Direct Measurement of Mode-resolved Electron-Phonon Coupling with Two-Dimensional Spectroscopy

Sheng Qu¹, Vishal K. Sharma², Jaco Geuchies¹, Maksim Grechko¹, Mischa Bonn¹, Falko Pientka^{2*}, Heejae Kim^{1,3*}

¹Department of Molecular Spectroscopy, Max-Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Mainz, 55128, Germany.

²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt a.M., 60438, Germany.

³Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, 37673, Korea.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): heejaekim@postech.ac.kr; pientka@itp.uni-frankfurt.de;

Abstract

Electron-phonon coupling (EPC) is foundational in condensed matter physics, determining intriguing phenomena and properties in both conventional and quantum materials. In this manuscript, we propose and demonstrate a novel two dimensional (2D) EPC spectroscopy which allows for direct extraction of EPC matrix elements. We find that two pronounced phonon modes of methylammonium lead iodide (MAPI) at room temperature exhibit highly distinctive EPC behaviors, both in strength, geometry, and temperature dependence across the phase transition. This demonstration indicates the potential of our novel 2D spectroscopy for studying the evolution of mode-resolved EPC at various external conditions and phonon-mediated light induced ultrafast control of condensed materials.

 ${\bf Keywords:}$ Electron-phonon coupling, Two-dimensional optical spectroscopy, Mode and Energy resolution

1 Introduction

Interactions among constituent particles in many-body systems govern the physics of condensed matter systems. Electron phonon couplings (EPCs), in particular, affect the temperature dependence of carrier mobility and resistance, the electronic energy band structures, the phonon dispersion relations, and the lifetimes of those particles in solids [1]. The roles EPCs play in ordered phases, such as conventional superconductivity, charge-density wave order, and metal-insulator transitions are the subject of vast research fields [2]. Moreover, specific phonon modes have emerged as an efficient channels to modulate band structures [3–6], transport [7–9], and topology [10, 11] on picosecond time scales and with high precision in atomic motions. Such an ultrafast phonon control could allow for achieving electronic states not accessible in static materials [12, 13].

In order to advance our fundamental understanding of electron-phonon processes and work towards ultrafast control, it is essential to determine the coupling strength between electronic degrees of freedom and individual, externally accessible phonon modes. Most experimental approaches, however, provide rather indirect information on EPCs without an explicit mode-resolution. For example, with optical approaches it is possible to characterize EPCs based on the temperature dependence of the mobility [14], the optical linewidth [15], and phonon-assisted absorption [16]. While inelastic X-ray scattering [17, 18] and time- and angular-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (tr-ARPES) have been proposed [19, 20] for this purpose, it is also true that these approaches have practical limitations coming from their intrinsic surface sensitivity, resolution in low-energy scale [17] and additional material specific requirements (material specific conditions such as strong EPCs, surface quality, and well-defined scattering path [21–23]).

Here, we develop a method for both measuring and quantifying EPC matrix elements based on two dimensional (2D) spectroscopy. In this novel approach, which we term 2D electron phonon correlation spectroscopy (2D EPC), EPCs of a solid manifest themselves as signal peaks in a measured 2D spectrum. In this 2D spectrum, one axis corresponds to the electronic energy (specified by a combination of laser pulses), while the other represents the phonon energy with < 1 meV energy resolution. We demonstrate theoretically that the 2D EPC signal vanishes when electrons and phonons are uncoupled and how the phonon-mode specific and electron-energy resolved coupling strength can be extracted from the measurements. Since our approach is all-optical and bulk sensitive, it is applicable to almost every kind of gapped solid. Our approach provides complementary information to recently demonstrated time- and angle-resolved photoemission experiments [21], as one can directly compare different phonon modes and the scattering path does not needed to be well defined. As a benchmark, we demonstrate here the markedly distinctive EPC behaviors of two pronounced phonon modes in methylammonium lead iodide perovskite (MAPI) from the 2D EPC study. Finally, the temperature and polarization dependent measurements show the potential of our 2D EPC for studying the evolution of mode-resolved EPC as a function of various phase space parameters (e.g. temperature, pressure, strain, doping, and chemical composition).

2 Results

To correlate electron energy and phonon mode of interest, our 2D EPC method uses a tailored sequence of optical pulses. The basic concept of the 2D EPC is illustrated in the schematic diagrams of time-ordered points of light-matter interactions and corresponding energy levels (Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively). The 2D EPC employs the 3rd-order nonlinear optical process, with three distinctive laser pulses including one with broadband THz frequency (denoted by THz in Fig. 1(a)) and two with infrared to visible spectral range. The frequencies of these two optical pulses are chosen to be non-resonant to any elementary excitation, one having a narrow band (denoted by NB) and the other a broad band (denoted by BB) spectral width. The NB field frequency is tuned, such that the frequency sum of all three fields is resonant with the electronic transition of interest (Fig. 1(b), where $|i\rangle$ denotes an intermediate state). The first process creates phonon polarizations by a broadband THz pulse that covers the low energy spectral range, up to 60 meV, typically. Then, the second and third processes together promote an electronic polarization which is correlated with the initial phonon polarization.

Each of the processes is described by the semiclassical light-matter interaction Hamiltonian under the dipole approximation, $H_{\rm int}(t) = -E(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \mu$ [24]. The dipole operator $\mu = \sum_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\alpha})$ contains the sum over all the electrons and nuclei α with charges q_{α} and positions \mathbf{r}_{α} . The nonlinear optical measurement is analyzed based on a perturbative expansion in the external fields (i.e. incident optical pulses) in which the 2D EPC signal field, $E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_{\tau})$, is expressed in terms of response functions, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_{\tau})$ (see Methods):

$$E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau) \propto S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau) E_{THz}(\omega_\tau) E_{BB}(\omega_t - \omega_{NB} - \omega_\tau)$$
(1)

where $\omega_t (\omega_{\tau})$ denotes the Fourier frequency of the time delay $t (\tau)$ defined in Fig. 1(a), corresponding to the electron (phonon) energy in the 2D spectrum. In addition, $E_{THz} (E_{BB})$ indicates the electric field of the incident THz (BB) pulse. We will see below that the EPC strength at the corresponding mode and energy is engraved in the response function $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_{\tau})$.

To elucidate the functional dependence of the magnitude of the 2D EPC signal on the EPC strength we start from the standard form of the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_{ep}$ describing electron-phonon interaction to first order in nuclear displacements [1, 25],

$$H_{\rm ep} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},n,\lambda} \omega_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},n,\lambda} c_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} c_{n,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \left(b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} + b_{-\mathbf{q},\lambda}^{\dagger} \right), \qquad (2)$$

where $M_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},n,\lambda}$ is the dimensionless coupling strength, the operator $c_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ ($c_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$) annihilates (creates) an electron with band index n and energy $\varepsilon_{n,\mathbf{k}}$, the operator $b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda}(b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda}^{\dagger})$ annihilates (creates) a phonon of mode λ with occupation number v and energy $\omega_{\mathbf{q},\lambda}$, and we have set $\hbar = 1$. The Hamiltonian for the noninteracting subsystems is given by $H_0 = \sum_{k,n} \varepsilon_{n,\mathbf{k}} c_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} c_{n,\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \omega_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \left(b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$. We specifically consider an electronic two-band model with n = g, e, labeling the ground- and excited-state bands.

