

# FUNCTIONS ON THE MODULI SPACE OF PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES ON COMPLEX CURVES

INDRANIL BISWAS

ABSTRACT. We investigate the moduli space  $\mathcal{P}_g$  of smooth complex projective curves of genus  $g$  equipped with a projective structure. When  $g \geq 3$ , it is shown that this moduli space  $\mathcal{P}_g$  does not admit any nonconstant algebraic function. This is in contrast with the case of  $\mathcal{P}_2$  which is known to be an affine variety.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a  $C^\infty$  oriented surface  $S$ , a projective structure on it is defined by giving a coordinate atlas, where each coordinate function has target  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , such that all the transition functions are Möbius transformations (same as holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ ). Therefore, with all the transition functions being holomorphic, a projective structure on  $S$  produces a complex structure on  $S$ . However, projective structures are much finer than complex structures. In fact, while the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g \geq 2$  has complex dimension  $3g - 3$ , the moduli space of genus  $g$  surfaces with a projective structure is of complex dimension  $6g - 6$ . When  $g = 1$ , these two numbers are 1 and 2 respectively.

For any  $g \geq 2$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  parametrizing compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  is a smooth quasiprojective orbifold. The moduli space  $\mathcal{P}_g$  parametrizing genus  $g$  surfaces with a projective structure is an algebraic torsor over  $\mathcal{M}_g$  for the cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathcal{M}_g$ . The main result proved here says that  $\mathcal{P}_g$  does not admit any nonconstant algebraic function if  $g \geq 3$  (see Theorem 3.1). Since  $\mathcal{P}_2$  is an affine variety, it admits plenty of nonconstant algebraic functions.

## 2. THE MODULI SPACE OF PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES

**2.1. Projective structure.** Let  $X$  be a connected Riemann surface. A holomorphic coordinate chart on  $X$  is a pair of the form  $(U, \phi)$ , where  $U \subset X$  is an analytic open subset and  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  is a holomorphic embedding. A holomorphic coordinate atlas on  $X$  is a collection of coordinate charts  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ . A projective structure on  $X$  is given by a holomorphic coordinate atlas  $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  satisfying the condition that for every  $i, j \in I$  with  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , and every connected component

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H15, 32G15, 14H60.

*Key words and phrases.* Projective structure, mapping class group, moduli space.

$V_c \subset U_i \cap U_j$ , there is an element  $A_{j,i}^c \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that the map  $(\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1})|_{\phi_i(V_c)}$  is the restriction of the automorphism  $A_{j,i}^c$  of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  to  $\phi_i(V_c)$ .

Two holomorphic coordinate atlases  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I'}$  satisfying the above condition on  $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}$  are called *equivalent* if their union  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I \cup I'}$  also satisfies the above condition. A *projective structure* on  $X$  is an equivalence class of holomorphic coordinate atlases satisfying the above condition (see [Gu]). Every Riemann surface admits a projective structure. In fact, the uniformization theorem says that the universal cover of  $X$  is one of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and the upper-half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ . The holomorphic automorphism group of each of them is contained in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ . Therefore, the tautological projective structure on the universal cover of  $X$  produces a projective structure on  $X$ . Note that the projective structure on  $X$  obtained this way does not depend on the identification of the universal cover of  $X$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ .

The above definition of a projective structure does not bring out its algebraic geometric aspect. We will recall another formulation of the definition of a projective structure.

Let  $X$  be an irreducible smooth complex projective curve. Fix the Borel subgroup

$$B := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \mid b = 0 \right\} \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $P \rightarrow X$  be an algebraic principal  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle on  $X$  equipped with an algebraic connection  $D$ . We note that any algebraic connection on  $X$  is integrable because  $\Omega_X^{2,0} = 0$ . Let

$$P_B \subset P \quad (2.2)$$

be an algebraic reduction of structure group, to the subgroup  $B$  in (2.1), given by an algebraic section

