

# Generalized Eta-Einstein and $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures

**Philippe Rukimbira**

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Florida International University  
Miami, Florida 33199, USA  
E-MAIL: rukim@fiu.edu*

## Abstract

*Generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ - structures occur in dimension 3 only. In this dimension 3, only K-contact structures can occur as generalized Eta-Einstein. On closed manifolds, Eta-Einstein, K-contact structures which are not D-homothetic to Einstein structures are almost regular. We also construct examples of compact, generalized Jacobi  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures.*

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 57R17, 57K33

**Key Words:** Eta-Einstein,  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space, K-contact, Sasakian.

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the interaction between generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures and generalized Eta-Einstein structures. In 1995, Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou [2] introduced and studied extensively  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -spaces. Generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$  where at least one of  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  is assumed not to be a constant function were studied in many papers, including [7] where it is shown that there are no generalizations in odd dimensions 5 or higher. We

use the terminology "generalized" when at least one of the functions  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  is not constant.

Generalized Eta-Einstein structures were introduced and studied by Okumura [8] in 1962. An extensive study of these structures from the Sasakian point of view has been carried out by Boyer, Galicki and Matzeu [3]. As it turns out, in dimension 3, Eta-Einstein structures are exactly  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures with  $\mu = 0$ , simply referred to as  $\kappa$ -structures. One of the results in this paper is that non-K-contact generalized Eta-Einstein structures do not occur in dimension 3.

Using a new type of contact metric deformation, we construct examples of compact, generalized Jacobi  $(\kappa, \mu)$  structures.

## 2 Basic notions of contact metric structures

A contact form on a  $2n + 1$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  is a one-form  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \wedge (d\alpha)^n$  is a volume form on  $M$ . Given a contact manifold  $(M, \eta)$ , there exist tensor fields  $(\xi, \phi, g)$ , where  $g$  is a Riemannian metric and  $\xi$  is a unit vector field, called the Reeb field of  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle of  $M$  such that

$$(i) \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad d\eta = 2g(\cdot, \phi\cdot)$$

The data  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is called a contact metric structure on  $M$ .

Denoting by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ , and by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

its curvature tensor, a contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is called Sasakian if the condition

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X$$

is satisfied for all tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ . A well known curvature characterization of the Sasakian condition is as follows:

**Proposition 1** *A contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is Sasakian if and only if*

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$$

*for all tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

Contact metric structures on which the Reeb vector field is an infinitesimal isometry are called K-contact structures. One has the following characterization of K-contact structures.

**Proposition 2** *A contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is K-contact if and only if its curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies*

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = X - \eta(X)\xi$$

*for all tangent vectors  $X$ .*

For more on the contact metric geometry, reference [1] includes an extensive bibliography and constitute a very good introduction to the subject.

We will need the following fact related to contact distributions being bracket generating. First, some terminology being used throughout the paper. A tangent vector  $U$  on a contact manifold  $(M, \eta, \xi)$  is said to be horizontal is  $\eta(U) = 0$ .

**Lemma 1** *If a smooth function  $f$  on a contact manifold  $(M, \eta, \xi)$  satisfies  $df(U) = 0$  for every horizontal vector  $U$ ; then  $df = 0$  identically and  $f$  is locally constant.*

**Proof** The condition  $df(U) = 0$  for all horizontal  $U$  implies that  $df = df(\xi)\eta$ . We claim that  $df(\xi)$  is identically zero. If not, the contact distribution would be then in the kernel of the closed form  $df$ , hence integrable, contradicting the definition of contact distributions as totally non-integrable. Therefore,  $df = df(\xi)\eta = 0$ .

### 3 Generalized $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures

A contact metric space  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is said to be generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$  if its (1,3)-curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY)$$

for some functions  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  and the tensor field  $h$  is defined by  $h = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi\phi$ .

By generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ , we mean that at least one of the functions  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  is

not constant. In dimensions  $2n + 1 > 3$ , the functions  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  are known to be necessarily constant [7]. In dimension 3, non-compact examples of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$  structures have been presented in [7]. Moreover, in [11], Sharma has shown that on  $\kappa$ -spaces, i.e  $(\kappa, \mu)$  with  $\mu = 0$ ; the function  $\kappa$  is necessarily constant. Here, we prove a slight generalization of this result under Proposition 3 further in the paper.

