
FinEval: A Chinese Financial Domain Knowledge Evaluation Benchmark for Large Language Models

Liwen Zhang Weige Cai Zhaowei Liu Zhi Yang Wei Dai
Yujie Liao Qianru Qin Yifei Li Xingyu Liu Zhiqiang Liu
Zhofan Zhu Anbo Wu Xin Guo Yun Chen
Shanghai University of Finance and Economics*
zhang.liwen@shufe.edu.cn

Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) have demonstrated exceptional performance in various natural language processing tasks, yet their efficacy in more challenging and domain-specific tasks remains largely unexplored. This paper presents FinEval, a benchmark specifically designed for the financial domain knowledge in the LLMs. FinEval is a collection of high-quality multiple-choice questions covering Finance, Economy, Accounting, and Certificate. It includes 4,661 questions spanning 34 different academic subjects. To ensure a comprehensive model performance evaluation, FinEval employs a range of prompt types, including zero-shot and few-shot prompts, as well as answer-only and chain-of-thought prompts. Evaluating state-of-the-art Chinese and English LLMs on FinEval, the results show that only GPT-4 achieved an accuracy close to 70% in different prompt settings, indicating significant growth potential for LLMs in the financial domain knowledge. Our work offers a more comprehensive financial knowledge evaluation benchmark, utilizing data of mock exams and covering a wide range of evaluated LLMs¹.

1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been a significant advancement in LLMs, exemplified by the latest models like ChatGPT, GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023), GLM-130B (Zeng et al., 2023), and MOSS (OpenLM Lab, 2023). By demonstrating remarkable proficiency in various conventional tasks, these models have paved the way for extensive possibilities in the finance domain. Consequently, the effectiveness of evaluating these models through a well-designed benchmark becomes crucial for their further development.

There are well-established evaluation methods for English or Chinese foundation models, such as MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021a), BIG-bench (Srivastava et al., 2022), HELM (Liang et al., 2022), AGIEval (Zhong et al., 2023), CLUE (Xu et al., 2020), and C-Eval (Huang et al., 2023). In addition, there are other benchmarks specifically designed to focus on advanced LLMs abilities that become apparent as the scale increases, such as hard math problem-solving (Hendrycks et al., 2021b), and coding (Chen et al., 2021). These benchmarks serve as valuable tools to assess the performance of LLMs across various tasks. However, it is imperative to recognize that the evaluation benchmark for the financial domains, particularly in the Chinese context, is still lacking in completeness.

The importance of the fields of finance cannot be overstated, as they play a crucial role in shaping societies, driving economic growth, and maintaining financial stability. The principles and concepts of finance provide decision-makers with the tools to address complex financial issues. The functioning

*Artificial Intelligence Finance Large Model Lab at Shanghai University of Finance and Economics.

¹The FinEval data and evaluation code are available at <https://github.com/SUFE-AIFLM-Lab/FinEval>. For a detailed tutorial on the usage of FinEval, please visit the <https://fineval.readthedocs.io>.

of financial markets and activities of financial institutions directly impact the financial well-being of businesses, individuals, and entire nations.

Additionally, a major challenge arises from the limited availability of sufficient Chinese financial corpus, despite the increasing relevance of LLMs technology. While tasks in the financial domains may exhibit similarities to those undertaken by general-purpose LLMs, the complexity and specialized terminology inherent in the financial sector necessitate the development of domain-specific systems. Thus, it is essential to establish a Chinese benchmark specifically designed to assess the financial general capabilities of LLMs.

We introduce FinEval, the financial domain knowledge evaluation benchmark designed to assess the advanced financial knowledge of LLM within the Chinese context. This toolkit aims to narrow the gap between the development of general Chinese LLMs and its evaluation in the financial domain, while also fostering further research on Chinese financial LLMs. The FinEval dataset is made up of 4,661 multiple choice questions spanning 34 different academic subjects, as depicted in Figure 1. The questions are collected from four categories: Finance, Economy, Accounting, and Certificate. FinEval also includes a subset of particularly challenging topics that require very advanced reasoning abilities to solve, such as Chinese actuary exams.



Figure 1: The overview of FinEval is divided into four major categories.

Moreover, various experiments are conducted to evaluate the performance of the most advanced LLMs on financial data in both answer-only and chain-of-thought settings. The results demonstrate that only GPT-4 achieved an accuracy rate close to 70% across various prompt settings. However, this level of accuracy implies that there is still significant room for improvement in the field of finance for all LLMs. Regarding the Chinese LLMs, both Qwen-7B (Qwen, 2023), Qwen-Chat-7B and Baichuan-13B-Base (Baichuan-inc, 2023a) achieve accuracy rates exceeding 50%. Nonetheless, the average accuracy of all models declines in the chain-of-thought setting, highlighting the necessity to use chain-of-thought prompting in such specific contexts.

In conclusion, our research emphasizes the importance of evaluating LLMs in the context of financial tasks and provides a solid benchmark for such evaluations. We hope that FinEval will inspire further innovation and progress in development of LLM, ultimately enabling them to become reliable and professional financial assistants.

2 Related Work

In contrast to traditional benchmarks that typically assess models based on a single task or a single type of task, such as language understanding, knowledge, or reasoning, our focus lies on domain-specific benchmarks.

Several domain-specific benchmarks have been proposed to compare the effectiveness of different methods in modeling text from specific domains in a fair manner. The CBLUE benchmark (Zhang et al., 2021) is a collection of language understanding tasks in the biomedical field, including named entity recognition and information extraction. GAOKAO-Bench (Zhang et al., 2023) gathers questions from the Chinese Gaokao examination to evaluate the language comprehension and logical reasoning abilities of LLMs. In a similar vein, the AGIEval benchmark (Zhong et al., 2023) is designed to assess the performance of foundation models on human-centric standardized exams, such as college entrance exams, law school admission tests, math competitions, and lawyer qualification tests.

However, the availability of benchmarks specifically catering to the financial domain remains limited. One notable benchmark in this field is the FLUE benchmark (Shah et al., 2022), which focuses on the financial domain and includes five tasks tailored for English financial text. Another benchmark, the ConvFinQA benchmark (Chen et al., 2022), aims to investigate the chain of numerical reasoning in conversational finance question answering. In addition, the BBT-CFLEB benchmark (Lu et al., 2023) is designed for evaluating language understanding and generation in the financial domain. It comprises six datasets that cover both understanding and generation tasks.

In comparison to the mentioned works, our work offers the following advantages:

- (1) **Comprehensive financial domain benchmark:** Our benchmark is highly comprehensive and emphasizes general financial knowledge. It encompasses four major categories covering 34 distinct subjects that span various levels of difficulty and fields.
- (2) **Data from mock exams:** The majority of our data are derived from mock exams, which makes it difficult to retrieve them through online scraping. Note that certificate-related questions primarily rely on this approach.
- (3) **Wide range of evaluated models:** Our evaluation not only focuses on well-known LLMs but also includes a substantial number of the latest financial domain LLMs. This comprehensive approach covers different languages, sizes, and tasks, ensuring a thorough evaluation.

