

On k -vertex-edge domination of graph

Debojyoti Bhattacharya*

Subhabrata Paul†

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph. The open neighbourhood of a vertex v in G is defined as $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$; whereas the closed neighbourhood is defined as $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. For an integer k , a subset $D \subseteq V$ is called a k -vertex-edge dominating set of G if for every edge $uv \in E$, $|(N_G[u] \cup N_G[v]) \cap D| \geq k$. In k -vertex-edge domination problem, our goal is to find a k -vertex-edge dominating set of minimum cardinality of an input graph G . In this paper, we first prove that the decision version of k -vertex-edge domination problem is NP-complete for chordal graphs. On the positive side, we design a linear time algorithm for finding a minimum k -vertex-edge dominating set of tree. We also prove that there is a $O(\log(\Delta(G)))$ -approximation algorithm for this problem in general graph G , where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of G . Then we show that for a graph G with n vertices, this problem cannot be approximated within a factor of $(1 - \epsilon) \ln n$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ unless $NP \subseteq DTIME(|V|^{O(\log \log |V|)})$. Finally, we prove that it is APX-complete for graphs with bounded degree $k + 3$.

keywords: k -vertex-edge domination, NP-completeness, Approximation Algorithm, APX-completeness

1 Introduction

Domination and its variations are considered to be one of the classical problems in graph theory due to its application in different areas. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph. The open neighbourhood of a vertex v in G is defined as $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$; whereas the closed neighbourhood is defined as $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. A subset $D \subseteq V$ is called a dominating set of G if for every $v \in V$, $|N_G[v] \cap D| \geq 1$. In general, a vertex dominates its neighbouring vertices and also itself. Depending on the nature of dominating power of a vertex, different variations of this classical domination problem have been studied in literature [HHS98a, HHS98b].

In one of the variations, a vertex v is considered to dominate all the edges that are incident to any vertex in $N_G[v]$. In literature, it is referred to as *vertex-edge domination* or *ve-domination* in short. An edge $e = uv \in E$ is said to be vertex-edge dominated (*ve-dominated*) by a vertex x if $x \in N_G[u] \cup N_G[v]$. A subset $D_{ve} \subseteq V$ is called a *vertex-edge dominating set* or *ve-dominating set* of G if for every edge $uv \in E$, $|(N_G[u] \cup N_G[v]) \cap D_{ve}| \geq 1$, that is, every edge of the graph is ve-dominated by D_{ve} . The minimum cardinality of a ve-dominating set of a graph G is called *ve-domination number* of G and it is denoted as $\gamma_{ve}(G)$. In this problem, the goal is to find a ve-dominating set of minimum cardinality in a given input graph. The notion of a ve-dominating set was introduced by Peters in his Ph.D. thesis [Pet86]. This problem has been well studied both from algorithmic as well as theoretical point of view [BCHH16, CS12, JD22, KVK14, Lew07, PPV21, PR21, Pet86, NKPV21, Żyl19, CS22].

A generalization of vertex-edge domination, namely k -vertex-edge domination was studied by Li and Wang in 2023 [LW23]. Given an integer k , a subset $D_{kve} \subseteq V$ is called a *k -vertex-edge dominating set* or *k -ve dominating set* of G if for every edge $uv \in E$, $|(N_G[u] \cup N_G[v]) \cap D_{kve}| \geq k$. The minimum cardinality of a k -ve dominating set of a graph G is called *k -ve domination number* of G and it is denoted as $\gamma_{kve}(G)$. The minimum k -ve domination problem and its corresponding decision version are defined as follows:

Minimum k -Vertex-Edge Domination Problem(MIN k VEDP)

Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k .

Output: Minimum k -vertex-edge dominating set D of G .

Decision version of k -Vertex-Edge Domination Problem(DECIDE k VEDP)

Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k and an integer t .

Question: Does there exists a k -vertex-edge dominating set D of G of size at most t ?

*Inian Institute of Technology Patna, Bihta, 801106, Bihar, India. email: debojyoti_2021ma11@iitp.ac.in

†Inian Institute of Technology Patna, Bihta, 801106, Bihar, India. email: subhabrata@iitp.ac.in

In [LW23], authors proposed a $O(km)$ time algorithm for $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ in interval graph and a linear time algorithm to find a minimum independent vertex-edge dominating set in unit interval graph. A set $S \subset V$ is an independent vertex-edge dominating set if it is independent and vertex-edge dominating set. The results presented in this paper are as follows:

- We show that $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$ is NP-complete for chordal graphs.
- The $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ can be solved in linear time for trees.
- A lower bound on approximation ratio of $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$.
- An $O(\log \Delta(G))$ -approximation algorithm for $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$.
- The $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ is APX-hard for graphs with maximum degree $k + 3$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the NP-completeness result for $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$ in chordal graphs. Section 3 deals with the linear time algorithm for $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ in tree. After that, in Section 4 we discuss approximation algorithms and hardness of approximation results for $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$. Finally, Section 5 concludes this paper with some interesting open problems.