In general, on any Riemannian 3-dimensional manifold  $(M, g)$ , denoting by  $Q$ ,  $r$  and  $Ric(\cdot)$  the Ricci operator, the scalar curvature and the Ricci tensor respectively, the following identity holds [9]:

$$R(X, Y)Z = Ric(Z, Y)X - Ric(Z, X)Y + g(Z, Y)QX - g(Z, X)QY - \frac{r}{2}\{g(Z, Y)X - g(Z, X)Y\} \quad (1)$$

for any vector fields  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$  on  $M$ .

On a 3-dimensional  $(\kappa, \mu)$  space  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , letting  $Z = \xi = Y$  in identity (1) above, we obtain the following:

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = Ric(\xi, \xi)X - Ric(\xi, X)\xi + QX - \eta(X)Q\xi - \frac{r}{2}(X - \eta(X)\xi) \quad (2)$$

Using the fact that on a  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space,  $Q\xi = 2\kappa\xi$  in (2) and solving for  $QX$ , we obtain:

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)X + \left(3\kappa - \frac{r}{2}\right)\eta(X)\xi + \mu hX \quad (3)$$

Similar to the standard  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures case, the eigenfunctions of the symmetric tensor  $h$  are  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$  and  $-\lambda$  on a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space with

$\kappa < 1$  (See [7]). Denoting by  $E$  a unit eigenvector field corresponding to  $\lambda$ , we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 2** *On every 3-dimensional generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$  structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , the following identities hold:*

$$d\kappa(\xi) = 0, \quad dr(\xi) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_E E = \frac{d\lambda(\phi E)}{2\lambda} \phi E, \quad \nabla_{\phi E} \phi E = \frac{d\lambda(E)}{2\lambda} E \quad (5)$$

$$d\mu(E) = -2d\lambda(E), \quad d\mu(\phi E) = 2d\lambda(\phi E) \quad (6)$$

**Proof** On any generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space, the following identities hold:

$$\nabla_\xi h = \mu h \phi, \quad h^2 = (\kappa - 1)\phi^2 \quad (7)$$

Differentiating the second equation along  $\xi$ , then substituting in the first, one gets:

$$\mu h^2 \phi - \mu h^2 \phi = d\kappa(\xi)\phi^2 \quad (8)$$

Hence  $d\kappa(\xi)\phi^2 = 0$  which implies  $d\kappa(\xi) = 0$ , proving first of (4).

By *Ric* we denote the Ricci tensor,  $Ric(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$  for any tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ . Using identities

$$div Ric = \frac{1}{2} dr \quad (9)$$

valid on any Riemannian manifold and, for any frame  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla_{E_i} h) E_i = \phi Q \xi \quad (10)$$

valid on any contact metric 3-dimensional manifold (see [6]), we obtain

$$(\nabla_E h)E + (\nabla_{\phi E} h)\phi E + (\nabla_\xi h)\xi = \phi Q\xi \quad (11)$$

On a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space, the above reduces to

$$(\nabla_E h)E + (\nabla_{\phi E} h)\phi E = 0 \quad (12)$$

Which is simply:

$$\nabla_E(hE) - h\nabla_E E + \nabla_{\phi E}(h\phi E) - h\nabla_{\phi E}\phi E = 0 \quad (13)$$

Next, using  $hE = \lambda E$  and  $h\phi E = -\lambda\phi E$ , (13) becomes:

$$d\lambda(E)E - 2\lambda\nabla_{\phi E}\phi E - d\lambda(\phi E)\phi E + 2\lambda\nabla_E E = 0 \quad (14)$$

Inner product (14) with  $E$  shows that  $\nabla_{\phi E}\phi E = \frac{d\lambda(E)}{2\lambda}E$  and inner product of (14) with  $\phi E$  shows that  $\nabla_E E = \frac{d\lambda(\phi E)}{2\lambda}\phi E$ , proving (5).

