

DIAGONAL TENSOR ALGEBRA AND NAÏVE LIFTINGS

SAEED NASSEH, MAIKO ONO, AND YUJI YOSHINO

ABSTRACT. The notion of naïve lifting of DG modules was introduced by the authors in [16, 17] for the purpose of studying problems in homological commutative algebra that involve self-vanishing of Ext. Our goal in this paper is to deeply study the naïve lifting property using the tensor algebra of the shift of the diagonal ideal (or, diagonal tensor algebra, as is phrased in the title of this paper). Our main result provides several characterizations of naïve liftability of DG modules under certain Ext vanishing conditions. As an application, we affirmatively answer [19, Question 4.10] under the same assumptions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background and setting	3
3. Tensor graded DG modules	6
4. Hom sets in the homotopy categories	10
5. The endomorphism rings	13
6. Naïve liftings	15
Appendix A. The Auslander-Reiten Conjecture and conditions (AR1) and (AR2)	18
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

Lifting theory of differential graded (DG) modules along certain DG algebra homomorphisms was initially studied by Nasseh and Sather-Wagstaff [21] in order to gain a better understanding on a question of Vasconcelos; see [22]. Since then, this theory has been significantly developed by the authors in [16, 17, 18, 25, 26] for the purpose of studying the following long-standing conjecture in homological commutative algebra, known as the *Auslander-Reiten Conjecture*.

Conjecture 1.1 ([3]). *A finitely generated module M over a commutative noetherian local ring R is free if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M \oplus R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

While this conjecture is still open in general, it has been proven affirmatively for several classes of commutative noetherian local rings; see, for instance, [1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 13, 14, 15, 20, 23, 24, 27, 28], to name a few. The following discussion briefly explains a relation between this conjecture and lifting theory of DG modules.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 13A02, 13D07, 13D09, 16E30, 16E45.

Key words and phrases. Auslander-Reiten Conjecture, DG algebra, DG module, diagonal ideal, enveloping DG algebra, lifting, naïve lifting, semifree resolution, tensor algebra, tensor graded, weak lifting.

Y. Yoshino was supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grant 19K03448.

1.2. In Conjecture 1.1, the ring R can be assumed to be complete. Let $R \cong Q/I$ be a minimal Cohen presentation, i.e., Q is a regular local ring and I is an ideal of Q . Tate [29] showed that there is a (finite or infinite) free extension of DG algebras

$$Q \hookrightarrow Q' = Q\langle X_n \mid n \leq \infty \rangle \quad (1.2.1)$$

such that Q' is quasiisomorphic to R . Hence, there is an equivalence $\mathcal{D}(Q') \simeq \mathcal{D}(R)$ between the derived categories under which Conjecture 1.1 can be translated to an equivalent problem for a DG module N over the DG algebra Q' with corresponding Ext vanishing assumptions; see A.5 for details. Since Q is a regular ring, developing a *suitable* notion of lifting along the DG algebra extension (1.2.1) that lifts N to a complex over Q will result in an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.1.

The classical notions of lifting for DG modules studied in [16, 21, 25, 26], namely, *lifting* and *weak lifting*, are generalizations of those for modules and complexes studied by Auslander, Ding, Solberg [2] and Yoshino [30]. As we mention in the introduction of [18], these versions of lifting are not suitable in the sense of 1.2, as they do not allow working with free extensions of DG algebras that are obtained by adjoining more than one variable, e.g., (1.2.1). To overcome this issue, the authors introduced the notion of *naïve lifting* for DG modules in [16, 17] and proved the following result; see 6.1 for the definition of naïve lifting.

Theorem 1.3 ([17, Main Theorem]). *Let Q be a commutative ring (not necessarily regular). Assume that $Q' = Q\langle X_n \mid n < \infty \rangle$ is obtained by adjoining finitely many variables of positive degrees to Q . If N is a bounded below semifree DG Q' -module with $\text{Ext}_{Q'}^i(N, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, then N is naïvely liftable to Q .*

While, by Theorem 1.3, naïve lifting property holds along *finite* free extensions of DG algebras under the above Ext vanishing assumptions, it is still an open problem whether it holds along *infinite* free extensions of DG algebras, even under stronger assumptions on the vanishing of Ext. However, the following statement holds true.

Theorem 1.4 ([17, Theorem 7.1]). *If naïve lifting property holds along the free extension of DG algebras (1.2.1), then Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 provide another proof for the following well-known fact; see the discussion in [17, 7.4].

Corollary 1.5. *If R is a complete intersection local ring, then Conjecture 1.1 holds.*

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 show that naïve lifting is a suitable version of lifting in the sense of 1.2. Hence, the goal of this paper is to deeply study naïve lifting property of DG modules under certain Ext vanishing assumptions along a homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ of DG algebras, where B is a semifree DG module over A , e.g., where $B = A\langle X_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ is an infinite free extension of DG algebras; see Theorem 6.3. The main tool that we use for our study is the tensor algebra of the shift of the diagonal ideal (or, diagonal tensor algebra, as is phrased in the title of this paper).

The organization of this paper is as follows.

In Section 2, we specify some of the terminology and provide the background on the diagonal ideal, which we denote by J . We also introduce the diagonal tensor algebra, which is denoted by T , and explain that in addition to the usual grading as a DG algebra, T has another grading, which we refer to as the tensor grading. Then, in Section 3 we introduce the notions of tensor graded DG T -modules and

tensor graded DG T -module homomorphisms. Furthermore, for a semifree DG B -module N , we construct a map, denoted by ω_N , that is shown in Theorem 6.3 to be the obstruction to naïve lifting, and hence, to Conjecture 1.1. The map ω_N is an element of a certain tensor graded endomorphism ring, which we denote by $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$.

In Section 4, we discuss the action of shifts of ω_N as an element in the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ on certain graded right $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ -modules. Our main result in this section is Theorem 4.3 whose proof involves several steps, including an analysis of the mapping cone of ω_N . In Section 5, we study the relationships among certain endomorphism rings. Our first main result in this section, namely Theorem 5.1, states that $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ can be described as a polynomial ring in the variable ω_N over another endomorphism ring under certain assumptions for DG modules. Our second main theorem in this section is Theorem 5.8 in which we determine the kernel and cokernel of the map that is defined by the left multiplication by ω_N on $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$. These theorems are crucial in our study and are used in the subsequent section.

Section 6 is where we prove our main result in this paper, namely Theorem 6.3, in which we give several characterizations of naïve lifting property under certain Ext vanishing conditions. The proof of this theorem uses our entire work from the previous sections. As an immediate application of Theorem 6.3, we provide an affirmative answer to [19, Question 4.10] under the same assumptions; see Corollary 6.8. Finally, we conclude this paper with Appendix A in which we briefly discuss some details on the conditions that we expose on the DG modules throughout the paper and their relationships with the assumptions in Conjecture 1.1.

2. BACKGROUND AND SETTING

We assume that the reader is familiar with differential graded (DG) homological algebra; general references on this subject include [4, 7, 11, 12]. The purpose of this section is to fix our notation, specify some of the terminology, and briefly provide the necessary background on the diagonal ideal and tensor algebra.

2.1. Throughout the paper, R is a commutative ring and (A, d^A) , or simply A , is a DG R -algebra. This means that $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ is a non-negatively graded R -algebra with a differential $d^A = \{d_n^A : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ (i.e., each d_n^A is an R -linear map with $d_n^A d_{n+1}^A = 0$) such that for all homogeneous elements $a \in A_{|a|}$ and $b \in A_{|b|}$

- (i) $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ and $a^2 = 0$ if $|a|$ is odd;
- (ii) $d^A(ab) = d^A(a)b + (-1)^{|a|}ad^A(b)$, that is, d^A satisfies the *Leibniz rule*.

Here, $|a|$ is called the degree of the homogeneous element a .