The energy level diagram of this model at fixed electron momentum **k** and **q** = 0 corresponding to the single-particle eigenstates $|n_{\mathbf{k}}, \chi_{n,\lambda}^{v}\rangle$ is shown in Fig. 1(b) using the short-hand notation $|n, v\rangle \equiv |n_{\mathbf{k}}, \chi_{n,\lambda}^{v}\rangle$.



Figure 1. Schematic Representations of 2D EPC Spectroscopy (a) The pulse configuration for the experimental 2D EPC spectroscopy with spectral characteristics suited for our target material, MAPI. (b) Energy level diagram of a noninteracting electron-phonon system together with the experimental pulse photon energies. (c) The three diagrams contributing to the third-order response function in Eq. (6).

We proceed by redefining the phonon operator $b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \to B_{\mathbf{q},\lambda}$ to eliminate the EPC term in the Hamiltonian,

$$B_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} = b_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} + \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} M^*_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},n,\lambda} c^{\dagger}_{n,\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} c_{n,\mathbf{k}}.$$
(3)

The Hamiltonian becomes diagonal in the transformed phonon basis, $H = \sum_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \omega_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} \left(B_{\mathbf{q},\lambda}^{\dagger} B_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} + 1/2 \right) + H_{\text{el}}$, where the electronic part of the Hamiltonian H_{el} now also contains phonon mediated electron-electron interactions. We denote electrons in the many-body ground state (i.e., forming a Fermi sea) by $|g\rangle$; and those in the optically excited states with a single electronic interband transition at momentum \mathbf{k} by $|e_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = c_{e,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}c_{g,\mathbf{k}}|g\rangle$. In the following we drop the index \mathbf{q} , focusing on the $\mathbf{q} = 0$ sector relevant to this optical approach. Crucially, the phonon eigenstates then depend on the electronic state because of the electronic density operator in Eq. (3). For instance, the phonon vacuum at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ for the electronic ground state is defined by

$$B_{\lambda} |g, \chi_{g,\lambda}^{\nu=0} \rangle = \left(b_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} M_{\mathbf{k}',g,\lambda}^* \right) |g, \chi_{g,\lambda}^{\nu=0} \rangle = 0 \tag{4}$$

In contrast, the phonon vacuum for an electronic excited state $|e_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ is defined by

$$B_{\lambda} | e_{\mathbf{k}}, \chi_{e,\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{v=0} \rangle = \left(b_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} M_{\mathbf{k}',g,\lambda}^* + M_{\mathbf{k},e,\lambda}^* - M_{\mathbf{k},g,\lambda}^* \right) | e_{\mathbf{k}}, \chi_{e,\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{v=0} \rangle = 0$$
(5)

which means that $|\chi_{e,\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{v=0}\rangle$ takes the form of a coherent state when expressed in the basis $|\chi_{g,\lambda}^{v=0}\rangle$.

Then, to connect the EPC strength $M_{\mathbf{k},n,\lambda}$ to the measured response function $S_{EPC}^{(3)}$, we consider all possible quantum pathways representing different time-order of overlapping pulses and different intermediate states of the multiphoton process (see Methods). The magnitude of $S_{EPC}^{(3)}$ is proportional to all transition matrix elements, $\mu_{n'v',nv} = \langle n'_{\mathbf{k}}, \chi_{n',\lambda}^{v'} | \mu | n_{\mathbf{k}}, \chi_{n,\lambda}^{v} \rangle$, involved in a given pathway. Focusing on emission from the conduction band, we have to take the three diagrams R_2^* , R_3^* , and R_4 shown in Fig. 1(c) into account [24]. The nonlinear response function is given by the sum over all diagrams, and we find

$$S^{(3)}(t_3, t_2, t_1) \propto -\sum_{abcd}^{R_2^*} m_{abcd} e^{-it_1\omega_{ba} - it_2\omega_{bd} - it_3\omega_{cd}} - \sum_{abcd}^{R_3^*} m_{abcd} e^{-it_1\omega_{ba} - it_2\omega_{ca} - it_3\omega_{cd}} + \sum_{abcd}^{R_4} m_{abcd} e^{-it_1\omega_{ba} - it_2\omega_{ca} - it_3\omega_{da}},$$
(6)

where the three sums only run over states $|a\rangle = |n, v\rangle$ allowed by the respective diagrams in Fig. 1(c). Here, we use the short-hand notation $m_{abcd} = \mu_{ba}\mu_{ad}\mu_{cb}\mu_{dc}$ and ω_{ba} denotes the energy difference between states $|b\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$.

In the absence of electron-phonon interactions, the transition matrix elements are simply $\mu_{n'v',nv} = \delta_{v'v} \langle n'_{\mathbf{k}} | \mu_e | n_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle + \delta_{n'n} \langle \chi^{v'}_{n,\lambda} | \mu_p | \chi^v_{n,\lambda} \rangle$ with $\langle \chi^{v'}_{n,\lambda} | \mu_p | \chi^v_{n,\lambda} \rangle \propto \delta_{v',v\pm 1}$. Hence a single photon either leaves the phonon number unchanged (interband transition) or changes it by ± 1 (intraband transition). The first photon in Fig. 1(c) resonantly excites a single phonon and so we can fix $|a\rangle = |g,0\rangle$ and $|b\rangle = |g,1\rangle$ for all three diagrams. The second and third photon do not need to be resonant, but if

we focus on the nonrephasing direction (i.e., positive ω_{τ} and ω_t), we only find one possible set of intermediate states, $|c\rangle = |e,1\rangle$ and $|d\rangle = |g,1\rangle$, for the first two diagrams. For the third diagram, there are two possibilities with $|c\rangle = |g,0\rangle$ or $|c\rangle = |e,1\rangle$ and $|d\rangle = |e,0\rangle$. In the absence of electron-phonon coupling, the electronic transitions do not depend on the phonon occupation and vice versa, i.e., $\mu_{g0,e0} = \mu_{g1,e1}$ and $\mu_{g0,g1} = \mu_{e0,e1}$. We can then readily convince ourselves that the product of matrix elements in Eq. (6) is identical for all three diagrams. Evaluating the sum over the four remaining terms yields

$$S^{(3)}(t_3, t_2, t_1) \propto |\mu_{g1,g0}|^2 |\mu_{e0,g0}|^2 e^{-it_1\omega_{g1,g0}} (-e^{-it_3\omega_{e1,g1}} - e^{-it_2\omega_{e1,g0} - it_3\omega_{e1,g1}} + e^{-it_3\omega_{e0,g0}} + e^{-it_2\omega_{e1,g0} - it_3\omega_{e0,g0}})$$
(7)

which equals zero. This means the response function and therefore the signal vanishes when the phonons do not couple to the electronic transition. This cancellation has the same origin as the vanishing of the cross peaks in the absence of intermolecular interactions in 2D IR spectroscopy [26].