3 FinEval Benchmark

3.1 Overview

The purpose of FinEval is to evaluate the financial domain knowledge capabilities of models in the finance domain, as well as general models such as Bloomberggpt (Wu et al., 2023), BBT-Fin (Lu et al., 2023), XuanYuan (Duxiaoman-DI, 2023) and ChatGLM (Du et al., 2022). However, the lack

甲公司2015年年初的所有者权益总额为2000万元，2015年亏损200万元，2016年亏损300万元，2017年到2019年的税前利润每年均为0，2020年公司实现税前利润800万元。按净利润的10%提取法定盈余公积。公司董事会提出2020年度分配利润50万元，但尚未提交股东大会审议。假设公司2015年至2020年不存在除弥补亏损的其他纳税调整和其他导致所有者权益变动的事项，适用企业所得税税率为25%。则甲公司2020年年末所有者权益总额为____万元。

The total owner's equity of Company A was 20 million yuan at the beginning of 2015, and the loss was 2 million yuan in 2015 and 3 million yuan in 2016. The pre-tax profit from 2017 to 2019 was 0 million each year, and the company achieved a pre-tax profit of 8 million yuan in 2020. The legal surplus reserve shall be withdrawn at 10% of the net profit. The board of directors of the company proposed the annual distribution profit of 500,000 yuan in 2020, but it has not yet been submitted to the general meeting of shareholders for consideration. Assuming that the company does not have other tax adjustments other than making up losses and other matters resulting in changes in owners' equity from 2015 to 2020, the applicable corporate income tax rate is 25%. The total owner's equity of Company A at the end of 2020 is ____ million yuan.

A. 2300
B. 2145
C. 2225
D. 2175
答案：C
Answer: C

Figure 2: Examples of multiple-choice questions in Intermediate Financial Accounting. For better readability, the English translation is displayed below the corresponding Chinese text.

of Chinese financial language corpora has hindered the performance of most models. Therefore,

we have developed FinEval as a challenging examination based on financial scenarios in China, aiming to evaluate the capabilities of models across multiple dimensions within this domain. We only select multiple-choice questions in the format similar to (Hendrycks et al., 2021a), as exemplified in Figure 2, because: (i) the metric is well-defined (i.e. accuracy), (ii) multiple-choice questions are a simple yet good proxy for evaluating the potential capabilities of foundation models, which we consider could be easily exploited and reflected in various downstream applications through specialized instruction tuning (Chung et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). Each question has 4 options with only 1 being the correct answer. LLMs are expected to solve these questions with prompting. Finally, we offer different prompt methods for evaluation.

3.2 Data Collection

3.2.1 Subject Selection

FinEval covers four categories: Finance, Economy, Accounting, and Certificate. In the Finance category, we have selected 10 representative subjects. In the Economy category, we selected 7 representative subjects. In the Accounting category, we selected 10 representative subjects. For the Certificate category, we have selected 7 highly representative qualification exams, such as CMA and the Securities Practitioner Qualification Exam. All 34 subjects and their corresponding categories are detailed in Figure 1. Below are the specific explanations for the four categories.

- **Finance:** The category of finance encompasses a variety of courses such as Finance, Insurance, Investments, Central Banking, Financial Markets, Monetary Finance, Corporate Finance, International Finance, Financial Engineering, and Commercial Bank Finance. Acquiring a comprehensive understanding of financial systems, risk management, investment strategies, monetary policies, and corporate finance practices is essential for individuals studying these courses. Studying these courses enables individuals to develop the skills needed to make informed financial decisions, assess market trends, manage assets and liabilities, and navigate the complexities of the global financial landscape. In summary, these courses are crucial in equipping professionals with the knowledge and expertise necessary to excel in the field of finance.
- **Economy:** The category of economy includes courses like Macroeconomics, Microeconomics, Econometrics, Statistics, Political Economy, International Economics, and Public Finance. Important topics covered by these courses include national and global economic systems, individual economic behavior, data analysis, political and economic relationships, global trade, and government spending. Understanding economic principles, making informed policy decisions, and analyzing economic trends are crucial aspects of studying these courses. These courses provide individuals with the necessary skills to navigate the complex world of economics and contribute to the field.
- **Accounting:** The category of accounting comprises various courses such as Accounting, Auditing, Financial Management, Cost Accounting, Economic Law, Tax Law, Advanced Financial Accounting, Intermediate Financial Accounting, Management Accounting, and Corporate Strategy and Risk Management. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of financial management, reporting, cost analysis, legal frameworks, and strategic planning in the business world is crucial for individuals studying these courses. Studying these courses enables individuals to acquire the necessary knowledge and skills to navigate the complexities of financial decision-making, ensure compliance with laws and regulations, and effectively manage risks. In summary, these courses play a vital role in shaping the expertise and proficiency of professionals in the field of accounting.
- **Certificate:** The category of certificate includes exams for certifications such as China Actuary, Certified Practising Accountant, Certified Management Accountant, Fund Qualification Certificate, Futures Practitioner Qualification Certificate, Banking Practitioner Qualification Certificate, and Securities Practitioner Qualification Certificate. Designed to assess and validate the knowledge and skills of professionals in their respective fields, these exams are crucial for individuals seeking certifications. Individuals pursuing a career in actuarial science can take the China Actuary exam. Demonstrating expertise in financial management and management accounting, the CPA and CMA exams are widely recognized in the accounting and finance industries. Essential for individuals seeking to work in the investment, banking, and securities sectors, the Fund Qualification Certificate, Futures

Practitioner Qualification Certificate, Banking Practitioner Qualification Certificate, and Securities Practitioner Qualification Certificate hold significant importance.

3.2.2 Data Source

The majority of our data are sourced from mock exams available through public channels. Some of the subject data are exam questions and exercise questions from printed books of top universities in China, which are openly shared by students. Moreover, a few certificate-related questions are derived from printed mock exam papers, which are challenging to acquire through web crawling.

3.2.3 Explanation Data Generation

在资本主义条件下，某汽车垄断企业在一个生产周期内生产100辆汽车。该企业所耗费的生产资料价值为300万元，支付给工人的工资为200万元。此时，汽车行业的平均利润率为20%。在这一生产周期内，如果每辆汽车以10万元的价格进行销售，则该汽车企业获得的垄断利润为_____。

Under capitalist conditions, an automobile monopoly produces 100 cars in a production cycle. The value of the means of production spent by the enterprise is 3 million yuan, and the wages paid to the workers are 2 million yuan. At this point, the average profit margin in the automotive industry was 20%. In this production cycle, if each automobile is sold at the price of 100,000 yuan, the monopoly profit obtained by the automobile enterprise is _____.

- A. 300万元
Three million yuan
- B. 400万元
Four million yuan
- C. 500万元
Five million yuan
- D. 600万元
Six million yuan

答案：B

Answer: B

答案：

1. 每辆汽车10万元，可算出垄断价格为：10万元×100辆=1000万元。
2. 生产成本为：不变资本300万元+可变资本200万元=500万元。
3. 平均利润为：生产成本500万元×平均利润率20%=100万元。
4. 垄断利润为：垄断价格1000万元-生产成本500万元-平均利润100万元=400万元。

Explanation:

1. If each car is 100,000 yuan, the monopoly price can be calculated as: 100,000 yuan × 100 cars = 10 million yuan.
2. Production cost: constant capital 3 million yuan + variable capital 2 million yuan = 5 million yuan.
3. The average profit is: production cost 5 million yuan × average profit rate 20% = 1 million yuan.
4. Monopoly profit is: monopoly price 10 million yuan - production cost 5 million yuan - average profit 1 million yuan = 4 million yuan.

Figure 3: An example exercise for Political Economy, including instructions. For better readability, the English translation is displayed below the corresponding Chinese text.

