Insights into density and location diagnostics of photo-ionized outflows in X-ray binaries

SHARON MITRANI¹ AND EHUD BEHAR¹

¹Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa, Israel

ABSTRACT

The population of meta-stable levels is key to high precision density diagnostics of astrophysical plasmas. In photo-ionized plasmas, density is used to infer the distance from the ionizing source, which is otherwise difficult to obtain. Perfecting models that compute these populations is thus crucial. The present paper presents a semi-analytic hydrogenic approximation for assessing the relative importance of different processes in populating atomic levels. This approximation shows that in the presence of a radiation source, photo- and collisional- excitations are both important over a wide range of plasma temperatures and ionizing spectra, while radiative recombination is orders of magnitude weaker. The interesting case of Fe^{+21} with a collisional radiative model with photo-excitation demonstrates this effect. The population of the first excited meta-stable level in Fe^{+21} is sensitive to the electron number density in the critical range of $n_e = 10^{12} - 10^{15} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$; it was observed to be significantly populated in the X-ray spectrum of the 2005 outburst of the X-ray binary GRO J1655-40. The present model shows that photo-excitation is the predominant process indirectly populating the meta-stable level. For the photo-ionized plasma in the GRO J1655-40 outflow, the model indicates a measured value of $n_e = (2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ implying a distance from the source of $r = (4.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{10} \,\mathrm{cm}$. Finally, we show how the computed critical density and distance of Fe^{+21} yield the correct ionization parameter of the ion, independent of ionization balance calculations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Emission and absorption from meta-stable levels is a powerful diagnostic of the electron number density in highly ionized plasmas. At low densities, excitation from the ground level dominates the population of excited levels, and line ratios are independent of the density. At higher densities, electron impact transitions between excited levels significantly change the relative population of meta-stable levels. Once this effect becomes dominant, the line spectrum starts depending on the electron density, until at the highest densities, all level populations tend to the Boltzmann distribution.

He-like X-ray lines from various elements between C and Si were first used by Gabriel & Jordan (1969) to infer electron densities of $n_e = 10^8 - 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the hot solar corona. The He-like line diagnostics can be generalized to low temperature photo-ionized plasmas (Porquet & Dubau 2000), e.g. as found in Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs) and X-Ray Binaries (XRBs). In these cases, photo-excitation (PE) processes also populate excited levels through resonant transitions (Sako et al. 2000; Kinkhabwala et al. 2002). This results in ambiguity between the diagnostic of the electron density and that of the photo-exciting flux, which is related to the distance from the source.

Kaastra et al. (2004) calculated a photo-ionization model for Be-like O⁺⁴ and included PE. They considered two K α (1s-2p) absorption lines from the meta-stable level $1s^22s^2p_{1/2}(J=0)$ at ~ 22.45 Å, which is sensitive to density around $n_e \cong 10^{10}$ cm⁻³. Mauche et al. (2003) showed that the 2p-3d transition from the $1s^22s^2p_{3/2}(J=3/2)$ meta-stable level in B-like Fe⁺²¹ at 11.92 Å can be a useful n_e or PE diagnostic. Miller et al. (2008) used the Fe 11.92Å absorption line to measure a density of $n_e = 5^{+1.3}_{-1.0} \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻³ in the 2005 outburst of the X-ray binary GRO J1655-40 and claimed that PE is negligible. A comprehensive work on the population of meta-stable levels of L-shell ions in astrophysically

Corresponding author: Sharon Mitrani sharonm@campus.technion.ac.il

abundant elements between C and Fe was reported by Mao et al. (2017), where diagnostics were calculated for densities up to 10^{14} cm⁻³.

In steady-state photo-ionized plasmas, the electron density also determines the ionization of the plasma, since electron recombination balances photo-ionization. The ionization level of the gas is quantified through the ionization parameter ξ (Tarter et al. 1969), usually expressed in cgs units of erg cm s⁻¹

$$\xi = \frac{L}{n_e r^2} \tag{1}$$

where L (erg s⁻¹) is the luminosity of the source, and r (cm) is the distance from the ionizing source. If ξ is estimated from the observed ion and L is measured, the density diagnostic reveals r. Indeed, from $\xi = 10^5$ Miller et al. (2008) inferred an outflow distance of $r = 10^9$ cm, which led to a conclusion that thermal driving is not viable for the GRO J1655-40 outflow. In their level population model they neglected PE and included only electron collisional excitation (CE). A recent calculation by Tomaru et al. (2023) included PE, and a lower measured population of the meta-stable level. Accordingly, they obtained a lower value of $n_e = 2.8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and larger distance of $\cong 10^{11} \text{ cm}$, which makes thermal driving also possible.