The presence of electron-phonon coupling, on the other hand, has two important effects on the 2D EPC spectrum. First, the total spectroscopic signal is, in fact, a function of EPC strength: $\mu_{e0,g0} - \mu_{e1,g1} \propto M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2 e^{-M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2/2}$, with the effective coupling strength $M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda} \equiv M_{\mathbf{k},e,\lambda} - M_{\mathbf{k},g,\lambda}$. Therefore, intraband transitions are independent of the EPC, while the interband transitions only depend on the effective coupling strength, $M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$. Second, part of the spectral weight of the interband transitions is transferred to higher phonon numbers and so additional pathways start to contribute (see Discussion). It is noteworthy that EPC can also result in a phonon frequency shift with band index, which is not included here. However, we believe our results below to remain qualitatively true in that case as well, as such effects will similarly destroy the perfect cancellation explained above.

 $\mathbf{6}$



Figure 2. Experimental 2D EPC Spectrum (a-c) 2D EPC spectrum (a), $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_{\tau})$, MAPI measured at room temperature and linear optical spectra along electron (b) and phonon (c) energies. (d) Normalized measured 2D EPC response function for both phonon modes. The solid lines indicate the theoretical result obtained from Eq. (8). (e) Extracted relative electron-phonon coupling strength $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ as a function of electron energy $\omega_t = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ for both phonon modes.

Now, we realize this concept of 2D EPC spectroscopy experimentally on MAPI (for details see Methods). The pulse sequence is chosen to match the energy scales of MAPI at room temperature. The THz pulse contains a sufficient spectral coverage to excite all inorganic sublattice based on phonon modes reported in the MAPI (Fig. S1(a)) [27]. In order to gain access to the broad range of electronic transition energies above the band gap of MAPI, we tune the NB pulse from 1300 to 2000 nm and produce a BB pulse with a spectral width of $1000 \sim 1250$ nm (Fig. S1(b)). Both of these photon energies are away from any elementary excitations in MAPI. These two optical (i.e. BB and NB) pulses collinearly propagate through the sample simultaneously, and the delay time between the optical pulse pair and the THz pulse, τ , is scanned. The signal field interferes with a local oscillator (LO) field (Fig. S1(c)), which is generated after the sample, and both are dispersed at a spectrograph and detected by a camera. The thus measured intensity $I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \tau)$ containing the squared magnitude of the total field, $E_{tot}(t,\tau) = E_{EPC}(t,\tau) + E_{LO}(t) + c.c.$, is further Fourier transformed along the delay time τ , producing the frequency domain 2D EPC spectrum, $I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ (Methods).

From this measured spectrum, $I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$, we extract the 2D EPC response function, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$, by isolating the desired phase matching direction (in this case, non-rephasing pathways); normalizing the isolated $I_{EPC,\mathbf{k}_s}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ by the spectra of $E_{THz}(\omega_\tau)$ and $E_{LO}(\omega_t)$; and correcting the relative phase differences among three incident pulses at the sample (see Methods). The resultant isolated 2D EPC spectrum, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$, of MAPI at various electron energies (1.6 ~ 2.2 eV) is shown in Fig. 2(a). Evidently, both 1 and 2 THz modes couple to electrons with energy above the band gap to some extent, i.e., contributing to the 2D EPC signal. The signals from both modes monotonically increase as the electron energy increases up to 0.6 eV above the band gap, with the contribution of the 1 THz mode consistently larger than that of the 2 THz mode.

For quantifying the EPC strength at a given phonon mode, λ , and electronic energy $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$, it is important to remember that the 2D EPC spectrum, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$, shown in Fig. 2(a) depends on four transition matrix elements, namely, two phononic and two electronic transition elements (e.g., in the second image of Fig. 3(a) we have $\mu_{g1,g0}$, $\mu_{em,e(m+1)}$ and $\mu_{e(m+1),g1}$, $\mu_{g0,em}$ for phononic and electronic transitions, respectively). Moreover, the spectroscopic signal field contains the phonon and electron density of states. Therefore, in order to isolate the pure contribution of the EPC strength to the 2D EPC response function, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$, we normalize the measured response function (Fig. 2(a)) by both absorbance spectra along the electronic (Fig. 2(b)) and phononic (Fig. 2(c)) energies, resulting in $S_{\text{EPC,norm}}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ (Fig. 2(d), for theoretical analysis see Discussion). We note here that our approach is applicable to generic nuclear displacements, but the quantitative comparison of EPC strengths can only be performed among phonon modes with non-zero oscillator strengths. The result indicates a stronger EPC strength for 1 THz mode compared to that for 2 THz mode consistently in this electron energy range. Both normalized signals monotonically increase from zero near the band gap and the growth slows down as the electron energy approaches 2 eV.

3 Discussion

Our theoretical model allows us to extract the EPC strength $M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$ from the measured data. Our starting point is an expression for the induced polarization, $P_{\text{EPC},\mathbf{k}}(\omega_t)$, at fixed electronic momentum, \mathbf{k} (Methods). Because transitions can involve multiple phonon excitations, the signal field is emitted at a series of discrete frequencies spaced by the phonon frequency, $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda}$, where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon_{e,\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{g,\mathbf{k}}$ is the momentum dependent transition energy. Such emissions take place via four different pathways shown in Fig. 3(a). The resulting polarization is given by $P_{\text{EPC},\mathbf{k}}(\omega_t) \propto \sum_m \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_t)C_{m,\mathbf{k}}e^{-M^2}(M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda})^{2m}(M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2 - m)/m!$, which is shown in Fig. 3(b). Here, $C_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ is a constant that weakly depends on \mathbf{k} (see Methods). The polarization changes sign as a function of the detection frequency, ω_t , crossing zero at a frequency corresponding to the typical number of additional phonon excitations, $m = M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2$. Interestingly, the sum over all frequencies, $\int d\omega_t P_{\text{EPC},\mathbf{k}}(\omega_t)$, vanishes in the absence of electron-phonon coupling. As before, this cancellation originates from destructively interfering pathways. However, in the presence of electron-phonon coupling the negative contributions

to the polarization acquire a stronger blueshift than the positive ones leading to an imperfect cancellation.

The total polarization at a particular frequency ω_t is given by a sum over all electronic momenta

$$P_{\rm EPC,tot}(\omega_t) = \int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nu(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) P_{\rm EPC,\mathbf{k}}(\omega_t).$$
(8)

where ν is the density of states corresponding to the transition energy $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$. The density of state is nonzero for arguments above some minimal energy ε_{Γ} , which is the smallest energy separation between electronic ground and excited states with the same momentum. Equation (8) can be used to numerically evaluate the 2D EPC spectrum for a given momentum-dependent coupling-strength $M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$.