Chain-of-thought (CoT) reasoning (Kojima et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2022) – that prompts LLMs to generate a text sequence of reasoning process along with the final answer – has shown great success on reasoning-heavy tasks. Specifically, we obtain the final explanation by looking up relevant textbook knowledge to manually modify the generated explanation. Figure 3 shows a development example with an explanation.

3.2.4 Data Processing

The collected data mainly consists of PDF files, with the majority originating from exercise sets in various textbooks, mock exercises from different certificates, and past exam questions. All the questions from FinEval have been processed and refined to include only four options. Each question undergoes manual inspection and is converted into a structured format.

```
{
  "subject": "statistics",
  "question": "1. 设  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  是来自正态总体  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  的一个简单随机样本， $\sigma^2$  的极大似然估计为  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - \bar{X})^2$  则  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  的渐近分布是_____。 \n A.  $N(\sigma^2, 2\sigma^4)$  \n B.  $N(\sigma^2, \sigma^4)$  \n C.  $N(\sigma^2, \frac{2\sigma^4}{n})$  \n D.  $N(\sigma^2, \frac{\sigma^4}{n})$  \n",
  "answer": "C",
  "id": "98"
}
```

Figure 4: An example of L^AT_EX format in the subject of Statistics, under the category of economy.

For subjects involving mathematical formulas, we convert them into standard L^AT_EX format, such as Figure 4, which is a typesetting system commonly used for creating high-quality documents,

particularly in academic and technical fields. L^AT_EX allows us to express mathematical expressions directly using text format. Approximately 100 questions were handled for each subject, and they were randomly allocated into development, validation, and test sets for each respective topic. The development set for each subject consists of five randomly selected examples from the exercise pool. These development set examples are also annotated with explanations to facilitate a limited chain of reasoning, which we will elaborate on below.

3.3 Statistics

Table 1: The FinEval dataset provides specific subdivisions in every category.

Category	Subject	#Questions
Finance	Finance (金融学)	159
	Insurance (保险学)	113
	Investments (投资学)	145
	Central Banking (中央银行学)	119
	Financial Markets (金融市场学)	142
	Monetary Finance (货币金融学)	160
	Corporate Finance (公司金融学)	138
	International Finance (国际金融学)	88
	Financial Engineering (金融工程学)	105
	Commercial Bank Finance (商业银行金融学)	96
Economy	Macroeconomics (宏观经济学)	137
	Microeconomics (微观经济学)	136
	Econometrics (计量经济学)	83
	Statistics (统计学)	140
	Political Economy (政治经济学)	104
	International Economics (国际经济学)	135
	Public Finance (财政学)	139
Accounting	Accounting (会计学)	120
	Auditing (审计学)	137
	Financial Management (财务管理学)	130
	Cost Accounting (成本会计学)	148
	Economic Law (经济法)	96
	Tax Law (税法)	143
	Advanced Financial Accounting (高级财务会计)	77
	Intermediate Financial Accounting (中级财务会计)	112
	Management Accounting (管理会计学)	83
	Corporate Strategy and Risk Management (公司战略与风险管理)	134
Certificate	China Actuary (中国精算师)	144
	Certified Practising Accountant (注册会计师)	140
	Certified Management Accountant (管理会计师)	124
	Fund Qualification Certificate (基金从业资格证)	252
	Futures Practitioner Qualification Certificate (期货从业资格证)	153
	Banking Practitioner Qualification Certificate (银行从业资格证)	420
	Securities Practitioner Qualification Certificate (证券从业资格证)	109
All		4661

The questions in FinEval encompass 34 distinct subjects, which are subsequently classified into broader categories, including Finance, Economy, Accounting, and Certificate. Specifically, from the perspective of topics, the Finance category comprises 10 different subjects with a total of 1265 questions, while the Economy category consists of 7 subjects and 874 questions. Furthermore, the Accounting category includes 10 subjects and 1180 questions, whereas the Certificate category contains 7 subjects and 1342 questions. Regarding the dataset split, the development set, validation set, testing set, and total set each contain 34 subjects and consist of 170, 1151, 3340, and 4661 questions, respectively. Table 1 lists all the FinEval tasks and their broader categories, as well as the number of questions included in each task.

3.4 Evaluation

To assess the performance of their models in the financial domain, users must send the evaluation result files to our specified email address, as outlined on our website <https://github.com/SUFE-AIFLM-Lab/FinEval>. The evaluation metric used is accuracy, and we will assign a deterministic score to maintain a public leaderboard. It is important to note that while the labels for the development set and validation set have been made public, the labels for the test set are not disclosed.

4 Experiments

Our following experiments show the evaluation results of diverse LLMs on FinEval to analyze their performance and provide baselines for future usage of FinEval.

4.1 Experimental Setup

In this section, we will outline the experimental setup utilized to evaluate the performance of LLMs on our financial domain knowledge benchmark. To gauge the adaptability of these language models, we conducted four types of evaluations. Additionally, we will provide specific examples on how to present the prompts.

Zero-shot Evaluation: Within the zero-shot setting, models undergo evaluation directly on questions without any prior exposure to task-specific examples. This particular scenario serves to assess the models' intrinsic capability to reason and solve problems without the aid of explicit training, as demonstrated in recent work (Zhong et al., 2023).

Few-shot Evaluation: By contrast with zero-shot evaluation, few-shot evaluation can assess the potential of the LLMs, thus it is more conducive to further development of LLMs. We evaluate LLMs in a setting ,where contains five examples of the development split. Our setup is consistent with the fact that Chinese benchmarks like C-Eval are mostly used in a five-shot setting to track LLM progress. We evaluate LLMs in a 5-shot setting, where the prompt contains five examples from the development split.

Therefore, to predict the performance of a Chinese-language base model when applied to downstream tasks related to financial fields after instruction tuning, FinEval can be used to evaluate the potential of the base model without instruction tuning in a few-shot setting for reference, and then track the performance improvement after model instruction tuning.

Answer-only (AO) Evaluation: During AO evaluation, a set of input questions or tasks is typically provided, and the model's generated answers are compared with the expected answers. If the model's answers perfectly match the expected ones, they are considered correct. This evaluation method simplifies the assessment process, especially for large-scale datasets, enabling efficient evaluation of the model's accuracy. AO prompting has been used as the standard in many prior work, such as Brown et al. (2020), Hoffmann et al. (2022), Rae et al. (2021), and Srivastava et al. (2022).

Chain-of-thought Evaluation: CoT prompting (Wei et al., 2022) empowers large language models to break down intricate questions into a series of decomposed reasoning steps. To further explore the models' reasoning capabilities, we conducted a "Chain-of-Thought" reasoning evaluation. This evaluation approach requires the model to generate an explanation for a given question and then answer the question based on its own generated explanation.

As a result, our model evaluation encompasses four types of scenarios: zero-shot AO, zero-shot CoT, five-shot AO, and five-shot CoT. These last two scenarios correspond to the prompts shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively.

Our following experiments show the evaluation results of diverse LLMs on FinEval to analyze their performance and provide baselines for future usage of FinEval.

以下是关于金融学的单项选择题，请选出其中的正确答案。
The following are multiple-choice questions about finance. Please choose the correct answer.
甲企业向乙企业提供价值100万元商品，乙企业承诺分10个月偿付货款。甲企业与乙企业之间的信用形式为_____。
Company A provides Company B with a product worth 1 million yuan, and Company B promises to pay the payment in 10 installments. The credit form between Company A and Company B is_____.