2 NP-complete for chordal graphs

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is *chordal* graph if every cycle of length greater or equal to 4 has a chord. A chord is an edge between two non-consecutive vertices of a cycle. In this section, we show that $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$ is NP-complete for chordal graphs. We prove this result by reducing $\text{EXACT 3 COVER PROBLEM}$ (EX3CP) to our problem. The EX3CP is known to be NP-complete [GJ79] and the problem is as follows:

$\text{EXACT 3 COVER PROBLEM}$ (EX3CP)

Instance: A set X of $3q$ elements and a collection of 3 element subsets of X , say C .

Question: Does there exist a sub-collection $C' \subseteq C$ such that every element of X belong to exactly one member of C' ?

Theorem 1. *The $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$ is NP-complete for chordal graphs.*

Proof. Given a subset of V of size t , we can verify whether it is a k -ve dominating set of G or not in polynomial time. Therefore, the $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$ is in NP. Next, we show a polynomial time reduction from an instance of EX3CP to an instance of $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$. Let (X, C) be an instance of EX3CP where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3q}\}$ and $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$. We construct the graph G as follows:

- For every $x_i \in X$, consider a vertex a_i and for every $c_j \in C$, consider a vertex b_j in G . Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{3q}\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$. We make B a clique by adding all possible edges in B . Also, if $x_i \in c_j$, then we add an edge between a_i and b_j .
- Consider a clique $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-2}\}$ of size $k - 2$ and make every vertex of P adjacent to every vertex of B . Note that, $B \cup P$ is also a clique.
- Consider a path of length 2, that is, $P_2 = uv$ and add edge between v and every vertex of P .
- For every vertex of A , consider a vertex y_i and add the edge between a_i and y_i for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$. Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{3q}\}$.
- For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$, consider a clique Q_i of size $(k - 1)$ and add edge between y_i and every vertex of Q_i .
- For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$, consider a path of length 2, that is, $P_2^i = z_i l_i$ and add edge between z_i and every vertex of Q_i .

Note that, in G , both A and Y form independent sets. Moreover, since each of P , B and Q_i for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$ forms clique in G , there is no induced cycle of length more than 3 in G . Hence, G is a chordal graph. The construction of G from the instance of EX3CP is illustrated in Figure 2. To show the NP-completeness of the decision version of $\text{MIN-}k\text{-VEDP}$ for chordal graphs, next we prove the following claim.

Claim 2. *The instance (X, C) of EX3CP has an exact cover of size q if and only if G has a k -ve dominating set of size at most $k + q + 3qk$.*




Figure 1: Construction of G from an instance of Ex3CP

Proof of Claim 2. Let C' be an exact cover of X of size q and $B' = \{b_j \mid c_j \in C'\}$. Consider $D = \{u, v\} \cup P \cup B' \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{3q} (Q_i \cup \{z_i\})$. Clearly $|D| = k + q + 3qk$. Note that the vertex set $(\{u, v\} \cup P \cup B')$ k -ve dominates uv , vp_i for every $p_i \in P$, every edge inside the clique $P \cup B$ and every edge of the form $a_i b_j$, since B' has size at least 2. Also, the vertex set $B' \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{3q} Q_i$ k -ve dominates every edge of the form $a_i y_i$.

Furthermore, the vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{3q} (Q_i \cup \{z_i\})$ k -ve dominates $z_i l_i$, every edge between z_i and Q_i , every edge inside Q_i and every edge between y_i and Q_i for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$. Hence D is a k -ve dominating set of size $k + q + 3qk$.

Conversely, let D be a k -ve dominating set of G of size at most $k + q + 3qk$. Note that to k -ve dominate the edge uv , $\{u, v\} \cup P$ must be in D . On the other hand, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$, to dominate $z_i l_i$ at least k vertices from $(Q_i \cup \{z_i, l_i\})$ must be in D . In case where D contains all $k + 1$ vertices of $(Q_i \cup \{z_i, l_i\})$ for some i , we can remove l_i from D to obtain another k -ve dominating set of G of size at most $k + q + 3qk$. Therefore, without loss of generality, let us assume that D contains $(Q_i \cup \{z_i\})$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$. Also, note that D must contain vertices from B . Because every edge of the form $a_i y_i$ is $(k - 1)$ -ve dominated by $(\{u, v\} \cup P \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{3q} (Q_i \cup \{z_i\}))$ and to k -ve dominate $a_i y_i$, we need at least one vertex from $\{a_i, y_i\} \cup B$. If D does not contain any vertex from B , then the size of D would be more than $k + q + 3qk$ because we require at least $3q$ vertices from $A \cup Y$. Therefore, D contains vertices from B . Also, observe that if D contains both a_i and y_i for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$, then we can remove y_i from D to get another k -ve dominating set of G of size at most $k + q + 3qk$. Moreover, if for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3q\}$, $a_i \notin D$ but $y_i \in D$, then we can replace y_i by a_i to get another k -ve dominating set of G of size at most $k + q + 3qk$. Therefore, without loss of generality, let us assume that D does not contain any vertex from Y . Let $D' = D \setminus (\{u, v\} \cup P \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{3q} (Q_i \cup \{z_i\}))$. Clearly $|D'| \leq q$. Also, note that D' contains only vertices from $A \cup B$. Let us assume that D' contains t_A and t_B many vertices from A and B ,