In order to determine the coupling strength from a measured spectrum, we use an analytical approximation. Specifically, we assume the coupling strength $M_{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}},\lambda} \equiv M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$ to vary little with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ on the scale of the phonon frequency ω_{λ} , and we obtain

$$P_{\rm EPC,tot}(\omega_t) \propto \frac{d}{d\omega_t} [C(\omega_t)\nu(\omega_t)M_{\omega_t,\lambda}^2]$$
(9)

where $C(\omega_t)$ is given in the Methods section. This equation can be inverted and we find

$$M_{\omega_t,\lambda} \propto \left[\frac{1}{\nu(\omega_t)[C_{0,\mathbf{k}}]_{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}=\omega_t}} \int d\omega_t \nu(\omega_t) S_{\text{EPC,norm}}(\omega_t)\right]^{1/2},\tag{10}$$

where $S_{\text{EPC,norm}}(\omega_t)$ corresponds to the normalized 2D EPC spectrum shown in Fig. 2(d) and we have only omitted prefactors that do not depend on ω_{λ} and ω_t (see Methods). To determine the mode- and energy-specific coupling strength from this expression, we fit the data in Fig. 2(d) and use the transition energy $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon_{\Gamma} + \Delta \cos(ka)$, where the band gap $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} = 1.68$ eV has been obtained from the fit of Fig. 2(d), and the band width of MAPI, $\Delta = 1.2 \,\text{eV}$ was taken from [28]. The resulting coupling strength is shown in Fig. 2(e).

We can then reproduce the full 2D EPC spectrum, by using Eq. (8) with the obtained EPC strength $M_{\omega_t,\lambda}$ as an input. To model the two pronounced phonon modes (namely, ~ 1 THz and ~ 2 THz modes), we choose the frequency, width, and oscillator strength to match the experimental spectrum in Fig. 2(c). With this we obtain the 2D EPC spectrum shown in Fig. 3(c) by numerically evaluating Eq. (8). The calculated normalized spectrum shown as solid lines in Fig. 2(d) is in good agreement with the experimental data.



Figure 3. Analytic Calculation of 2D EPC Spectrum (a) Possible quantum pathways considering the third-order polarization (in the condition of non-rephasing, $\omega_{\tau} > 0, \omega_t > 0$) in the interacting electron-phonon system (b) The resulting 2D EPC polarization contributed from all possible pathways in (a) for a given detection energy $\omega_t = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda}$. (c) The calculated 2D EPC spectrum based on the total polarization given by Eq. (8).

Since we are able to directly measure and extract the mode- and energy-resolved EPC strength at a fixed condition (e.g. temperature), we are now in a position to further explore how the EPC evolves across a phase transition. MAPI is known to undergo a structural phase transition from tetragonal (I4/mcm) to orthorhombic (Pnma) phase below $T_c \sim 160$ K [29] and also to have optical/electronic properties highly sensitive to the temperature [30–32]. Due to the symmetry reduction in the low temperature phase, both the degenerate 1 and 2 THz modes in high temperature phase split into two peaks below T_c [33]. Both splittings occur in such a way that an additional mode with slightly lower frequencies (denoted by ~ 0.7 THz and ~ 1.5 THz in Fig. 4(a) appear besides the original degenerate mode (denoted by ~ 1 and ~ 2 THz in Fig. 4(a), note that all of the mode frequencies increase slightly with temperature). In 2D EPC, as shown in Fig. 4(a) (also 2D EPC spectra in Fig. S6), the EPC of each of these modes are well recognized. However, the main degenerate modes keep dominating the coupling strengths (with that of the 1 THz mode stronger than that of the 2 THz

10

mode). Also, the overall coupling strength is reduced slightly at temperatures below the phase transition from the tetragonal to the orthorhombic structure.

Finally, another intriguing attribute of the mode- and energy-specific EPC strength arises when we choose different polarization combinations of incoming three pulses. Given the fixed polarization direction of the THz pulse (denoted by X), we can select the polarization directions of the NB, BB pulses and the signal field to be parallel (X) or perpendicular (Y) to that of the THz pulse (Fig. S7). Evidently from Fig. 4(b), the black (all parallel) and the red (cross polarization between the THz and the two optical pulses) curves reveal a contrast between coupling of the 1 THz mode and that of the 2 THz mode. With the cross polarization condition, the signal of the 1 THz mode is suppressed largely compared to that of the 2 THz mode, which highlights a clear anisotropic nature of EPC only for 1 THz case at \sim 2 eV. Further theoretical studies will be beneficial to gain microscopic insights into the geometrical nature of the mode-specific coupling and the sensitivity of electronic transition energy in terms of band and mode symmetry.



Figure 4. Temperature and Polarization Dependence of Electron-Phonon Coupling (a) The isolated contributions of phonon mode resolved EPC at the electron energy of 2.05 eV to the 2D EPC spectrum, $S_{EPC,norm}^{(3)}$, of MAPI measured at temperatures of 3.7, 50, 140, 200 K. (b) Slice of the 2D EPC spectrum of MAPI, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_{\tau})$, at electron energy 2.05 eV measured by different polarization combinations of incident THz, NB, and BB pulses and signal fields.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have designed and demonstrated the 2D EPC spectroscopy which allows for direct extraction of electron phonon matrix elements. We have shown that the measured signal is directly correlated with the EPC strength. Among the two pronounced phonon modes at 1 and 2 THz, the lower frequency mode has an overall

stronger EPC strength and a highly anisotropic nature. Also, we have witnessed the potential of this approach in studying the evolution of mode specific EPC at various thermodynamic/mechanical conditions in phase space; as well as phonon-mediated light induced ultrafast control of condensed materials. The combination of material specific calculation and this experimental approach is expected to provide deeper insight into the nature of EPCs.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Details

The output of an amplified femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser (1 kHz repetition rate, 50fs pulse duration) is split into ~ 1, 1, and 0.1 mJ for generating THz, NB, and BB NIR pulses, respectively. The broadband THz pulses are generated via femtosecond two-color laser focusing in long air-plasma filaments [34]. The NB NIR pulses with bandwidth of ~20 cm⁻¹ are obtained by sending the output of a commercial optical parametric amplifier into a homebuilt pulse shaper, which spatially selects spectral components by combination of a ruled reflective diffraction grating and a slit. The BB NIR pulses are produced via white light generation at 4 mm thick YAG (yttrium aluminum garnet) crystal [35] (Fig. S1).