To prove (6), we use the well known Riemannian geometric identity (9). Denoting by  $grad\ r$  the gradient vector of function  $r$  and using the frame  $\{E, \phi E, \xi\}$ , identity (9) becomes:

$$\frac{1}{2}grad\ r = (\nabla_E Q)E + (\nabla_{\phi E} Q)\phi E + (\nabla_\xi Q)\xi \quad (15)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{1}{2}grad\ r = \nabla_E QE - Q\nabla_E E + \nabla_{\phi E} Q\phi E - Q\nabla_{\phi E}\phi E + \nabla_\xi Q\xi - Q\nabla_\xi\xi \quad (16)$$

From (2), we have :

$$QE = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)E + \mu\lambda E \quad (17)$$

$$Q\phi E = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)\phi E - \mu\lambda\phi E \quad (18)$$

$$Q\xi = 2\kappa\xi \quad (19)$$

Substituting these into (16) and using (5), the right hand side of (16) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(dr(E)E + dr(\phi E)\phi E) - d\kappa(E)E - d\kappa(\phi E)\phi E + \left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)\left(\frac{d\lambda(\phi E)}{2\lambda}\right)\phi E + \\ & d(\mu\lambda)(E)E + \mu\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda(\phi E)}{2\lambda}\right)\phi E - \frac{d\lambda(\phi E)}{2\lambda}\left(\left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)\phi E - \mu\lambda\phi E\right) + \left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)\left(\frac{d\lambda(E)}{2\lambda}\right) \\ & - d(\mu\lambda)(\phi E)\phi E - (\mu\lambda)\left(\frac{d\lambda(E)}{2\lambda}\right)E - \frac{d\lambda(E)}{2\lambda}\left(\left(\frac{r}{2} - \kappa\right)E + \mu\lambda E\right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

while the left hand side is:

$$\frac{1}{2}(dr(E)E + dr(\phi E)\phi E + dr(\xi)\xi) \quad (21)$$

Cancelling identical terms from (20) and (21), one reaches

$$dr(\xi) = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$-d\kappa(E) + d(\mu\lambda)(E) - \mu\lambda\frac{d\lambda(E)}{\lambda} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$-d\kappa(\phi E) + \mu\lambda\frac{d\lambda(\phi E)}{\lambda} - d(\mu\lambda)(\phi E) = 0 \quad (24)$$

Identity (22) proves the second identity of (4).

Since  $\kappa = 1 - \lambda^2$ , identity (23) becomes  $0 = 2\lambda d\lambda(E) + \mu d\lambda(E) + \lambda d\mu(E) - \mu d\lambda(E) = 2\lambda d\lambda(E) + \lambda d\mu(E)$ . Hence, since  $\lambda \neq 0$ , one gets  $d\mu(E) = -2d\lambda(E)$ , proving the first identity of (6). In the same way, identity (24) becomes  $d\mu(\phi E) = 2d\lambda(\phi E)$ , proving the second identity of (24).  $\square$

We may now prove the following:



**Proposition 3** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a 3-dimensional generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -space with*

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY)$$

*for any tangent vectors  $X, Y$  with  $\kappa < 1$ . Then the function  $\kappa$  is constant if and only if the function  $\mu$  is constant. In particular, on any  $\kappa$ -space,  $\mu = 0$ , and hence  $\kappa$  is constant.*

**Proof**

If  $\mu$  is constant, then  $d\lambda(E) = 0 = d\lambda(\phi E)$  from (6) in Lemma 2. Hence by Lemma 1,  $d\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda$  is constant. But since  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$ , it follows that  $\kappa$  is also constant. Conversely, if  $\kappa$  is constant, then  $\lambda$  is constant and a similar argument shows that  $\mu$  is also constant.  $\square$

## 4 Generalized Eta-Einstein structures

A contact metric space  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is said to be generalized Eta-Einstein if its Ricci operator  $Q$  satisfies

$$QX = \lambda X + \gamma \eta(X)\xi$$

for some smooth functions  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$ . In dimensions  $2n + 1 > 3$ , it is known that when  $Ric(\xi, \xi) = g(Q\xi, \xi)$  is constant, like in the case of K-contact generalized  $\eta$ -Einstein, then  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  are constant functions.

More generally, we prove the following:

**Proposition 4** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a generalized Eta-Einstein  $2n+1$ -dimensional manifold. Then the Ricci operator  $Q$  is given by*

$$QX = \lambda X + \gamma \eta(X)\xi$$

where  $d\lambda(\xi) = 0 = d\gamma(\xi)$  and

$$(1 - 2n)d\lambda = d\gamma$$

Moreover any of the functions  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  is constant if and only if the other one is.