2.2. DG modules in this paper are *right* DG modules, unless otherwise is specified. By a DG A -module (M, ∂^M) , or simply M , we mean a graded right A -module $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ with a differential $\partial^M = \{\partial_n^M : M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfies the *Leibniz rule*, i.e., for all homogeneous elements $a \in A_{|a|}$ and $m \in M_{|m|}$ the equality $\partial^M(ma) = \partial^M(m)a + (-1)^{|m|}m d^A(a)$ holds. (Left DG A -modules are defined similarly.) We often refer to $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ as the *underlying graded A -module*.

For an integer i , the i -th *shift* of a DG A -module M is denoted by $\Sigma^i M$. Note that $(\Sigma^i M, \partial^{\Sigma^i M})$ is a DG A -module such that for all integers j we have $(\Sigma^i M)_j = M_{j-i}$ and $\partial_j^{\Sigma^i M} = (-1)^i \partial_{j-i}^M$. We simply write ΣM instead of $\Sigma^1 M$.

2.3. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(A)$ the category of DG A -modules and DG A -module homomorphisms. For DG A -modules M, N , the set of DG A -module homomorphisms from M to N is denoted by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(A)}(M, N)$. Isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}(A)$ are identified by \cong and quasiisomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}(A)$ (i.e., DG A -module homomorphisms that induce bijections on all homology modules) are identified by \simeq .

The homotopy category of A is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(A)$, which is a triangulated category. Objects of $\mathcal{K}(A)$ are DG A -modules and morphisms are the set of homotopy equivalence classes of DG A -module homomorphisms. In fact, for DG A -modules M, N we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(A)}(M, N) / \sim$. Note that for $f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(A)}(M, N)$ we write $f \sim g$ if and only if there exists a graded A -module homomorphism $h: M \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}N$ of underlying graded A -modules such that the equality $f - g = \partial^N h + h\partial^M$ holds.

2.4. A DG A -module P is called *semifree* if it has a *semifree basis*, i.e., if there is a well-ordered subset $F \subseteq P$ which is a basis for the underlying graded A -module P such that for every $f \in F$ we have $\partial^P(f) \in \sum_{e < f} eA$. Equivalently, the DG A -module P is semifree if there exists an increasing filtration

$$0 = P_{-1} \subseteq P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P$$

of DG A -submodules of P such that $P = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} P_i$ and each DG A -module P_i/P_{i-1} is a direct sum of copies of $\Sigma^n A$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; see [8], [9, A.2], or [11] for details.

A *semifree resolution* of a DG A -module M is a quasiisomorphism $P \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$, where P is a semifree DG A -module.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and DG A -modules M, N , the extension $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$ is defined to be $H_{-i}(\text{Hom}_A(P, N))$, where $P \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ is a semifree resolution of M . Note that

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(A)}(P, \Sigma^i N).$$

2.5. A DG A -module M is called *perfect* if it is quasiisomorphic to a semifree DG A -module that has a finite semifree basis. Equivalently, a DG A -module M is perfect if there is a finite sequence of DG A -submodules

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq M_n = M$$

of M such that each M_k/M_{k-1} is a finite direct sum of copies of $\Sigma^{r_k} A$ with $r_k \geq 0$. Note that a direct summand of a perfect DG A -module is not necessarily perfect.

2.6. Throughout the paper, (B, d^B) , or simply B , is another DG R -algebra that is semifree as a DG A -module and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of DG R -algebras. Note that A is a DG R -subalgebra of B , and setting $\overline{B} = B/A$, we see that \overline{B} is a semifree DG A -module as well. We further assume that \overline{B} is positively graded, i.e., $B_n = 0$ for all $n \leq 0$.

Examples of DG R -algebras A and B that satisfy these conditions include the polynomial and free extensions $B = A[X_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n]$ and $B = A\langle X_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ of A with $n \leq \infty$ and $|X_i| > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where in the latter case A is assumed to be a divided power DG R -algebra; see [19, Example 2.5].

2.7. Let $B^e = B \otimes_A B$, or more precisely (B^e, d^{B^e}) , be the *enveloping DG R -algebra* of B over A . The algebra structure on B^e is given by the formula

$$(b_1 \otimes_A b_2)(b'_1 \otimes_A b'_2) = (-1)^{|b'_1||b_2|} b_1 b'_1 \otimes_A b_2 b'_2$$

for all homogeneous elements $b_1, b_2, b'_1, b'_2 \in B$ and the graded structure is given by the equalities $(B^e)_i = \sum_j B_j \otimes_R B_{i-j}$ for all $i \geq 0$ with the relations $b_j \otimes_R a b_{i-j} =$

$b_j a \otimes_R b_{i-j}$ for all $b_j \in B_j$, $b_{i-j} \in B_{i-j}$, and $a \in A$. Note that B is considered as a DG R -subalgebra of B^e via the injective DG R -algebra homomorphism $B \rightarrow B^e$ defined by $b \mapsto b \otimes_A 1$.

Following [17], the kernel of the DG R -algebra homomorphism $\pi_B: B^e \rightarrow B$ defined by $\pi_B(b \otimes_A b') = bb'$ is called the *diagonal ideal* and is denoted by J . Hence, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{\iota} B^e \xrightarrow{\pi_B} B \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.7.1)$$

of DG B^e -modules in which ι is the natural injection.

2.8. For a DG B -ideal I and an integer $n \geq 0$, let $I^{\otimes_B n} = I \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B I$ be the n -fold tensor product of I over B with the convention that $I^{\otimes_B 0} = B$.

Note that J is free as an underlying graded left B -module. Hence, applying the functor $-\otimes_B J^{\otimes_B n}$ to (2.7.1), we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J^{\otimes_B(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\iota_n} B \otimes_A J^{\otimes_B n} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} J^{\otimes_B n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.8.1)$$

of DG B^e -modules.

2.9. The A -linear map $\delta: B \rightarrow J$ defined by $\delta(b) = b \otimes_A 1 - 1 \otimes_A b$ for all $b \in B$ is well-defined and is called the *universal derivation*; see [18]. Under the setting of 2.6 we see that $\inf J := \inf\{n \mid J_n \neq 0\} > 0$; see [19, Proposition 2.9].

The rest of this section is devoted to the tensor algebra and some of its properties.

2.10. Let $T = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}$ be the *tensor algebra of ΣJ over B* (or the *diagonal tensor algebra*). The R -algebra structure of T comes from taking the tensor product over B as the multiplication. Note that T is also a DG B^e -module with the differential ∂^T defined by the Leibniz rule on $(\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\partial^T(c_1 \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B c_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|c_1| + \cdots + |c_{i-1}|} c_1 \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \partial^{\Sigma J}(c_i) \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B c_n$$

where $c_i \in \Sigma J$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Throughout the paper, we simply write T instead of (T, ∂^T) , which we regard as a DG R -algebra (but not necessarily commutative), and at the same time, as a DG B^e -module.

2.11. By definition, a DG T -module M is an underlying graded B -module M with a DG B -module homomorphism $\mu: M \otimes_B \Sigma J \rightarrow M$, which defines the action of T on M as $m \cdot c := \mu(m \otimes_B c)$, for all $m \in M$ and $c \in \Sigma J$. Note that

$$T^+ = T \otimes_B \Sigma J = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}$$

is a two-sided DG ideal of T . The natural inclusion $T^+ \hookrightarrow T$ defines a DG T -module structure on T . Note also that $T/T^+ \cong B$ and hence, every DG B -module is naturally a DG T -module.