respectively. Now, note that t_A many vertices from A can dominate at most t_A many edges of the form $a_i y_i$ and t_B many vertices from B can ve-dominate at most $3t_B$ many edges of the form $a_i y_i$. Therefore, $t_A + 3t_B \geq 3q$. Also, we know that $t_A + t_B \leq q$. This implies that $t_A = 0$ and $t_B = q$. Therefore $D' \subset B$. Let $C' = \{c_j \mid b_j \in D'\}$ be a sub-collection of C . Clearly, $|C'| = q$. Since, D' ve-dominates every edge of the form $a_i y_i$ and $|C'| = q$, every element of X belongs to exactly one member of C' . Therefore, C' is a solution of EX3CP. \square

From the above claim, it follows that the DECIDE k VEDP is NP-complete for chordal graphs. \square

3 Algorithm for trees

In this section, we give a linear time algorithm for the MIN k VEDP in tree. Our algorithm is a greedy algorithm and based on the tree ordering and labeling method described in [Cha98].

3.1 Algorithm

Let $T = (V, E)$ be a tree. For every $v \in V$, we define the following label- $t(v) = \{B, R\}$. Thus, we partition the vertex set into two sets B and R . Also, we assign some nonnegative integers $s(e)$ to every edge $e \in E$. Instead of determining the minimum k -ve dominating set, our algorithm determines a minimum (s, t) -dominating set.

The (s, t) -dominating set D is defined as follows-

- (1) If $t(v) = R$, then $v \in D$.
- (2) For every edge $e = uv$, $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[v]) \cap D| \geq s(e)$.

If $t(v) = B$ for every $v \in V$ and $s(e) = k$ for every $e \in E$, then the (s, t) -ve dominating set is the k -ve dominating set. Let v be a leaf of T , u be the parent of v , w be the parent of u , $r(u)$ be the set of vertices in $N_T[u]$ labeled as R and $c(u)$ is the set of leaves of u .

Algorithm 1 VEDS-TREE(T, s, t)

Input: $T = (V, E)$ and integers $s(e)$ for every $e \in E$ and $t(v) = \{B, R\}$ for every $v \in V$.

Output: A minimum (s, t) -dominating set D .

```

1: Find an ordering  $\sigma = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_1\}$  is the BFS ordering;
2:  $D = \phi$ ;
3: for (every support vertex  $u \in \sigma$ ) do
4:   Find a vertex  $v \in c(u)$  such  $s(uv) = \max\{s(uz) \mid z \in N_T(u) \setminus \{w\}\}$ ;
5:   Compute  $r(u)$ ;
6:   if  $(s(uw) > |N_T[u]|$  or  $s(uw) > |N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]|)$  then
7:     STOP.
8:   else if  $(s(uv) = |N_T[u]|$  or  $s(uw) = |N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]|)$  then
9:     Relabel  $t(w) = R$  and  $t(u) = R$ ;
10:     $s(uw) = \max\{s(uw) - |c(u)|, 0\}$ ;
11:     $D = D \cup c(u)$ ;
12:   else
13:     if  $(s(uv) \leq |r(u)|)$  then
14:        $s(uw) = \max\{s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|, 0\}$ ;
15:        $D = D \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))$ ;
16:     else
17:       if  $((s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|) = 1)$  then
18:         Relabel  $t(w) = R$ ;
19:          $s(uw) = \max\{s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|, 0\}$ ;
20:          $D = D \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))$ ;
21:       else if  $(t(u) = B)$  then
22:         Relabel  $t(w) = R$  and  $t(u) = R$ ;
23:          $s(uw) = \max\{s(uw) - s(uv) + 2, 0\}$ ;
24:          $D = D \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))$ ;
25:         Include  $(s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)| - 2)$  many vertices of  $c(u) \setminus r(u)$  in  $D$ ;
26:       else
27:         Relabel  $t(w) = R$ ;
28:          $s(uw) = \max\{s(uw) - s(uv) + 2, 0\}$ ;
29:          $D = D \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))$ ;
30:         Include  $(s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)| - 2)$  many vertices of  $c(u) \setminus r(u)$  in  $D$ ;
31:    $T = T \setminus c(u)$ ;
32: return  $D$ ;
```

We first apply BFS to the tree T to find an ordering $\sigma = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ where v_n is the root of the tree. Starting with a support vertex u of T in σ , we proceed by checking the s -values of the incident edges of u and find the pendent edge with maximum $s(e)$ value and corresponding leaf $v \in c(u)$. Depending on $s(uv)$ and the label of the vertices in $N_T[u]$, we either include $c(u)$ in D and then delete $c(u)$ from T or include some vertices of $c(u)$ in D , relabel the vertices (w, u) and delete $c(u)$ from T . Also, we update the s -value of the edge uw . Details are in the above algorithm.

3.2 Proof of correctness

Now, we show the correctness of our algorithm. Let v be a leaf of T , u be the parent of v and w be the parent of u . Let $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T)$ denote the (s, t) -ve domination number of T . Let $v \in c(u)$ such that $s(uw) = \max\{s(uz) | z \in N_T(u) \setminus \{w\}\}$.

