dots)$  is the product distribution.*

Lemma 14 and Theorem 15 imply the following result.

**Lemma 16.** *If  $H : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  (where  $\mathbb{W} \in \text{COrdCMon}$ ) is a baseless COrdCMon-entropy, then  $H$  is an order-preserving monoid homomorphism from  $(\text{FinProb}, \succsim_{01})$  to  $(\mathbb{W}, \geq)$ .*

*Proof.*  $H$  being a homomorphism follows from the additivity property of  $H$ . It is left to prove that  $H$  is order-preserving. Consider  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$ ,  $P \succsim_{01} Q$ . If  $P \cong Q$ , then clearly  $H(P) = H(Q)$ . If  $H_0(P) \geq H_0(Q)$  and  $H_1(P) > H_1(Q)$ , by Theorem 15, there exists  $R \in \text{FinProb}$  and  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in N(R)$  satisfying

$$P \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3) \preceq Q \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_2) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_3).$$

Lemma 14 gives

$$\begin{aligned} &H(P \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3)) \\ &\geq H(Q \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_2) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_3)). \end{aligned}$$



By additivity and subadditivity of  $H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H(P) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_1)) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_2)) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_3)) \\
 & \geq H(P) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3)) \\
 & = H(P \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3)) \\
 & \geq H(Q \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_1) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_2) \otimes \text{Cod}_R(X_3)) \\
 & = H(Q) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_1)) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_2)) + H(\text{Cod}_R(X_3)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have  $H(P) \geq H(Q)$  after cancelling the terms on both sides.  $\square$

We are ready to prove Theorem 11.

*Proof of Theorem 11.* We prove that the universal baseless  $\text{COrdCMon}$ -entropy is given by the obvious functor  $\iota : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow (\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01})$ . First, we show that  $\iota$  is a baseless entropy. For monotonicity (5.3), if there is a morphism  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ , then either  $P \cong Q$  ( $f$  is an injection and hence a bijection), or  $H_0(P) > H_0(Q)$ ,  $H_1(P) > H_1(Q)$  ( $f$  is not injective, resulting in a loss of information). Both cases give  $P \lesssim_{01} Q$ . Additivity (5.4) is immediate. For subadditivity (5.5), consider random variables  $X, Y \in N(P)$ . By the subadditivity of  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , we have  $H_0(\text{Cod}(X)) + H_0(\text{Cod}(Y)) \geq H_0(\text{Cod}(X \otimes Y))$ , and the same for  $H_1$ . If  $X, Y$  are independent, we have  $\text{Cod}(X \otimes Y) \cong \text{Cod}(X) \otimes \text{Cod}(Y)$ , and hence  $\text{Cod}(X) \otimes \text{Cod}(Y) \lesssim_{01} \text{Cod}(X \otimes Y)$ . If  $X, Y$  are not independent, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_1(\text{Cod}(X) \otimes \text{Cod}(Y)) \\
 & = H_1(\text{Cod}(X)) + H_1(\text{Cod}(Y)) \\
 & > H_1(\text{Cod}(X \otimes Y)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and we still have  $\text{Cod}(X) \otimes \text{Cod}(Y) \lesssim_{01} \text{Cod}(X \otimes Y)$ .

Next, we show the universal property. Fix any  $W' \in \text{COrdCMon}$  and a baseless  $\text{COrdCMon}$ -entropy  $H' : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow W'$ . By Lemma 16,  $H'$  can be regarded as an order-preserving homomorphism  $\tilde{H}' : (\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01}) \rightarrow (W, \geq)$ , i.e.,  $H'$  can be factorized as the composition

$$\text{FinProb} \xrightarrow{\iota} (\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}'} W.$$

To prove that  $\iota$  is the universal baseless  $\text{COrdCMon}$ -entropy, it is left to show that the  $\tilde{H}'$  above is unique, i.e., if  $F : (\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01}) \rightarrow W$  satisfies  $F\iota = H'$ , then  $F = \tilde{H}'$ . This is clearly true since  $F(P) = (F\iota)(P) = H'(P) = \tilde{H}'(P)$  for  $P \in \text{FinProb}$ .  $\square$

Finally, we prove Theorem 12.

*Proof of Theorem 12.* We prove that the universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy is given by  $(H_0, H_1) : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb})$ . Note that  $(H_0, H_1)$  is clearly a baseless entropy, which follows immediately from the monotonicity, additivity and subadditivity of  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . Next, we show the universal property. Fix any  $W' \in \text{lcOrdCMon}$  and a baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy  $H' : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow W'$ . By Lemma 16,  $H'$  can be regarded as an order-preserving homomorphism  $(\text{FinProb}, \lesssim_{01}) \rightarrow (W, \geq)$ . Let  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$  satisfy  $H_0(P) \geq H_0(Q)$  and  $H_1(P) \geq H_1(Q)$ . Consider any non-degenerate distribution  $R \in \text{FinProb}$ . We have  $nH_0(P) + H_0(R) > nH_0(Q)$  and  $nH_1(P) + H_1(R) > nH_1(Q)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ , and hence  $P^{\otimes n} \otimes R \lesssim_{01} Q^{\otimes n}$ ,

where  $P^{\otimes n} = P \otimes \cdots \otimes P$  ( $n$  times). Since  $H'$  is additive and order-preserving with respect to  $\lesssim_{01}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} nH'(P) + H'(R) &= H'(P^{\otimes n} \otimes R) \\ &\geq H'(Q^{\otimes n}) \\ &= nH'(Q). \end{aligned}$$

By the integral closedness of  $\mathcal{W}'$ , we have  $H'(P) \geq H'(Q)$ . This implies that if  $H_0(P) = H_0(Q)$  and  $H_1(P) = H_1(Q)$ , then  $H'(P) \geq H'(Q)$  and  $H'(Q) \geq H'(P)$ , implying  $H'(P) = H'(Q)$ .  $H'$  can be factorized as the composition

$$\text{FinProb} \xrightarrow{(H_0, H_1)} (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb}) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{W},$$

where  $F(a, b) = H'(P)$  where  $P$  satisfies  $H_0(P) = a$  and  $H_1(P) = b$ . To check that  $F$  is an order-preserving homomorphism, for  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb})$ , letting  $P_i \in \text{FinProb}$  such that  $H_0(P_i) = a_i$  and  $H_1(P_i) = b_i$ , we have  $F(a_1, b_1) + F(a_2, b_2) = H'(P_1) + H'(P_2) = H'(P_1 \otimes P_2) = F(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2)$ . If  $(a_2, b_2) \geq (a_1, b_1)$ , then  $H_0(P_2) \geq H_0(P_1)$  and  $H_1(P_2) \geq H_1(P_1)$ , implying  $F(a_2, b_2) = H'(P_2) \geq H'(P_1) = F(a_1, b_1)$  as we have proved before. Such  $F$  is clearly unique. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We remark that the same result can be obtained if we allow non-strictly-positive probability measures in the definition of  $\text{FinProb}$ ,<sup>19</sup> since two probability mass functions  $P : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $Q : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$  must have the same  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy if they have the same support  $\text{supp}(P) = \text{supp}(Q)$  and they are equal when restricted to the support, since there is a morphism  $P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\text{FinProb}$  (a measure-preserving function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $f(x) = x$  when  $x \in \text{supp}(P)$ , and  $f(x)$  is arbitrary when  $x \notin \text{supp}(P)$ ) and vice versa, so  $P$  and  $Q$  have the same entropy since entropy takes values over an ordered commutative monoid.

Also, we may instead consider the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\text{Prob}, -/\text{FinProb})$ , where  $\text{Prob}$  is the category of (finite/infinite) probability spaces, and  $-/\text{FinProb}$  is the functor mapping  $P \in \text{Prob}$  to the comma category  $P/\iota$ , where  $\iota : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{Prob}$  is the inclusion functor. This is the ‘‘category of finite random variables over general probability spaces’’. It is straightforward to check that this  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category has the same universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy given by the pairing of the Shannon entropy and the Hartley entropy. Nevertheless,  $(\text{Prob}, -/\text{FinProb})$  does not have a universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy since it is not in the form  $(\mathcal{C}, -/\mathcal{C})$ , and one cannot generally define entropy over arbitrary probability spaces in  $\text{Prob}$ .

One might also be interested in characterizing the Shannon entropy or the Hartley entropy alone, instead of the pairing of them. Hartley entropy can be obtained by first passing the probability distribution through a forgetful functor  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  mapping a probability distribution to its support, and then obtaining the universal entropy of  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  in Section 8.1. For Shannon entropy, it can be obtained by first passing the probability distribution through an inclusion

<sup>19</sup>If we allow non-strictly-positive probability measures, we have to slightly change the tensor product over  $N(P)$ , where the product of  $X : P \rightarrow Q$  and  $Y : P \rightarrow R$  is given by  $Z : P \rightarrow S$ , where  $S$  is a probability space with sample space  $\Omega(S) = \Omega(Q) \times \Omega(R)$  (where  $\Omega(S)$  denotes the sample space of  $S$ ), with probabilities  $S(a, b) = \sum_{t \in \Omega(P) : (a, b) = (X(t), Y(t))} P(t)$ , and the random variable  $Z$  is simply the pairing  $(X, Y)$ , i.e.,  $Z(t) = (X(t), Y(t))$  [3].

functor  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{LProb}_\rho$  to the category of  $\rho$ -th-power-summable discrete distributions, and then passing it through the universal entropy of  $\text{LProb}_\rho$  in Section 7. It can also be characterized as the universal entropy that satisfies an additional condition given by the chain rule, as described in Section 10.

We remark that the universal  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy of  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$  is guaranteed to exist, but appears to be intractable. For an example of a baseless  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy, consider  $(H_1, H_\alpha) : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \tilde{\geq})$ , where  $H_\alpha(P) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_x (P(x))^\alpha$  (where  $\alpha \neq 1$ ) is the order- $\alpha$  Rényi entropy [46], and  $(x_1, x_2) \tilde{\geq} (y_1, y_2)$  if  $x_1 > y_1$  or  $(x_1, x_2) = (y_1, y_2)$ . Since  $H_\alpha$  satisfies the additivity property (but not the subadditivity property), we can check that  $(H_1, H_\alpha)$  is a baseless  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy. Therefore, the universal baseless  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy would have to include  $H_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ , as well as all other functions that satisfies the additivity property (e.g. Burg entropy [47]). Not only is this significantly more complicated than the universal baseless entropies in Corollary 13 and Theorem 17, this also bypasses the subadditivity property and include components that only satisfies the additivity property. This highlights the advantage of considering  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (which disqualifies  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \tilde{\geq})$  since it is not integrally closed) instead of  $\text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

## 7. SHANNON ENTROPY FOR $\rho$ -TH-POWER-SUMMABLE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS

Instead of  $\text{FinProb}$ , we may consider the space of  $\rho$ -th-power-summable probability distributions. Consider the category  $\text{LProb}_\rho$ ,  $\rho \geq 0$ , where each object is a strictly positive probability mass function  $P$  over a finite or countable set  $S$  such that  $\sum_{x \in S} (P(x))^\rho < \infty$ , and morphisms are measure-preserving mappings. When  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , each object in  $\text{LProb}_\rho$  has a finite Shannon entropy. By the property of Shannon entropy, we can see that  $H_1 : \text{LProb}_\rho \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  is a baseless entropy for  $\rho < 1$ , though the Hartley entropy  $H_0 : \text{LProb}_\rho \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  is a baseless entropy only for  $\rho = 0$  (where  $\text{LProb}_\rho$  is the same as  $\text{FinProb}$ ).

The following result gives the universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $(\text{LProb}_\rho, -/\text{LProb}_\rho)$ . In particular, when  $0 < \rho < 1$ , the universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy is simply the Shannon entropy  $H_1$ . As a result, the universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  and  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropies are also given by the Shannon entropy.