2.12. In addition to the usual grading, the DG R -algebra T has another grading, which we refer to as the *tensor grading*. More precisely, we say that an element $t \in T$ is of tensor degree n if and only if t belongs to $(\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}$. If necessary, we call the ordinary grading that gives the DG R -algebra structure on T the *DG grading*. Hence, the n -th tensor graded part of T is $(\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}$, which we denote by T^n , i.e.,

in the tensor grading we have $T = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} T^n$. On the other hand, the n -th DG graded part of T is

$$B_n \oplus (\Sigma J)_n \oplus ((\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B 2})_n \oplus \cdots = B_n \oplus J_{n-1} \oplus (J^{\otimes_B 2})_{n-2} \oplus \cdots.$$

3. TENSOR GRADED DG MODULES

The purpose of this section is to introduce a specific map ω_N , where N is a semifree DG B -module, which plays a crucial role in this paper; see 3.12 for the definition. We start with generalizing our discussion in 2.12 by defining the notions of tensor graded DG T -modules and tensor graded DG T -module homomorphisms.

3.1. A *tensor graded DG T -module* M is a direct sum $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i$ of DG B -modules with a collection $\{a_M^i: M^i \otimes_B \Sigma J \rightarrow M^{i+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of DG B -module homomorphisms. Notice that this collection $\{a_M^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defines an action of T on M and makes it into a DG T -module; see 2.11.

Let $N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N^i$ be another tensor graded DG T -module. A *tensor graded DG T -module homomorphism* $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a collection $\{f^i: M^i \rightarrow N^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of DG B -module homomorphisms which make the following squares commutative in $\mathcal{C}(B)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^i \otimes_B \Sigma J & \xrightarrow{a_M^i} & M^{i+1} \\ f^i \otimes_B \text{id}_{\Sigma J} \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{i+1} \\ N^i \otimes_B \Sigma J & \xrightarrow{a_N^i} & N^{i+1}. \end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ the category of all tensor graded DG T -modules and tensor graded DG T -module homomorphisms.

3.2. One can check that $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ is an abelian category. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ possesses two shift functors that are of different natures. For an object M in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ and an integer n , we have $\Sigma^n M$, which is the n -th shift that is defined in 2.2 using the DG T -module structure of M . On the other hand, using the notation from 3.1, the n -th shift of M as a tensor graded DG T -module is defined to be $M[n] = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{i+n}$. Note that both of the functors Σ^n and $[n]$ are auto-functors on $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$.

3.3. Let M be in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ and use the notation from 3.1. For each integer i , the i -th tensor graded part M^i is a DG B -submodule of M , and hence, $\partial^M(M^i) \subseteq M^i$. If $m \in M^i$ and $c \in T^1 = \Sigma J$, then $m \cdot c = a_M^i(m \otimes_B c) \in M^{i+1}$. It then follows from the Leibniz rule that

$$M^{i+1} \ni \partial^M(m \cdot c) = \partial^M(m) \cdot c \pm m \cdot d^T(c).$$

Example 3.4. Let $\mathbb{B} = B \otimes_A T$ and consider the DG B^e -module (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}) constructed in [19, §3]. Note that the direct sum description $\mathbb{B} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (B \otimes_A T^i)$ does not give a tensor graded structure on (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}) because by the definition of \mathbb{D} we have $\mathbb{D}(B \otimes_A T^i) \subseteq (B \otimes_A T^i) \cup (B \otimes_A T^{i-1}) \not\subseteq B \otimes_A T^i$. Hence, $(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}) \notin \mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$. On the other hand, $\partial^{B \otimes_A T}(B \otimes_A T^i) \subseteq B \otimes_A T^i$ for all integers i and we see that $(\mathbb{B}, \partial^{B \otimes_A T}) \in \mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$.

Example 3.5. If M is a DG B -module, then $M \otimes_B T$ is a tensor graded DG T -module by considering $(M \otimes_B T)^i := M \otimes_B T^i$ with $a_{M \otimes_B T}^i := \text{id}_{M \otimes_B T^{i+1}}$ for all $i \geq 0$. (Here, we assume that $(M \otimes_B T)^i = 0$ for all integers $i < 0$.)

3.6. The homotopy category $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$ is defined in a natural way. In fact, the objects of $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$ are the same objects as $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$, i.e., all tensor graded DG T -modules. Also, assuming M, N are tensor graded DG T -modules, we set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)}(M, N) / \sim$$

where \sim denotes the homotopy, i.e., for $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)}(M, N)$ we have $f \sim g$ if and only if there exists a tensor graded T -linear map $h: M \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}N$ such that $f - g = h\partial^M + \partial^N h$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$ is a triangulated category with the shift functor of the DG grading, i.e., with Σ .

The derived category $\mathcal{D}^{gr}(T)$ is also defined naturally by taking the localization of $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$ by the multiplicative system of all quasiisomorphisms.

3.7. For tensor graded DG T -modules M, N let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M, N) &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M, N[n]) \\ \Gamma_M &= {}^*\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M) \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^*\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M)$ denotes ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M, M)$. Note that Γ_M is a tensor graded ring in which the multiplication is defined by the composition of morphisms and for an integer n , its n -th tensor graded part is $\Gamma_M^n = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M, M[n])$. We call $\Gamma_M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_M^n$ the *graded endomorphism ring of M in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$* . Note that ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M, N)$ is naturally a graded right Γ_M - and left Γ_N -module.

The following key lemma will be used frequently in the subsequent sections.

Lemma 3.8 (Adjointness). *Assume that $M \in \mathcal{K}(B)$ and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N^i \in \mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$. Then, there exists a natural bijection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(B)}(M, N^0) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)}(M \otimes_B T, N)$$

defined by $\zeta(\alpha)(m \otimes_B t) = \alpha(m)t$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(B)}(M, N^0)$, $m \in M$, and $t \in T$. Moreover, ζ induces the bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(M, N^0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(M \otimes_B T, N). \quad (3.8.1)$$

Proof. The inverse map ζ^{-1} is defined by $\zeta^{-1}(f) = f^0$, where $f^0: M \rightarrow N^0$ is the restriction of $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)}(M \otimes_B T, N)$ to the 0-th tensor graded part. It is straightforward to see that ζ and ζ^{-1} both preserve a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, the isomorphism (3.8.1) follows. \square

3.9. Applying the shift functor Σ^n on the short exact sequence (2.8.1) and taking the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0}$, since $(T^+)^n = (\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}(T^+[1]) \rightarrow B \otimes_A T \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.9.1)$$

of DG T -modules in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$.

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of our discussion in 3.9.

Proposition 3.10. *Let N be a semifree DG B -module. Then the following hold.*

(a) *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow N \otimes_B \Sigma^{-1}(T^+[1]) \rightarrow N \otimes_A T \xrightarrow{\theta_N} N \otimes_B T \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.10.1)$$

in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$, where θ_N is the induced natural homomorphism.

(b) The following triangle exists in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$:

$$N \otimes_B \Sigma^{-1}(T^+[1]) \rightarrow N \otimes_A T \xrightarrow{\theta_N} N \otimes_B T \xrightarrow{\omega_N^+} N \otimes_B T^+[1].$$

(c) The following triangle exists in $\mathcal{D}^{gr}(T)$:

$$N \otimes_B^{\mathbf{L}} \Sigma^{-1}(T^+[1]) \rightarrow N \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} T \xrightarrow{\theta_N} N \otimes_B^{\mathbf{L}} T \xrightarrow{\omega_N^+} N \otimes_B^{\mathbf{L}} T^+[1].$$

In the next result, we explicitly describe the connecting morphism ω_N^+ .

Lemma 3.11. *Let N be a semifree DG B -module with a semifree basis $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, and let $\partial^N(e_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} e_\mu b_{\mu\lambda}$, where $b_{\mu\lambda} \in B$. Then, the tensor graded DG T -module homomorphism $w_N^+ : N \otimes_B T \rightarrow N \otimes_B T^+[1]$ in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ defined by*

$$w_N^+(e_\lambda \otimes_B t) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} e_\mu \otimes_B \delta(b_{\mu\lambda}) \otimes_B t$$

for all $t \in T$ satisfies the equality $\omega_N^+ = [w_N^+]$.