A. 国家信用
A. National credit
B. 间接信用
B. Indirect credit
C. 商业信用
C. Commercial credit
D. 消费信用
D. Consumer credit
答案：C
Answer: C

某5年期、面额100元的债券，以80元的价格贴现发行，其票面收益率为_____。
A bond with a face value of 100 yuan, a maturity of 5 years, and issued at a discount price of 80 yuan. The coupon yield of the bond is _____.

A. 4%
A. 4%
B. 20%
B. 20%
C. 5%
C. 5%
D. 16%
D. 16%
答案：C
Answer: C

...[5-shot examples]...

某企业因业务需要，申请银行为其开具银行汇票，银行经审查后，同意企业的申请，为其开具了一张100万元的银行汇票。银行的这一业务体现了银行的_____。
A company applies to a bank to issue a bank draft for business needs. After review, the bank agrees to issue a bank draft worth 1 million yuan for the company. This business of the bank reflects the bank's_____.

A. 信用中介职能
A. Credit intermediary function
B. 支付中介职能
B. Payment intermediary function
C. 货币收入为资本职能
C. Monetization of income into capital function
D. 创造信用流通工具职能
D. Creation of credit circulation tool function
答案：D
Answer: D

Figure 5: An instance of few-shot evaluation in answer-only contexts. The red text denotes the response automatically generated by the model, with the preceding text being the input prompt. English translations for the related Chinese text are provided beneath.

以下是关于投资学考试的单项选择题，请选出其中的正确答案。
The following are multiple-choice questions about investments examination. Please select the correct answer.
某红利公司股票的资本化率是12%，预期的股利收益率(RD)是14%，预期的股息(EPS)是3.60美元。如果公司的再投资率是75%，那么市盈率(P/E)将是_____。
The market capitalization rate of Flexible Dividend Company's stock is 12%, and the expected return on equity (ROE) is 14%. The expected earnings per share (EPS) are \$3.60. If the company's retention rate is 75%, the price-to-earnings ratio (P/E) will be _____.

A. 7.69
A. 7.69
B. 8.33
B. 8.33
C. 9.09
C. 9.09
D. 11.7
D. 11.7
答案：让我们一步一步思考。
Answer: Let's think step by step.
1. 首先，公司的再投资率是75%，预期的股利收益率(RD)是14%，预期股息的增长率=留存收益率×股利收益率=0.25×0.14=0.035。
1. Firstly, the company's retention rate is 75%, and the expected return on equity (ROE) is 14%. The expected dividend growth rate is calculated as the retention rate multiplied by the ROE, which is $0.25 \times 0.14 = 0.035$.
2. 其次，根据不变股利增长模型，该公司股票的价格= $3.6 / (0.12 - 0.035) = 42.33$ 美元，市盈率=P/E=11.7。
2. Secondly, according to the constant dividend growth model, the price of the company's stock is calculated as $3.6 / (0.12 - 0.035) = 42.33$, and the price-to-earnings ratio (P/E) is calculated as $PE = 42.33 / 3.6 = 11.7$.
所以答案是D。
Therefore, the answer is D.

只转换债券的面值是1000美元，现在的市场价格是995美元。发行债券的公司股票价格是32美元，可转换率是31股。债券的市场转换价值是_____美元。
The face value of a convertible bond is \$1000, and its current market price is \$995. The stock price of the issuing company is \$32, and the conversion ratio is 31 shares. The market conversion value of the bond is _____ dollars.

A. 665
A. 665
B. 742
B. 742
C. 853
C. 853
D. 992
D. 992
答案：让我们一步一步思考。
Answer: Let's think step by step.
1. 首先，债券的市场转换价值等于股票时价乘转换比率，转换比率等于可转换债券的面值除以转换价格。
1. Firstly, the market conversion value of the bond is equal to the stock price multiplied by the conversion ratio, where the conversion ratio is obtained by dividing the face value of the convertible bond by the conversion price.
2. 其次，题中已知：股票时价为32美元，转换率为31股，则债券的市场转换价值= $32 \times 31 = 992$ 美元。
2. Secondly, given in the question: the stock price is \$32 and the conversion ratio is 31 shares. Therefore, the market conversion value of the bond is $32 \times 31 = 992$ dollars.
所以答案是D。
Therefore, the answer is D.

...[5-shot examples]...

假定一只股票定价合理，预期收益是15%，市场预期收益是10.5%，无风险利率是3.5%，这只股票的β值是_____。
Assuming a stock is fairly priced, the expected return is 15%, the market's expected return is 10.5%, and the risk-free rate is 3.5%, the beta value of this stock is _____.

A. 1.36
A. 1.36
B. 1.52
B. 1.52
C. 1.64
C. 1.64
D. 1.75
D. 1.75
答案：让我们一步一步思考。
Answer: Let's think step by step.
1. 首先，α值假定为零时，证券的收益就等于CAPM确定的收益。
1. Firstly, assuming the alpha value is zero, the security's return equals the return set by the CAPM.
2. 其次，将已知的数值代入CAPM，即 $15\% = [3.5\% + (10.5\% - 3.5\%) \times \beta]$ ，解得： $\beta = 1.64$ 。
2. Secondly, by plugging the known values into the CAPM equation, $15\% = [3.5\% + (10.5\% - 3.5\%) \times \beta]$, we can solve for β, which equals 1.64.
所以答案是C。
Therefore, the answer is C.

Figure 6: An instance of few-shot evaluation in chain-of-thought contexts. The red text denotes the response automatically generated by the model, with the preceding text being the input prompt. English translations for the related Chinese text are provided beneath.

4.2 Models

To achieve a comprehensive understanding of the state of LLMs in the context of the Chinese language, we conducted an evaluation of 27 high-performing LLMs that can process Chinese input. The evaluation encompasses various organizations, as depicted in Table 2.

OpenAI: ChatGPT (GPT-3.5-turbo) is the most capable and cost effective model in the GPT-3.5 family is which can understand and generate natural language or code and work well for traditional completions tasks as well. GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023) is one of the most powerful variants of the GPT

Table 2: Models evaluated in this paper. The "access" column shows whether we have full access to the model weights or we can only access through API.

Model	Creator	#Parameter	Access
GPT-4	OpenAI	undisclosed	API
ChatGPT	OpenAI	175B	API
Aquila-7B	BAAI	7B	Weights
AquilaChat-7B	BAAI	7B	Weights
Baichuan-7B	Baichuan	7B	Weights
Baichuan-13B-Base	Baichuan	13B	Weights
Baichuan-13B-Chat	Baichuan	13B	Weights
Bloomz-7b1-mt	BigScience	7B	Weights
ChatGLM-6B	Tsinghua & Zhipu.AI	6B	Weights
ChatGLM2-6B	Tsinghua & Zhipu.AI	6B	Weights
Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B	Cui et al. (2023)	7B	Weights
Chinese-Llama-2-7B	Zefeng Du (2023)	7B	Weights
Falcon-7B	TII	7B	Weights
Falcon-40B	TII	40B	Weights
InternLM-7B	Shanghai AI Laboratory & SenseTime	7B	Weights
InternLM-Chat-7B	Shanghai AI Laboratory & SenseTime	7B	Weights
LLaMA-13B	Meta	13B	Weights
LLaMA-2-7B	Meta	7B	Weights
LLaMA-2-13B	Meta	13B	Weights
LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	Meta	7B	Weights
LLaMA-2-Chat-13B	Meta	13B	Weights
LLaMA-2-Chat-70B	Meta	70B	Weights
moss-moon-003-base	Fudan	16B	Weights
moss-moon-003-sft	Fudan	16B	Weights
Qwen-7B	Alibaba Cloud	7B	Weights
Qwen-Chat-7B	Alibaba Cloud	7B	Weights
Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1	IDEA-CCNL	13B	Weights

model. It has undergone pre-training, instruction tuning, and reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF, Ouyang et al. (2022)).