**Theorem 17.** *The universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $(\text{LProb}_\rho, -/\text{LProb}_\rho)$  is given by  $(!, h)$  where  $h$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} (h_0, h_1) : -/\text{LProb}_\rho &\Rightarrow \Delta_{(H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb})} && \text{if } \rho = 0, \\ h_1 : -/\text{LProb}_\rho &\Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} && \text{if } 0 < \rho < 1, \\ z : -/\text{LProb}_\rho &\Rightarrow \Delta_{\{0\}} && \text{if } \rho \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_P = \Delta_0$  maps anything to 0. Equivalently, the universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy is

$$\begin{aligned} (H_0, H_1) : \text{FinProb} &\rightarrow (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb}) && \text{if } \rho = 0, \\ H_1 : \text{FinProb} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} && \text{if } 0 < \rho < 1, \\ \Delta_0 : \text{FinProb} &\rightarrow \{0\} && \text{if } \rho \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The universal entropies of  $(\text{LProb}_\rho, -/\text{LProb}_\rho)$  for  $0 < \rho < 1$  can be summarized by the following diagram, where the categories on the left are the “categories of

codomains” of entropies (see Section 4.3), and the categories on the right are elements of the corresponding categories on the left. The arrow  $H_1 \circ \text{Cod}$  is the universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $(\text{LProb}_\rho, -/\text{LProb}_\rho)$  in Theorem 17.<sup>20</sup> The “?” are the codomains of the universal  $\text{OrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{COrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{OrdAb}$  and  $\text{OrdVect}_\mathbb{Q}$  entropies of  $(\text{LProb}_\rho, -/\text{LProb}_\rho)$ , which are guaranteed to exist but appear to be intractable and uninteresting, and hence omitted. This also highlights the usefulness of the integral closedness property in the three “ $\text{lc}\dots$ ” categories of codomains, which greatly simplifies the entropy.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{MonSiMonCat} & \ni & -/\text{LProb}_\rho \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \swarrow H_1 \circ \text{Cod} \\
 \text{OrdCMon} & \ni & ? \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{COrdCMon} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdCMon} & \ni & ? \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 \text{OrdAb} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdAb} & \ni & ? \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 \text{OrdVect}_\mathbb{Q} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdVect}_\mathbb{Q} & \ni & ? \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The case  $\rho = 0$  is proved in Theorem 12. Consider the case  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Consider any baseless  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy  $H : \text{LProb}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ . Applying Lemma 16 on the restriction of  $H$  to  $\text{FinProb}$ , we know that for  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$ ,  $P \lesssim_{01} Q$  implies  $H(P) \geq H(Q)$ . Consider  $P, Q \in \text{FinProb}$  where  $H_1(P) \geq H_1(Q)$ . Let  $X \sim \text{Geom}(1/2)$  be a geometric random variable with probability mass function (pmf)  $P_X \in \text{LProb}_\rho$ , and let  $Y_n = \min\{X, n\}$  with pmf  $P_{Y_n} \in \text{FinProb}$ . Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $H_1(P^{\otimes k} \otimes P_{Y_n}) = kH_1(P) + H_1(P_{Y_n}) > H_1(Q^{\otimes k})$ , and  $H_0(P^{\otimes k} \otimes P_{Y_n}) = kH_0(P) + n > H_0(Q^{\otimes k})$  for  $n$  large enough. Hence for  $n$  large enough, we have  $P^{\otimes k} \otimes P_{Y_n} \lesssim_{01} Q^{\otimes k}$ , and hence (writing  $H(X) = H(P_X)$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 kH(P) + H(X) &\geq kH(P) + H(Y_n) \\
 &\geq H(P^{\otimes k} \otimes P_{Y_n}) \\
 &\geq H(Q^{\otimes k}) \\
 &= kH(Q).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the integral closedness of  $\mathbb{W}$ , we have  $H(P) \geq H(Q)$ . Hence, when restricted on  $\text{FinProb}$ ,  $H$  is order-preserving with respect to  $H_1$  and is determined by  $H_1$ , i.e., there exists an order-preserving function  $F : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  such that  $H(P) = F(H_1(P))$  for  $P \in \text{FinProb}$ . Since  $H$  and  $H_1$  are additive,  $F$  is also a monoid homomorphism.

It is left to extend this to all  $P \in \text{LProb}_\rho$ . Consider any nondegenerate  $P \in \text{LProb}_\rho$  not in  $\text{FinProb}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $P$  is a probability mass function over  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\sum_{x=a_n+1}^{\infty} (P(x))^\rho \leq 2^{-n}$ . Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables following  $P$ , and  $Y_n = \min\{X_n, a_n\}$  (with

<sup>20</sup>This notation is justified by the one-to-one correspondence  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$  in Proposition 9, where we omit the forgetful functor  $U$  for brevity.

pmf  $P_{Y_n} \in \text{FinProb}$ ),  $Z_n = \max\{X_n, a_n\}$ . Since  $Y_n$  is a function of  $X_n$ , we have  $H(P) = H(X_n) \geq H(Y_n) = F(H_1(Y_n))$ . By  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_1(P_{Y_n}) = H_1(P)$ , we have  $H(P) \geq F(t)$  for every  $0 \leq t < H_1(P)$ , implying

$$\begin{aligned} kH(P) + F(1) &\geq kF(H_1(P) - k^{-1}) + F(1) \\ &= kF(H_1(P) - k^{-1}) + kF(k^{-1}) \\ &= kF(H_1(P)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that  $H_1(P) - k^{-1} > 0$ . By integral closedness,  $H(P) \geq F(H_1(P))$ .

We now prove the other direction “ $H(P) \leq F(H_1(P))$ ”. Since  $X_n$  is a function of  $(Y_n, Z_n)$ , by the subadditivity property of entropy,

$$\begin{aligned} H(P) &\leq H(Y_n) + H(Z_n) \\ &= F(H_1(Y_n)) + H(Z_n) \\ (7.1) \quad &\leq F(H_1(P)) + H(Z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : X_n > a_n\}$ . By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n > a_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x=a_n+1}^{\infty} P(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x=a_n+1}^{\infty} (P(x))^\rho \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \\ (7.2) \quad &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

$S$  is almost surely finite. Consider the random variable  $W = (S, (X_n)_{n \in S})$  which lies in the disjoint union  $\bigcup_{s \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ finite}} \mathbb{N}^s$ , which is a countable set. We have (note that  $s$  sums over finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  below)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(s, (x_n)_{n \in s}) : \forall n. x_n > a_n} (\mathbb{P}(W = (s, (x_n)_{n \in s})))^\rho \\ &= \sum_{(s, (x_n)_{n \in s}) : \forall n. x_n > a_n} \left( \prod_{n \in s} (\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n))^\rho \right) \left( \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus s} (\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq a_n))^\rho \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{(s, (x_n)_{n \in s}) : \forall n. x_n > a_n} \prod_{n \in s} (P(x_n))^\rho \\ &= \sum_s \prod_{n \in s} \sum_{x=a_n+1}^{\infty} (P(x))^\rho \\ &\leq \sum_s \prod_{n \in s} 2^{-n} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-n}) \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + 2^{-n}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.3) \quad < e.$$

Hence  $P_W \in \mathbf{LProb}_\rho$ . Note that  $Z_n$  is a function of  $W$  since  $Z_n = X_n$  if  $n \in S$ , and  $Z_n = a_n$  if  $n \notin S$ . Hence  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$  is a function of  $W$ , and

$$(7.4) \quad H(W) \geq H(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(Z_i),$$

where the last equality is due to the additivity property of entropy since  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  are mutually independent. Combining this with (7.1), for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} nH(P) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (F(H_1(P)) + H(Z_i)) \\ &= nF(H_1(P)) + \sum_{i=1}^n H(Z_i) \\ &\leq nF(H_1(P)) + H(W), \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $H(P) \leq F(H_1(P))$  by integral closedness. Therefore, we can conclude that  $H(P) = F(H_1(P))$ , and  $H_1$  is the universal baseless  $\mathbf{lOrdCMon}$ -entropy.

Finally, consider the case  $\rho = 1$  (note that any  $\rho \geq 1$  is the same as  $\rho = 1$  since every probability mass function satisfies  $\sum_{x \in S} (P(x))^\rho < \infty$  for  $\rho \geq 1$ ). Consider any baseless  $\mathbf{lOrdCMon}$ -entropy  $H : \mathbf{LProb}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$ . Applying Lemma 16 on the restriction of  $H$  to  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ , we know that for  $P, Q \in \mathbf{FinProb}$ ,  $P \succ_{01} Q$  implies  $H(P) \geq H(Q)$ . For any  $P \in \mathbf{FinProb}$ , letting  $R \in \mathbf{LProb}_1$  with  $H_1(R) = \infty$  (e.g.,  $R(x) \propto 1/(x \log^2(x+1))$  for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we have  $R \succ_{01} P^{\otimes n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence  $H(R) \geq nH(P)$ , and  $H(P) = 0$  due to integral closedness. To extend this to  $P \in \mathbf{LProb}_1$ , applying the same construction as in (7.1) on  $\rho = 1$ , we have

$$H(P) \leq H(Y_n) + H(Z_n) = H(Z_n),$$

and (7.4) gives

$$H(W) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n H(Z_i).$$

Hence

$$nH(P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(Z_i) \leq H(W),$$

and  $H(P) = 0$  due to integral closedness. Therefore,  $\Delta_0 : \mathbf{FinProb} \rightarrow \{0\}$  is the universal baseless  $\mathbf{lOrdCMon}$ -entropy.  $\square$

We can also consider the category  $\mathbf{HProb}$ , where each object is a strictly positive probability mass function  $P$  over a finite or countable set  $S$  with finite Shannon entropy  $H_1(P) < \infty$ , and morphisms are measure-preserving mappings. It includes  $\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  as a subcategory for  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ . Its universal entropy is, unsurprisingly, the Shannon entropy.

**Theorem 18.** *The universal  $\mathbf{lOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $(\mathbf{HProb}, -/\mathbf{HProb})$  is given by  $(1, h_1)$ . Equivalently, the universal baseless  $\mathbf{lOrdCMon}$ -entropy is  $H_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from the same arguments as the proof of Theorem 17, except that here we define  $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\sum_{x=a_n+1}^{\infty} P(x) \leq 2^{-n}$  and  $H_1(P_{Z_n}) \leq 2^{-n}$ , where  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables following  $P$ ,  $Y_n = \min\{X_n, a_n\}$ ,  $Z_n = \max\{X_n, a_n\}$ . We can still invoke the Borel-Cantelli lemma (7.2) since  $\sum_{x=a_n+1}^{\infty} P(x) \leq 2^{-n}$ . Instead of (7.3), we use the fact that  $H_1(P_W) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_1(P_{Z_n}) \leq 1$ , so  $P_W \in \text{HProb}$ . The rest of the proof is the same as Theorem 17.  $\square$

## 8. UNIVERSAL ENTROPY OF VARIOUS CATEGORIES

In this section, we derive the universal entropies of various categories. While these results are technically simple, by relating these categories with  $\text{FinProb}$ , we obtain insights on different contexts from which information and entropy arise. We will see in Section 9 that these universal entropies can be linked together via functors between these categories in a natural manner.

**8.1. Universal Entropy of Sets.** Consider the monoidal category  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  consisting of finite inhabited (nonempty) sets as objects, and epimorphisms between them as morphisms. The tensor product is the cartesian product, and the under category  $N(A) = A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  is equipped with a tensor product, where for  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : A \rightarrow C$ ,  $f \otimes g : A \rightarrow \text{im}((f, g))$  is the restriction of the codomain of  $(f, g) : A \rightarrow B \times C$  to its image. Note that  $f \otimes g$  is also the categorical product over  $A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) : \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MonCat}_s$  is a lax monoidal functor. We now find the universal baseless entropies of  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$ , which is simply given by the logarithm of the cardinality, i.e., the Hartley entropy of the set [4].

**Proposition 19.** *For the MonSiMon category  $(\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}), -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}))$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy is given by  $\log |\cdot| : \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) \rightarrow \log \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\log \mathbb{N} = \{\log n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Same for COrdCMon and lcOrdCMon.*
- (2) *The universal baseless OrdAb-entropy is given by  $\log |\cdot| : \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) \rightarrow \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ . Same for lcOrdAb.*
- (3) *The universal baseless OrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $\log |\cdot| : \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ . Same for lcOrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

These universal entropies can be summarized by the following diagram, where the categories on the left are the “categories of codomains” of entropies (see Section 4.3), and the categories on the right are elements of the corresponding categories on the left. The arrow  $(\log |\cdot|) \circ \text{Cod}$  is the universal OrdCMon-entropy of  $(\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}), -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}))$  in Proposition 19.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>21</sup>This notation is justified by the one-to-one correspondence  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$  in Proposition 9, where we omit the forgetful functor  $U$  for brevity.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{MonSiMonCat} & \ni & -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) \\
 \updownarrow & & \downarrow (\log |\cdot|) \circ \text{Cod} \\
 \text{OrdCMon} & \ni & \log \mathbb{N} \\
 \updownarrow & & \parallel \\
 \text{COrdCMon} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdCMon} & \ni & \log \mathbb{N} \longlongequal{\quad} \log \mathbb{N} \\
 \updownarrow \quad \updownarrow & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 \text{OrdAb} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdAb} & \ni & \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \longlongequal{\quad} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \\
 \updownarrow \quad \updownarrow & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
 \text{OrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \longleftrightarrow \text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \ni & \mathbb{Q} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \longlongequal{\quad} \mathbb{Q} \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We prove the universal baseless  $\text{OrdCMon}$ -entropy result, from which the other results follow directly. Define the functor  $H : \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) \rightarrow \log \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X \mapsto \log |X|$ . Note that  $H$  is a baseless  $\text{OrdCMon}$ -entropy since  $|\text{im}((f, g))| \leq |B| \cdot |C|$  for  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : A \rightarrow C$ . We now show the universal property of  $H$ . Fix any  $W' \in \text{OrdCMon}$  and strong monoidal functor  $H' : \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) \rightarrow W'$ . Since  $H'$  sends isomorphic objects to isomorphic objects, and  $W'$  is skeletal,  $H'(X)$  only depends on  $|X|$ , and we can let  $H'(X) = F(|X|)$  where  $F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow W'$  is determined uniquely from  $H'$ . Since  $H'$  is a functor,  $H'(X) \geq H'(Y)$  whenever  $|X| \geq |Y|$  since there is an epimorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ , and hence  $F$  is order-preserving. Since  $H'$  is strongly monoidal,  $H'(X \times Y) = H'(X) + H'(Y)$ , and  $F(xy) = F(x) + F(y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $F$ , as a function  $\log \mathbb{N} \rightarrow W'$ , is a morphism in  $\text{OrdCMon}$ .  $\square$

