

Topics in Boolean Representable Simplicial Complexes

Stuart Margolis, John Rhodes and Pedro V. Silva

September 15, 2023

Keywords: Simplicial complex, boolean representable simplicial complexes, lattice of flats, atomistic lattice

ABSTRACT

We study a number of topics in the theory of Boolean Representable Simplicial Complexes (BRSC). These include various operators on BRSC. We look at shellability in higher dimensions and propose a number of new conjectures.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preliminaries	3
3	The up operator	3
3.1	Unimodality	6
4	Adding one point	8
4.1	The operator $\mathcal{H} + p$	8
4.2	The operator $\mathcal{H} \oplus p$	9
4.3	The operator $\mathcal{H} \boxplus p$	10
5	Computing the flats of paving complexes	11
6	Truncation	14
6.1	Low dimensions	14
6.2	Union	17
6.3	The six point case	19
6.4	On $\text{TBPAv}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$	23
7	The Pure Conjecture	30
7.1	Second version of the Pure Conjecture	37
8	Sum of complexes	42
9	Going from dimension 2 to dimension 3	44
9.1	The Desargues complex	45
9.2	The non Desargues complex	47
10	Matroids of codimension 1	51
11	On the Dowling and Rhodes matroids	54
11.1	The reduced Rhodes matroid	54
11.2	The Dowling matroid	57
12	Shellability for high dimensions	60
13	Going up (or not) for paving complexes	62
13.1	Computing $\text{mGU}(2)$	74

1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

A *simplicial complex* is a structure of the form $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$, where V is a finite nonempty set and $H \subseteq 2^V$ satisfies:

- $P_1(V) \subseteq H$;
- if $X \subseteq I \in H$, then $X \in H$.

3 The up operator

In this section we explore the *up operator*, which associates a BRSC to each simplicial complex.

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. We define

$$H^{\text{up}} = H \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in H, p \in V \setminus I\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{up}} = (V, H^{\text{up}}).$$

We show that

$$H^{\text{up}} = 2^V \setminus \{X \in 2^V \setminus \{\emptyset\} \mid X \setminus \{x\} \notin H \text{ for every } x \in X\}. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, $X \in H^{\text{up}}$ if and only if

$$X = \emptyset \text{ or } X \setminus \{x\} \in H \text{ for some } x \in X$$

if and only if X belongs to the right hand side of (1). Thus (1) holds.

Assume that $\emptyset \neq R \subseteq 2^V$. Let $M(R)$ denote the $R \times V$ boolean matrix (m_{rv}) defined as follows: for all $r \in R$ and $v \in V$, let

$$m_{rv} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \in r \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If R contains V and is closed under intersection, it is said to be a *Moore family* (see [5]). We shall assume also that $\emptyset \in R$ (since \emptyset is a flat in every simplicial complex). If R is a Moore family, then (R, \cap) constitutes a \wedge -semilattice and since $V \in R$ then R has a lattice structure if we consider the determined join. We can view V as a \vee -generating set for the lattice R through the mapping $\varphi : V \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$v\varphi = \cap\{r \in R \mid v \in r\}.$$

Then $M(R)$ is the matrix determined by the lattice (R, V) (see [10, Section 3.3]), and so the BRSC defined by $M(R)$ is the complex $\mathcal{J}(R) = (V, J(R))$, where $J(R)$ is the set of transversals of the successive differences for some chain of R ([10, Theorem 5.4.2]). It follows from ([10, Lemma 5.2.1]) that

$$R \subseteq \text{Fl}(V, J(R)). \quad (2)$$

Now let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Since H is closed under taking subsets, it is closed under intersection and so $H \cup \{V\}$ is a Moore family. We can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Then $M(H \cup \{V\})$ is a boolean matrix representation for \mathcal{H}^{up} .*

Proof. Assume first that p_1, \dots, p_n is an enumeration of the elements of $I \in H$. Then I is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset p_1 \subset p_1 p_2 \subset \dots \subset p_1 \dots p_n = I$$

of H , hence $I \in J(H \cup \{V\})$. Furthermore, if $q \in V \setminus I$, then $I \cup \{q\}$ is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset p_1 \subset p_1 p_2 \subset \dots \subset p_1 \dots p_n = I \subset V$$

of $H \cup \{V\}$, hence $I \cup \{q\} \in J(H \cup \{V\})$ and so $H^{\text{up}} \subseteq J(H \cup \{V\})$.

Conversely, let $X \notin H$ be a transversal of the successive differences for a chain

$$I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_n$$

of $H \cup \{V\}$. Since $X \subseteq I_n$, it follows that $I_n = V$ and $I_{n-1} \in H$. Denoting by p the single element of $X \setminus I_{n-1}$, we get $X \setminus \{p\} \in H$ and $X \in H^{\text{up}}$, hence $J(H \cup \{V\}) \subseteq H^{\text{up}}$ and so $J(H \cup \{V\}) = H^{\text{up}}$ as required. \square

Corollary 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Then $H \cup \{V\} \subseteq \text{Fl}(\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}})$.*

Proof. By [10, Lemma 5.2.1], we have $H \cup \{V\} \subseteq \text{Fl}(M(H \cup \{V\})) \subseteq \text{Fl}(\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}})$. \square

The following example shows that the inclusion in Corollary 3.2 may be strict.

Example 3.3 *Let $V = 1234$ and $H = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{12, 34\}$. Then $\text{Fl}(\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}) = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{V\}$.*

Indeed, $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}} = (V, P_{\leq 3}(V))$ and this easily yields the claim.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a matroid. Then \mathcal{H}^{up} is a matroid.*

Proof. We may assume that $V \notin H$, otherwise $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}} = \mathcal{H}$.

Let $I', J' \in H^{\text{up}}$ with $|I'| = |J'| + 1$. The exchange property holding trivially if $J' \in H$, we may assume that $J' = J \cup \{p\} \notin H$ with $J \in H$. Write $I' = I \cup \{q\}$ with $I \in H$ and $q \notin I$. Since \mathcal{H} is a matroid, we have $J \cup \{i\} \in H$ for some $i \in I \setminus J$. Since $J' \notin H$, we have $i \neq p$, hence $J' \cup \{i\} \in H^{\text{up}}$ and $i \in I' \setminus J'$. Therefore the exchange property holds in \mathcal{H}^{up} and so \mathcal{H}^{up} is a matroid. \square

Given a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $k \geq 0$, the k -truncation of \mathcal{H} is the simplicial complex $\mathcal{H}_k = (V, H_k)$ defined by $H_k = H \cap P_{\leq k}(V)$.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Then:*

(i) *If $V \notin H$, then $\dim(\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}) = \dim \mathcal{H} + 1$.*

(ii) If $V \notin H$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(d)$, then $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}} \in \text{BPav}(d+1)$.

(iii) $(\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}})_k = (\mathcal{H}_{k-1})^{\text{up}}$ for every $k \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) Immediate.

(ii) In view of Theorem 3.1.

(iii) Since

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{\text{up}})_k &= H_k \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in H_{k-1}, p \in V \setminus I\} \\ &= H_{k-1} \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in H_{k-1}, p \in V \setminus I\} = (H_{k-1})^{\text{up}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

A simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is said to be *graphic boolean* if it can be represented by a boolean matrix M such that:

- M contains all possible rows with one zero;
- each row of M has at most two zeroes.

In [10, Section 6.2], it is remarked that either $\mathcal{H} = U_{2,|V|}$ or $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(2)$. However, \mathcal{H} is not necessarily a matroid. We can of course represent \mathcal{H} by a graph where the edges correspond to the flats of the matrix having precisely two elements.

We view graphs as simplicial complexes $\Gamma = (V, E)$ with $P_1(V) \subseteq E \subseteq P_{\leq 2}(V)$. Now the following result follows from the definitions.

Proposition 3.6 *The following conditions are equivalent for a simplicial complex \mathcal{H} :*

- (i) \mathcal{H} is graphic boolean;
- (ii) $\mathcal{H} = \Gamma^{\text{up}}$ for some graph Γ .

Other interesting examples connected to graphs arise from considering some class \mathcal{G} of graphs closed under removing an edge. Edgeless graphs, forests, triangle-free graphs or graphs containing no cycle of length $\leq \ell$ (for a fixed $\ell \geq 3$) constitute examples of such classes.

Given a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, we define a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = (V, H_{\mathcal{G}})$ where $H_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the set of all $W \subseteq V$ such that the subgraph of Γ induced by W belongs to \mathcal{G} .

In general, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is not a BRSC. If \mathcal{G} is the class of all edgeless graphs, then the faces of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ are the anticliques of Γ , and (PR) is not always satisfied by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$. However, $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}})^{\text{up}}$ is a BRSC by Theorem 3.1, and these construction will merit our attention in future sections.

We consider now the iteration of the up operator. Given $m \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}^{(m)}} = (V, H^{\text{up}^{(m)}})$ the simplicial complex obtained by applying m times the up operator to $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$.

Theorem 3.7 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$ and $m \geq 0$. Then*

$$H^{\text{up}^{(m)}} = P_{\leq d+m+1}(V) \setminus \{X \in P_{d+m+1}(V) \mid P_{d+1}(X) \cap H = \emptyset\}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. We use induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is easy to check, hence we assume that $m > 0$ and (3) holds for $m - 1$. We have $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}^{(m)}} \in \text{BPav}(d + m)$ by Proposition 3.5(ii), hence we only need to discuss membership on both sides of (3) for a fixed $Y \in P_{d+m+1}$.

Assume first that $Y \in H^{\text{up}^{(m)}}$. Then there exists some $y \in Y$ such that $Y \setminus \{y\} \in H^{\text{up}^{(m-1)}}$. By the induction hypothesis, we get

$$Y \setminus \{y\} \in P_{\leq d+m}(V) \setminus \{X \in P_{d+m}(V) \mid P_{d+1}(X) \cap H = \emptyset\}.$$

Hence there exists some $Z \in P_{d+1}(Y \setminus \{y\}) \cap H \subseteq P_{d+1}(Y) \cap H$. Thus $Y \in P_{\leq d+m+1}(V) \setminus \{X \in P_{d+m+1}(V) \mid P_{d+1}(X) \cap H = \emptyset\}$.

Suppose now that $Y \notin H^{\text{up}^{(m)}}$. By (1), we have $Y \setminus \{y\} \notin H^{\text{up}^{(m-1)}}$ for every $y \in Y$. By the induction hypothesis, we get $P_{d+1}(Y \setminus \{y\}) \cap H = \emptyset$ for every $y \in Y$. But then $P_{d+1}(Y) \cap H = \emptyset$ and so (3) holds for m as required. \square

Corollary 3.8 *Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph and $m \geq 0$. Then*

$$\Gamma^{\text{up}^{(m)}} = P_{\leq m+2}(V) \setminus \{X \in P_{m+2}(V) \mid X \text{ is an anticlique of } \Gamma\}.$$

It follows that $\Gamma^{\text{up}^{(m)}}$ is an uniform matroid if the greatest anticlique of Γ has at most $m + 1$ elements.

For all $k \leq n$, consider the uniform matroid $U_{k,n} = (V_n, P_{\leq k}(V_n))$.

By a classical Ramsey theory theorem (see [6]), for every $m \geq 2$ there exists some integer $R(m)$ such that every graph with at least $R(m)$ vertices admits an m -clique or an m -anticlique. This yields a corollary to Corollary 3.8:

Corollary 3.9 *Let $m \geq 2$ and let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|V| \geq R(m)$. Then Γ^{up} has a restriction isomorphic to $U_{3,m+1}$ or $\Gamma^{\text{up}^{(m-2)}}$ is not uniform.*

In terms of shellability, it remains an open problem whether or not \mathcal{H}^{up} is shellable when \mathcal{H} is shellable. For the converse implication, we have the following counterexample:

Example 3.10 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V_5, H)$ be the pure complex defined by $H = 2^{123} \cup 2^{345}$. Then H^{up} is shellable but \mathcal{H} is not.*

Indeed, $\text{Fct}\mathcal{H} = \{123, 345\}$ and so \mathcal{H} is not shellable. However, $\text{Fct}\mathcal{H} = \{1234, 1235, 1345, 2345\}$ and this particular enumeration provides a shelling for \mathcal{H}^{up} .

3.1 Unimodality

Given a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$, we define the *counting function* of \mathcal{H} to be the function $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$n\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} = |H \cap P_n(V)|.$$

A function $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is *unimodal* if there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- $i < j \leq m \Rightarrow i\alpha \leq j\alpha$;
- $m \geq i < j \Rightarrow i\alpha \geq j\alpha$.

Giancarlo Rota conjectured that $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}$ is unimodal for every matroid \mathcal{H} . We discuss next this conjecture for BRSCs.

For every $n \geq 1$, write $V_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

For all integers $n \geq m \geq k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{J}_{n,m,k} = (V_n, H)$ be the simplicial complex defined by

$$H = P_1(V_n) \cup P_{\leq k}(V_m).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{J}_{n,m,k}^{\text{up}} = (V_n, H^{\text{up}})$ with

$$H^{\text{up}} = P_{\leq 2}(V_n) \cup P_{\leq k+1}(V_m) \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in P_{\leq k}(V_m), p \in V_n \setminus V_m\}. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 3.11 *For all integers $n \geq m \geq k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{J}_{n,m,k} = (V_n, H)$ and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{n,m,k}^{\text{up}}$. Then $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J} = H \cup \{V_n\}$.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.2 and the definition of flat, it suffices to show that every $X \in 2^{V_n} \setminus (H \cup \{V_n\})$ contains a facet of \mathcal{H} .

Assume first that there exists some distinct $q, r \in X \setminus V_m$. Then X contains the facet qr .

Assume now that $|X \setminus V_m| \leq 1$. Then $X \notin H$ yields $|X \cap V_m| > k$. Then any $(k+1)$ -subset of X is a facet. \square

The following example features a BRSC of dimension 2 with a non unimodal counting function:

Example 3.12 *Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{16,6,3}$. Then*

$$1\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} = 16, \quad 2\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} = \binom{6}{2} = 15, \quad 3\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} = \binom{6}{3} = 20.$$

However, $\mathcal{J}_{16,6,3}$ is not connected. We discuss now the connected case.

Proposition 3.13 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a connected BRSC of dimension ≤ 2 . Then $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}$ is unimodal.*

Proof. We may assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$ and $1\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} = n$. Since the graph \mathcal{H}_1 has a 3-cycle, it is a connected graph on n vertices which is not a tree. Hence

$$2\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 1\alpha_{\mathcal{H}} > 1 = 0\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and so $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}$ is unimodal. \square

We discuss now the counting function of $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{n,m,3}^{\text{up}}$. In view of (4), we have

- $2\alpha_{\mathcal{J}} = \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$;
- $3\alpha_{\mathcal{J}} = \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m}{2}(n-m) = \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{6} + \frac{m(m-1)(n-m)}{2}$;
- $4\alpha_{\mathcal{J}} = \binom{m}{4} + \binom{m}{3}(n-m) = \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)(m-3)}{24} + \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)(n-m)}{6}$.

To ensure $3\alpha_{\mathcal{J}} < 4\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$, we must have $6 \leq m \leq n-2$, and it is straightforward to check that for $m=6$ we have $2\alpha_{\mathcal{J}} > 3\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$ if and only if $n \geq 26$. In view of Theorem 3.1, we obtain:

Example 3.14 *Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{26,6,3}^{\text{up}}$. Then \mathcal{J} is a simple BRSC of dimension 3 such that $\alpha_{\mathcal{J}}$ is not unimodal. Moreover, this is the smallest counterexample among complexes of the form $\mathcal{J}(n, m, k)$.*

It is easy to check that $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}}$ can be unimodal even if $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}$ is not, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(16, 6, 3)$ providing a straightforward example. It remains an open question whether $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}$ unimodal implies $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}}$ unimodal. We can show that the implication holds for complexes of the form $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(n, m, 3)$, but the general case remains open.

4 Adding one point

In this section we discuss alternative constructions designed to add one extra vertex to a complex.

4.1 The operator $\mathcal{H} + p$

Given $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V', H')$, we write

$$\mathcal{H} \leq \mathcal{H}' \text{ if } V \subseteq V' \text{ and } H \subseteq H'$$

and we define the *join* of the simplicial complexes $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V', H')$ to be the simplicial complex

$$(\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{H}') = (V \cup V', H \cup H').$$

Given a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $p \notin V$, we define

$$\mathcal{H} + p = (V \cup \{p\}, H \cup \{p\}).$$

Then $\mathcal{H} + p = (\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{S}_p)$, where \mathcal{S}_p is the unique simplicial complex having p as its unique vertex.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H} + p$ satisfies the point replacement property (PR) if and only if $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq 0$. Since every BRSC satisfies (PR), it is easy to check that $\mathcal{H} + p$ only is a BRSC if $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq 0$.

The next result relates $\mathcal{H} + p$ with the up operator and contraction.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex and $p \notin V$. Then $(\mathcal{H} + p)^{\text{up}}/p = \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. We have

$$(H \cup \{p\})^{\text{up}} = H^{\text{up}} \cup \{pq \mid q \in V\} \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in H\},$$

thus the set of faces of $(\mathcal{H} + p)^{\text{up}}/p$ is $P_1(V) \cup H = H$. \square

We use Proposition 4.1 in the discussion of the relationship between contractions and the up operator.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex and let $W \subseteq V$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}/W \leq (\mathcal{H}|_{V \setminus W})^{\text{up}}$. Equality does not hold in general.*

Proof. It follows from the definitions that $V \setminus W$ is the vertex set of both complexes. Let $X \subseteq V \setminus W$. If X is a face of $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}/W$, then $X \cup W \in H^{\text{up}}$ and so $X \cup W \in H$ or $X \cup W = I \cup \{p\}$ for some $I \in H$ and $p \in V \setminus I$. In the first case, we get $X \in H|_{V \setminus W}$, in the second $X \setminus \{p\} \in H|_{V \setminus W}$, so in any case X is a face of $(\mathcal{H}|_{V \setminus W})^{\text{up}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}/W \leq (\mathcal{H}|_{V \setminus W})^{\text{up}}$.

Suppose now that $V \notin H$ and $p \notin V$. Since $V = (V \cup \{p\}) \setminus \{p\}$, to complete the proof it is enough to show that

$$(\mathcal{H} + p)^{\text{up}}/p < ((\mathcal{H} + p)|_V)^{\text{up}}. \quad (5)$$

By Proposition 4.1, we have $(\mathcal{H} + p)^{\text{up}}/p = \mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, $((\mathcal{H} + p)|_V)^{\text{up}} = \mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}$. Since $V \notin H$, we have $\mathcal{H} < \mathcal{H}^{\text{up}}$ and so (5) holds as required. \square

4.2 The operator $\mathcal{H} \oplus p$

Let V and V' be disjoint sets. Given $\mathcal{X} \subseteq 2^V$ and $\mathcal{X}' \subseteq 2^{V'}$, we write

$$\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}' = \{X \cup X' \mid X \in \mathcal{X}, X' \in \mathcal{X}'\}.$$

Given simplicial complexes $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V', H')$ with $V \cap V' = \emptyset$, we define the simplicial complex

$$\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}' = (V \cup V', H \oplus H').$$

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V', H')$ be simplicial complexes with $V \cap V' = \emptyset$. Then:*

(i) $\text{Fl}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}') = \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \oplus \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$;

(ii) $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}'$ is boolean representable if and only if \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' are both boolean representable.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $C \in \text{Fl}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}')$. By [10, Proposition 8.3.3(i)], $C \cap V$ is a flat of the restriction of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}'$ to V , i.e. $C \cap V \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Similarly, $C \cap V' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$. Hence

$$C = (C \cap V) \cup (C \cap V') \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \oplus \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$$

and so $\text{Fl}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}') \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \oplus \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$.

Conversely, let $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and $F' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$. Let $X \in (H \oplus H') \cap 2^{F \cup F'}$ and $p \in (V \cup V') \setminus (F \cup F')$. We must show that $X \cup \{p\} \in H \oplus H'$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p \in V$.

Write $X = I \cup I'$ with $I \in H$ and $I' \in H'$. Then $I \in H \cap 2^F$ and $p \in V \setminus F$. Since $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, we get $I \cup \{p\} \in H$ and so $X \cup \{p\} = (I \cup \{p\}) \cup I' \in H \oplus H'$ as required. \square

Given a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $p \notin V$, we write

$$\mathcal{H} \oplus p = (V \cup \{p\}, H \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in H\}).$$

Thus $\mathcal{H} \oplus p = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{S}_p$.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex and let $p \notin V$. Then:*

- (i) $\dim(\mathcal{H} \oplus p) = \dim \mathcal{H} + 1$;
- (ii) $\text{Fl}(\mathcal{H} \oplus p) = \text{Fl} \mathcal{H} \cup \{F \cup \{p\} \mid F \in \text{Fl} \mathcal{H}\}$;
- (iii) \mathcal{H} is boolean representable if and only if $\mathcal{H} \oplus p$ is boolean representable;
- (iv) \mathcal{H} satisfies (PR) if and only if $\mathcal{H} \oplus p$ satisfies (PR);
- (v) $\mathcal{H}^{\text{up}} = \bigvee_{p \in V} (\mathcal{H}|_{V \setminus \{p\}} \oplus p)$.

Proof. (i), (iv). Immediate.

(ii), (iii). By Lemma 4.3.

(v). Both complexes have vertex set V . Now $X \subseteq V$ is a face of the right hand side complex if and only if X is a face of $\mathcal{H}|_{V \setminus \{p\}} \oplus p$ for some $p \in V$. But X is a face of $\mathcal{H}|_{V \setminus \{p\}} \oplus p$ if and only if $X = I$ or $X = I \cup \{p\}$ for some $I \in H \cap 2^{V \setminus \{p\}}$. Since p is arbitrary, we obtain precisely the faces of \mathcal{H}^{up} . \square

4.3 The operator $\mathcal{H} \boxplus p$

Given a Moore family $R \subseteq 2^V$ and $p \notin V$, we define the Moore family

$$R \boxplus p = (R \setminus \{V\}) \cup \{V \cup \{p\}, \{p\}\} \subseteq 2^{V \cup \{p\}}.$$

This Moore family determines the BRSC $\mathcal{J}(R \boxplus p)$, whose faces are the transversals of the successive differences for some chain of $R \boxplus p$.

If

$$M(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$M(R \boxplus p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & 1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The following example shows that $J(R \boxplus p)$ is not determined by $J(R)$.

Example 4.5 *Let $V = 1234$ and consider the Moore families*

$$R = \{\emptyset, 1, 2, 123, V\}, \quad R' = \{\emptyset, 4, 14, 24, V\}.$$

Then $J(R) = J(R')$ but $J(R \boxplus p) \neq J(R' \boxplus p)$.

Indeed, it follows from [10, Proposition 5.7.2] that $J(R) = J(R') = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus \{123\}$. However, $125 \in J(R \boxplus p) \setminus J(R' \boxplus p)$.

Given a BRSC $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $p \notin V$, we define the BRSC

$$\mathcal{H} \boxplus p = \mathcal{J}(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \boxplus p) = (V \cup \{p\}, J(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \boxplus p)).$$

Proposition 4.6 *Given a BRSC $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $p \notin V$, we have*

$$J(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \boxplus p) = H \cup \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in H, \bar{I} \neq V, q \in I\} \cup \{vp \mid v \in V\},$$

where \bar{I} denotes the closure of I in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. The nonempty elements of $J(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \boxplus p)$ are the transversals of the successive differences for chains in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \boxplus p$, where we may assume that $V \cup \{p\}$ is the biggest element. For a chain of the type

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_k = V \cup \{p\},$$

consider a transversal $x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$ with $x_i \in F_i \setminus F_{i-1}$ for every i .

If $F_{k-1} = \{p\}$, we get as transversals the elements in $P_1(V) \cup \{vp \mid v \in V\}$ (for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$, respectively). Assume now that $F_{k-1} \neq \{p\}$. If $x_k \neq p$, then we get as transversals all the nonempty elements of H . If $x_k = p$, we get faces of the form $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} p$, with $\overline{x_1 \dots x_{k-1}} \subseteq F_{k-1} \subset V$. \square

Note that $\dim(\mathcal{H} \boxplus p) = \dim \mathcal{H}$ unless $\dim \mathcal{H} = 0$.

5 Computing the flats of paving complexes

We present in this section a method to compute the flats of a paving complex.

We recall the following result.

Proposition 5.1 [10, Proposition 4.2.3] *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Then $\bar{B} = V$ for every facet of \mathcal{H} .*

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a paving simplicial complex of dimension $d \geq 2$. Then facets may have dimension d or $d - 1$. A facet of dimension d is called *large*, otherwise it is *small*.

We say that $X \in P_{\geq d+1}(V)$ is a *long hyperplane* of \mathcal{H} (*long line* if $d = 2$) if X contains no facet of \mathcal{H} . The set of *maximal long hyperplanes* (with respect to inclusion) is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$ with $d \geq 2$. For every $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$, we have either $\overline{L} = L$ or $\overline{L} = V$.*

Proof. Assume $\overline{L} \neq V$. By Proposition 5.1, we have $\overline{B} = V$ for every $B \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$. Hence \overline{L} can contain no facet and is thus a long hyperplane containing L . Therefore $\overline{L} = L$ by maximality of L . \square

In view of Lemma 5.2, we consider now a partition

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)} \quad (6)$$

defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} = \{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \mid |L \cap L'| \leq d-1 \text{ for every } L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \{L\}\};$
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} = \{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \mid |L \cap L'| \leq d-1 \text{ for every } L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \{L\}\};$
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)} = \{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \mid |L \cap L'| \geq d \text{ for some } L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \{L\}\}.$

We note that

$$\overline{L} = V \text{ for every } L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}. \quad (7)$$

Indeed, since $L \notin \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, then \overline{L} strictly contains L and thus $\overline{L} = V$ by Lemma 5.2. Thus (7) holds.

The following example shows that the partition (6) may be nondegenerate, even for dimension $d = 2$.

Example 5.3 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be defined by $V = \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ and*

$$H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus (\{123, 345, 789, 890\} \cup \{56p \mid p \in V \setminus \{5, 6\}\}).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} = \{123\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} = \{345\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)} = \{789, 890\}.$$

Indeed, it is easy to check that these four 3-sets are the unique long hyperplanes of \mathcal{H} (and therefore maximal). It follows immediately that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)} = \{789, 890\}$ and $123 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}$. Since $45 \in H$ but $456 \notin H$, we get $6 \in \overline{345}$, hence $\overline{345}$ contains the facet 56 and so $\overline{345} = V$ by Proposition 5.1. Therefore $345 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$ as claimed.

Problem 5.4 *Can we find such an example with \mathcal{H} boolean representable?*

The next result shows that for some classes of complexes, the partition (6) may be degenerate.

Proposition 5.5 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$ with $d \geq 2$. If \mathcal{H} is pure, then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Since L contains no facet and $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq H$, then there exists some $A \in H \cap P_d(L)$ and $p \in V \setminus L$ such that $A \cup \{p\} \notin H$. Since \mathcal{H} is pure, it follows that $A \cup \{p\}$ contains no facet of \mathcal{H} and is consequently a long hyperplane. Thus $A \cup \{p\} \subseteq L'$ for some $L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$. But $p \in L' \setminus L$ and $A \subseteq L \cap L'$ yields $|L \cap L'| \geq d$, hence $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}$ and so $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} = \emptyset$. \square

Now we can describe all the flats of $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(d)$.

Theorem 5.6 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$ with $d \geq 2$. Then*

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{A \in P_d(V) \mid \forall p \in V \setminus A \ A \cup \{p\} \in H\} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} \cup \{V\}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that the right hand side of (8) consists solely of flats. Conversely, let $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. We may assume that $d \leq |F| < |V|$.

Suppose first that $|F| = d$. Since $P_d(V) \subseteq H$, it follows that $A \cup \{p\} \in H$ for every $p \in V \setminus A$, hence we may assume that $d < |F| < |V|$.