Since both photo-ionization (PI) and PE processes are driven by the source flux, it is natural to expect that if PI is the dominant ionization process, PE will be an important excitation process. In photo-ionized plasmas, for a given ξ , a high n_e implies a lower r and therefore an increased role of PE, and vice versa. In other words, the effects of CE (n_e) and PE (r) on the population of meta-stable levels must go hand in hand. This was shown by Peretz et al. (2019) who measured the distance from the nucleus of NGC 4051 using the He-like triplets of O and N. Indeed, CE and PE models for the same ξ resulted there in similar distances of $r \approx 10^{15}$ cm, differing by only a factor of 2.

The goal of the present work is to determine under which physical circumstances the contributions of PE and CE are important, and whether generally both of them need to be considered. We first use an analytic hydrogenic approximation over a wide range of plasma conditions and photo-ionizing sources (Sec. 2). We then use atomic data for Fe^{+21} to build a collisional-radiative model with PE to demonstrate this is the case for the 2005 GRO J1655-40 outburst (Sec. 3). We present our conclusions in Sec. 4.

2. METHOD

2.1. Energy Level Kinetics

The observed emission and absorption spectral lines in plasmas depend on the populations of the corresponding energy levels *i* and *j* (*i* < *j*). Therefore, for spectral modeling a detailed computation of their number densities n_i, n_j is needed. The total emission line intensity (ph s⁻¹ cm⁻³) at the source is:

$$I_{ji} = n_j A_{ji} \tag{2}$$

where $A_{ij}(s^{-1})$ is the Einstein spontaneous emission coefficient. The absorption line intensity is:

$$I_{ij} = I_{ij,0} e^{-\int n_i \sigma_{ij} dl} \tag{3}$$

where $I_{ij,0}$ is the incident intensity around the line, σ_{ij} (cm²) is the PE cross section, and the integral is over the line of sight. In the general case, a proper computation of these densities requires considering both CE and PE.

In hot dense plasmas, the electron impact collisions, which depend on the electron density n_e and temperature T_e , are predominantly responsible for ionizing and exciting the ions. The CE rate coefficient (cm³ s⁻¹) from level *i* to *j* can be approximated by the van Regementer (1962) formula:

$$S_{ij}(T_e) = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} f_{ij} \overline{g} E_{ij_{[eV]}}^{-1} T_{e[K]}^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{E_{ij}}{kT_e}}$$
(4)

where $E_{ij} = E_j - E_i$ is the line energy in eV, and f_{ij} is the oscillator strength of the transition; \overline{g} is the averaged excitation Gaunt factor which is of the order of unity. From the detailed balance argument in thermodynamic equilibrium, the rate coefficient S'_{ii} of the opposite process, collisional de-excitation from j to i is related to S_{ij} by:

$$S'_{ji} = \frac{g_i}{g_j} e^{\frac{E_{ij}}{kT_e}} S_{ij} \tag{5}$$

where g_i and g_j are the statistical weights of levels i, j, respectively.

In photo-ionized plasma, an external radiation source dominates the ionization and excitation processes. The PE rate (s^{-1}) from level *i* to *j* is given by:

$$R_{ij}^{PE} = \int F_{\nu}(\nu) \,\sigma_{ij}^{PE} d\nu \tag{6}$$

where F_{ν} (ph s⁻¹ cm⁻² Hz⁻¹) is the photon flux density, and the cross section σ_{ij}^{PE} (cm²) is given by:

$$\sigma_{ij}^{PE} = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} f_{ij} \phi(\nu) \tag{7}$$

where e, m_e are the electron charge and mass, respectively, and $\phi(\nu)$ is a normalized narrow line profile around the transition frequency. $F_{\nu}(\nu)$ can be rewritten as:

$$F_{\nu}(\nu) = hF_E(E) = h\frac{L_E(E)}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{hLf_E(E)}{4\pi r^2 E} = \frac{n_e\xi hf_E(E)}{4\pi E}$$
(8)

where h is the Planck constant, F_E is given in units of ph s⁻¹cm⁻²keV⁻¹, L_E (ph s⁻¹keV⁻¹) is the photon luminosity density, and $f_E(E)$ is the normalized spectral energy distribution (SED), usually taken from 1-1000 Ry. In Eq. 8 we used the expression for ξ of Eq. 1 with the energy luminosity $L = \int E L_E dE$. By substituting Eqs. 7 and 8 into Eq. 6 one gets:

$$R_{ij}^{PE} = n_e \frac{he^2 \xi f_{ij} f_E(E_{ij})}{4m_e c E_{ij}} \tag{9}$$

2.2. Two-level approximation

In this section, we compare the relative roles of CE and PE in populating level j from level i, for different ionizing sources and absorbing plasma conditions. Before solving the full collisional-radiative model with PE, which takes into account all populating and depopulating processes of all levels, we focus on a two-level system. The ratio of the CE and PE rates (Eqs. 4, 9) for a specific i to j transition is:

$$\frac{R_{ij}^{PE}}{n_e S_{ij}} = \frac{he^2 \xi f_E(E_{ij}) f_{ij}}{4S_{ij} E_{ij} m_e c} = 8.7 \frac{\xi}{10^3} \left(\frac{T_e}{10^6 K}\right)^{1/2} f_E(kT_e) \tag{10}$$

where we assumed $E_{ij} = kT_e$ and $\overline{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{1}$. The above ratio is computed here for two types of SEDs, a power-law (PL) with photon index $1.5 < \Gamma < 2.5$, typical of AGNs, and a multiple-*T* black body disc spectrum with maximal source temperature $0.3 \text{ keV} < kT_s < 3 \text{ keV}$, typical of XRBs. We compute this ratio for two different T_e values and for $\xi = 10^3$, which is the ionization parameter of peak formation of the Fe⁺²¹ ion (Kallman et al. 2009, Sec. 3), but the scaling with ξ is trivial.

The ratios computed from Eq. 10 are presented in Table 1. The disc spectra are computed with the *diskbb* model (Mitsuda et al. 1984) in Xspec (Arnaud 1996). Note that since $f_E \propto E^{-\Gamma+1}$, the special case of $\Gamma = 1.5$ eliminates the $T_e^{1/2}$ dependence. The tabulated ratios show that the PE/CE rate ratios range between 0.3 – 20. This demonstrates that both CE and PE can be significant and need to be considered in most cases. Specifically, the GRO J1655-40 outburst, which is discussed in Sec. 3, has a *diskbb* spectrum with $kT_s = 1.3$ keV (Miller et al. 2008), where the ratio of Eq. 10 is of the order of unity (red text in Table 1).

Table 1. PE/CE rate ratio for power-law and *diskbb* spectra (Eq.10) with $\xi = 10^3$. The $kT_s = 1.3$ keV case (in red) refers to GRO J1655-40 (Miller et al. 2008).

	Power-law			diskbb				
$\log(T_e)$ [K]	$\Gamma = 1.5$	$\Gamma=2$	$\Gamma = 2.5$	$kT_s = 0.3 \text{ keV}$	$kT_s = 1 \text{ keV}$	$kT_s = 1.3 \mathrm{keV}$	$kT_s = 3 \mathrm{keV}$	
6	4.15	14.62	20.71	7.36	1.52	1.07	0.38	
7	4.15	4.62	2.07	15.37	8.48	6.41	2.55	

Another process that can populate excited levels is radiative recombination (RR), in which an electron is captured into a bound state and a photon is emitted. The rate coefficient for RR to the ground level α^{RR} (cm³ s⁻¹) is given by Cillié (1932):