Proof. Consider the right B -linear homomorphisms $\sigma_N : N \otimes_B B^e \rightarrow N \otimes_B J$ and $\rho_N : N \rightarrow N \otimes_B B^e$ which are defined by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N(e_\lambda \otimes_B (b_1 \otimes_A b_2)) &= e_\lambda \otimes_B (b_1 \otimes_A b_2 - 1 \otimes_A b_1 b_2) \\ \rho_N(e_\lambda b) &= e_\lambda \otimes_B (1 \otimes_A b) \end{aligned}$$

for a basis element e_λ and homogeneous elements $b, b_1, b_2 \in B$. We define

$$w_N^+ = (\sigma_N \partial^{N \otimes_B B^e} \rho_N) \otimes_B \text{id}_T.$$

The assertion now follows from our computations in [18, Theorem 3.9]. \square

Next, for a semifree DG B -module N , we define an element ω_N in the tensor graded endomorphism ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ that plays a crucial role in this paper.

3.12. Let N be a semifree DG B -module with a semifree basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. For $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ assume that $\partial^N(e_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} e_\mu b_{\mu\lambda}$, where $b_{\mu\lambda} \in B$. Let

$$w_N : N \otimes_B T \rightarrow N \otimes_B T[1]$$

be the composition $\varpi_N w_N^+$ in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$, where $\varpi_N : N \otimes_B T^+[1] \rightarrow N \otimes_B T[1]$ is the natural inclusion map. Note that for all $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ and $t \in T$ we have

$$w_N(e_\lambda \otimes_B t) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} e_\mu \otimes_B \delta(b_{\mu\lambda}) \otimes_B t. \quad (3.12.1)$$

Therefore, $\omega_N := [w_N] \in \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B T[1]) \subseteq \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$.

For all integers $\ell \geq 1$, set $w_N^\ell := w_N[\ell - 1] \circ \cdots \circ w_N[1] \circ w_N$. Then, for all basis elements e_λ and $t \in T$, one can compute that

$$w_N^\ell(e_\lambda \otimes_B t) = \sum_{\mu_\ell < \cdots < \mu_1 < \lambda} e_{\mu_\ell} \otimes_B \delta(b_{\mu_\ell \mu_{\ell-1}}) \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \delta(b_{\mu_2 \mu_1}) \otimes_B \delta(b_{\mu_1 \lambda}) \otimes_B t. \quad (3.12.2)$$

3.13. The definition of w_N from 3.12 is independent of the choice of the semifree basis for the semifree DG B -module N in the following sense: Let $\mathcal{B}' = \{e'_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be another semifree basis of N and $u : N \rightarrow N$ be the DG B -module automorphism such that $e'_\lambda = u(e_\lambda)$. Note that $\partial^N(e'_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} e'_\mu b_{\mu\lambda}$. Let $w_N = w_N^{\mathcal{B}}$ and consider $w_N^{\mathcal{B}'}$ which is defined by a similar formula as (3.12.1), i.e., $w_N^{\mathcal{B}'}(e'_\lambda \otimes_B t) = \sum_{\mu < \lambda} e'_\mu \otimes_B \delta(b_{\mu\lambda}) \otimes_B t$. Then, we have $w_N^{\mathcal{B}'} = (u \otimes_B \text{id}_T) w_N^{\mathcal{B}} u^{-1}$.

Also, it is important to note that w_N preserves the DG degree and is not a map of DG degree -1 .

In the following result, for a positive integer n , we denote by $B^{\oplus n}$ the direct sum of n copies of the DG R -algebra B .

Proposition 3.14. *Let n be a positive integer. Then $\omega_{B^{\oplus n}} = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$.*

Proof. Note that the short exact sequence (3.10.1) is split for $N = B^{\oplus n}$ and hence, $\omega_{B^{\oplus n}}^+ = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$. This implies that $\omega_{B^{\oplus n}} = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Assume that N is a semifree DG B -module that is bounded below (i.e., $N_i = 0$ for all $i \ll 0$). Then, w_N is locally nilpotent, that is, for each element $x \in N \otimes_B T$, there exists a positive integer n_x such that $w_N^{n_x}(x) = 0$, where $w_N^{n_x}$ is the composition morphism $w_N[n_x - 1] \circ \cdots \circ w_N[1] \circ w_N$.*

Note that the locally nilpotent property does not mean that w_N itself is nilpotent; compare this proposition with Theorem 6.3.

Proof. Assume that $x \in N \otimes_B T$ is an element of the DG degree m and of the tensor degree i , that is, $x \in (N \otimes_B T^i)_m$. Then, for each integer n we have

$$w_N^n(x) \in (N \otimes_B T^{i+n})_m = (N \otimes_B J^{\otimes_B(i+n)})_{-i-n+m}$$

which vanishes if $n \gg m - i$ since the lower bound of $N \otimes_B J^{\otimes_B(i+n)}$ is not less than that of N . Hence, such a positive integer n_x with $w_N^{n_x}(x) = 0$ exists. \square

The map ω_N can also be described functorially as follows.

Proposition 3.16. *Let N, N' be semifree DG B -modules and $f: N \rightarrow N'$ be a DG B -module homomorphism. Then, there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_B T & \xrightarrow{\omega_N} & N \otimes_B T[1] \\ [f \otimes_B \text{id}_T] \downarrow & & \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_{T[1]}] \\ N' \otimes_B T & \xrightarrow{\omega_{N'}} & N' \otimes_B T[1] \end{array} \quad (3.16.1)$$

in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$.

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_B (\Sigma^{-1}T^+)[1] & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_A T & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_B T \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_{(\Sigma^{-1}T^+)[1]}] & & \downarrow [f \otimes_A \text{id}_T] & & \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_T] \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N' \otimes_B (\Sigma^{-1}T^+)[1] & \longrightarrow & N' \otimes_A T & \longrightarrow & N' \otimes_B T \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$. This induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N \otimes_B (\Sigma^{-1}T^+)[1] & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_A T & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_B T & \xrightarrow{\omega_N^+} & N \otimes_B T^+[1] \\ \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_{(\Sigma^{-1}T^+)[1]}] & & \downarrow [f \otimes_A \text{id}_T] & & \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_T] & & \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_{T^+[1]}] \\ N' \otimes_B (\Sigma^{-1}T^+)[1] & \longrightarrow & N' \otimes_A T & \longrightarrow & N' \otimes_B T & \xrightarrow{\omega_{N'}^+} & N' \otimes_B T^+[1] \end{array}$$

with triangle rows in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$. On the other hand, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_B T^+[1] & \xrightarrow{[\varpi_N]} & N \otimes_B T[1] \\ [f \otimes_B \text{id}_{T^+[1]}] \downarrow & & \downarrow [f \otimes_B \text{id}_{T[1]}] \\ N' \otimes_B T^+[1] & \xrightarrow{[\varpi_{N'}]} & N \otimes_B T[1] \end{array}$$

is also commutative. The existence of the commutative diagram (3.16.1) now follows from the equalities $\omega_N = [\varpi_N] \circ \omega_N^+$ and $\omega_{N'} = [\varpi_{N'}] \circ \omega_{N'}^+$. \square

The next result indicates that ω_N commutes with the elements of $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$.

Corollary 3.17. *Let N be a semifree DG B -module. If $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$, then we have the equality $(f \otimes_B \text{id}_{T[1]})\omega_N = \omega_N(f \otimes_B \text{id}_T)$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$.*

4. HOM SETS IN THE HOMOTOPY CATEGORIES

In this section, for a semifree DG B -module N and integers m , we discuss the action of $\Sigma^m \omega_N$ as an element in the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ on the graded right $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ -modules ${}^* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B (\Sigma^m T))$, assuming that condition (AR1), defined in 4.1 below, holds for N . Our main result in this section is Theorem 4.3 whose proof takes up the balance of this section and involves several steps, including an analysis of the mapping cone of ω_N .