$$\sigma : X \rightarrow P/B \quad (2.3)$$

of the natural projection  $f : P/B \rightarrow X$ . The connection  $D$  on  $P$  induces a connection on the fiber bundle  $f$ , which, in turn, decomposes the tangent bundle  $T(P/B)$  as

$$T(P/B) = T_f \oplus \mathcal{H}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $T_f \subset T(P/B)$  is the relative tangent bundle for the projection  $f$  and  $\mathcal{H} \subset T(P/B)$  is the horizontal subbundle for the connection on  $P/B$ . Let

$$\tilde{\sigma} : TX \rightarrow \sigma^* T_f \quad (2.5)$$

be the composition of homomorphisms

$$TX \xrightarrow{d\sigma} \sigma^* T(P/B) = \sigma^* T_f \oplus \sigma^* \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \sigma^* T_f$$

constructed using the decomposition in (2.4), where  $d\sigma$  is the differential of  $\sigma$  in (2.3). This homomorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is the second fundamental form of the reduction  $P_B$  in (2.2) for the connection  $D$ .

A projective structure on  $X$  is a triple  $(P, P_B, D)$  as above such that the homomorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}$  in (2.5) is an isomorphism [Gu].

The holomorphic cotangent bundle of  $X$  will be denoted by  $K_X$ . The extensions of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  by  $K_X$  are parametrized by

$$H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, K_X)) = H^1(X, K_X) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow K_X \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

be the unique extension corresponding to  $1 \in H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, K_X)) = \mathbb{C}$ . We note that  $V \otimes TX = J^1(TX)$  if  $\text{genus}(X) \neq 1$ .

We assume that  $\text{genus}(X) \neq 1$ .

Let

$$P^0 \longrightarrow X \tag{2.6}$$

be the principal  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle given by  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ ; the fiber of  $P^0$  over any  $x \in X$  is the space of all isomorphisms  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow P_x^0$ . The above line subbundle  $K_X \hookrightarrow V$  produces a reduction of structure group

$$P_B^0 \subset P^0 \tag{2.7}$$

to the Borel subgroup  $B \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  in (2.1). The fiber of  $P_B^0$  over any  $x \in X$  is the space of all isomorphisms  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow P_x^0$  that take the point  $e_2 \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  to the point of  $P_x^0$  given by  $(K_X)_x \subset V_x$ , where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

For any projective structure  $(P, P_B, D)$  on  $X$ , the pair  $(P, P_B)$  coincides with  $(P^0, P_B^0)$  [Gu]. So only the connection  $D$  moves when the projective structure on the Riemann surface  $X$  moves. Furthermore, for every connection  $D$  on  $P^0$ , the triple  $(P^0, P_B^0, D)$  is actually a projective structure on  $X$ . From these it follows that the space of all projective structures on  $X$  is an affine space modeled on the vector space  $H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes 2})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  has exactly one projective structure.

**2.2. Moduli spaces.** Fix a compact connected oriented  $C^\infty$  surface  $\Sigma$  of genus  $g \geq 2$ . Denote by  $\text{Diff}^+(\Sigma)$  the group of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\text{Diff}^0(\Sigma) \subset \text{Diff}^+(\Sigma)$  be the connected component containing the identity element. Then the quotient

$$\text{Mod}(\Sigma) := \pi_0(\text{Diff}^+(\Sigma)) = \text{Diff}^+(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}^0(\Sigma)$$

is known as the mapping class group. Let  $\text{Com}(\Sigma)$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$  complex structures on  $\Sigma$  compatible with its orientation. The quotient  $\text{Teich}(\Sigma) := \text{Com}(\Sigma)/\text{Diff}^0(\Sigma)$  for the natural action of  $\text{Diff}^0(\Sigma)$  on  $\text{Com}(\Sigma)$  is the Teichmüller space for  $\Sigma$ . The group  $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$  acts property discontinuously on  $\text{Teich}(\Sigma)$ . The corresponding orbifold

$$\mathcal{M}_g = \text{Teich}(\Sigma)/\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$$

is the moduli space of smooth complex projective curves of genus  $g$ . This  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is a smooth algebraic orbifold, and the underlying space is an irreducible complex quasiprojective variety of dimension  $3(g-1)$ .