We combine the NB and BB pulses with a dichroic beam combiner in such a way that they collinearly propagate through the sample. The pulse energies of NB and BB pulses at the sample position are of ~ 1 - 2 μ J and ~ 0.02 μ J, respectively, and are focused by an off-axis parabolic mirror with focal length of 15 cm. The THz pulses are focused by another off-axis parabolic mirror with focal length of 10 cm. To measure the signal in a phase resolved fashion, we adopt the heterodyne detection. The LO pulses are, therefore, generated after the sample position by sum frequency generation of NB and BB pulses at a 10 μ m thick BBO (β -barium borate) crystal. The thus generated LO and signal fields are collimated via a CaF₂ lens and collinearly propagate through an analyzer polarizer. The intensity of LO interfered with and without the signal field are spatially separated by a vibrating motorized mirror (galvanometer), spectrally resolved, and imaged separately onto a CCD camera. The area of the setup around the THz beam pathway was enclosed in a box and purged with dry nitrogen. The sample is kept in a cryostat.

Data Analysis

The raw measured spectrum, $I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_{\tau})$, contains convoluted contributions from each of the incoming field itself, the interference of signals from different phase matching directions, and the relative phase shift of the incoming fields at the interface, apart from the response function, $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_{\tau})$, that needs to be isolated.

Technically, the combined signal field and LO field are guided to the spectrometer (i.e. heterodyne detection), where they are dispersed by the grating. This dispersion is mathematically described by a Fourier transform of the field, $E_{tot}(t,\tau) = E_{EPC}(t,\tau) + E_{LO}(t)$ over time t. The signal intensity measured by the squarelaw detector (CCD camera) for the detection frequency $\omega_t \geq 0$ is equivalent to

 $I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \tau) \propto \int_T dt [E_{tot}(\omega_t, \tau)e^{-i\omega_t t} + E_{tot}(-\omega_t, \tau)e^{i\omega_t t}]^2$ with the accumulation time period of *T*. By squaring the term under the integral, neglecting terms oscillating at very high frequency (~ $2\omega_t$) and subtracting the homodyne terms of the form $E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \tau)E_{EPC}(-\omega_t, \tau)$ and $E_{LO}(\omega_t, \tau)E_{LO}(-\omega_t, \tau)$ we obtain the heterodynedetected part of the measured signal (Fig. S2(a)). Through further Fourier transform over the time delay τ of the THz pulse relative to the NB and BB pulses, the measured (heterodyne-detected) 2D EPC spectrum is given by:

$$I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau) \propto FT[E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \tau)]E_{LO}(-\omega_t) + FT[E_{EPC}(-\omega_t, \tau)]E_{LO}(\omega_t)$$

= $E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)E_{LO}(-\omega_t) + E_{EPC}(-\omega_t, \omega_\tau)E_{LO}(\omega_t)$ (11)

From the Fourier transform of (19) along t, $E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ can be written:

$$E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau) = FT[E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \tau)]$$

$$\propto \iiint d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3, \omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1) \qquad (12)$$

$$E_{THz}(\omega_1) \delta(\omega_\tau - \omega_1) E_{BB}(\omega_2) E_{NB}(\omega_3) \delta(\omega_t - \omega_s)$$

Since we use a NB NIR pulse with the frequency ω_{NB} in the experiment, we approximate the NB NIR spectrum by a delta function: $E_{NB}(\omega_3) = \delta(\omega_{NB} - \omega_3) + \delta(\omega_{NB} + \omega_3)$. By performing the integration of (12) and use the experiment condition that $E_{BB}(\omega_t + \omega_{NB} - \omega_\tau) = 0$ ($\omega_\tau \ll \omega_t + \omega_{NB}$), we obtain:

$$E_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau) \propto S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_t - \omega_{NB}, \omega_\tau) E_{THz}(\omega_\tau) E_{BB}(\omega_t - \omega_{NB} - \omega_\tau)$$
(13)

Similarly, for $E_{EPC}(-\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ we obtain:

$$E_{EPC}(-\omega_t,\omega_\tau) \propto S_{EPC}^{(3)}(-\omega_t,-\omega_t+\omega_{NB},\omega_\tau)E_{THz}(\omega_\tau)E_{BB}(-\omega_t+\omega_{NB}-\omega_\tau)$$
(14)

By substituting (14) and (13) into (11) we obtain for the 2D EPC spectrum:

$$I_{EPC}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau) \propto S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_t - \omega_{NB}, \omega_\tau) E_{THz}(\omega_\tau) E_{BB}(\omega_t - \omega_{NB} - \omega_\tau) E_{LO}(-\omega_t) + S_{EPC}^{(3)}(-\omega_t, -\omega_t + \omega_{NB}, \omega_\tau) E_{THz}(\omega_\tau) E_{BB}(-\omega_t + \omega_{NB} - \omega_\tau) E_{LO}(\omega_t)$$
(15)

Due to the collinear geometry of our experiment, the two terms in (15), i.e. signals from different phase matching conditions, namely, non-rephasing pathways ($\omega_{\tau} > 0, \omega_t > 0$) and rephasing pathways ($\omega_{\tau} > 0, \omega_t < 0$) are spatially not separated and spectrally very close ($\omega_{\tau} \ll \omega_t$). This copropagation results in the interference of the two signals in the raw 2D spectrum (Fig. S2(b)). To separate these two types of contributions, we employ the fact that the two terms in (15) respond to the time delay t' between LO and the signal field in an opposite way:

$$I_{EPC,non-reph}(\omega_t,\omega_\tau) \propto S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t,\omega_t-\omega_{NB},\omega_\tau)E_{THz}(\omega_\tau)E_{BB}(\omega_t-\omega_{NB}-\omega_\tau)E_{LO}(-\omega_t)e^{i\omega_t t'}$$
(16)

 $I_{EPC,reph}(\omega_t,\omega_\tau) \propto S_{EPC}^{(3)}(-\omega_t,-\omega_t+\omega_{NB},\omega_\tau)E_{THz}(\omega_\tau)E_{BB}(-\omega_t+\omega_{NB}-\omega_\tau)E_{LO}(\omega_t)e^{-i\omega_t t'}$ (17)

Hence, in the inverse Fourier transform along ω_t of the 2D spectrum, contributions from $I_{EPC,non-reph}(\omega_t,\omega_{\tau})$ and $I_{EPC,reph}(\omega_t,\omega_{\tau})$ are temporally separated and one could select one of the two phase matching directions (Fig. 2(a)) [36].

Once we isolate the 2D spectrum of non-rephasing contributions, we could now utilize from Eq. (16) that the 2D response function $S_{EPC}^{(3)}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ can be obtained by dividing the measured 2D spectrum $I_{EPC,non-reph}(\omega_t, \omega_\tau)$ directly by the incident field spectra, $E_{THz}(\omega_\tau)$ and $E_{LO}(\omega_t)$ (each spectrum given by Fig. S1(a) and S1(c)). Note that we do not scan the time between NB and BB pulses, so the spectrum of BB pulse is not needed.