Lemma 3. *If $s(uw) > |N_T[u]|$ or $s(uw) > |N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]|$ then there is no dominating set.*

Proof. Follows directly from the definition. \square

Lemma 4. *If $s(uw) = |N_T[u]|$ or $s(uw) = |N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]|$, then $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u)|$, where T' is obtained from T by deleting $c(u)$ and by relabelling $t'(u) = t'(w) = R$ and $s'(uw) = \max\{(s(uw) - |c(u)|), 0\}$ and every other label remains the same.*

Proof. Let D be a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . If $s(uw) = |N_T[u]|$ or $s(uw) = |N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]|$, then D contains every vertex of $N_T[u]$. Let $D' = D \setminus c(u)$. We show that D' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Since D contains every vertex of $N_T[u]$, the vertices u and w is in D' . For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s(uw)$ in T . This implies that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq \max\{(s(uw) - |c(u)|), 0\} = s'(uw)$ in T' . Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , $|(N_{T'}[x] \cup N_{T'}[y]) \cap D'| \geq s'(xy)$. Hence, D' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Therefore, $\gamma_{(s',t')}(T') \leq \gamma_{(s,t)}(T) - |c(u)|$.

On the other hand, let D' be a minimum (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Since $t'(u) = t'(w) = R$, $u, w \in D'$. Let $D = D' \cup c(u)$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq s'(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s'(uw) + |c(u)| \geq s(uw)$. Also, for the other edge uz incident on u in T , we have $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[z]) \cap D| \geq |N_T[u]| \geq s(uz)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , $|(N_T[x] \cup N_T[y]) \cap D| \geq s(xy)$. Hence, D is an (s, t) -dominating set of T and $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) \leq \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u)|$. Therefore we have, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u)|$. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $s(uw) < |N_T[u]|$. If $s(uw) \leq |r(u)|$, then $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u) \cap r(u)|$, where T' is obtained from T by deleting $c(u)$ and by relabelling $s'(uw) = \max\{(s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|), 0\}$ and every other label remains the same.*

Proof. Let D be a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . Therefore, $r(u) \subseteq D$. Let $D' = D \setminus (c(u) \cap r(u))$. Now, we show that D' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . For the edge uw , we have $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq \max\{(s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|), 0\} = s'(uw)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , $|(N_{T'}[x] \cup N_{T'}[y]) \cap D'| \geq s'(xy)$. Hence, D' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Therefore, $\gamma_{(s',t')}(T') \leq \gamma_{(s,t)}(T) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|$.

Also, let D' be a minimum (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Let $D = D' \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq s'(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s'(uw) + |c(u) \cap r(u)| \geq s(uw)$. Observe that, D' contains every vertex of $N_{T'}[u]$ with label R . Since $s(uw) \leq |r(u)|$, for every other edge uz incident on u in T , we have $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[z]) \cap D| \geq |r(u)| \geq s(uz)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , $|(N_T[x] \cup N_T[y]) \cap D| \geq s(xy)$. Thus, D is an (s, t) -dominating set in T . Hence, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) \leq \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u) \cap r(u)|$. Therefore, we have $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u) \cap r(u)|$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let $s(uw) < |N_T[u]|$ and $s(uw) > |r(u)|$. If $(s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|) = 1$, then $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u) \cap r(u)|$, where T' is obtained from T by deleting $c(u)$ and relabelling $t'(w) = R$ and $s'(uw) = \max\{(s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|), 0\}$ and every other label remains the same.*

Proof. Let D be a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . Therefore, $r(u) \subseteq D$. Since $(s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|) = 1$ and $s(uw) > |r(u)|$, we have $t(w) = B$. Moreover, since D is an (s, t) -dominating set of T , there must be a vertex $z \in D$ such that $z \in N_T[u]$ with $t(z) = B$. Let $D' = (D \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{w\}$. Clearly, D' is also a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . Let $D'' = D' \setminus (c(u) \cap r(u))$. We show that D'' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Clearly, $w \in D''$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D'| \geq s(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D''| \geq \max\{(s(uw) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|), 0\} = s'(uw)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , $|(N_{T'}[x] \cup N_{T'}[y]) \cap D''| \geq s'(xy)$. Hence, D'' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Therefore, $\gamma_{(s',t')}(T') \leq \gamma_{(s,t)}(T) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|$.

