

The degree threshold for covering with all the connected 3-graphs with 3 edges

Yue Ma^a Xinmin Hou^{b,c} Zhi Yin^b

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics,
Nanjing University of Science and Technology,
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210094, China.

^b School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

^c CAS Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics,
University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

^ayma@njust.edu.cn; ^bxmhou@ustc.edu.cn, yinzhi@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Abstract

Given two r -uniform hypergraphs F and H , we say that H has an F -covering if every vertex in H is contained in a copy of F . Let $c_i(n, F)$ be the least integer such that every n -vertex r -graph H with $\delta_i(H) > c_i(n, F)$ has an F -covering. Falgas-Ravry, Markstöm and Zhao (Combin. Probab. Comput., 2021) asymptotically determined $c_1(n, K_4^{(3)-})$, where $K_4^{(3)-}$ is obtained by deleting an edge from the complete 3-graph on 4 vertices. Later, Tang, Ma and Hou (arXiv, 2022) asymptotically determined $c_1(n, C_6^{(3)})$, where $C_6^{(3)}$ is the linear triangle, i.e. $C_6^{(3)} = ([6], \{123, 345, 561\})$. In this paper, we determine $c_1(n, F_5)$ asymptotically, where F_5 is the generalized triangle, i.e. $F_5 = ([5], \{123, 124, 345\})$. We also determine the exact values of $c_1(n, F)$, where F is any connected 3-graphs with 3 edges and $F \notin \{K_4^{(3)-}, C_6^{(3)}, F_5\}$.

1 Introduction

Given a positive integer $k \geq 2$, a k -uniform hypergraph (or a k -graph) $H = (V, E)$ consists of a vertex set $V = V(H)$ and an edge set $E = E(H) \subset \binom{V}{k}$, where $\binom{V}{k}$ denotes the set of all k -element subsets of V . We write graph for 2-graph for short. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a simple k -graph. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $N_H(S) = \{T \subseteq V(H) \setminus S : T \cup S \in E(H)\}$ and the degree $d_H(S) = |N_H(S)|$. For $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, the *minimum i -degree* of H , denoted by $\delta_i(H)$, is the

minimum of $d_H(S)$ over all $S \in \binom{V(H)}{i}$. We also call $\delta_1(G)$ the minimum degree of G . The *link graph* of a vertex x in V , denoted by H_x , is a $(k-1)$ -graph $H_x = (V(G) \setminus \{x\}, N_H(x))$.

For $r \geq 2$, a *complete r -graph* on n vertices, denoted by $K_n^{(r)}$, is an r -graph on $[n]$ with the edge set $\binom{[n]}{r}$. For a vertex set V , we also write $K^{(r)}[V]$ for the complete r -graph on V . We write K_n for $K_n^{(2)}$ and $K[V]$ for $K^{(2)}[V]$ for short. For an r -graph G with $U \subset V(G)$, let $G[U] = (U, E(G) \cap E(K^{(r)}[U]))$ and $G - U = G[V(G) \setminus U]$. Also, given two r -graphs G and H , let $G \cup H$ be the vertex-disjoint union of G and H . Let $tH := \bigcup_{i=1}^t G_i$ for some $t \geq 2$ and r -graphs H, G_1, \dots, G_t if $G_i \cong H$ for $i \in [t]$.

Given a k -graph F , we say a k -graph H has an F -covering if each vertex of H is contained in some copy of F . For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, the *i -degree threshold* for F -covering is defined as

$$c_i(n, F) := \max\{\delta_i(G) : G \text{ is a } k\text{-graph on } n \text{ vertices with no } F\text{-covering}\}.$$

We further let the *i -degree F -covering density* be the limit

$$c_i(F) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_i(n, F)}{\binom{n-i}{k-i}}.$$

There are two types of extremal problems related to the covering problem. Given a k -graph F , a k -graph H is F -free if H does not contain a copy of F as a subgraph. For $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, define

$$ex_i(n, F) := \max\{\delta_i(G) : G \text{ is } F\text{-free and } |V(G)| = n\}, \text{ and } \pi_i(F) := \frac{ex_i(n, F)}{\binom{n-i}{k-i}},$$

where $\delta_0(G) := |E(G)|$. The quantities $ex_0(n, F)$ and $\pi_0(F)$ are known as the *Turán number* and the *Turán density* of F respectively. For Turán problem on hypergraphs, one can refer to a survey given by Keevash [1].

Given two k -graphs F and H , an F -tiling in H is a spanning subgraph of H consists of vertex-disjoint copies of F . For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{|V(F)|}$, define

$$t_i(n, F) := \max\{\delta_i(G) : G \text{ is a } k\text{-graph on } n \text{ vertices with no } F\text{-tiling}\}.$$

The tiling problem in hypergraphs is also widely studied. We recommend a survey given by Zhao [2].

Trivially, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,

$$ex_i(n, F) \leq c_i(n, F) \leq t_i(n, F),$$

which makes the covering problem an interesting but different extremal problem from Turán problem and the tiling problem.

For a graph F , the F -covering problem was solved asymptotically in [8] by showing that $c_1(F) = \frac{\chi(F)-2}{\chi(F)-1}$, where $\chi(F)$ is the chromatic number of F .

For r -uniform hypergraphs with $r \geq 3$, there are also some works related, most of them focus on $r = 3$. Here are some exact results for $c_2(n, F)$ and $c_2(F)$ in 3-graphs.

- (Falgas-Ravry, Zhao [10]) For $n > 98$, $c_2(n, K_4^{(3)}) = \lfloor \frac{2n-5}{3} \rfloor$.
- (Yu, Hou, Ma, Liu [11]) $c_2(n, K_4^{(3)-}) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ and $c_2(n, K_5^{(3)-}) = \lfloor \frac{2n-2}{3} \rfloor$, where $K_k^{(r)-}$ ($k \geq r \geq 2$) is an r -graph obtained from $K_k^{(r)}$ by deleting an edge.
- (Falgas-Ravry, Zhao [10]) $c_2(C_5^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{2}$, where $C_5^{(3)} = ([5], \{123, 234, 345, 451, 512\})$.

For $c_1(n, F)$ and $c_1(F)$ in 3-graphs, some know results are listed as follows.