4

$$\alpha^{RR} = 3.2 \times 10^{-6} \frac{e^{E_{ion}/kT_e}}{\mathbf{n}^3 T_e^{3/2}} E_1\left(\frac{E_{ion}}{kT_e}\right)$$
(11)

where n is the principal quantum number, E_{ion} is the ionization energy, and E_1 is the exponential integral:

$$E_1(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt \tag{12}$$

The ratio between the PE and RR rates is (Eqs. 9, 11):

$$\frac{R_{ij}^{PE}}{n_e \alpha^{RR}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\xi f_E(E_{ij}) f_{ij} T_e^{3/2}}{E_{ij} E_1\left(\frac{E_{ion}}{kT_e}\right)} e^{-E_{ion}/kT_e} = 3.2 \times 10^6 \frac{\xi}{10^3} \left(\frac{T_e}{10^6 K}\right)^{1/2} f_E(kT_e)$$
(13)

In the last equality we assumed $E_{ij} = E_{ion} = kT_e$ and $f_{ij} = 0.1$. The value of a few millions on the right hand side indicates that recombination is likely negligible for all source conditions. The ratio, computed for two different spectral shapes and two temperatures (similar to Table 1) is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. PE/RR rate ratio in millions (×10⁶), for power-law and *diskbb* spectra (Eq.13) with $\xi = 10^3$. The $kT_s = 1.3$ keV case (in red) refers to GRO J1655-40 (Miller et al. 2008)

	Power-law			diskbb			
$\log(T_e)$ [K]	$\Gamma=1.5$	$\Gamma=2$	$\Gamma=2.5$	$kT_s=0.3 \mathrm{keV}$	$kT_s = 1 \text{ keV}$	$kT_s = 1.3 \text{ keV}$	$kT_s = 3 \mathrm{keV}$
6	1.55	5.47	7.75	2.75	0.57	0.40	0.14
7	1.55	1.72	0.77	5.75	3.17	2.40	0.95

Both PE/CE and PE/RR ratios decrease with increasing temperature for a PL spectrum, and increase with temperature for a *diskbb* spectrum. This is due to the energy dependence of f_E , which can decrease faster or slower with E, compared to $T_e^{1/2}$ (Eq. 10, Eq. 13). This two-level model indicates that in all cases where $kT_e \sim E_{ion}$, including GRO J1655-40 (red text in Table 2), the PE/RR ratio is $\sim 10^5 - 10^6$. Hence, RR can be safely neglected, while PE must be considered.

We can also consider a lower temperature of $T_e = 10^5$ K, for which ξ will also be lower $\xi \approx 10^{1.5}$ (See Fig.6 in Kallman et al. 2004). For a PL spectrum, the PE/CE rate ratios are between 0.1-4 and the PE/RR ratios are (0.05-1.4)×10⁶. This demonstrates that at lower temperatures as well, CE and PE are both important, while RR is not. $T_e = 10^5$ K is not considered here for *diskbb* spectra, as typical X-ray binaries have much higher radiation temperatures $kT_s > 0.3$ keV.

Photo-ionization (PI) transitions can also (populate or) depopulate the excited levels of the ion. The PI rate from level i is given in a similar fashion to that of the PE rate (Eq.6):

$$R_i^{PI} = \int F_\nu(\nu) \,\sigma_i^{PI} d\nu \tag{14}$$

 $\sigma_i^{PI}(\text{cm}^2)$ is the PI cross section, which is given by (Karzas & Latter 1961):

$$\sigma_i^{PI} = \left(\frac{64\pi ng}{3\sqrt{3}}\right) \alpha a_0^2 \left(\frac{E_{ion}}{E}\right)^3 \tag{15}$$

where g is the Gaunt factor which is of the order of unity, $a_0 = \hbar^2/m_e e^2$ is the Bohr radius, and $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$ is the fine structure constant. To demonstrate the difference in orders of magnitude between PE and PI, we evaluate the rates at $E = E_{ion} = 1 \text{ keV}$ (Eqs. 6, 7, 8, 14, 15):

$$\frac{R_{ij}^{PE}}{R_i^{PI}}(E = E_{ion} = 1 \,\text{keV}) = \frac{0.002 \times f_E(1 \,keV)}{8 \times 10^{-18}} = 2.5 \times 10^{13}$$
(16)

This result shows that the PI population of excited levels is insignificant compared to PE. This is not surprising given that PE is a resonant process. The PI rates are computed fully in our numerical analysis in Sec. 3, but there too they are negligible.