On the other hand, let D' be a minimum (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Since $t'(w) = R$, we have $w \in D'$. Let $D = D' \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq s'(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s'(uw) + |c(u) \cap r(u)| \geq s(uw)$. As $w \in D$, for every other edge uz incident on u in T , we have $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[z]) \cap D| \geq |c(u) \cap r(u)| + 1 = s(uw) \geq s(uz)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , $|(N_T[x] \cup N_T[y]) \cap D| \geq s(xy)$. Thus,

D is an (s, t) -dominating set in T . Hence, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) \leq \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u) \cap r(u)|$. Therefore, we have $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + |c(u) \cap r(u)|$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $s(uv) < |N_T[u]|$, $s(uv) > |r(u)|$ and $(s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|) \geq 2$. If $t(u) = B$, then $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + s(uv) - 2$, where T' is obtained from T by deleting $c(u)$ and by relabelling $t'(u) = t'(w) = R$ and $s'(uw) = \max\{(s(uv) - s(uv) + 2), 0\}$ and every other label remains the same.*

Proof. Let D be a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . Since $s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)| \geq 2$, at least 2 vertices of $N_T[u] \setminus (c(u) \cap r(u))$, say x and y , are in D . Suppose that $\{u, w\} \in D$. Let $D' = D \setminus c(u)$. We show that D' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Clearly, $u, w \in D'$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq \max\{(s(uw) - s(uv) + 2), 0\} = s'(uw)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , we have $|(N_{T'}[x] \cup N_{T'}[y]) \cap D'| \geq s'(xy)$. Hence, D' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Therefore, $\gamma_{(s',t')}(T') \leq \gamma_{(s,t)}(T) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|$. Now, let us assume that $\{u, w\} \notin D$. Let $D' = (D \setminus \{x, y\}) \cup \{u, w\}$. Clearly, D' is also an (s, t) -dominating set of T . Let $D'' = D' \setminus c(u)$. We show that D'' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Clearly, $u, w \in D''$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D'| \geq s(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D''| \geq \max\{(s(uw) - s(uv) + 2), 0\} = s'(uw)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , we have $|(N_{T'}[x] \cup N_{T'}[y]) \cap D''| \geq s'(xy)$. Hence, D'' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Therefore, $\gamma_{(s',t')}(T') \leq \gamma_{(s,t)}(T) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|$.

Let D' be a minimum (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Since $t'(u) = t'(w) = R$ in T' , we have $u, w \in D'$. Let $D = (D' \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))) \cup l(u)$, where $l(u)$ is a subset of $(c(u) \setminus r(u))$ of size $s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)| - 2$. Clearly, $u, w \in D$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq s'(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s'(uw) + s(uv) - 2 \geq s(uw)$. Also, for every other edge uz incident on u , we have $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[z]) \cap D| \geq s(uv) \geq s(uz)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , we have $|(N_T[x] \cup N_T[y]) \cap D| \geq s(xy)$. Therefore, D is an (s, t) -dominating set of T . Hence, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) \leq \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + s(uv) - 2$. Thus, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + s(uv) - 2$. \square

Lemma 8. *Let $s(uv) < |N_T[u]|$ and $s(uv) > |r(u)|$. If $t(u) = R$ and $(s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|) \geq 2$, then $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + s(uv) - 2$ where T' is obtained from T by deleting $c(u)$ and by relabelling $t'(w) = R$ and $s'(uw) = \max\{(s(uv) - s(uv) + 2), 0\}$.*

Proof. Let D be a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . Since $s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)| \geq 2$ and $t(u) = R$, at least 2 vertices of $N_T[u] \setminus (c(u) \cap r(u))$, say x and y , is contained in D and $u \in D$. Without loss of generality let us assume that $x \in c(u) \setminus r(u)$. Let $D' = (D \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{w\}$. Clearly, D' is a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of T . Let $D'' = D' \setminus c(u)$. We show that D'' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Clearly, $u, w \in D''$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D'| \geq s(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D''| \geq \max\{(s(uw) - s(uv) + 2), 0\} = s'(uw)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , we have $|(N_{T'}[x] \cup N_{T'}[y]) \cap D''| \geq s'(xy)$. Hence, D'' is an (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Therefore, $\gamma_{(s',t')}(T') \leq \gamma_{(s,t)}(T) - |c(u) \cap r(u)|$.

Let D' be a minimum (s', t') -dominating set of T' . Since $t'(w) = R$ and $t(u) = t'(u) = R$ in T' , we have $u, w \in D'$. Let $D = (D' \cup (c(u) \cap r(u))) \cup l(u)$ where $l(u)$ is a subset of $c(u) \setminus r(u)$ and $|l(u)| = s(uv) - |c(u) \cap r(u)| - 2$. Clearly, $u, w \in D$. For the edge uw , we know that $|(N_{T'}[u] \cup N_{T'}[w]) \cap D'| \geq s'(uw)$. This implies that $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[w]) \cap D| \geq s'(uw) + s(uv) - 2 \geq s(uw)$. Also, for every other edge uz incident on u , we have $|(N_T[u] \cup N_T[z]) \cap D| \geq s(uv) \geq s(uz)$. Since every other label remains the same, for every other edge xy , we have $|(N_T[x] \cup N_T[y]) \cap D| \geq s(xy)$. Therefore, D is an (s, t) -dominating set of T . Hence, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) \leq \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + s(uv) - 2$. Thus, $\gamma_{(s,t)}(T) = \gamma_{(s',t')}(T') + s(uv) - 2$. \square