Aquila: Aquila-7B (FlagAI, 2023) inherits the architectural design advantages of GPT-3 and LLaMA. AquilaChat-7B (FlagAI, 2023) is fine-tuned and reinforced based on the former.

Baichuan: Baichuan-7B (Baichuan-inc, 2023b) is based on Transformer architecture, supporting both Chinese and English with a context window length of 4096. Baichuan-13B-Chat is the aligned version, based on the pre-trained Baichuan-13B-Base model (Baichuan-inc, 2023a).

BLOOM: Bloomz-7b1-mt (Muennighoff et al., 2022) is based on the pretrained multilingual BLOOM model (Scao et al., 2022) after multitask prompted fine-tuning.

ChatGLM: ChatGLM-6B (THUDM, 2023a) is based on the General Language Model architecture (GLM, (Du et al., 2022)) trained on English and Chinese data. ChatGLM2-6B (THUDM, 2023b) is the second-generation version of ChatGLM-6B, outperforming the latter in all kinds of benchmarks.

Falcon: Falcon-7B and Falcon-40B (Almazrouei et al., 2023) are causal decoder-only models developed by TII. Falcon-7B was trained on 1,500B tokens of RefinedWeb with curated corpora, while Falcon-40B was trained on 1,000B tokens of RefinedWeb with curated corpora.

InternLM: InternLM-7B (Team, 2023) is designed for practical scenarios, supporting for an 8k context window length. InternLM-Chat-7B model is optimized for dialogue use cases.

LLaMA and its variants: LLaMA-13B is the repository for the 13B pretrained model, converted for the Hugging Face Transformers format. LLaMA-2 (Meta, 2023) is an updated version of

LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023), trained on a new mix of publicly available online data. LLaMA-2-Chat models, as fine-tuned models, are optimized for dialogue use cases. Chinese-Llama-2-7B (Zefeng Du, 2023) has enhanced the understanding, generation, and translation capabilities of LLaMA-2 in the Chinese language. Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B (Cui et al., 2023) expands the Chinese vocabulary and incorporates Chinese data for secondary pre-training, enhancing the foundational semantic understanding capabilities in Chinese beyond the original LLaMA model. Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1 (IDEA-CCNL, 2021) is a large-scale pre-trained model with 13 billion parameters that has the ability to perform translation, programming, text classification, information extraction, summarization, copywriting, common sense question answering, and mathematical calculations.

MOSS: MOSS is the first open-source Chinese LLM that matches ChatGPT on both the training scale and alignment techniques. Moss-moon-003-base (OpenLM Lab, 2023) is the base language model of Moss-003 (Sun et al., 2023), which was initialized with CodeGen (Nijkamp et al., 2022) and further pre-trained on 100B Chinese tokens and 20B English tokens. Moss-moon-003-sft (OpenLM Lab, 2023) is fine-tuned model that can follow instructions in multi-turn dialogues and refuse inappropriate requests.

Qwen Qwen-7B (Qwen, 2023) is the 7B-parameter version of the large language model series, Qwen (abbr. Tongyi Qianwen), proposed by Alibaba Cloud. Qwen-Chat-7B is a large-model-based AI assistant, developed using alignment mechanisms built upon Qwen-7B.

4.3 Results

In Table 3, the average accuracy of the random baseline and 27 models in each category is displayed, along with the weighted total scores for the four categories in the "Average" column. It should be noted that the results showcase the best performance of each model, with detailed results for different prompts available in Appendix A. Out of the 27 models included in the table, GPT-4 emerged as the top performer across all categories, with a mean accuracy surpassing 60%, except for the Accounting category. Furthermore, GPT-4 also excelled in all individual categories. Following closely behind, ChatGPT secured the second position among models, lagging behind the former by approximately 13.6 percentage points. Qwen-7B and Qwen-Chat-7B ranked next, achieving accuracy rates of 53.8% and 50.5% respectively. Notably, Qwen-7B showcased exceptional performance in the Accounting and Certificate categories, with an accuracy rate of 50.3%, second only to GPT-4. Baichuan-13B-Base and Baichuan-13B-Chat, with average scores of 50.1% and 49.4% respectively, claimed the fifth and sixth rankings, demonstrating commendable performance in the Finance and Economy categories. Despite ChatGLM2-6B ranking lower on average than the larger models in the Baichuan series, it still exhibited outstanding performance in the Accounting category. Within the same series, larger models generally displayed better performance, particularly in the LLaMA and Falcon series. Moreover, within the same series and size, the performance differences between different versions of large models were not substantial, such as InternLM and InterLM-chat.

From the results presented in Appendix A, it is evident that the average accuracy of all models in the CoT setting is significantly lower compared to the AO setting. A majority of the models experienced a decline to over 20% in accuracy under the CoT setting, which is inconsistent with our initial expectations. This observation suggests that the use of CoT prompting may not necessarily lead to improved results for many subjects in FinEval. Two primary reasons account for this: Firstly, many subjects in FinEval do not require a focus on reasoning, and the introduction of redundant reasoning steps could lead to a performance decline. Secondly, certain models fail to fully leverage the benefits of CoT prompting, particularly those that did not undergo CoT-inclusive instruction tuning.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented FinEval, which serves as a benchmark for evaluating LLMs' general knowledge capabilities in the financial domain. FinEval incorporates high-quality Chinese data from Finance, Economy, Accounting, and Certificate, making it well-suited for assessing LLMs in the financial domain-general knowledge within a Chinese context. Our evaluation benchmark in the financial domain stands out in its comprehensiveness compared to the existing benchmarks, as it encompasses practice questions sourced from mock exams through public channels. Furthermore, the models we evaluate are more up-to-date and encompass a broader scope.

Table 3: Average accuracy (%) on the test split. We report the average accuracy over the subjects within each category. "Average" column indicates the average accuracy over all the subjects. Notably, we only report the results from each model under the best setting, which is determined by the highest average accuracy achieved among four settings (i.e., zero- and few-shot learning with and without CoT).