4.1. We say that (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N if:

- (i) N is a semifree DG B -module that is non-negatively graded;
- (ii) B and N are perfect considered as DG A -modules via φ ; and
- (iii) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^n B) = 0$ for all integers $n \geq 1$.

4.2. Note that (AR1) is not necessarily preserved under degree shift. More precisely, if (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N and i is a non-zero integer, then $\Sigma^i N$ may not satisfy conditions (i) and (iii).

Theorem 4.3. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then the map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(N \otimes_B T[n])) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n]) \circ -} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(N \otimes_B T[n+1]))$$

which is defined by the left composition by $\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n])$ is always surjective for all $n, m \geq 0$ and is bijective if either $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, or $m = 0$ and $n \geq 1$. In particular, the left action of $\Sigma^m \omega_N$ on ${}^ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B (\Sigma^m T))$ is surjective for all $m \geq 0$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.3 will be given near the end of this section.

4.4. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let $\overline{B}^{\otimes_A n} = \overline{B} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \overline{B}$ be the n -fold tensor product of \overline{B} over A with the convention that $\overline{B}^{\otimes_A 0} = A$. Note that if B is a perfect DG A -module via φ , then \overline{B} is quasiisomorphic to a semifree DG A -module with a finite semifree basis that consists of basis elements with positive DG degrees. Hence, for each integer $n \geq 0$, the DG A -module $\overline{B}^{\otimes_A n}$ is quasiisomorphic to a semifree DG A -module with a finite semifree basis that consists of basis elements of DG degrees $\geq n$. It follows from [19, Proposition 2.9] that $J^{\otimes_B n}$ is also quasiisomorphic to a semifree DG B -module with a finite semifree basis consisting of basis elements of degrees $\geq n$.

Lemma 4.5. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m(J^{\otimes_B n})) = 0 \quad (4.5.1)$$

for all integers $n \geq 0$ and $m > -n$.

Proof. By our discussion in 4.4 there is a finite filtration

$$0 = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_i \simeq J^{\otimes_B n}$$

of DG B -submodules such that each F_k/F_{k-1} is a finite direct sum of copies of $\Sigma^{r_k} B$ with $r_k \geq n$. Condition (AR1)(iii) implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m(\Sigma^{r_k} B)) = 0$ for all $m > -n \geq -r_k$. Therefore, by induction on k we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m F_k) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq i$ and $m > -n$. In particular, the equality (4.5.1) holds. \square

Lemma 4.6. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then*

- (a) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_A \Sigma^m(J^{\otimes_B n})) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $m > -n$.
- (b) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_A \Sigma^m(T[n])) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $m > -2n$.

Proof. (a) By (AR1)(ii), there is a finite filtration

$$0 = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_i \simeq N$$

of DG A -submodules, where each F_k/F_{k-1} is a finite direct sum of copies of $\Sigma^{r_k} A$ with $r_k \geq 0$. Thus, fixing an integer $n \geq 0$, the DG B -module $N \otimes_A J^{\otimes_B n}$ has a finite filtration

$$0 = F'_0 \subseteq F'_1 \subseteq F'_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F'_i \simeq N \otimes_A J^{\otimes_B n}$$

of DG B -submodules, where $F'_k = F_k \otimes_A J^{\otimes_B n}$ with each F'_k/F'_{k-1} being a finite direct sum of copies of $\Sigma^{r_k}(J^{\otimes_B n})$ with $r_k \geq 0$. Lemma 4.5 implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m(F'_k/F'_{k-1})) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq i$ and $m > -n$. Now, by induction on $1 \leq k \leq i$, we see that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m F'_k) = 0$ for all $m > -n$, as well.

(b) By Lemma 3.8, we only need to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_A \Sigma^m T^n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $m > -2n$. Equivalently, we need to show that the equality $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_A \Sigma^{n+m}(J^{\otimes_B n})) = 0$ holds for all $n \geq 0$ and $n+m > -n$. However, this follows from part (a) and the proof is complete. \square

4.7. For a semifree DG B -module N , the mapping cone $C(\omega_N)$ of ω_N is an object in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$. Moreover, the following triangle exists in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$:

$$\Sigma^{-1}C(\omega_N) \rightarrow N \otimes_B T \xrightarrow{\omega_N} N \otimes_B T[1] \rightarrow C(\omega_N). \quad (4.7.1)$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let N be a semifree DG B -module. Then, there is a triangle*

$$\Sigma^{-1}(N[1]) \rightarrow N \otimes_A \Sigma T \rightarrow C(\omega_N) \rightarrow N[1] \quad (4.8.1)$$

in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$, where the DG B -module N is regarded as a tensor graded DG T -module that is concentrated in tensor degree zero. In particular, for each integer n , taking the n -th tensor graded part, we have the following isomorphisms in $\mathcal{K}(B)$:

$$C(\omega_N)^n \cong \begin{cases} N \otimes_A \Sigma T^n = N \otimes_A \Sigma^{n+1}(J^{\otimes_B n}) & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ N & \text{if } n = -1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \leq -2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the octahedron axiom, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & N \otimes_B \Sigma T & \xlongequal{\quad} & N \otimes_B \Sigma T & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
\Sigma^{-1}(N[1]) & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_A \Sigma T & \longrightarrow & C(\omega_N) & \longrightarrow & N[1] \\
\parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
\Sigma^{-1}(N[1]) & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_B T^+[1] & \xrightarrow{[\varpi_N]} & N \otimes_B T[1] & \longrightarrow & N[1] \\
& & \uparrow \omega_N^+ & & \uparrow \omega_N & & \\
& & N \otimes_B T & \xlongequal{\quad} & N \otimes_B T & &
\end{array}$$

in which all rows and columns are triangles in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$ and the second row is (4.8.1). The last assertion is obtained by taking the n -th tensor graded part in (4.8.1) and noting that $N^n = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$ and $N \otimes_A \Sigma T^n = 0$ for all $n < 0$. \square

Proposition 4.9. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(C(\omega_N)[n])) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \geq 0, m \geq -2n, \text{ or } n \leq -2 \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m N) & \text{if } n = -1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3.8 and 4.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(C(\omega_N)[n])) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m(C(\omega_N)^n)) \\
&\cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^{m+1}(N \otimes_A T^n)) & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m N) & \text{if } n = -1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \leq -2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m((-)[n]))$ to the triangle (4.7.1) we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^{m-1}(C(\omega_N)[n])) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(N \otimes_B T[n])) \\
&\xrightarrow{\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n]) \circ -} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(N \otimes_B T[n+1])) \\
&\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^m(C(\omega_N)[n]))
\end{aligned}$$

of R -modules. By Proposition 4.9, if $n \geq 0$, then $\mathrm{Ker}(\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n]) \circ -) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n]) \circ -) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. Moreover, if $n \geq 1$, then $\mathrm{Ker}(\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n]) \circ -) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Coker}(\Sigma^m(\omega_N[n]) \circ -) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. \square

We conclude this section with Theorem 4.11 in which in addition to (AR1) we are considering another Ext vanishing condition on DG modules, defined next.

4.10. We say that (AR2) holds for a DG B -module N if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^n N) = 0$ for all integers $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 4.11. *If (AR1) and (AR2) hold for a DG B -module N , then*

$${}^* \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^m T) = 0$$

for all integers $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Recall that ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^m T)$ is a non-negatively graded module over the graded ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ with

$${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^m T)^0 \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m N)$$

by Lemma 3.8. Assuming $m \geq 1$, for an integer $n \geq 1$, by Theorem 4.3 we have

$${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^m T)^n = \omega_N^n \cdot \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^m N).$$

Now the assertion follows from the assumption that (AR2) holds for N . \square

5. THE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

In this section, for a semifree DG B -module N that satisfies (AR1), we study the relationship between the endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ and $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$. Our main results in the section are Theorems 5.1 and 5.8 which play an important role in Section 6.