- (Falgas-Ravry, Markström, Zhao [9]) $c_1(K_4^{(3)-}) = \frac{\sqrt{13}-1}{6}$.
- (Tang, Ma, Hou [12]) $c_1(C_6^{(3)}) = \frac{3-2\sqrt{2}}{2}$, where $C_6^{(3)} = ([6], \{123, 345, 561\})$.
- (Falgas-Ravry, Markström, Zhao [9]) $\frac{19}{27} \leq c_1(K_4^{(3)}) \leq \frac{19}{27} + 7.4 \times 10^{-9}$.
- (Falgas-Ravry, Markström, Zhao [9]) $\frac{5}{9} \leq c_1(C_5^{(3)}) \leq 2 - \sqrt{2}$.
- (Gu, Wang [13]) For $n \geq 5$, $\frac{n^2}{9} \leq c_1(n, F_5) \leq \frac{n^2}{6} + \frac{5}{6}n - 3$, where $F_5 = ([5], \{123, 124, 345\})$.
- (Gu, Wang [13]) For $n \geq 8$, $n-2 \leq c_1(n, LP_3) \leq n+4$, where $LP_3 = ([7], \{123, 345, 567\})$.

In this article, we focus on 3-graphs with 3 edges. Let H be a hypergraph. We say H is *connected* if for any pair of vertices $\{u, v\} \subset \binom{V(H)}{2}$, we can find a sequence of edges, say $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t \in E(H)$, with $u \in e_1, v \in e_t$ and $e_i \cap e_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in [t-1]$. A maximal connected subgraph for any hypergraph H is called a *component*. Note that a connected hypergraph consists of a unique component.

By a simple enumeration, one can check that: there are only 9 kinds of connected 3-graphs with 3 edges. We list all of them in Figure 1.

In particular, $K_4^{(3)-}$ and $C_6^{(3)}$ are two examples for connected 3-graphs with 3 edges, whose 1-degree covering densities are already know as mentioned above. Another important example is called a *generalized triangle*, denoted by F_5 , which is a 3-graph on the vertex set $[5]$ with the edge set $\{123, 124, 345\}$. In 1983, Frankl and Füredi [3] gave the Turán number for F_5 .

Theorem 1.1 ((Frankl, Füredi [3])). *For $n > 3000$, $ex_0(n, F_5) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n+2}{3} \rfloor$. In particular, $\pi_0(F_5) = \frac{2}{9}$.*

Note that the condition for n in Theorem 1.1 was later improved to $n > 33$ by Keevash and Mubayi [4]. There are also some other extremal results related to F_5 , we refer to [5, 6, 7] for example.




Figure 1: All possible connected 3-graphs with 3 edges

To give the extremal construction for Theorem 1.1, we need some definitions. For two families of sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , define $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} = \{A \cup B : A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. For $r \geq 2$, a complete r -partite r -graph with partition set V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r , denoted by $K[V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r]$, is an r -graph on $\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i$ with the edge set

$$E(K[V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r]) = \binom{V_1}{1} \vee \binom{V_2}{1} \vee \dots \vee \binom{V_r}{1}.$$

For an r -graph H with $\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i \subset V(H)$, let $G[V_1, \dots, V_r] = (\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i, E(H) \cap E(K[V_1, \dots, V_r]))$. If $|V_i| = n_i$ for $i \in [r]$, we write K_{n_1, \dots, n_r} for $K[V_1, \dots, V_r]$. In particular, $K_{1,1} = K_2$ and $K_{1,1,3} = ([5], \{123, 124, 125\})$.

One can check that $K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n+2}{3} \rfloor}$ on n vertices contains no copy of F_5 as its subgraph, which is an extremal construction for Theorem 1.1. Hence we can easily deduce from Theorem 1.1 that $\pi_1(F_5) = \frac{2}{9}$. This leads to $c_1(F_5) \geq \frac{2}{9}$. In fact, the result of Gu and Wang [13] about F_5 implies that $\frac{2}{9} \leq c_1(F_5) \leq \frac{1}{3}$. In this paper, we verify the exact value that $c_1(F_5) = \frac{1}{4}$.

Theorem 1.2. For $n \geq 5$, $\frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n < c_1(n, F_5) < \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{5}{4}n$. In particular, $c_1(F_5) = \frac{1}{4}$.

For $k \geq 1$, a *linear star* with k edges, denoted by S_k , is a 3-graph on $[2k + 1]$ with edge set $\{123, 145, 167, \dots, 1(2k)(2k + 1)\}$. In particular, $S_3 = ([7], \{123, 145, 167\})$.

A *path of length $k - 1$* for some $k \geq 2$, denoted by P_k , is a graph on $[k]$ whose edge set is $\{12, 23, 34, \dots, (k - 1)k\}$. In 3-graph, however, we have several different definitions for a path. For $k \geq 1$, a *linear k -path*, denoted by LP_k , is a 3-graph on $[2k + 1]$ with the edge set $\{123, 345, 567, \dots, (2k - 1)2k(2k + 1)\}$. In particular, $LP_3 = ([7], \{123, 345, 567\})$. For $k \geq 1$, a *tight k -path*, denoted by TP_k , is a 3-graph on $[k + 2]$ with the edge set $\{123, 234, 345, \dots, k(k + 1)(k + 2)\}$. In particular, $TP_3 = ([5], \{123, 234, 345\})$.

There are only two kinds of connected 3-graphs with 3 edges other than $K_4^{(3)-}$, $C_6^{(3)}$, F_5 , LP_3 , TP_3 , $K_{1,1,3}$ and S_3 . We use GP_3 and GS_3 to denote them:

$$GP_3 = ([6], \{123, 234, 456\}) \text{ and } GS_3 = ([6], \{123, 124, 156\}).$$

We determine the exact values of $c_1(n, F)$, where $F \in \{LP_3, TP_3, GP_3, K_{1,1,3}, S_3, GS_3\}$ in this paper.

Theorem 1.3. (1) For $n \geq 13$, $c_1(n, LP_3) = n - 2$.

(2) For $n \geq 6$,

$$c_1(n, TP_3) = \begin{cases} n - 1 & n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}; \\ n - 2 & n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(3) For $n \geq 14$, $c_1(n, GP_3) = n - 2$.

(4) For $n \geq 9$, $c_1(n, K_{1,1,3}) = n - 1$.

(5) For $n \geq 11$, $c_1(n, S_3) = n - 1$.

(6) For $n \geq 13$, $c_1(n, GS_3) = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we proof Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, we show the other cases in turn and finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. We give some concluding remarks in Section 4.

2 F_5 : proof of Theorem 1.2

2.1 Lower bound

Construction 1: Let $H_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ be a 3-graph with $V_1 = \{u\} \sqcup X \sqcup Y \sqcup Z$, and

$$E_1 = \left(\{\{u\}\} \vee \binom{X}{1} \vee \binom{Y}{1} \right) \cup \left(\binom{Z}{1} \vee \binom{X}{1} \vee \binom{Y}{1} \right) \\ \cup \left(\binom{X}{1} \vee E_X \right) \cup \left(\binom{Y}{1} \vee E_Y \right) \cup \binom{Z}{3},$$

where $|X| = |Y| = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}n \rfloor - 1$, $E_X \sqcup E_Y = \binom{Z}{2}$ and $\|E_X| - |E_Y|\| \leq 1$.