2.3. Collisional radiative model

In order to solve the populations of all levels of a given ion, we refer to a collisional radiative model with PE. The level i population n_i is solved from a set of linear rate equations.

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = 0 = \sum_{j < i} \left(n_j n_e S_{ji} + n_j R_{ji}^{PE} \right) + \sum_{k > i} \left(n_k A_{ki} + n_k n_e S'_{ki} \right) - \sum_{j < i} \left(n_i A_{ij} + n_i n_e S'_{ij} \right) - \sum_{k > i} \left(n_i n_e S_{ik} + n_i R_{ik}^{PE} \right) - \mathbf{n}_i \mathbf{R}_i^{\mathbf{PI}}$$
(17)

The first and second terms represent the populating processes of level *i* from lower and higher levels. The third and fourth terms, represent the depopulating processes of level *i* to lower and higher levels, the last term is the depopulating PI. In a steady state, i.e. $dn_i/dt = 0$, Eq. 17 reduces to a set of linear algebraic equations, which is solved to get the set of population densities $\{n_i\}$. These results are presented in the following.

3. RESULTS FOR Fe^{+21}

In this section, we consider the special case of B-like Fe⁺²¹, which was debated in the context of the launching mechanism of the GRO J1655-40 outflow observed by *Chandra*/HETGS in 2005 (Miller et al. 2006; Miller et al. 2008; Netzer 2006; Tomaru et al. 2023). The atomic structure of Fe⁺²¹ and the 11.92 Å/11.77 Å line ratio density diagnostics were discussed in detail by Mauche et al. (2003). References to previous uses of these lines in solar and laboratory plasmas can be found there, as well as excellent physical insights into the origin of the density sensitivity of these lines. Here, it is sufficient to understand that at low densities (and no PE) practically all ions are in the ground level $2s^22p_{1/2}(n_1)$ from which the 11.77 Å originates. Only at higher densities (or significant PE) does the first excited level $2s^22p_{3/2}$ get populated (n_2) , and the 11.92 Å line arises. Excitations from the ground level can be divided into $\Delta n = 0$ (2-2, i.e., UV) and $\Delta n = 1$ (2-3, i.e., X-ray) transitions, hence the importance of T_e and the SED.

Our Fe⁺²¹ model includes 63 energy levels of the following B-like configurations $1s^22l^3$ (10 levels) and $1s^22l^23l'$ (53 levels), with orbital quantum numbers l = s, p and l' = s, p, d. Consequently, excitations up to level 10 are referred to as UV transitions $(2 - 2, \Delta n = 0)$, while excitations to levels 11-63 are X-ray transitions $(2 - 3, \Delta n = 1)$.

The present analysis focuses on the n_2/n_1 population ratio. As in Mauche et al. (2003), the atomic structure and coefficients were computed using the relativistic Hebrew University Lawrence Livermore Atomic Code (HULLAC, Bar-Shalom et al. 2001).

The model (Eq. 17) provides the n_2/n_1 population ratio as a function of density, which is then compared to the 11.92Å/11.77Å absorption line ratio in the 2005 spectrum of GRO J1655-40. Eq. 17 is solved under three different approximations, in order to demonstrate the effect of each process independently. First, we included only CE terms; second, only PE terms, and lastly, both CE and PE are included. The spontaneous emission terms are included in all cases. Since the PE rates scale with the flux $\propto Lr^{-2}$ (Eq. 6), they require a value for L. Hence, the PE-only populations can be presented as a function of r, but will depend on the assumed L value. In the CE & PE model, CE rates depend on n_e (and less so on T_e), while PE rates still depend on flux $\propto Lr^{-2}$, but these two are tied through ξ (Eq. 1). Hence, the CE & PE populations can be presented as a function of n_e or r, but will depend on the assumed ξ (and T_e) value. In the following, we assume $L = 5.7 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Miller et al. 2008) and $\xi = 10^3$ (Kallman et al. 2009), and discuss how the results would change if these values change. A value of $\xi = 10^3$ is appropriate for Fe⁺²¹ in the GRO J1655-40 outburst, as it is where the ion obtains its maximal abundance (Kallman et al. 2009). The same value was obtained in Netzer (2006), while Tomaru et al. (2023) used $\xi \cong 2.5 \times 10^3$.