Finally, the relative phase differences of the incident fields at the sample are compensated by using the 2D EPC spectrum of a reference sample measured in the experimentally identical condition. A reference sample must have no resonance, so that the response function spectrum $S_{EPC,ref}^{(3)}(\omega_t,\omega_\tau)$ of the reference sample is a real constant over the spectral range (which ω_t and ω_τ cover). Then, phase-shifted incident fields, i.e. $\tilde{E}_{THz}(\omega_\tau) = E_{THz}(\omega_\tau) \exp i\omega_\tau t''$ etc., where t" is relative phase difference, can be cancelled out by [37]:

$$S_{EPC,samp}^{(3)}(\omega_t,\omega_\tau) \propto \frac{I_{EPC,samp}(\omega_t,\omega_\tau)}{S_{EPC,ref}^{(3)}(\omega_t,\omega_\tau)\tilde{E}_{THz}(\omega_\tau)\tilde{E}_{LO}(\omega_t)}$$
(18)

In this experiment, we use 1 μ m thick SiN membrane as the reference sample (Fig. S3~S5).

Theoretical Approach

The theoretical calculation of the polarization is based on third-order perturbation theory [24]. The 2D EPC spectroscopy employs the 3^{rd} order nonlinear optical process, and the generated 2D EPC signal field, E_{EPC} , is proportional to the 3rd-order non-linear polarization:

$$E_{EPC}(\mathbf{r}, t, \tau) \propto P_{EPC}(\mathbf{r}, t, \tau)$$

$$= \iiint d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{k}_3 \iiint d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 S^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \omega_1 \pm \omega_2 \pm \omega_3, \omega_1 \pm \omega_2, \omega_1)$$

$$E_{THz}(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1) e^{-i\omega_1 \tau + i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}} E_{BB}(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2) E_{NB}(\mathbf{k}_3, \omega_3) e^{-i\omega_s t + i\mathbf{k}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}}$$
(19)

where t is the time variable of the signal field E_{EPC} and τ is a time delay of the two IR pulses relative to the THz pulse. The electric fields $E_{THz}(\omega_1)$, $E_{NB}(\omega_2)$ and $E_{BB}(\omega_3)$ correspond to the THz, NB and BB pulses at the frequencies ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 , respectively. Here, $\omega_s \equiv \omega_1 \pm \omega_2 \pm \omega_3$ and $\mathbf{k}_s \equiv \mathbf{k}_1 \pm \mathbf{k}_2 \pm \mathbf{k}_3$.

The nonlinear response function $S^{(3)}$ is expressed in time domain in terms of correlation functions with dipole moment operators μ ,

$$S^{(3)}(t_3, t_2, t_1) = i\theta(t_1)\theta(t_2)\theta(t_3) \times \langle [[[\mu(t_3 + t_2 + t_1), \mu(t_2 + t_1)], \mu(t_1)], \mu(0)]\rho(-\infty) \rangle$$
(20)

where $\theta(t)$ is Heaviside function and $\rho(-\infty)$ is the equilibrium reduced density matrix for the system eigenstates at t_0 (Fig. 1(a)) [24]. The relevant diagrams for Eq. (20) are shown in Fig. 1(c).

As we will show elsewhere [38], the contribution from the diagrams R_2^* and R_3^* to the polarization cancel for our specific pulse sequence, and we only need to evaluate the contribution from diagram R_4 . All possible pathways relevant for emission at frequency $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda}$ of the non-rephasing condition contain the sequence of states $|g, 0\rangle \rightarrow |g, 1\rangle \rightarrow |i\rangle \rightarrow |e_{\mathbf{k}}, m\rangle \rightarrow |g, 0\rangle$ and we sum over all intermediate states $|i\rangle$ [see Fig. 3(a)]. The evaluation of all pathways is straightforward yet tedious, and will be presented in a separate publication [38]. Summing over all intermediate states, we obtain $P_{\text{EPC},\mathbf{k}}(\omega_t = E_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda}) \propto e^{-M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2} (M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda})^{2m} (M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2 - m)/m!$, for a given electron momentum \mathbf{k} and phonon number m of the mode λ . The polarization at a detection frequency ω_t is then given by summing over all phonon numbers and all electronic momenta

$$P_{\text{EPC,tot}}(\omega_t) = \int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nu(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) P_{\text{EPC,k}}(\omega_t)$$

$$\propto \int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nu(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \left| \langle e_{\mathbf{k}} | \mu_e | g \rangle \right|^2 \left| \langle \chi^0_{g,\lambda} | \mu_p | \chi^1_{g,\lambda} \rangle \right|^2 \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda}}{(\omega_{\tau} - \omega_{\lambda})^2 + \Gamma_{\lambda}^2}$$

$$\times \sum_m C_{m,\mathbf{k}} e^{-M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2} (M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda})^{2m} \frac{(M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^2 - m)}{m!} \pi \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_t)$$
(22)

Here $\nu(\varepsilon)$ is the electronic density of states, Γ_{λ} is the intrinsic broadening of the phonon mode λ , ω_{τ} is the Fourier transform of the delay time τ between the first pulse the the NIR pulses, and $C_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ is given by

$$C_{m,\mathbf{k}} = -i\sqrt{\frac{2(\sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2)}{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{-\sigma_3^2[\omega_3 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + m\omega_{\lambda})] + \sigma_2^2(\omega_2 + \omega_{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{-\sigma_3^2[\omega_3 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + (m-2)\omega_{\lambda})] + \sigma_2^2(\omega_2 - \omega_{\lambda})}\right) + \left(\{\sigma_2, \omega_2\} \leftrightarrow \{\sigma_3, \omega_3\}\right)$$

$$(23)$$

where $\omega_{2/3}$ and $\sigma_{2/3}$ are the central frequencies and time-domain widths of the two IR pulses, which are assumed to be Gaussian. Writing this expression we have assumed that the frequencies of the incoming pulses are chosen to be resonant with the respective transitions. We can perform the sum over m analytically if we ignore the weak

dependence of $C_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ on m, *i.e.*, $C_{m,\mathbf{k}} \simeq C_{0,\mathbf{k}}$, and we obtain

$$e^{-M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda})^{2m} \frac{(M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}-m)}{m!} \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}+m\omega_{\lambda}-\omega_{t})$$
$$=e^{-M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda})^{2m} \frac{M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}}{m!} [\delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}+m\omega_{\lambda}-\omega_{t})-\delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}+(m+1)\omega_{\lambda}-\omega_{t})]$$
(24)

$$\simeq -\omega_{\lambda}\delta'(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\omega_{t})e^{-M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda})^{2m}\frac{M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}}{m!} = -\omega_{\lambda}\delta'(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\omega_{t})M_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}^{2}.$$
 (25)

Substituting this into Eq. (22), we find

$$P_{\rm EPC,tot}(\omega_t) \propto \int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \nu(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \left| \langle e_{\mathbf{k}} | \mu_e | g \rangle \right|^2 \left| \langle \chi^0_{g,\lambda} | \mu_p | \chi^1_{g,\lambda} \rangle \right|^2 \frac{\Gamma}{(\omega_1 - \omega_\lambda)^2 + \Gamma^2} \times C_{0,\mathbf{k}} \pi \omega_\lambda \delta'(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega_t) M^2_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$$
(26)