In view of Proposition 5.1, F contains no facet of \mathcal{H} , hence it is a long hyperplane. Suppose that $p \in V \setminus F$ and take $A \in P_d(F) \subseteq H$. Since $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, we get $A \cup \{p\} \in H$ (therefore a facet), hence $F \cup \{p\}$ is not a long hyperplane. Thus $F \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and so $F \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}$. In view of (7), we get $F \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}$ and so (8) holds as required. \square

Note that, by [10, Proposition 4.2.4], every $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is of the form \bar{I} for some $I \in H$. Combined with Proposition 5.1, this implies that every $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \setminus \{V\}$ is of the form \bar{I} for some $I \in H \cap P_{\leq d}(V)$. In the particular case $d = 2$, we generate all the flats (except possibly V) as the closure of a set with at most 2 elements.

Remark 5.7 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$ with $d \geq 2$. Let*

$$J = P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{A \in P_d(V) \mid \forall p \in V \setminus A \ A \cup \{p\} \in H\}.$$

Then:

- (i) $J = \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \cap P_{\leq d}(V)$;
- (ii) $J^{\text{up}} \subseteq H$;
- (iii) if (V, K) is a simplicial complex such that $K^{\text{up}} \subseteq H$, then $K \subseteq J$.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 5.6.

(ii) Let $A \in J$ and let $p \in V$. If $|A| < d$, then $A \cup \{p\} \in H$ since $P_d(V) \subseteq H$. Hence we may assume that $|A| = d$. Then $A \cup \{p\} \in H$ if $p \notin A$ by definition of J . If $p \in A$, we get $A \cup \{p\} = A \in P_d(V) \subseteq H$, therefore $A \cup \{p\} \in H$ in any case as required.

(iii) Let $A \in K$. Since $K^{\text{up}} \subseteq H$, we have $|A| \leq d$. Since $P_{d-1}(V) \subseteq J$, we may assume that $|A| = d$. Let $p \in V \setminus A$. Since $K^{\text{up}} \subseteq H$, we have $A \cup \{p\} \in H$. Hence $A \in J$ and so $K \subseteq J$. \square

6 Truncation

Given a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $k \geq 1$, the k -truncation of \mathcal{H} is the simplicial complex $\mathcal{H}_k = (V, H_k)$ defined by $H_k = H \cap P_{\leq k}(V)$.

We say that a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is a *TBRSC* if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_k$ for some BRSC \mathcal{J} and $k \geq 1$. For every $d \geq 1$, we denote by $\text{TBPAV}(d)$ the class of all paving TBRSCs of dimension d .

It is known that not every simplicial complex is a TBRSC [10, Example 8.2.6] and not every TBRSC is a BRSC [10, Example 8.2.1].

To understand TBRSCs, we need the following definition.

Given a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ of dimension d , we define

$$T(H) = \{T \subseteq V \mid \forall X \in H_d \cap 2^T \forall p \in V \setminus T \quad X \cup \{p\} \in H\}.$$

Lemma 6.1 [10, Lemma 8.2.3] *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Then:*

- (i) $T(H)$ is closed under intersection;
- (ii) $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H)$.

Thus $T(H)$ is a Moore family and $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$ is a BRSC.

Theorem 6.2 [10, Theorem 8.2.5] *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex of dimension d . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{H} is a TBRSC;
- (ii) $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{J}(T(H)))_{d+1}$.

Furthermore, in this case we have $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}(T(H)) = T(H)$.

6.1 Low dimensions

Proposition 6.3 *Every TBRSC of dimension 1 is boolean representable.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a TBRSC of dimension 1. Let

$$\Gamma\mathcal{H} = (V, P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup (P_2(V) \setminus H)).$$

By [10, Proposition 5.3.1], \mathcal{H} is a BRSC if and only if the connected components of $\Gamma\mathcal{H}$ are cliques.

Let $a - b - c$ be distinct edges in $\Gamma\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $\Gamma\mathcal{H}$ has no edge $a - c$. Then $ac \in H \cap P_2(V)$ and so by Theorem 6.2 there exists some $T \in T(H)$ such that $|T \cap ac| = 1$. We may assume that $a \in T$, but then $ab \notin H$ yields $b \in T$ and $bc \notin H$ yields $c \in T$, a contradiction. Thus $a - c$ is also an edge of $\Gamma\mathcal{H}$ and so the connected components of $\Gamma\mathcal{H}$ are cliques. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a BRSC. \square


The following example shows that Proposition 6.3 fails for dimension 2, even in the paving case.

Example 6.4 Let $V = 123456$ and

$$H = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{X \in P_3(V) \mid |X \cap 56| = 1\} \cup \{123, 124\}.$$


Then $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{TBPav}(2) \setminus \text{BPav}(2)$.

Indeed, it is easy to check that $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is the lattice



and so $\mathcal{H} \notin \text{BPav}(2)$ since 135 is not a transversal of any chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

On the other hand, $T(H)$ is the lattice



and it is easy to check that $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{J}(T(H)))_3$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(2)$.

The next result shows that, when it comes to separate BRSCs from TBRSCs, the above example has the minimum number of vertices.

Proposition 6.5 Every TBRSC with at most 5 vertices is boolean representable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a TBRSC with $|V| \leq 5$. In view of Proposition 6.3, we may assume that $|V| \geq 4$ and $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$.

Suppose first that $|V| = 4$. We may assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_3$ for some BRSC $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ of dimension 4, then \mathcal{H} is the uniform matroid $U_{3,4}$ and is therefore a BRSC.

Thus we may assume that $|V| = 5$. If $\dim \mathcal{H} = 3$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_4$ for some BRSC $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ of dimension 4, then \mathcal{H} is the uniform matroid $U_{4,5}$ and so a BRSC. Hence we may assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$.

Suppose that \mathcal{H} is not a BRSC. Then $\text{Fl} \mathcal{H} \subset T(H)$. Let $T \in T(H) \setminus \text{Fl} \mathcal{H}$. Then $H \cap P_3(T) \neq \emptyset$, hence we may take $a_1 a_2 a_3 \in H \cap P_3(T)$. Since $a_1 a_2 a_3 \in J(T(H))$, we may assume that there exists a chain $\emptyset = T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset T_3$ in $T(H)$ such that $a_i \in T_i \setminus T_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Lemma 6.1(i), $a_1 a_2 a_3$ is also a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset T_1 \cap T \subset T_2 \cap T \subset T_3 \cap T$$

in $T(H)$, hence there exists a chain

$$\emptyset = T'_0 \subset T'_1 \subset T'_2 \subset T'_3 \subset T'_4 = V$$

in $T(H)$. Since $|V| = 5$, there exists some $j \in 1234$ such that $|T'_j \setminus T'_{j-1}| = 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T'_j = T'_{j-1} \cup 12$. It is easy to check that

$$abc \in J(T(H)) \text{ if } |abc \cap 12| \leq 1,$$

hence the only possible elements of $P_3(V) \setminus H = P_3(V) \setminus (J(T(H)))_3$ are 123, 124, 125.

If $12 \notin H$, we have necessarily

$$H = \{X \in P_{\leq 3}(V) \mid 12 \not\subseteq X\},$$

hence we have a matroid (therefore boolean representable).

Thus we may assume that we have one of the following four cases:

$$(C1) \ H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus \{123, 124, 125\};$$

$$(C2) \ H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus \{123, 124\};$$

$$(C3) \ H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus \{123\};$$

$$(C4) \ H = P_{\leq 3}(V).$$

Now (C3) and (C4) are both matroids (hence BRSCs). We can show that (C1) is a BRSC by checking that 34, 35, 45 are flats. Similarly, (C2) is a BRSC because 15, 34, 35, 45 are flats. Therefore every TBRSC with 5 vertices is a BRSC. \square

6.2 Union

Given two simplicial complexes $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$ we define the *union* of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' as the simplicial complex

$$\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup H').$$

This is the join of complexes restricted to the case when the vertex sets coincide.

Proposition 6.6 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$ be BRSCs with $|V| \leq 4$. Then $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}'$ is a BRSC.*

Proof. If $\dim(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}') = 1$, we may use Theorem 6.8. The only other nontrivial case is $\dim(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}') = 2$. But it is easy to check that if $|V| = 4$ and $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$, then \mathcal{H} is a BRSC if and only if $|H \cap P_3(V)| \neq 1$. It follows that if $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}'$ is not a BRSC, then \mathcal{H} or \mathcal{H}' is not a BRSC. \square

The next example shows that neither BRSCs nor TBRSCs are closed under union when we consider 5 vertices (even at dimension ≤ 2).

Example 6.7 *Let $V = 12345$ and let $\mathcal{H}_1 = (V, P_{\leq 2}(V))$ and*

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = (V, P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{13, 14, 23, 24, 135, 145, 235, 245\}).$$

Then \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are both matroids but $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2$ is not a TBRSC.

Indeed, it is easy to check that \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are matroids. We may write $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 = (V, H)$ with

$$H = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{135, 145, 235, 245\}.$$

Let $T \in T(H)$.

If $13 \subseteq T$, then $123 \notin H$ yields $2 \in T$, and $125 \notin H$ yields $5 \in T$.

If $15 \subseteq T$, then $125 \notin H$ yields $2 \in T$, and $123 \notin H$ yields $3 \in T$.

If $35 \subseteq T$, then $345 \notin H$ yields $4 \in T$, and $134 \notin H$ yields $1 \in T$.

It follows that $135 \notin (J(T(H)))_3$ and so $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2$ is not a TBRSC by Theorem 6.2.

Theorem 6.8 *Let $d \geq 1$ and let $(V, H), (V, H') \in \text{TBPav}(d)$. Then $(V, H \cup H') \in \text{TBPav}(d)$.*

Proof. Let

$$R = \{T \cap T' \mid T \in T(H), T' \in T(H')\}.$$

In view of Lemma 6.1(i), R is a Moore family and so $\mathcal{J}(R) = (V, J(R))$ is a BRSC. We claim that

$$H \cup H' = (J(R))_{d+1}. \tag{9}$$

Let $X \in H$. By Theorem 6.2, there exists a chain

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \dots \subset T_n \tag{10}$$

in $T(H)$ and an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_n of the elements of X such that $x_i \in T_i \setminus T_{i-1}$ for every i . Since $V \in T(H')$, then (10) is also a chain in R , hence $X \in J(R)$. But $|X| \leq d+1$, thus $H \subseteq (J(R))_{d+1}$ and also $H' \subseteq (J(R))_{d+1}$ by symmetry.

Conversely, let $X \in (J(R))_{d+1}$. Since $H, H' \in \text{Pav}(d)$, we may assume that $|X| = d+1$. Then there exists a chain

$$R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \dots \subset R_{d+1} \quad (11)$$

in $J(R)$ and an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} of the elements of X such that $x_i \in R_i \setminus R_{i-1}$ for every i .

Write $R_d = T \cap T'$ with $T \in T(H)$ and $T' \in T(H')$. Since $x_{d+1} \notin R_d$, we may assume that $x_{d+1} \notin T$. Since $H \in \text{TBPav}(d)$ yields $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \subseteq T(H)$, we have $P_{\leq d-1}(V)$ and so

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset x_1 x_2 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset T \subset V$$

is a chain in $T(H)$ having X as a transversal of the successive differences. Thus $X \in H$ by Theorem 6.2 and so (6.8) holds.

Therefore $(V, H \cup H') = (\mathcal{J}(R))_{d+1} \in \text{TBPav}(d)$. \square

The next example shows that we cannot replace $\text{TBPav}(d)$ by $\text{BPav}(d)$ in Theorem 6.8.

Example 6.9 Let $V = 123456$, $H = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{123, 124, 125, 126\}$ and

$$H' = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{X \in P_3(V) \mid |X \cap 56| = 1\}.$$

Then $(V, H), (V, H') \in \text{BPav}(d)$ but $(V, H \cup H') \notin \text{BPav}(d)$.

Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$\text{Fl}(V, H) = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{12, V\}, \quad \text{Fl}(V, H') = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{1234, V\},$$

and it follows easily that $(V, H), (V, H') \in \text{BPav}(d)$. We have seen in Example 6.4 that $(V, H \cup H') \notin \text{BPav}(d)$.

Let V be a finite nonempty set and let $L \subseteq V$ be such that $2 \leq d \leq |L| < |V|$. We write

$$\mathcal{B}_d(V, L) = (V, B_d(V, L)) = \mathcal{J}(P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V, L\}).$$

Lemma 6.10 Let V be a finite nonempty set and let $L \subseteq V$ be such that $2 \leq d \leq |L| < |V|$. Then $\mathcal{B}_d(V, L) \in \text{BPav}(d)$.

Proof. We know that the operator \mathcal{J} yields a BRSC. Considering the chains of the form

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset L \subset V$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in V$ distinct, we confirm that $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq B_d(V, L)$. Since these are the unique maximal chains of maximal length in $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V, L\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{B}_d(V, L) \in \text{BPav}(d)$. \square

We can now prove the following result.

Theorem 6.11 *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{H} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_d(V, L) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ for some nonempty $\mathcal{L} \subseteq P_{\geq d} \setminus \{V\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\mathcal{L} = (P_{\geq d} \setminus \{V\}) \cap T(H)$. Since $\dim \mathcal{H} = d$, we have $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $X \in H$ be a facet. By Theorem 6.2, there exists a chain

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \dots \subset T_{d+1}$$

in $T(H)$ and an enumeration a_1, \dots, a_{d+1} of the elements of X so that $a_i \in T_i \setminus T_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d+1$. Now $a_1 \dots a_i \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, hence X is a transversal of the chain

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset T_d \subset V$$

and so $X \in \mathcal{B}_d(V, T_d)$. Since $T_d \in \mathcal{L}$, we get $H \subseteq \cup\{\mathcal{B}_d(V, L) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\}$.

The opposite inclusion is immediate.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By Lemma 6.10 and Theorem 6.8. \square

6.3 The six point case

We identify next, up to isomorphism, all the complexes with 6 points in $\text{TBPav}(2) \setminus \text{BPav}(2)$. We fix $V = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ as the set of points and we consider $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{TBPav}(2) \setminus \text{BPav}(2)$. Then there exists some BRSC $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}'_3}$. Given $X \subseteq V$, let \overline{X} (respectively \widehat{X}) the closure of X in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$ (respectively $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$).

Since $\mathcal{H} \notin \text{BPav}(2)$ and $P_{\leq 1}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, there exists some $X \in P_3(V) \cap H$ such that

$$X \subseteq \widehat{X \setminus \{x\}} \text{ for every } x \in X. \quad (12)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X = 345$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{H}' \in \text{BPav}(2)$ and $345 \in H'$, there exists some $x \in 345$ such that $x \notin \widehat{345 \setminus \{x\}}$. We may assume that $x = 5$. We claim that

$$|\overline{34}| = 4. \quad (13)$$

Indeed, we know already that $5 \notin \overline{34}$. Suppose that $\overline{34} = 34$. Then $34y \in H'$ (and therefore $34y \in H$) for every $y \in 1256$, yielding $\widehat{34} = 34$, contradicting (12). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $34y \notin H'$ for some $y \in 126$, say $y = 1$. Hence $134 \subseteq \overline{34}$. Suppose that $\overline{34} = 134$. Since $134 \notin H$, it is easy to see that this implies $\widehat{34} = 134$, contradicting (12). Thus $|\overline{34}| \geq 4$, and we may assume without loss of generality that $1234 \subseteq \overline{34}$.

Suppose that $1234 \subset \overline{34}$. Since $5 \notin \overline{34}$, we get $\overline{34} = 12346$. It follows that $45z \in H'$ for every $z \in 1236$, hence $\widehat{45} = 45$, contradicting (12). Therefore $\overline{34} = 1234$. It follows that $ab5, ab6 \in H$ for all $a, b \in 1234$ distinct. Since $\overline{134} = 1234$, it follows that $\{123, 124, 234\} \not\subseteq H$. Together with

$134 \notin H$, this implies that the restriction $\mathcal{H}'' = (1234, H'')$ of \mathcal{H}' to 1234 misses at least two triangles.

On the one hand, $134 \notin H$ and $\{123, 124, 234\} \not\subseteq H$ yield $1234 \subseteq \widehat{34}$. On the other hand, it follows from (12) that $5 \in \widehat{34}$, hence $12345 \subseteq \widehat{34}$. Since $ab5, ab6 \in H'$ for all $a, b \in 1234$ distinct, then $1234 \notin \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ implies that $1234 \setminus \{c\} \in H$ for some $c \in 1234$. Therefore \mathcal{H}'' has exactly one or two triangles. Since \mathcal{H}'' is a restriction of the BRSC \mathcal{H}' , it follows from [10, Proposition 8.3.1] that \mathcal{H}'' is a BRSC. On the other hand, it follows from [10, Example 5.2.11] that a paving BRSC with 4 points cannot have exactly one triangle, hence \mathcal{H}'' has exactly two triangles, whose intersection has two points, say de .

Together with $1234 \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$, this implies that $de \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$. Since $134 \notin H$, we have $de \in \{12, 23, 24\}$. Since we have not distinguished 3 from 4 so far, we may assume that $de \in \{12, 23\}$.

In any case, having $1234 \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$ determines that $ab5, ab6 \in H$ for all $a, b \in 1234$ distinct (16 elements), and $de \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$ determines which two elements among the four elements of $P_3(1234)$ belong to H . Thus we only need to discuss what happens with 156, 256, 356, 456. If $356 \in H$, then $35 \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$ (in view of $1234 \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$), implying $\widehat{35} = 35$ and contradicting (12). Therefore $356 \notin H$. Similarly, $456 \notin H$. It follows that we reduced the discussion to determine whether or not $156, 256 \in H$, for each choice of $de \in \{12, 23\}$.

We use now a simplification of the notation $B_2(V, L)$ introduced in Section 8. Given $L \subseteq V$ with $1 < |L| < |V|$, let $B_2(L) = (V, B_2(L))$ be defined by

$$B_2(L) = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{X \in P_3(V) : |X \cap L| = 2\}.$$

If we omit both 156, 256 from H , we get the two cases

$$(1) H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12),$$

$$(1') H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(23),$$

which are clearly isomorphic.

Now adding 156 (respectively 256) is the only consequence of adding 15 (respectively 25) as a line, and these additions do not interfere with each other. We are then bound to consider the cases:

$$(2) H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(15);$$

$$(2') H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(25);$$

$$(3) H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(15) \cup B_2(25);$$

$$(4) H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(23) \cup B_2(15);$$

$$(2'') H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(23) \cup B_2(25);$$

$$(5) H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(23) \cup B_2(15) \cup B_2(25).$$

The cases (2), (2') and (2'') are clearly isomorphic. Applying the transposition (31) to 12345 in the cases (4) and (5), we have reduced our discussion to the cases

- (1) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12),;$
- (2) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(15);$
- (3) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(15) \cup B_2(25);$
- (4) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(35);$
- (5) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(25) \cup B_2(35).$

We list below the triangles missing in each of the cases:

- (1) 134, 234, 156, 256, 356, 456;
- (2) 134, 234, 256, 356, 456;
- (3) 134, 234, 356, 456;
- (4) 134, 234, 156, 256, 456;
- (5) 134, 234, 156, 456.

Out of cardinality arguments, we only have to distinguish (2) from (4) and (3) from (5). Now 1 appears only once in (2), and all points appear more often in (4); 1 and 2 appear only once in (3), but only 2 has a single occurrence in (5). Therefore these complexes (1) – (5) are nonisomorphic.

By construction, any one of these 5 complexes is in $TBPav(2)$. We confirm now that neither of them is a BRSC. For the first three cases, we take $345 \in H$.

- (1) $134 \notin H$, hence $1 \in \widehat{34}$; $234 \notin H$, hence $2 \in \widehat{34}$; $\widehat{34}$ contains the facet 123, hence $\widehat{34} = V$.
 $356 \notin H$, hence $6 \in \widehat{35}$; $456 \notin H$, hence $4 \in \widehat{35}$. Similarly, $\widehat{45} = V$.
- (2) Same argument as in (1).
- (3) Same argument as in (1).

For the remaining two cases, we take $145 \in H$.

- (4) $134 \notin H$, hence $3 \in \widehat{14}$; $234 \notin H$, hence $2 \in \widehat{14}$; $\widehat{14}$ contains the facet 123, hence $\widehat{14} = V$.
 $156 \notin H$, hence $6 \in \widehat{15}$; $456 \notin H$, hence $4 \in \widehat{15}$. Similarly, $\widehat{45} = V$.
- (5) Same argument as in (4).

We have therefore proved:

Proposition 6.12 *Up to isomorphism, the complexes with 6 points in $TBPav(2) \setminus BPav(2)$ are of the form $(123456, H)$ for:*

- (1) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12);$
- (2) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(15);$


(3) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(15) \cup B_2(25)$;

(4) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(35)$;

(5) $H = B_2(1234) \cup B_2(12) \cup B_2(25) \cup B_2(35)$.

Moreover, all the above 5 cases are nonisomorphic.

Remark 6.13 We can build the following diagram



The missing triangles in the three lowest elements are respectively

124, 134, 234, 156, 256, 356, 456

123, 134, 234, 156, 256, 356, 456

123, 124, 134, 234, 156, 256, 356, 456

hence all the edges correspond to covering relations (recall the previous enumeration of the missing triangles for (1)–(5)).

We note that:

- $(V, B_2(1234)) \in \text{BPav}(2)$ by Proposition 6.15.
- $(V, B_2(1234) \cup \{123\}) \notin \text{TBPav}2$. Indeed, suppose that there exist $T \in T(B_2(1234) \cup \{123\})$ such that $|T \cap 123| = 2$. Since $124, 134, 234 \notin H$, we successively get $4 \in T$ and $1234 \subseteq T$, a contradiction. In view of Theorem 6.2, this implies $(V, B_2(1234) \cup \{123\}) \notin \text{TBPav}2$.
- $(V, B_2(1234) \cup \{124\}) \notin \text{TBPav}2$. Similar to the preceding case.

- No simplicial complex isomorphic to (4) embeds in (3). To prove this, recall the missing triangles in (3) and (4). We can check that $3x$ is contained in a missing triangle of (3) for every $x \neq 3$. On the other hand, $4y$ is contained in a missing triangle of (3) for every $x \neq 4$. Suppose that $\varphi \in S_6$ is such that the isomorphic image of (3) through φ (call it (3'')) has (4) as subcomplex. Then the missing triangles of (3'') are a proper subset of the missing triangles of (4). Hence $(3\varphi)x$ is contained in a missing triangle of (4) for every $x \neq 3\varphi$, and $(4\varphi)x$ is contained in a missing triangle of (4) for every $x \neq 4\varphi$. However, only 4 satisfies this property, yielding $3\varphi = 4 = 4\varphi$, a contradiction.

6.4 On $\text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$

Fix $d \geq 2$. Given $L \in P_{\geq d}(V) \setminus \{V\}$, the complex $\mathcal{B}_d(V, L) = (V, B_d(V, L))$ can be described by

$$B_d(V, L) = P_{\leq d}(V) \cup \{X \in P_{d+1}(V) \mid |X \cap L| = d\}.$$

Lemma 6.14 *Let $d \geq 2$ and $L \in P_{\geq d}(V) \setminus \{V\}$. Then*

$$\text{Fl}(\mathcal{B}_d(V, L)) = \begin{cases} P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{L, V\} & \text{if } |L| < |V| - 1 \\ P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{L, V\} \cup (P_d(V) \setminus P_d(L)) & \text{if } |L| = |V| - 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Write $H = B_d(V, L)$. Assume first that $|L| < |V| - 1$. Let $F \in \text{Fl}(\mathcal{B}_d(V, L))$ and assume that $F \notin P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V\}$. Then $d \leq |F| < |V|$. Suppose that $F \not\subseteq L$. Then there exists some $a \in F \setminus L$. Fix $b \in V \setminus (L \cup \{a\})$.

Suppose that $b \in F$. Since $d \leq |F| < |V|$, we can choose some $X \cup P_{d-2}(F \setminus \{a, b\})$ and $c \in V \setminus F$. Since $X \cup \{a, b\} \in P_d(F) \subseteq H$ and $c \notin F$, we get $X \cup \{a, b, c\} \in H$, a contradiction since $a, b \notin L$.

Hence we may assume that $b \notin F$. Choose $X \cup P_{d-1}(F \setminus \{a, b\})$. Since $X \cup \{a\} \in P_d(F) \subseteq H$ and $b \notin F$, we get $X \cup \{a, b\} \in H$, a contradiction since $a, b \notin L$.

Thus we may assume that $F \subseteq L$. Suppose that $F \subset L$. Take $X \in P_d(F)$ and $p \in L \setminus F$. Since $X \in P_d(F) \subseteq H$ and $p \notin F$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H$, a contradiction since $X \cup \{p\} \in P_{d+1}(L)$.

Therefore $\text{Fl}(\mathcal{B}_d(V, L)) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{L, V\}$. The opposite inclusion is straightforward and we omit it.

Assume now that $|L| = |V| - 1$, and denote by a the single element of $V \setminus L$. Let $F \in \text{Fl}(\mathcal{B}_d(V, L))$ and assume that $F \notin P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V\}$. Then $d \leq |F| < |V|$.

Suppose that $F \subset L$. Take $X \in P_d(F) \subset H$ and $b \in L \setminus F$. Then $X \cup \{b\} \in H \cap P_{d+1}(L)$, a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $a \in F$.

Suppose that $|F| > d$. Since $a \in F$, it follows that F contains a facet of $\mathcal{B}_d(V, L)$ and therefore $F = V$, a contradiction. It follows that $|F| = d$ and so $F \in P_d(V) \setminus P_d(L)$.

Therefore $\text{Fl}(\mathcal{B}_d(V, L)) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{L, V\} \cup (P_d(V) \setminus P_d(L))$. The opposite inclusion is straightforward and we omit it. \square

Proposition 6.15 *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subseteq P_{\geq d}(V) \setminus \{V\}$ such that*

$$|L \cap L'| \leq d - 1 \text{ for all distinct } L, L' \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (14)$$

Then $(V, \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L))$ is boolean representable.

Proof. Write $H = \cup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L)$ and $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$. Since $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq H$, we have $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Let $K \in \mathcal{L}$ and suppose that $X \in H \cap 2^K$ and $p \in V \setminus K$. Since $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq H \subseteq P_{\leq d+1}(V)$, we may assume that $|X| = d$ or $d+1$.

Suppose that $|X| = d+1$. Since $X \in H = \cup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L)$, we have $X \in B_d(V, L)$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus $|X \cap L| = d$ and so $|K \cap L| \geq d$. In view of (14), we get $K = L$, hence $X \subseteq L$, a contradiction since $|X| = d+1$ and $|X \cap L| = d$. Therefore $|X| = d$, hence $X \cup \{p\} \in B_d(V, K) \subseteq H$ and so $K \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Let $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in V$ be distinct. Then

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset V \quad (15)$$

is a chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. If $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in L \in \mathcal{L}$, then (14) can be refined to

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset L \subset V \quad (16)$$

It is easy to check that every $X \in H$ is a partial transversal of the successive differences for some chain of type (15) or (16), hence \mathcal{H} is boolean representable. \square

Proposition 6.16 *Let $d \geq 2$, $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{BPav}(d)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \{L \in \text{Fl}(V, H_i) \mid d \leq |L| < |V|\}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = (V, \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L))$.*

Proof. Since $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V\} \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and the maximum length of a chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is $d+1$, it follows easily that the maximal chains in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ must be of the form (15) or (16), with $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus $H = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L)$. \square

Proposition 6.17 *The following conditions are equivalent for a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ of dimension $d \geq 2$:*

(i) $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d)$;

(ii) $H = B_d(L_1) \cup \dots \cup B_d(L_m)$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $L_1, \dots, L_m \in P_{\geq d}(V) \setminus \{V\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Write $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_{d+1}$ for some BRSC $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$. Since $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq H \subseteq J$, we have $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{J}$. Let L_1, \dots, L_m be an enumeration of the elements of

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Fl}\mathcal{J} \setminus (P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V\}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$, otherwise the maximal length of a chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}$ is d and \mathcal{J} would have dimension d , contradicting $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_{d+1}$. We claim that

$$H = B_d(L_1) \cup \dots \cup B_d(L_m). \quad (17)$$

Let $X \in H$. Since $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq B_d(L_i)$ by definition, we may assume that $|X| = d + 1$. Since $H \subseteq J$, there exists a chain

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{d+1} \quad (18)$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}$ and an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} of the elements of X such that $x_i \in F_i \setminus F_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d + 1$. But then we may replace (18) by the chain

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset F_d \subset V.$$

Since $x_1 \dots x_d \subseteq F_d \subset V$, we have $F_d = L_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus $X \in B_d(L_i)$ and $H \subseteq B_d(L_1) \cup \dots \cup B_d(L_m)$.