3.1. Only-CE

The n_2/n_1 population ratio computed with CE, but not PE, is shown in Fig. 1 (left panel), assuming $T = 10^6$ K. It shows a critical density of $n_{e,c} = 6 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻³ in which n_2/n_1 starts to increase non-linearly. At much higher $n_e > 10^{21}$ cm⁻³, n_2/n_1 tends to the Boltzmann population ratio, $n_2/n_1 = 2 \exp(-E_{21}/kT) = 1.722$, where the gas is in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). The right hand side panel of Fig. 1 shows the total rates of the dominant transitions to level 2. At low densities $n_e \ll n_{e,c}$, n_2 is populated predominantly by the weak collisional excitation from level 1 to 2 $(2s^22p_{1/2} - 2s^22p_{3/2})$, which then decays back to level 1. As n_e approaches $n_{e,c}$, the $2s2p_{3/2}^2$ levels (7, 9, and 10) get increasingly populated, and their total transition rates to level 2 surpass that of 1 to 2, thus dramatically increasing the n_2/n_1 ratio. The crossing point of the 1 to 2 and 10 to 2 rates can be used to define the critical density of $n_{e,c} = 6 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻³. The increase in the n_2/n_1 ratio in the l.h.s. of Fig. 1 is similar to that found in Miller et al. (2008), while the results of Mao et al. (2017, Fig. 3 therein) indicate slightly lower densities to reach $n_2/n_1 = 1$.



Figure 1. Left: n_2/n_1 population ratio for Fe⁺²¹, considering only CE. Right: Four dominant levels that populate the metastable level n_2 around the critical density $n_{e,c} = 6 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻³ (denoted by the vertical dashed line in both panels).

3.2. Only-PE

The next case we consider is a model with only the PE terms, and no CE. We used the measured *diskbb* spectrum of GRO J1655-40 with $kT_s = 1.3 \text{ keV}$, and a luminosity of $L_X = 5 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ between 0.65-10 keV (Miller et al. 2008), which implies a bolometric luminosity of $L = 5.7 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The n_2/n_1 population ratio is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of distance from the ionizing source.

Far from the source $(r \gg r_c = 10^{11} \text{ cm})$ the exciting flux is low and the effect of PE is weak, thus $n_2 \ll n_1$. As r decreases, the flux $(\propto r^{-2})$ increases, PE of excited levels increases, and radiative cascades increasingly populate level 2. Around the critical distance $r_c = 10^{11} \text{ cm}$, n_2 approaches n_1 . At closer distances, PE from level 2 becomes significant, and balances the cascade effect. This is seen as the plateau on the low-r side of Fig. 2. The right hand side panel of Fig. 2 shows the total transition rates of the dominant levels populating n_2 around $r_c = 10^{11} \text{ cm}$. Farther from the source $(r > r_c)$ level 16 $(2s^23d_{3/2})$, which is photo-excited from level 1 by X-rays (the 11.77 Å transition) dominates the population of level 2. Below the critical distance, n_2 increases on the account of n_1 , thus PE from level 1 to level 16 diminishes, while the rate for PE from level 2 to level 17 $(2s^23d_{5/2})$, which is the X-ray 11.92 Å transition), and the decay back to level 2, dominate. Level 10 has a strong radiative transition to level 2, therefore it populates level 2 at all distances. The crossing point of the 16 to 2 (fed by 1 to 16) and 17 to 2 rates can be used to define the critical distance of $r_c = 10^{11}$ cm, where level 2 is significantly populated by PE. The plotted distances in Fig. 2 actually represent fluxes, derived from the assumed L. If L is in fact higher than the assumed $5.7 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, for example the central source is obscured to us but visible to the outflow, the derived distances would also be higher $(r \propto \sqrt{L})$.

3.3. Final Results

Our final results for n_2/n_1 when including both CE and PE are presented in Fig. 3, and compared to only-CE and only-PE models at two temperatures of $T = 10^6, 10^7$ K. The difference between $T = 10^6$ K and $T = 10^7$ K is statistically insignificant. The figure shows that neglecting PE results in an n_e value that is higher by a factor of 4, and thus a distance smaller by a (square root) factor of 2. The CE & PE model for Fe⁺²¹ assumes $\xi = 10^3$; A higher value will move the CE & PE curve to lower densities since the PE rates will be higher (c.f., Eq. 9).