All the above lemmas show that Algorithm 1 returns a minimum (s, t) -dominating set of a given tree T . Now we analyze the running time of Algorithm 1. The vertex ordering in line 1 can be computed in $O(n)$ time. For a support vertex u , we can find the child of u , say v , such that uv has maximum s -label in $O(\deg(u))$ time. The set of neighbours of u having t -label as R can also be computed in $O(\deg(u))$ time. In each cases within the for loop in line 3 – 35, we update the so far constructed (s, t) -dominating set D and update the s -label and t -labels of constant number of edges and vertices from the neighbourhood of u . This also takes $O(\deg(u))$ time. Therefore, Algorithm 1 takes linear time to execute as sum of degrees is linear. As mentioned earlier, if $t(v) = B$ for every $v \in V$ and $s(e) = k$ for every $e \in E$, then Algorithm 1 output a minimum k -vertex edge dominating set. Therefore, we have the following main theorem of this section:

Theorem 9. *The MINKVEDP can be solved in linear time for trees.*

4 Approximation algorithm and hardness

4.1 Upper bound on approximation ratio

In this subsection, we describe an approximation algorithm for MINKVEDP . This approximation algorithm follows from the existing approximation algorithm of a generalization of the classical set cover problem. The general set cover problem is defined as follows:

General Set Cover (GENSETCOVER)

Instance: A set X , a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X and an integer k .

Solution: A k -cover of X , that is, a subfamily \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{F} such that for every $x \in X$, there are at least k sets in \mathcal{C} containing x .

Measure: Cardinality of the k -cover $|\mathcal{C}|$.

In [KL04], the authors proposed an approximation algorithm for solving GENSETCOVER problem whose approximation ratio is $\ln(|F_m|) + 1$, where F_m is a set in \mathcal{F} of maximum cardinality. Our goal is to reduce an instance of MINKVEDP into an instance of GENSETCOVER and apply the approximation algorithm for this new instance. The reduction is as follows: given a graph $G = (V, E)$, we take $X = E$. For every $v \in V$, we define the set $F_v = \{e \in E : e \text{ is incident to a vertex in } N_G[v]\}$. We set $\mathcal{F} = \{F_v | v \in V\}$. Let the approximation algorithm proposed in [KL04] returns a k -cover \mathcal{C} and $D = \{v \in V | F_v \in \mathcal{C}\}$. It is easy to observe that D is a k -ve dominating set of G as \mathcal{C} is a k -cover of X . Also, the maximum cardinality of a set in \mathcal{F} is at most $\Delta^2(G)$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree in G . Therefore, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 10. *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, the MINKVEDP can be approximated within a factor of $O(\log(\Delta(G)))$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree in G .*

4.2 Lower bound on approximation ratio

In this subsection, we prove a lower bound on the approximation ratio for MINKVEDP by reducing an instance of minimum vertex-edge domination problem into an instance of MINKVEDP . The minimum vertex-edge domination problem is defined as follows:

Minimum Vertex-Edge Domination Problem(MINVEDP)

Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$.

Solution: A vertex-edge dominating set D of G .

Measure: Cardinality of the vertex-edge dominating set.

Lewis [Lew07] proved that for a graph $G = (V, E)$, MINVEDP cannot be approximated within a factor of $(1 - \epsilon) \ln |V|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq DTIME(|V|^{O(\log \log |V|)})$. Next, we show an approximation preserving reduction from MINVEDP to MINKVEDP . Let $G = (V, E)$ be an instance of MINVEDP . The construction of $G' = (V', E')$, an instance of MINKVEDP is as follows: consider a clique $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1}\}$ on $k-1$ vertices. For every $v_i \in V$, add an edge between v_i and c_j for every $c_j \in C$. Consider a vertex v and make v adjacent to every $c_j \in C$. Finally, add another vertex u which is adjacent to v . Therefore, $V' = V \cup C \cup \{v, u\}$ and $E' = E \cup \{c_i c_j | c_i, c_j \in C\} \cup \{c_i v | c_i \in C\} \cup \{vu\}$. The construction of G' is illustrated in Figure 2.

Claim 11. *The graph G has a ve-dominating set of size at most t if and only if G' has a k -ve dominating set of size at most $t + k$.*

Proof. Let D be a ve-dominating set of G of size at most t . Consider the set $D' = D \cup C \cup \{v\}$. Note that, every edge incident to $C \cup \{v\}$ is k -ve dominated by $C \cup \{v\}$ and hence by D' . Every other edge is of the form $v_i v_j$. For such an edge $v_i v_j$, we have $C \subseteq N_{G'}[v_i] \cup N_{G'}[v_j]$ by construction and $|(N_G[v_i] \cup N_G[v_j]) \cap D| \geq 1$ as D is a ve-dominating set of G . Hence, $|(N_{G'}[v_i] \cup N_{G'}[v_j]) \cap D'| \geq k$. Therefore, D' is a k -ve dominating set of G' of size at most $t + k$.