Figure 2: Construction 1

Observation 2.1. $\delta_1(H_1) > \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n$ and H_1 has no F_5 covering u .

Proof. It is easy to check that H_1 has no F_5 covering u . Let $a = |X| = |Y| = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}n \rfloor - 1$ and $b = |Z| = n - 1 - 2a$. Since $E_X \sqcup E_Y = \binom{Z}{2}$ and $\||E_X| - |E_Y|\| \leq 1$, $|E_X|, |E_Y| \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \binom{b}{2} \rfloor \geq \frac{b(b-1)}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$. Note that the case of $n = 5$ is apparently true. For $n \geq 6$, we have $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 3$. Choose $v \in V(H_1)$.

If $v = u$, then

$$d_{H_1}(v) = a^2 > \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}n - 2\right)^2 = \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n + 4 > \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n.$$

If $v \in X \cup Y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H_1}(v) &\geq a + ab + \frac{b(b-1)}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{n^2 - 3n}{4} - \left(a + \frac{3}{2}\right)a \\ &\geq \frac{n^2 - 3n}{4} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}n - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \frac{6 + \sqrt{2}}{8}n + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n \end{aligned}$$

If $v \in Z$, then

$$d_{H_1}(v) > a^2 + \binom{b-1}{2} > a^2 = d_H(u) > \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n.$$

Therefore, $\delta_1(H_1) > \frac{1}{8}n^2 - \sqrt{2}n$. □

2.2 Upper bound

For any graph G , let $\mathcal{E}(G) = \{uv \in \binom{V(G)}{2} : N_G(v) \cap N_G(u) \neq \emptyset\}$ be the graph on $V(G)$ whose edges are all pairs of vertices sharing as least one common neighbor. We have the following result about the number of edges in $\mathcal{E}(G)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any graph G on n vertices, $|E(\mathcal{E}(G))| \geq |E(G)| - \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on n . Firstly, for $1 \leq n \leq 3$, the inequation is apparently true. Now let G be a graph on $n \geq 4$ vertices and suppose the inequation holds for any graph on less than n vertices. If G is an empty graph, we are done. Otherwise, pick an edge $uv \in E(G)$. By deleting the vertices u, v and all the incidence edges, we get

$$|E(G - \{u, v\})| = |E(G)| - d_G(u) - d_G(v) + 1.$$

On the other hand, the deletion must destroy all the edges incident with one of u and v in $\mathcal{E}(G)$. Note that u (resp. v) incident with all the vertices in $N_G(v) - \{u\}$ (resp. $N_G(u) - \{v\}$) within $\mathcal{E}(G)$. In other words,

$$|E(\mathcal{E}(G - \{u, v\}))| \leq |E(\mathcal{E}(G))| - d_G(u) - d_G(v) + 2.$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\mathcal{E}(G))| &\geq |E(\mathcal{E}(G - \{u, v\}))| + d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2 \\ &\geq |E(G - \{u, v\})| - \frac{n-2}{2} + d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2 \\ &= |E(G - \{u, v\})| + d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 1 - \frac{n}{2} \\ &= |E(G)| - \frac{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is sufficient to show that every 3-graph H on n vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{5}{4}n$ has an F_5 -covering.

Suppose the contrary that there is a 3-graph H on n vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{5}{4}n$ and a vertex $u \in V(H)$ is not contained in any copy of F_5 in H . By definition, the link graph H_u contains at least $\delta_1(H)$ edges, so it is not empty. We have the following key claim.

Claim 1. *Let $xy \in E(H_u)$ be an edge in H_u , then the four sets $E(H_u - \{x, y\})$, $E(H_x - \{u\})$, $E(H_y - \{u\})$ and $E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\}))$ are pairwise disjoint.*

Proof. (i) If $E(H_u - \{x, y\}) \cap E(H_x - \{u\}) \neq \emptyset$, we pick a pair ab in it. By definition, $abx, abu, uxy \in E(H)$, which form a copy of F_5 , a contradiction. The same thing holds for $E(H_u - \{x, y\})$ and $E(H_y - \{u\})$.

(ii) If $E(H_x - \{u\}) \cap E(H_y - \{u\}) \neq \emptyset$, we pick a pair ab in it. Then abx, aby, xyu form a copy of F_5 , which is a contradiction.

(iii) To show $E(H_u - \{x, y\}) \cap E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\})) = \emptyset$, we only need to show that there is no triangle in H_u . By (i) and (ii), $E(H_u - \{x, y\})$, $E(H_x - \{u\})$ and $E(H_y - \{u\})$ are pairwise disjoint for any $xy \in E(H_u)$. If there is a triangle $\{xy, xz, yz\} \subset E(H_u)$, then it is easy to see that $E(H_x - \{u\})$, $E(H_y - \{u\})$, $E(H_z - \{u\})$ and $E(H_u - \{x, y, z\})$ are pairwise disjoint since $E(H_u - \{x, y, z\}) = E(H_u - \{x, y\}) \cap E(H_u - \{x, z\}) \cap E(H_u - \{y, z\})$. This means

$$|E(H_u - \{x, y, z\})| + |E(H_x - \{u\})| + |E(H_y - \{u\})| + |E(H_z - \{u\})| \leq \binom{V(H_u)}{2} = \binom{n-1}{2}.$$

Also, $|E(H_u - \{x, y, z\})| \geq \delta_1(H) - (3n - 6)$ and $|E(H_w - \{u\})| \geq \delta_1(H) - (n - 1)$ for $w \in \{x, y, z\}$. This gives $4\delta_1(H) - ((3n - 6) + 3(n - 1)) \leq \binom{n-1}{2}$, a contradiction by $\delta_1(H) \geq \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{5}{4}n$.