Conversely, assume that $X \in B_d(L_i)$. Then X is a partial transversal of the successive differences for some chain of type (15) or (16), which is in any case a chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}$. Thus $X \in J \cap P_{\leq d+1}(V) = H$ and (17) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let R denote the Moore family generated by

$$P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$$

and write $J = J(R)$. Then $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ is a BRSC. We prove that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_{d+1}$.

Let $X \in H$. If $|X| \leq d$, say $X = x_1 \dots x_k$, then X is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain in $R \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{J}$, namely

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{i-1} \subset V.$$

Hence $X \in J$.

Thus we may assume that $|X| = d + 1$. Since $X \in B_d(L_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we may assume that $X = x_1 \dots x_d y$ with $x_1, \dots, x_d \in L_i$ and $y \in V \setminus L_i$. Thus X is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain in $R \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{J}$, namely

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset L_i \subset V.$$

Hence $X \in J$ and so $H \subseteq J \cap P_{\leq d+1}(V)$.

Conversely, let $X \in J \cap P_{\leq d+1}(V)$. Since $H \supseteq B_d(L_1) \supset P_d(V)$, we may assume that $|X| = d + 1$. Then X is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain

$$R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \dots \subset R_{d+1} \quad (19)$$

in R , so there exists an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} of the elements of X such that $x_i \in R_i \setminus R_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d + 1$. But then we can replace (19) by

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset R_d \subset V,$$

which is also a chain in R . Since $R_d \in R$, then R_d is necessarily an intersection of some elements of R . Since $d \leq |R_d| < |V|$, it follows that $R_d = L_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap L_{j_k}$ for some $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $x_{d+1} \notin R_d$, then $x_{d+1} \notin L_{j_s}$ for some $s \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Thus X is also a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset L_{j_s} \subset V,$$

yielding $X \in B_d(L_{j_s}) \subseteq H$. Therefore $J \cap P_{\leq d+1}(V) = H$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_{d+1}$, implying $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d)$. \square

Lemma 6.18 *Let $d \geq 2$ and let V be a finite set with $|V| \geq d + 1$. For every $a \in V$, we have*

$$B_d(V, V \setminus \{a\}) = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_a} B_d(V, L), \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_a = \{L \in P_d(V) \mid a \in L\}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that both sides of (20) contain the same $X \in P_{d+1}(V)$. So let $X \in P_{d+1}(V)$.

Suppose that $X \in B_d(V, V \setminus \{a\})$. Then $a \in X$. Take $b \in X \setminus \{a\}$. Then $X \setminus \{b\} \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and so

$$X \in B_d(V, X \setminus \{b\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_a} B_d(V, L).$$

Conversely, suppose that $X \in B_d(V, L)$ with $L \in \mathcal{L}_a$. Since $|X| = d + 1$ and $|L| = d$, we must have $X = L \cup \{c\}$ for some $c \in V \setminus L$. Hence $a \in L \subset X$ yields $|X \cap (V \setminus \{a\})| = d$ and $X \in B_d(V, V \setminus \{a\})$. Therefore (20) holds as required. \square

Proposition 6.19 *Let $d \geq 2$ and let V be a finite set with $|V| \geq d + 1$. Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subseteq 2^V$ be such that $|L| \in \{d, d + 1, |V| - 1\}$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $(V, \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L))$ is boolean representable.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.18, we may assume that $|L| \in \{d, d + 1\}$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Write $H = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(V, L)$ and $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$. Since $P_{\leq d}(V) \subseteq H$, we have $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, thus we only need to show that every $X \in H \cap P_{d+1}(V)$ is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Let $X \in H \cap P_{d+1}(V)$. Then $X \in B_d(V, K)$ for some $K \in \mathcal{L}$, hence the elements of X admit an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} such that $X \cap K = x_1 \dots x_d$. If $|K| = d$, then it is easy to see that $K \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, and X is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset x_1 x_2 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset K \subset V \quad (21)$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Hence we may assume that $|K| = d + 1$. Suppose that $K \notin H$. We claim that $K \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Let $Y \in H \cap 2^K$ and $p \in V \setminus K$. Since $K \notin H$, we may assume that $|Y| \leq d$. But then $Y \cup \{p\} \in B_d(V, K) \subseteq H$. Therefore $K \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and so X is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain (21).

Thus we may assume that $K \in H$. We claim that $X \cap K \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Note that $X \cap K \in P_d(V) \subset H$. Let $p \in V \setminus (X \cap K)$. If $p \notin K$, then $(X \cap K) \cup \{p\} \in B_d(K) \subseteq H$. If $p \in K$, then $(X \cap K) \cup \{p\} = K \in H$, so $X \cap K \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. It follows that X is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset x_1 \subset x_1 x_2 \subset \dots \subset x_1 \dots x_{d-1} \subset X \cap K \subset V$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Therefore \mathcal{H} is a BRSC. \square

It follows from Proposition 6.15 that $(V, B_d(L))$ is a BRSC for every $L \in P_{\geq d}(V) \setminus \{V\}$. In view of Proposition 6.12, it follows that there exist $(V, H_1), (V, H_2) \in \text{BPav}(d)$ such that $(V, H_1 \cup H_2)$ is not a BRSC.

Thus we define, for every $d \geq 2$ and every finite set V with at least $d + 2$ elements,

$$\mathcal{Y}(V) = \{(V, H) \in \text{BPav}(d) \mid (V, H) \text{ has no restriction isomorphic to } U_{d,d+2}\}.$$

Proposition 6.20 *Let $d \geq 2$ and let V be a finite set with at least $d + 2$ elements. Then*

$$(V, H_1), (V, H_2) \in \mathcal{Y}(V) \text{ implies } (V, H_1 \cup H_2) \in \mathcal{Y}(V).$$

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $F \in \text{Fl}(V, H_i)$. Suppose that $d + 1 < |F| < |V|$. Since $P_d(V) \subseteq H_i$ and no restriction of (V, H_i) to a 4-subset of F is isomorphic to $U_{d,d+2}$, there exists some $X \in P_{d+1}(F) \cap H_i$. But then F contains a facet of (V, H_i) and so $F = V$, a contradiction. Therefore $\text{Fl}(V, H_i) \subseteq P_{\leq d+1}(V) \cup \{V\}$.

Now let

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \{F \in \text{Fl}(V, H_i) \mid d \leq |F| < |V|\}.$$

By the preceding claim, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \{F \in \text{Fl}(V, H_i) \mid |F| \in \{d, d + 1\}\}. \quad (22)$$

Since $(V, H_i) \in \text{BPav}(d)$, we have $H_i = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_i} B_d(V, L)$ by Proposition 6.16. Thus $H_1 \cup H_2 =$

$\bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2} B_d(V, L)$ and so $(V, H_1 \cup H_2) \in \text{BPav}(d)$ by (22) and Proposition 6.19.

Suppose that there exists some $W \in P_{d+2}(V)$ such that $P_{d+1}(W) \cap (H_1 \cup H_2) = \emptyset$. Then $P_{d+1}(W) \cap H_1 = \emptyset$, contradicting $(V, H_1) \in \mathcal{Y}(V)$. Therefore $(V, H_1 \cup H_2) \in \mathcal{Y}(V)$. \square

Note that an arbitrary $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$ needs not having a restriction isomorphic to $U_{d,d+2}$. The BRSCs featuring Proposition 6.12 constitute all counterexamples for $d = 2$.

We intend now to show that $\text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$ is in some sense finitely generated. We start with a couple of lemmas.

Let $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$ (respectively $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}$) denote the class of all finite truncated boolean representable simplicial complexes (respectively finite paving truncated boolean representable simplicial complexes).

Lemma 6.21 *The classes $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}$ are prevarieties of simplicial complexes.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$ and let $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq V$. Since $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$, there exist a BRSC $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ and $m \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_m$. We claim that

$$\mathcal{H}_W = (\mathcal{J}_W)_m. \quad (23)$$

This is equivalent to the equality

$$H \cap 2^W = (J \cap 2^W) \cap P_{\leq m}(W). \quad (24)$$

Now $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_m$ yields $H = J \cap P_{\leq m}(V)$ and so

$$H \cap 2^W = (J \cap P_{\leq m}(V)) \cap 2^W = (J \cap 2^W) \cap P_{\leq m}(W).$$

Hence (24) and consequently (23) do hold.

Since BRSCs are closed under restriction by [10, Proposition 8.3.1(i)], then \mathcal{J}_W is a BRSC and it follows from (23) that $\mathcal{H}_W \in \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$. Thus $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$ is closed under restriction. Since it is also closed under isomorphism, then $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{R}$ is a prevariety of simplicial complexes.

On the other hand, the class of all finite paving simplicial complexes is a prevariety in view of [10, Proposition 8.3.1(ii)]. Since the intersection of two prevarieties is obviously a prevariety, it follows that $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}$ is a prevariety itself. \square

Let $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$. By Lemma 6.21, every restriction of \mathcal{H} is in $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}$ (with possibly lower dimension). We say that \mathcal{H} is *minimal* if every proper restriction of \mathcal{H} is boolean representable.

Lemma 6.22 *Let $d \geq 2$. Then the maximum number of vertices for a minimal $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$ is $(d+1)(d+2)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$ be minimal. Hence $\mathcal{H} \notin \text{BPav}(d)$ but every proper restriction of \mathcal{H} is boolean representable. By [10, Theorem 8.5.2(ii)], we get $|V| \leq (d+1)(d+2)$.

Now we consider the *Swirl*, the simplicial complex defined in the proof of [10, Theorem 8.5.2(ii)], where it is proved that every proper restriction of this complex is boolean representable, but the Swirl is not. The Swirl is defined as follows:

Let $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_d\}$ and $B_i = \{b_{i0}, \dots, b_{id}\}$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Write also $A_i = A \setminus \{a_i\}$ and

$$C_i = P_{d+1}(A_i \cup (B_i \setminus \{b_{i0}\})) \cup \{B_i\}.$$

We define

$$V = A \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^d B_i, \quad H = P_{\leq d+1}(V) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^d C_i.$$

It is easy to check that all the $X \in H \cap P_{d+1}(V)$ fall into four cases (not necessarily disjoint):

- (a) there exist $b_{ij}, b_{kl} \in X$ with $i \neq k$;
- (b) there exist $b_{i0}, a_j \in X$;
- (c) there exist $b_{ij}, a_i \in X$ with $j > 0$;
- (d) $X = a_0 \dots a_d$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \{L \in P_d(V) \mid \text{there exist some } b_{ij}, b_{kl} \in L \text{ with } i \neq k\} \\ &\cup \{L \in P_d(V) \mid \text{there exist some } b_{i0}, a_j \in L\} \\ &\cup \{L \in P_d(V) \mid \text{there exist some } b_{ij}, a_i \in L \text{ with } j > 0\} \\ &\cup \{A_i \cup B_i \mid i = 0, \dots, d\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that $H = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} B_d(L)$, hence $(H, V) \in \text{TBPav}(d)$ by Proposition 6.17.

Since $|V| = (d+1)(d+2)$, we have found some minimal $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPav}(d) \setminus \text{BPav}(d)$ with $(d+1)(d+2)$ vertices as required. \square

Let \mathcal{V} be a prevariety of simplicial complexes. We say that \mathcal{V} is *finitely based* if there exists some $m \geq 1$ such that every simplicial complex not in \mathcal{V} admits a restriction not in \mathcal{V} with at most m vertices.

Given a prevariety \mathcal{V} of simplicial complexes and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the prevariety

$$\mathcal{V}_d = \{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{V} \mid \dim \mathcal{H} \leq d\}.$$

Let \mathcal{BP} denote the class of all finite paving boolean representable simplicial complexes. By [10, Theorem 8.5.2], \mathcal{BP}_d is finitely based for every $d \geq 1$. Since $\mathcal{TBP}_1 = \mathcal{BP}_1$ by Proposition 6.3, it follows that \mathcal{TBP}_1 is finitely based.

Theorem 6.23 *\mathcal{TBP}_2 is not finitely based.*

Proof. It suffices to build arbitrary large simplicial complexes not in \mathcal{TBP}_2 with all proper restrictions in \mathcal{TBP}_2 .

Let $n \geq 6$ and take as vertex set

$$V = \{x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_6, z_0, \dots, z_6\},$$

where we identify

$$x_0 = y_0 = z_6, \quad x_1 = z_0 = y_6, \quad y_1 = z_1 = x_n\}.$$

Let

$$S = \{x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \mid i = 0, \dots, n-2\} \cup \{y_i y_{i+1} y_{i+2} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{z_i z_{i+1} z_{i+2} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4\},$$

$$H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus S \text{ and } \mathcal{H} = (V, H).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(2)$. Hence $P_{\leq 1}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{TBP}_2 \text{ if and only if, for every } X \in H \cap P_3(V), \\ \text{there exists some } T \in T(H) \text{ such that } |X \cap T| = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

First, we note that

$$\text{for every } X \in (H \cap P_3(V)) \setminus \{x_0 x_1 y_1\}, \text{ there exists some } F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \text{ such that } |X \cap F| = 2. \quad (26)$$

Indeed, such an X contains necessarily some element of $V \setminus \{x_0 x_1 y_1\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that this element is among x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} (the other cases follow by symmetry).

Suppose that $X \subset x_0 \dots x_n$, say $X = x_i x_j x_k$ with $i < j < k$. Since $X \in H$, then i, j, k are not consecutive integers. If $k < n$, then $k > 1$ and $k - i > 2$, hence $x_i x_k \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and we are done. Thus we may assume that $k = n$. If $i > 1$, then $k - i > 2$, hence $x_i x_k \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and we are done. Thus we may assume that $i \leq 1$. Since $k = n$, this implies $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Since $n \geq 6$, we get either $k - j > 2$ (yielding $x_j x_k \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$) or $j - i > 2$ (yielding $x_i x_j \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$).

Hence we may assume that at least one of the other elements of X (say a) is not of the form x_j . Let $i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ be such that $x_i \in X$. It is easy to check that $x_i a \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Therefore (26) holds.

Next we show that

$$\text{for every } T \in T(H), |T \cap \{x_0x_1y_1\}| \neq 2. \quad (27)$$

Let $T \in T(H)$ and assume that $|T \cap \{x_0x_1y_1\}| \geq 2$. Assume first that $x_0, x_1 \in T$. Since $x_ix_{i+1}x_{i+2} \notin H$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-2$, we get successively $x_2 \in T, \dots, x_n \in T$. Since $x_n = y_1$, we get $x_0x_1y_1 \subseteq T$.

Suppose now that $x_0, y_1 \in T$. Since $x_0 = y_0$, we use the same argument to deduce that $y_2 \in T, \dots, y_6 \in T$. Since $y_6 = x_1$, we get $x_0x_1y_1 \subseteq T$.

Finally, suppose that $x_1, y_1 \in T$. Since $x_1 = z_0$ and $y_1 = z_1$, we use the same argument to deduce that $z_2 \in T, \dots, z_6 \in T$. Since $z_6 = x_0$, we get $x_0x_1y_1 \subseteq T$ and (27) is proved.

In view of (25), it follows from (27) that $\mathcal{H} \notin \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}_2$.

Fix now $v \in V$ and write $W = V \setminus \{v\}$. We must show that $\mathcal{H}|_W \in \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}_2$ (since $\mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}_2$ is closed under restrictions, this implies that $\mathcal{H}|_{W'} \in \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}_2$ for any $W' \subset V$).

Since $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(2)$, we only need to show that the righthand side of (25) holds when we replace \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{H}|_W$. Let $X \in H \cap P_3(W)$. Suppose first that $X \neq x_0x_1y_1$. By (26), there exists some $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ such that $|X \cap F| = 2$. By [10, Proposition 8.3.3(i)], $F \cap W \in \text{Fl}(\mathcal{H}|_W)$. Since $|X \cap (F \cap W)| = 2$, the desired condition is satisfied if $X \neq x_0x_1y_1$.

Thus we may assume that $X = x_0x_1y_1$. It follows that either $v = x_i$ with $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ or $v = y_j$ or $v = z_j$ with $2 \leq j \leq 5$.

Suppose that $v = x_i$. Let $T = x_0 \dots x_{i-1}$. It is immediate that $T \in T(H \cap 2^W)$ and $|T \cap x_0x_1y_1| = 2$. If $v = y_j$ (respectively $v = z_j$), we take $T = y_0 \dots y_{j-1}$ (respectively $T = z_0 \dots z_{j-1}$). Therefore, in view of (25), we get $\mathcal{H}|_W \in \mathcal{TB}\mathcal{P}_2$ as required. \square

7 The Pure Conjecture

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex of dimension d . We define $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}) = (V', H')$ by

$$V' = \cup(H \cap P_{d+1}(V)), \quad H' = \cup_{X \in H \cap P_{d+1}(V)} 2^X.$$

It is immediate that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is the largest pure subcomplex of \mathcal{H} .

This section is devoted to the following conjecture, which we call the *Pure Conjecture*:

Conjecture 7.1 *Let \mathcal{H} be a BRSC and let $k \geq 1$. Then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is a BRSC.*

We can disprove the conjecture for $\dim \mathcal{H} = 3$ and $k = 3$.

Example 7.2 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ with $V = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \{i, i', i''\}$,*

$$Z = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \{i(i+1)(i+1)', i''(i+1)(i+1)'\}$$

and


$$\begin{aligned} H &= (P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus Z) \cup \{ii''(i+1)p \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_3, p \in V \setminus ii''(i+1)(i+1)'\} \\ &\cup \{i i''(i+1)'p \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_3, p \in V \setminus i i''(i+1)(i+1)'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{H} is a BRSC but $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3)$ is not.

It is easy to check that \mathcal{H} is indeed a simplicial complex. Clearly, $P_{\leq 1}(V) \subset \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. If $X \in P_2(V)$ is not contained in any element of Z , then $\overline{X} = X$. Hence, if $abc \in H$ and ab is not contained in any element of Z , then abc is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset a \subset ab \subset V$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that the unique $X \in P_3(V) \cap H$ having all 2-subsets contained in elements of Z is 123 (see the picture below, where the yellow triangles are the elements of Z):



Now we check that $ii''(i+1)(i+1)' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. It follows that 123 is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset 1 \subset 11''22' \subset V$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Finally, each facet of the form $ii''(i+1)p$ or $ii''(i+1)'p$ is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset i \subset ii'' \subset ii''(i+1)(i+1)' \subset V$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Since we have now checked all facets, it follows that \mathcal{H} is a BRSC.

Let $\text{Cl}(X)$ denote the closure of $X \subseteq V$ in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}_3$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, we have $i(i+1)(i+1)', i''(i+1)(i+1)' \notin H_3$, so we successively get $(i+1)' \in \text{Cl}(i(i+1))$ and $i'' \in \text{Cl}(i(i+1))$. Thus $\text{Cl}(i(i+1))$ contains $ii''(i+1) \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}_3$, yielding $\text{Cl}(i(i+1)) = V$. But then $i \in \text{Cl}(123 \setminus \{i\})$ for every $i \in 123$. Since $123 \in H_3$, it follows from [10, Corollary 5.2.7] that \mathcal{H}_3 is not boolean representable.

We remark that \mathcal{H} is not pure since it is straightforward to check that $1'2'2''$ is a facet. But \mathcal{H}_3 is pure because there are nine vertices and $|Z| = 6$.

Another counterexample is given by the boolean module $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$: a simplicial complex admitting a $4 \times (2^4 - 1)$ boolean matrix representation where all columns are distinct and nonzero.

Example 7.3 *The boolean module $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ is pure and its truncation to rank 3 is a pure TBRSC which is not a BRSC.*

Let M be such a boolean matrix. Since the columns are all distinct and nonzero, every pair of distinct columns is independent. Now let X be a set of independent columns with $|X| = 2$ or 3 . Let $I \subset 1234$ be such that the square matrix $M[I, X]$ is nonsingular. Let $j \in 1234 \setminus I$ and let c be the column of M having a 1 at row j and 0 elsewhere. Then the permanent of $M[I \cup \{j\}, X \cup \{c\}]$ equals the permanent of $M[I, X]$, hence $M[I \cup \{j\}, X \cup \{c\}]$ is nonsingular. and so $X \cup \{c\}$ is independent. Thus $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ is pure.

Since $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ is by definition a BRSC, then $\mathcal{B}_3^{(4)}$ is a TBRSC. Let \overline{X} denote the closure of X in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{B}_3^{(4)}$. Consider the columns of M defined by

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The permanent of the matrix

$$M[134, abc] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is 1, hence abc is independent. Define

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$M[1234, abd] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M[1234, bde] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M[1234, abe] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since no row of $M[1234, abd]$ has precisely two zeroes, abd is dependent. The same occurs with bde . It is immediate that $M[123, abe]$ has permanent 1, hence abe is independent. Thus we successively deduce $d \in \overline{ab}$, $e \in \overline{ab}$ and so \overline{ab} contains the facet abe . Therefore \overline{ab} is the full set of vertices. Similarly, so is \overline{ac} .

Now

$$M[1234, bcf] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M[1234, bcg] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M[1234, bfg] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since no row of $M[1234, bcf]$ has precisely two zeroes, bcf is dependent. The same occurs with bcg . It is immediate that $M[123, bfg]$ has permanent 1, hence bfg is independent. Thus we successively deduce $f \in \overline{bc}$, $g \in \overline{bc}$ and so \overline{bc} contains the facet bfg . Therefore \overline{bc} is the full set of vertices. This proves that $\mathcal{B}_3^{(4)}$ is not a BRSC.

However, the Pure Conjecture holds for particular cases as we shall see.

Lemma 7.4 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex and let $I, J \in H$ be such that $I \subseteq \overline{J}$. Then there exists some $I' \in H$ such that $I \subseteq I'$ and $\overline{I'} = \overline{J}$.*

Proof. Let $I' \in H$ be maximal with respect to $I \subseteq I' \subseteq \overline{J}$. If $\overline{I'} \subset \overline{J}$, we can take $p \in \overline{J} \setminus \overline{I'}$ and get $I' \cup \{p\} \in H \cap 2^{\overline{J}}$, contradicting the maximality of I' . Thus $\overline{I'} = \overline{J}$ and we are done. \square

It is well known that a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is a matroid if and only if

$$\overline{a_1} \subset \overline{a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{a_1 \dots a_k} \quad (28)$$

holds for every $a_1 \dots a_k \in H$ (where the enumeration is arbitrary).

We present next a characterization of matroids:

Proposition 7.5 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{H} is a matroid;
- (ii) for all $X, Y \in H$, $\overline{X} = \overline{Y}$ implies $|X| = |Y|$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $X, Y \in H$ are such that $\overline{X} = \overline{Y}$ and $|X| < |Y|$. By the exchange property, we have $X \cup \{y\} \in H$ for some $y \in Y \setminus X$. Hence $\overline{X \cup \{y\}} = \overline{Y} = \overline{X}$, contradicting (28).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $I, J \in H$ be such that $|I| = |J| + 1$. Suppose that $J \cup \{i\} \notin H$ for every $i \in I \setminus J$. Then $I \subseteq \overline{J}$ and so by Lemma 7.4 this contradicts condition (ii). Thus \mathcal{H} satisfies the exchange property and is therefore a matroid. \square

A simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is said to be a *near-matroid* if

$$\overline{X} = \overline{Y} \subset V \text{ implies } |X| = |Y|$$

for all $X, Y \in H$. In this case we can define a function $\rho : \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \setminus \{V\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$F\rho = |X|, \text{ where } X \in H \text{ is such that } \overline{X} = F.$$

Note that such an X exists by [10, Proposition 4.2.4].

It follows from Proposition 7.5 that every matroid is a near-matroid. The following result shows that the converse fails.

Proposition 7.6 *Let $d \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(d)$. Then \mathcal{H} is a near-matroid.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and suppose that $X, Y \in H$ are such that $\overline{X} = \overline{Y} \subset V$. By [10, Proposition 4.2.3], X and Y are not facets. Suppose that $|X| < d$. Since $P_d(V) \subseteq H$, it follows that $\overline{X} = X$, so in this case we get indeed $Y = X$. Thus we may assume by symmetry that $|X|, |Y| \geq d$. Since X and Y are not facets, then $|X| = d = |Y|$ and so \mathcal{H} is a near-matroid. \square

The following example shows that not every near-matroid is boolean representable, even in the paving case.

Example 7.7 Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be the simplicial complex defined by $V = 1234$ and $H = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{123\}$. Then \mathcal{H} is a near-matroid which is not boolean representable.

Indeed, it is easy to check that $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{V\}$ and \mathcal{H} is a near-matroid. On the other hand, \mathcal{H} is not boolean representable by [10, Example 5.2.11].

Lemma 7.8 Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a near-matroid and let $F, F' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ be such that $F \subset F' \subset V$. Then $F\rho < F'\rho$.

Proof. Suppose that $F\rho \geq F'\rho$. Then there exist $I, J \in H$ such that $F = \bar{I}$, $F' = \bar{J}$ and $|I| \geq |J|$. Hence $I \subseteq \bar{J}$ and so by Lemma 7.4 there exists some $I' \in H$ such that $I \subseteq I'$ and $\bar{I}' = \bar{J}$. But we have then $|I'| > |I| \geq |J|$, a contradiction since \mathcal{H} is a near-matroid. Therefore $F\rho < F'\rho$. \square

Lemma 7.9 Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a near-matroid and let $F, F' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ be such that $F \subset F' \subset V$. Let $a_1 \in F' \setminus F$ and $k = F'\rho - F\rho$. Then there exist $a_2, \dots, a_k \in V$ such that

$$F \subset \overline{F \cup a_1} \subset \overline{F \cup a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{F \cup a_1 \dots a_k} = F'.$$

Proof. Write $F = \bar{I}$ with $I \in H$. Since $a_1 \in F' \setminus F$, we have $I \cup a_1 \in H$. Thus

$$F \subset \overline{I \cup a_1} = \overline{F \cup a_1} \subseteq F'.$$

Moreover,

$$\overline{F \cup a_1}\rho = |I \cup a_1| = |I| + 1 = F\rho + 1.$$

If $\overline{F \cup a_1} = F'$, we can now iterate this argument to produce a chain

$$F \subset \overline{F \cup a_1} \subset \overline{F \cup a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{F \cup a_1 \dots a_s} = F'$$

for some $a_2, \dots, a_s \in V$ such that $\overline{F \cup a_1 \dots a_j}\rho = \overline{F \cup a_1 \dots a_{j-1}}\rho + 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Thus $s = F'\rho - F\rho = k$ and we are done. \square

We can now prove the Pure Conjecture for boolean representable near-matroids.

Theorem 7.10 Let \mathcal{H} be a boolean representable near-matroid and let $k \geq 0$. Then:

- (i) \mathcal{H}_k is a BRSC;
- (ii) $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is a BRSC.

Proof. (i) Write $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and define

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \{F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \mid F\rho < k\} \cup \{V\}.$$

We claim that the matrix $M(\mathcal{F}_k)$ is a boolean representation of \mathcal{H}_k , i.e. $H_k = J(\mathcal{F}_k)$.

Let $X \in H_k$ and let $s = |X|$. Then there exists an enumeration a_1, \dots, a_s of the elements of X such that

$$\overline{a_1} \subset \overline{a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{a_1 \dots a_s}.$$

Hence X is a transversal of the successive differences for

$$\emptyset \subset \overline{a_1} \subset \overline{a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{a_1 \dots a_{s-1}} \subset V,$$

which is a chain in \mathcal{F}_k . Thus $X \in J(\mathcal{F}_k)$.

Conversely, assume that $X \in J(\mathcal{F}_k)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and $M(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H})$ is a boolean representation of \mathcal{H} by [10, Theorem 5.2.5], it follows that $X \in H$.