Model	Size	Finance	Economy	Accounting	Certificate	Average
Random		25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
GPT-4	unknown	71.0	74.5	59.3	70.4	68.6
ChatGPT	175B	59.3	61.6	45.2	55.1	55.0
Qwen-7B	7B	54.5	54.4	50.3	55.8	53.8
Qwen-Chat-7B	7B	51.5	52.1	44.5	53.6	50.5
Baichuan-13B-Base	13B	52.6	50.2	43.4	53.5	50.1
Baichuan-13B-Chat	13B	51.6	51.1	41.7	52.8	49.4
ChatGLM2-6B	6B	46.5	46.4	44.5	51.5	47.4
InternLM-7B	7B	49.0	49.2	40.5	49.4	47.1
InternLM-Chat-7B	7B	48.4	49.1	40.8	49.5	47.0
LLaMA-2-Chat-70B	70B	47.1	46.7	41.5	45.7	45.2
Falcon-40B	40B	45.4	43.2	35.8	44.8	42.4
Baichuan-7B	7B	44.9	41.5	34.9	45.6	42.0
LLaMA-2-Chat-13B	13B	41.6	38.4	34.1	42.1	39.3
Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1	13B	43.3	36.9	34.3	41.2	39.3
Bloomz-7b1-mt	7B	41.4	42.1	32.5	39.7	38.8
LLaMA-2-13B	13B	39.5	38.6	31.6	39.6	37.4
ChatGLM-6B	6B	38.8	36.2	33.8	39.1	37.2
Chinese-Llama-2-7B	7B	37.8	37.8	31.4	36.7	35.9
Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B	7B	30.5	33.4	32.7	38.5	34.0
moss-moon-003-sft	16B	35.6	34.3	28.7	35.6	33.7
LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	7B	35.6	31.8	31.9	34.0	33.5
LLaMA-2-7B	7B	34.9	36.4	31.4	31.6	33.4
AquilaChat-7B	7B	34.2	31.3	29.8	36.2	33.1
moss-moon-003-base	16B	32.2	33.1	29.2	30.7	31.2
Aquila-7B	7B	27.1	31.6	32.4	33.6	31.2
LLaMA-13B	13B	33.1	29.7	27.2	33.6	31.1
Falcon-7B	7B	28.5	28.2	27.5	27.4	27.9

Moreover, we evaluated the capabilities of large language models in answering financial questions using zero-shot and few-shot prediction methods solely based on answer-only or chain-of-thought. Our results indicate that most Chinese LLMs exhibited underwhelming performance in the setting of only using answer-only. In the chain-of-thought setting, the average accuracy of all models decreased, suggesting the need to use prompt chains based on specific conditions.

Currently, our research is centered around general knowledge in the financial domain. Moving forward, we aim to expand our evaluation benchmark. Building upon the FinEval framework, our future objective is to create evaluation benchmarks for various financial application scenarios, such as financial virtual assistants, financial crime detection, and fraud assessment.

Acknowledgement

We sincerely appreciate the assistance and guidance provided by Professor Xiao Cao and Associate Professor Min Min from the School of Finance at Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, as well as Shen Wang from the Experimental Center at Shanghai University of Finance and Economics.

References

- Ebtesam Almazrouei, Hamza Alobeidli, Abdulaziz Alshamsi, Alessandro Cappelli, Ruxandra Cojocaru, Merouane Debbah, Etienne Goffinet, Daniel Heslow, Julien Launay, Quentin Malartic, et al. Falcon-40b: an open large language model with state-of-the-art performance, 2023.
- Baichuan-inc. Baichuan-13b. <https://github.com/baichuan-inc/Baichuan-13B>, 2023a.
- Baichuan-inc. Baichuan-7b. <https://github.com/baichuan-inc/Baichuan-7B>, 2023b.

- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- Mark Chen, Jerry Tworek, Heewoo Jun, Qiming Yuan, Henrique Ponde de Oliveira Pinto, Jared Kaplan, Harri Edwards, Yuri Burda, Nicholas Joseph, Greg Brockman, et al. Evaluating large language models trained on code. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.03374*, 2021.
- Zhiyu Chen, Shiyang Li, Charese Smiley, Zhiqiang Ma, Sameena Shah, and William Yang Wang. Convinqa: Exploring the chain of numerical reasoning in conversational finance question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03849*, 2022.
- Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Eric Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, et al. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11416*, 2022.
- Yiming Cui, Ziqing Yang, and Xin Yao. Efficient and effective text encoding for chinese llama and alpaca, 2023.
- Zhengxiao Du, Yujie Qian, Xiao Liu, Ming Ding, Jiezhong Qiu, Zhilin Yang, and Jie Tang. Glm: General language model pretraining with autoregressive blank infilling. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 320–335, 2022.
- Duxiaoman-DI. Xuanyuan: A large chinese financial chat model with hundreds of billions parameters. <https://github.com/Duxiaoman-DI/XuanYuan>, 2023.
- FlagAI. Aquila. <https://github.com/FlagAI-Open/FlagAI>, 2023.
- Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021a. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=d7KBjmI3GmQ>.
- Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Saurav Kadavath, Akul Arora, Steven Basart, Eric Tang, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring mathematical problem solving with the math dataset. In J. Vanschoren and S. Yeung (eds.), *Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing Systems Track on Datasets and Benchmarks*, volume 1. Curran, 2021b. URL https://datasets-benchmarks-proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/file/be83ab3ecd0db773eb2dc1b0a17836a1-Paper-round2.pdf.
- Jordan Hoffmann, Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Elena Buchatskaya, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Diego de Las Casas, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Johannes Welbl, Aidan Clark, et al. Training compute-optimal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.15556*, 2022.
- Yuzhen Huang, Yuzhuo Bai, Zhihao Zhu, Junlei Zhang, Jinghan Zhang, Tangjun Su, Junteng Liu, Chuanheng Lv, Yikai Zhang, Jiayi Lei, et al. C-eval: A multi-level multi-discipline chinese evaluation suite for foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.08322*, 2023.
- IDEA-CCNL. Fengshenbang-lm. <https://github.com/IDEA-CCNL/Fengshenbang-LM>, 2021.
- Takeshi Kojima, Shixiang Shane Gu, Machel Reid, Yutaka Matsuo, and Yusuke Iwasawa. Large language models are zero-shot reasoners. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=e2TBb5y0yFf>.
- Percy Liang, Rishi Bommasani, Tony Lee, Dimitris Tsipras, Dilara Soylu, Michihiro Yasunaga, Yian Zhang, Deepak Narayanan, Yuhuai Wu, Ananya Kumar, et al. Holistic evaluation of language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09110*, 2022.
- Dakuan Lu, Jiaqing Liang, Yipei Xu, Qianyu He, Yipeng Geng, Mengkun Han, Yingsi Xin, Hengkui Wu, and Yanghua Xiao. Bbt-fin: Comprehensive construction of chinese financial domain pre-trained language model, corpus and benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09432*, 2023.
- Meta. Llama 2. <https://github.com/facebookresearch/llama>, 2023.