In order to avoid stack issues, we often pass to a finite cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  with smooth total space that is defined by a finite index torsion-free subgroup of the mapping class

group of genus  $g$  (these exist [Lo], see below). It is well-known that  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  then carries a universal family of curves,  $\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}/\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . If we take the subgroup of the mapping class group to be normal, with quotient the finite group  $G$ , then this covering comes with a  $G$ -action. While the notions that we discuss below only pertain to families of smooth genus  $g$  curves, we give them a sense to the universal curve by taking the corresponding  $G$ -invariant notion on  $\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}/\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

We consider families of projective curves  $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  whose fibers are smooth and geometrically irreducible. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the functor which assigns to such a family the bundle of projective structures on its fibers; this is a torsor over  $p_*K_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{\otimes 2}$ , where  $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is the relative canonical bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$  for the projection  $p$ . We may compare this with the functor  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$  which assigns to this family the isomorphism classes of pairs  $(P, \widetilde{D})$  consisting of a principal  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$  that are fiberwise topologically trivial endowed with a fiberwise algebraic connection  $\widetilde{D}$  on  $P$  that is (fiberwise) *irreducible* in the sense that it is not induced by a connection on a principal  $B$ -bundle [Si1], [Si2]. We here recall that the topological types of principal  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles on a smooth projective curve are parametrized by  $\pi_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . The construction in the previous section identifies  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  with the closed subscheme of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  defined by the pairs  $(P, \widetilde{D})$  for which  $P$  coincides with  $P^0$  in (2.6).

We shall abbreviate  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}/\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g)$  by  $\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  and we will simply write  $\mathcal{P}_g$  for its  $G$ -quotient (assuming that  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  is a  $G$ -cover). So we have the forgetful morphisms

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \quad (2.8)$$

and  $\mathcal{P}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ , the former being an affine bundle over  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  that is modeled on the cotangent bundle  $T^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . This means that if we filter  $\Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  by fiberwise degree:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g} = \Phi_*^{\leq 0}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g} \subset \Phi_*^{\leq 1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g} \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_*^{\leq d}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g} \subset \cdots, \quad (2.9)$$

then this filtration exhausts  $\Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  (the latter is the union of these subsheaves which is same as the direct limit) and for  $d \geq 1$ , the successive quotient  $\Phi_*^{\leq d}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}/\Phi_*^{\leq d-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  can be identified with the symmetric power  $\mathrm{Sym}^d T\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

### 3. FUNCTIONS ON THE MODULI SPACE

The forgetful morphism  $\mathcal{P}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  is affine. Since  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is affine, it follows that  $\mathcal{P}_2$  is also affine. On the other hand, the only regular functions on  $\mathcal{M}_g$  for  $g \geq 3$  are the constants. The main result of this section is that this does not change if we pass to  $\mathcal{P}_g$ :

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $g \geq 3$ , every regular function on  $\mathcal{P}_g$  is constant.*

*Proof.* If  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  is a smooth finite cover as above, then it of course suffices to show that  $\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  has the stated property. The algebra of regular functions on this space is filtered as in (2.9). Recall that the filtration  $\Phi_*^{\leq d}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  is exhaustive,

$$H^0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g, \Phi_*^{\leq 0}) = H^0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}) = \mathbb{C}$$

and that we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g, \Phi_*^{\leq d-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}) \longrightarrow H^0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g, \Phi_*^{\leq d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}|\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g}) \longrightarrow H^0(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g, \text{Sym}^d T\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g).$$

So the theorem will follow from Proposition 3.2 below.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The sheaf  $\text{Sym}^d(T\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g)$  has no nonzero sections when  $d > 0$ .*

Proposition 3.2 will be proved in Section 4

For any integer  $l \geq 2$ , a smooth curve of genus  $g$  with level  $l$  structure is an irreducible smooth projective curve  $S$  of genus  $g$  together with a symplectic basis of  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$  (see [Pu], [Lo]). Let  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  denote the moduli space of smooth curves of genus  $g$  with level  $l$  structure.