Suppose that $|X| > k$. Since $X \in J(\mathcal{F}_k)$, there exist some $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $x \in X$ such that $F \cap X = X \setminus \{x\}$. But $F = \overline{Y}$ for some $Y \in H_{k-1}$. Hence $X \setminus \{x\} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ and by Lemma 7.4 there exists some $Z \in H$ such that $X \setminus \{x\} \subseteq Z$ and $\overline{Z} = \overline{Y} = F$. But then $|Z| \geq |X \setminus \{x\}| \geq k > |Y|$, a contradiction since \mathcal{H} is a near-matroid. Thus $X \in H_k$ and so $H_k = J(\mathcal{F}_k)$ as claimed.

(ii) Let \mathcal{F}'_k denote the set of all the flats of \mathcal{H} occurring in chains of the form

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_k$$

in \mathcal{F}_k . Note that

$$\mathcal{F}_k \text{ is closed under intersection.} \tag{29}$$

Indeed, by [10, Proposition 4.2.2(ii)], $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is closed under intersection, and the bound for ρ follows easily from Lemma 7.8.

Next we show that

$$\mathcal{F}'_k \text{ is closed under intersection.} \tag{30}$$

Let $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}'_k$. Then $F \cap F' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ by (29). Since $F \in \mathcal{F}'_k$, there exists some $F'' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ such that $F \subseteq F''$ and $F''\rho = k - 1$. Now we apply Lemma 7.9 to both inclusions $\emptyset \subseteq F \cap F' \subseteq F''$. This ensures that $F \cap F'$ will appear in some chain of flats of length k in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$\emptyset \subset \dots \subset F'' \subset V.$$

Since $F''\rho = k - 1$, it follows from Lemma 7.8 that this is in fact a chain in \mathcal{F}_k and therefore in \mathcal{F}'_k . Thus $F \cap F' \in \mathcal{F}'_k$ and so (30) holds.

We claim that the matrix $M(\mathcal{F}'_k)$ is a boolean representation of $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$.

Let $X \in H \cap P_k(V)$. Then there exists an enumeration a_1, \dots, a_k of the elements of X such that

$$\overline{a_1} \subset \overline{a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{a_1 \dots a_k}.$$

Hence X is a transversal of the successive differences for

$$\emptyset \subset \overline{a_1} \subset \overline{a_1 a_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{a_1 \dots a_{k-1}} \subset V,$$

which is a chain of length k in \mathcal{F}_k . Thus $X \in J(\mathcal{F}'_k)$.

Conversely, assume that $X \in J(\mathcal{F}'_k)$. We may assume that X is a facet of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}'_k)$. In view of (30), we may assume that X is a transversal of the partial differences for some chain in \mathcal{F}'_r , say

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_s. \quad (31)$$

Thus there exists some enumeration a_1, \dots, a_s of the elements of X such that $a_i \in F_i \setminus F_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since X is a facet, we must have $F_0 = \emptyset$ and $F_s = V$. Suppose that $F_{s-1}\rho = r < k - 1$. Since $F_{s-1} \in \mathcal{F}'_k$, then it must occur in some chain of length k in \mathcal{F}'_k , hence we have some chain

$$F_{s-1} = F'_0 \subset F'_1 \subset \dots \subset F'_t \subset F'_{t+1} = V$$

in \mathcal{F}'_k for some $t \geq 1$. Since $a_s \in F'_{t+1} \setminus F'_0$, we have $a_s \in F'_j \setminus F'_{j-1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, t+1\}$, hence there exists some $Y \in J(\mathcal{F}'_k) \in P_{s+t}(V)$ containing (strictly) X , contradicting $X \in \text{Fct}(\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}'_k))$. Thus $F_{s-1}\rho = k - 1$.

Now $a_i \in F_i \setminus F_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$ and so we can apply Lemma 7.9 $s - 1$ times to refine (31) to a chain of length k in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$F_0 \subset \overline{F_0 \cup a_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_1 \subset \overline{F_1 \cup a_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{s-1} \subset F_s,$$

which admits a transversal of the successive differences containing X . Since $X \in \text{Fct}(\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}'_k))$, it follows that $s = k$ and so in view of Lemma 7.8 we have $X \in H_k \cap P_k(H)$, hence X is a facet of $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$. Therefore $M(\mathcal{F}'_k)$ is a boolean representation of $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ as claimed. \square

It remains an open problem whether or not \mathcal{H} boolean representable implies that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is boolean representable. But we can settle the question for low dimensions.

Proposition 7.11 *Let \mathcal{H} be a BRSC of dimension ≤ 2 . Then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is a BRSC.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$. The claim holds trivially for dimension 0 since \mathcal{H} must be itself pure.

Assume next that $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$. By [10, Proposition 5.3.1], a simplicial complex $\mathcal{J} = (W, J)$ of dimension 1 is boolean representable if and only if the connected components of the graph $\Gamma \mathcal{J} = (W, P_2(W) \setminus J)$ are cliques. Now $\Gamma(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ differ at most on a few isolated points, corresponding to the facets of \mathcal{H} of dimension 0. Thus the claim holds for dimension 1.

Therefore we may assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$. We define a graph

$$\Gamma = (V, (P_2(V) \cap \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}) \cup (P_2(V) \setminus H)).$$

We show that

$$\text{the connected components of } \Gamma \text{ are cliques.} \quad (32)$$

Let $a, b, c \in V$ be distinct and assume that ab, ac are edges of Γ . We must show that bc is also an edge of Γ , i.e. there is no $d \in V \setminus bc$ such that $bcd \in H$. We split the discussion into three cases:

Case 1: $ab, ac \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$.

Suppose that $bcd \in H$. Hence $d \neq a$ since $ab \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$. In view of [10, Theorem 5.2.6], and by symmetry, we may assume that $d \notin \overline{bc}$ or $c \notin \overline{bd}$.

However, $ab, ac \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$ imply $abc, acd \notin H$, hence we successively get $a \in \overline{bc}$ and $d \in \overline{abc} = \overline{bc}$.

On the other hand, $abd, acd \notin H$ successively yield $a \in \overline{bd}$ and $c \in \overline{abd} = \overline{bd}$.

Therefore we reach a contradiction.

Case 2: $ab, ac \notin H$.

If $ab, ac \notin H$ then $\bar{b} = \bar{a} = \bar{c}$ and so $bc \notin H$ in view of [10, Theorem 5.2.6]. Therefore $bc \notin H$.

Case 3: $ab \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$ and $ac \notin H$.

Suppose that $bcd \in H$. Hence $d \neq a$ since $ac \notin H$. In view of [10, Theorem 5.2.6], we may assume that $d \notin \overline{bc}$ or $c \notin \overline{bd}$ or $b \notin \overline{cd}$.

However, $ac \notin H$ implies $a \in \overline{bc}$ and $abd \notin H$ implies $d \in \overline{abc} = \overline{bc}$.

On the other hand, $abd \notin H$ yields $a \in \overline{bd}$ and $ac \notin H$ yields $c \in \overline{abd} = \overline{bd}$.

Finally, $ac \notin H$ implies $a \in \overline{cd}$ and $abd \notin H$ implies $b \in \overline{acd} = \overline{cd}$.

Thus we reach a contradiction in all cases.

Therefore we cannot have $bcd \in H$ and so bc is an edge of Γ , completing the proof of (32).

Now write $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}) = (V', H')$. We show that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is boolean representable. Let abc be a facet of $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $abc \in H$ and \mathcal{H} is a BRSC, we may assume that the closure \overline{ab} of ab in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ does not contain c . Let $C_a \subseteq V$ denote the connected component of a in Γ . We claim that

$$\emptyset \subset C_a \cap V' \subset \overline{ab} \cap V' \subset V' \quad (33)$$

is a chain in $\text{Fl}(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$.

Indeed, $\emptyset, V' \in \text{Fl}(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$ trivially. Let $d \in C_a \cap V'$ and $e \in V' \setminus C_a$. Then de is not an edge of Γ and so $de \in H$. Thus $C_a \cap V' \in \text{Fl}(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$.

Next we show that $C_a \cap V' \subset \overline{ab} \cap V'$. Let $d \in C_a \cap V'$. We may assume that $d \neq a$. If $ad \notin H$, then $\bar{d} = \bar{a}$ and so $d \in \overline{ab}$. Hence we may assume that $ad \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$. Since $ab \in H$ and $abd \notin H$, we get $d \in \overline{ab}$ also in this case. Thus $C_a \cap V' \subseteq \overline{ab}$. Since there is no edge ab in Γ , it follows from (32) that $b \notin C_a$ and so the inclusion is strict.

Finally, we show that $\overline{ab} \cap V' \in \text{Fl}(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$. Let $X \in H' \cap 2^{\overline{ab}}$ and $p \in V' \setminus \overline{ab}$. We may assume that $|X| \geq 1$.

Suppose that $X = d \in V'$. Since $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$, we must have either $\bar{d} \neq \bar{a}$ or $\bar{d} \neq \bar{b}$, hence $ad \in H$ or $bd \in H$. It follows that $adp \in H$ or $bdp \in H$, hence $dp = X \cup \{p\} \in H'$.

If $|X| = 2$, then $X \cup \{p\} \in H \cap P_3(V)$, hence $X \cup \{p\} \in H'$.

If $|X| > 2$, then $X \cup \{p\} \in H \cap P_{\geq 4}(V)$, contradicting $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$. Thus $\overline{ab} \cap V' \in \text{Fl}(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$. Since $c \in V' \setminus \overline{ab}$, it follows that (33) is a chain in $\text{Fl}(\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}))$. Since abc is a transversal of the successive differences for this chain, and abc is an arbitrary facet of a pure complex, then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is a BRSC. \square

7.1 Second version of the Pure Conjecture

The second version of the Pure Conjecture may be stated as follows:

Conjecture 7.12 *Let \mathcal{H} be a BRSC and let $k \geq 1$. Then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is a TBRSC.*

Note that this is equivalent to the statement:

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{H} \text{ be a TBRSC and let } k \geq 1. \text{ Then } \text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k) \text{ is a TBRSC.} \quad (34)$$


For the nontrivial implication, let \mathcal{H} be a TBRSC of rank r and assume that Conjecture 7.12 holds. Since \mathcal{H} is a TBRSC, we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_r$ for some BRSC \mathcal{J} .

Suppose first that $k \geq r$. Then $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{H}$, hence we must show that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is a TBRSC. Since $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_r$, our goal follows from applying Conjecture 7.12 to \mathcal{J} and r .

Assume now that $k < r$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{J}_k$. Since Conjecture 7.12 implies that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{J}_k)$ is a TBRSC, then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is a TBRSC and so (34) holds.

Therefore (34) is equivalent to Conjecture 7.12.

Example 7.13 *Let $V = 12345678$ and let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be the BRSC defined by the lattice*



where we associate the points of V to a \vee -generating set. Then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is not a TBRSC.

Write $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$. The maximal chains in the lattice yield the facets

$$1782, 1783, 1784, 1785, 1786, 3416, 3417, 3418, 3426, 3427, 3428, 3456, 3457, 3458,$$

hence H' consists of these facets and their subsets.

Suppose that \mathcal{H}' is a TBRSC.

Consider

$$T(H') = \{T \subseteq V \mid \forall X \in H'_3 \cap 2^T \forall p \in V \setminus T \quad X \cup \{p\} \in H'\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(T(H'))$ be defined by the transversals of the successive differences for chains in $T(H')$. By Theorem 6.2, we have $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{J}_4$.

We have $3456 \in H'$. Since 3456 is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain in $T(H')$, there exists some $T \in T(H')$ such that $|T \cap 3456| = 3$. We consider now the four possible cases for $T \cap 3456$, and reach a contradiction in any one of them.

Case 1: $T \cap 3456 = 345$.

Since $345 \subseteq T$, $345 \subset 3456 \in H$ but $3451, 3452 \notin H$, we have $1, 2 \in T$. Since $12 \subseteq T$, $12 \subset 1782 \in H$ but $126 \notin H$, we have $6 \in T$. Hence $3456 \subseteq T$, a contradiction.

Case 2: $T \cap 3456 = 346$.

Since $346 \subseteq T$, $346 \subset 3426 \in H$ but $3467, 3468 \notin H$, we have $7, 8 \in T$. Since $786 \subseteq T$, $786 \subset 1786 \in H$ but $7865 \notin H$, we have $5 \in T$. Hence $3456 \subseteq T$, a contradiction.

Case 3: $T \cap 3456 = 356$.

Since $356 \subseteq T$, $356 \subset 3456 \in H$ but $3567, 3568 \notin H$, we have $7, 8 \in T$. Since $786 \subseteq T$, $786 \subset 1786 \in H$ but $7864 \notin H$, we have $4 \in T$. Hence $3456 \subseteq T$, a contradiction.

Case 4: $T \cap 3456 = 456$.

Since $456 \subseteq T$, $456 \subset 3456 \in H$ but $4567, 4568 \notin H$, we have $7, 8 \in T$. Since $786 \subseteq T$, $786 \subset 1786 \in H$ but $7863 \notin H$, we have $3 \in T$. Hence $3456 \subseteq T$, and we have reached a contradiction in all four cases.

Therefore $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H})$ is not a TBRSC.

Corollary 7.14 *Conjecture 7.12 fails for the BRSC of Example 7.13 and $k \geq 4$.*

Next we show that Conjecture 7.12 holds for $k \leq 3$.

Theorem 7.15 *Let \mathcal{H} be a BRSC and let $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is a TBRSC.*

Proof. Suppose first that $k \leq 2$. By Proposition 6.3, \mathcal{H}_k is a BRSC, therefore $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is a BRSC by Proposition 7.11.

Thus we may assume that $k = 3$. Let

$$V' = \{a \in V \mid abc \in H \cap P_3(V) \text{ for some } b, c \in V\}.$$

Then V' is the vertex set of $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3)$. Let \mathcal{H}^R denote the restriction of \mathcal{H} to V' . Then $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3) = \text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3^R)$. Since BRSCs are closed under restriction by [10, Proposition 8.3.1], \mathcal{H}^R is also a BRSC. Therefore we may assume that $V' = V$.

We show next how we can reduce the problem to the simple case. Indeed, suppose that $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is not simple. Let \mathcal{H}^S denote the simplification of \mathcal{H} . Following [7], we can provide an easy description of \mathcal{H}^S . Assume that \mathcal{H} is represented by the $R \times V$ boolean matrix M . Since we are assuming that $P_1(V) \subseteq H$, every column of \mathcal{H} is nonzero, and $ab \notin H$ if and only if the columns corresponding to a and b are equal. Then \mathcal{H}_S is the BRSC represented by the matrix obtained by removing repeated columns from M . More precisely, we define an idempotent mapping $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ such that $a\alpha = b\alpha$ if and only if the columns of a and b are equal, and $\mathcal{H}^S = (V\alpha, H \cap 2^{V\alpha})$ is the restriction of \mathcal{H} to $V\alpha$. Moreover, for every $X \subseteq V$, we have

$$X \in H \text{ if and only if } \alpha|_X \text{ is injective and } X\alpha \in H. \quad (35)$$

Note that $V = V'$ implies that also every vertex of $V\alpha$ occurs in some triangle of \mathcal{H}^S .

Write $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3) = (V, H')$ and $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3^S) = (V\alpha, H'')$. Note that the vertex set of the latter complex must be indeed $V\alpha$, in view of (35). We claim that, for every $X \subseteq V$:

$$X \in H' \text{ if and only if } \alpha|_X \text{ is injective and } X\alpha \in H''. \quad (36)$$

Suppose that $X \in H'$. Then $X \subseteq Y$ for some $Y \in H \cap P_3(V)$. It follows from (35) that $\alpha|_Y$ is injective and $Y\alpha \in H$. Hence $Y\alpha \in H \cap P_3(V\alpha)$ and so $Y\alpha \in H''$, yielding $X\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha \in H''$ as well.

Conversely, assume that $\alpha|_X$ is injective and $X\alpha \in H''$. Then there exists some $Y \in P_3(V)$ containing X such that $\alpha|_Y$ is injective and $Y\alpha \in H'' \subseteq H$. By (35), we get $Y \in H$, hence $Y \in H'$ and so $X \in H'$ as well. Thus (36) holds.

Now if the theorem holds for the simple case, we apply it to the simplification \mathcal{H}^S (which is a BRSC by [7]), to deduce that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3^S)$ is a TBRSC. We may assume that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3^S) = \mathcal{J}_3$ for some BRSC $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$, represented by some $R' \times V\alpha$ boolean matrix N . Let N' be the $R' \times V$ boolean matrix where the column $p \in V$ equals the column $p\alpha$ of N . Given $X \in P_{\leq 3}(V)$, it is easy to see that X is recognized by N' if and only if $\alpha|_X$ is injective and $X\alpha$ is recognized by N , that is, if and only if $\alpha|_X$ is injective and $X\alpha \in J$. Since $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3^S) = \mathcal{J}_3$, we can replace $X\alpha \in J$ by $X\alpha \in H''$, and in view of (36) we get that

$$X \text{ is recognized by } N' \text{ if and only if } X \in H'. \quad (37)$$

Let \mathcal{J}' be the BRSC represented by the boolean matrix N' . It follows from (37) that $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3) = \mathcal{J}'_3$, hence $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3)$ is a TBRSC as required.

Therefore we only need to deal with the simple case (and recall that we are also assuming that each $p \in V$ occurs in some $X \in H \cap P_3(V)$). Assume so that $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is a simple BRSC and $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3) = (V, H')$. For every $X \subseteq V$, let \overline{X} denote the closure of X in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. We show the following:

$$\text{if } ab \text{ is a facet of } \mathcal{H}, \text{ then } \overline{ap} = \overline{bp} \text{ for every } p \in V \setminus ab. \quad (38)$$

Indeed, suppose that $b \notin \overline{ap}$. Since \mathcal{H} is simple, then $ap \in H$ and so $b \notin \overline{ap}$ yields $abp \in H$, contradicting $ab \in \text{fct}\mathcal{H}$. Hence $b \in \overline{ap}$ and so $\overline{ap} = \overline{abp}$. By symmetry, we get $\overline{ap} = \overline{abp} = \overline{bp}$ and so (38) holds.

We define a relation τ on V by:

$$a\tau b \text{ if } a = b \text{ or } ab \text{ is a facet of } \mathcal{H}.$$

This relation is obviously reflexive and symmetric. To show it is transitive, it is enough to consider the case $ab, bc \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$ with $a \neq c$. Suppose that $ac \notin \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$. Then $acp \in H$ for some $p \in V \setminus ac$. Since $ab \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$, we have $p \neq b$.

Since \mathcal{H} is a BRSC, $acp \in H$ implies one of the cases

$$p \notin \overline{ac} \text{ or } c \notin \overline{ap} \text{ or } a \notin \overline{cp}.$$

Suppose that $p \notin \overline{ac}$. Since the closure of a facet is always V , then $b \notin \overline{ac}$. But \mathcal{H} is simple, hence $ac \in H$ yields $abc \in H$, contradicting $ab \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$. Thus, out of symmetry, we may assume that

$c \notin \overline{ap}$. But now, since $ab, bc \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$, (38) yields $\overline{ap} = \overline{bp} = \overline{cp}$ and $c \in \overline{ap}$, also a contradiction. Thus $ac \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$ and so τ is an equivalence relation on V .

Next we distinguish an element inside each τ -class of V . More precisely, we fix an idempotent mapping $\beta : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\text{Ker } \beta = \tau$. We claim that

$$abc \in H \cap P_3(V) \text{ if and only if } a'bc \in H \cap P_3(V) \quad (39)$$

holds for all $a, a', b, c \in V$ such that $a\tau a'$.

We may assume that $a \neq a'$. Assume that $abc \in H \cap P_3(V)$. If $a' \in bc$, then abc contains two elements in the same τ -class, a contradiction since two τ -related vertices must constitute a facet. Thus $|a'bc| = 3$. Now $abc \in H \cap P_3(V)$ implies one of the options

$$a \notin \overline{bc} \text{ or } b \notin \overline{ac} \text{ or } c \notin \overline{ab}.$$

Suppose first that $a \notin \overline{bc}$. Since $aa' \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$, it follows from (38) that $\overline{a'b} = \overline{ab}$. But then $a' \in \overline{bc}$ implies $\overline{bc} = \overline{a'bc} = \overline{abc}$, contradicting $a \notin \overline{bc}$. Thus $a' \notin \overline{bc}$ and $bc \in H$ (\mathcal{H} is simple) yields $a'bc \in H$.

Suppose next that $b \notin \overline{ac}$. Since $aa' \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$, it follows from (38) that $\overline{a'c} = \overline{ac}$. But then $b \notin \overline{a'c}$ and $a'c \in H$ yields $a'bc \in H$.

The case $c \notin \overline{ab}$ follows by symmetry, hence the direct implication of (39) holds, and so does the opposite implication (also out of symmetry).

Assume now that M is an $R \times V$ boolean matrix representing \mathcal{H} . Let N be the $R \times V$ boolean matrix obtained from M by replacing the column p by the column $p\beta$, for every $p \in V$. Let $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ be the BRSC represented by the matrix N . We prove that

$$\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3) = \mathcal{J}_3. \quad (40)$$

Let $abc \in H \cap P_3(V)$. Using three times (39), we obtain $(a\beta)(b\beta)(c\beta) \in H \cap P_3(V)$. Write $X = (a\beta)(b\beta)(c\beta)$. Then $M[R, X]$ contains a nonsingular square submatrix of size 3. Since $N[R, X] = M[R, X]$, the same applies to $N[R, X]$. But $N[R, abc]$ equals $N[R, X]$ up to permutation of columns, so also $N[R, abc]$ contains a nonsingular square submatrix of size 3. Thus $abc \in J$. Therefore $H' \subseteq J$.

Suppose now that $abc \in J \cap P_3(V)$. Then $N[R, abc]$ contains a nonsingular square submatrix of size 3. Write $X = (a\beta)(b\beta)(c\beta)$. If $|X| < 3$, then abc contains two τ -related elements, which would constitute a facet, contradiction. Thus $|X| = 3$. Since $N[R, abc]$ equals $N[R, X]$ up to permutation of columns, so also $N[R, X]$ contains a nonsingular square submatrix of size 3. Since $M[R, X] = N[R, X]$, the same applies to $M[R, X]$, hence $X \in H \cap P_3(V)$. Using three times (39), we obtain $abc \in H \cap P_3(V)$. Therefore $J \cap P_3(V) \subseteq H'$.

Suppose now that $ab \in J \cap P_2(V)$. Then the columns a and b are different in N , hence $(a, b) \notin \tau$. Similarly to the preceding case, we get $(a\beta)(b\beta) \in J \cap P_2(V)$ and $(a\beta)(b\beta) \in H$. Since $(a, b) \notin \tau$, $ab \notin \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}$, hence $abc \in H$ for some $c \in V \setminus ab$. But then $abc \in H'$ and so $ab \in H'$. Since V has been established to be the vertex set of $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3)$ anyway, we have shown that $J \cap P_{\leq 3}(V) \subseteq H'$.

Therefore (40) holds and so $\text{pure}(\mathcal{H}_3)$ is a TBRSC as required. \square

8 Sum of complexes

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$ be simplicial complexes. The *sum* $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}' = (V, H + H')$ is defined by

$$H + H' = \{I \cup I' \mid I \in H, I' \in H'\}.$$

Example 8.1 *If $n \geq 2m \geq 2$, then $U_{m,n} + U_{m,n} = U_{2m,n}$.*

Given $L \in P_{\geq 2}(V) \setminus \{V\}$, the complex $\mathcal{B}_2(V, L) = (V, B_2(V, L))$ can be described by

$$B_2(V, L) = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{X \in P_3(V) \mid |X \cap L| = 2\}.$$

Indeed, the maximal chains in $P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{L, V\}$ are of the form

$$\emptyset \subset a \subset V \quad \text{or} \quad \emptyset \subset b \subset L \subset V,$$

where $a \in V \setminus L$.

Theorem 8.2 *Let $L, L' \in P_{\geq 2}(V) \setminus \{V\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_2(V, L) + \mathcal{B}_2(V, L')$ is a BRSC;
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}_2(V, L) + \mathcal{B}_2(V, L')$ is a TBRSC;
- (iii) $|L \setminus L'| \leq 3$ or $|L' \setminus L| \leq 3$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Write $H = B_2(V, L)$, $H' = B_2(V, L')$, $H'' = H + H'$ and $\mathcal{H}'' = B_2(V, L) + \mathcal{B}_2(V, L')$.

Suppose that $0123 \subseteq L \setminus L'$ and $4567 \subseteq L' \setminus L$. Then $014 \in H$ and $256 \in H'$. Hence $012456 \in H''$. Since \mathcal{H}'' is a TBRSC, it follows from Theorem 6.2 that there exists some $T \in T(H'')$ such that $|T \cap 012456| = 5$. By symmetry, we may assume that $T \cap 012456 = 01245$. Now we successively deduce the following:

Since $01245 \in H'' \cap 2^T$ but $012345 \notin T$, then $3 \in T$.

Since $01234 \in H'' \cap 2^T$ but $012346 \notin T$, then $6 \in T$.

This contradicts $T \cap 012456 = 01245$, hence $|L \setminus L'| \leq 3$ or $|L' \setminus L| \leq 3$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|L \setminus L'| \leq 3$. Let $\text{Cl}(X)$ (respectively $\text{Cl}'(X)$, $\text{Cl}''(X)$) denote the closure of $X \subseteq V$ in the lattice of flats of $\mathcal{B}_2(V, L)$ (respectively $\mathcal{B}_2(V, L')$, \mathcal{H}''). Since $P_{\leq 2}(V) \subseteq H \cap H'$, we have $P_{\leq 4}(V) \subseteq H''$ and $P_{\leq 3}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$. Thus it suffices to show that:

$$\text{if } X \in H'' \cap P_5(V), \text{ then there exists some } x \in X \text{ such that } x \notin \text{Cl}''(X \setminus \{x\}); \quad (41)$$

$$\text{if } X \in H'' \cap P_6(V), \text{ then there exists some } x \in X \text{ such that } x \notin \text{Cl}''(X \setminus \{x\}); \quad (42)$$

Assume that $X = abcde \in H'' \cap P_5(V)$. By symmetry, we may assume that $abc \in H \cup H'$. But then $abcdy \in H''$ for every $y \in V \setminus abcd$, hence $\text{Cl}''(X \setminus \{e\}) = abcd$ and so (41) holds.

Before proving (42), we establish several preliminary results.

$$\text{If } ab \subseteq L \setminus L', c \in L \cap L' \text{ and } de \in L' \setminus L, \text{ then } abcde \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''. \quad (43)$$

First, note that $abcde = abd \cup ce \in H + H' = H''$, so we need to show that $abcdef \in H''$ for every $f \in V \setminus abcde$.

If $f \in L \cap L'$, we have $abcdef = acd \cup efb \in H + H'$. If $f \notin L$, we get $abcdef = acf \cup deb \in H + H'$. If $f \notin L'$, we get $abcdef = abd \cup cef \in H + H'$. Therefore (43) holds.

$$L, L' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''. \quad (44)$$

Let $I \in H'' \cap 2^L$. Then $|I| \leq 4$. Since $P_4(V) \subseteq H''$, we may assume that $I = abcd$. Given $p \in V \setminus L$, we have $I \cup \{p\} = abp \cup cd \in H + H'$, hence $L \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$. Similarly, $L' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$ and so (44) holds.

$$\text{If } p \in V \setminus (L \cup L'), \text{ then } (L \cap L') \cup \{p\} \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''. \quad (45)$$

Let $I \subseteq (L \cap L') \cup \{p\}$ satisfy $I \in H''$ and let $q \in V \setminus ((L \cap L') \cup \{p\})$. We need to show that $I \cup \{q\} \in H''$. It is straightforward to see that we may assume that $|I| = 5$ and $p \in I$. Write $I = abcdp$.

If $q \notin L$, we have $I \cup \{q\} = abq \cup cdp \in H + H'$. If $q \notin L'$, we have $I \cup \{q\} = abp \cup cdq \in H + H'$. Therefore (45) holds.

$$\text{If } abc \subseteq L \setminus L' \text{ and } de \subseteq L' \setminus L, \text{ then } abcde \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''. \quad (46)$$

First, note that $abcde = abd \cup ce \in H + H' = H''$, so we need to show that $abcdef \in H''$ for every $f \in V \setminus abcde$.