**Proof** Denoting the scalar curvature by  $r$  and the Ricci curvature tensor by  $Ric$ , then one has

$$r = (2n + 1)\lambda + \gamma$$

and

$$div Ric(X) = d\lambda(X) + d\gamma(\xi)\eta(X)$$

From the well known Riemannian geometric identity

$$2div Ric = dr$$

we get

$$2d\lambda(X) + 2d\gamma(\xi)\eta(X) = (2n + 1)d\lambda(X) + d\gamma(X)$$

Equivalently

$$(1 - 2n)d\lambda(X) = d\gamma(X) - 2d\gamma(\xi)\eta(X) \quad (25)$$

Evaluating (25) on  $H$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ , we get

$$(1 - 2n)d\lambda(H) = d\gamma(H) \quad (26)$$

Identity (26) implies that

$$(1 - 2n)d\lambda = d\gamma \quad (27)$$

by Lemma 1.

Letting  $X = \xi$  in (25), we get

$$(1 - 2n)d\lambda(\xi) = -d\gamma(\xi)$$

This combined with (27) leads to

$$d\lambda(\xi) = 0 = d\gamma(\xi)$$

□

In the 3-dimensional setting, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, g)$  be 3-dimensional, generalized Eta-Einstein, not K-contact manifold. Then the Ricci operator  $Q$  is given by*

$$QX = \gamma\eta(X)\xi$$

*with constant  $\gamma$ . In particular,  $(M, g)$  has constant scalar curvature  $\gamma$ .*

**Proof** Suppose

$$QX = \lambda X + \gamma\eta(X)\xi \quad (28)$$

We will show that  $\lambda = 0$  and  $d\gamma = 0$ .

The curvature tensor of the 3-dimensional, generalized Eta-Einstein manifold satisfies [9]:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = Ric(Y, \xi)X - Ric(X, \xi)Y + \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY - \frac{r}{2}(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$$

$$= (2\lambda + \gamma - \frac{r}{2})(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) \quad (29)$$

Identity (29) means that  $(M, \eta, \xi, g)$  is a  $\kappa$ -space, with  $\kappa = 2\lambda + \gamma - \frac{r}{2}$ ; which is constant by [11].

By a result in [2], the scalar curvature of a 3-dimensional  $\kappa$ -space is  $r = 2\kappa$ . Thus, in the case at hand, one has:

$$r = 2(2\lambda + \gamma - \frac{r}{2});$$

That is :  $r = 2\lambda + \gamma$ . This compared with the calculated value from (28),  $r = 3\lambda + \gamma$ , leads to  $\lambda = 0$ .

Since by Proposition 4,  $d\lambda$  is proportional to  $d\gamma$ , we see that  $d\gamma = 0$ .

□

One has the following immediate corollary of Theorem 1:

**Corollary 1** *If a 3-dimensional contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is strict generalized Eta-Einstein, then  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  must be K-contact.*

## 5 Automorphisms of generalized Eta-Einstein and $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures

The automorphism groups of generalized Eta-Einstein and  $(\kappa, \mu)$  structures exhibit some remarkable properties. For generalized Eta-Einstein structures, we have the following:

**Theorem 2** *Let  $Z$  be a Killing vector field on a generalized Eta-Einstein space  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  with Ricci operator  $Q = \lambda Id + \gamma \eta \otimes \xi$ ,  $\gamma \neq 0$  almost everywhere. Then  $Z$  is an infinitesimal automorphism of the contact metric structure. Moreover,  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  are integral invariants of  $Z$ , that is,  $d\lambda(Z) = 0 = d\gamma(Z)$ .*

First a needed proposition before the proof of Theorem 2:

**Proposition 5** *On any contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , if  $Z$  is an infinitesimal isometry, then  $\eta([Z, \xi]) = 0$ .*

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} \eta([Z, \xi]) &= g(\xi, [Z, \xi]) = g(\xi, \nabla_Z \xi - \nabla_\xi Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} Zg(\xi, \xi) - g(\xi, \nabla_\xi Z) = 0 - 0. \end{aligned}$$

We used the fact that  $\nabla Z$  is a skew-symmetric operator.

**Proof** [Proof of Theorem 2]

Lie differentiating  $Q = \lambda Id + \gamma \eta \otimes \xi$  in the direction of  $Z$ , we obtain:

$$0 = Z(\lambda)Id + Z(\gamma)\eta \otimes \xi + \gamma(L_Z \eta) \otimes \xi + \gamma \eta \otimes [Z, \xi] \quad (30)$$

Evaluating (30) at  $\xi$  and inner product (30) with an arbitrary horizontal vector  $V$ ; one obtains:

$$\gamma g([Z, \xi], V) = 0$$

That is, since  $[Z, \xi]$  is horizontal by Proposition 5,  $[Z, \xi] = 0$  and hence  $L_Z \eta = 0$  whenever  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Taking this into account, identity (30) reduces to

$$0 = Z(\lambda)Id + Z(\gamma)\eta \otimes \xi \quad (31)$$

Evaluating (31) at an arbitrary horizontal  $V$  leads to  $0 = Z(\lambda)V$ , hence  $Z(\lambda) = 0$ . Then, evaluating (31) at  $\xi$  gives  $Z(\gamma) = 0$ .