(iv) If $E(H_x - \{u\}) \cap E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\})) \neq \emptyset$, we pick a pair ab in it. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\})$, there exists a vertex c with $ac, bc \in E(H_u - \{x, y\})$. Thus, uca, ucb, abx form a copy of F_5 , a contradiction. The same thing holds for $E(H_y - \{u\}) = \emptyset$ and $E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\}))$. \square

Pick an edge $xy \in E(H_u)$. It is easy to check that $|E(H_u - \{x, y\})| \geq \delta_1(H) - (2n - 3)$ and $|E(H_x - \{u\})| \geq \delta_1(H) - (n - 1)$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$|E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\}))| \geq |E(H_u - \{x, y\})| - \frac{n-3}{2} \geq \delta_1(H) - \left(\frac{5}{2}n - \frac{9}{2}\right).$$

By Claim 1, $E(H_u - \{x, y\})$, $E(H_x - \{u\})$, $E(H_y - \{u\})$ and $E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\}))$ are pairwise disjoint. This means

$$|E(H_u - \{x, y\})| + |E(H_x - \{u\})| + |E(H_y - \{u\})| + |E(\mathcal{E}(H_u - \{x, y\}))| \leq \binom{V(H_u)}{2} = \binom{n-1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$4\delta_1(H) - (2n - 3) - 2(n - 1) - \left(\frac{5}{2}n - \frac{9}{2}\right) \leq \binom{n-1}{2},$$

a contradiction by $\delta_1(H) \geq \frac{1}{8}n^2 + \frac{5}{4}n$. \square

3 Other cases: proof of Theorem 1.3

3.1 LP_3

Proof of (1). For the lower bound, we simply consider the following 3-graph G called a *trivial intersecting family* on $V(G) = \{0\} \cup [n - 1]$ with edge set $E(G) = \{\{0\}\} \vee \binom{[n-1]}{2}$.

For the upper bound, suppose the contrary that there is a 3-graph H on $n \geq 13$ vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq n - 1$ while some vertex $u \in V(H)$ is not contained in any copy of LP_3 in H .

Claim 2. *We can find a copy of $K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,1}$ in the graph H_u .*

Proof. Note that H_u is a graph on $n - 1$ vertices with at least $\delta(H) \geq n - 1$ edges. Pick $v \in V(H_u)$ with the maximum degree d of H_u . By Handshaking Lemma, $(n - 1)d \geq \sum_{x \in V(H_u)} d_{H_u}(x) = 2E(H_u) \geq 2(n - 1)$. Thus, $d \geq 2$, and if $d = 2$, then $d_{H_u}(x) = 2$ for all the vertex $x \in V(H_u)$. Now suppose $d = 2$ and $N_{H_u}(v) = \{x, y\}$. Since $d_{H_u}(x) = d_{H_u}(y) = 2$, there are at most $2 + 2 = 4$ edges incident with at least one of x and y in H_u . Hence we can pick an edge $ab \in E(H_u - \{x, y\})$ since $|E(H_u - \{x, y\})| \geq |E(H_u)| - 4 > 0$. Clearly, $v \neq a, b$. This means the three edges vx, vy, ab form a $K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,1}$ in H_u , a contradiction. Suppose $d \geq 4$. Pick 4 vertices $w, x, y, z \in N_{H_u}(v)$. Since $d \leq |V(H_u)| - 1 = n - 2$, there exists at least $|E(H_u)| - (n - 2) \geq 1$ edge $ab \in E(H_u - \{v\})$. Note that $v \neq a, b$ and at least two of w, x, y, z are not contained in $\{a, b\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $w, x \notin \{a, b\}$, then the three edges vw, vx, ab together form a $K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,1}$ in H_u , a contradiction. Thus, $d = 3$. Let $N_{H_u}(v) = \{x, y, z\}$. It is easy to see that there are at most $\binom{3}{2} = 3$ edges contained in $\{x, y, z\}$. Note that $|E(H_u - \{v\})| = |E(H_u)| - 3 > 3$. We can pick an edge $ab \in E(H_u - \{v\})$ which is not contained in $\{x, y, z\}$. In other words, $|\{a, b\} \cap \{x, y, z\}| \leq 1$, so we can pick two vertices in $\{x, y, z\}$, say x and y , which are not in $\{a, b\}$. Hence, the three edges vx, vy, ab form a $K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,1}$ in H_u , a contradiction. \square

Claim 3. *We can find a copy of $K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,2}$ in the graph H_u .*

Proof. By Claim 2, we choose a set of 5 vertices $\{a, a_1, a_2, b, b_1\} \subset V(H_u)$ with $aa_1, aa_2, bb_1 \in E(H_u)$. We claim that $H_{a_1} - \{u\} \subset (\{a, a_2, b, b_1\})$. Otherwise, there exists an edge $xy \in E(H_{a_1} - \{u\})$ with $|\{x, y\} \cap \{a, a_2, b, b_1\}| \leq 1$. If $\{x, y\} \cap \{a, a_2, b, b_1\} = \emptyset$, then $\{xya_1, a_1au, ubb_1\}$ is a copy of LP_3 in H , a contradiction. Thus, exactly one of a, a_2, b, b_1 is contained in $\{x, y\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that x is this vertex. If $x = a$, then $\{a_1ya, aa_2u, ubb_1\}$ is a copy of LP_3 ; If $x = a_2$, then $\{a_1ya_2, a_2au, ubb_1\}$ is a copy of LP_3 ; If $x = b$, then $\{a_1yb, bb_1u, uaa_2\}$ is a copy of LP_3 ; If $x = b_1$, then $\{a_1yb_1, b_1bu, uaa_2\}$ is a copy of LP_3 . Any of the four cases leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $H_{a_1} - \{u\} \subset (\{a, a_2, b, b_1\})$. In particular, $|E(H_{a_1} - \{u\})| \leq \binom{4}{2} = 6$ and then $d_{H_u}(a_1) = d_{H_{a_1}}(u) = |E(H_{a_1})| - |E(H_{a_1} - \{u\})| \geq (n - 1) - 6 \geq 6$. Similarly, $d_{H_u}(a_2) \geq 6$. Now, pick three vertices $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in N_{H_u}(a_1) \setminus \{a_2\}$, then we still have at least $6 - 1 - 3 = 2$ vertices $c_4, c_5 \in N_{H_u}(a_2) \setminus \{a_1, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$. This gives 5 edges $a_1c_1, a_1c_2, a_1c_3, a_2c_4, a_2c_5 \in E(H)$, which form a $K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,2}$ in H_u . \square

Now by Claim 3, we can choose a set of 7 vertices $\{a, a_1, a_2, a_3, b, b_1, b_2\} \subset V(H_u)$ with $aa_1, aa_2, aa_3, bb_1, bb_2 \in E(H_u)$. Similarly as the proof in Claim 3, one can check by simple discussions that, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $E(H_{a_i} - \{u\}) \subseteq \{ab\}$. This means $|E(H_{a_i} - \{u\})| \leq 1$ and then $d_{H_u}(a_i) = d_{H_{a_i}}(u) = |E(H_{a_i})| - |E(H_{a_i} - \{u\})| \geq (n - 1) - 1 \geq n - 2$ for any $i \in [3]$. Hence for $i \in [3]$, $ab \in E(H_{a_i} - \{u\})$ and $a_iv \in E(H_u)$ for any $v \in V(H_u) \setminus \{a_i\}$.