If $f \notin L$, then $abcdef = abf \cup dec \in H + H'$. If $f \in L$, then $f \in L \cap L'$ in view of $|L \setminus L'| \leq 3$ and we get $abcdef = abd \cup efc \in H + H'$. Therefore (46) holds.

Back to the proof of (42), we assume now that $X \in H'' \cap P_6(V)$. We may write $X = abc \cup def$, where $a, b \in L$, $c \notin L$, $d, e \in L'$ and $f \notin L'$.

We consider several cases.

Case 1: $cf \not\subseteq L \cup L'$.

Subcase 1.1: $abde \subseteq L \cap L'$.

By (45), we have $(L \cap L') \cup \{p\} \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$ for $p \in cf \setminus (L \cup L')$. Taking $x \in cf \setminus \{p\}$, we get $x \notin \text{Cl}''(X \setminus \{x\})$ as required.

Subcase 1.2: $abde \not\subseteq L \cap L'$.

Take $y \in cf \setminus (L \cup L')$. It suffices to show that $abdey \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Let $p \in V \setminus abdey$. If $p \notin L$, we get $abdeyp = abp \cup dey \in H + H'$. If $p \notin L'$, we get $abdeyp = aby \cup dep \in H + H'$. Hence we may assume that $p \in L \cap L'$. Out of symmetry, we can now reduce the discussion to two cases: $a \notin L'$ and $d \notin L$.

If $a \notin L'$, we get $abdeyp = bpy \cup dea \in H + H'$. If $d \notin L$, we get $abdeyp = abd \cup epy \in H + H'$.

Case 2: $cf \subseteq L \cup L'$.

Subcase 2.1: $|L \setminus L'| \leq 1$ or $|L' \setminus L| \leq 1$.

We assume that $|L \setminus L'| \leq 1$, the case $|L' \setminus L| \leq 1$ being analogous. Since $cf \subseteq L \cup L'$, we have $X \subseteq L \cup L'$ and so $|X \cap L'| \geq 5$. But $X \subseteq L'$ is obviously impossible, so there exists some $x \in X \setminus L'$ and so $X \setminus \{x\} \subseteq L' \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$ by (44). Therefore $x \notin \text{Cl}''(X \setminus \{x\})$.

Subcase 2.2: $|L \setminus L'| \geq 2$ and $|L' \setminus L| \geq 2$.

Suppose first that $L \cap L' \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists some $x \in X$ such that $X \setminus \{x\} \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$ by (43).

On the other hand, if $L \cap L' = \emptyset$, then we must necessarily have $|L \setminus L'| = |L' \setminus L| = 3$. Taking $x \in X \cap L'$, we get $X \setminus \{x\} \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}''$ by (46). Thus in any case $x \notin \text{Cl}''(X \setminus \{x\})$.

Therefore (42) holds as required. \square

It follows that $\mathcal{B}_2(V, L) + \mathcal{B}_2(V, L')$ is a BRSC if $|V| \leq 7$ and there is a unique counterexample (up to isomorphism) for $|V| = 8$.

9 Going from dimension 2 to dimension 3

In this section we explore a systematic way of producing BRSCs of dimension 3 from TBRSCs of dimension 2.

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex of dimension d . An *extension* of \mathcal{H} is a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}'_{d+1}$ (i.e. \mathcal{H} is a truncation of \mathcal{H}'). If \mathcal{H} is a BRSC of dimension 3, then \mathcal{H} is an extension of \mathcal{H}_3 , which is a TBRSC of dimension 2. So we can aim at classifying BRSCs of dimension 3 by discussing the extensions of dimension 3 of TBRSCs of dimension 2.

Recall the definition of $T(H)$ in Section 6.

Proposition 9.1 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a simplicial complex of dimension ≥ 3 . Then:*

- (i) $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H_3)$;
- (ii) $T(H_3) \cap P_{\leq 2}(V) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$;
- (iii) $(T(H_3) \cap P_3(V)) \setminus H \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. (i) Let $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $X \in (H_3)_2 \cap 2^F$ and $p \in V \setminus F$. Since $(H_3)_2 = H_2 \subseteq H$ and $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H$ and so $X \cup \{p\} \in H_3$. Thus $F \in T(H_3)$.

(ii) Let $T \in T(H_3) \cap P_{\leq 2}(V)$. Suppose that $X \in H \cap 2^T$ and $p \in V \setminus T$. Since $X \in H_2 = (H_3)_2$ and $T \in T(H_3)$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H_3 \subseteq H$ and so $T \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

(iii) Let $T \in (T(H_3) \cap P_3(V)) \setminus H$. Suppose that $X \in H \cap 2^T$ and $p \in V \setminus T$. Since $T \notin H$, we also get $X \in H_2 = (H_3)_2$, yielding $X \cup \{p\} \in H_3 \subseteq H$ and $T \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. \square

9.1 The Desargues complex

In this subsection, let $K_5 = (V, E)$ denote the complete graph on $V = 12345$. We discuss the *Desargues complex* $\mathcal{D} = (E, D)$, where D consists of all the subforests of K_5 with at most 3 edges (including the empty forest). The *lines* of \mathcal{D} are the elements of $P_3(E)$ of the form

$$P_2(abc) = \{ab, ac, bc\}, \quad \text{where } a, b, c \in V \text{ are distinct.}$$

Note that these are the 10 lines of the famous Desargues configuration [12]. As subsets of edges of K_5 , the lines of \mathcal{D} are in fact triangles. If \mathcal{L} denotes the set of lines of \mathcal{D} , then

$$D = P_{\leq 3}(E) \setminus \mathcal{L}. \quad (47)$$

We say that a graph is *transitive* if its edge set (viewed as a binary relation on the vertex set) is transitive. Equivalently, a transitive graph is a disjoint union of complete graphs (cliques).

Lemma 9.2 (i) $T(D) = \{\text{transitive subgraphs of } K_5\}$ and is isomorphic to the partition lattice of 12345;

(ii) $\text{Fl}\mathcal{D} = \{\text{transitive subgraphs of } K_5 \text{ with at most 3 edges}\} \cup \{E\}$;

(iii) \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{J}(T(D))$ are matroids.

Proof. (i) Let $T \in T(D)$. Suppose that $ab, bc \in T$ are distinct and $ac \notin T$. Then $\{ab, bc\} \in D_2 \cap 2^T$ and $ac \in E \setminus T$. Since $T \in T(D)$, we get $\{ab, ac, bc\} \in D$, a contradiction. Hence $ab, bc \in T$ implies $ac \in T$ and so T is transitive.

Suppose now that T is not transitive. Then there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $ab, bc \in T$ but $ac \notin T$. It follows that $\{ab, bc\} \in D_2 \cap 2^T$, $ac \in E \setminus T$. Since $\{ab, ac, bc\} \notin D$, then $T \notin T(D)$.

It is easy to see that transitive subgraphs of K_5 correspond precisely to partitions of 12345: we identify the transitive subgraph T with the partition induced by the cliques of T , and inclusion is preserved.

(ii) Let $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{D}$. By Lemma 6.1(ii) and part (i), F is transitive. Suppose that $3 < |F| < 10$. Out of symmetry, we may assume that

$$F = P_2(1234) \quad \text{or} \quad F = P_2(123) \cup \{45\}.$$

Suppose that $F = P_2(1234)$. Then $\{12, 23, 34\} \in D \cap 2^F$ and $45 \in E \setminus F$, hence $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{D}$ yields $\{12, 23, 34, 45\} \in D$, a contradiction.

Suppose now that $F = P_2(123) \cup \{45\}$. Then $\{12, 23, 45\} \in D \cap 2^F$ and $34 \in E \setminus F$, hence $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{D}$ yields $\{12, 23, 34, 45\} \in D$, once again a contradiction.

Therefore $F = E$ or $|F| \leq 3$.

The opposite inclusion follows from Proposition 9.1.

(iii) Since \mathcal{D} is paving of dimension 2, it suffices to check the exchange property for $I, J \in D$ such that $|J| + 1 = |I| = 3$. In view of (47), we may assume out of symmetry that $J = \{12, 23\}$. Since $\{12, 13, 23\} \notin D$, we may assume that 4 or 5 occurs in some $ab \in I$, hence $J \cup \{ab\} \in D$ and so \mathcal{D} is a matroid.

Next we show that

$$J(T(D)) = \{\text{subforests of } K_5\}. \quad (48)$$

Assume that e_1, \dots, e_m is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \dots \subset T_m$$

in $T(D)$ (so that $e_i \in T_i \setminus T_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$). If this transversal contains a cycle, then there exists some $j > 2$ such that $e_j \subset e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_{j-1}$ (as subsets of V). But then $e_1, \dots, e_{j-1} \in T_{j-1}$, which is a transitive graph, and so also $e_j \in T_{j-1}$, a contradiction. Therefore our transversal must be a forest.

Conversely, every forest can be enumerated as e_1, \dots, e_m with $e_i \not\subseteq e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_{i-1}$ for every i . It is immediate that e_i does not belong to the transitive closure T_i of e_1, \dots, e_i . Thus e_1, \dots, e_m is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain $T_0 \subset \dots \subset T_m$, and so (48) holds. It follows that $\mathcal{J}(T(D))$ is the graphic matroid defined by K_5 . \square

Note that $\text{Fl}\mathcal{D}$ consists of:

- the empty set and the full set E ;
- singletons and lines;
- pairs of two disjoint edges.

The latter type (which we may call *short lines*) correspond to the edges of the *Petersen graph* (viewed as a Kneser graph) [13].

There exist thousands of boolean representable extensions of \mathcal{D} with dimension 3. We prove next that only one of them is a matroid.

Theorem 9.3 $\mathcal{J}(T(D))$ is the only proper matroid extension of \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a proper matroid extension of \mathcal{D} . Then $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{H}_3$. By Proposition 9.1, we have

$$T(D) \cap (P_{\leq 2}(E) \cup (P_3(E) \setminus H)) \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(D). \quad (49)$$

It follows from Lemma 9.2(i) that $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ contains all the transitive subgraphs of K_5 with at most 3 edges, and $E \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ trivially. We show next that

$$\text{if } T \in T(D) \text{ satisfies } 3 < |T| < 10, \text{ then } T \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}. \quad (50)$$


Note that $T(D)$ is a geometric lattice by Lemma 9.2(i), and the height of an element may be any number from 0 to 4:

- height 0: the empty graph
- height 1: one edge
- height 2: two disjoint edges, or one triangle

- height 3: a 4-clique, or the disjoint union of a triangle with an edge
- height 4: the full graph

By Lemma 9.2, $\text{Fl}\mathcal{D}$ contains all the elements of $T(D)$ except those of height 3 (which are the transitive graphs T satisfying $3 < |T| < 10$). Since \mathcal{H} is a proper matroid extension of \mathcal{D} , then $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ must contain some $T_0 \in T(D)$ of height 3. Let $T \in T(D)$ be another element of height 3. It is easy to check that $T \cap T_0 \neq \emptyset$ in every possible case.

Assume first that $|T \cap T_0| > 1$ (so has height 2). Suppose that $T \notin \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Let $S \in T(D) \setminus \{T \cap T_0\}$ be covered by T (every element of height 3 in $T(D)$ covers at least 4 elements of height 2). We claim that



is a sublattice of $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Indeed, it suffices to check that

$$T_0 \cap S = T_0 \cap T \cap S \quad \text{and} \quad (T_0 \cap T) \vee S = E \quad (51)$$

hold in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Since $S \subset T$, we have $T_0 \cap S \subseteq T_0 \cap T$ and so $T_0 \cap S = T_0 \cap T \cap S$. On the other hand, $(T_0 \cap T) \vee S = T$ in $T(D)$ since T covers both S and $T_0 \cap T$, which are distinct elements of height 2. It follows that the join of $(T_0 \cap T) \vee S$ in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is $\geq T$. But T is a co-atom of $T(D)$ which is not in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, hence $(T_0 \cap T) \vee S = E$ holds in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

Thus (51) holds and so $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is not semimodular, and consequently not geometric. This contradicts the fact that \mathcal{H} is a matroid, whence $T \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$.

We must now deal with the case $|T \cap T_0| = 1$. Let T_1 be a 4-clique. It is easy to check that $|T_1 \cap T'| > 1$ for every $T' \in T(D)$ of height 3, so we can use the preceding case to yield successively $T_1 \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and $T \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Therefore (50) holds.

Together with (49), this yields $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = T(D)$. Hence the faces of both \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{J}(T(D))$ are the transversals of the successive differences for chains in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = T(D)$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(T(D))$. \square

9.2 The non Desargues complex

In this subsection, we keep the notation of Subsection 9.1 and discuss the *non Desargues complex* $\mathcal{N} = (E, N)$. We fix $L_0 = \{34, 35, 45\}$ and set $N = D \cup L_0$.

Lemma 9.4 (i) $T(N) = \{\text{subgraphs of } K_5 \text{ where each connected component is either a clique or a 2-subset of } L_0\}$;

(ii) $\text{FLN} = (\text{FLD} \setminus \{L_0\}) \cup P_2(L_0)$;

(iii) \mathcal{N} is a matroid.

Proof. (i) Let $T \in T(N)$. If $abc \in P_3(V) \setminus \{345\}$, we claim that

$$ab, bc \in T \text{ implies } ac \in T. \quad (52)$$

Indeed, we have $\{ab, bc\} \in N \cap 2^T$, hence $ac \in E \setminus T$ would imply $\{ab, bc, ac\} \in N$ a contradiction. Hence (52) holds. Let C be a connected component of T (seen as a subgraph of K_5). It follows from (52) that C is a clique unless $|C \cap L_0| = 2$. What can C be then? Out of symmetry, we may assume that $C \cap L_0 = \{34, 45\}$. Suppose that $C \not\subseteq L_0$. Since C is connected, we may assume that $ab \in C$ with $a \in 12$ and $b \in 345$. Using successively (52), we deduce that $a3, a4, a5 \in T$, which imply then that $35 \in T$, a contradiction. Therefore C is either a clique or a 2-subset of L_0 .

Now let $X \in 2^E \setminus T(N)$. Then there exist $ab, cd \in X$ and $ef \in E \setminus X$ such that $\{ab, cd, ef\} \notin N$. Then $\{ab, cd, ef\} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{L_0\}$ and we may assume without loss of generality that $d = b$ and $ef = ac$. But then the connected component of X containing ab and bc is neither a clique nor a 2-subset of L_0 .

(ii) By [10, Proposition 4.2.3], no $F \in \text{FLN} \setminus \{E\}$ can contain a facet of dimension 2. It follows easily that FLN contains E and the elements of $T(N)$ which contain no facet of dimension 2. With respect to the characterization of $T(N)$ in part (i), this excludes:

- all 4-cliques;
- the 3-clique L_0 ;
- the simultaneous presence of a 3-clique and a 2-clique;
- the simultaneous presence of a 2-clique and a 2-subset of L_0 .

Straightforward checking shows that we are left precisely with $(\text{FLD} \setminus \{L_0\}) \cup P_2(L_0)$.

(iii) Since \mathcal{N} is paving of dimension 2, it suffices to check the exchange property for $I, J \in D$ such that $|J| + 1 = |I| = 3$. We may assume that J is connected, otherwise J is a flat and $J \cup \{i\} \in N$ for every $i \in I \setminus J$. Assume then that $J = \{ab, bc\}$. We may also assume that $J \not\subseteq I$, but in this case some $k \in 12345 \setminus abc$ occurs in some $i \in I$. Thus $J \cup \{i\} \in N$ and so \mathcal{N} is a matroid. \square

There exist thousands of boolean representable extensions of \mathcal{N} with dimension 3. We prove next that none of them is a matroid.

Theorem 9.5 *There exists no proper matroid extension of \mathcal{N} .*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a proper matroid extension of \mathcal{N} . Then $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{H}_3$. Since \mathcal{H} is a proper extension, we have $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 3$.

Note that $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(N)$ by Proposition 9.1(i). Now

$$\emptyset \subset \{12\} \subset P_2(123) \subset P_2(1234) \subset E$$

is a maximal chain in $T(N)$ in view of Lemma 9.4(i). Since \mathcal{H} is a matroid, then $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is a geometric lattice, so in particular all maximal chains in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ have the same length (every semimodular lattice satisfies the Jordan-Dedekind property). Thus $\dim \mathcal{H} = 3$.


By Proposition 9.1,


$$\emptyset \subset \{34\} \subset \{34, 45\} \subset E \tag{53}$$

is a chain of length 3 in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(N)$. By the Jordan-Dedekind property, this chain may be refined to a chain of length 4, which is then a maximal chain. It follows from Lemma 9.4(i) that the only possible candidates are:


- (A) $P_2(i345)$ with $i = 1$ or 2 ;
- (B) L_0 ;
- (C) $L_0 \cup \{12\}$;
- (D) $\{34, 45, 12\}$.

We claim that, for each one of these cases, $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ must contain one of the following sublattices:





(C)



(D)

Indeed, we always get empty intersections for elements from distinct sides. In case (A), we have $\{34\} \vee \{i3, i5, 35\} = P_2(i345)$ because $P_2(i345)$ is the smallest clique of K_5 containing the edges $\{34, i3, i5, 35\}$ (and 2-subsets L_0 won't do either!). In case (B), we have $\{34, 45\} \vee \{12, 13, 23\} = E$ because there exist no proper clique of K_5 containing the edges $\{34, 45, 12, 13, 23\}$ (let alone 2-subsets of L_0). In cases (C) and (D), we have $\{34, 45\} \vee \{13, 24\} = E$ because there exist no proper clique of K_5 containing the edges $\{34, 45, 13, 24\}$.

Thus in any possible case we have shown that $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is not semimodular, and consequently not geometric. This contradicts the fact that \mathcal{H} is a matroid, therefore there exists no proper matroid extension of \mathcal{N} . \square

The non Desargues complex shows that things are much more complicated when we go from dimension 2 to dimension 3, with respect to the transition from dimension 1 to dimension 2.

Consider also the problem of existence of a proper matroid extension for a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ of dimension d . Since matroids are closed under truncation (the exchange property is trivially inherited), there exists a proper matroid extension of \mathcal{H} if and only if there exists a proper matroid extension of \mathcal{H} of dimension $d+1$. Moreover, in this case \mathcal{H} must be a matroid itself, being the truncation of a matroid. But there exists another obvious necessary condition: if \mathcal{H} admits a proper matroid extension, then there exists some $X \in P_{d+2}(V)$ such that $P_{d+1}(X) \subset H$. The next result shows that these conditions suffice to characterize the situation at dimension 1. Recall that a simplicial complex of dimension 1 can be viewed as a graph with at least one edge.

Proposition 9.6 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (H, V)$ be a matroid of dimension 1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{H} admits a proper matroid extension;
- (ii) \mathcal{H} is not a complete bipartite graph;
- (iii) there exists some $X \in P_3(V)$ such that $P_2(X) \subset H$.

Proof. It is known that a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ of dimension 1 is a matroid if and only if it is boolean representable if and only if V admits a nontrivial partition $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ such that

$$H \cap P_2(V) = P_2(V) \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^m P_2(V_i)) \quad (54)$$

(so we have a complete m -partite graph). Indeed, the second and the third conditions are equivalent by [10, Proposition 5.3.1], and every matroid is a BRSC by [10, Theorem 5.2.10]. It is immediate that (54) implies the exchange property, so the three conditions above are indeed equivalent.

We may assume then that V admits a nontrivial partition $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ such that (54) holds.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ be a proper extension of \mathcal{H} . Then $\dim \mathcal{J} \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{H}$. Let $X \in J \cap P_3(V)$. Then $P_2(X) \subseteq J \cap P_{\leq 2}(V) = H$ and (iii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a complete bipartite graph. Then $m = 2$. Let $X \in P_3(V)$. Then there exists distinct $x, y \in X$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $x, y \in V_i$. Hence $xy \notin H$ and so $P_2(X) \not\subseteq H$. Therefore (iii) fails.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). If \mathcal{H} is not a complete bipartite graph, then $m > 2$. Let $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ be defined by

$$J = \{Y \in P_{\leq 3}(V) \mid \text{no two elements of } Y \text{ belong to the same } V_i\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}_2$ and $H \subset J$ since $m > 2$. It remains to show that \mathcal{J} is a matroid. Since $\mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ is a matroid itself, we only need to check the exchange property for $X, Y \in J$ such that $|X| = |Y| + 1 = 3$.

Now the two elements of Y belong to two distinct V_j , say V_{j_1} and V_{j_2} , and the three elements of X belong to three distinct V_i , say V_{i_1} , V_{i_2} and V_{i_3} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i_3 \notin \{j_1, j_2\}$. If $x \in X \cap V_{i_3}$, we get $Y \cup \{x\} \in J$ and $x \in X \setminus Y$, thus the exchange property holds as required. \square

Now \mathcal{N} stands as a counterexample for a possible generalization of Proposition 9.6: $\mathcal{N} = (E, N)$ is a matroid of dimension 2, there exists $X \in P_4(E)$ such that $P_3(X) \subset N$, and yet \mathcal{N} admits no proper matroid extension.

10 Matroids of codimension 1

Assume that $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is a TBRSC of dimension d . Then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}'_{d+1}$ for some BRSC $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$. By Theorem 6.2, we have $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{J}(T(H)))_{d+1}$. We claim that

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}' \subseteq T(H) \quad \text{and} \quad H' \subseteq J(T(H)). \quad (55)$$

Indeed, let $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$. Let $X \in H_d \cap 2^F$ and $p \in V \setminus F$. Since $H_d \subset H'$ and $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H'$. Hence $X \cup \{p\} \in H'_{d+1} = H$ and so $F \in T(H)$. Thus $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}' \subseteq T(H)$. Now $H' = J(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}')$ since \mathcal{H}' is a BRSC, and so $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}' \subseteq T(H)$ yields $H' = J(\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}') \subseteq J(T(H))$. Therefore (55) holds.

It follows from (55) that $\dim \mathcal{H}' \leq \dim \mathcal{J}(T(H))$ whenever a BRSC \mathcal{H}' is an extension of \mathcal{H} . The *codimension* of \mathcal{H} is defined as

$$\text{codim} \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) - \dim \mathcal{H}.$$

It is easy to see that a TBRSC of codimension 0 must be a BRSC and admits no proper extensions. Thus we turn our attention into codimension 1.

Theorem 10.1 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be a matroid of codimension 1. Then \mathcal{H} has at most one proper matroid extension, which will then be $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$.*

Proof. Assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} = d$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H')$ is a proper matroid extension of \mathcal{H} . Since matroids are boolean representable, it follows from (55) that $H' \subseteq J(T(H))$. It remains to be proved that $J(T(H)) \subseteq H'$. We denote by $\text{Cl} : 2^V \rightarrow \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$ the closure operator on 2^V induced by $\text{flat}\mathcal{H}'$ (so that $\text{Cl}(X) = \cap \{F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}' \mid X \subseteq F\}$).

Let $X \in J(T(H))$. Suppose first that $|X| \leq d + 1$. By Theorem 6.2, we have $X \in H$ and so $X \in H'$. Since $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) = d + 1$, we may assume then that $|X| = d + 2$. Then there exists some chain

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \dots \subset T_{d+2}$$

in $T(H)$ and an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_{d+2} of the elements of X such that $x_i \in T_i \setminus T_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d + 2$. Let $X' = X \setminus \{x_{d+2}\}$. By the first case, we get $X' \in H \subseteq H'$. Now let $Y \in H' \cap P_{d+2}$ (it exists since \mathcal{H}' is a proper extension of \mathcal{H}). Since \mathcal{H}' is a matroid, we may apply the exchange property to $X', Y \in H'$, hence $X' \cup \{y\} \in H'$ for some $y \in Y \setminus X'$. Since \mathcal{H}' is a matroid, any enumeration of the elements of $X' \cup \{y\}$ can be a transversal of the successive differences for some chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$ [9]. It follows that $y \notin \text{Cl}(X')$. Write $C = \text{Cl}(X')$.

Suppose that $x_{d+2} \in C$. Then x_1, \dots, x_{d+2}, y becomes a transversal of the successive differences for

$$T_0 \cap C \subset T_1 \cap C \subset \dots \subset T_{d+2} \cap C \subseteq V,$$

which is a chain in $T(H)$, in view of (55) and Lemma 6.1(i). Thus $X \cup \{y\} \in J(T(H))$, contradicting $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) = d + 1$.

Therefore $x_{d+2} \notin C \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}'$. Since $X' \in H' \cap 2^C$, we get $X \in H'$ and so $J(T(H)) \subseteq H'$ as required. \square

It follows easily from (48) that the Desargues complex \mathcal{D} has codimension 1. Note that $\mathcal{J}(T(\mathcal{D}))$ is a matroid by Lemma 9.2(iii), so Theorem 10.1 provides an alternative proof for Theorem 9.3.

The next example shows that proper matroid extensions do not always exist at codimension 1.

Example 10.2 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be defined by $V = 123456$ and $H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus \{124, 135, 236\}$. Then:*

- (i) \mathcal{H} is a matroid of dimension 2 and codimension 1;
- (ii) \mathcal{H} admits no proper matroid extension.

Indeed, let $I, J \in H$ with $|I| = |J| + 1$. We may assume that $|I| = 3$ and $J \not\subseteq I$. Then $|I \setminus J| \geq 2$. Since any two of the three forbidden 3-subsets will intersect at just one point, it follows that $J \cup \{i\} \in H$ for some $i \in I \setminus J$. Thus \mathcal{H} is a matroid (of dimension 2).

Write $\mathcal{L} = \{124, 135, 236\}$ (lines). We claim that

$$T(H) = \{X \subseteq V \mid |X \cap L| \leq 1 \text{ for every } L \in \mathcal{L}\} \cup \mathcal{L} \cup \{V\}. \quad (56)$$

Indeed, let $T \in T(H)$. We may assume that $|T \cap L| \geq 2$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $L \notin H$, it follows easily that $L \subseteq T$. Now we may assume that $L \subset T$. Then $|T \cap L'| \geq 2$ for some $L' \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{L\}$. Since $L' \notin H$, we get $L' \subseteq T$. The same argument shows that the third line is contained in T , hence $T = V$.

The proof for the opposite inclusion is straightforward, therefore (56) holds.

Suppose that $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$ is a matroid. It is easy to check that

$$\emptyset \subset 4 \subset 45 \subset 456 \subset V \quad (57)$$

is a chain in $T(H)$ of maximum length, hence $\text{codim} \mathcal{H} = 1$. Moreover, $4, 5, 6, 1$ is a transversal of the successive differences for (57), hence $I = 1456 \in J(T(H))$. On the other hand, $1, 2, 3$ is a transversal of the successive differences for another chain in $T(H)$, e.g.

$$\emptyset \subset 1 \subset 124 \subset V,$$

hence $J = 123 \in J(T(H))$.

By the exchange property, $J \cup \{i\} \in J(T(H))$ for some $i \in I \setminus J$. Out of symmetry, we may assume that $i = 4$. But then there exists some $T \in T(H)$ such that $|T \cap 1234| = 3$, which implies $T = 123$ in view of (56). But then T must contain some $T' \in T(H)$ such that $|T' \cap 123| = 2$, contradicting (56). Thus $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$ is not a matroid. By Theorem 10.1, \mathcal{H} admits no proper matroid extension.

We consider next codimension 2. We start by returning to the non Desargues complex \mathcal{N} . In view of Lemma 9.4(i), it is easy to see that

$$\emptyset \subset \{34\} \subset \{34, 45\} \subset \{34, 35, 45\} \subset \{12, 34, 35, 45\} \subset E$$

is a chain in $T(N)$, and there are no longer chains. Hence $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(N)) = 5$ and so $\text{codim} \mathcal{N} = 2$. Thus Theorem 9.5 shows that it is possible that a matroid of codimension 2 admits no proper matroid extension.

The next example shows that a matroid of dimension 2 and codimension 2 can admit several proper matroid extensions of dimension 3.

Example 10.3 Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ be defined by $V = 123456$ and $H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \setminus \{456\}$. Then:

- (i) \mathcal{H} is a matroid of dimension 2;
- (ii) $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$ is a matroid of dimension 4;
- (iii) if $Q = \{X \in P_{\leq 4}(V) \mid 456 \not\subseteq X\}$, then $\mathcal{Q}_k = (V, Q \setminus \{123k\})$ is a matroid extension of \mathcal{H} of dimension 3 for $k = 4, 5, 6$.