We remeasured the equivalent widths (EWs) of the 11.77 Å and 11.92 Å lines by fitting Voigt profiles (Fig. 4, left panel) and obtained values of (8.4 ± 0.5) mÅ and (6.0 ± 0.5) mÅ, respectively, providing a ratio of 0.71 ± 0.07 (1σ). n_1 and n_2 were obtained by comparison of the EWs to a theoretical curve of growth (Fig. 4, right panel). This results in $n_2/n_1 = 0.76 \pm 0.08$, which is consistent with $n_2/n_1 = 0.71^{+0.05}_{-0.09}$ in Miller et al. (2008), but not with $n_2/n_1 \cong 0.2 \pm 0.1$ in Tomaru et al. (2023), who presumably obtained the EWs of the lines from a global fit. Plotting the n_2/n_1 confidence region on the theoretical density curve in Fig. 3, indicates $n_e = (2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻³.



Figure 2. Left: n_2/n_1 population ratio of Fe⁺²¹, considering only PE. Right: Five dominant transitions that populate the metastable level n_2 around the critical distance $r_c = 10^{11}$ cm (vertical dashed line in both panels).



Figure 3. The n_2/n_1 population ratio of Fe⁺²¹ for three models of only-CE, only-PE, and CE & PE. CE was computed for $T = 10^6, 10^7$ K. The horizontal black dashed lines are the presently measured upper and lower limits of n_2/n_1 . Vertical dashed lines are the inferred upper and lower limits for n_e . PE can be seen to have the dominant contribution and neglecting it results in an overestimate of n_e by a factor of 4.

Table 3 summarizes the n_e and r values for the three current models: only-CE, only-PE, and CE & PE; r was calculated using ξ . The present best estimate for density and distance are $n_e = (2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $r = (4.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}$. Note how the eventual differences between models are a factor of a few, proving again the



Figure 4. Left: Voigt profile fits to the absorption lines observed in the *Chandra*/HETG MEG spectrum of GRO J1655-40, between 11.6-12.06 Å. **Right:** Theoretical curves of growth for the 11.77 Å (1 to 16) and 11.92 Å (2 to 17) absorption lines, with their similar oscillator strengths of $f_{11.77\text{\AA}} = 0.66$ and $f_{11.92\text{\AA}} = 0.58$ (from HULLAC). Horizontal and vertical lines mark the measured EWs and the inferred column densities for each line.

comparable roles of CE and PE, as inferred from the simplified the two-level hydrogenic approximation in Sec. 2.2. Miller et al. (2008) report a density value of $n_e = (5 \pm 1) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, when PE was neglected, and with a different collisional-radiative model than ours. Tomaru et al. (2023) included both CE and PE, but obtained a density of $n_e = (0.28 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, because of their low n_2/n_1 value (see Fig. 3). We stress that the different distances obtained by different authors depend appreciably also on their assumed ξ value, as $r \propto \xi^{-1/2}$.

Table 3. n_e and r for three different models.

NOTE—Computed with $L = 5.7 \times 10^{37} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$, $T = 10^6 \,\mathrm{K}$, and $\xi = 10^3 \,\mathrm{erg \, cm \, s^{-1}}$.

3.4. Critical ξ

In the numerical models, when computing the case of only-CE and only-PE separately, the results are independent of ξ . Only when needing to scale CE vs. PE does the model require an assumption for ξ . On the other hand, one can estimate a critical ξ_c from $n_{e,c}$ and r_c (Figs. 1, 2). In the above case of Fe⁺²¹ we obtain $\xi_c = L/(n_{e,c}r_c^2) = 950$, which is almost exactly the value of 10³ obtained from photoionization balance (Kallman et al. 2009), which we used above. This provides yet another consistency test for the comparable roles of CE and PE, once absorption from excited levels are identified, as also demonstrated in Eq. 10 and in Table 1.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This work considers most generally the collisional and radiative processes that populate excited levels of ions in plasmas. Sec. 2 presents a semi-analytical two-level hydrogenic approximation for a wide range of plasma temperatures and photon fluxes, which leads to the following conclusions:

- The CE and PE processes generally have a comparable contribution in populating excited levels. The PE/CE rate coefficient ratio is in the range of 0.3 20.
- The RR contribution is significantly lower. The PE/RR ratio is in the range of $\sim 10^5 10^6$, hence RR can be safely neglected in the analysis.