- Niklas Muennighoff, Thomas Wang, Lintang Sutawika, Adam Roberts, Stella Biderman, Teven Le Scao, M Saiful Bari, Sheng Shen, Zheng-Xin Yong, Hailey Schoelkopf, et al. Crosslingual generalization through multitask finetuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01786*, 2022.
- Erik Nijkamp, Bo Pang, Hiroaki Hayashi, Lifu Tu, Huan Wang, Yingbo Zhou, Silvio Savarese, and Caiming Xiong. Codegen: An open large language model for code with multi-turn program synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13474*, 2022.
- OpenAI. GPT-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- OpenLM Lab. Moss. <https://github.com/OpenLM Lab/MOSS>, 2023.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Gray, John Schulman, Jacob Hilton, Fraser Kelton, Luke Miller, Maddie Simens, Amanda Askell, Peter Welinder, Paul Christiano, Jan Leike, and Ryan Lowe. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=TG8KACxEON>.
- Qwen. Qwen-7b. <https://github.com/QwenLM/Qwen-7B>, 2023.
- Jack W Rae, Sebastian Borgeaud, Trevor Cai, Katie Millican, Jordan Hoffmann, Francis Song, John Aslanides, Sarah Henderson, Roman Ring, Susannah Young, et al. Scaling language models: Methods, analysis & insights from training gopher. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.11446*, 2021.
- Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, Ellie Pavlick, Suzana Ilić, Daniel Hesslow, Roman Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, François Yvon, Matthias Gallé, et al. Bloom: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05100*, 2022.
- Raj Sanjay Shah, Kunal Chawla, Dheeraj Eidnani, Agam Shah, Wendi Du, Sudheer Chava, Natraj Raman, Charese Smiley, Jiaao Chen, and Diyi Yang. When flue meets flang: Benchmarks and large pre-trained language model for financial domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.00083*, 2022.
- Aarohi Srivastava, Abhinav Rastogi, Abhishek Rao, Abu Awal Md Shoeb, Abubakar Abid, Adam Fisch, Adam R Brown, Adam Santoro, Aditya Gupta, Adrià Garriga-Alonso, et al. Beyond the imitation game: Quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04615*, 2022.
- T Sun, X Zhang, Z He, P Li, Q Cheng, H Yan, X Liu, Y Shao, Q Tang, X Zhao, et al. Moss: Training conversational language models from synthetic data. 2023.
- InternLM Team. Internlm: A multilingual language model with progressively enhanced capabilities. <https://github.com/InternLM/InternLM>, 2023.
- THUDM. ChatGLM. <https://github.com/THUDM/ChatGLM-6B>, 2023a.
- THUDM. Chatglm2-6b. <https://github.com/THUDM/ChatGLM2-6B>, 2023b.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- Yizhong Wang, Yeganeh Kordi, Swaroop Mishra, Alisa Liu, Noah A Smith, Daniel Khashabi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Self-instruct: Aligning language model with self generated instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10560*, 2022.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, brian ichter, Fei Xia, Ed H. Chi, Quoc V Le, and Denny Zhou. Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=_VjQ1MeSB_J.
- Shijie Wu, Ozan Irsoy, Steven Lu, Vadim Dabravolski, Mark Dredze, Sebastian Gehrmann, Prabhajan Kambadur, David Rosenberg, and Gideon Mann. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17564*, 2023.

- Liang Xu, Hai Hu, Xuanwei Zhang, Lu Li, Chenjie Cao, Yudong Li, Yechen Xu, Kai Sun, Dian Yu, Cong Yu, Yin Tian, Qianqian Dong, Weitang Liu, Bo Shi, Yiming Cui, Junyi Li, Jun Zeng, Rongzhao Wang, Weijian Xie, Yanting Li, Yina Patterson, Zuoyu Tian, Yiwen Zhang, He Zhou, Shaowei Hua Liu, Zhe Zhao, Qipeng Zhao, Cong Yue, Xinrui Zhang, Zhengliang Yang, Kyle Richardson, and Zhenzhong Lan. CLUE: A Chinese language understanding evaluation benchmark. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pp. 4762–4772, Barcelona, Spain (Online), December 2020. International Committee on Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2020.coling-main.419. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2020.coling-main.419>.
- Longyue Wang Zefeng Du, Minghao Wu. Chinese-llama-2. <https://github.com/longyuewangdcu/Chinese-Llama-2>, 2023.
- Aohan Zeng, Xiao Liu, Zhengxiao Du, Zihan Wang, Hanyu Lai, Ming Ding, Zhuoyi Yang, Yifan Xu, Wendi Zheng, Xiao Xia, Weng Lam Tam, Zixuan Ma, Yufei Xue, Jidong Zhai, Wenguang Chen, Zhiyuan Liu, Peng Zhang, Yuxiao Dong, and Jie Tang. GLM-130b: An open bilingual pre-trained model. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=-Aw0rrrPUF>.
- Ningyu Zhang, Mosha Chen, Zhen Bi, Xiaozhuan Liang, Lei Li, Xin Shang, Kangping Yin, Chuanqi Tan, Jian Xu, Fei Huang, et al. Cblue: A chinese biomedical language understanding evaluation benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08087*, 2021.
- Xiaotian Zhang, Chunyang Li, Yi Zong, Zhengyu Ying, Liang He, and Xipeng Qiu. Evaluating the performance of large language models on gaokao benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12474*, 2023.
- Wanjun Zhong, Ruixiang Cui, Yiduo Guo, Yaobo Liang, Shuai Lu, Yanlin Wang, Amin Saied, Weizhu Chen, and Nan Duan. Agieval: A human-centric benchmark for evaluating foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06364*, 2023.

A Experimental Results Supplement

Evaluation of these models took place under diverse settings, and to simplify the comparison process, we collated the AO and CoT scores for different prompts into a consolidated table. The average accuracy scores for the zero-shot prompt is shown in Table 4, while the average accuracy for the five-shot prompt is displayed in Table 5. Overall, GPT-4 performed the best, followed closely by ChatGPT. When compared to AO configurations, the majority of models exhibit poorer performance in CoT configurations, with some models performing only marginally better than random baseline. We believe that this phenomenon may be attributed to two possible reasons. Firstly, many topics in the FinEval do not require reasoning, and introducing redundant reasoning steps may lead to a decrease in performance. Secondly, certain models failed to fully leverage the benefits of CoT prompts, particularly those that did not undergo CoT inclusivity instruction tuning.

Table 4: Zero-shot average accuracy (%) on the test split in the answer-only and chain-of-thought setting. The average accuracy is reported for each category, calculated from the subjects within that category. Additionally, the "Average" column represents the overall average accuracy across all subjects.

Zero-shot in the answer-only setting						
Model	Size	Finance	Economy	Accounting	Certificate	Average
Random		25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
GPT-4	unknown	55.8	69.9	68.1	65.3	64.6
ChatGPT	175B	54.4	55.9	43.3	52.6	51.4
Qwen-7B	7B	51.9	49.5	47.6	54.0	51.0
Qwen-Chat-7B	7B	51.4	49.2	43.9	52.1	49.3
Baichuan-13B-Base	13B	53.2	48.3	40.7	50.9	48.5
Baichuan-13B-Chat	13B	52.3	47.9	40.1	52.0	48.4
ChatGLM2-6B	6B	45.7	46.4	43.3	53.1	47.4
InternLM-Chat-7B	7B	46.8	46.8	39.4	47.0	45.0
InternLM-7B	7B	48.7	44.6	36.8	48.4	44.9
LLaMA-2-Chat-70B	70B	43.7	43.4	39.6	44.5	42.9
Baichuan-7B	7B	42.1	37.5	35.0	42.3	39.6
Bloomz-7b1-mt	7B	41.4	42.1	32.5	39.7	38.8
Falcon-40B	40B	39.0	38.6	35.5	38.5	37.9
ChatGLM-6B	6B	38.8	36.2	33.8	39.1	37.2
LLaMA-2-Chat-13B	13B	37.5	36.1	32.0	38.1	36.0
Chinese-Llama-2-7B	7B	37.1	34.5	29.7	36.9	34.7
Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B	7B	30.5	33.4	32.7	38.5	34.0
LLaMA-2-13B	13B	32.7	34.8	31.5	34.7	33.4
LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	7B	34.4	31.3	31.8	33.3	32.8
Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1	13B	33.5	33.4	29.5	33.1	32.4
moss-moon-003-sft	16B	31.9	31.0	28.1	34.4	31.5
AquilaChat-7B	7B	29.3	27.5	29.2	32.4	29.9
moss-moon-003-base	16B	31.3	29.6	27.3	28.8	29.3
LLaMA-2-7B	7B	29.5	25.0	27.9	31.3	28.8
LLaMA-13B	13B	27.8	28.2	26.4	29.0	27.9
Aquila-7B	7B	28.2	25.2	25.7	28.8	27.2
Falcon-7B	7B	25.8	29.3	23.2	22.7	24.9