In particular, $a_1ab, ua_2a_3 \in E(H)$. Together with the edge $ub_1b \in E(H)$, we get a copy of LP_3 in H covering u , a contradiction. \square

3.2 TP_3

Proof of the lower bound of (2). For $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$, consider the 3-graph $F_{n-2,2}$ on $[n]$ with the edge set $\{\{n-1, n\}\} \vee \binom{[n-2]}{1} \cup \binom{[n-2]}{3}$. one can check that $\delta_1(F_{n-2,2}) = n-2$ for $n \geq 6$ and there is no copy of TP_3 containing the vertex n in $F_{n-2,2}$.

For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, suppose $n = 3k + 1$ for some integer $k \geq 2$. Consider a 3-graph F on the vertex set $\{u\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ with $|A_i| = 3$ for any $i \in [k]$. The edge set of F is

$$E(F) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \left(\{u\} \vee \binom{A_i}{2} \right) \cup \bigcup_{\{i,j,k\} \in \binom{[k]}{3}} \left(\binom{A_i}{1} \vee \binom{A_j}{1} \vee \binom{A_k}{1} \right).$$

One can also check that $\delta_1(F) = d_F(u) = 3k = n-1$ and F has no copy of TP_3 containing u . \square

Proof of the upper bound of (2). Let $g(n)$ be a function with $g(n) = n-1$ for $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$ and $g(n) = n$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Suppose the contrary that there is a 3-graph H on $n \geq 6$ vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq g(n)$ and there is a vertex $u \in V(H)$ which is not contained in any copy of TP_3 in H .

Apparently, there is no copy of P_4 contained in H_u . Otherwise, there must be 4 vertices $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in V(H_u)$ with $x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3x_4 \in E(H_u)$, and we can pick $\{x_1x_2u, x_2ux_3, ux_3x_4\}$ as a copy of TP_3 in H , a contradiction. This implies that any component of H_u can only be a $K_{1,t}$ for some $t \geq 0$ or a K_3 . Let n_t be the number of components isomorphic to $K_{1,t}$ for any $t \geq 0$ and let m be the number of components isomorphic to K_3 in H . Then $n-1 = 3m + \sum_{t \geq 0} (t+1)n_t$ and

$$d_H(u) = |E(H_u)| = 3m + \sum_{t \geq 0} tn_t = n-1 - \sum_{t \geq 0} n_t.$$

If there exists some $i \geq 0$ with $n_i \neq 0$, then $d_H(u) \leq n-2 < g(n)$, a contradiction. Thus, $n_i = 0$ for any $i \geq 0$ and $n = 3m + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. This means $d_H(u) = 3m = n-1 < n = g(n)$, a contradiction, too. \square

3.3 GP_3

Proof of the lower bound of (3). We consider the same 3-graph as mentioned in the proof of (1), i.e, consider a trivial intersecting family G on $V(G) = \{0\} \cup [n-1]$ with edge set $E(G) = \{\{0\}\} \vee \binom{[n-1]}{2}$. Apparently, $\delta_1(G) = n-2$ and G contains no copy of GP_3 covering 0 . \square

Proof of the upper bound of (3). Let H be a 3-graph on $n \geq 14$ vertices and $\delta_1(H) \geq n - 1$. Let $M \subset V(H)$ be the set of all vertices not covered by any copy of GP_3 in H . Take $u \in M$ with $d_H(u) \leq d_H(v)$ for all $v \in M$.

In graph theory, a *cycle* of length t is a graph on $[t]$ with edge set $\{12, 23, \dots, (t-1)t, t1\}$.

Claim 4. H_u does not contain $K_{1,3} \cup K_2$ as a subgraph. Moreover, H_u is a 2-regular graph ($d_{H_u}(x) = 2$ for any $x \in V(H_u)$), i.e. H_u is the union of some vertex-disjoint cycles on $n - 1$ vertices.

Proof. Suppose the contrary that there exist $a, a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2 \in V(H_u)$ with $aa_1, aa_2, aa_3, b_1b_2 \in E(H_u)$. Let $e \in E(H - \{u\})$ be an edge with $a_1 \in e$.

If $e \neq a_1a_2a_3$, then one of a_2 and a_3 , say a_2 , has $a_2 \notin e$. Then if $a \notin e$, a_2ua, uaa_1, e form a copy of GP_3 , a contradiction. Now suppose $e \neq a_1a_2a_3$, then $a \in e$. Then if $e \cap \{b_1, b_2\} = \emptyset$, e, aa_1u, ub_1b_2 form a copy of GP_3 . Hence we can conclude that $e \in \{a_1a_2a_3, a_1ab_1, a_1ab_2\}$ and $d_{H-\{u\}}(a_1) \leq 3$. Similarly, $d_{H-\{u\}}(a_2), d_{H-\{u\}}(a_3) \leq 3$.

Recall that $d_H(u) \leq d_H(v)$ for all $v \in M$. If $d_{H-\{u\}}(a) = 0$, then all edges containing a must also contain u , which means $a \in M$. However, this also implies that $d_H(a) = d_{H_u}(a) < |E(H_u)| = d_H(u)$ since $a \notin b_1b_2 \in E(H_u)$, which leads to a contradiction by the minimality of $d_H(u)$. Hence, $d_{H-\{u\}}(a) \geq 1$. So we can pick $f \in E(H - \{u\})$ with $a \in f$. Thus one of a_1, a_2, a_3 , say a_1 , has $a_1 \notin f$. If $d_{H_u}(a_1) \geq 4$, then we can pick $c \in N_{H_u}(a_1) \setminus f$. Then uca_1, ua_1a, f form a copy of GP_3 . Thus, $d_{H_u}(a_1) \leq 3$. Therefore, $d_H(a_1) = d_{H-\{u\}}(a_1) + d_{H_u}(a_1) \leq 3 + 3 = 6 < \delta_1(H)$, a contradiction.

Now H_u is a $K_{1,3} \cup K_2$ -free graph on $n - 1$ vertices with at least $n - 1$ edges. If H_u does not contain a vertex of degree at least 3, then it is easy to see that H_u must be 2-regular and we are done. Otherwise, pick $v \in V(H_u)$ with at least 3 vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in N_{H_u}(v)$. Apparently, all the edges in H_u must be incident with $V_0 := \{v, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ or we get a copy of $K_{1,3} \cup K_2$. In other words, $N_{H_u}(x) \subset V_0$ for any $x \in V(H_u) \setminus V_0$. Also note that $|E(H_u)| \geq n - 1 > \binom{5}{2}$, we have at least $6 - 4 = 2$ vertices, say x_1 and x_2 , other than v, v_1, v_2 and v_3 incident with at least one edge in H_u . If $x_1v \in E(H_u)$ and some vertex in $V_0 \setminus \{v_0\}$, say v_1 has $x_2v_1 \in E(H_u)$, then $x_2v_1, vv_2, vv_3, vx_1 \in E(H_u)$ form a copy of $K_{1,3} \cup K_2$, a contradiction. Thus, if $x_1v \in E(H_u)$, then $x_2v \in E(H_u)$, which then implies that $N_{H_u}(x) \subseteq \{v\}$ for any $x \in V(H_u) \setminus \{v\}$. This gives $|E(H_u)| \leq n - 2 < n - 1$, a contradiction. Hence, $N_{H_u}(x) \subset V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ for any $x \in V(H_u) \setminus V_0$. If there exists some $i \in [3]$ with $v_ix_1, v_ix_2 \in E(H_u)$, then v_iv, v_ix_1, v_ix_2 and vv_j for some $j \neq i$ form a copy of $K_{1,3} \cup K_2$ in H_u , a contradiction. Thus, $|N_{H_u}(v_i) \setminus V_0| \leq 1$ for $i \in [3]$, which gives $|E(H_u)| \leq \binom{4}{2} + 3 = 9 < n - 1$, a contradiction. \square