In this expression, only $\nu(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ and $M^2_{\mathbf{k},\lambda}$ have a strong dependence on \mathbf{k} , and, thus, we can further simplify the result as Eq. (9) of the main text with the definition

$$C(\omega) \propto \left| \langle e_0 | \mu_e | g \rangle \right|^2 \left| \langle \chi^0_{g,\lambda} | \mu_p | \chi^1_{g,\lambda} \rangle \right|^2 \frac{\pi \Gamma \omega_\lambda C_{0,\mathbf{k}}}{(\omega_\tau - \omega_\lambda)^2 + \Gamma^2} \bigg|_{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \omega}.$$
 (27)

In order to determine the EPC strength from the experimental data, we fit the normalized 2D EPC spectrum in Fig. 2(d). In our theoretical model the normalized spectrum $S_{\rm EPC,norm}(\omega_t)$ corresponds to the intensity $I_{\rm EPC} \propto \omega_t P_{\rm EPC,tot}$ divided by the THz absorbance $\propto \omega_{\lambda} \left| \langle \chi_{g,\lambda}^{0} | \mu_{p} | \chi_{g,\lambda}^{1} \rangle \right|^{2} \Gamma / [(\omega_{\tau} - \omega_{\lambda})^{2} + \Gamma^{2}]$ and the IR absorbance $\propto \omega_t \nu(\omega_t) |\langle e_0 | \mu_e | g \rangle|^2$ [24]. We then use Eq. (10) to determine the EPC strengths. We perform the integration by assuming the density of states to be a power law $\nu(\omega_t) \propto (\omega_t - \epsilon_{\Gamma})^{\alpha}$ and by fitting the experimental data for $S_{\rm EPC,norm}(\omega_t)$ in Fig. 2(d) with a polynomial. Specifically we fit the 1 THz spectrum by a quadratic function and the 2 THz spectrum by a linear function assuming both functions cross zero at the gap edge, which we find to be $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} = 1.68 \,\mathrm{eV}$. This allows us to obtain $M_{\omega_{t},\lambda}$ which is shown in Fig. 3(d). We verify that the coupling strength obtained from the analytical expression (10) indeed reproduces the experimental data by plotting the full numerical result based on Eqs. (8) and (22) in Fig. 2(d). The broadenings of the phonon modes are obtained by fitting the THz absorbance in Fig. 2(c) with a Lorentz oscillator model of absorbance [33], from which we find $\Gamma_1 = 0.11$ THz and $\Gamma_2 = 0.27$ THz. The oscillator strength of the 2 THz mode is found to be approximately four times higher than that of the 1 THz mode.

Supplementary information. Materials and Methods Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S7 References (1-38)

Acknowledgments. V.K.S. and F.P. acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Collaborative Research Center TRR 288 (project number 422213477, project B09). H.K. and S.Q. thank the DFG for financial support through the Collaborative Research Center TRR 288 (project number 422213477, project B07). This work was also supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea (grant number 2021R1A6A1A10042944).

References

- Giustino, F.: Electron-phonon interactions from first principles. Reviews of Modern Physics 89(1) (2017) https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.89.015003
- [2] Devereaux, T.P., Shvaika, A.M., Wu, K., Wohlfeld, K., Jia, C.J., Wang, Y., Moritz, B., Chaix, L., Lee, W.-S., Shen, Z.-X., Ghiringhelli, G., Braicovich, L.: Directly characterizing the relative strength and momentum dependence of electron-phonon coupling using resonant inelastic x-ray scattering. Phys. Rev. X 6, 041019 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041019
- [3] Rini, M., Tobey, R., Dean, N., Itatani, J., Tomioka, Y., Tokura, Y., Schoenlein, R.W., Cavalleri, A.: Control of the electronic phase of a manganite by modeselective vibrational excitation. Nature 449(7158), 72–74 (2007) https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature06119
- [4] Subedi, A., Cavalleri, A., Georges, A.: Theory of nonlinear phononics for coherent light control of solids. Physical Review B 89(22) (2014) https://doi.org/10.1103/ physrevb.89.220301
- [5] Hu, W., Kaiser, S., Nicoletti, D., Hunt, C.R., Gierz, I., Hoffmann, M.C., Tacon, M.L., Loew, T., Keimer, B., Cavalleri, A.: Optically enhanced coherent transport in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5} by ultrafast redistribution of interlayer coupling. Nature Materials 13(7), 705–711 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3963
- [6] Kim, H., Hunger, J., Cánovas, E., Karakus, M., Mics, Z., Grechko, M., Turchinovich, D., Parekh, S.H., Bonn, M.: Direct observation of mode-specific phonon-band gap coupling in methylammonium lead halide perovskites. Nature Communications 8(1) (2017) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00807-x
- [7] Sekiguchi, F., Hirori, H., Yumoto, G., Shimazaki, A., Nakamura, T., Wakamiya, A., Kanemitsu, Y.: Enhancing the hot-phonon bottleneck effect in a metal halide perovskite by terahertz phonon excitation. Physical Review Letters 126(7) (2021) https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.126.077401

- [8] Lan, Y., Dringoli, B.J., Valverde-Chávez, D.A., Ponseca Jr, C.S., Sutton, M., He, Y., Kanatzidis, M.G., Cooke, D.G.: Ultrafast correlated charge and lattice motion in a hybrid metal halide perovskite. Science Advances 5(eaaw5558) (2019) https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw5558
- [9] Leitenstorfer, A., Hunsche, S., Shah, J., Nuss, M., Knox, W.: Femtosecond charge tranasport in polar semiconductors. Physical Review Letters 82(25), 5140 (1999) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.5140
- [10] Hasan, M.Z., Kane, C.L.: Colloquium: Topological insulators. Reviews of Modern Physics 82(4), 3045–3067 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.82.3045
- [11] Weber, C.P., Masten, M.G., Ogloza, T.C., Berggren, B.S., Man, M.K.L., Dani, K.M., Liu, J., Mao, Z., Klug, D.D., Adeleke, A.A., Yao, Y.: Using coherent phonons for ultrafast control of the dirac node of SrMnSb₂. Physical Review B 98(15) (2018) https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.98.155115
- [12] Li, X., Qiu, T., Zhang, J., Baldini, E., Lu, J., Rappe, A.M., Nelson, K.A.: Terahertz field-induced ferroelectricity in quantum paraelectric SrTiO₃. Science 364, 1079 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw4913
- [13] Waldecker, L., Bertoni, R., Hübener, H., Brumme, T., Vasileiadis, T., Zahn, D., Rubio, A., Ernstorfer, R.: Momentum-resolved view of electron-phonon coupling in multilayer WSe₂. Physical Review Letters **119**(3), 036803 (2017) https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.036803
- Karakus, M., Jensen, S.A., D'Angelo, F., Turchinovich, D., Bonn, M., Cánovas, E.: Phonon–electron scattering limits free charge mobility in methylammonium lead iodide perovskites. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 6(24), 4991–4996 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02485
- [15] Wright, A.D., Verdi, C., Milot, R.L., Eperon, G.E., Pérez-Osorio, M.A., Snaith, H.J., Giustino, F., Johnston, M.B., Herz, L.M.: Electron-phonon coupling in hybrid lead halide perovskites. Nature Communications 7(1) (2016) https://doi. org/10.1038/ncomms11755
- [16] Noffsinger, J., Kioupakis, E., Walle, C.G.V., Louie, S.G., Cohen, M.L.: Phononassisted optical absorption in silicon from first principles. Physical Review Letters 108(16) (2012) https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.108.167402
- [17] L.J.P.Ament, J.v.d.B. M. van Veenendaal: Determining the electron-phonon coupling strength from resonant inelastic X-ray scattering at transition metal Ledges. Europhysics Letters 95(27008) (2011) https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/ 95/27008
- [18] Mohr, M., Maultzsch, J., Dobardžić, E., Reich, S., Milošević, I., Damnjanović,