On the other hand, let D' be a k -ve dominating set of G' of size at most $t + k$. Since $(N_{G'}[u] \cup N_{G'}[v]) = C \cup \{v, u\}$, to k -ve dominate vu at least k vertices from $C \cup \{v, u\}$ must be present in D' . If $C \cup \{v, u\} \subset D'$, then we can remove u from D' to construct another k -ve dominating set of G' of size at most $t + k$. Further, if $u \in D'$ but $C \cup \{v\} \not\subset D'$, then we can replace u by the missing vertex from $C \cup \{v\}$ to construct another k -ve dominating set of G' of size at most $t + k$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that D' is a k -ve dominating set of G' of size at most $t + k$ not containing u but $C \cup \{v\} \subset D'$. Let $D = D' \setminus (C \cup \{v\})$. Clearly, $|D|$ is at most t . For any edge $v_i v_j \in E$, we know that $|(N_{G'}[v_i] \cup N_{G'}[v_j]) \cap D'| \geq k$. Since $C \subset (N_{G'}[v_i] \cup N_{G'}[v_j]) \cap D'$, we have $|(N_G[v_i] \cup N_G[v_j]) \cap D| \geq 1$. Therefore, D is a ve-dominating set of G of size at most t . \square




Figure 2: Construction of G' from G

Next, by using the above construction, we show the lower bound on the approximation ratio.

Theorem 12. *For a graph $G = (V, E)$, $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ cannot be approximated within a factor of $(1 - \epsilon) \ln |V|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, unless $NP \subseteq \text{DTIME}(|V|^{O(\log \log |V|)})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an approximation algorithm to find a k -ve dominating set whose approximation ratio is ρ . First note that if k is a constant, then, given a graph G whose minimum ve-dominating set size is at most k , we can solve MINVEDP in polynomial time. Let us consider a graph G whose minimum ve-dominating set size is more than k . We find an approximate ve-dominating set of G as follows: first, we construct G' using the construction in Claim 11. Then using the algorithm \mathcal{A} , we find an approximate k -ve dominating set, say D' , of G' . And finally, we get an approximate ve-dominating set, say D , of G using Claim 11. Let D^* and D'^* be the minimum ve-dominating set of G and minimum k -ve dominating set of G' , respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|D| &\leq |D'| \\
&\leq \rho |D'^*| && \text{[Since } |D'| \leq \rho |D'^*| \text{]} \\
&\leq \rho (|D^*| + k) && \text{[Since } |D'^*| \leq |D^*| + k \text{ by Claim 11]} \\
&\leq \rho \left(1 + \frac{k}{|D^*|}\right) |D^*|
\end{aligned}$$

If possible, let $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ can be approximated within a factor of $(1 - \epsilon) \ln |V'|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, that is, $\rho = (1 - \epsilon) \ln |V'|$. Since, G is a graph such that $|D^*| > k$, we set ϵ such that $\frac{k}{|D^*|} < \epsilon < 1$ and $\epsilon > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|D| &\leq (1 - \epsilon) \ln |V'| (1 + \epsilon) |D^*| \\
&\leq (2 - 2\epsilon^2) \ln |V| |D^*| && \text{[Since } |V'| \leq |V|^2 \text{]}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have $\epsilon' = 2\epsilon^2 - 1$ is a non-zero quantity which is also less than 1. Hence we have, $|D| \leq (1 - \epsilon') \ln |V| |D^*|$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ cannot be approximated within a factor of $(1 - \epsilon) \ln |V|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ unless $NP \subseteq \text{DTIME}(|V|^{O(\log \log |V|)})$. \square

4.3 APX-complete for bounded degree graphs

In this subsection, we show that $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ is APX-complete for graphs with maximum degree $k+3$. We denote the $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ restricted to graphs with maximum degree $k+3$ by $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}(k+3)$. First, we define the notion of L -reduction [PY88]. Given two NP-complete optimization problem π_1 and π_2 and a polynomial time transformation f from the instances of π_1 to the instances of π_2 , we say f is an L -reduction if there are positive constants α and β such that for every instance x of π_1 ,

1. $\text{opt}_{\pi_2}(f(x)) \leq \alpha \text{opt}_{\pi_1}(x)$;

2. for every feasible solution y of $f(x)$ with objective value $m_{\pi_2}(f(x), y) = c_2$, we can find a solution y' of x in polynomial time with $m_{\pi_1}(x, y') = c_1$ such that $|\text{opt}_{\pi_1}(x) - c_1| \leq \beta |\text{opt}_{\pi_2}(f(x)) - c_2|$.

To prove APX-completeness, we show an L -reduction from minimum k -tuple domination problem for graphs with maximum degree bounded by $k + 2$, which is known to be APX-complete [KL04], to our problem $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}(k + 3)$. The problem is defined as follows:

Minimum k -tuple Domination Problem ($\text{MIN}k\text{DOM}(k + 2)$)

Instance: A graph $G = (V, E)$ of degree bounded by $(k + 2)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Solution: A k -tuple dominating set of G .

Measure: Cardinality of the k -tuple dominating set.

Theorem 13. $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}(k + 3)$ is APX-complete for $k \geq 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 10 we have if the maximum degree is bounded by a constant, then the approximation ratio is also constant. Therefore, the problem is in APX. From a graph $G = (V, E)$ with maximum degree bounded by $(k + 2)$, we construct a graph $G' = (V', E')$, where $V' = V \cup \{u_i | 1 \leq i \leq |V|\}$ and $E' = E \cup \{v_i u_i | 1 \leq i \leq |V|\}$. Clearly, the maximum degree of G' is bounded by $(k + 3)$.