Recall that we characterized the pairing of the Shannon and Hartley entropies as the universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy of  $\text{FinProb}$  in Section 6. If we want to obtain the Hartley entropy alone, we can consider the “support functor”  $\text{Supp} : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$ , which sends a probability distribution to its support set, forgetting the probabilities. This gives a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor  $(\text{Supp}, \gamma)$  from  $(\text{FinProb}, -/\text{FinProb})$  to  $(\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}), -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}))$ , where  $\gamma : -/\text{FinProb} \Rightarrow \text{Supp}^{\text{op}}(-)/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$ ,  $\gamma_P(X) = \text{Supp}(X)$  for  $X : P \rightarrow Q$ . Then the Hartley entropy  $H_0 \circ \text{Cod}$  is given by the diagonal arrow in the following commutative diagram in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , where the two horizontal arrows are universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropies of  $-/\text{FinProb}$  and  $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$ , respectively. Commutativity follows from the naturality of  $\phi$  in Definition 8.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 -/\text{FinProb} & \xrightarrow{(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}} & (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \\
 \downarrow (\text{Supp}, \gamma) & \searrow H_0 \circ \text{Cod} & \downarrow \pi_1 \\
 -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}) & \xrightarrow{(\log |\cdot|) \circ \text{Cod}} & \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}
 \end{array}$$




**8.2. Universal Entropy of Vector Spaces and Gaussian Distributions.**

Consider the category  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$  consisting of finite dimensional vector spaces over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  as objects, and epimorphisms between them as morphisms. The tensor product is the cartesian product, and the under category  $N(A) = A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$  is equipped with a tensor product, where for  $f : A \rightarrow B, g : A \rightarrow C, f \otimes g : A \rightarrow \text{im}((f, g))$  is the restriction of the codomain of  $(f, g) : A \rightarrow B \times C$  to its image. Note that  $f \otimes g$  is also the categorical product over  $A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$ . We now find the universal entropy of  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$ , which is simply given by the dimension.

**Proposition 20.** *For the MonSiMon category  $(\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}), -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}))$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy is given by  $\text{dim} : \text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Same for COrdCMon and lcOrdCMon.*
- (2) *The universal baseless OrdAb-entropy is given by  $\text{dim} : \text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Same for lcOrdAb.*
- (3) *The universal baseless OrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $\text{dim} : \text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . Same for lcOrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

These universal entropies can be summarized by the following diagram. Refer to Section 8.1 for its explanation.



*Proof.* We prove the universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy result, from which the other results follow directly. Fix any  $W' \in \text{OrdCMon}$  and strong monoidal functor  $H' : \text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow W'$ . Since  $H'$  sends isomorphic objects to isomorphic objects, and  $W'$  is skeletal,  $H'(X)$  only depends on  $\text{dim}(X)$ . Since  $H'$  is a functor,  $H'(X) \geq H'(Y)$  whenever  $\text{dim}(X) \geq \text{dim}(Y)$  since there is an epimorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $H'$  is strongly monoidal,  $H'(X \times Y) = H'(X) + H'(Y)$ . These imply that  $H'(X) = \text{dim}(X)H'(\mathbb{F})$ , and hence  $H' = tH$  where  $t : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow W', x \mapsto xH'(\mathbb{F})$ . Such  $t$  is clearly unique.  $\square$

The “entropy” or “amount of information” of a vector space being given by its dimension is unsurprising, and is a common observation in linear codes in coding theory and information theory. More precisely, if  $\mathbb{F}$  is a finite field, we can embed the MonSiMon category  $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$  into the MonSiMon category  $-/\text{FinProb}$  by mapping a vector space  $A$  to the uniform distribution over  $A$ , which has an

entropy  $\log |A| = (\dim A) \log |\mathbb{F}|$ , proportional to  $\dim A$ . This gives the following commutative diagram in  $\mathbf{MonSiMonCat}$ , where the vertical arrow on the left is the aforementioned embedding, and the two horizontal arrows  $\dim \circ \text{Cod}$  and  $(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}$  are universal  $\mathbf{lcOrdAb}$ -entropies of  $-/\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$  and  $-/\mathbf{FinProb}$ , respectively.<sup>22</sup> Commutativity follows from the naturality of  $\phi$  in Definition 8.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -/\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}) & \xrightarrow{\dim \circ \text{Cod}} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto (x \log |\mathbb{F}|, x \log |\mathbb{F}|) \\ -/\mathbf{FinProb} & \xrightarrow{(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}} & (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Also, we can consider the category of multivariate Gaussian distributions, denoted as  $\mathbf{Gauss}$ . An object is a multivariate Gaussian distribution that is supported over the whole ambient space, i.e., it is a pair  $(\mu, \Sigma)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the mean vector, and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the covariance matrix (a positive definite matrix), where  $n \geq 0$  is its information dimension [13]. A morphism is a measure-preserving affine map, i.e., a morphism  $(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) \rightarrow (\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$  is a pair  $(A, b)$  such that if  $x$  follows  $\text{Gaussian}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ , then  $Ax + b$  follows  $\text{Gaussian}(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$  (i.e.,  $\mu_2 = A\mu_1 + b$  and  $\Sigma_2 = A\Sigma_1A^T$ ). The tensor product is the product distribution, i.e.,  $(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) \otimes (\mu_2, \Sigma_2) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ . The under category  $N((\mu, \Sigma)) = (\mu, \Sigma)/\mathbf{Gauss}$ , which is the category of Gaussian random variables formed by linear functions of the Gaussian probability space, is equipped with a tensor product given by joint random variable, i.e., the tensor product between  $(A_1, b_1) : (\mu, \Sigma) \rightarrow (\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$  and  $(A_2, b_2) : (\mu, \Sigma) \rightarrow (\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$  is given by the affine map  $x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A_1x + b_1 \\ A_2x + b_2 \end{bmatrix}$  restricted to its range so that it is surjective, with a codomain given by the pushforward measure of  $\text{Gaussian}(\mu, \Sigma)$  by this affine map.

The universal entropy of  $\mathbf{Gauss}$  is again given by the dimension of its support, which is its information dimension [13]. This is because any two Gaussian distributions with the same dimension are isomorphic in  $\mathbf{Gauss}$ . The proof is the same as Proposition 20 and is omitted.

**Proposition 21.** *For the MonSiMon category  $(\mathbf{Gauss}, -/\mathbf{Gauss})$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy is given by  $\dim : \mathbf{Gauss} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Same for COrdCMon and lcOrdCMon.*
- (2) *The universal baseless OrdAb-entropy is given by  $\dim : \mathbf{Gauss} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Same for lcOrdAb.*
- (3) *The universal baseless OrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $\dim : \mathbf{Gauss} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . Same for lcOrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

One might expect the entropy to be given by the differential entropy, which is often the meaning of the term “entropy” when applied on continuous random variables. This is untrue for  $\mathbf{Gauss}$  since we have isomorphic distributions with different differential entropies (e.g.  $N(0, 1)$  has a differential entropy that is one bit less than  $N(0, 4)$ , though one can obtain a  $N(0, 4)$  random variable by scaling up a  $N(0, 1)$  random variable). Differential entropy is generally not invariant under

<sup>22</sup>This notation is justified by the one-to-one correspondence  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$  in Proposition 9, where we omit the forgetful functor  $U$  for brevity.

bijjective mappings. It is not a measure of information in a random variable, but rather, very loosely speaking, a measure of “information per unit length” which is sensitive to the scale. Therefore, differential entropy would not fit the definition of “entropy” in this paper.

**8.3. Universal Entropy of the Opposite Category of Sets and “Information as Commodity”.** In Section 8.1, we have derived the universal entropy of the epimorphism category of  $\mathbf{FinSet}$ . Now we will consider  $\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}$  instead, which reveals an interesting “dual” result.

Consider the category  $\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$  consisting of finite sets as objects, and a morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is given by an injective function  $f : B \rightarrow A$ . The tensor product is the disjoint union  $A \sqcup B$ , and the under category  $N(A) = A/\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$  is equipped with a tensor product, where for injective functions  $f : B \rightarrow A$ ,  $g : C \rightarrow A$ , the tensor product is given by the injective function  $h : D \rightarrow A$ , where  $D = f(B) \cup g(C)$ ,  $h(x) = x$ . Note that the tensor product is also the categorical product over  $A/\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$ , with projection morphisms given by  $f$  and  $g$  restricted to  $D$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A & & \\
 & f \nearrow & \uparrow h & \nwarrow g & \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D & \xleftarrow{\quad} & C \\
 & f|_D & & g|_D & 
 \end{array}$$

The under category  $N(A)$  can also be regarded as the poset of subobjects or subsets of  $A$ , with the tensor product given by the union of two subsets. It is straightforward to verify that  $-/\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) : \mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_s$  is a functor. We now find the universal entropy of  $\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$ , which is given by the cardinality.

**Proposition 22.** *For the MonSiMon category  $(\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}), -/\mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}))$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy is given by  $|\cdot| : \mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Same for COrdCMon and lcOrdCMon.*
- (2) *The universal baseless OrdAb-entropy is given by  $|\cdot| : \mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Same for lcOrdAb.*
- (3) *The universal baseless OrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $|\cdot| : \mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . Same for lcOrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy result, from which the other results follow directly. Fix any  $W' \in \mathbf{OrdCMon}$  and strong monoidal functor  $H' : \mathbf{Epi}(\mathbf{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow W'$ . Since  $H'$  sends isomorphic objects to isomorphic objects, and  $W'$  is skeletal,  $H'(X)$  only depends on  $|X|$ . Since  $H'$  is a functor,  $H'(X) \geq H'(Y)$  whenever  $|X| \geq |Y|$  since there is an injective function  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Since  $H'$  is strongly monoidal,  $H'(X \sqcup Y) = H'(X) + H'(Y)$ . These imply that  $H'(X) = |X|H'(\{1\})$ , and hence  $H' = tH$  where  $t : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow W'$ ,  $x \mapsto xH'(\{1\})$ . Such  $t$  is clearly unique.  $\square$

To see how cardinality can be interpreted as “entropy”, consider the scenario where the information is contained in a number of discrete, inseparable, identical units of commodities, for example, when we have a number of hard disks. Morphisms are operations we can perform on these hard disks without reading their

contents or introducing new information, i.e., reordering them, or discarding some of them. Note that duplicating a hard disk is not a meaningful operation, since the information in two hard disks with the same content is the same as the information in any one of them. Hence, such an “information-noncreating” operation can be represented by an injective function  $f$  in the opposite direction, where  $f(x)$  is the old location of the hard disk with a new location  $x$ . The “tensor product” between two sets of hard disks is the disjoint union of the two sets. Given a set of hard disks, a “random variable” is simply a subset of these hard disks, and the “joint random variable” between two random variables is the union of the two sets. Assuming each hard disk has  $b$  bits of information. Then the entropy of a set of  $n$  unrelated hard disks has an entropy  $nb$  bits. Hence, the entropy of a set of hard disks is proportional to the cardinality of the set.

Indeed, we can embed the MonSiMon category  $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$  into the MonSiMon category  $-/\text{FinProb}$  by mapping a set  $A$  to the product distribution  $P^A$  (i.e., the distribution of  $|A|$  i.i.d. random variables following  $P$ , indexed by elements in  $A$ ), where  $P$  is any fixed distribution, and mapping a morphism to the corresponding map that reorders and discards some of the entries of the i.i.d. sequence. This gives the following commutative diagram in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , where the vertical arrow on the left is the aforementioned embedding, and the two horizontal arrows  $|\cdot| \circ \text{Cod}$  and  $(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}$  are universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropies of  $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$  and  $-/\text{FinProb}$ , respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) & \xrightarrow{|\cdot| \circ \text{Cod}} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto (xH_0(P), xH_1(P)) \\
 -/\text{FinProb} & \xrightarrow{(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}} & (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}
 \end{array}$$

This embedding basically says “commodity is information”, which is technically true in physics since every object contains information about its state. However, the converse “information is commodity” does not hold since there is no embedding in the other direction. The classical example (e.g., see [48]) is to consider  $X_1, X_2$  to be two i.i.d. fair coin flips, and  $X_3 = X_1 \oplus X_2$  is the XOR of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . Any two of  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  will contain 2 bits of information. However, all of  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  also only contains 2 bits of information. It is impossible to find sets  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  such that the cardinality of any one of them is  $b$ , the cardinality of the union of any two is  $2b$ , and the cardinality of the union of the three is  $2b$ . Hence, information cannot be treated as sets or commodities.