Zero-shot in the chain-of-thought setting						
Model	Size	Finance	Economy	Accounting	Certificate	Average
Random		25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
GPT-4	unknown	68.5	70.6	55.6	65.1	64.7
ChatGPT	175B	54.0	55.4	43.2	52.7	51.2
Bloomz-7b1-mt	7B	40.3	36.9	33.7	38.1	37.4
ChatGLM-6B	6B	34.3	32.0	30.2	35.7	33.2
InternLM-Chat-7B	7B	29.3	30.2	24.2	31.8	29.0
Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1	13B	26.9	27.7	25.5	21.9	25.2
Baichuan-13B-Base	13B	25.7	26.3	22.7	26.0	25.1
LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	7B	26.6	27.5	23.4	22.8	24.9
LLaMA-2-Chat-70B	70B	27.3	27.4	23.6	22.0	24.9
moss-moon-003-sft	16B	26.2	27.1	23.2	23.4	24.8
LLaMA-2-13B	13B	26.3	27.2	23.0	21.9	24.4
Baichuan-13B-Chat	13B	25.5	27.5	23.6	21.5	24.3
Chinese-Llama-2-7B	7B	25.4	26.9	23.3	22.3	24.3
Aquila-7B	7B	25.4	26.9	23.4	22.1	24.2
LLaMA-2-7B	7B	24.7	28.0	23.5	21.8	24.2
ChatGLM2-6B	6B	25.1	27.4	22.8	22.3	24.1
Falcon-7B	7B	24.7	27.7	23.4	21.9	24.1
InternLM-7B	7B	25.3	26.7	23.2	22.2	24.1
AquilaChat-7B	7B	23.2	23.9	24.6	24.7	24.1
moss-moon-003-base	16B	24.8	27.2	23.3	22.0	24.1
LLaMA-2-Chat-13B	13B	24.2	26.3	23.6	22.8	24.0
Qwen-7B	7B	24.7	27.8	22.4	22.0	24.0
LLaMA-13B	13B	24.7	26.4	23.3	22.1	23.9
Baichuan-7B	7B	24.2	27.2	22.8	22.3	23.9
Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B	7B	24.3	27.2	22.5	22.3	23.8
Qwen-Chat-7B	7B	24.8	24.8	22.1	22.7	23.5
Falcon-40B	40B	24.3	24.7	22.7	21.7	23.2

Table 5: Five-shot average accuracy (%) on the test split in the answer-only and chain-of-thought setting. The average accuracy is reported for each category, calculated from the subjects within that category. Additionally, the "Average" column represents the overall average accuracy across all subjects.

Five-shot in the answer-only setting						
Model	Size	Finance	Economy	Accounting	Certificate	Average
Random		25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
GPT-4	unknown	71.0	74.5	59.3	70.4	68.6
ChatGPT	175B	59.3	61.6	45.2	55.1	55.0
Qwen-7B	7B	54.5	54.4	50.3	55.8	53.8
Qwen-Chat-7B	7B	51.5	52.1	44.5	53.6	50.5
Baichuan-13B-Chat	13B	52.6	50.2	43.4	53.5	50.1
Baichuan-13B-Base	13B	51.6	51.1	41.7	52.8	49.4
ChatGLM2-6B	6B	46.5	46.4	44.5	51.5	47.4
InternLM-7B	7B	49.0	49.2	40.5	49.4	47.1
InternLM-Chat-7B	7B	48.4	49.1	40.8	49.5	47.0
LLaMA-2-Chat-70B	70B	47.1	46.7	41.5	45.7	45.2
Falcon-40B	40B	45.4	43.2	35.8	44.8	42.4
Baichuan-7B	7B	44.9	41.5	34.9	45.6	42.0
LLaMA-2-Chat-13B	13B	41.6	38.4	34.1	42.1	39.3
Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1	13B	43.3	36.9	34.3	41.2	39.3
Bloomz-7b1-mt	7B	41.4	39.1	31.5	39.8	38.1
LLaMA-2-13B	13B	39.5	38.6	31.6	39.6	37.4
Chinese-Llama-2-7B	7B	37.8	37.8	31.4	36.7	35.9
ChatGLM-6B	6B	35.7	36.4	32.1	38.0	35.6
Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B	7B	33.0	34.3	32.6	34.9	33.7
moss-moon-003-sft	16B	35.6	34.3	28.7	35.6	33.7
LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	7B	35.6	31.8	31.9	34.0	33.5
LLaMA-2-7B	7B	34.9	36.4	31.4	31.6	33.4
AquilaChat-7B	7B	34.2	31.3	29.8	36.2	33.1
moss-moon-003-base	16B	32.2	33.1	29.2	30.7	31.2
Aquila-7B	7B	27.1	31.6	32.4	33.6	31.2
LLaMA-13B	13B	33.1	29.7	27.2	33.6	31.1
Falcon-7B	7B	28.5	28.2	27.5	27.4	27.9

Five-shot in the chain-of-thought setting						
Model	Size	Finance	Economy	Accounting	Certificate	Average
Random		25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
GPT-4	unknown	68.0	74.5	59.2	68.8	67.3
ChatGPT	175B	56.3	58.9	42.3	54.9	52.9
Bloomz-7b1-mt	7B	33.7	32.8	26.4	31.4	31.1
InternLM-Chat-7B	7B	29.8	28.6	25.7	30.7	28.8
ChatGLM-6B	6B	27.8	25.8	28.6	31.6	28.7
LLaMA-2-Chat-70B	70B	31.1	28.8	24.8	27.4	28.1
InternLM-7B	7B	27.5	29.1	25.8	28.2	27.6
LLaMA-2-Chat-13B	13B	28.6	26.7	26.4	27.4	27.4
Baichuan-13B-Base	13B	27.7	27.2	25.9	28.0	27.2
Ziya-LLaMA-13B-v1	13B	27.0	29.4	28.7	24.8	27.2
LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	7B	27.4	27.1	26.9	27.1	27.1
Qwen-Chat-7B	7B	26.6	24.8	23.6	28.0	25.9
Chinese-Llama-2-7B	7B	25.9	29.0	22.9	26.2	25.8
LLaMA-2-13B	13B	27.3	26.9	23.8	24.0	25.4
ChatGLM2-6B	6B	27.4	25.2	25.5	23.2	25.3
Qwen-7B	7B	25.9	25.5	23.4	25.8	25.2
Baichuan-13B-Chat	13B	27.5	27.2	23.8	22.8	25.1
AquilaChat-7B	7B	24.3	26.7	24.8	23.9	24.8
Falcon-40B	40B	25.7	26.3	23.6	23.7	24.7
moss-moon-003-sft	16B	25.6	27.1	23.9	22.8	24.6
LLaMA-2-7B	7B	25.4	26.4	24.0	23.1	24.6
Baichuan-7B	7B	25.7	26.7	23.4	22.4	24.4
moss-moon-003-base	16B	24.3	27.1	23.4	22.6	24.1
Falcon-7B	7B	24.9	28.0	21.9	22.5	24.1
Aquila-7B	7B	24.4	26.6	24.0	22.1	24.0
Chinese-Alpaca-Plus-7B	7B	24.1	27.8	21.6	22.5	23.7
LLaMA-13B	13B	24.6	24.7	22.3	22.0	23.3