M., Bosak, A., Krisch, M., Thomsen, C.: Phonon dispersion of graphite by inelastic x-ray scattering. Physical Review B **76**(3) (2007) https://doi.org/10.1103/ physrevb.76.035439

- [19] Giovannini, U.D., Hübener, H., Sato, S.A., Rubio, A.: Direct measurement of electron-phonon coupling with time-resolved ARPES. Physical Review Letters 125(13) (2020) https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.125.136401
- [20] Calandra, M., Mauri, F.: Electron-phonon coupling and electron self-energy in electron-doped graphene: Calculation of angular-resolved photoemission spectra. Physical Review B 76(20) (2007) https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.76.205411
- [21] Na, M., Mills, A.K., Boschini, F., Michiardi, M., Nosarzewski, B., Day, R.P., Razzoli, E., Sheyerman, A., Schneider, M., Levy, G.: Direct determination of mode-projected electron-phonon coupling in the time domain. Science 366, 1231 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1662
- [22] Lanzara, A., Bogdanov, P., Zhou, X., Kellar, S., Feng, D., Lu, E., Yoshida, T., Eisaki, H., Fujimori, A., Kishio, K., *et al.*: Evidence for ubiquitous strong electronphonon coupling in high-temperature superconductors. Nature **412**, 510 (2001) https://doi.org/10.1038/35087518
- [23] Lee, J.J., Schmitt, F.T., Moore, R.G., Johnston, S., Cui, Y.-T., Li, W., Yi, M., Liu, Z.K., Hashimoto, M., Zhang, Y., Lu, D.H., Devereaux, T.P., Lee, D.-H., Shen, Z.-X.: Interfacial mode coupling as the origin of the enhancement of Tc in FeSe films on SrTiO₃. Nature 515(7526), 245–248 (2014) https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature13894
- [24] Mukamel, S.: Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy. Oxford series in optical and imaging sciences. Oxford University Press, New York (1999). https: //books.google.co.kr/books?id=garwwAEACAAJ
- [25] Mahan, G.D.: Many-Particle Physics. Physics of Solids and Liquids. Springer, New York (2013). https://books.google.de/books?id=TFDUBwAAQBAJ
- [26] Mukamel, S.: Multidimensional femtosecond correlation spectroscopies of electronic and vibrational excitations. Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 51(1), 691–729 (2000) https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.51.1.691 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.51.1.691
- [27] Leguy, A.M.A., Goñi, A.R., Frost, J.M., Skelton, J., Brivio, F., Rodríguez-Martínez, X., Weber, O.J., Pallipurath, A., Alonso, M.I., Campoy-Quiles, M., Weller, M.T., Nelson, J., Walsh, A., Barnes, P.R.F.: Dynamic disorder, phonon lifetimes, and the assignment of modes to the vibrational spectra of methylammonium lead halide perovskites. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 18(39), 27051–27066 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cp03474h

- [28] Umari, P., Mosconi, E., De Angelis, F.: Relativistic GW calculations on CH₃NH₃PbI₃ and CH₃NH₃SnI₃ perovskites for solar cell applications. Scientific reports 4(1), 4467 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04467
- [29] Herz, L.M.: Charge-carrier dynamics in organic-inorganic metal halide perovskites. Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 67(1), 65–89 (2016) https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-040215-112222
- [30] Kong, W., Ye, Z., Qi, Z., Zhang, B., Wang, M., Rahimi-Iman, A., Wu, H.: Characterization of an abnormal photoluminescence behavior upon crystal-phase transition of perovskite CH₃NH₃PbI₃. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 17(25), 16405–16411 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cp02605a
- [31] Singh, S., Li, C., Panzer, F., Narasimhan, K.L., Graeser, A., Gujar, T.P., Köhler, A., Thelakkat, M., Huettner, S., Kabra, D.: Effect of thermal and structural disorder on the electronic structure of hybrid perovskite semiconductor CH₃NH₃PbI₃. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 7(15), 3014–3021 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b01207
- [32] Parrott, E.S., Milot, R.L., Stergiopoulos, T., Snaith, H.J., Johnston, M.B., Herz, L.M.: Effect of structural phase transition on charge-carrier lifetimes and defects in CH₃NH₃SnI₃ perovskite. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 7(7), 1321–1326 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00322
- [33] La-o-vorakiat, C., Xia, H., Kadro, J., Salim, T., Zhao, D., Ahmed, T., Lam, Y.M., Zhu, J.-X., Marcus, R.A., Michel-Beyerle, M.-E., Chia, E.E.M.: Phonon mode transformation across the orthohombic–tetragonal phase transition in a lead iodide perovskite CH₃NH₃PbI₃: A Terahertz time-domain spectroscopy approach. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 7(1), 1–6 (2015) https://doi.org/10. 1021/acs.jpclett.5b02223
- [34] Bradler, M., Baum, P., Riedle, E.: Femtosecond continuum generation in bulk laser host materials with sub-μJ pump pulses. Applied Physics B 97(3), 561–574 (2009) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-009-3699-1
- [35] You, Y.S., Oh, T.I., Kim, K.Y.: Off-axis phase-matched terahertz emission from two-color laser-induced plasma filaments. Physical Review Letters 109(18) (2012) https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.109.183902
- [36] Vietze, L., Backus, E.H.G., Bonn, M., Grechko, M.: Distinguishing different excitation pathways in two-dimensional terahertz-infrared-visible spectroscopy. The Journal of Chemical Physics 154(17), 174201 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1063/5. 0047918
- [37] Nihonyanagi, S, Yamaguchi, S, Tahara, T: Direct evidence for orientational flipflop of water molecules at charged interfaces: A heterodyne-detected vibrational sum frequency generation study. The Journal of Chemical Physics 130(20),

204704 (2009) https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3135147

[38] Sharma, V.K., Kim, H., Pientka, F.: unpublished