□

In dimension 3, any  $\kappa$ -structure is generalized Eta-Einstein and conversely, any generalized Eta-Einstein space is a  $\kappa$ -space. This is easily seen from identities (3) and (29). In [12], a theorem of Tanno, Theorem A, states that on a 3-dimensional K-contact manifold which is not of constant curvature, every infinitesimal isometry is an automorphism of the contact metric structure. We generalize this result in two directions: First to all odd dimensions, then to 3-dimensional  $\kappa$ -structures.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a K-contact, generalized Eta-Einstein space which is not Einstein. Then any infinitesimal isometry is an infinitesimal automorphism.*

**Proof** This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2. The non-Einstein condition implies that the parameter  $\gamma$  in the Ricci operator identity is not zero.

In the particular dimension 3, we have:

**Theorem 4** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a 3-dimensional  $\kappa$ -space. If  $\kappa \neq 0, 1$  or  $\kappa = 1$  and the scalar curvature  $r \neq 6$ , then every infinitesimal isometry is an automorphism of the  $\kappa$ -structure.*

**Proof** From Identity (3), it follows that the Ricci operator  $Q$  of any  $\kappa$ -space is given by

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2} - k\right)X + \left(3\kappa - \frac{r}{2}\right)\eta(X)\xi \quad (32)$$

In the case  $\kappa \neq 0, 1$ ; we know  $r = 2\kappa$  and  $Q$  is given by  $QX = 2\kappa\eta(X)\xi$  with  $2\kappa \neq 0$ , see [2].

In the case  $\kappa = 1$  but  $r \neq 6$ , it holds  $QX = (\frac{r}{2} - 1)X + (3 - \frac{r}{2})\eta(X)\xi$  with  $3 - \frac{r}{2} \neq 0$ .

In each case, the conclusion of Theorem 2 applies.

Given a contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ , and a real constant  $a > 0$ , then the  $D_a$ -homothetic deformation of  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is another contact metric structure  $(M, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{g})$  where  $\bar{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{a}$ ,  $\bar{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{a}$ ,  $\bar{\phi} = \phi$ ,  $\bar{g} = ag + a(a - 1)\eta \otimes \eta$ . Any  $D_a$ -homothetic deformation of a generalized Eta-Einstein K-contact structure is again Eta-Einstein. This is true even for strict generalized structures in dimension 3. To see this, one uses identity (29) to deforms the generalized Eta-Einstein structure as a  $\kappa$ -structure with constant  $\kappa$ , then use identity (32) to see that the deformed  $\kappa$ -structure is itself a deformed generalized Eta-Einstein structure. The Ricci operator transforms as follows under  $D_a$ -homothety [11]:

$$\bar{Q}X = \bar{\lambda}X + \bar{\gamma}\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\xi}$$

where

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda + 2 - 2a}{a}; \quad \bar{\gamma} = 2n - \bar{\lambda}$$

Eta-Einstein K-contact structures with  $\lambda = -2$  are D-homothetically fixed. Indeed, any  $D_a$ -homothetic deformation of such an  $\eta$ -Einstein structure has the same  $\bar{\lambda} = -2$ . Any Eta-Einstein K-contact structure with  $\lambda > -2$  is D-homothetic to a K-contact, Einstein structure which usually have large

isometry groups. For those Eta-Einstein K-contact structures with  $\lambda \leq -2$ , the isometry group tends to be small dimensional as evidenced through the following theorem.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  be a closed, K-contact, generalized Eta-Einstein space whose structure is not D-homothetic to a K-contact, Einstein structure. Then the isometry group of  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$  is one dimensional. In particular, its Reeb flow is almost regular.*