For the proof of Proposition 3.2 we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $l \geq 3$  be an integer, and let  $\mathcal{M}_g[l] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$  be the finite cover which parametrizes the smooth projective curves of genus  $g$  endowed with a level  $l$  structure. Then any two points of  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  can be connected by a complete curve in  $\mathcal{M}_g$  whose irreducible components are smooth.*

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  admits a projective compactification with codimension two boundary, a fact that is well-known among experts. Let  $\mathcal{A}_g[l]$  stand for the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with full level  $l$  structure. Both  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  and  $\mathcal{A}_g[l]$  are known to be smooth [Pu], [Lo]. The Torelli theorem asserts that the evident period map  $P : \mathcal{M}_g[l] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g[l]$  identifies  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  with a locally closed subset of  $\mathcal{A}_g[l]$ . According to Mumford (see [Na, Theorem 9.28]), this map  $P$  extends as a morphism from the Deligne-Mumford compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g[l]$  of  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  to the minimal compactification  $\mathcal{A}_g[l]^*$ . This extended map essentially assigns to a stable curve the product the Jacobians of its irreducible components. So if we denote the closure of  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  in  $\mathcal{A}_g[l]^*$  by  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^*$  then the ‘minimal’ boundary  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^* \setminus \mathcal{M}_g[l]$  is of codimension at least two in  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^*$ . We note that the maximal dimension  $3g - 5 = (3g - 3) - 2$  is in fact realized by the boundary component defined by one-point unions of a genus  $(g - 1)$ -curve and a genus 1 curve. Since the minimal compactification  $\mathcal{A}_g[l]^*$  is projective, so is  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^*$ .

We now assume  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^*$  realized as a closed subset of some  $\mathbb{P}^N$ . Given  $p \in \mathcal{M}_g[l]$ , consider the Grassmannian  $Gr_p$  of linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{P}^N$  of codimension  $3g - 2$  passing through  $p$ . By the Bertini-Sard theorem (see [Ve]), the subspaces which

- avoid the minimal boundary  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^* \setminus \mathcal{M}_g[l]$ , and
- meet  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  transversally

make up an open dense subspace  $Gr_p^\circ \subset Gr_p$ . By definition every member of  $Gr_p^\circ$  is represented by a linear subspace  $L$  for which  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]^* \cap L$  is a smooth projective curve contained in  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  which passes through  $p$ . The union of these curves is a constructible subset of  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  that is Zariski dense. Hence it contains a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U_p$  of  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$ . If  $q \in \mathcal{M}_g[l]$  is another point, then  $U_p \cap U_q$  will be nonempty and so if

$r \in U_p \cap U_q$ , then there exist smooth projective curves  $C'$  and  $C''$  in  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  which contain respectively  $\{p, r\}$  and  $\{q, r\}$ . Their union  $C' \cup C''$  is then as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* Let us first note that that if this vanishing property holds for a given cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , then it holds for all intermediate smooth covers. We can therefore assume without loss of generality that  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  dominates a cover of the form  $\mathcal{M}_g[l]$  for some  $l \geq 3$ . The resulting covering map  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[l]$  is then étale and as  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is connected, it will then by Lemma 3.3 have the same property as  $\mathcal{M}[l]$ : any two points of  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  lie on a connected complete curve whose irreducible components are smooth. Hence it suffices to show that for every smooth curve

$$\gamma : C \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g \quad (4.1)$$

and every positive integer  $d > 0$ , the vector bundle  $\gamma^* \text{Sym}^d(T\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g)$  has no nonzero sections.

Let  $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C$  be the restriction of the universal family to  $C$  in (4.1). The relative cotangent bundle  $K_{\mathcal{X}/C}$  is ample, for if we equip it with the fiberwise Poincaré metric, then according to [Sc, p. 2, Main Theorem] the curvature of the corresponding Chern connection is positive. Ampleness is an open property in the Néron-Severi group tensored with  $\mathbb{Q}$  and so if we choose  $x_0 \in C$ , then there is a positive integer  $\ell_0$  such that  $K_{\mathcal{X}/C}^{\otimes \ell} \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_C(-2x_0)$  is ample for all  $\ell \geq \ell_0$ .