To check the exchange property for \mathcal{H} , it is enough to consider $I, J \in H$ with $|I| = |J| + 1 = 3$. We may assume that $J \not\subseteq I$, hence $|I \setminus J| \geq 2$. Since 456 is the only 3-subset of V which is not in H , it follows that $J \cup \{i\} \in H$ for some $i \in I \setminus J$. Thus \mathcal{H} is a matroid (of dimension 2).

It is straightforward to check that

$$T(H) = \{T \subseteq V : |T \cap 456| \neq 2\}.$$

We claim that

$$J(T(H)) = \{X \subseteq V : |X \cap 456| \leq 2\}. \quad (58)$$

Indeed, the direct inclusion follows from the fact that every $T \in T(H)$ containing two elements from 456 must contain the three of them. For the opposite inclusion, and out of symmetry, it suffices to check that $12345 \in J(T(H))$. This follows from 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 being a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset 1 \subset 12 \subset 123 \subset 1234 \subset V$$

in $T(H)$. Therefore (58) holds.

We check now the exchange property for $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$. Let $I, J \in J(T(H))$ with $|I| = |J| + 1$. We may assume that $|J \cap 456| = 2$, otherwise we may pick any element of $I \setminus J$ to get $I \cup \{j\} \in J(T(H))$. But then $|I \setminus 456| > |J \setminus 456|$ and $I \cup \{j\} \in J(T(H))$ holds for some $i \in I \setminus (J \cup 456)$. Therefore $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$ is a matroid, and has dimension 4 since 12345 is a facet of maximum dimension.

Now fix $k \in 456$ and write $Q_k = Q \setminus \{123k\}$. Let $I, J \in Q_k$ with $|I| = |J| + 1$. Since $P_2(V) \setminus Q_k$, we may assume that $|J| \geq 2$. Suppose that $|J| = 2$. If $J \not\subseteq 456$, then $J \cup \{i\} \in Q_k$ for any $i \in I \setminus J$, hence we may assume that $J \subseteq 456$. But then $I \not\subseteq 456$ and we get $J \cup \{i\} \in Q_k$ for some $i \in I \setminus 456$.

Finally, we are left with the case $|J| = 3$. Suppose first that $|J \cap 456| = 0$. Then $J = 123$. Since $I \neq 123k$, we get $J \cup \{i\} \in Q_k$ for any $i \in I \setminus 123k$. Suppose now that $|J \cap 456| = 2$. Since $|I \cap 123| \geq 2$, we get $J \cup \{i\} \in Q_k$ for any $i \in I \setminus (J \cup 456)$. Finally, we assume that $|J \cap 456| = 1$. If $I \not\subseteq J \cup 123$, then we get $J \cup \{i\} \in Q_k$ for any $i \in I \setminus (J \cup 123)$. If $I \subseteq J \cup 123$, then $|J \cap 456| = |I \cap 456| = 1$ yields $J \subset I$ and the exchange property holds in every case. Therefore Q_k is a matroid, indeed a matroid extension of \mathcal{H} of dimension 3.

However, part of Theorem 10.1 can still be true:

Problem 10.4 *Is there a matroid $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ of codimension 2 such that $\mathcal{J}(T(H))$ is not a matroid but \mathcal{H} admits a proper matroid extension?*

11 On the Dowling and Rhodes matroids

11.1 The reduced Rhodes matroid

We recall the definition of the *reduced Rhodes matroid* from [8]. Let G be a finite nontrivial group and fix an integer $n \geq 2$. Let $\underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Given $I \subseteq \underline{n}$, we denote by $F(I, G)$ the collection of all functions $f : I \rightarrow G$. Given a partition π of $I \subseteq \underline{n}$ and $f, h \in F(I, G)$, we write

$$f \sim_{\pi} h \quad \text{if } f|_{\pi_i} \in G(h|_{\pi_i}) \text{ for each block } \pi_i \text{ of } \pi.$$

Then \sim_π is an equivalence relation on $F(I, G)$. Let $[f]_\pi$ denote the \sim_π class of $f \in F(I, G)$. We define $\text{SPC}(\underline{n}, G)$ as the set of triples of the form $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$, where $I \subseteq \underline{n}$, π is a partition of I and $f \in F(I, G)$.

Let V denote the set of all $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi) \in \text{SPC}(\underline{n}, G)$ such that $|I| = 2$ and π is the trivial partition (one single block). Then V is the set of atoms of the reduced Rhodes lattice defined by G and n (see [8]). If $I = \{i, j\}$ and $(f(i))^{-1}f(j) = g \in G$, we define $\Gamma(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$ to be the directed labeled graph

$$i \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xleftarrow{g^{-1}} \end{array} j \quad (59)$$

Assuming the necessity of the inverse edges, it is of course enough to represent the above graph by the one-edge graph $i \xrightarrow{g} j$ (or $j \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i$). To simplify notation, we shall denote by (i, g, j) (or (j, g^{-1}, i)) the unique $a \in V$ such that $\Gamma(a)$ is $i \xrightarrow{g} j$.

Now each $Z \subseteq V$ can be represented by a directed labeled graph $\Gamma(Z)$. We denote by $\Gamma_0(Z)$ the undirected multigraph with the same vertex set as $\Gamma(Z)$ and an edge $i - j$ for each pair of inverse edges (59) in $\Gamma(Z)$.

We say that a multigraph Γ is *unicyclic* if:

- Γ is connected;
- Γ has no loops;
- the number v of vertices equals the number e of edges in Γ .

Since finite trees can be characterized as connected graphs satisfying $e = v - 1$ [4, Theorem 5.1.2], it follows that unicyclic graphs are precisely those graphs which can be obtained from a tree by adding a new edge connecting two distinct vertices.

If $Z \subseteq V$, we say that $\Gamma(Z)$ is *G-trivial* if, for every cycle

$$q_0 \xrightarrow{g_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g_m} q_m = q_0$$

in $\Gamma(Z)$, the equality $g_1 g_2 \dots g_m = 1$ holds in G . Otherwise, $\Gamma(Z)$ is *G-nontrivial*. It is a simple exercise to show that $\Gamma(Z)$ is trivial whenever $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is a tree. On the other hand, if $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is unicyclic, G -triviality is determined by the unique nontrivial cycle of $\Gamma(Z)$ (we call a cycle of the form (59) trivial).

Let H denote the set of all $Z \subseteq V$ such that

- each connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is either a tree or a unicyclic graph;
- at most one connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is unicyclic;
- if $\Gamma_0(Z)$ has a unicyclic connected component, then $\Gamma(Z)$ is G -nontrivial.

Then $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is reduced Rhodes matroid defined by G and n . Note that \mathcal{H} has dimension $n - 1$ and every facet has n elements.

Given a partition π of $I \subseteq \bar{n}$, let $C(\pi)$ denote the set of all $(\{i, j\}, \pi, [f]_\pi) \in V$ such that i, j belong to the same π class.

Lemma 11.1 *The flats of \mathcal{H} are the sets of the following two types:*

- (i) $C(\pi)$, where π is a partition of $I \subseteq \underline{n}$;
- (ii) $Z \subseteq V$ such that $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is a union of cliques and $\Gamma(Z)$ is G -trivial.

Recall that $\mathcal{H}_k = (V, H_k)$ denotes the truncation defined by $H_k = H \cap \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}$. Hence

$$T(H_k) = \{T \subseteq V \mid \forall X \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^T \ \forall p \in V \setminus T \ X \cup \{p\} \in H_k\}.$$

Lemma 11.2 *Let \mathcal{H} be a BRSC and let $k \geq 0$. Then $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H_k)$.*

Proof. We have $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H)$ by Lemma 6.1(ii). We may assume that $k \leq \dim\mathcal{H}$, otherwise $H = H_k$. We claim that $T(H) \subseteq T(H_k)$. Indeed, let $T \in T(H)$. Let $X \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^T$ and $p \in V \setminus T$. Since $k-1 < \dim\mathcal{H}$ and $T \in T(H)$, it follows that $X \cup \{p\} \in H$. Since $|X| \leq k-1$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H_k$ and so $T \in T(H_k)$. Thus $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H) \subseteq T(H_k)$ and so $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H_k)$ holds in any case. \square

Theorem 11.3 *If $k \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(T(H_k))$.*

Proof. We start by showing that

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = T(H_k). \tag{60}$$

The direct inclusion follows from Lemma 11.2.

Conversely, let $Z \in T(H_k)$. Suppose that there exist edges $i \xrightarrow{g} j \xrightarrow{h} \ell$ in $\Gamma(Z)$ for some $g, h \in G$ but no edge $i \xrightarrow{gh} \ell$. Then $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell), (i, gh, \ell)\} \notin H$ and so $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell), (i, gh, \ell)\} \notin H_k$. However, $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$, yielding $Z \notin T(H_k)$. Thus we may assume that

$$i \xrightarrow{g} j, j \xrightarrow{h} \ell \in \Gamma(Z) \quad \text{implies} \quad i \xrightarrow{gh} \ell \in \Gamma(Z) \tag{61}$$

holds for all i, j, ℓ, g, h .

Suppose first that $\Gamma_0(Z)$ has parallel edges. It follows that there exist edges $i \xrightarrow{g} j$ and $i \xrightarrow{h} j$ in $\Gamma(Z)$ for some distinct $i, j \in \underline{n}$, with $g, h \in G$ distinct. Let I be the set of all vertices in $\Gamma_0(Z)$ and let π be the partition of I defined by the connected components of $\Gamma_0(Z)$. Clearly, $Z \subseteq C(\pi)$. We claim that equality holds. Let $i', j' \in I$ be distinct elements of the same block of π and let $g' \in G$. We must show that $(i', g', j') \in Z$.

We consider two subcases. Assume first that $\{i', j'\} = \{i, j\}$. Then we may assume that $i' = i$ and $j' = j$, as well as $g' \notin \{g, h\}$. Then $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j), (i, g', j)\} \notin H$ and so $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j), (i, g', j)\} \notin H_k$. However, $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$. Since $Z \in T(H_k)$, this yields $(i, g', j) \in Z$ as required.

Assume now that $\{i', j'\} \neq \{i, j\}$. By definition of π , we have some path

$$i' = i'_0 \xrightarrow{g'_1} i'_1 \xrightarrow{g'_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g'_s} i'_s = j'$$

in $\Gamma(Z)$. Let $h' = g'_1 \dots g'_s$. In view of (61), we have $(i', h', j') \in Z$. Then $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j), (i', g', j'), (i', h', j')\} \notin H$ and so $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j), (i', g', j'), (i', h', j')\} \notin H_k$. However, $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j), (i', h', j')\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$. Since $Z \in T(H_k)$, this yields $(i', g', j') \in Z$.

Thus $Z = C(\pi)$ when $\Gamma_0(Z)$ has parallel edges and so $Z \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ by Lemma 11.1.

Hence we may assume that $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is a graph (without parallel edges!). In view of (61), $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is a union of cliques. Suppose that $\Gamma(Z)$ is G -nontrivial. We claim that $\Gamma(Z)$ has a G -nontrivial triangle. Indeed, let

$$i_0 \xrightarrow{g_1} i_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g_m} i_m = i_0$$

be a G -nontrivial cycle of $\Gamma(Z)$ of shortest length. Suppose that $m > 3$. By (61),

$$i_0 \xrightarrow{g_1} i_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{m-2}} i_{m-2} \xrightarrow{g_{m-1}g_m} i_m = i_0$$

is a shorter G -nontrivial cycle of $\Gamma(Z)$, a contradiction. Therefore $m = 3$ and so $\Gamma(Z)$ has a G -nontrivial triangle

$$i \xrightarrow{g} j \xrightarrow{h} \ell \xrightarrow{s} i.$$

Hence $s \neq (gh)^{-1}$. Now $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell), (\ell, s, i)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$. On the other hand, since $\Gamma_0(Z)$ has no parallel edges, we have $(i, gh, \ell) \notin Z$. Since $Z \in T(H_k)$, we get $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell), (\ell, s, i), (i, gh, \ell)\} \in H_k \subseteq H$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\Gamma(Z)$ is G -trivial and so $Z \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ by Lemma 11.1. This establishes (60).

Since \mathcal{H} is a matroid, the elements of H are precisely the transversals of the successive differences for chains in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = T(H_k)$. Therefore $H = J(T(H_k))$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(T(H_k))$. \square

We show next that the value $k \geq 4$ in Theorem 11.3 is optimal. Assume that $n \geq 3$. Write $(1, G, 2) = \{(1, g, 2) \mid g \in G\}$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\emptyset \subset \{(1, 1, 2)\} \subset (1, G, 2) \subset (1, G, 2) \cup \{(2, 1, 3)\} \subset V$$

is a chain in $T(H_3)$, hence $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H_3)) \geq 3$. However, $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$.

11.2 The Dowling matroid

Let G be a finite nontrivial group and fix an integer $n \geq 2$. In this subsection V denotes the set of all $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi) \in \text{SPC}(\underline{n}, G)$ of the following two types:

(V1) $|I| = n - 1$ and π is the identity partition;

(V2) $I = \underline{n}$ and π has precisely $n - 1$ blocks.

Thus V is the set of atoms of the Dowling lattice $Q_n(G)$. Also in this case, the elements of V admit a graph-theoretical description. For this, we fix some element $y \in G \setminus \{1\}$. If $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$ is of type (V1) and $I = \underline{n} \setminus \{i\}$, we define $\Gamma(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$ to be the directed labeled graph

$$i \xrightarrow{y}$$

We shall use the simplified notation (i, y, i) to denote the above $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$.

If $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$ is of type (V2), $\{i, j\}$ is the unique nonsingular block of π and $(f(i))^{-1}f(j) = g \in G$, we define $\Gamma(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$ to be the directed labeled graph

$$i \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xleftarrow{g^{-1}} \end{array} j \quad (62)$$

Once again, it suffices to represent the above graph by the one-edge graph $i \xrightarrow{g} j$ (or $j \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i$). To simplify notation, we shall denote by (i, g, j) (or (j, g^{-1}, i)) the above $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$.

Now each $Z \subseteq V$ can be represented by a directed labeled graph $\Gamma(Z)$. We denote by $\Gamma_0(Z)$ the undirected multigraph with the same vertex set as $\Gamma(Z)$ and an edge $i - j$ for each pair of inverse edges (62) in $\Gamma(Z)$.

We say that a multigraph Γ is *unicyclic with loops* if:

- Γ is connected;
- the number v of vertices equals the number e of edges in Γ .

Since finite trees can be characterized as connected graphs satisfying $e = v - 1$, it follows that unicyclic graphs are precisely those graphs which can be obtained from a tree by adding a new edge.

The concept of G -trivial cycle or graph is inherited from Subsection 11.1.

Let H denote the set of all $Z \subseteq V$ such that

- each connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ is either a tree or a unicyclic graph;
- every unicyclic connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ arises from a G -nontrivial cycle of $\Gamma(Z)$.

Then $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$ is the Dowling matroid defined by G and n . Note that \mathcal{H} has dimension $n - 1$.

Since the Dowling lattice $Q_n(G)$ is geometric and generates \mathcal{H} , then $Q_n(G)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. So the flats of \mathcal{H} correspond to the vertices of $Q_n(G)$, which are precisely the elements of $\text{SPC}(n, G)$. More precisely, the flat of \mathcal{H} determined by $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi) \in \text{SPC}(n, G)$ is the set of elements of V (i.e. atoms of $Q_n(G)$) lying below $(I, \pi, [f]_\pi)$ for the Dowling order. These are elements of the following three types:

- (i, y, i) with $i \in \underline{n} \setminus I$;
- (i, g, j) with $i, j \in \underline{n} \setminus I$ distinct and $g \in G$;
- (i, g, j) with $i, j \in I$ distinct in the same block of π and $g = (f(i))^{-1}f(j)$.

In graph theoretical terms, this amounts to say that there is (at most) one connected component in $\Gamma_0(Z)$ which contains all possible edges (one loop at each vertex plus $|G|$ parallel edges between any pair of distinct vertices), while the remaining connected components are complete graphs (no loops, no parallel edges) and arise from G -trivial components of $\Gamma(Z)$.

Theorem 11.4 *If $k \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(T(H_k))$.*

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 11.3, it suffices to show that

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = T(H_k). \quad (63)$$

The direct inclusion follows from Lemma 11.2.

Conversely, let $Z \in T(H_k)$. Suppose that there exist edges $i \xrightarrow{g} j \xrightarrow{h} \ell$ in $\Gamma(Z)$ for some $g, h \in G$ but no edge $i \xrightarrow{gh} \ell$. Then $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell), (i, gh, \ell)\} \notin H$ and so $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell), (i, gh, \ell)\} \notin H_k$. However, $\{(i, g, j), (j, h, \ell)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$, yielding $Z \notin T(H_k)$. Thus we may assume that

$$i \xrightarrow{g} j, j \xrightarrow{h} \ell \in \Gamma(Z) \quad \text{implies} \quad i \xrightarrow{gh} \ell \in \Gamma(Z) \quad (64)$$

holds for all i, j, ℓ, g, h .


Suppose now that some connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ arises from a G -nontrivial component C of $\Gamma(Z)$. We claim that this same component must contain a loop. Indeed, let

$$i_0 \xrightarrow{g_1} i_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g_m} i_m = i_0$$

be a G -nontrivial cycle of $\Gamma(Z)$ of shortest length. Suppose that $m > 2$. By (64),

$$i_0 \xrightarrow{g_1} i_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{m-2}} i_{m-2} \xrightarrow{g_{m-1}g_m} i_m = i_0$$

is a shorter G -nontrivial cycle of C , a contradiction. Therefore $m \leq 2$. Suppose that $m = 2$. Then C contains edges



for some distinct $g, h \in G$. Note that $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap Z$ but $\{(i, g, j), (i, h, j), (i, y, i)\} \notin H$ (and therefore $\notin H_k$). Thus (i, y, i) and so the component C must contain some loop.

Next we show that at most one connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ can arise from a G -nontrivial component of $\Gamma(Z)$. Indeed, suppose there exist two such components. By the preceding claim, each of these two components contains a loop. Let $(i, y, i), (j, y, j)$ correspond to loops in different components. Then $\{(i, y, i), (j, y, j)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$ but $(i, 1, j) \notin Z$. Since $Z \in T(H_k)$, we get $\{(i, y, i), (j, y, j), (i, 1, j)\} \in H$, a contradiction. Thus at most one connected component of $\Gamma_0(Z)$ can arise from a G -nontrivial component of $\Gamma(Z)$.

Note that in this G -nontrivial component C each pair of vertices are connected by some edge in view of (64). Suppose that (i, y, i) produces a loop in C and j is another vertex of C . Then $(i, g, j) \in Z$ for some $g \in G$. Then $\{(i, y, i), (i, g, j)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$ but $\{(i, y, i), (i, g, j), (j, y, j)\} \notin H$. Since $Z \in T(H_k)$, it follows that $(j, y, j) \in Z$. Therefore C has loops at every vertex.

Finally, suppose that i, j are distinct vertices of C and $g \in G$. Then $\{(i, y, i), (j, y, j)\} \in H_{k-1} \cap 2^Z$ but $\{(i, y, i), (i, g, j), (j, y, j)\} \notin H$. Since $Z \in T(H_k)$, it follows that $(i, g, j) \in Z$. Therefore the unique G -nontrivial component of $\Gamma(Z)$ contains all possible edges (one loop at each vertex plus $|G|$ parallel edges between any pair of distinct vertices), while the remaining connected components are complete graphs (in view of (64)) and arise from G -trivial components of $\Gamma(Z)$. This implies that $Z \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ and so (63) holds as required. \square

12 Shellability for high dimensions

In this section, we discuss shellability in paving boolean representable simplicial complexes.

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{BPav}(d)$ for $d \geq 2$. We say that $F \in \text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is a *line* of \mathcal{H} if $d \leq |F| < |V|$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the set of lines of \mathcal{H} . Given $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$, write

$$L\mu = \{I \cup \{p\} \mid I \in P_d(L), p \in V \setminus L\}.$$

Lemma 12.1 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{BPav}(d)$. Then:*

- (i) $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \cup \{V\}$;
- (ii) if $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$ are distinct, then $|L \cap L'| \leq d - 1$;
- (iii) $H = P_{\leq d}(V) \cup (\bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}} L\mu)$.

Proof. (i) By [10, Lemma 6.1.1].

(ii) Suppose that $|L \cap L'| \geq d$. We may assume that $L \not\subseteq L'$ and take distinct elements $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in L \cap L'$. Since $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ is closed under intersection by [10, Proposition 4.2.2(ii)], then

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset L \cap L' \subset L \subset V$$

is a chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$, which admits a transversal of the successive differences with $d + 2$ elements, contradicting $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(d)$.

(iii) Since $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(d)$, we have $P_{\leq d}(V) \subset H \subseteq P_{\leq d+1}(V)$. Now it follows from the definition of flat that $\bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}} L\mu \subseteq H$.

Finally, let $I \in H \cap P_{d+1}(V)$. Then I is a transversal of the successive differences for some chain

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{d+1}$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$. Since this chain is necessarily maximal, we have $F_{d+1} = V$, and it follows from part (i) that $F_d \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence $I \in F_d\mu$ as required. \square

We denote by $\text{Fct}_i\mathcal{H}$ the set of facets of \mathcal{H} of dimension i . Since $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(d)$, we have $\text{Fct}\mathcal{H} = \text{Fct}_d\mathcal{H} \cup \text{Fct}_{d-1}\mathcal{H}$, and it follows from Lemma 12.1(iii) that

$$\text{Fct}_d\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}} L\mu. \tag{65}$$

Now define a simplicial complex $\mathcal{H}^* = (V^*, H^*)$ of dimension $d - 1$ by

$$V^* = \bigcup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad H^* = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}} P_{\leq d}(L).$$

We tried to determine if there is any relationship between shellability of $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(d)$ and shellability of \mathcal{H}^* . The following two examples show that neither of the two implications holds in general.

Example 12.2 Let $V = \underline{6}$ and let $H = P_{\leq 3}(V) \cup \{X \in P_4(V) \mid X \text{ contains three consecutive numbers}\}$. Then $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(3)$ is not shellable but \mathcal{H}^* it is so.

Indeed, it is straightforward to check that

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{123, 234, 345, 456, V\},$$

and it follows easily that \mathcal{H} is a BRSC. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{123, 234, 345, 456\}$ and $\mathcal{H}^* = (V, H^*)$ with

$$H^* = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{12, 13, 23, 24, 34, 35, 45, 46, 56\} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Thus $\text{Fct}\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $123, 234, 345, 456$ constitutes a shelling of \mathcal{H}^* .

Since $16 \in H$, we can consider the contraction

$$\mathcal{H}/16 = (2345, P_{\leq 1}(2345) \cup \{23, 45\}).$$

It is easy to check that a graph is shellable if and only if it has at most one nontrivial connected component. Hence $\mathcal{H}/16$ is not shellable. Since the class of shellable simplicial complexes is closed under contraction [10, Proposition 7.1.5], it follows that \mathcal{H} is not shellable either.

Example 12.3 Let $V = \underline{7}$ and let $H = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{X \in P_3(V) \mid X \text{ contains } 12, 23, 45, 56 \text{ or } 67\}$. Then $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(2)$ is shellable but \mathcal{H}^* is not.

Indeed, it is straightforward to check that

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{H} = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{12, 23, 45, 45, 56, 67, V\},$$

and it follows easily that \mathcal{H} is a BRSC. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{12, 23, 45, 45, 56, 67\}$ and $\mathcal{H}^* = (V, H^*)$ with

$$H^* = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Since the graph \mathcal{H}^* has two nontrivial connected components, then \mathcal{H}^* is not shellable.

Now \mathcal{H}^* coincides with the *graph of flats* defined in [10, Section 6.4]. Therefore \mathcal{H} is shellable by [10, Theorem 7.2.8].

The best we can do is to show that the implications just disproved hold in some particular cases:

Proposition 12.4 *If $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(2)$ and \mathcal{H}^* is shellable, so is \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. We have already remarked that \mathcal{H}^* is the graph of flats of \mathcal{H} , which has at least an edge since \mathcal{H}^* has dimension 1. Thus \mathcal{H}^* shellable is equivalent to say that the graph of flats of \mathcal{H} has a single nontrivial connected component. Therefore \mathcal{H} is shellable by [10, Theorem 7.2.8]. \square

Proposition 12.5 *Let $\mathcal{H} \in \text{BPav}(d)$ be shellable. If $V^* \subset V$, then \mathcal{H}^* is shellable.*

Proof. Let $z \in V \setminus V^*$. Since shellability is preserved under contraction, \mathcal{H}/z is shellable as well. Clearly,

$$\text{Fct}\mathcal{H}^* = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}} P_d(L).$$

We claim that

$$\text{Fct}\mathcal{H}^* = \text{Fct}_{d-1}\mathcal{H}/z. \quad (66)$$

Let $X \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}^*$. Then $X \in P_d(L)$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $z \in V \setminus V^*$, we have $X \cup \{z\} \in L\mu$ and so $X \cup \{z\} \in H$ by Lemma 12.1(iii). Thus $X \in H/z$. Since $|X| = d$ and \mathcal{H}/z has dimension $d-1$, we get $X \in \text{Fct}_{d-1}\mathcal{H}/z$.

Conversely, let $X \in \text{Fct}_{d-1}\mathcal{H}/z$. Then $X \cup \{z\} \in H$ and $|X| = d$. Hence there exists an enumeration x_1, \dots, x_{d+1} of the elements of $X \cup \{z\}$ and a chain

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{d+1}$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{H}$ such that $x_i \in F_i \setminus F_{i-1}$ for each $i \in \underline{d+1}$. In view of Lemma 12.1(i), we must have $F_{d+1} = V$, $F_d \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $|F_i| = i$ for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$. Since $z \notin F_d$, we must have $z = x_{d+1}$ and so $X \subseteq F_d$. Thus $X \in H^*$. Since $|X| = d$, we get $X \in \text{Fct}\mathcal{H}^*$. Therefore (66) holds.

Now \mathcal{H}/z is shellable. By [2], \mathcal{H}/z admits a shelling where the dimension of the facets is not increasing. In view (66), \mathcal{H}/z admits a shelling which starts by an enumeration of the facets of \mathcal{H}^* . Therefore \mathcal{H}^* is itself shellable. \square

13 Going up (or not) for paving complexes

Let $d \geq 1$ and let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$. Since $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V\} \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H)$ by Lemma 6.1(ii), we have $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) \geq d-1$.

Note that equality may occur, take for instance $V = 1234$ and $H = P_{\leq 2}(V) \cup \{123\}$, when $T(H) = P_{\leq 1}(V) \cup \{V\}$. However, if $\mathcal{H} \in \text{TBPAV}(d)$, then $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) \geq d$ because $H \subseteq J(T(H))$ by Theorem 6.2(ii). We say that $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(d)$ *goes up* if $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) > d$. Otherwise, we say that \mathcal{H} *does not go up*. We denote by $\text{GU}(d)$ (respectively $\text{NGU}(d)$) the class of all $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(d)$ which go up (respectively, do not go up).

Lemma 13.1 *Let $(V, H), (V, H') \in \text{Pav}(d)$ with $H \subseteq H'$. Then:*

$$(i) \quad T(H) \subseteq T(H');$$

$$(ii) \quad J(T(H)) \subseteq J(T(H')).$$

Proof. (i) Let $T \in T(H)$. Let $X \in H' \cap P_{\leq d}(T)$ and $p \in V \setminus T$. Since $H' \cap P_{\leq d}(T) = P_{\leq d}(T) = H \cap P_{\leq d}(T)$ and $T \in T(H)$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H$ and so $X \cup \{p\} \in H'$. Thus $T \in T(H')$.

(ii) Immediate. \square

Corollary 13.2 *Let $(V, H), (V, H') \in \text{Pav}(d)$ with $H \subseteq H'$.*

- (i) *If (V, H) goes up, so does (V, H') .*
- (ii) *If (V, H') does not go up, neither does (V, H) .*

Proof. (i) If (V, H) goes up, then there exists a chain

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \dots \subset T_{d+2} \tag{67}$$

in $T(H)$. By Lemma 13.1(i), this is also a chain in $T(H')$. Therefore (V, H') goes up.