Claim 5. For any cycle $C \subset H_u$ and edge $e \in E(H_u)$, we have $|V(C) \cap e| \in \{0, 3\}$.

Proof. Suppose $|V(C) \cap e| = 1$ firstly. Let $V(C) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\ell\}$, $E(C) = \{c_1c_2, c_2c_3, \dots, c_{\ell-1}c_\ell, c_\ell c_1\}$ and let $e = c_1xy$ where $x, y \notin C$. Then $c_1c_2c_3, c_{\ell-1}c_\ell c_1, c_1xy$ form a copy of GP_3 covering u , a contradiction. So $|V(C) \cap e| \neq 1$ for any cycle $C \subset H_u$. If $|V(C) \cap e| = 2$, then there must exist another cycle C' with $|V(C') \cap e| = 3 - 2 = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Pick a cycle C_0 with $V(C_0) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\ell\}$ and $E(C_0) = \{c_1c_2, c_2c_3, \dots, c_{\ell-1}c_\ell, c_\ell c_1\}$.

If $\ell = |V(C_0)| \geq 7$, we pick an edge e with $e \cap V(C_0) \neq \emptyset$ (such edge exists since the degree of vertex in $V(C_0)$ should be more than 2 in H). Then $|e \cap V(C_0)| = 3$ by Claim 5. Suppose $e = \{c_i, c_j, c_k\}$ with $1 \leq i < j < k \leq \ell$. By Pigeonhole Principle, one of $d_1 = j - i$, $d_2 = k - j$, $d_3 = \ell + i - k$, say d_1 , has $d_1 \geq \lceil \ell/3 \rceil \geq 3$. This means $j - i \geq 3$. Without loss of generality, suppose $i = 1$, so $k > j \geq 4$. Then c_3uc_2, uc_2c_1, e form a copy of GP_3 covering u .

Therefore, $|V(C_0)| \leq 6$. Pick $v \in V(C_0)$. Note that any edge e containing v must have $|e \cap V(C_0)| = 3$, which implies that $d_H(v) \leq 2 + \binom{|V(C_0)|-1}{2} \leq 12 < n - 1 \leq \delta_1(H)$. This is a contradiction. \square

3.4 $K_{1,1,3}$

Proof of the lower bound of (4). Let W be a 3-graph on $[n]$ and let $\mathcal{C} = \{12, 23, \dots, (n-2)(n-1), (n-1)1\}$. The edge set of W is

$$E(W) = (\{\{n\}\} \vee \mathcal{C}) \cup \left\{ \{i, j, k\} \in \binom{[n-1]}{3} : \binom{\{i, j, k\}}{2} \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $d_W(n) = n - 1$ and $d_W(i) = \binom{n-4}{2} - (n-5) + 2 \geq n - 1$ for $i \in [n-1]$ since $n \geq 9$. Hence $\delta_1(W) = n - 1$. Also, one can check that there is no copy of $K_{1,1,3}$ covering the vertex n . \square

Proof of the upper bound of (4). Suppose the contrary that there is a 3-graph H on $n \geq 9$ vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq n$ and $u \in V(H)$ is not contained in any copy of $K_{1,1,3}$ in H . Then the degree of any vertex in H_u must be at most 2. Otherwise, suppose $d_{H_u}(v) \geq 3$ for some $v \in V(H_u)$. Pick $x, y, z \in N_{H_u}(v)$, we get the three edges uvx, uvy, uvz in H which form a $K_{1,1,3}$ in H , a contradiction. Thus, $d_{H_u}(v) \leq 2$ for any $v \in V(H_u)$. Note that $V(H_u) = n - 1$ and $|E(H_u)| \geq \delta_1(H) \geq n$. By Handshaking Lemma, $2(n-1) \geq \sum_{v \in V(H_u)} d_{H_u}(v) = 2|E(H_u)| \geq 2n$, a contradiction. \square

3.5 S_3

Proof of the lower bound of (5). Let S be a 3-graph on $[n]$ with the edge set

$$E(S) = \left(\{\{n-1\}\} \vee \binom{\{n-2, n-3\}}{1} \vee \binom{[n-4]}{1} \right) \cup \left(\{\{n\}\} \vee \binom{[n-2]}{2} \right).$$

Note that $n \geq 11$. It is easy to check that $d_S(n) = \binom{n-2}{2} > n-1$, $d_S(n-1) = 2(n-4) > n-1$, $d_S(n-2) = d_S(n-3) = 2n-7 > n-1$ and $d_S(i) = n-1$ for $i \in [n-4]$. This means $\delta_1(S) = n-1$. Also, S has no copy of S_3 covering the vertex $n-1$. \square

Before the proof of the upper bound, we firstly put the famous Tutte-Berge Theorem here.

Lemma 3.1 ([14], see also [15]). *A graph G is $(s+1)K_2$ -free if and only if there is a set $B \subset V(G)$, such that the vertex sets of all the connected components G_1, \dots, G_m of $G-B$ have $|V(G_i)| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ($i \in [m]$), and we have,*

$$|B| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|V(G_i)| - 1}{2} = s \quad \text{and} \quad |B| + \sum_{i=1}^m |V(G_i)| = n.$$

Proof of the upper bound of (5). Suppose the contrary that H is a 3-graph on $n \geq 11$ vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq n$ and $u \in V(H)$ is not contained in any copy of S_3 in H . Note that there is no copy of $3K_2$ in H_u . Otherwise, let $\{a_1a_2, b_1b_2, c_1c_2\} \subset H_u$ be a copy of $3K_2$, then $\{ua_1a_2, ub_1b_2, uc_1c_2\}$ is a copy of S_3 in H , a contradiction. Hence, we can use Lemma 3.1 to obtain a set $B \subset V(H_u)$. Then all the components G_1, \dots, G_m of $G-B$ have $|V(G_i)| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ($i \in [m]$), and

$$|B| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|V(G_i)| - 1}{2} = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |B| + \sum_{i=1}^m |V(G_i)| = n - 1 \geq 10.$$

Without loss of generality, let $|V(G_1)| \geq |V(G_2)| \geq \dots \geq |V(G_m)|$. Thus $|B| \leq 2$. Also, $H_u \subset K[B] \cup K[B, V(H_u) - B] \cup \sum_{i=1}^m K[V(G_i)]$.