Let D^* be a minimum k -tuple dominating set of G . For every $v_i \in V$, we have $|N_G[v_i] \cap D^*| \geq k$. Therefore, for every $v_i v_j \in E$, we have $|(N_G[v_i] \cup N_G[v_j]) \cap D^*| \geq k$. Also, for every edge $u_i v_i$, we have $|(N_{G'}[u_i] \cup N_{G'}[v_i]) \cap D^*| \geq k$. Hence, D^* is a k -ve dominating set of G' . Hence, $|D^*| \leq |D'^*|$, where D'^* is a minimum k -ve dominating set of G' . On the other hand, let D'^* be a minimum k -ve dominating set of G' . Therefore, for every edge $v_i u_i$, we have $|(N_{G'}[u_i] \cup N_{G'}[v_i]) \cap D'^*| \geq k$. If $|N_G[v_i] \cap D'^*| \geq k$ for every vertex $v_i \in V$, then D'^* is a k -tuple dominating set. Otherwise, if $u_i \in D'^*$, then $D = (D'^* \setminus \{u_i\}) \cup \{v_z\}$ is a k -tuple dominating set where $v_z \in N_G[v_i] \setminus D'^*$. Therefore, $|D^*| \leq |D'^*|$, where D^* is a minimum k -tuple dominating set of G . Hence, we have $|D^*| = |D'^*|$.

Similarly, we can show that D is k -tuple dominating set of G if and only if D is k -ve dominating set of G' . Therefore, the above reduction is an L -reduction with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$. Hence, $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}(k + 3)$ is APX-complete. \square

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that the $\text{DECIDE}k\text{VEDP}$ is NP-complete for chordal graphs. In algorithmic point of view $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$, we have designed a linear time algorithm in tree. Also, we introduce an approximation algorithm for $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$, establish the lower bound of approximation ratio for the same and showed that $\text{MIN}k\text{VEDP}$ is APX-complete in bounded degree graphs. It would be interesting to study the complexity status of this problem in different subclasses of chordal graphs.

Declarations

- Funding: Subhabrata Paul is supported by CSIR-HRDG Research Grant (No. 25(0313)/20/EMR-II).
- Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- Availability of data and materials: This work has no associated data.
- Authors' contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work.

References

- [BCHH16] R. Boutrig, M. Chellali, T. W Haynes, and S.T. Hedetniemi. Vertex-edge domination in graphs. *Aequationes mathematicae*, 90:355–366, 2016.
- [Cha98] Gerard J. Chang. *Algorithmic Aspects of Domination in Graphs*, pages 1811–1877. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [CS12] S. Chitra and R. Sattanathan. Global vertex-edge domination sets in graph. In *Int. Math. Forum*, volume 7, pages 233–240, 2012.

- [CS22] Xue-G. Chen and M.Y. Sohn. Double vertex-edge domination in trees. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 59(1):167–177, 2022.
- [GJ79] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W.H Freeman, New York, 1979.
- [HHS98a] T.W. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, and P. Slater. *Domination in Graphs*, volume 2. New York, 1998.
- [HHS98b] T.W. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, and P. Slater. *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs (1st ed.)*. New York, 1998.
- [JD22] S.K. Jena and G.K. Das. Vertex-edge domination in unit disk graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 319:351–361, 2022.
- [KL04] Ralf Klasing and Christian Laforest. Hardness results and approximation algorithms of k-tuple domination in graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 89(2):75–83, 2004.
- [KVK14] B. Krishnakumari, Y.B. Venkatakrisnan, and M. Krzywkowski. Bounds on the vertex-edge domination number of a tree. *Comptes rendus mathématique*, 352(5):363–366, 2014.
- [Lew07] J.R. Lewis. *Vertex-edge and edge-vertex parameters in graphs*. PhD thesis, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA, 2007.
- [LW23] Peng Li and Aifa Wang. Polynomial time algorithm for k-vertex-edge dominating problem in interval graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 45(1):45, 2023.
- [NKPV21] H. Naresh Kumar, D. Pradhan, and Y.B. Venkatakrisnan. Double vertex-edge domination in graphs: complexity and algorithms. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 66(1):245–262, 2021.
- [Pet86] K.W.J. Peters. *Theoretical and algorithmic results on domination and connectivity*. PhD thesis, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA, 1986.
- [PPV21] S. Paul, D. Pradhan, and S. Verma. Vertex-edge domination in interval and bipartite permutation graphs. *Discussiones Mathematicae: Graph Theory*, 2021.
- [PR21] S. Paul and K. Ranjan. Results on vertex-edge and independent vertex-edge domination. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 1–28, 2021.
- [PY88] Christos Papadimitriou and Mihalis Yannakakis. Optimization, approximation, and complexity classes. In *Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '88, page 229–234, New York, NY, USA, 1988. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [Ży19] P. Żyliński. Vertex-edge domination in graphs. *Aequationes mathematicae*, 93(4):735–742, 2019.