Our first main result of this section, stated next, shows that if (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is an $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ -algebra generated by ω_N , and if $\omega_N \neq 0$, then it is a non-zero divisor on $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^n$.

Theorem 5.1. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then we have the equality*

$$\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)[\omega_N]$$

of rings, that is, every element of $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ can be written as a polynomial of the form $\alpha_0 + \omega_N \alpha_1 + \omega_N^2 \alpha_2 + \cdots + \omega_N^n \alpha_n$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, the left multiplication by ω_N induces the bijections $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^n \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 will be given after the following result, which is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.8.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $N \in \mathcal{K}(B)$. Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^0 \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$$

and $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^n = 0$ for all integers $n < 0$. In particular, $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is a non-negatively graded ring and we have

$$\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B (\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}_B^n(N, N \otimes_B J^{\otimes_B n}).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By Proposition 5.2 we know that $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is a non-negatively graded ring whose 0-th graded part is $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$. Also, recall from 3.12 that $\omega_N \in \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^1$. By Theorem 4.3, for all $n \geq 0$, the n -th graded part of $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is of the form $\omega_N^n \cdot \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$. Hence, we have the equality

$$\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \omega_N^n \cdot \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N). \quad (5.2.1)$$

The last statement of this result follows from Theorem 4.3 as well. \square

Corollary 5.3. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then ω_N lies in the center of the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, we have $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)[\omega_N]$. Now, the assertion follows from Corollary 3.17. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to the second main result of this section, i.e., Theorem 5.8. In this theorem, for a DG B -module N that satisfies (AR1), we determine the kernel and cokernel of the map $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}[1]$ induced by the left multiplication by ω_N . For this purpose, we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let N be a DG B -module and \mathfrak{p} be the ideal of the ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ consisting of all morphisms that factor through a finite direct sum of copies of B . Considering \mathfrak{p} as a subset of the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ via the natural inclusion map $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ we have the equality $\omega_N \cdot \mathfrak{p} = 0$.*

Proof. Any morphism $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ is, by definition, a composition $N \xrightarrow{h} B^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{g} N$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N \otimes_B T & \xrightarrow{f \otimes_B \text{id}_T} & N \otimes_B T & & \\
 \downarrow \omega_N & \searrow h \otimes_B \text{id}_T & B^{\oplus n} \otimes_B T & \swarrow g \otimes_B \text{id}_T & \\
 & & \downarrow \omega_{B^{\oplus n}} & & \\
 N \otimes_B T[1] & \xrightarrow{f \otimes_B \text{id}_{T[1]}} & N \otimes_B T[1] & & \\
 \downarrow \omega_N & \searrow h \otimes_B \text{id}_{T[1]} & B^{\oplus n} \otimes_B T[1] & \swarrow g \otimes_B \text{id}_{T[1]} & \\
 & & \downarrow \omega_{B^{\oplus n}} & &
 \end{array}$$

in which, by Proposition 3.14, we have $\omega_{B^{\oplus n}} = 0$. Therefore, $\omega_N(f \otimes_B \text{id}_T) = 0$, which means that $\omega_N \cdot \mathfrak{p} = 0$ in $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$. \square

Corollary 5.5. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then the ideal \mathfrak{p} introduced in Lemma 5.4 is a graded (two-sided) ideal of the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ that is concentrated in degree 0.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is generated by ω_N as an $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ -algebra. Hence, the ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ is an ideal of $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ as well. \square

5.6. Assume that (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N . By Theorem 5.1, each morphism $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^n \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 1$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.3 the map $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^0 \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^1$ is surjective, but it is not injective in general. Therefore, it has a non-trivial kernel. By Lemma 3.8, note that the map $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^0 \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^1$ is in fact the map

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B \Sigma J)$$

which is induced by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, -)$ to $\omega_N: N \rightarrow N \otimes_B \Sigma J$. To be precise, the morphism $\omega_N: N \rightarrow N \otimes_B \Sigma J$, which we are considering here, is in fact the restricted morphism $\omega_N|_N$, for which we use the same notation ω_N . Since there is a triangle $N \otimes_B J \rightarrow N \otimes_A B \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\omega_N} N \otimes_B \Sigma J$ in $\mathcal{K}(B)$ which is obtained from the short exact sequence (2.7.1), we have

$$\text{Ker}(\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^0 \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^1) = \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_A B) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)).$$

Lemma 5.7. *If (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , then for the ideal \mathfrak{p} introduced in Lemma 5.4 we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \omega_N)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B \Sigma J) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \omega_N)$ is the multiplication (i.e., composition) by ω_N from the left. Hence, by Lemma 5.4 we have $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \omega_N))$.

For the reverse containment, let $f \in \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \omega_N))$ be an arbitrary element. By 5.6, we have $f \in \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_A B) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N))$. Note that $N \otimes_A B$ is a perfect DG B -module, and hence, it has a finite filtration

$$0 = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_n \simeq N \otimes_A B$$

such that each F_k/F_{k-1} is a direct sum of copies of $\Sigma^{r_k} B$ with $r_k \geq k-1$. Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^i B) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, we see that the natural inclusion $F_1 \hookrightarrow N \otimes_A B$ induces a surjective map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, F_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_A B)$. Hence, we conclude that f factors through F_1 that is a finite direct sum of copies of B . Thus, $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ and therefore, we have the equality $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \omega_N))$. \square

The following result is our second main theorem of this section that follows from Corollary 5.5 and Lemma 5.7.

Theorem 5.8. *Assume that (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N , and let \mathfrak{p} be the ideal of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ introduced in Lemma 5.4. Then, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} \xrightarrow{\omega_N} \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}[1] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)[1] \rightarrow 0$$

of graded $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ -modules in which \mathfrak{p} is regarded as a graded ideal of the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ concentrated in degree 0.

6. NAÏVE LIFTINGS

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.3, which is our main result in this paper, and its application, namely, Corollary 6.8. The proof of this theorem uses our entire work from the previous sections. We start with reminding the reader of the definition of naïve liftability which was first introduced in [16] and further studied in [17, 18, 19].

6.1. Let N be a semifree DG B -module and $N|_A$ denote N regarded as a DG A -module via the DG R -algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$. We say N is *naïvely liftable to A* if the map $\pi_N: N|_A \otimes_A B \rightarrow N$ defined by $\pi_N(n \otimes_A b) = nb$ is a split DG B -module epimorphism. Equivalently, N is naïvely liftable to A if π_N has a right inverse in the abelian category $\mathcal{C}(B)$.

6.2. It is worth mentioning that if $B = A\langle X \rangle$ is a simple free extension of DG algebras, then naïvely liftability is equivalent to the classical notions of lifting for bounded below semifree DG B -modules; see [16, Theorem 6.8].

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) N is naïvely liftable to A ;
- (ii) $\omega_N = 0$ as an element of the ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$;
- (iii) ω_N is nilpotent in $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$, i.e., there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\omega_N^n = 0$;
- (iv) The natural inclusion $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is an isomorphism;
- (v) The ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is finitely generated as a (right) $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ -module;

- (vi) $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^i = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B T^i) = 0$ for all integers $i \geq 1$;
- (vii) $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^i = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B T^i) = 0$ for some integer $i \geq 1$;
- (viii) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B \Sigma J) = 0$;
- (ix) N is a direct summand of a finite direct sum of copies of B in $\mathcal{D}(B)$.

The proof of Theorem 6.3 is given after the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Let N be a semifree DG B -module. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) N is naïvely liftable to A ;
- (ii) The short exact sequence (3.10.1) is split in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$;
- (iii) $\omega_N^+ = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$;
- (iv) $\omega_N = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$.