**Proof** Let  $Z$  be a Killing vector field on the generalized Eta-Einstein space  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi, g)$ . We will show that  $Z$  is a (constant) multiple of  $\xi$ . Since  $\gamma > 2n + 2 > 0$ , it follows from Theorem 2 that  $Z$  is an infinitesimal automorphism of the generalized Eta-Einstein structure. Now, if  $\bar{g} = ag + a(a-1)\eta \otimes \eta$ ,  $\bar{\eta} = a\eta$ , is a  $D_a$ -homothetic deformation, then  $Z$  is still an infinitesimal automorphism of the deformed structure. The Ricci tensor  $\overline{Ric}$  of the deformed metric satisfies

$$\overline{Ric}(X, Y) = \bar{\lambda}\bar{g}(X, Y) + \bar{\gamma}\bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\eta}(Y)$$

where, [11]

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda + 2 - 2a}{a}, \quad \bar{\gamma} = 2n - \frac{\lambda + 2 - 2a}{a}.$$

Moreover,  $\bar{\lambda} \leq -2$  also, since the property " $\lambda \leq -2$ " is preserved under D-homothetic deformations on generalized  $\eta$ -Einstein K-contact spaces. Computing  $\overline{Ric}(Z, Z)$ , we find:

$$\overline{Ric}(Z, Z) = (\lambda + 2 - 2a)g(Z, Z) + [2na^2 + 2a - (\lambda + 2)]\eta(Z)^2$$



which can be reorganized as:

$$\overline{Ric}(Z, Z) = (\lambda + 2 - 2a)[g(Z, Z) - \eta(Z)^2] + 2na^2\eta(Z)^2 \quad (33)$$

From identity (33), we deduce that

$$\overline{Ric}(Z, Z) \leq -2a[g(Z, Z) - \eta(Z)^2] + 2na^2\eta(Z)^2 \leq -2a[g(Z, Z) - \eta(Z)^2 - na\eta(Z)^2] \quad (34)$$

If  $\eta(Z)^2 \neq g(Z, Z)$ , that is, if  $Z$  is not proportional to  $\xi$ , then inequality (34) clearly implies that, for sufficiently small  $a > 0$ :

$$\overline{Ric}(Z, Z) \leq 0. \quad (35)$$

On a closed Riemannian manifold, inequality (35) would imply that the Killing vector field  $Z$  is parallel, hence trivial since there are no non-trivial parallel vector fields on any closed K-contact manifold [10].

## 6 Generalized Jacobi $(\kappa, \mu)$ -spaces

It was pointed out that examples of non-compact generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -spaces were provided in [7]. However, no compact examples of those generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -spaces are known. There is a weaker notion of  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures, the so-called Jacobi  $(\kappa, \mu)$  structures [5]. A contact metric structure  $(M, \eta, \xi, J, g)$  is called a generalized Jacobi  $(\kappa, \mu)$  if the Riemann curvature tensor satisfies

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = \kappa(X - \eta(X)\xi) + \mu hX \quad (36)$$

where  $h = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi J$  and  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  are smooth functions. Similar to the standard structures, for  $\kappa < 1$ , the tangent bundle decomposes into 3 subbundles, the eigenbundles of  $h$  corresponding to eigenfunctions  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$ ,  $-\lambda$  and 0. We focus on the 3-dimensional case. Starting with a closed, flat, 3-dimensional contact metric structure, let  $E$  be a unit vector field such that  $hE = E$ . Then, the following identities hold ([2]).

$$\nabla E = 0, \quad [\xi, E] = 2\phi E, \quad [\xi, \phi E] = 0, \quad [E, \phi E] = 2\xi \quad (37)$$

The 3-dimensional contact metric manifold fibers over the circle  $S^1$  with  $\xi$  and  $\phi E$  tangent to the fibers. Therefore, there are plenty of functions invariant along the fibers, that is, functions  $f$  such that  $df(\xi) = 0$  and  $df(\phi E) = 0$ .

Any of these functions induces a contact metric deformation as follows:

Define a new metric  $g^f$  by

$$g^f(E, E) = 1 + f + \frac{1}{2}f^2, \quad g^f(\phi E, \phi E) = 1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2 \quad (38)$$

$$g^f(E, \phi E) = f^2, \quad g^f(\xi, E) = 0 = g^f(\xi, \phi E) \quad (39)$$

One defines transverse almost complex structure  $\phi^f$  as follows: For any tangent vectors  $X, Y$ ,

$$d\eta(X, Y) = 2g^f(X, \phi^f Y) \quad (40)$$

A simple calculation shows immediately that

$$\phi^f \xi = 0 \quad (41)$$

$$\phi^f E = -f^2 E + (1 + f + \frac{1}{2}f^2)\phi E \quad (42)$$

$$\phi^f \phi E = -(1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2)E + f^2 \phi E \quad (43)$$