The fact that information cannot be treated as commodities is arguably the reason why coding is effective. If bits are really commodities, then the only error correcting codes would be the repetition codes where we simply repeat each bit a number of times. Also, the work on network coding [49, 50] has shown that it is suboptimal to treating packets as units of commodities that can only be routed through a network, and the problem of finding the optimal communication rates between pairs of nodes over a network is significantly more complicated than multi-commodity flow.

We remark that there are works on embedding random variables into sets (e.g., [51, 52, 53, 54, 55]), though they cannot be made into MonSiMon functors, and are out of the scope of this paper.

**8.4. Universal Entropy of the Augmented Simplex Category and “Information of Transformations”.** We consider the augmented simplex category  $\Delta_+$ , where objects are ordered sets in the form  $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$  (where  $n \geq -1$ ; note that  $[-1] = \emptyset$ ), and morphisms are order-preserving functions between these sets. Consider  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  which contain epimorphisms (surjective functions) in  $\Delta_+$ . The tensor product is given by concatenation of ordered sets. The under category  $N([a]) = [a]/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  is equipped with a tensor product, where for surjective  $f : [a] \rightarrow [b]$ ,  $g : [a] \rightarrow [c]$ ,  $f \otimes g : [a] \rightarrow \text{im}((f, g))$  is the restriction of the codomain of  $(f, g) : [a] \rightarrow [b] \times [c]$  to its image, where  $\text{im}((f, g))$  is equipped with the product order (which is guaranteed to be a total order over  $\text{im}((f, g))$ , so  $\text{im}((f, g))$  is a totally ordered set). We now find the universal entropies of  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$ .

**Proposition 23.** *For the MonSiMon category  $(\text{Epi}(\Delta_+), -/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+))$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy is given by  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $[n] \mapsto n + 1$ . Same for COrdCMon and lcOrdCMon.*
- (2) *The universal baseless OrdAb-entropy is given by  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[n] \mapsto n + 1$ . Same for lcOrdAb.*
- (3) *The universal baseless OrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $[n] \mapsto n + 1$ . Same for lcOrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy result, from which the other results follow directly. Fix any  $W' \in \text{OrdCMon}$  and strong monoidal functor  $H' : \text{Epi}(\Delta_+) \rightarrow W'$ . Since  $H'$  is a functor,  $H'([m]) \geq H'([n])$  whenever  $m \geq n$ . Since  $H'$  is strongly monoidal,  $H'([m] \otimes [n]) = H'([m]) + H'([n])$ . These imply that  $H'([n]) = (n + 1)H'([0])$ , and hence  $H' = tH$  where  $t : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow W'$ ,  $x \mapsto xH'([0])$ . Such  $t$  is clearly unique.  $\square$

To relate this “entropy” with the conventional notion of entropy of random variables, we consider an embedding from the MonSiMon category  $-/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  into the MonSiMon category  $-/\text{FinProb}$ , where  $[n]$  is mapped to the distribution of an i.i.d. sequence  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  of uniformly distributed elements in a fixed finite group  $G$ , which can be regarded as a “sequence of transformations”. The Shannon and Hartley entropy of this sequence is  $H(X_0, \dots, X_n) = (n + 1) \log |G|$ , proportional to  $n + 1$ . A morphism from  $[n]$  to  $[m]$  in  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  can be regarded as a way to combine consecutive transformations, that is, an order-preserving surjection  $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$  is mapped to a mapping from  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  to  $Y_0, \dots, Y_m$  where  $Y_i = \prod_{k \in f^{-1}(\{i\})} X_k$ . The tensor product of  $\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  corresponds to concatenating two sequences of transformations. To check that this embedding preserves the categorical product over the under category  $N([a]) = [a]/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$ , for  $f : [a] \rightarrow [b]$  and  $g : [a] \rightarrow [c]$ , the product  $f \otimes g : [a] \rightarrow \text{im}((f, g))$  corresponds to the sequence  $(\prod_{k \in (f, g)^{-1}(\{(i, j)\})} X_k)_{(i, j) \in \text{im}((f, g))}$  (this is a sequence since  $\text{im}((f, g))$  is totally ordered), which contains the same information as  $(\prod_{k \in f^{-1}(\{i\})} X_k)_{i \in [b]}$  (corresponding to  $f$ ) together with  $(\prod_{k \in g^{-1}(\{j\})} X_k)_{j \in [c]}$  (corresponding to  $g$ ).

This embedding from  $-/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  to  $-/\text{FinProb}$  gives the following commutative diagram in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , where the vertical arrow on the left is the embedding, and the two horizontal arrows ( $[n] \mapsto n + 1$ )  $\circ \text{Cod}$  and  $(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}$  are universal lcOrdAb-entropies of  $-/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+)$  and  $-/\text{FinProb}$ , respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 -/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+) & \xrightarrow{([n] \mapsto n+1) \circ \text{Cod}} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto (x \log |G|, x \log |G|) \\
 -/\text{FinProb} & \xrightarrow{(H_0, H_1) \circ \text{Cod}} & (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}
 \end{array}$$

**8.5. Universal Entropy of Finite Abelian Groups.** Consider the category  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$  consisting of finite abelian groups as objects, and epimorphisms between them as morphisms. The tensor product is the direct sum, and the under category  $N(A) = A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$  is equipped with a tensor product, where for  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : A \rightarrow C$ ,  $f \otimes g : A \rightarrow \text{im}((f, g))$  is the restriction of the codomain of  $(f, g) : A \rightarrow B \oplus C$  to its image. Note that  $f \otimes g$  is also the categorical product over  $A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$ . We now find the universal entropies of  $(\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}), -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}))$ .

**Theorem 24.** *For  $A \in \text{FinAb}$ ,  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_{i,k}(A)$  be the multiplicity of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}$  ( $p_i$  is the  $i$ -th prime number) in the decomposition of  $A$ , i.e., the decomposition of  $A$  is  $A \cong \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{M_{i,k}(A)}}$ . Define a function  $M : \text{FinAb} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}$ ,  $M(A) = (M_{i,k}(A))_{i,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For the MonSiMon category  $(\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}), -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}))$ :*

- (1) *The universal baseless OrdCMon-entropy is given by  $M : \text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq)$ ,<sup>23</sup> where  $a \succeq \beta$  if  $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,j} \geq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \beta_{i,j}$  for every  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Same for COrdCMon and lcOrdCMon.*
- (2) *The universal baseless OrdAb-entropy is given by  $M : \text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq)$ . Same for lcOrdAb.*
- (3) *The universal baseless OrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropy is given by  $M : \text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq)$ . Same for lcOrdVect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* We first state a well-known fact about finite abelian groups (often stated in other forms, e.g. see [56]). Consider finite abelian groups  $A, B$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) There is a subgroup of  $A$  isomorphic to  $B$ .
- (2) There is a quotient group of  $A$  isomorphic to  $B$ .
- (3)  $M(A) \succeq M(B)$ .

For the sake of completeness, we include the proof of  $1 \Rightarrow 3$  (the arguments are definitely not novel, though we could not find a reference). We appeal to [56] for  $2 \Rightarrow 1$ , and  $3 \Rightarrow 2$  follows directly from the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}$  has a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^k}$  if  $j \geq k$ . Consider  $A = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_{i,j}}}$ . Fix  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $S \subseteq A$  be the set of elements with order that divides  $p_i^k$ . We have  $|p_i^{k-1}S| = \prod_{j=k}^{\infty} p_i^{\alpha_{i,j}}$  since  $p_i^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j} = \{0\}$  for  $j < k$ ; and for  $j \geq k$ , the set of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}$  with order that divides  $p_i^k$  is  $\{0, p_i^{j-k}, 2p_i^{j-k}, \dots, (p_i^k - 1)p_i^{j-k}\}$ , and its image after multiplying  $p_i^{k-1}$  is  $\{0, p_i^{j-1}, 2p_i^{j-1}, \dots, (p_i^k - 1)p_i^{j-1}\}$ . Assume  $B \subseteq A$  is a subgroup with  $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^t}^t$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $|p_i^{k-1}B| = p_i^t$ . Since  $B \subseteq S$ ,  $p_i^{k-1}B \subseteq p_i^{k-1}S$ , we have

<sup>23</sup> $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2} = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  denotes the direct sum of monoids, where each element is in the form  $(\alpha_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with finitely many nonzero entries.

$p_i^t \leq \prod_{j=k}^{\infty} p_i^{\alpha_{i,j}}$ ,  $t \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,j}$ . The result follows from that  $\bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}^{\beta_{i,j}}$  has a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^k}^{\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \beta_{i,j}}$ .

We then show that  $M : \text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq)$  is a baseless  $\text{OrdCMon}$ -entropy. For a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$ , by the fundamental theorem on homomorphisms,  $B$  is isomorphic to the quotient group  $A/\ker(f)$ , and hence  $M(A) \succeq M(B)$ , meaning that  $M$  is a functor. It is clearly strongly monoidal. It is left to check the subadditivity property that  $M\text{Cod} : A/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq)$  is a lax monoidal functor for all  $A \in \text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$ . Consider epimorphisms  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : A \rightarrow C$  in  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$ , and let  $f \otimes g : A \rightarrow D$ . By construction,  $D$  is the image of  $(f, g) : A \rightarrow (B \oplus C)$ , and is a subgroup of  $B \oplus C$ . Hence  $M(D) \preceq M(B \oplus C) = M(B) + M(C)$  for  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Finally, we prove the universal property of  $M$ . Let  $H' : \text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow W'$  be any baseless  $\text{OrdCMon}$ -entropy. Since  $W'$  is skeletal,  $H'$  maps isomorphic groups to the same object, so  $H'(A)$  is determined by  $M(A)$ . Let  $H'(A) = t(M(A))$  where  $t : M(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow W'$  is a function. It is left to check that  $t$  is a morphism in  $\text{OrdCMon}$ . Let  $\theta_{i,j} := t(M(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}))$ . Since  $H'$  is a strong monoidal functor, for  $A \cong \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}^{\alpha_{i,j}}$ , we have

$$t(\alpha) = H'(A) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} H'(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} \theta_{i,j}.$$


Since there is a morphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{j+1}}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}$  and to  $\{0\}$  in  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$ , we have  $\theta_{i,j+1} \geq \theta_{i,j} \geq 0$ . Note that for every  $\alpha \succeq \beta$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,j} \geq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \beta_{i,j}$  for  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is possible to transform  $\alpha$  into  $\beta$  using a finite number of steps in this form: if  $\alpha_{i,j} \geq 1$ , decrease  $\alpha_{i,j}$  by 1, and increase  $\alpha_{i,j-1}$  by 1 if  $j \geq 2$ . Since  $\theta_{i,j+1} \geq \theta_{i,j} \geq 0$ , such a step cannot increase  $t(\alpha)$ , and hence  $t(\alpha) \geq t(\beta)$  if  $\alpha \succeq \beta$ . Therefore,  $t$  is an order-preserving homomorphism. It is clear that such  $t$  is unique.  $\square$

The characterization of the universal entropy of  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinGrp})$ , where  $\text{FinGrp}$  is the category of finite groups, is left for future studies.


## 9. GLOBAL NATURALITY

Recall that Definition 8 gives the universal  $V$ -entropy of various  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories  $\mathbb{C} \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  as components  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{C})$  of the unit of the universal  $V$ -entropy monad  $T$ . This allows us to link the universal entropies of all  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories in a natural and functorial manner, i.e., the mapping  $T$  sending a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $\mathbb{C}$  to the codomain of its universal entropy  $T(\mathbb{C})$  is a functor, and the mapping sending  $\mathbb{C}$  to the arrow  $\phi_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{C})$  (in the arrow category of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ ) is a functor as well.