(ii) By part (i). \square

In view of Corollary 13.2, it is only natural to define the following two classes of complexes:

- If $(V, H) \in \text{GU}(d)$ and $(V, H') \in \text{NGU}(d)$ whenever $H \supset H' \supset P_{\leq d}(V)$, we say that (V, H) is *minimal going up* and we write $(V, H) \in \text{mGU}(d)$.
- If $(V, H) \in \text{NGU}(d)$ and $(V, H') \in \text{GU}(d)$ whenever $H \subset H' \subseteq P_{\leq d+1}(V)$, we say that (V, H) is *minimal not going up* and we write $(V, H) \in \text{MNGU}(d)$.

Our main focus in this context is the class $\text{MNGU}(d)$.

Given $(V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$, we define a closure operator $\text{Cl}_T : 2^V \rightarrow T(H)$ by

$$\text{Cl}_T(X) = \bigcap \{T \in T(H) \mid X \subseteq T\}.$$

Note that Cl_T is well defined since $V \in T(H)$ and $T(H)$ is closed under intersection by Lemma 6.1(i).

Lemma 13.3 *Given $(V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *(V, H) goes up;*
- (ii) *There exist $X \in P_{d+1}(V)$ and $Y \in P_d(X)$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(Y) \subset \text{Cl}_T(X) \subset V$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If (V, H) goes up, there exists a chain of the form (67) in $T(H)$. Since $P_{\leq d-1}(V) \cup \{V\} \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{H} \subseteq T(H)$, we may assume that (67) is of the form

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset T_d \subset T_{d+1} \subset V.$$

If we pick $a_d \in T_d \setminus a_1 \dots a_{d-1}$, then $a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset \text{Cl}_T(a_1 \dots a_d) \subseteq T_d$. Choosing $a_{d+1} \in T_{d+1} \setminus T_d$, we get $\text{Cl}_T(a_1 \dots a_d) \subset \text{Cl}_T(a_1 \dots a_{d+1}) \subseteq T_{d+1} \subset V$, so condition (ii) is satisfied by $X = a_1 \dots a_{d+1}$ and $Y = a_1 \dots a_d$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). If a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} are distinct elements of Y , then we obtain a chain

$$\emptyset \subset a_1 \subset a_1 a_2 \subset \dots \subset a_1 \dots a_{d-1} \subset \text{Cl}_T(Y) \subset \text{Cl}_T(X) \subset V$$

in $T(H)$ and (V, H) goes up. \square

Lemma 13.4 *If $(V, H) \in \text{MNGU}(d)$ and $W \in P_{d+2}(V)$, then $H \cap P_{d+1}(W) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $H \cap P_{d+1}(W) = \emptyset$. Fix $W' \in P_{d+1}(W)$ and let $H' = H \cup \{W'\}$. Then $(V, H') \in \text{Pav}(d)$ and $T(H) \subseteq T(H')$ by Lemma 13.1(i). Suppose that $F \in T(H')$. Let $X \in H \cap P_{\leq d}(F)$ and $p \in V \setminus F$. Since $H \subseteq H'$ and $F \in T(H')$, we get $X \cup \{p\} \in H'$. Suppose that $X \cup \{p\} = W'$. Then $W = X \cup \{p, q\}$ for some other vertex q . Now $F \in T(H')$, $X \in H' \cap P_{\leq d}(F)$ and $X \cup \{q\} \notin H'$ together yield $q \in F$. Take now $r \in W \setminus \{p, q\}$. Then $F \in T(H')$, $W \setminus \{r, p\} \in H' \cap P_{\leq d}(F)$ and $W \setminus \{r\} \notin H'$ together yield $p \in F$, we reach a contradiction. Hence $X \cup \{p\} \in H$ and so $T(H') = T(H)$. Since $\dim \mathcal{J}(T(H)) \leq \dim(V, H) = \dim(V, H')$, it follows that $(V, H') \in \text{NGU}(d)$, contradicting $(V, H) \in \text{MNGU}(d)$. Therefore $H \cap P_{d+1}(W) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Given $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{Pav}(d)$, we define the *defect* of \mathcal{H} through

$$\text{def}\mathcal{H} = P_{d+1}(V) \setminus H.$$

If $d = 1$, we can view $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$ as the set of edges of a graph with vertex set V , denoted by $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 13.5 *The following conditions are equivalent for $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(1)$:*

- (i) $\mathcal{H} \in \text{GU}(1)$;
- (ii) $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ has more than two connected components.

Proof. Write $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$. we start by showing that

$$T(H) \text{ consists of unions of connected components of } \text{Def}\mathcal{H}. \quad (68)$$

Indeed, let $C \subseteq V$ be a union of connected components of $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $X \in H \cap P_{<1}(C)$ and $p \in V \setminus C$. Since $P_1(V) \subseteq H$, we may assume that $X = \{x\}$. If $xp \notin H$, then xp would be an edge of $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$, contradicting $p \notin C$. Hence $X \cup \{p\} \in H$ and so $C \in T(H)$.

Suppose now that $T \in T(H)$ and there exists some edge pq in $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ with $q \in T$. Since $pq \notin H$ and $q \in H \cap P_{\leq 1}(T)$, it follows from $T \in T(H)$ that $p \in T$ as well. Therefore T is a union of connected components of $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ and (68) holds.

But $\mathcal{H} \in \text{GU}(1)$ if and only if there exists some chain of the form

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset T_3$$

in $T(H)$. In view of (68), this is possible if and only if $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ has at least three connected components. If C_1, C_2, C_3 are three distinct connected components, then $\emptyset \subset C_1 \subset C_1 \cup C_2 \subset C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ is the desired chain in $T(H)$. \square

Corollary 13.6 *The following conditions are equivalent for $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(1)$:*

- (i) $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(1)$;

(ii) $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ is a forest with exactly two connected components.

Proof. By Proposition 13.5, $\mathcal{H} \in \text{NGU}(1)$ if and only if $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ has at most two connected components.

Suppose that $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ is connected. Let pq be an edge of $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ and let $H' = H \cup \{pq\}$. Then $\text{Def}(V, H')$ is obtained by removing the edge pq from $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$, hence $\text{Def}(V, H')$ has at most two connected components. It follows that $(V, H') \in \text{NGU}(1)$ and so $\mathcal{H} \notin \text{NGU}(1)$.

Suppose now that $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ contains a cycle. Then we can remove an edge pq from $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ without changing the number of connected components. This corresponds to adding pq to H to obtain $(V, H \cup \{pq\}) \in \text{NGU}(1)$. Thus $\mathcal{H} \notin \text{NGU}(1)$.

Therefore (i) implies (ii). Assume now condition (ii). If we remove an edge from a forest with two connected components, we obtain three connected components. Hence any complex (V, H') such that $H' \subset H$ is in $\text{GU}(1)$ by Proposition 13.5. Thus $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(1)$ as required. \square

Corollary 13.7 *The following conditions are equivalent for $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Pav}(1)$:*

(i) $\mathcal{H} \in \text{mGU}(1)$;

(ii) $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ is a union of three disjoint cliques.

Proof. We can adapt the arguments used in the proof of Corollary 13.6. Indeed, it follows from Proposition 13.5 that having at least three connected components in $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ is a necessary condition for $\mathcal{H} \in \text{mGU}(1)$. Now we are looking for graphs such that, by adding any edge, we obtain a graph with less than three connected components. This happens precisely when $\text{Def}\mathcal{H}$ is a union of three disjoint cliques. \square

We compute next the complexes in $\text{MNGU}(2)$ with 4, 5 and 6 vertices.

Proposition 13.8 *Up to isomorphism, there is only one $\mathcal{H} = (1234, H) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, which can be defined by $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{123\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = (1234, H) \in \text{GU}(2)$. By Lemma 13.3, there exist distinct $a, b, c \in 1234$ such that $ab, abc \in T(H)$. We may assume that $12, 123 \in T(H)$. Since $123 \in T(H)$, 4 cannot occur in $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$. But $12 \in T(H)$, so $123 \in H$ and so $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$. Now the claim follows. \square

Proposition 13.9 *For five vertices, there exist two isomorphism classes in $\text{MNGU}(2)$, with representatives $\mathcal{H}_i = (12345, H_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) defined by $\text{def}\mathcal{H}_1 = \{123, 124, 134\}$ and $\text{def}\mathcal{H}_2 = \{123, 345\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}_1 \in \text{GU}(2)$. By Lemma 13.3, there exist distinct $a, b, c \in 12345$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset 12345$. But $|abc \cap 1234| \geq 2$, and it is easy to see that $\text{Cl}_T(X) = 1234$ for every $X \in P_2(1234)$. Hence $T(abc) = 1234$, yielding $T(ab) = 1234$ as well, a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{H}_1 \in \text{NGU}(2)$.

Suppose now that we add a triangle to H_1 . Without loss of generality, we may consider the complex $\mathcal{H}' = (12345, H')$ defined by $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' = \{123, 124, \}$. But then we get a chain

$$\emptyset \subset 3 \subset 34 \subset 345 \subset V$$

and so \mathcal{H}' goes up. Thus $\mathcal{H}_1 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{H}_2 \in \text{GU}(2)$. By Lemma 13.3, there exist distinct $a, b, c \in 12345$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset 12345$. If $abc \in \{123, 345\}$, then $T(abc) = abc = T(ab)$. Otherwise, we may assume out of symmetry that $|abc \cap 123| = 2$ and it follows easily that $T(abc) = 12345$, in any case a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{H}_2 \in \text{NGU}(2)$.

Suppose now that we add a triangle to H_2 . Without loss of generality, we may consider the complex $\mathcal{H}'' = (12345, H'')$ defined by $\text{def}\mathcal{H}'' = \{123\}$. Since \mathcal{H}'' contains $\mathcal{H}_1 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$ as a proper subcomplex, it follows that \mathcal{H}'' goes up. Thus $\mathcal{H}_2 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

It is obvious that \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are not isomorphic. Now let $(12345, H) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$ be arbitrary.

If $|\text{def}\mathcal{H}| \leq 2$, then either $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_2$ or contains a proper subcomplex isomorphic to either \mathcal{H}_1 or \mathcal{H}_2 . Thus we may assume that $|\text{def}\mathcal{H}| \geq 3$. We may also assume that

$$|abc \cap def| \geq 2 \text{ for any distinct } abc, def \in \text{def}\mathcal{H} \quad (69)$$

otherwise \mathcal{H} contains a proper subcomplex isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_2 .

We may now assume that $123, 124 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Let xyz be a third element of $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$. If $5 \notin xyz$, then \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to a subcomplex of \mathcal{H}_1 , hence $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_1$. Thus, in view of (69), we may assume that $125 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$ as well.

If $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{123, 124, 125\}$, then $\text{Cl}_T(34) = 34 \subset 345 = \text{Cl}_T(345)$, hence \mathcal{H} goes up by Lemma 13.3. Thus we may assume that $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$ contains a fourth element uvw . But then $|uvw \cap 12k| = 1$ for some $k \in 345$ and so \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to a proper subcomplex of \mathcal{H}_2 . Therefore \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to either \mathcal{H}_1 or \mathcal{H}_2 . \square

Proposition 13.10 *For six vertices, there exist ten isomorphism classes in $\text{MNGU}(2)$, with representatives $\mathcal{M}_i = (123456, M_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) defined by:*

- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_1 = \{124, 134, 234, 356\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_2 = \{124, 134, 234, 456\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_3 = \{124, 134, 234, 135, 245\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_4 = \{124, 134, 234, 145, 245, 345\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_5 = \{123, 134, 125, 346\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_6 = \{123, 134, 256, 346\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_7 = \{123, 134, 245, 356\}$,
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_8 = \{123, 134, 235, 346, 356\}$,

- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_9 = \{123, 134, 145, 235, 245\}$.
- $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10} = \{123, 146, 245, 356\}$.

Proof. Write $V = 123456$. If $V = a_1 \dots a_6$ and $\mathcal{H} = (V, H)$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(a_1, \dots, a_6)$ the complex obtained from \mathcal{H} by replacing j by a_j for every $j \in V$.

We start showing that $\mathcal{M}_i \in \text{MNGU}(2)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 10$.

\mathcal{M}_1 : Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. If $abc \subset 1234$, then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 1234 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. If $abc = 356$, then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 345 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. In any other case, it is easy to deduce first that $3 \in \text{Cl}_T(abc)$ and then $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. Therefore we cannot have $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$ and so $\mathcal{M}_1 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_1 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_1$:

- If we remove 356, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.
- If we remove 124, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 125 = \text{Cl}_T(125)$.
- If we remove 134, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 1356 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.

The remaining case follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_1 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_2 : Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. If $abc \subset 1234$, then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 1234 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. If $abc = 356$, then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 345 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. In any other case, it is easy to deduce first that $4 \in \text{Cl}_T(abc)$ and then $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. Therefore we cannot have $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$ and so $\mathcal{M}_2 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_2 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_2$:

- If we remove 356, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.
- If we remove 124, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 125 = \text{Cl}_T(125)$.

The remaining case follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_2 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_3 : If $X \in P_3(V)$, then $|X \cap 12345| \geq 2$. Since every $Y \in P_2(12345)$ is contained in some $Z \in \text{def}\mathcal{M}_3$, it is easy to check that $\text{Cl}_T(Y) = V$ and therefore $\text{Cl}_T(X) = V$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_3 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_3 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_3$:

- If we remove 134, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 135 \subset 1356 = \text{Cl}_T(1356)$.
- Whichever other element we remove, we end up with some distinct $a, b \in 12345$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = ab \subset ab6 = \text{Cl}_T(ab6)$.

Thus $\mathcal{M}_3 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_4 : It is easy to see that $\text{Cl}_T(X) = 12345$ for every $X \in P_2(12345)$. Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. Then we may assume that $6 \in ab$, but then $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_4 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_4 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_4$:

- If we remove 124, we get $\text{Cl}_T(12) = 12 \subset 126 = \text{Cl}_T(126)$.
- If we remove 145, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.

The remaining cases follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_4 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_5 : Suppose that $X \in P_3(V)$. It is easy to check that $|X \cap Y| \geq 2$ for some $Y \in \text{def}\mathcal{M}_5$, and this eventually yields $\text{Cl}_T(X) = V$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_5 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_5 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_5$:

- If we remove 125, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.
- If we remove 123, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 125 \subset 1256 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.

The remaining cases follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_5 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_6 : Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. If $abc \subset 12346$, then $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$ in any case. If $5 \in abc$ and $abc \cap 134 \neq \emptyset$, we also get $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. So it remains the case $abc = 256$, but then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 256 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_6 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_6 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_6$:

- If we remove 123, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 1256 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.
- If we remove 134, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 145 = \text{Cl}_T(145)$.
- If we remove 256, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.

The remaining case follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_6 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_7 : Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. Since $\text{Cl}_T(12) = \text{Cl}_T(13) = \text{Cl}_T(14) = \text{Cl}_T(23) = \text{Cl}_T(34) = V$, we may assume that $abc = 245$ or 246 or $i56$ with $i \in 1234$. If $abc = 245$, then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 245 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. If $abc = 246$, then we successively deduce $5, 3 \in \text{Cl}_T(abc)$ and therefore $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. If $abc = 356$, then $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 356 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$. Finally, if $abc = i56$ with $i \in 124$, we get $3 \in \text{Cl}_T(abc)$ and consequently $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_7 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_7 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_7$:

- If we remove 123, we get $\text{Cl}_T(12) = 12 \subset 126 = \text{Cl}_T(126)$.

- If we remove 245, we get $\text{Cl}_T(25) = 25 \subset 245 = \text{Cl}_T(245)$.
- If we remove 356, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.

The remaining case follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_7 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_8 : Suppose that $X \in P_3(V)$. It is easy to check that $|X \cap Y| \geq 2$ for some $Y \in \text{def}\mathcal{M}_5$, and this eventually yields $\text{Cl}_T(X) = V$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_8 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_8 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_8$. If we remove 123, we get $\text{Cl}_T(12) = 12 \subset 126 = \text{Cl}_T(126)$. Now we can picture $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$ building a planar graph from a cycle 125641 and a central vertex 3 of degree 5. Hence the remaining cases follow by symmetry, and so $\mathcal{M}_8 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_9 : It is easy to check that $\text{Cl}_T(X) = 12345$ for every $X \in P_2(12345)$. Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. If $6 \in abc$, it follows that $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$. If $6 \notin abc$, we get $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = 12345 = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$, a contradiction in either case. Thus $\mathcal{M}_9 \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_9 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_9$:

- If we remove 145, we get $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$.
- If we remove 123, we get $\text{Cl}_T(12) = 12 \subset 126 = \text{Cl}_T(126)$.
- If we remove 134, we get $\text{Cl}_T(34) = 34 \subset 346 = \text{Cl}_T(346)$.

The remaining case follows by symmetry, thus $\mathcal{M}_9 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

\mathcal{M}_{10} : Notice that we can picture $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$ taking four nonadjacent faces of an octahedron, which ensures a remarkable degree of symmetry in $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$. Suppose that there exist distinct $a, b, c \in V$ such that $\text{Cl}_T(ab) \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc) \subset V$. If $abc \in \text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$, we get $\text{Cl}_T(ab) = abc = \text{Cl}_T(abc)$, hence we may assume that $abc \notin \text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$. It is easy to check that $|abc \cap X| = 2$ for some $X \in \text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$, hence $X \subset \text{Cl}_T(abc)$, which must contain also a fourth element. This easily yields $\text{Cl}_T(abc) = V$, thus $\mathcal{M}_{10} \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

To show that $\mathcal{M}_{10} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, we must show that condition (ii) in Lemma 13.3 holds when we remove one element from $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$. If we remove 146, we get $\text{Cl}_T(14) = 14 \subset 146 = \text{Cl}_T(146)$. The remaining cases follow by symmetry, therefore $\mathcal{M}_{10} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

We show next that the complexes \mathcal{M}_i ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) are all mutually nonisomorphic.

Clearly, \mathcal{M}_4 cannot be isomorphic to any other complex because has larger defect. The same cardinality argument separates \mathcal{M}_3 , \mathcal{M}_8 and \mathcal{M}_9 from the remaining cases. Now $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{M}_8 = V \supset \cup \text{def}\mathcal{M}_3 = \cup \text{def}\mathcal{M}_9$, hence $\mathcal{M}_3 \not\cong \mathcal{M}_8 \not\cong \mathcal{M}_9$. Now $\mathcal{M}_3 \not\cong \mathcal{M}_9$ because 4 occurs in four elements of $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_3$, but no element occurs in four elements of $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_9$.

We also separate \mathcal{M}_{10} from the others because $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_{10}$ is a PEG and this happens in no other case.

We still have to separate the cases \mathcal{M}_i for $i \in 12567$. The property

$$\text{there exists } W \in P_4(V) \text{ such that } |P_3(W) \cap \text{def}\mathcal{M}_i| = 3$$

separates \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 from the others. Since $\cap \text{def}\mathcal{M}_1 = \emptyset \neq \cap \text{def}\mathcal{M}_2$, then $\mathcal{M}_1 \not\cong \mathcal{M}_2$. Now the number of vertices which appear in just a single element of $\text{def}\mathcal{M}_i$ is 2 when $i = 5$ and 1 when $i \in 67$. Thus we only have to separate \mathcal{M}_6 from \mathcal{M}_7 . Since the property

there exists some $a \in V$ such that, for every $b \in V \setminus \{a\}$, $ab \subset X$ for some $X \in \text{def}\mathcal{M}_i$

holds for $i = 7$ but not for $i = 6$, we get $\mathcal{M}_6 \not\cong \mathcal{M}_7$. Therefore the complexes \mathcal{M}_i ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) are all mutually nonisomorphic.

Finally, we prove that every $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$.

We define

$$\omega(\mathcal{H}) = \min\{|H \cap P_3(X)| : X \in P_4(V)\}.$$

Since $|P_3(X)| = 4$ for every $X \in P_4(V)$, we have $0 \leq \omega(\mathcal{H}) \leq 4$. However, we claim that values 0 and 4 can be excluded. Indeed $\omega(\mathcal{H}) > 0$ by Lemma 13.4, and $\omega(\mathcal{H}) = 4$ yields $\mathcal{H} = U_{3,6} \in \text{GU}(2)$, thus we may split our discussion into four mutually exclusive cases.

Case A: $\omega(\mathcal{H}) = 1$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $H \cap P_3(1234) = \{123\}$. This implies $\{124, 134, 234\} \subseteq \text{def}\mathcal{H}$.

Suppose first that $a56 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$ for some $a \in 1234$. If $a = 4$, then $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supseteq \text{def}\mathcal{M}_2$, hence $H \subseteq M_2$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_2$ since $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$. Thus, out of symmetry, we may assume that $a = 3$, yielding $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supseteq \text{def}\mathcal{M}_1$ and consequently $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_1$.

Thus we may assume that $a56 \in H$ for every $i \in 1234$.

Suppose now that $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{H} \subset V$. Note that we cannot have $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{H} = 1234$ since otherwise $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{124, 134, 234\}$ and we have already established that $\mathcal{M}_1 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$. Hence we may assume that $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{H} = 12345$. It follows that $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{124, 134, 234\} \cup Q$ for some nonempty $Q \subseteq \{125, 135, 235, 145, 245, 345\}$.

Write

$$\text{def}_2\mathcal{H} = \cup_{X \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}} P_2(X).$$

Suppose that $i5 \notin \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$ for some $i \in 1234$. Then $\text{Cl}_T(i5) = i5 \subset i56 = \text{Cl}_T(i56)$ and so $\mathcal{H} \in \text{GU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3. Hence $15, 25, 35, 45 \in \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$.

If $Q \supseteq \{145, 245, 345\}$, the usual maximality argument yields $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_4$. Thus, out of symmetry, we may assume that $345 \notin Q$. Suppose that $145, 245 \in Q$. Since $35 \in \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$, we get $135 \in Q$ or $235 \in Q$. If $135 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_3 \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, hence $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_3$. If $235 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_3(2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, hence $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_3$. Therefore we may assume that $245 \notin Q$. Since $45 \in \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$, we get $145 \in Q$.

If $235 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_3(2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, hence $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_3$. Hence we may assume that $235 \notin Q$. Since $25, 35 \in \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$, we get $Q = \{125, 135, 145\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup \{134\})$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' = \{124, 234, 125, 135, 145\}$, hence $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{M}_3(2, 5, 4, 1, 3, 6) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, contradicting $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

Therefore $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_3$ or \mathcal{M}_4 if $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{H} \subset V$. Thus we assume now that $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{H} = V$.

Suppose that $i5, i6 \notin \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$ for some $i \in 1234$. Then $\text{Cl}_T(i5) = i5 \subset i56 = \text{Cl}_T(i56)$ and so $\mathcal{H} \in \text{GU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3. Hence, for each $i \in 1234$, at least one among $i5, i6$ belongs to $\text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$.

Suppose next that

$$\{i45, i46\} \cap \text{def}\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } i \in 123. \quad (70)$$

Then we may assume that both 5 and 6 occur in these intersections, otherwise \mathcal{H} would be a proper subcomplex of either \mathcal{M}_4 or $\mathcal{M}_4(1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 5)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $145, 345, 246 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup \{124, 234\})$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' \supseteq \{134, 145, 345, 246\}$, hence \mathcal{H}' is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_2(1, 5, 3, 4, 2, 6)$. This contradicts $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, hence (70) fails.

Thus, out of symmetry, we may assume that $345, 346 \in H$. Suppose that

$$\{i45, i46\} \cap \text{def}\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } i \in 12. \quad (71)$$

We know that at least one among 35, 36 belongs to $\text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $35 \in \text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$. Since $345 \in H$, we may assume out of symmetry that $135 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. But by (71), at least one among 245, 246 belongs to $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$. If $245 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of \mathcal{M}_3 , a contradiction, hence we may assume that $246 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup \{234\})$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' \supseteq \{124, 134, 135, 246\}$, hence \mathcal{H}' is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_5(1, 3, 4, 2, 5, 6) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, a contradiction. Therefore (71) also fails, and we may assume that $245, 246 \in H$.

Since at least one among 45, 46 belongs to $\text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$, we may assume out of symmetry that $145 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. If 235 or $236 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, we proceed as in the discussion of (71), hence we may assume that $235, 236 \in H$. Therefore we have

$$245, 246, 345, 346, 235, 236 \in H, \quad 124, 134, 234, 145 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}.$$

On the other side, for each $i \in 23$, at least one among $i5, i6$ belongs to $\text{def}_2\mathcal{H}$. Thus

$$\{125, 126\} \cap \text{def}\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset, \quad \{135, 136\} \cap \text{def}\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset.$$

If $125, 135 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, we get a subcomplex of one of the complexes studied in the subcase $\cup \text{def}\mathcal{H} \subset V$, hence we may assume out of symmetry that $126 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. We are now left with a final alternative:

Suppose that $135 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup \{124, 234\})$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' \supseteq \{134, 126, 135, 145\}$, hence \mathcal{H}' is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_2(4, 5, 3, 1, 2, 6) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, a contradiction.

Suppose now that $136 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup \{124, 134\})$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' \supseteq \{234, 126, 136, 145\}$, hence \mathcal{H}' is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(6, 2, 1, 3, 4, 5) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, also a contradiction.

Case B: $\omega(\mathcal{H}) = 2$ and there exist distinct $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in V$ such that $a_1a_2a_3, a_1a_3a_4, a_2a_3a_5 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$.

We may assume that $a_i = i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$.

Subcase B1: $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \cap P_3(12345) \supset \{123, 134, 235\}$.

Suppose first that $345 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Note that $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supset \{123, 134, 235, 345\}$, otherwise $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 12345 = \text{Cl}_T(125)$. Let $X \in \text{def}\mathcal{H} \setminus \{123, 134, 235, 345\}$. If $6 \notin X$, we fall into Case A, hence we may assume that $6 \in X$. Out of symmetry, we may assume that $X \in \{256, 356, 246\}$.

Suppose that $X = 256$. Let $\mathcal{H}' = (V, H \cup \{123\})$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}' \supseteq \{134, 235, 345, 256\}$, hence \mathcal{H}' is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_5(3, 4, 5, 2, 1, 6) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$, a contradiction.

Suppose next that $X = 356$ and $126, 146, 256, 456 \notin \text{def}\mathcal{H}$ (out of symmetry). Note that $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supset \{123, 134, 235, 345, 356\}$, otherwise $\text{Cl}_T(24) = 24 \subset 246 = \text{Cl}_T(246)$. To avoid this inclusion, $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$ must contain some Y such that $6 \in Y$ and $|Y \cap 24| \neq \emptyset$. Out of symmetry, we may assume that

$Y \in \{236, 246\}$. Now $Y = 236$ makes us fall into Case A, and $Y = 246$ yields a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 5) \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

Finally, suppose that $X = 246$ and $126, 146, 256, 456, 136, 236, 346, 356 \notin \text{def}\mathcal{H}$ (out of symmetry). Note that $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supset \{123, 134, 246, 345\}$, otherwise $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$. With all the exclusions stated, the only possibility left is now $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{123, 134, 156, 246, 345\}$. But now \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $M_7(3, 2, 1, 4, 6, 5)$.

Hence we may assume that $345 \notin \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. To avoid falling back into Case A, and since we are in Subcase B1, we may assume that $\{145, 245\} \cap \text{def}\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$. If $145, 245 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supseteq \{123, 134, 235, 145, 245\}$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_9$ since $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

On the other hand, if we define $\mathcal{J} = (V, J)$ and $\mathcal{J}' = (V, J')$ by $\text{def}\mathcal{J} = \{123, 134, 235, 245\}$ and $\text{def}\mathcal{J}' = \{123, 134, 235, 145\}$, then $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}(4, 3, 1, 5, 2, 6)$, hence we may assume that $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{123, 134, 235, 245\} \cup Q$, where $6 \in X$ for every $X \in Q$.