Claim 6. $1 \leq |B| \leq 2$.

Proof. If $|B| = 0$, then $E(H_u) \subset \sum_{i=1}^m E(K[V(G_i)])$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|V(G_i)| - 1}{2} = 2$. Note that $\frac{|V(G_i)| - 1}{2}$ is a non-negative integer for any $i \in [m]$. so it is easy to see that either $|V(G_1)| = 5$ and $|V(G_j)| = 1$ for $j > 1$ or $|V(G_1)| = |V(G_2)| = 3$ and $|V(G_j)| = 1$ for $j > 2$. This implies $d_H(u) = |E(H_u)| = \sum_{i=1}^m |E(K[V(G_i)])| \leq 10 < n \leq \delta_1(H)$, a contradiction. This gives $1 \leq |B| \leq 2$. \square

Claim 7. *For any edge $xy \in E(H_u)$, there is no copy of $2K_2$ in $H_x - \{u, y\}$. Moreover, $|E(H_x - \{u, y\})| \leq n - 4$.*

Proof. If there exists a set of two disjoint edges $\{a_1a_2, b_1b_2\} \subset E(H_x - \{u, y\})$ as a $2K_2$ in $H_x - \{u, y\}$, then the three edges $xyu, xa_1a_2, xb_1b_2 \in E(H)$ form a copy of S_3 , a contradiction. Hence, the only non-empty component of $H_x - \{u, y\}$ must be a K_3 or a $K_{1,t}$ for some $1 \leq t \leq n-4$. This gives $|E(H_x - \{u, y\})| \leq n - 4$. \square

Claim 8. Let $v \in V(H_u)$ and $d_{H_u}(v) \geq 5$. Pick any two vertices $x, y \in N_{H_u}(v)$. If $d_{H_x - \{u\}}(v) \geq 1$, then $d_{H_y - \{u\}}(v) \leq 1$. Moreover, $\max\{d_{H_u}(x), d_{H_u}(y)\} \geq 3$.

Proof. Otherwise, suppose $d_{H_x - \{u\}}(v) \geq 1$ and $d_{H_y - \{u\}}(v) \geq 2$. then we can pick an edge $va_1 \in H_x - \{u\}$ and another edge $va_2 \in H_y - \{u\}$ with $a_2 \neq a_1$. Since $d_{H_u}(v) \geq 5$, we can also pick a vertex $a_3 \in N_{H_u}(v)$ with $a_3 \neq a_1, a_2, x, y$. Then the three edges $va_1x, va_2y, va_3u \in E(H)$ form a copy of S_3 , a contradiction.

To prove $\max\{d_{H_u}(x), d_{H_u}(y)\} \geq 3$, note that $d_H(z) = d_{H_u}(z) + d_{H_z - \{u\}}(v) + |E(H_z - \{u, v\})|$ for $z \in \{x, y\}$. By Claim 7, $|E(H_z - \{u, v\})| \leq n - 4$ for $z = x, y$. Hence, for $z \in \{x, y\}$,

$$n \leq \delta_1(H) \leq d_H(z) \leq n - 4 + d_{H_u}(z) + d_{H_z - \{u\}}(v).$$

Now if $d_{H_u}(z) \leq 2$ for $z = x, y$, then $n \leq n - 2 + d_{H_z - \{u\}}(v)$, which means $d_{H_z - \{u\}}(v) \geq 2$ for $z = x, y$. This is impossible by the proof above. \square

Now by Claim 6, $1 \leq |B| \leq 2$.

If $|B| = 1$, let $B = \{v\}$. By $E(H_u) \subset K[B, V(H_u) - B] \cup \sum_{i=1}^m K[V(G_i)]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|V(G_i)|-1}{2} = 1$, we have $|V(G_1)| = 3$, $|V(G_j)| = 1$ for $j > 1$ and $E(H_u) = E(H_u[B, V(H_u) - B]) \cup E(G_1)$. Since $E(H_u) = d_H(u) \geq \delta_1(H) \geq n$, $d_{H_u}(v) = |E(H_u[B, V(H_u) - B])| \geq n - |KV(G_1)| = n - 3 > 5 = 2 + 3$. Thus, we can pick two vertices $x, y \in N_{H_u}(v) \setminus V(G_1)$. Then $d_{H_u}(x) = d_{H_u}(y) = 1$, contradicts to $\max\{d_{H_u}(x), d_{H_u}(y)\} \geq 3$ by Claim 8.

If $|B| = 2$, let $B = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Similarly, we get $|V(G_j)| = 1$ for any $j \in [m]$ and $E(H_u) = E(G[B]) \cup E(G[B, V(H_u) - B])$. This means $d_{H_u}(z) \leq 2$ for any $x \in V(H_u) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $11 \leq n \leq \delta_1(H) \leq |E(H_u)| \leq d_{H_u}(v_1) + d_{H_u}(v_2)$. By Pigeonhole Principle, one of v_1 and v_2 , say v_1 , has $d_{H_u}(v_1) \geq \frac{11}{2} > 5$. So we can pick two vertices $x, y \in N_{H_u}(v_1) \setminus \{v_2\}$ and get a contradiction similarly by Claim 8. \square

3.6 GS_3

Proof of the lower bound of (6). Consider the graph F with vertex set $\{0\} \cup [n - 1]$. Let $B_i = \{2i - 1, 2i\} \cap [n - 1]$, for $i \in [\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil]$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i \in [\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil]\}$. The edge set of F is

$$E(F) = (\{\{0\}\} \vee \mathcal{B}) \cup \bigcup_{\{i,j,k\} \in \binom{[\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil]}{3}} \left(\binom{B_i}{1} \vee \binom{B_j}{1} \vee \binom{B_k}{1} \right).$$

Clearly, $\delta_1(F) = \lfloor (n - 1)/2 \rfloor$, and there is no copy of GS_3 covering 0. \square

Proof of the upper bound of (6). Suppose that H is a 3-graph on $n \geq 13$ vertices with $\delta_1(H) \geq \lfloor (n - 1)/2 \rfloor + 1 \geq 7$ and u is a vertex in H not covered by GS_3 . Apparently, H_u contains at least one vertex x such that $d_{H_u}(x) \geq \frac{2(\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor + 1)}{n-1} = 2$.