In this paper, we have studied the following  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories, which can be linked by the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functors drawn below (where we write  $-/\mathbb{C}$  for the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $(\mathbb{C}, -/\mathbb{C})$  for brevity,  $\mathbb{F}$  is a finite field, and  $0 < \rho < 1$ ):



Each of these functors will be explained separately later. Note that  $*$  denotes the zero object of  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  (given by the pair  $(*, * \xrightarrow{*} \text{MonCat}_{\ell})$ , where  $* \xrightarrow{*} \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$  is the functor sending the unique object to  $* \in \text{MonCat}_{\ell}$ ), and has a universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy  $(!, h)$ ,  $h_{\bullet}(\bullet) = 0$ . Considering the reflective subcategory  $\text{lcOrdAb} \hookrightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$ , and passing these  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories to the monad unit  $\phi$ , and passing these  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functors to the monad  $T : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{lcOrdAb}$ , we have the following commutative diagram in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  linking those  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories and their universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropies:






The categories on the left are domains of the entropies, that are MonSiMon categories in the form  $(\mathbf{C}, -/\mathbf{C})$ . The categories on the right are codomains of the entropies, that are integrally closed partially ordered abelian groups, which are also MonSiMon categories via the embedding in Section 4. Each horizontal functor above are the universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropies of those MonSiMon categories. For notational simplicity, a universal  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy is written as  $h = H \circ \text{Cod}$ , where  $H$  is the universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy.<sup>24</sup> We now explain each of the vertical functors above:

- $-/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+) \rightarrow -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$  is the MonSiMon functor  $(F, \gamma)$ , with  $F$  sending  $[n]$  to  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , and sending order-preserving surjective function  $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$  to the injective function  $\{0, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, m\}$ ,  $x \mapsto \min f^{-1}(\{x\})$  (or  $\max f^{-1}(\{x\})$ ), and  $\gamma : -/\text{Epi}(\Delta_+) \Rightarrow F^{\text{op}}(-)/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}^{\text{op}})$ ,  $\gamma_P(X) = F(X)$  for  $X : P \rightarrow Q$ . After passing this MonSiMon functor to the monad  $T$ , the “ $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ ” functor in the diagram on the right is simply the identity MonSiMon functor.
- $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}})$  is the MonSiMon  $(F, \gamma)$ , with  $F$  sending  $A \in \text{FinSet}$  to  $\mathbb{F}^A \in \text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ , and sending injective function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  to  $\mathbb{F}^B \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^A$ ,  $(x_i)_{i \in B} \mapsto (x_{f(j)})_{j \in A}$ , and  $\gamma$  is the same as above. After passing this MonSiMon functor to the monad  $T$ , the “ $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ ” functor in the diagram on the right is simply the identity MonSiMon functor.
- $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinVect}_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$  is the inclusion MonSiMon functor. After passing this MonSiMon functor to the monad  $T$ , the “ $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq)$ ” functor in the diagram on the right is the MonSiMon functor mapping  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  to  $(\alpha_{i,k})_{i,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $\alpha_{i,k} = x$  if  $p_i = p$  and  $k = m$  (assuming  $|\mathbb{F}| = p^m$ ), and  $\alpha_{i,k} = 0$  otherwise.
- $-/\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb}) \rightarrow -/\text{FinProb}$  is the MonSiMon functor  $(F, \gamma)$ , with  $F$  sending  $A \in \text{FinAb}$  to the uniform distribution over  $A$ , and sending  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{Epi}(\text{FinAb})$  to the measure-preserving function from the uniform distribution over  $A$  to that over  $B$  given by  $f$ , and  $\gamma$  is the same as above. After passing this MonSiMon functor to the monad  $T$ , the “ $(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}^2}, \succeq) \rightarrow (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}$ ” functor in the diagram on the right is the MonSiMon functor mapping  $(\alpha_{i,k})_{i,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\sum_{i,k} \alpha_{i,k} \log p_i^k, \sum_{i,k} \alpha_{i,k} \log p_i^k)$ .
- $-/\text{FinProb} \rightarrow -/\text{Epi}(\text{FinSet})$  is the MonSiMon functor  $(F, \gamma)$ , with  $F$  sending  $P \in \text{FinProb}$  to the support of  $P$  (the functor  $\text{Supp}$  in Section 8.1). After passing this MonSiMon functor to the monad  $T$ , the “ $\pi_1 : (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ ” functor in the diagram on the right is the MonSiMon functor mapping  $(x_0, x_1)$  to  $x_0$ .
- $-/\text{FinProb} \rightarrow -/\text{LProb}_{\rho}$  is the inclusion MonSiMon functor. After passing this MonSiMon functor to the monad  $T$ , the “ $\pi_2 : (\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ” functor in the diagram on the right is the MonSiMon functor mapping  $(x_0, x_1)$  to  $x_1$ .

Moreover, we can link these MonSiMon categories and their universal  $\text{OrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{lcOrdAb}$  and  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -entropies, placing almost all the universal entropies discussed in this paper so far in the following commutative diagram. Also refer to Figure 9.1 for the same figure drawn in a way that highlights the objects and morphisms in different reflective subcategories.

<sup>24</sup>This notation is justified by the one-to-one correspondence  $Uh = UH \circ \text{Cod}$  in Proposition 9, where we omit the forgetful functor  $U$  for brevity.



## 10. CONDITIONAL ENTROPY

### 10.1. Discretely-Indexed Monoidal Strictly-Indexed Monoidal Categories.

For  $Q \in \text{FinProb}$ , the over category  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  can be regarded as “the category of finite conditional probability spaces conditioned on  $Q$ ”, where a “conditional probability space” is in the form  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ . It was noted in [3] that  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  is monoidal, with tensor product given by the conditional product of distributions [57], where  $f_1 \otimes f_2$  for  $f_1 : P_1 \rightarrow Q$  (where  $P_1$  is a distribution over  $A_1$ , and  $Q$  is a distribution over  $B$ ) and  $f_2 : P_2 \rightarrow Q$  (where  $P_2$  is a distribution over  $A_2$ ) is given by  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ , where  $P$  is a distribution over  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in A_1 \times A_2 : f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)\}$  with

$$P(x_1, x_2) = \frac{P_1(x_1)P_2(x_2)}{Q(f_1(x_1))},$$

and  $f$  is the measure-preserving function that maps  $(x_1, x_2)$  to  $f_1(x_1)$ . It was observed in [3] that under categories of  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  admits categorical products. For  $f : P \rightarrow Q$ , the under category  $f/(\text{FinProb}/Q)$  consists of objects in the form  $P \xrightarrow{x} A \xrightarrow{a} Q$  where  $ax = f$ , i.e., objects are commuting triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A & \\
 x \nearrow & & \searrow a \\
 P & \xrightarrow{f} & Q
 \end{array}$$

which can be regarded as a “conditional random variable conditioned on  $f$ ”, i.e.,  $x$  is a random variable over the probability space  $P$ , where the random variable  $f$




FIGURE 9.1. The universal  $V$ -entropies of various categories for various  $V$ 's discussed in this paper, placed in a single commutative diagram. The purple objects and morphisms in the purple rectangle are in the category  $\text{lcOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The blue and purple objects and morphisms are in  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ . The green, blue and purple objects and morphisms are in  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ . The red, green, blue and purple objects and morphisms are in  $\text{OrdCMon}$ . All objects and morphisms are in  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ . The universal  $V$ -entropy of  $C \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  (where  $V$  is  $\text{OrdCMon}$ ,  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ , ...) is the arrow from  $C$  to its reflection in  $V \subseteq \text{MonSiMonCat}$ .

must be a function of  $x$ . The categorical product over  $f/(\text{FinProb}/Q)$  corresponds to taking the joint random variable. The “conditional Shannon entropy functor”  $\tilde{H}_1 : f/(\text{FinProb}/Q) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  where

$$\tilde{H}_1 \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \nearrow x & & \searrow a \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & Q \end{array} \right) = H_1(x|f) = H_1(A) - H_1(Q)$$

is the conditional Shannon entropy of the random variable  $x$  conditional on the random variable  $f$ , was observed to be a lax monoidal functor in [3]. This is a consequence of the subadditivity of conditional Shannon entropy:

$$(10.1) \quad H_1(X|Z) + H_1(Y|Z) \geq H_1(X, Y|Z)$$

for any finite jointly distributed random variables  $X, Y, Z$ .

The above observations show that  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  shares many properties as  $\text{FinProb}$ . In fact, we can check that  $(\text{FinProb}/Q, -/(\text{FinProb}/Q))$  is a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category as well, with a universal baseless  $\text{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy that maps  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  to

$$(H_0(X|Y = y), H_1(X|Y = y))_{y \in \text{supp}(Q)} \in ((\log \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R})^{|\text{supp}(Q)|},$$

where  $X$  is a random variable that follows  $P$ ,  $Y = f(X)$ ,  $\text{supp}(Q)$  denotes the support of the distribution  $Q$ , and  $H_1(X|Y = y) = H_1(P_{X|Y=y})$  is the entropy of the conditional distribution  $P_{X|Y=y}$ . Here we simply concatenate the Shannon and Hartley entropies conditional on each value of  $Y$ . Different values of  $Y$  are treated separately and do not interact with each other. Although we can obtain the conditional entropy  $H_1(X|Y) = \sum_y Q(y)H_1(X|Y = y)$  as a linear function of  $(H_0(X|Y = y), H_1(X|Y = y))_y$ , we are interested in a characterization of the (conditional) Shannon entropy as the only entropy satisfying a certain universal property, excluding other linear functions of  $(H_0(X|Y = y), H_1(X|Y = y))_y$ . To this end, we should not find the entropy of each  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  separately, but find a way to link the entropies of  $\text{FinProb}/Q$  for different  $Q$  together. This can be done via the chain rule

$$(10.2) \quad H_1(X|Y) + H_1(Y|Z) = H_1(X|Z)$$

for any random variables  $X, Y, Z$  such that  $Y$  is a function of  $X$ , and  $Z$  is a function of  $Y$ . This is referred as the functoriality property in [2].

One might treat  $\text{FinProb}$  as an “indexed  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category” since each of its over category is a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category. Unfortunately, although the over category functor  $\text{FinProb}/- : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  is a functor, it cannot be upgraded to a  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  functor since there is generally no monoidal functor from  $\text{FinProb}/X$  to  $\text{FinProb}/Y$  that can be obtained from the morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  (the conditional product does not interact well with the change of the conditioned distribution). Though it can be considered as a  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$  functor, where  $\text{SiCat} = \mathbb{U}\text{Cat} // \text{Cat}$  is like  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  but with all monoidal structures removed. Therefore, we require two functors, one of them is  $\text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$ , and the other is  $\text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  (where  $\text{Dis}(\mathbb{C})$  is the discrete category with the same objects as  $\mathbb{C}$ ). We now give the definition of “discretely-indexed  $\text{MonSiMon}$  categories” by linking these two functors.

**Definition 25** (Discretely-indexed monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal categories). Assume  $\text{Cat}$  contains only small categories with respect to a Grothendieck universe. Let  $\mathbb{U}$  be a Grothendieck universe large enough such that  $\mathbb{U}\text{Cat}$ , the 2-category of  $\mathbb{U}$ -small categories, contains  $\text{Cat}$  as an object. Let  $\text{SiCat} = \mathbb{U}\text{Cat} // \text{Cat}$  (the *category of strictly-indexed categories*; see Definition 1), with the obvious forgetful functor  $U_{\text{MM}} : \text{MonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$  that forgets the two monoid structures. Let  $\mathbb{U}_2$  be a Grothendieck universe large enough such that  $\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat}$ , the 2-category of  $\mathbb{U}_2$ -small categories, contains  $\text{SiCat}$  and  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  as objects. Consider the strict-strict arrow 2-category  $2\text{Arr}(\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat})$ .<sup>25</sup> Then the *category of discretely-indexed monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (DisiMonSiMon) categories*, denoted as  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ , is given as the lax comma category<sup>26</sup> of the following pair of functors

$$\text{Cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Dis}(-)} \\ \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} \end{array} 2\text{Arr}(\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} \\ * \end{array}$$

<sup>25</sup> $2\text{Arr}(\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat})$  is the strict-strict functor 2-category from  $\mathbb{I}$  to  $\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat}$  (where  $\mathbb{I} = \{0 \rightarrow 1\}$  is the interval category as a strict 2-category), where objects are strict 2-functors  $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat}$ ; 1-cells are strict natural transformations; and 2-cells are modifications.

<sup>26</sup>Given a pair of functors  $A \xrightarrow{F} C \xleftarrow{G} B$  where  $A, B, C$  are strict 2-categories and  $F, G$  are strict 2-functors, the lax comma category is a category where objects are triples  $(A, B, t)$ ,  $A \in A$ ,  $B \in B$ , and  $t : F(A) \rightarrow G(B)$  in  $C$ ; and a morphism from  $(A, B, t)$  to  $(A', B', t')$  is a triple  $(a, b, \gamma)$ ,  $a : A \rightarrow A'$  in  $A$ ,  $b : B \rightarrow B'$  in  $B$ , and  $\gamma : G(b)t \Rightarrow t'F(a)$  in  $C$ .

where  $\text{Dis} : \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  is the comonad sending a category to its discrete subcategory (with the same objects and only the identity morphisms),  $\text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the obvious embedding,  $\text{Dis}(-) \hookrightarrow -$  is the functor that sends  $\mathbb{C} \in \text{Cat}$  to the arrow  $\text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in  $2\text{Arr}(\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat})$ , and  $*$   $\xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} 2\text{Arr}(\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat})$  is the functor that maps the unique object to the arrow  $U_{\text{MM}}$  in  $2\text{Arr}(\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat})$ .