Choose a finite map

$$\pi : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$$

of degree  $\ell \geq \ell_0$  which has total ramification in  $x_0$ , and denote the unique point of  $\widehat{C}$  over  $x_0$  by  $\widehat{x}_0$ . This ensures that if we make the corresponding base change of  $\mathcal{X}/C$  over  $\pi$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\pi}} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \widehat{p} & & \downarrow p \\ \widehat{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C \end{array}$$

the line bundle  $(K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}} \otimes \widehat{p}^* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-2\widehat{x}_0))^{\otimes \ell}$  is the pull-back of an ample line bundle, namely  $K_{\mathcal{X}/C}^{\otimes \ell} \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_C(-2x_0)$ , along the finite morphism  $\widehat{\pi}$ , so that  $K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}} \otimes \widehat{p}^* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-2\widehat{x}_0)$  is also ample (see for instance [Ha, p. 25, Proposition 4.4]). Then [Vi, p. 75, Proposition 2.43] says that the direct image

$$\widehat{p}_*(K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \widehat{p}^* \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-2\widehat{x}_0)) = \widehat{p}_* K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-2\widehat{x}_0)$$

on  $\widehat{C}$  is a nef vector bundle. As  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{x}_0)$  is ample, this implies that the vector bundle  $\widehat{p}_* K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-\widehat{x}_0)$  is ample [Fu, p. 360, Proposition 2.4]. In particular, there exists an integer  $r_0$  such that  $\text{Sym}^r \widehat{p}_* K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-rx_0)$  is globally generated for  $r \geq r_0$ . But  $\widehat{p}_* K_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}/\widehat{C}}^{\otimes 2}$  is the pull-back of  $T^* \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g$  under the composite  $\gamma \circ \pi$  (see (4.1)) and so if  $\sigma$  is section

of  $\gamma^* \text{Sym}^d(T\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ , then for every integer  $s \geq 0$ , its  $s$ -th power  $\sigma^s$  defines a contraction homomorphism

$$\iota_{\sigma^s} : \text{Sym}^{ds} \widehat{p}_* K_{\widehat{X}/\widehat{C}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-ds\widehat{x}_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}(-ds\widehat{x}_0),$$

which is nonzero when  $\sigma$  is. If we take  $s > \frac{r_0}{d}$ , then the left hand side is globally generated, whereas the right hand side has no nonzero sections. Hence we conclude that  $\sigma = 0$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is very grateful to Eduard Looijenga for sharing his ideas.

#### REFERENCES

- [Fu] T. Fujita, Semipositive line bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **30** (1983), 353–378. [6](#)
- [Gu] R. C. Gunning, *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*, Mathematical Notes 2, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1966. [2](#), [3](#)
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*. Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1970. [6](#)
- [Lo] E. Looijenga, Smooth Deligne-Mumford compactifications by means of Prym level structures, *Jour. Alg. Geom.* **3** (1994), 283–293. [4](#), [5](#)
- [Na] Y. Namikawa, *Toroidal compactification of Siegel spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **812**, Springer, Berlin, 1980. [5](#)
- [Pu] A. Putman, The Picard group of the moduli space of curves with level structures, *Duke Math. Jour.* **161** (2012), 623–674. [5](#)
- [Sc] G. Schumacher, Positivity of relative canonical bundles and applications, *Invent. Math.* **190** (2012), 1–56. [6](#)
- [Si1] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **79** (1994), 47–129. [4](#)
- [Si2] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **80** (1994), 5–79. [4](#)
- [Ve] J.-L. Verdier, Stratification de Whitney et théorème de Bertini-Sard, *Inv. Math.* **36** (1976), 295–312. [5](#)
- [Vi] E. Viehweg, *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 30. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. [6](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

*Email address:* [indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in](mailto:indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in), [indranil29@gmail.com](mailto:indranil29@gmail.com)