It is easily verified that  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi^f, g^f)$  is a contact metric structure with  $h^f = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi \phi^f$  satisfying

$$h^f \phi E = -(1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2)\phi E \quad (44)$$

We prove the following:

**Proposition 6** *With the same notations as above,  $(M, \eta, \xi, \phi^f, g^f)$  is a generalized Jacobi  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structure with  $\kappa = (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2)(2 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2)$  and  $\mu = 2(f - \frac{1}{2}f^2)$ .*

**Proof** We will use the notation  $\nabla$  instead of the cumbersome  $\nabla^f$  for the Levi-Civita covariant derivative of  $g^f$ . A direct calculation using identities (37) and (44) shows that the curvature tensor  $R^f$  of  $g^f$  satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} R^f(\phi E, \xi)\xi &= -\nabla_\xi \nabla_{\phi E} \xi - \nabla_{[\phi E, \xi]} \xi \\ &= \nabla_\xi (\phi^f \phi E + \phi^f h^f \phi E) \\ &= (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2) \nabla_\xi \phi^f \phi E \\ &= (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi^f \nabla_{\phi E} \xi \\ &= (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2)^2 \phi E \\ &= (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2)(2 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi E - 2(f - \frac{1}{2}f^2)(1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi E \\ &= \kappa \phi E + \mu h^f \phi E \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
R^f(\phi^f \phi E, \xi)\xi &= -\nabla_\xi \nabla_{\phi^f \phi E} \xi - \nabla_{[\phi^f \phi E, \xi]} \xi \\
&= -\nabla_\xi \nabla_{\phi^f \phi E} \xi - 2(1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) \nabla_{\phi E} \xi \\
&= -\nabla_\xi (2 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi E + 2(1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi^f \phi E \\
&= (2 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi^f \phi E + 2(1 - f + \frac{1}{2}f^2) (f - \frac{1}{2}f^2) \phi^f \phi E \\
&= \kappa \phi^f \phi E + \mu (1 - f + \frac{1}{2}) \phi^f \phi E \\
&= \kappa \phi^f \phi E + \mu h^f \phi^f \phi E
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 1** *The above construction does not seem to provide any example of generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structures as we have hoped for. This failure is mainly due to the fact that the curvature tensor  $R^f$  does not satisfy this condition*

$$R^f(\phi E, \phi^f \phi E)\xi = 0 \tag{45}$$

*which is necessary on a generalized  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -structure. In our case at hand, condition (45) can be satisfied only if the function  $f$  is constant.*

## References

- [1] Blair, D.E., *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Math. **203**, Birkhauser, Basel, 2010.
- [2] Blair, D.E., Koufogiorgos, T. and Papantoniou, B.J., *Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition*, Israel J. Math. **19** (1995), 189-214.

- [3] Boyer, C., Galiki, C. and Matzeu, P., *On Eta-Einstein Sasakian Geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **262** (2006), 177-208.
- [4] Gouli-Andreou, F, Xenos, Ph. J., *A class of contact metric 3-manifolds with  $\xi \in N(\kappa, \mu)$  and  $\kappa, \mu$  functions*, Algebras, Groups and Geometry **17** (4), (2000), 401-407.
- [5] Ghosh, A. and Sharma, R., *A generalization of K-contact and  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -contact manifolds*, J. Geometry **17** (2012), 431-443.
- [6] Koufogiorgos, T., *On a class of contact Riemannian 3-manifolds*, Results in Math. **27** (1995), 51-62.
- [7] Koufogiorgos, T. and Tsihlias, C., *On the Existence of a New Class of Contact Metric Manifolds*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **43** (4) (2000), 440-447.
- [8] Okumura, M., *Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure*, Tôhoku Math. J. **14** (1962), 135-145.
- [9] Petersen, P., *Riemannian Geometry, Second Edition*, Graduate Text in Mathematics **171** Springer 2021.
- [10] Rukimbira, P., *Parallelism in K-contact geometry*, J. Geom. **103** (2012), 119-123.
- [11] Sharma, R., *On the curvature of contact metric manifolds*, Journal of Geometry **53** (1995), 179-190.
- [12] Tanno, S., *Killing vectors on contact Riemannian manifolds and fibering related to the Hopf fibration*, Tôhoku Math. Journ. **23** (1971), 313-333.