- If $126 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(5, 3, 2, 4, 1, 6)$, a contradiction.
- If $136 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_5(2, 5, 3, 1, 4, 6)$, a contradiction.
- If $146 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_5(1, 4, 3, 2, 6, 5)$, a contradiction.
- If $156 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(3, 2, 1, 4, 5, 6)$, a contradiction.
- If $256 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_5(2, 5, 3, 1, 6, 4)$, a contradiction.
- If $356 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of \mathcal{M}_7 , a contradiction.
- If $456 \in Q$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_6(1, 4, 3, 2, 6, 5)$, a contradiction.

Therefore we must have $Q \supseteq \{236, 246, 346\}$. But then we have in any case $\text{Cl}_T(15) = 15 \subset 156 = \text{Cl}_T(156)$, contradicting $\mathcal{H} \in \text{MNGU}(2)$.

Subcase B2: $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \cap P_3(12345) = \{123, 134, 235\}$.

Since $\{123, 134, 235\} \subset \text{def}\mathcal{M}_9$, then $\text{def}\mathcal{H}$ must contain extra elements, and 6 must belong to each one of them.

- If $456 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_6(1, 4, 3, 2, 6, 5)$ and so $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_6$.
- If $146 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_5(1, 4, 3, 2, 6, 5)$ and so $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_5$.
- If $256 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_5$ follows out of symmetry.

Thus we may assume that $456, 146, 256 \notin \text{def}\mathcal{H}$.

Suppose now that $126 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. If $|X \cap 456| \leq 1$ for every $X \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, we get $\text{Cl}_T(56) = 56 \subset 456 = \text{Cl}_T(456)$, a contradiction in view of Lemma 13.3. Hence there exists some $X \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$ such that $|X \cap 456| \geq 2$. If $X = 456$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_6(1, 5, 2, 3, 4, 6)$, a contradiction. Thus $|X \cap 456| = 2$, and we can assume out of symmetry that $X \cap 456 = 56$ and $X = 156$. But then we fall into Subcase B1 by applying the permutation $(12)(465)$ to V .

Therefore we may also assume that $126 \notin \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Then $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{123, 134, 235\} \cup Q$ for some nonempty

$$Q \subseteq \{136, 156, 236, 246, 346, 356\}.$$

If 2 and 4 do not occur in Q , we get $\text{Cl}_T(24) = 24 \subset 246 = \text{Cl}_T(246)$, a contradiction. Similarly, 1 or 5 must occur in Q , and 4 or 6 must occur in Q as well. Hence

$$(4 \text{ and } 1 \text{ occur in } Q) \text{ or } (4 \text{ and } 5 \text{ occur in } Q) \text{ or } (2 \text{ and } 5 \text{ occur in } Q).$$

Out of symmetry, we may assume that one of the first two alternatives holds. Also out of symmetry, we can restrict the discussion to five cases:

- If $246, 136 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(1, 4, 3, 6, 2, 5)$, a contradiction.
- If $246, 156 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_{10}(3, 2, 5, 4, 6, 1)$, a contradiction.
- If $346, 136 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, we fall into Case A since $H \cap P_3(1346) = \{146\}$.
- If $346, 156 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is a proper subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(2, 5, 3, 1, 6, 4)$, a contradiction.
- If $246, 136 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_8$.

Case C: $\omega(\mathcal{H}) = 2$ and there exist no distinct $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in V$ such that $a_1a_2a_3, a_1a_3a_4, a_2a_3a_5 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$.

The assumptions made so far imply that $\text{def}\mathcal{H} = \{123, 134, 235\} \cup Q$ with

$$Q \subseteq \{135, 136, 245, 246, 156, 256, 356, 456\}.$$

Suppose first that $245, 246 \notin Q$.

- If $256, 456 \notin Q$, then $\text{Cl}_T(24) = 24 \subset 245 = \text{Cl}_T(245)$, contradicting Lemma 13.3.
- If $135, 136 \notin Q$, then $\text{Cl}_T(24) = 24 \subset 1234 = \text{Cl}_T(124)$, contradicting Lemma 13.3.
- If $156, 356 \notin Q$, then $\text{Cl}_T(24) = 24 \subset \text{Cl}_T(245) \subseteq 2456$, contradicting Lemma 13.3.

Thus we may assume out of symmetry that $135, 156, 256 \in Q$. But then we fall into Case B.

Suppose next that $245, 246 \in Q$. To avoid Case A, it follows that $256, 456 \notin Q$. Suppose that $156, 356 \notin Q$. Then $\text{Cl}_T(56) = 56 \subset 256 = \text{Cl}_T(2456)$, contradicting Lemma 13.3. Out of symmetry, we may assume that $156 \in Q$ and so $\text{def}\mathcal{H} \supseteq \{123, 134, 245, 246, 156\}$. But then \mathcal{H} is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{M}_7(2, 5, 4, 6, 1, 3)$, thus $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{M}_7$.

Therefore we may assume that $|Q \cap \{245, 246\}| = 1$. Out of symmetry, we may assume that $245 \in Q$. Suppose that $156, 256, 356 \notin Q$. Then $\text{Cl}_T(26) = 26 \subset 2456 = \text{Cl}_T(246)$, contradicting Lemma 13.3. It follows that $Q \cap \{156, 256, 356\} \neq \emptyset$.

- If $156 \in Q$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_7(3, 2, 1, 4, 5, 6)$.
- If $356 \in Q$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_7$.

Thus we may assume that $Q \cap \{156, 256, 356\} = \{256\}$. Suppose that $456 \in Q$. Then we fall into Case A since $H \cap P_3(2456) = \{246\}$. Hence $456 \notin Q$. But then $\text{Cl}_T(46) = 46 \subset 2456 = \text{Cl}_T(246)$, contradicting Lemma 13.3.

Case D: $\omega(\mathcal{H}) = 3$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $123, 245 \in \text{def}\mathcal{H}$. Since $\{123, 245\} \subset \text{def}\mathcal{M}_7$, there exists some $X \in \text{def}\mathcal{H} \setminus \{123, 245\}$. Since $|X \cap 123|, |X \cap 245| \leq 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $X = 356$. Since $\{123, 245, 356\} \subset \text{def}\mathcal{M}_7$, there exists some $Y \in \text{def}\mathcal{H} \setminus \{123, 245, 356\}$. Since $|Y \cap Z| \leq 1$ for every $Z \in \{123, 245, 356\}$, it follows that $2, 3, 5 \notin Y$. Thus $Z = 146$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_{10}$. \square

13.1 Computing $\text{mGU}(2)$

Let (V, H) and (V', H') be two simplicial complexes. Given a bijection $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$, we write $H\varphi = \{X\varphi \mid X \in H\}$. We will adopt the notation $(V, H) \leq (V', H')$ to express that there exists a bijection $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $H\varphi \subseteq H'$.

In this section, we adopt the notation $V_n = 1 \dots n$. Given natural numbers $2 \leq i < j < n$, we define a simplicial complex $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) = (V_n, J(i, j, n))$, where

$$J(i, j, n) = P_{\leq 2}(V_n) \cup \{aa'b \mid 1 \leq a < a' \leq i < b \leq j\} \cup \{bb'c \mid 1 \leq b < b' \leq j < c \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 13.11 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{GU}(2)$. Then $\mathcal{H} \geq \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ for some natural numbers $2 \leq i < j < n$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{H} goes up, it must have at least 4 vertices. Since $\mathcal{H} \in \text{mGU}(2)$, it follows from Lemma 13.3 that there exists a chain $A \subset B \subset V$ in $T(H)$ with $|A| \geq 2$. Replacing \mathcal{H} by an isomorphic image if needed, we may assume that $V = V_n$, $A = 1 \dots i$ and $B = 1 \dots j$. Hence $2 \leq i < j < n$.

Suppose that $1 \leq a < a' \leq i < b \leq j$. Since $aa' \in H$, $aa' \subseteq A \in T(H)$ and $b \notin A$, we get $aa'b \in H$. Suppose now that $1 \leq b < b' \leq j < c \leq n$. Since $bb' \in H$, $bb' \subseteq B \in T(H)$ and $c \notin B$, we get $bb'c \in H$.

Thus $J(i, j, n) \subseteq H$ and so $\mathcal{H} \geq \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$. \square

Lemma 13.12 (i) *If $2 \leq i < n - 1$, then $\mathcal{J}(2, i + 1, n) \leq \mathcal{J}(i, n - 1, n)$.*

(ii) *If also $n > 4$, then $\mathcal{J}(2, i + 1, n) \not\leq \mathcal{J}(i, n - 1, n)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi : V_n \rightarrow V_n$ be the cyclic permutation $(n \dots 321)$. We show that $(\mathcal{J}(2, i + 1, n))\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{J}(i, n - 1, n)$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{J}(2, i + 1, n) \cap P_3(V_n)$. Suppose first that $X = aa'b$ with $1 \leq a < a' \leq 2 < b \leq i + 1$. Then $X\varphi = 1(b - 1)n \in \mathcal{J}(i, n - 1, n)$.

Suppose now that $X = bb'c$ with $1 \leq b < b' \leq i + 1 < c \leq n$. Consider first the case $b = 1$. Then $X\varphi = (b' - 1)(c - 1)n \in \mathcal{J}(i, n - 1, n)$. On the other hand, if $b > 1$, then $X\varphi = (b - 1)(b' - 1)(c - 1) \in \mathcal{J}(i, n - 1, n)$ as well.

Therefore $(J(2, i+1, n))\varphi \subseteq J(i, n-1, n)$ and so $\mathcal{J}(2, i+1, n) \leq \mathcal{J}(i, n-1, n)$.

(ii) A cardinality argument will suffice. Let $(n-3)(n-2)n \in J(i, n-1, n)$. Then $((n-3)(n-2)n)\varphi^{-1} = 1(n-2)(n-1) \notin J(2, i+1, n)$. Therefore $(J(2, i+1, n))\varphi \subset J(i, n-1, n)$ and so $\mathcal{J}(2, i+1, n) \not\leq \mathcal{J}(i, n-1, n)$. \square

Lemma 13.13 *If $3 \leq i < n-1$, then $\mathcal{J}(2, i+1, n) \leq \mathcal{J}(i, i+1, n)$ and $\mathcal{J}(2, i+1, n) \not\leq \mathcal{J}(i, i+1, n)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : V_n \rightarrow V_n$ be the cyclic permutation $((i+1)i \dots 321)$. We show that $(J(2, i+1, n))\varphi \subseteq J(i, i+1, n)$.

Let $X \in J(2, i+1, n) \cap P_3(V_n)$. Suppose first that $X = aa'b$ with $1 \leq a < a' \leq 2 < b \leq i+1$. Then $X\varphi = 1(b-1)(i+1) \in J(i, i+1, n)$.

Suppose now that $X = bb'c$ with $1 \leq b < b' \leq i+1 < c \leq n$. Consider first the case $b = 1$. Then $X\varphi = (b'-1)(i+1)c \in J(i, i+1, n)$. On the other hand, if $b > 1$, then $X\varphi = (b-1)(b'-1)c \in J(i, i+1, n)$ as well.

Therefore $(J(2, i+1, n))\varphi \subseteq J(i, i+1, n)$ and so $\mathcal{J}(2, i+1, n) \leq \mathcal{J}(i, i+1, n)$.

Now let $(i-1)i(i+1) \in J(i, i+1, n)$. Then $((i-1)i(i+1))\varphi^{-1} = 1i(i+1) \notin J(2, i+1, n)$. Therefore $(J(2, i+1, n))\varphi \subset J(i, i+1, n)$ and so $\mathcal{J}(2, i+1, n) \not\leq \mathcal{J}(i, i+1, n)$. \square

For each $n \geq 4$, we define

$$Q_n = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid 2 \leq i < j-1 < n-2\} \cup \{(2, 3)\}.$$

Lemma 13.14 *Let $(i, j) \in Q_n$.*

(i) *If $(i, j) \neq (2, 3)$, then $T(J(i, j, n)) = P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{12 \dots i, 12 \dots j, V_n\}$.*

(ii) *If $(i, j) = (2, 3)$ and $n > 4$, then $T(J(i, j, n)) = P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{12, 13, 23, 123, V_n\}$.*

(iii) *If $(i, j) = (2, 3)$ and $n = 4$, then $T(J(i, j, n)) = 2^{V_n}$.*

Proof. (i) It follows from the definitions that the subsets in the right hand side are indeed in $T(J(i, j, n))$.

If $X \in P_{\leq 1}(V_n)$, then $\text{Cl}_T(X) = X$. We consider now the case $X \in P_2(V_n)$. We may assume that $X = ab$ with $a < b$.

Suppose first that $b \leq i$. Then $a < i$. For every $c \in X \setminus ab$, we have $abc \notin H$, hence $12 \dots i \subseteq \text{Cl}_T(X)$. Since $12 \dots i \in T(J(i, j, n))$, we get $\text{Cl}_T(X) = 12 \dots i$.

Suppose next that $i < b \leq j$. If $i < a$, then $abc \notin H$ for every $c \in 12 \dots j \setminus ab$, hence $12 \dots j \subseteq \text{Cl}_T(X)$. Since $12 \dots j \in T(J(i, j, n))$, we get $\text{Cl}_T(X) = 12 \dots j$. If $a \leq i$, take some $c \neq b$ such that $i < c \leq j$ (there exists since $i < j-1$). Then $abc \notin H$, hence $c \in \text{Cl}_T(X)$ and so the previous case yields $12 \dots j = \text{Cl}_T(ac) \subseteq \text{Cl}_T(X) \subseteq 12 \dots j$. Therefore $\text{Cl}_T(X) = 12 \dots j$.

Thus we may assume that $j < b$. If $j < a$, then $abc \notin H$ for every $c \in V_n \setminus ab$, hence $\text{Cl}_T(X) = V_n$. Hence we may assume that $a \leq j$. Take some $c > j$ distinct from b (there exists since $j-1 < n-2$). Then $abc \notin H$, hence $c \in \text{Cl}_T(X)$ and by the preceding case we get

$V_n = \text{Cl}_T(bc) \subseteq \text{Cl}_T(X)$. Therefore $\text{Cl}_T(X) = V_n$ and so $\text{Cl}_T(X) \in \{12\dots i, 12\dots j, V_n\}$ for every $X \in P_2(V_n)$.

So let $Y \in T(J(i, j, n))$. We may assume that $|Y| \geq 2$. If $Y \subseteq 12\dots i$, it follows from the discussion of 2-set closures that $Y = \text{Cl}_T(Y) = 12\dots i$. If $Y \subseteq 12\dots j$ but $Y \not\subseteq 12\dots i$, it follows from the discussion of 2-set closures that $Y = \text{Cl}_T(Y) = 12\dots j$. If $Y \not\subseteq 12\dots j$, it follows from the discussion of 2-set closures that $Y = \text{Cl}_T(Y) = V_n$.

(ii) It follows from the definitions that the subsets in the right hand side are indeed in $T(J(i, j, n))$. Similarly to the proof of (i), we compute $\text{Cl}_T(X)$ for every $X \in P_2(V)$, getting $\text{Cl}_T(X) \in \{12, 13, 23, V_n\}$. The claim now follows easily.

(ii) Since $\mathcal{J}(2, 3, 4) = U_{3,4}$. \square

Lemma 13.15 *Let $d \geq 2$ and $(V, H), (V, H') \in \text{Pav}(d)$. Then $H \subseteq H'$ implies $T(H) \subseteq T(H')$.*

Proof. Since $P_d(V) \subseteq H$, we have

$$T(H) = \{T \subseteq V \mid X \cup \{p\} \in H \text{ for all } X \in P_d(T) \text{ and } p \in V \setminus T\}.$$

The analogous equality holds for $T(H')$, hence $H \subseteq H'$ implies $T(H) \subseteq T(H')$. \square

Theorem 13.16 *Let $n \geq 4$. For n vertices, there exist precisely $\frac{n^2-9n+22}{2}$ isomorphism classes in $\text{mGU}(2)$, given by representatives $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$, for $(i, j) \in Q_n$.*

Proof. Assume first that $n = 4$. By the proof of Proposition 13.8, $(V_4, H) \in \text{NGU}(2)$ if $H \subset P_{\leq 3}(V_4)$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 13.14(iii) that $\mathcal{J}(2, 3, 4) = (V_4, P_{\leq 3}(V_4)) \in \text{GU}(2)$. Thus, up to isomorphism, $\mathcal{J}(2, 3, 4)$ is the unique complex in $\text{mGU}(2)$ with 4 vertices. Since $\frac{4^2-9\cdot 4+22}{2} = 1$, the theorem holds for $n = 4$.

Assume from now on that $n \geq 5$. Suppose that $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \leq \mathcal{J}(i', j', n)$ for some distinct $(i, j), (i', j') \in Q_n$. Then there exists some permutation φ of V_n such that $(J(i, j, n))\varphi \subseteq J(i', j', n)$. By Lemma 13.15, we get $T((J(i, j, n))\varphi) \subseteq T(J(i', j', n))$. By Lemma 13.14, the cardinalities of the elements of $T(J(i, j, n))$ and therefore of $T((J(i, j, n))\varphi)$ can be ordered by $0 < 1 < i < j < n$. On the other hands, the cardinalities of the elements of $T(J(i', j', n))$ can be ordered by $0 < 1 < i' < j' < n$. Since $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$, the two sets of cardinalities are incomparable, contradicting $T((J(i, j, n))\varphi) \subseteq T(J(i', j', n))$. Therefore $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \leq \mathcal{J}(i', j', n)$ implies $(i, j, n) = (i', j', n)$.

We show next that $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \in \text{mGU}(2)$ for every $(i, j) \in Q_n$. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V_n, H)$ with $H = J(i, j, n) \setminus \{X\}$, where $X \in P_3(V_n) \cap J(i, j, n)$. Since $H \subset J(i, j, n)$, it follows from Lemma 13.15 that $T(H) \subseteq T(J(i, j, n))$. Note that $P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{V_n\} \subseteq T(H)$ in any case since $P_2(V) \subseteq H$.

Assume first that $(i, j) \neq (2, 3)$. Suppose that $X = aa'b$ with $1 \leq a < a' \leq i < b \leq j$. Since $aa'b \notin H$, we have $12\dots i \notin T(H)$. Hence $T(H) \subseteq T(J(i, j, n))$ and Lemma 13.14(i) yield $T(H) \subseteq P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{12\dots j, V_n\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3. Suppose now that $X = bb'c$ with $1 \leq b < b' \leq j < c \leq n$. Since $bb'c \notin H$, we have $12\dots j \notin T(H)$. Hence $T(H) \subseteq T(J(i, j, n))$ and Lemma 13.14(i) yield $T(H) \subseteq P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{12\dots i, V_n\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

Assume now that $(i, j) = (2, 3)$. Suppose that $X = 123$. Since $123 \notin H$, we have $\{12, 13, 23\} \cap T(H) = \emptyset$. Hence $T(H) \subseteq T(J(i, j, n))$ and Lemma 13.14(ii) yield $T(H) \subseteq P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{123, V_n\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3. Suppose now that $X = bb'c$ with $1 \leq b < b' \leq 3 < c \leq n$. We assume that $b = 1$ and $b' = 2$, the other cases being similar. Since $12c \notin H$, we have $12, 123 \notin T(H)$. Hence $T(H) \subseteq T(J(i, j, n))$ and Lemma 13.14(ii) yield $T(H) \subseteq P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{13, 23, V_n\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H} \in \text{NGU}(2)$ by Lemma 13.3.

Therefore $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \in \text{mGU}(2)$ for every $(i, j) \in Q_n$. It follows from Lemmas 13.11, 13.12 and 13.13 that every $\mathcal{H} \in \text{mGU}(2)$ with n vertices satisfies $\mathcal{H} \geq \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ for some $(i, j) \in Q_n$. Thus $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$. Since we have already proved that the $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ are nonisomorphic elements of $\text{NGU}(2)$ with 6 vertices, it follows that they act as representatives of the isomorphism classes of $\text{mGU}(2)$ with n vertices.

Their number is

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_n| &= (\sum_{i=2}^{n-4} \sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2} 1) + 1 = (\sum_{i=2}^{n-4} (n-i-3)) + 1 = (n-5)(n-3) - (\sum_{i=1}^{n-4} 1) + 2 \\ &= (n-5)(n-3) - \frac{(n-4)(n-3)}{2} + 2 = \frac{(n-3)(2n-10-n+4)+4}{2} = \frac{(n-3)(n-6)+4}{2} = \frac{n^2-9n+22}{2} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

The next two corollaries help to classify the complexes in $\text{mGU}(2)$:

Corollary 13.17 *Let $n \geq 4$ and $(i, j) \in Q_n$. Then:*

- (i) $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \in \text{TBPav}(2)$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \in \text{BPav}(2)$ if and only if $j = 3$.

Proof. (i) We have $J(i, j, n) = B_2(V_n, 12 \dots i) \cup B_2(V_n, 12 \dots j)$, hence $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \in \text{TBPav}(2)$ by Proposition 6.17.

(ii) Assume first that $j = 3$. Then $i = 2$ and it follows from Lemma 13.14 that $P_2(123) \subseteq T(J(2, 3, n))$. It follows easily that

$$P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup P_2(123) \cup \{V_n\} \subseteq \text{Fl}\mathcal{J}(2, 3, n).$$

Since every $X \in J(2, 3, n) \cap P_3(V_n)$ has at least two elements in 123 (say a and b), then X is a transversal of the successive differences for the chain

$$\emptyset \subset a \subset ab \subset V_n$$

in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}(2, 3, n)$. Therefore $\mathcal{J}(2, 3, n)$ is boolean representable.

Assume now that $j \neq 3$. Then $j > 3$ and so

$$T(J(i, j, n)) = P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{12 \dots i, 12 \dots j, V_n\}$$

by Lemma 13.14. Now $12j \in J(i, j, n)$ but $12jn \notin J(i, j, n)$, hence $12 \dots j \notin \text{Fl}\mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$. In view of Lemma 6.1(ii), we get

$$\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}(i, j, n) \subseteq P_{\leq 1}(V_n) \cup \{12 \dots i, V_n\}.$$

It follows that $1jn \in J(i, j, n)$ is not a transversal of the successive differences for any chain in $\text{Fl}\mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$. Therefore $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ is not boolean representable. \square

Corollary 13.18 *Given $\mathcal{H} \in \text{mGU}(2)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{H} is a matroid;
- (ii) \mathcal{H} is pure;
- (iii) \mathcal{H} has 4 vertices.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). It follows from the exchange property that every matroid is pure.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). In view of Theorem 13.16, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ with $n > 4$ and $(i, j) \in Q_n$. Since $j - 1 < n - 2$, it follows that $(n - 1)n$ is a facet of \mathcal{H} . Therefore \mathcal{H} is not pure.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). In this case, it follows from Theorem 13.16 and Lemma 13.14(iii) that \mathcal{H} is the uniform matroid $U_{3,4}$. \square

Theorem 13.19 *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, H) \in \text{mGU}(2)$ have more than four vertices. Then there exists some $p \in V$ such that the restriction of \mathcal{H} to $V \setminus \{p\}$ is in $\text{mGU}(2)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 13.16, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ for some $(i, j) \in Q_n$. Given $p \in V_n$, let $\mathcal{H}'_p = (V_n \setminus \{p\}, J(i, j, n) \cap 2^{V_n \setminus \{p\}})$ denote the restriction of \mathcal{H} to $V \setminus \{p\}$.

Suppose that $i > 2$. Take $p = 1$. Then $J(i, j, n) \cap 2^{V_n \setminus \{p\}} = B_2(2 \dots i) \cup B_2(2 \dots j)$ and $\mathcal{H}'_p \cong \mathcal{J}(i - 1, j - 1, n - 1) \in \text{mGU}(2)$ by Theorem 13.16. Thus we may assume that $i = 2$.

Suppose that $j > 3$. Take $p = j$. Then $J(i, j, n) \cap 2^{V_n \setminus \{p\}} = B_2(12) \cup B_2(12 \dots (j - 1))$ and $\mathcal{H}'_p \cong \mathcal{J}(2, j - 1, n - 1) \in \text{mGU}(2)$ by Theorem 13.16. Thus we may assume as the last final case that $j = 3$.

Take $p = n$. Then $J(i, j, n) \cap 2^{V_n \setminus \{p\}} = B_2(12) \cup B_2(123)$ and $\mathcal{H}'_p \cong \mathcal{J}(2, 3, n - 1) \in \text{mGU}(2)$ by Theorem 13.16. \square

Corollary 13.20 *Let Q'_n denote the isomorphism classes of complexes in $\text{mGU}(2)$ with n vertices where every restriction to $n - 1$ vertices is still in $\text{mGU}(2)$.*

- (i) *These isomorphism classes are given by representatives $\mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$, where $3 \leq i \leq j - 3 \leq n - 6$.*
- (ii) *The smallest such representative is $\mathcal{J}(3, 6, 9)$.*
- (iii) *$|Q'_n| = \frac{n^2 - 15n + 56}{2}$ for every $n \geq 9$.*

Proof. (i) In view of Theorem 13.16, we can restrict our attentions to complexes of the form $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(i, j, n)$ with $(i, j) \in Q_n$. If $3 \leq i \leq j - 3 \leq n - 6$, a straightforward adaptation of the proof of the aforementioned theorem yields:

- if $p \leq i$, then $\mathcal{H}'_p \cong \mathcal{J}(i - 1, j - 1, n - 1) \in \text{mGU}(2)$;
- if $i < p \leq j$, then $\mathcal{H}'_p \cong \mathcal{J}(i, j - 1, n - 1) \in \text{mGU}(2)$;
- if $j < p$, then $\mathcal{H}'_p \cong \mathcal{J}(i, j, n - 1) \in \text{mGU}(2)$.

Suppose now that $i = 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}'_1 = (V_n, B_2(2 \dots j)) \notin \text{mGU}(2)$ in view of Theorem 13.16.

Suppose next that $3 \leq i = j - 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}'_j \cong \mathcal{J}(i, i + 1, n - 1) \notin \text{mGU}(2)$ in view of Lemma 13.13.

Thus we may assume that $3 \leq i \leq j - 3 = n - 5$. But then $\mathcal{H}'_n \cong \mathcal{J}(i, n - 2, n - 1) \notin \text{mGU}(2)$ in view of Lemma 13.12.

(ii) By part (i).

(iii) By part (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q'_n| &= \sum_{i=3}^{n-6} \sum_{j=i+3}^{n-3} 1 = \sum_{i=3}^{n-6} (n - i - 5) = (n - 8)(n - 5) - \frac{(n-3)(n-8)}{2} = \frac{(n-8)(2n-10-n+3)}{2} \\ &= \frac{n^2 - 15n + 56}{2} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

References

- [1] A. Björner, Shellable and Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 260 (1980), 159–183.
- [2] A. Björner and M. L. Wachs, Nonpure shellable complexes and posets I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996), 1299–1327.
- [3] A. Björner, M. L. Wachs and V. Welker, On sequentially Cohen-Macaulay complexes and posets, *Isr. J. Math.* 169 (2009), 295–316.
- [4] S. M. Cioabă and M. Ram Murty, *A First Course in Graph Theory and Combinatorics*, Texts and Readings in Mathematics 55, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2009.
- [5] P. Colomb, A. Irlande, O. Raynaud and Y. Renaud, About the recursive decomposition of the lattice of Moore co-families, In: ICFCA, Nicosia, Cyprus (2011). I
- [6] R. Graham, B. Rothschild and J. H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory* (2nd ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1990.
- [7] S. Margolis, J. Rhodes and P. V. Silva, On the topology of a boolean representable simplicial complex, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 27(1) (2017), 121–156.
- [8] S. Margolis, J. Rhodes and P. V. Silva, On the Dowling and Rhodes lattices and wreath products, a semigroup theoretic interpretation, preprint, 2017.
- [9] J. G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford Science Publications, 1992.
- [10] J. Rhodes and P. V. Silva, *Boolean Representations of Simplicial Complexes and Matroids*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2015.

- [11] R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, 2nd edn, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.
- [12] Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Desargues_configuration.
- [13] Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Petersen_graph.

STUART MARGOLIS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

E-mail address: margolis@math.biu.ac.il

JOHN RHODES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720, U.S.A.

E-mail addresses: rhodes@math.berkeley.edu, BlvdBastille@aol.com

PEDRO V. SILVA, CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS, UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, R. CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: pvsilva@fc.up.pt