We now unpack the above definition. An object of  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ , called a *discretely-indexed monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (DisiMonSiMon) category*, is a tuple  $(\mathbb{C}, O, \tilde{O})$ , where  $\mathbb{C} \in \text{Cat}$ ,  $O : \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  (a morphism in  $\mathbb{U}\text{Cat}$ ), and  $\tilde{O} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$  (a morphism in  $\mathbb{U}_2\text{Cat}$ ) such that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ o \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{O} \\ \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} \end{array}$$

Given  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  categories  $(\mathbb{C}_1, O_1, \tilde{O}_1)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}_2, O_2, \tilde{O}_2)$ , a morphism from the former to the later in  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$  (called a *DisiMonSiMon functor*) is given by a tuple  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega})$ , where  $F : \mathbb{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$ , and  $\omega : O_1 \Rightarrow O_2 \text{Dis}(F)$ ,  $\tilde{\omega} : \tilde{O}_1 \Rightarrow \tilde{O}_2 F$  are natural transformation such that the following two compositions are the same:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}_1) \xrightarrow{\text{Dis}(F)} \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_2 & & \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}_1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{C}_2 \\ \omega \nearrow & \text{O}_2 \downarrow \text{id} \nearrow & \tilde{\omega} \nearrow \\ \text{O}_1 \searrow & \text{O}_2 \downarrow & \tilde{O}_1 \searrow \\ \text{MonSiMonCat} \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} \text{SiCat} & = & \text{MonSiMonCat} \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} \text{SiCat} \end{array}$$

Composition of  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  functors  $(\mathbb{C}_1, O_1, \tilde{O}_1) \xrightarrow{(F_1, \omega_1, \tilde{\omega}_1)} (\mathbb{C}_2, O_2, \tilde{O}_2) \xrightarrow{(F_2, \omega_2, \tilde{\omega}_2)} (\mathbb{C}_3, O_3, \tilde{O}_3)$  is given by  $(F_2 F_1, \omega_2 \text{Dis}(F_1) \circ \omega_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 F_1 \circ \tilde{\omega}_1)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}_1) \xrightarrow{\text{Dis}(F_1)} \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{Dis}(F_2)} \text{Dis}(\mathbb{C}_3) & & \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathbb{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathbb{C}_3 \\ \omega_1 \nearrow & \text{O}_2 \downarrow \omega_2 \nearrow & \tilde{\omega}_1 \nearrow \\ \text{O}_1 \searrow & \text{O}_2 \downarrow & \tilde{O}_1 \searrow \\ \text{MonSiMonCat} & & \text{SiCat} \end{array}$$

An example of  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  category is the “ $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  category of finite conditional probability spaces”, denoted as  $\text{FinCondProb}$ , with:

- $\mathbb{C} = \text{FinProb}$ .
- $O : \text{Dis}(\text{FinProb}) \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  sends  $Q \in \text{FinProb}$  to  $O(Q) = (\text{FinProb}/Q, -/(\text{FinProb}/Q))$ , the “ $\text{MonSiMon}$  category of conditional probability spaces conditioned on  $Q$ ”, with tensor product given by the conditional product.
- $\tilde{O} : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$  defined as:
  - For object  $Q \in \text{FinProb}$ ,  $\tilde{O}(Q) = U_{\text{MM}}(O(Q))$ .
  - For morphism  $f : Q \rightarrow Q'$ ,  $\tilde{O}(f) = (F, \gamma)$  is a GC functor (morphism in  $\text{SiCat}$ , defined in a similar way as  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor) where:

- \*  $F : \text{FinProb}/Q \rightarrow \text{FinProb}/Q'$  is the postcomposition functor by  $f$ , a “functor sending a conditional probability space conditioned on  $Q$  to that conditioned on  $Q'$ ”.
- \* The natural transformation

$$\gamma : -/(\text{FinProb}/Q) \Rightarrow F^{\text{op}}(-)/(\text{FinProb}/Q')$$

has component

$$\gamma_g : g/(\text{FinProb}/Q) \rightarrow fg/(\text{FinProb}/Q')$$

for  $g : P \rightarrow Q$  given by the postcomposition by  $f$ :

$$\gamma_g \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ x \nearrow & & \searrow a \\ P & \xrightarrow{g} & Q \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ x \nearrow & & \searrow fa \\ P & \xrightarrow{fg} & Q' \end{array} \right),$$

which is a “functor sending a conditional random variable conditioned on  $Q$  to that conditioned on  $Q'$ ”.

Note that  $\gamma_g$  is not a monoidal functor, and  $\gamma$  is not a monoidal natural transformation, which is fine since functoriality is only required for  $\tilde{O} : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$  (where  $\text{SiCat}$  is like  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$  but without any monoidal structure), not for  $O : \text{Dis}(\text{FinProb}) \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  (since the domain is discrete).

**10.2. Embedding OrdCMon into DisiMonSiMonCat.** Similar to how we embed  $\text{OrdCMon}$  into  $\text{MonSiMonCat}$ , we can also embed it into  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ .

**Definition 26** (Embedding  $\text{OrdCMon}$  into  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ ). Define a functor  $R_{\text{DiM}} : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$  which maps the object  $W \in \text{OrdCMon}$  to  $(\mathbf{B}(W), R(W), \mathbf{A}_W) \in \text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(W) \\ R(W) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_W \\ \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} \end{array}$$

where

- $\mathbf{B} : \text{CMon} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$  is the delooping functor sending the commutative monoid  $M \in \text{CMon}$  to the category  $\mathbf{B}(M) \in \text{Cat}$  with one object  $\bullet$  with a monoid of endomorphisms  $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{B}(M)}(\bullet, \bullet) = M$ , and sending a monoid homomorphism  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  to the functor sending the endomorphism  $x \in \text{hom}_{\mathbf{B}(M_1)}(\bullet, \bullet) = M_1$  to  $f(x) \in \text{hom}_{\mathbf{B}(M_2)}(\bullet, \bullet) = M_2$ .<sup>27</sup>
- $R(W)$  denotes the functor  $* \rightarrow \text{MonSiMonCat}$  sending the unique object to  $R(W) \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  (see Lemma 2).
- $\mathbf{A}_W : \mathbf{B}(W) \rightarrow \text{SiCat}$  is the “multiplication action” which sends the only object to  $U_{\text{MM}}(R(W))$ , and the morphism  $x \in W$  (recall that  $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{B}(M)}(\bullet, \bullet)$  is  $W$  as a monoid) to the morphism  $(!, \gamma)$  in  $\text{SiCat}$ , where  $! : * \rightarrow *$  is the unique functor, and  $\gamma : (* \xrightarrow{W} \text{Cat}) \Rightarrow (* \xrightarrow{W} \text{Cat})$  has component  $\gamma_\bullet : W \rightarrow W$  given by left multiplication  $\gamma_\bullet = x \otimes -$ .

<sup>27</sup>We write  $\mathbf{B}(W) = \mathbf{B}(U(W))$  where  $U : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{CMon}$  is the forgetful functor. We omit  $U$  for brevity.

For a morphism  $F : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  in  $\text{OrdCMon}$ , we take  $R_{\text{DiM}}(F) = (\mathbf{B}(F), R(F), \tilde{\omega})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(W_2) \\
 \downarrow R(W_1) & \nearrow R(F) & \downarrow R(W_2) & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \\
 \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} & & \text{SiCat} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \\
 & & & & \text{SiCat}
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 * & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(W_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}(F)} & \mathbf{B}(W_2) \\
 \downarrow R(W_1) & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_1} & \nearrow \tilde{\omega} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \\
 \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} & & \text{SiCat} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \\
 & & & & \text{SiCat}
 \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{\omega} : \mathbf{A}_{W_1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \mathbf{B}(F)$  with component  $\tilde{\omega}_\bullet : U_{\text{MM}}(R(W_1)) \rightarrow U_{\text{MM}}(R(W_2))$  being a morphism  $(!, \gamma)$  in  $\text{SiCat}$ , where  $\gamma : (* \xrightarrow{W_1} \text{Cat}) \Rightarrow (* \xrightarrow{W_2} \text{Cat})$  has component  $\gamma_\bullet = F : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ .

**10.3. Chain Rule.** Similar to how Section 5 showed that a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor from  $\mathbf{C} \in \text{MonSiMonCat}$  to  $W \in \text{OrdCMon}$  must satisfy the monotonicity, additivity and subadditivity properties, we now show that a  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  functor  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega})$  from  $(\mathbf{C}, O, \tilde{O}) \in \text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$  to  $R_{\text{DiM}}(W)$  (where  $W \in \text{OrdCMon}$ ) must satisfy the chain rule (10.2). Denote the tensor product and the tensor unit of  $W$  by  $+$  and  $0$  respectively. By the definition of  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  functor, the following two compositions are the same:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Dis}(\mathbf{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Dis}(F)} & * & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(W) \\
 \downarrow O & \nearrow \omega & \downarrow R(W) & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_W \\
 \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} & & \text{SiCat} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_W \\
 & & & & \text{SiCat}
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Dis}(\mathbf{C}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{B}(W) \\
 \downarrow O & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \tilde{O} & \nearrow \tilde{\omega} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_W \\
 \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} & & \text{SiCat} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_W \\
 & & & & \text{SiCat}
 \end{array}$$

Hence, for  $P \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$(10.3) \quad U_{\text{MM}}(\omega_P) = \tilde{\omega}_P.$$

By the naturality of  $\tilde{\omega}$ , for  $g : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , we have

$$(10.4) \quad \mathbf{A}_W(F(g))\tilde{\omega}_P = \tilde{\omega}_Q \tilde{O}(g).$$

Let the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category  $O(P)$  be  $(\mathbf{D}_P, N_P)$  (same for  $O(Q)$ ). Let the GC functor  $\tilde{\omega}_P : \tilde{O}(P) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_W(F(P))$  be  $(!, \tilde{h}_P)$ , where  $\tilde{h}_P : N_P \Rightarrow \Delta_W$ . Let the GC functor  $\tilde{O}(g)$  be  $(G, \gamma)$ ,  $G : \mathbf{D}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_Q$ ,  $\gamma : N_P \Rightarrow N_Q G^{\text{op}}$ . Equation (10.4) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{D}_P^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{!} & * & \xrightarrow{!} & * \\
 \downarrow N_P & \nearrow \tilde{h}_P & \downarrow W & \nearrow F(g) + - & \downarrow W \\
 \text{Cat} & & \text{Cat} & & \text{Cat}
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{D}_P^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{G^{\text{op}}} & \mathbf{D}_Q^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{!} & * \\
 \downarrow N_P & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow N_Q & \nearrow h_Q & \downarrow W \\
 \text{Cat} & & \text{Cat} & & \text{Cat}
 \end{array}$$

For  $E \in \mathbf{D}_P$ ,  $X \in N_P(E)$ , we have

$$F(g) + \tilde{h}_{P,E}(X) = \tilde{h}_{Q,G(E)}(\gamma_E(X)).$$

Let the MonSiMon functor  $\omega_P : O(P) \rightarrow R(W)$  be  $(!, h_P)$ , where  $h_P : N_P \Rightarrow \Delta_W$  is a monoidal natural transformation. By (10.3), we also have

$$(10.5) \quad F(g) + h_{P,E}(X) = h_{Q,G(E)}(\gamma_E(X)).$$

Taking  $E = I_{D_P}$  and  $X = \epsilon_{P,\bullet}$  where  $\epsilon_P : * \rightarrow N(I_{D_P})$  is one of the coherence maps of the lax monoidal functor  $N$ , we have  $h_{P,E}(X) = 0$  since  $h_P$  is a monoidal natural transformation, and hence by (10.5),  $F(g) = h_{Q,G(I_{D_P})}(\gamma_{I_{D_P}}(\epsilon_{P,\bullet}))$ . Substituting back to (10.5),

$$(10.6) \quad h_{Q,G(I_{D_P})}(\gamma_{I_{D_P}}(\epsilon_{P,\bullet})) + h_{P,E}(X) = h_{Q,G(E)}(\gamma_E(X)).$$

Here  $h_{P,E}(X)$  should be interpreted as “the conditional entropy of the random variable  $X \in N_P(E)$  conditioned on  $P$ ”.

We now consider the DisiMonSiMon category  $\text{FinCondProb}$  discussed earlier. For a chain of morphisms  $K \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} Q$  in  $\text{FinProb}$ , taking  $X = \text{id}_K$ , (10.6) gives

$$(10.7) \quad h_{Q,g}(\text{id}_P) + h_{P,f}(\text{id}_K) = h_{Q,gf}(\text{id}_K),$$

or informally, “ $H(P|Q) + H(K|P) = H(K|Q)$ ”, which is basically the chain rule (10.2).

**10.4. OrdCMon as a Reflective Subcategory of DisiMonSiMonCat.** Similar to Lemma 2, we can show that  $R_{\text{DiM}}$  is fully faithful and has a left adjoint. Therefore,  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ .

**Lemma 27.**  $R_{\text{DiM}} : \text{OrdCMon} \rightarrow \text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$  is fully faithful, and has a left adjoint  $L_{\text{DiM}} : \text{DisiMonSiMonCat} \rightarrow \text{OrdCMon}$ . Hence,  $\text{OrdCMon}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ .

*Proof.* First check that  $R_{\text{DiM}}$  is fully faithful. Consider a DisiMonSiMon functor  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) : R_{\text{DiM}}(W_1) \rightarrow R_{\text{DiM}}(W_2)$ , where  $W_1, W_2 \in \text{OrdCMon}$ . We have the following (omitting obvious embedding functors):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(W_2) \\ \omega \nearrow & & \downarrow W_2 & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \\ * & & \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} * & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(W_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}(F)} & \mathbf{B}(W_2) \\ \downarrow W_1 & \nearrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_1} & \nearrow \tilde{\omega} & \downarrow \mathbf{A}_{W_2} \\ * & & \text{MonSiMonCat} & \xrightarrow{U_{\text{MM}}} & \text{SiCat} \end{array}$$

Hence,  $U_{\text{MM}}(\omega_\bullet) = \tilde{\omega}_\bullet$ . Let the GC functor  $\tilde{\omega}_\bullet : U_{\text{MM}}(R(W_1)) \rightarrow U_{\text{MM}}(R(W_2))$  be  $(!, \tilde{\gamma})$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma} : \Delta_{W_1} \Rightarrow \Delta_{W_2}$ . By the naturality of  $\tilde{\omega}$ ,  $F(x) \otimes \tilde{\gamma}_\bullet(y) = \tilde{\gamma}_\bullet(x \otimes y)$  for all  $x, y \in W_1$ . Taking  $y$  to be the unit, we obtain  $F(x) = \tilde{\gamma}_\bullet(x)$ . Hence  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega})$  is determined by  $\omega$ .

Consider a DisiMonSiMon category  $(C, O, \tilde{O})$ . For  $P \in C$ , consider  $\text{Ob}(L(O(P)))$ , which is the codomain of the universal  $\text{OrdCMon}$  entropy of  $O(P)$  as a set. Let  $(!, h_P)$  be the universal  $\text{OrdCMon}$  entropies of  $O(P)$ , where  $h_P : N_P \Rightarrow \Delta_{L(O(P))}$  is a monoidal natural transformation. Let

$$S = \text{Ob}(\text{Arr}(C)) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in C} \text{Ob}(L(O(P))) \in \text{Set}$$

be the disjoint set union of the set of morphisms in  $C$  and the aforementioned codomains. Let  $F(S)$  be the commutative monoid generated by the set  $S$ , with



product denoted as  $+$ . Consider a binary relation  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $F(S)$ , where the following pairs are related for every  $P \in \mathbf{C}$ :

- $(gf, g + f)$  and  $(g + f, gf)$  for  $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_2 \xrightarrow{g} P_3$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ ;
- $(A, B)$  for every morphism  $g : A \rightarrow B$  in  $L(O(P))$ ;
- $(A \otimes B, A + B)$  and  $(A + B, A \otimes B)$  for every  $A, B \in L(O(P))$ ;
- $(g+h_{P,E}(X), h_{Q,G(E)}(\gamma_E(X)))$  and  $(h_{Q,G(E)}(\gamma_E(X)), g+h_{P,E}(X))$  for  $g : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , where we let  $O(P)$  be  $(D_P, N_P)$ ,  $\tilde{O}(g)$  be  $(G, \gamma)$ , and consider every  $E \in D_P$ ,  $X \in N_P(E)$  (see (10.5));
- $(I_{L(O(P))}, I)$  and  $(I, I_{L(O(P))})$ , where  $I$  and  $I_{L(O(P))}$  are the monoidal units of  $F(S)$  and  $L(O(P))$  respectively.

Consider the transitive monoidal closure  $\text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ , which is the smallest monoidal preorder containing  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $L_{\text{DiM}}((\mathbf{C}, O, \tilde{O})) \in \text{OrdCMon}$  be the symmetric monoidal posetal category where objects are equivalent classes in  $\text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$ , tensor product is given by  $+$ , and  $X \geq Y$  (there is a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ ) if  $(X, Y) \in \text{Tra}(\text{Mon}(\mathcal{R}))$ . It is straightforward to check that  $L_{\text{DiM}}$  is left adjoint to  $R_{\text{DiM}}$ . The rest of the proof is straightforward and omitted.  $\square$

**10.5. Universal Conditional Entropy.** We can define the universal conditional V-entropy in the same manner as Definition 8.

**Definition 28** (Universal conditional V-entropy). Consider a  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  category  $\mathbf{C} \in \text{DisiMonSiMonCat}$ , and a reflective subcategory  $\mathbf{V}$  of  $\text{OrdCMon}$ , the *universal conditional V-entropy* of  $\mathbf{C}$  is the reflection morphism from  $\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{V}$ .

We now prove that the conditional Shannon entropy is the universal conditional  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $\text{FinCondProb}$ , the category of finite conditional probability spaces.

**Theorem 29.** *The universal conditional  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy of  $\text{FinCondProb}$  is given by the conditional Shannon entropy as a  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  functor  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) : \text{FinCondProb} \rightarrow R_{\text{DiM}}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ , where  $F : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  maps the morphism  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  to  $H_1(P) - H_1(Q)$ , the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor  $\omega_Q : (-/(\text{FinProb}/Q)) \rightarrow R(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  is given by  $(!, h_Q)$  with a component  $h_{Q,f}$  for  $f \in \text{FinProb}/Q$  given as*

$$h_{Q,f} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \nearrow^x & & \searrow^a \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & Q \end{array} \right) = H_1(A) - H_1(Q),$$

and  $\tilde{\omega}_Q = U_{\text{MM}}(\omega_Q)$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega})$  is a  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  functor. To check the universal property, we now consider any  $\text{DisiMonSiMon}$  functor  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) : \text{FinProb} \rightarrow R_{\text{DiM}}(\mathbf{W})$  where  $\mathbf{W} \in \text{lcOrdCMon}$ . Assume the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor  $\omega_Q : (-/(\text{FinProb}/Q)) \rightarrow R(\mathbf{W})$  is given by  $(!, h_Q)$ ,  $h_Q : -/(\text{FinProb}/Q) \Rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{W}}$ . Consider the degenerate distribution  $1 \in \text{FinProb}$ . Note that  $O(1) = -/(\text{FinProb}/1)$  is isomorphic to  $-/\text{FinProb}$  as a  $\text{MonSiMon}$  category. By Theorem 12, we can let  $\omega_1$  be the composition

$$O(1) \xrightarrow{(!, (s_0, s_1))} R(W_1) \xrightarrow{R(t)} R(\mathbf{W}),$$

where  $(!, (s_0, s_1))$  is the universal  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ -entropy (pairing of Hartley and Shannon entropies) in 12,  $W_1 := (H_0, H_1)(\text{FinProb}) \subseteq (\log \mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , and  $t : W_1 \rightarrow W$  is a morphism in  $\text{lcOrdCMon}$ .

For  $Q \xrightarrow{!} 1$  in  $\text{FinProb}$ , let

$$(10.8) \quad H(Q) := h_{1,!}(\text{id}_Q).$$

By (10.7), for every  $P \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{!} 1$  in  $\text{FinProb}$ ,

$$h_{1,!}(\text{id}_Q) + h_{Q,f}(\text{id}_P) = h_{1,!f}(\text{id}_P).$$

Therefore,

$$(10.9) \quad h_{Q,f}(\text{id}_P) = H(P) - H(Q).$$

Let  $P$  be a probability distribution over  $\{0, 1\}^3$  where

$$P(0, 0, 0) = P(0, 1, 0) = P(1, 0, 0) = 1/4,$$

$$P(1, 1, 0) = 1/4 - \epsilon,$$

$$P(1, 1, 1) = \epsilon,$$

where  $0 < \epsilon < 1/4$ . Let  $Q$  be the uniform distribution over  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  be given by the measure-preserving mapping  $(x, y, z) \mapsto z$ . Consider  $a, b \in f/(\text{FinProb}/Q)$ ,  $a : P \rightarrow A$ ,  $b : P \rightarrow B$ , given by the measure-preserving mappings  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (z, x)$  and  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, z)$  respectively. Note that the categorical product  $a \times b$  over the category  $f/(\text{FinProb}/Q)$  is  $\text{id}_P$ . We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xleftarrow{a} & P & \xrightarrow{b} & B \\ & \searrow \pi_1 & \downarrow f & \swarrow \pi_2 & \\ & & Q & & \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are given by the measure-preserving mappings  $(z, x) \mapsto z$  and  $(y, z) \mapsto z$  respectively. By the subadditivity property of the  $\text{MonSiMon}$  functor  $\omega_Q : \text{FinProb}/Q \rightarrow W$ , we have

$$h_{Q,f}(a) + h_{Q,f}(b) \geq h_{Q,f}(\text{id}_P).$$

By (5.2),

$$h_{Q,\pi_1}(\text{id}_A) + h_{Q,\pi_2}(\text{id}_B) \geq h_{Q,f}(\text{id}_P).$$

Applying (10.9),

$$(10.10) \quad H(A) + H(B) \geq H(P) + H(Q),$$

which is the submodularity inequality [58]. Note that the Hartley and Shannon entropies of  $P, A, B, Q$  are

$$H_0(P) = \log 5, \quad H_1(P) = \log 4 + \delta_P(\epsilon),$$

$$H_0(A) = \log 3, \quad H_1(A) = \log 2 + \delta_A(\epsilon),$$

$$H_0(B) = \log 3, \quad H_1(B) = \log 2 + \delta_A(\epsilon),$$

$$H_0(Q) = \log 2, \quad H_1(Q) = \delta_Q(\epsilon),$$

where  $\delta_P(\epsilon), \delta_A(\epsilon), \delta_Q(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, (10.10) gives

$$t(\log 9, \log 4 + 2\delta_A(\epsilon)) \geq t(\log 10, \log 4 + \delta_P(\epsilon) + \delta_Q(\epsilon)).$$

Writing  $\delta(\epsilon) := 2\delta_A(\epsilon)$ ,  $\delta'(\epsilon) := \delta_P(\epsilon) + \delta_Q(\epsilon)$ , we have

$$t(\log 9, \log 4 + \delta(\epsilon)) \geq t(\log 10, \log 4 + \delta'(\epsilon)).$$

We then prove that for  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in W_1$ , if  $b_1 > b_2$ , then we have  $t(a_1, b_1) \geq t(a_2, b_2)$ . To prove this, consider  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that  $n \log 10 + a_1 > n \log 9 + a_2$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be small enough such that  $n\delta'(\epsilon) + b_1 > n\delta(\epsilon) + b_2$ . Since  $t$  is an order-preserving homomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} & nt(\log 9, \log 4 + \delta(\epsilon)) + t(a_1, b_1) \\ & \geq nt(\log 10, \log 4 + \delta'(\epsilon)) + t(a_1, b_1) \\ & = t(n \log 10 + a_1, n \log 4 + n\delta'(\epsilon) + b_1) \\ & \geq t(n \log 9 + a_2, n \log 4 + n\delta(\epsilon) + b_2) \\ & = nt(\log 9, \log 4 + \delta(\epsilon)) + t(a_2, b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $W$  is cancellative,  $t(a_1, b_1) \geq t(a_2, b_2)$ .

We now prove that  $t(a, b)$  does not depend on  $a$ . Fix  $a_1, a_2, b$  such that  $(a_1, b), (a_2, b) \in W_1$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $nb + \log 2 > nb$ , and hence  $nt(a_1, b) + t(\log 2, \log 2) = t(na_1 + \log 2, nb + \log 2) \geq t(na_2, nb) = nt(a_2, b)$ . By integral closedness,  $t(a_1, b) \geq t(a_2, b)$ . We also have  $t(a_2, b) \geq t(a_1, b)$ , and hence  $t(a_1, b) = t(a_2, b)$ . Hence,  $t$  can be factorized as

$$W_1 \xrightarrow{(!, (s_0, s_1))} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{t'} W.$$

Such  $t'$  is clearly an order-preserving homomorphism and is unique. Therefore, the conditional Shannon entropy functor is the universal DisiMonSiMon functor from  $\text{FinCondProb}$  to  $\text{SOrdVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .  $\square$

We can also show the same result for  $\text{LCondProb}_{\rho}$  and  $\text{HCondProb}$  (the conditional versions of  $\text{LProb}_{\rho}$  and  $\text{HProb}$  defined in the same manner as  $\text{FinCondProb}$ ; see Section 7 for the definitions of  $\text{LProb}_{\rho}$  and  $\text{HProb}$ ). The proof is the same as Theorem 29 and is omitted.