Proof. The implication (i) \implies (ii) follows from the fact that $\theta_N = \pi_N \otimes_B \text{id}_T$ in (3.10.1). The implications (ii) \iff (iii) \implies (iv) are trivial from the definition and Proposition 3.10.

For (ii) \implies (i) assume that there is a map $\rho: N \otimes_B T \rightarrow N \otimes_A T$ in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ such that $\theta_N \rho = \text{id}_{N \otimes_B T}$. Note that θ_N and ρ are tensor graded and $\pi_N = (\theta_N)^0$. Now we have $\pi_N(\rho)^0 = \text{id}_N$, which means that π_N splits and N is naïvely liftable to A .

For (iv) \implies (iii) note that T^+ is a DG ideal of T and $T/T^+ \cong B$. Hence, we obtain a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \otimes_B T^+ \rightarrow N \otimes_B T \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{C}^{gr}(T)$ that yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Sigma^{-1}N[1] & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_B T^+[1] & \xrightarrow{[\varpi_N]} & N \otimes_B T[1] & \longrightarrow & N[1] \\
 & & \uparrow \omega_N^+ & & \uparrow \omega_N & & \\
 & \swarrow \gamma & N \otimes_B T & \xlongequal{\quad} & N \otimes_B T & &
 \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$ in which the first row is a triangle. If $\omega_N = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)$, then ω_N^+ factors through a morphism $\gamma: N \otimes_B T \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}N$. On the other hand, we have $\gamma = 0$ because $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, \Sigma^{-1}N[1]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, (\Sigma^{-1}N[1])^0) = 0$. Therefore, $\omega_N^+ = 0$, as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.3. The equivalence (i) \iff (ii) has been proven in Lemma 6.4.

(ii) \iff (iii): The second assertion of Theorem 5.1 assures that $\omega_N = 0$ if and only if $\omega_N^n = 0$ for some integer $n \geq 1$.

The equivalences (ii) \iff (iv) \iff (vi) \iff (vii) follow from Theorem 5.1.

The equivalence (ii) \iff (viii) follows from Theorem 5.1 as well because

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, N \otimes_B \Sigma J) = \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^1 = \omega_N \cdot \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}^0.$$

(iv) \iff (v) is trivial.

For (ix) \iff (ii), let \mathfrak{p} be the ideal of the ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N)$ consisting of all morphisms that factor through a finite direct sum of copies of B . Then, we have

$$\omega_N = 0 \iff \mathfrak{p} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N) = \Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} \iff \text{id}_N \in \mathfrak{p}$$

where the left equivalence follows from Theorem 5.8. Note that $\text{id}_N \in \mathfrak{p}$ means that id_N factors through $B^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \geq 0$, i.e., N is a direct summand of $B^{\oplus n}$. \square

6.5. In Theorem 6.3, if we further assume that N is a semifree resolution of the $\text{H}_0(B)$ -module $\text{H}_0(N)$, i.e., the natural augmentation map $N \rightarrow \text{H}_0(N)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then condition (v) is equivalent to the following:

(v') The ring $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ is finitely generated as a (right) $H_0(B)$ -module.

Note that since N is a perfect DG A -module, $H_0(N)$ is finitely generated over $H_0(A)$ and we also have the ring homomorphism $H_0(A) \rightarrow H_0(B)$. Therefore, in this case, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N) \cong \text{End}_{H_0(B)}(H_0(N))$, where $H_0(N)$ is finitely generated over $H_0(B)$.

As an application of Theorem 6.3, we can show Corollary 6.8 below which provides an affirmative answer to [19, Question 4.10] under the (AR1) condition. In order to explain this, we need the following preparations.

6.6. Before our next discussion in 6.7, we need to make a special arrangement: for an integer ℓ , a map $\omega_N^\ell: N \rightarrow N \otimes_B (\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B \ell}$ was defined in [19, Remark 4.9]. This map is different from the ℓ -th power of ω_N that we define in 3.12 of the present paper as an element in $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$. Hence, in order to avoid confusion made by using the same notation for two different objects, in this paper we use the notation χ_N^ℓ instead of the notation ω_N^ℓ defined in [19, Remark 4.9].

6.7. Let (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}) be the semifree resolution of the DG B^e -module B constructed in [19]. Following [19, §4], for each integer $\ell \geq 0$ we have that $(\mathbb{B}^{\leq \ell}, \mathbb{D}|_{\mathbb{B}^{\leq \ell}})$ is a DG B^e -submodule of (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{D}) , where

$$\mathbb{B}^{\leq \ell} := B \otimes_A \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\ell} (\Sigma J)^{\otimes_B n} \right).$$

Note that $\mathbb{B}^{\leq 0} = (B^e, d^{B^e})$. Moreover, let $\omega^\ell: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\mathbb{B}^{\leq \ell}$ be the natural DG B^e -module homomorphism for each integer $\ell \geq 0$. For such integers, it follows from (3.12.2) and [19, Remark 4.9] that $\omega_N^\ell = \chi_N^\ell \otimes_B \text{id}_T$. Ignoring isomorphisms in $\mathcal{K}(B)$, we see that $\omega_N^\ell = [\chi_N^\ell \otimes_B \text{id}_T] = [\text{id}_N \otimes_B \omega^\ell \otimes_B \text{id}_T]$.

Let N be a semifree DG B -module. By [19, Theorem 4.8], the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) N is naively liftable to A ;
- (ii) The DG B -module homomorphism $\text{id}_N \otimes_B \omega^0$ is null-homotopic;
- (iii) The DG B -module homomorphisms $\text{id}_N \otimes_B \omega^\ell$ are null-homotopic for *all* integers $\ell \geq 0$.

As we mention in [19, Question 4.10], it is natural to ask whether these conditions are equivalent to the following:

- (iv) The DG B -module homomorphism $\text{id}_N \otimes_B \omega^\ell$ is null-homotopic for *some* integer $\ell \geq 1$.

As we stated above, the following result provides an affirmative answer to this question under the (AR1) condition and follows immediately from 6.7 along with the fact that conditions (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 6.3 are equivalent.

Corollary 6.8. *Assume that (AR1) holds for a DG B -module N . Under the setting of 6.7, conditions (i) through (iv) are equivalent.*

6.9. It is worth highlighting that if (AR1) and (AR2) hold for a DG B -module N , then by Theorem 4.11 we automatically have the vanishing of $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^1 T) \\ & {}^* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^2 T) \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

However, naïve liftability of N is independent of the above modules and by Theorem 6.3, it is detected only by the vanishing of ω_N as an element of the $\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T}$ -module

$$\Gamma_{N \otimes_B T} = {}^* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{gr}(T)}(N \otimes_B T, N \otimes_B \Sigma^0 T).$$

We conclude this section with the following conjecture that we call *Naïve Lifting Conjecture*; compare with the same named conjecture in [17].

Conjecture 6.10. *If (AR1) and (AR2) hold for a DG B -module N , then N is naïvely liftable to A , i.e., one of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 6.3 holds.*

APPENDIX A. THE AUSLANDER-REITEN CONJECTURE AND
CONDITIONS (AR1) AND (AR2)

In this appendix, we explain that conditions (AR1) and (AR2) are derived from translating the assumptions in the Auslander-Reiten Conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) into the DG setting; see A.5. This is why we call these conditions “AR”.