Claim 9. H_u contains no copy of $K_{1,2} \cup K_2$.

Proof. Assume that $\{x_1x_2, x_2x_3, y_1y_2\}$ is a copy of $K_{1,2} \cup K_2$ in H_u , then $ux_1x_2, ux_2x_3, uy_1y_2$ form a GS_3 covering u . \square

Claim 10. The only non-empty component of H_u is a star.

Proof. Suppose not and let x be the vertex with maximum degree in H_u . Let $N_{H_u}[x] = N_{H_u}(x) \cup \{x\}$. Apparently, $|N_{H_u}[x]| \geq 3$ and any edge in H_u shares at least one vertex in $N_{H_u}[x]$. Otherwise, there would be a copy of $K_{1,2} \cup K_2$ in H_u , which is a contradiction by Claim 9. So we can assume that all edges are incident with $N_{H_u}[x]$. Suppose $N_{H_u}[x] = \{x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}$ where $d = d_{H_u}(x) \geq 2$.

If $|N_{H_u}[x]| \geq 4$, pick an edge wv with $x \notin wv$ (since H_u is not a star), then wv, xy_i, xy_j form a copy of $K_{1,2} \cup K_2$, where we pick $y_i, y_j \in N_{H_u}[x] \setminus \{w, v\}$. This is a contradiction. If $|N_{H_u}[x]| = 3$, we have $\max\{d_{H_u}(y_1), d_{H_u}(y_2)\} \geq \lceil 1 + \frac{|E(H_u)|-2}{2} \rceil \geq 4$. Without loss of generality, suppose $d_{H_u}(y_1) \geq 4$. We can pick two vertices z_1 and z_2 with $z_1, z_2 \in N_{H_u}(y_1) \setminus \{x, y_2\}$. Then y_1z_1, y_1z_2, xy_2 form a copy of $K_{1,2} \cup K_2$, a contradiction. \square

Now we can assume that the only non-empty component of H_u is $K[\{v\}, V_0]$ for some $v \in V(H_u)$ and $V_0 \subset V(H_u) \setminus \{v\}$. Note that $|V_0| = d_H(u) \geq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor + 1 \geq 7$. If there exists an edge $e \in E(H - \{u\})$ with $v \in e$, we can pick 2 vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V_0 \setminus e$. Hence we get a contradiction since e, uvv_1, uvv_2 form a copy of GS_3 covering u .

If there is no edge $e \in E(H - \{u\})$ with $v \in e$, then $d_H(\{u, v\}) = d_H(u) = d_H(v) > 0$ and $\delta_1(H - \{u, v\}) \geq \delta_1(H) - 1 \geq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor ((n-3)/2) \rfloor + 1$. We now pick $w \in N_H(\{u, v\})$. Note that $|E((H - \{u, v\})_w)| \geq \delta_1(H - \{u, v\}) \geq \lfloor ((n-3)/2) \rfloor + 1$. So we can find a vertex x such that $d_{(H - \{u, v\})_w}(x) \geq \frac{2(\lfloor (n-3)/2 \rfloor + 1)}{n-3} = 2$. Pick $x_1, x_2 \in N_{(H - \{u, v\})_w}(x)$, we get a copy of GS_3 in H with edge set $\{uvw, wx_1, wx_2\}$ covering u . \square

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we determine the exact values of $c_1(F_5)$ and $c_1(n, F)$ for $F = LP_3, TP_3, K_{1,1,3}, S_3, GP_3, GS_3$. These results, together with some known ones, complete the 1-degree thresholds for all possible coverings by a connected 3-graph with 3 edges.

For 3-graphs F with more than 3 edges, however, we almostly have no non-trivial exact results for $c_1(F)$.

For the 2-degree thresholds, one can easily check that: $c_2(n, F)$ is a small constant for any mentioned connected 3-graph F with 3 edges (except for $K_4^{(3)-}$ done by [11]). For example,

- (Tang, Ma and Hou [12]) For $n \geq 6$, $c_2(n, C_6^{(3)}) = 1$;

- (Gu, Wang [13]) For $n \geq 5$, $c_2(n, F_5) \in \{1, 2\}$ and $c_2(n, F_5) = 2$ if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \geq 10$; for $n \geq 8$, $c_2(n, LP_3) = 1$; for $n \geq 7$, $c_2(n, S_3) \leq 1$.

Hence, it seems to be more interesting to consider $c_1(n, F)$ and $c_1(F)$ than $c_2(n, F)$ and $c_2(F)$ for small 3-graphs F .

References

- [1] P. Keevash. Hypergraph Turán problems, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note, 392(1) (2011), 83-140.
- [2] Y. Zhao. Recent advances on Dirac-type problems for hypergraphs, In: A. Beveridge, J. Griggs, L. Hogben, G. Musiker, P. Tetali (eds) Recent Trends in Combinatorics, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications 159. Springer, New York, 2016.
- [3] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi, A new generalization of Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Combinatorica*, 3 (1983), 341-349.
- [4] P. Keevash, D. Mubayi, Stability results for cancellative hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 92 (2004), 163-175.
- [5] J. Balogh, D. Mubayi, Almost all triangle-free triple systems are tripartite, *Combinatorica*, 32 (2012), 143-169.
- [6] J. Balogh, J. Butterfield, P. Hu, J. Lenz, Mantel's theorem for random hypergraphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 48(4) (2016), 641-654.
- [7] J. Balogh, J. Butterfield, P. Hu, J. Lenz, D. Mubayi, On the chromatic thresholds of hypergraphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 25(2) (2016), 172-212.
- [8] C. Zhang, Matchings and tilings in hypergraphs, PhD thesis, Georgia State University, 2016.
- [9] V. Falgas-Ravry, K. Markström, Y. Zhao, Triangle-degrees in graphs and tetrahedron coverings in 3-graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 30(2) (2021), 175-199.
- [10] V. Falgas-Ravry, Y. Zhao, Codegree thresholds for covering 3-uniform hypergraphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 30(4) (2016), 1899-1917.
- [11] L. Yu, X. Hou, Y. Ma, B. Liu, Exact minimum codegree thresholds for K_4^- -covering and K_5^- -covering, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 27(3) (2020), P3.22.
- [12] Y. Tang, Y. Ma, X. Hou, The degree and codegree threshold for linear triangle covering in 3-graphs, arXiv:2212.03718.

- [13] R. Gu, S. Wang, The degree and codegree threshold for generalized triangle and some trees covering, arXiv:2307.01647.
- [14] C. Berge, Sur le couplage maximum d'un graphe, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math, 247(1958), 258-259.
- [15] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Matching theory, Ann. Discrete Math., 29(1986).