**Proposition 30.** *The universal conditional lcOrdCMon-entropy of  $\text{LCondProb}_{\rho}$  (where  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) is given by the conditional Shannon entropy as a DisiMonSiMon functor  $(F, \omega, \tilde{\omega}) : \text{LCondProb}_{\rho} \rightarrow R_{\text{DiM}}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ , where  $F : \text{LProb}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  maps the morphism  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  to  $H_1(P) - H_1(Q)$ , the MonSiMon functor  $\omega_Q : (-/(\text{LProb}_{\rho}/Q)) \rightarrow R(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  is given by  $(!, h_Q)$  with a component  $h_{Q,f}$  for  $f \in \text{LProb}_{\rho}/Q$  given as*

$$h_{Q,f} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ x \nearrow & & \searrow a \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & Q \end{array} \right) = H_1(A) - H_1(Q),$$

and  $\tilde{\omega}_Q = U_{\text{MM}}(\omega_Q)$ . The same is true for  $\text{HCondProb}$  in place of  $\text{LCondProb}_{\rho}$ .

## 11. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we studied the notion of monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (MonSiMon) categories that captures the notions of product distributions and joint random variables. The universal entropy of a MonSiMon category is then defined as the universal MonSiMon functor to some reflective subcategory of  $\mathbf{MonSiMonCat}$  (the category of MonSiMon categories) such as  $\mathbf{lcOrdCMon}$  and  $\mathbf{lcOrdAb}$ , which can also be given as a monoidal natural transformation satisfying a universal property. For example, the pairing of the Hartley and Shannon entropies is the universal entropy  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ , and the Shannon entropy is the universal entropy  $\mathbf{LProb}_\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < 1$ ). We also introduced the discretely-indexed monoidal strictly-indexed monoidal (DisiMonSiMon) categories, where “over categories” are MonSiMon categories, to capture the notion of conditional product distributions. We show that the conditional Shannon entropy is the universal conditional entropy of  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  as a DisiMonSiMon category. Moreover, the definition of universal entropy allows us to link the universal entropies of different MonSiMon categories together in a natural manner.

Although we have shown that the universal  $\mathbf{lcOrdCMon}$  and  $\mathbf{lcOrdAb}$ -entropy always exists for all MonSiMon category, finding these entropies is often nontrivial. For future studies, it may be of interest to find the universal entropies of some categories not covered in this paper, such as category of finite groups, the category of finite partial orders, the category of finite simplicial sets, and the category of finite categories.

Linear entropy inequalities are linear inequalities on the Shannon entropy over  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  [59, 60]. One potential research direction is to investigate the sets of true linear inequalities over the universal entropies of different DisiMonSiMon categories, and how they depend on the category concerned. While some inequalities are true for every DisiMonSiMon category (e.g., the subadditivity inequality  $H(X, Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$  which follows from the definition of universal entropy), it is possible that there are some inequalities that are specific to  $\mathbf{FinProb}$ , which are necessarily non-Shannon inequalities [60, 48]. Also, while conditional linear entropy inequalities over  $\mathbf{FinProb}$  are undecidable [61, 62, 63], it is uncertain whether the same is true for other DisiMonSiMon categories. The category-theoretic framework in this paper, which allows us to keep track of relations and operations on probability distributions and random variables, may also be useful for symbolic algorithms for the automated proof of entropy inequalities [64, 59, 65, 66].

We may also investigate whether the universal property, or a modified version of it, can be applied to von Neumann entropy of quantum states. An obstacle is the no-broadcast theorem [67], which forbids us from combining two quantum operations, which is necessary to discuss the subadditivity of entropy in the sense in this paper. It would be interesting to study whether we can use notions such as graded monoidal categories [68] to modify the construction of the DisiMonSiMon category in this paper to accommodate quantum states.

We may also study whether the differential entropy satisfies a universal property. The differential entropy lacks a number of important properties that the discrete entropy satisfies. For example, differential entropy is not invariant under bijective transformations. Therefore, it is not immediately clear how a MonSiMon category of continuous probability distributions can be defined so that the differential entropy is given by a MonSiMon functor.

## 12. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was partially supported by two grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China [Project No.s: CUHK 24205621 (ECS), CUHK 14209823 (GRF)]. The author would like to thank Tobias Fritz for his invaluable comments. Most diagrams in this paper are drawn using Quiver [69] or Ipe [70].

## REFERENCES

- [1] U. Franz, “What is stochastic independence?” in *Non-commutativity, infinite-dimensionality and probability at the crossroads*. World Scientific, 2002, pp. 254–274.
- [2] J. C. Baez, T. Fritz, and T. Leinster, “A characterization of entropy in terms of information loss,” *Entropy*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1945–1957, 2011.
- [3] J. Baez, T. Fritz, and T. Leinster, “Entropy as a functor,” *Blog Post*, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ncatlab.org/johnbaez/show/Entropy+as+a+functor>
- [4] R. V. Hartley, “Transmission of information,” *Bell System technical journal*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 535–563, 1928.
- [5] J. Aczél, B. Forte, and C. T. Ng, “Why the Shannon and Hartley entropies are ‘natural’,” *Advances in applied probability*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 131–146, 1974.
- [6] A. H. Clifford, “Partially ordered abelian groups,” *Annals of Mathematics*, pp. 465–473, 1940.
- [7] A. M. W. Glass, *Partially ordered groups*. World Scientific, 1999, vol. 7.
- [8] C. E. Shannon, “A mathematical theory of communication,” *Bell system technical journal*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 379–423, 1948.
- [9] D. K. Faddeev, “On the concept of entropy of a finite probabilistic scheme,” *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 227–231, 1956.
- [10] T. Chaundy and J. McLeod, “On a functional equation,” *Edinburgh Mathematical Notes*, vol. 43, pp. 7–8, 1960.
- [11] J. Aczél and Z. Daróczy, *On measures of information and their characterizations*. Academic Press, 1975.
- [12] M. P. Müller and M. Pastena, “A generalization of majorization that characterizes Shannon entropy,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1711–1720, 2016.
- [13] A. Rényi, “On the dimension and entropy of probability distributions,” *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 193–215, 1959.
- [14] I. Csiszár, “Axiomatic characterizations of information measures,” *Entropy*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 261–273, 2008.
- [15] A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B. C. Arnold, *Inequalities: theory of majorization and its applications*. New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London: Springer, 2011.
- [16] F. W. Lawvere, “The category of probabilistic mappings,” *preprint*, 1962.
- [17] M. Giry, “A categorical approach to probability theory,” in *Categorical aspects of topology and analysis*. Springer, 1982, pp. 68–85.
- [18] T. Leinster, “The categorical origins of entropy,” in *Talk at the Geometrical and Topological Structures of Information Conference at CIRM*, 2017.
- [19] J. Fullwood and A. J. Parzygnat, “The information loss of a stochastic map,” *Entropy*, vol. 23, no. 8, p. 1021, 2021.
- [20] J. C. Baez and T. Fritz, “A Bayesian characterization of relative entropy,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.3067*, 2014.
- [21] N. Gagné and P. Panangaden, “A categorical characterization of relative entropy on standard borel spaces,” *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 336, pp. 135–153, 2018.
- [22] T. Leinster, *Entropy and diversity: the axiomatic approach*. Cambridge university press, 2021.
- [23] T.-D. Bradley, “Entropy as a topological operad derivation,” *Entropy*, vol. 23, no. 9, p. 1195, 2021.
- [24] T. Fritz, “A synthetic approach to Markov kernels, conditional independence and theorems on sufficient statistics,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 370, p. 107239, 2020.
- [25] P. Perrone, “Markov categories and entropy,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.11719*, 2022.

- [26] A. Corradini and A. Asperti, “A categorical model for logic programs: Indexed monoidal categories,” in *Semantics: Foundations and Applications: REX Workshop Beekbergen, The Netherlands, June 1–4, 1992 Proceedings*. Springer, 1993, pp. 110–137.
- [27] P. Hofstra and F. De Marchi, “Descent for monads,” *Theory and Applications of Categories*, vol. 16, pp. 668–699, 2006.
- [28] M. Shulman, “Enriched indexed categories,” *Theory and Applications of Categories*, vol. 28, no. 21, pp. 616–695, 2013.
- [29] J. Moeller and C. Vasilakopoulou, “Monoidal Grothendieck construction,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00727*, 2018.
- [30] N. Johnson and D. Yau, *2-dimensional categories*. Oxford University Press, USA, 2021.
- [31] J. Baez, “Some definitions everyone should know,” *Category Theory Lectures*, 2004. [Online]. Available: <http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/qg-winter2001/definitions.pdf>
- [32] R. Pare, R. Rosebrugh, D. Schumacher, R. Wood, and G. Wraith, *Indexed categories and their applications*. Springer, 2006, vol. 661.
- [33] T. S. Blyth, *Lattices and ordered algebraic structures*. Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [34] T. Fritz, “Resource convertibility and ordered commutative monoids,” *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 850–938, 2017.
- [35] H.-E. Porst, “On categories of monoids, comonoids, and bimonoids,” *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 127–139, 2008.
- [36] nLab authors, “microcosm principle,” <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/microcosm+principle>, Aug 2023.
- [37] M. Aguiar and S. A. Mahajan, *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*. American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 2010, vol. 29.
- [38] M. Batanin and M. Markl, “Centers and homotopy centers in enriched monoidal categories,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 230, no. 4-6, pp. 1811–1858, 2012.
- [39] B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton, “Group-like structures in general categories. I. multiplications and comultiplications,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 145, no. 3, pp. 227–255, 1962.
- [40] N. Kehayopulu and M. Tsingelis, “On separative ordered semigroups,” in *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 56, no. 2. Springer-Verlag New York, 1998, pp. 187–196.
- [41] L. Fuchs, *Partially ordered algebraic systems*. Courier Corporation, 2011, vol. 28.
- [42] L. Narici and E. Beckenstein, *Topological vector spaces*. CRC Press, 2010.
- [43] H. H. Schaefer and M. P. Wolff, “Topological vector spaces,” *Springer New York, NY*, 1999.
- [44] E. Y. Emelyanov, “Archimedean cones in vector spaces,” *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 169–183, 2017.
- [45] T. Tao, *Topics in random matrix theory*. American Mathematical Soc., 2012, vol. 132.
- [46] A. Rényi, “On measures of entropy and information,” in *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Contributions to the Theory of Statistics*. The Regents of the University of California, 1961.
- [47] J. P. Burg, “Maximum entropy spectral analysis,” in *37th Meeting, Soc. Exploration. Geophysicist, Oklahoma City, Okla.*, October 1967.
- [48] R. W. Yeung, *A first course in information theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2002.
- [49] R. Ahlswede, N. Cai, S.-Y. Li, and R. W. Yeung, “Network information flow,” *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1204–1216, 2000.
- [50] S.-Y. Li, R. W. Yeung, and N. Cai, “Linear network coding,” *IEEE transactions on information theory*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 371–381, 2003.
- [51] K. T. Hu, “On the amount of information,” *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 439–447, 1962.
- [52] R. W. Yeung, “A new outlook on Shannon’s information measures,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 466–474, 1991.
- [53] D. Ellerman, “Logical information theory: new logical foundations for information theory,” *Logic Journal of the IGPL*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 806–835, 2017.
- [54] K. J. Down and P. A. Mediano, “A logarithmic decomposition for information,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, 2023.
- [55] C. T. Li, “A Poisson decomposition for information and the information-event diagram,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07506*, 2023.
- [56] L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, third edition ed. Pergamon, 1960.

- [57] A. P. Dawid and M. Studený, “Conditional products: An alternative approach to conditional independence,” in *Seventh International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*. PMLR, 1999, pp. 27–35.
- [58] S. Fujishige, “Polymatroidal dependence structure of a set of random variables,” *Information and control*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 55–72, 1978.
- [59] R. W. Yeung, “A framework for linear information inequalities,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1924–1934, 1997.
- [60] Z. Zhang and R. W. Yeung, “On characterization of entropy function via information inequalities,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1440–1452, 1998.
- [61] C. T. Li, “The undecidability of conditional affine information inequalities and conditional independence implication with a binary constraint,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 7685–7701, 2022.
- [62] —, “Undecidability of network coding, conditional information inequalities, and conditional independence implication,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 3493–3510, 2023.
- [63] L. Kühne and G. Yashfe, “On entropic and almost multilinear representability of matroids,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.03465*, 2022.
- [64] R. W. Yeung and Y. O. Yan, “ITIP - information theoretic inequality prover,” 1996. [Online]. Available: <http://user-www.ie.cuhk.edu.hk/~ITIP/>
- [65] C. T. Li, “An automated theorem proving framework for information-theoretic results,” in *2021 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, 2021, pp. 2750–2755.
- [66] R. W. Yeung and C. T. Li, “Machine-proving of entropy inequalities,” *IEEE BITS the Information Theory Magazine*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 12–22, September 2021.
- [67] H. Barnum, C. M. Caves, C. A. Fuchs, R. Jozsa, and B. Schumacher, “Noncommuting mixed states cannot be broadcast,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 76, no. 15, p. 2818, 1996.
- [68] A. J. Parzygnat, “Inverses, disintegrations, and Bayesian inversion in quantum Markov categories,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08375*, 2020.
- [69] N. Arkor, “quiver,” Apr. 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/varkor/quiver>
- [70] O. Cheong, “Ipe,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/otfried/ipe>