- At low densities $(n_e \ll n_{e,c})$, and at large distances $(r \gg r_c)$, the ions remain predominantly in the ground level and transitions between excited levels are negligible. Only when $n_e \ge n_{e,c}$ or $r \le r_c$ do transitions between excited levels become significant, which enables density or distance diagnostics.
- The absorption transition at 11.92 Å from the meta-stable level 2 of Fe⁺²¹ provides powerful density and distance diagnostics. The present model shows that PE is dominant and yields $n_e = 2.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $r = 4.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}$. Including only CE results in an underestimate of n_e by a factor of ~ 4 , and r by a factor ~ 2 .
- Both X-ray and UV PE transitions can (indirectly) populate the meta-stable level 2. Therefore, the model results may depend on the broad-band spectrum. In the cases considered here of power-law or *diskbb* ionizing spectra, both X-ray and UV excitations contribute.
- We present a sanity check for a critical ξ_c calculated from $n_{e,c}$ and r_c that confirms a value of $\xi \approx 10^3$ for Fe⁺²¹ in GRO J1655-40. Interestingly, this means one can estimate ξ when transitions from meta-stable levels are observed without photoionization balance calculations.

This work was supported in part by a Center of Excellence of the Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 1937/19).

REFERENCES

- Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, ed. G. H. Jacoby & J. Barnes, 17
- Bar-Shalom, A., Klapisch, M., & Oreg, J. 2001, JQSRT, 71, 169, doi: 10.1016/S0022-4073(01)00066-8
- Cillié, G. 1932, MNRAS, 92, 820, doi: 10.1093/mnras/92.8.820
- Gabriel, A. H., & Jordan, C. 1969, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 145, 241, doi: 10.1093/mnras/145.2.241
- Kaastra, J. S., Raassen, A. J. J., Mewe, R., et al. 2004, A&A, 428, 57, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20041434
- Kallman, T. R., Bautista, M. A., Goriely, S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 701, 865, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/701/2/865
- Kallman, T. R., Palmeri, P., Bautista, M. A., Mendoza, C., & Krolik, J. H. 2004, ApJS, 155, 675, doi: 10.1086/424039
- Karzas, W. J., & Latter, R. 1961, ApJS, 6, 167, doi: 10.1086/190063
- Kinkhabwala, A., Sako, M., Behar, E., et al. 2002, ApJ, 575, 732, doi: 10.1086/341482

- Mao, J., Kaastra, J. S., Mehdipour, M., et al. 2017, A&A, 607, A100, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201731378
- Mauche, C. W., Liedahl, D. A., & Fournier, K. B. 2003, ApJL, 588, L101, doi: 10.1086/375684
- Miller, J. M., Raymond, J., Fabian, A., et al. 2006, Nature, 441, 953, doi: 10.1038/nature04912
- Miller, J. M., Raymond, J., Reynolds, C. S., et al. 2008, The Astrophysical Journal, 680, 1359, doi: 10.1086/588521
- Mitsuda, K., Inoue, H., Koyama, K., et al. 1984, PASJ, 36, 741
- Netzer, H. 2006, ApJL, 652, L117, doi: 10.1086/510067
- Peretz, U., Miller, J. M., & Behar, E. 2019, ApJ, 879, 102, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab23ef
- Porquet, D., & Dubau, J. 2000, A&AS, 143, 495, doi: 10.1051/aas:2000192
- Sako, M., Kahn, S. M., Paerels, F., & Liedahl, D. A. 2000, ApJL, 543, L115, doi: 10.1086/317282
- Tarter, C. B., Tucker, W. H., & Salpeter, E. E. 1969, ApJ, 156, 943, doi: 10.1086/150026
- Tomaru, R., Done, C., & Mao, J. 2023, MNRAS, 518, 1789, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3210
- van Regemorter, H. 1962, ApJ, 136, 906, doi: 10.1086/147445