Proposition A.1. *Let N be a semifree DG B -module with $H_i(N) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Then, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^\ell N) = 0$ for all $\ell < 0$.*

Proof. Using the assumption that $H_i(N) = 0$ for all $i < 0$, we may assume that N has a semifree filtration

$$0 = F_{-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_{-1}} F_0 \xrightarrow{\iota_0} \cdots \hookrightarrow F_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_{i-1}} F_i \xrightarrow{\iota_i} \cdots \hookrightarrow N$$

such that for all $i \geq 0$,

$$F_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_{i-1}} F_i \rightarrow \bigoplus (\Sigma^i B) \rightarrow \Sigma F_{i-1}$$

is a triangle in $\mathcal{K}(B)$. It follows from the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(\Sigma^n B, N) \cong H_n(N)$ that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(F_n, \Sigma^\ell N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(F_0, \Sigma^\ell N) \cong \prod H_{-\ell}(N) = 0$ for all $n \geq -1$ and $\ell \leq -1$. Note that there is a triangle

$$\bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} F_n \xrightarrow{\psi} \bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} F_n \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Sigma \left(\bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} F_n \right)$$

where ψ is defined by $\psi|_{F_n} = \text{id}_{F_n} - \iota_n$. This triangle induces the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(\Sigma(\bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} F_n), \Sigma^\ell N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^\ell N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(\bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} F_n, \Sigma^\ell N).$$

Thus, since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(\Sigma(\bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} F_n), \Sigma^\ell N) \cong \prod_{n=-1}^{\infty} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(F_n, \Sigma^{\ell-1} N) = 0$ for all $\ell \leq 0$, we conclude that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^\ell N) = 0$ for all $\ell \leq -1$. \square

A.2. In contrast to Proposition A.1, one can construct an example of a semifree DG B -module N such that $H_i(N) \neq 0$ for some $i \neq 0$ and at the same time $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^{-1} N) \neq 0$. To see this, let $a \neq 0$ be an element in the ring R with $a^2 = 0$, and let N be a semifree DG R -module with a semifree basis $\{e_0, e_1\}$, where $|e_0| = 0$, $|e_1| = 1$, and $\partial^N(e_1) = e_0 a$. In other words, N is the Koszul complex $K^R(a)$. Then, $H_1(N) \neq 0$ because a is a zero divisor in R . Now, considering the DG R -module homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} N$ defined by $f(e_0) = e_1 a$ and $f(e_1) = 0$, we see that f is a non-zero element in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^{-1} N)$.

The following is proved by the same technique as in the proof of Proposition A.1.

Proposition A.3. *If X is a DG B -module with $H_i(X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and F is a semifree DG B -module with $H_i(F) = 0$ for all $i < 0$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(F, \Sigma^i X) = 0$ for all $i < 0$.*

Corollary A.4. *If $H_i(B) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and N is a semifree DG B -module with $H_i(N) = 0$ for all $i < 0$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(B)}(N, \Sigma^i B) = 0$ for all $i < 0$.*

A.5. We work in the setting of Conjecture 1.1 and discussion 1.2. Note that the R -module M is regarded as a DG Q' -module via the natural augmentation $Q' \rightarrow R$. Assume that $N \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ is a semifree resolution of the DG Q' -module M . It follows from Proposition A.1 and Corollary A.4 (with $B = Q'$) that $\mathrm{Ext}_{Q'}^i(N, N \oplus Q') = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ (e.g., (AR1) and (AR2) hold for the DG Q' -module N) when we translate the Ext vanishing assumptions for M in Conjecture 1.1 into the DG setting. With this explanation, if Conjecture 6.10 holds (i.e., if one of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 6.3 holds for N under the Ext vanishing assumptions of Conjecture 1.1 for M), then Conjecture 1.1 holds.

REFERENCES

1. T. Araya and Y. Yoshino, *Remarks on a depth formula, a grade inequality and a conjecture of Auslander*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 11, 3793–3806.
2. M. Auslander, S. Ding, and Ø. Solberg, *Liftings and weak liftings of modules*, J. Algebra **156** (1993), 273–397.
3. M. Auslander, I. Reiten, *On a generalized version of the Nakayama conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 69–74.
4. L. L. Avramov, *Infinite free resolutions*, Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), Progr. Math., vol. 166, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 1–118.
5. L. L. Avramov and R.-O. Buchweitz, *Support varieties and cohomology over complete intersections*, Invent. Math. **142** (2000), no. 2, 285–318.
6. L. L. Avramov, R.-O. Buchweitz, and L. M. Şega, *Extensions of a dualizing complex by its ring: commutative versions of a conjecture of Tachikawa*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **201** (2005), no. 1-3, 218–239.
7. L. L. Avramov, H.-B. Foxby, and S. Halperin, *Differential graded homological algebra*, in preparation.
8. L. L. Avramov and S. Halperin, *Through the looking glass: a dictionary between rational homotopy theory and local algebra*, Algebra, algebraic topology and their interactions (Stockholm, 1983), 1–27, Lecture Notes in Math., 1183, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
9. L. L. Avramov, S. B. Iyengar, S. Nasseh, and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Homology over trivial extensions of commutative DG algebras*, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), 2341–2356.
10. L. L. Avramov, S. B. Iyengar, S. Nasseh, and K. Sather-Wagstaff, *Persistence of homology over commutative noetherian rings*, J. Algebra, **610** (2022), 463–490.
11. Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
12. Tor H. Gulliksen and G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen’s Paper in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 20 (1969), Queen’s University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada.
13. C. Huneke, D. A. Jorgensen, and R. Wiegand, *Vanishing theorems for complete intersections*, J. Algebra **238** (2001), no. 2, 684–702.
14. C. Huneke, L. M. Şega, and A. N. Vraciu, *Vanishing of Ext and Tor over some Cohen-Macaulay local rings*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 1, 295–317.
15. D. A. Jorgensen, *Finite projective dimension and the vanishing of $\mathrm{Ext}_R(M, M)$* , Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 12, 4461–4471.
16. S. Nasseh, M. Ono, and Y. Yoshino, *The theory of j -operators with application to (weak) liftings of DG modules*, J. Algebra, **605** (2022), 199–225.
17. S. Nasseh, M. Ono, and Y. Yoshino, *Naïve liftings of DG modules*, Math. Z., **301** (2022), no. 1, 1191–1210.
18. S. Nasseh, M. Ono, and Y. Yoshino, *Obstruction to naïve liftability of DG modules*, preprint 2022 (arXiv:2109.00607).

19. S. Nasseh, M. Ono, and Y. Yoshino, *On the semifree resolutions of DG algebras over the enveloping DG algebras*, to appear in *Comm. Algebra* ([arXiv:2301.12267](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.12267)).
20. S. Nasseh and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Vanishing of Ext and Tor over fiber products*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 11, 4661–4674.
21. S. Nasseh and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Liftings and Quasi-Liftings of DG modules*, *J. Algebra*, **373** (2013), 162–182.
22. S. Nasseh and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Geometric aspects of representation theory for DG algebras: answering a question of Vasconcelos*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **96** (2017), no. 1, 271–292.
23. S. Nasseh and R. Takahashi, *Local rings with quasi-decomposable maximal ideal*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **168** (2020), no. 2, 305–322.
24. S. Nasseh and Y. Yoshino, *On Ext-indices of ring extensions*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009), no. 7, 1216–1223.
25. S. Nasseh and Y. Yoshino, *Weak liftings of DG modules*, *J. Algebra*, **502** (2018), 233–248.
26. M. Ono and Y. Yoshino, *A lifting problem for DG modules*, *J. Algebra* **566** (2021), 342–360.
27. L. M. Şega, *Vanishing of cohomology over Gorenstein rings of small codimension*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), no. 8, 2313–2323.
28. L. M. Şega, *Self-tests for freeness over commutative Artinian rings*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **215** (2011), no. 6, 1263–1269.
29. J. Tate, *Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings*, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 14–27.
30. Y. Yoshino, *The theory of L-complexes and weak liftings of complexes*, *J. Algebra* **188** (1997), no. 1, 144–183.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGIA SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY, STATESBORO, GA 30460, U.S.A.

Email address: snasseh@georgiasouthern.edu

INSTITUTE FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, RIDAICHO, KITAKU, OKAYAMA 700-0005, JAPAN

Email address: ono@ous.ac.jp

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENTAL, LIFE, NATURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700-8530, JAPAN

Email address: yoshino@math.okayama-u.ac.jp