

# Crosslingual Structural Priming and the Pre-Training Dynamics of Bilingual Language Models

Catherine Arnett<sup>a</sup> Tyler A. Chang<sup>b</sup> James A. Michaelov<sup>b</sup> Benjamin K. Bergen<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Linguistics,

<sup>b</sup>Department of Cognitive Science,

University of California San Diego

{ccarnett, tachang, j1michae, bkbergen}@ucsd.edu

## Abstract

Do multilingual language models share abstract grammatical representations across languages, and if so, when do these develop? Following Sinclair et al. (2022), we use structural priming to test for abstract grammatical representations with causal effects on model outputs. We extend the approach to a Dutch-English bilingual setting, and we evaluate a Dutch-English language model during pre-training. We find that crosslingual structural priming effects emerge early after exposure to the second language, with less than 1M tokens of data in that language. We discuss implications for data contamination, low-resource transfer, and how abstract grammatical representations emerge in multilingual models.

## 1 Introduction

Multilingual language models share representations across languages (Artetxe et al., 2020; Conneau et al., 2020), which is thought to enable their crosslingual transfer abilities (Wu and Dredze, 2019; Chi et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Winata et al., 2021, 2022). In this study, we use a paradigm known as crosslingual structural priming to study shared representations of grammatical structure in a Dutch-English bilingual language model. Specifically, does crosslingual structural priming occur, and how much pre-training data does it require?

**Structural priming** is a phenomenon in which after being presented with a sentence with a given grammatical structure, people (and language models; Sinclair et al., 2022) are more likely to produce a sentence with the same structure (Bock, 1986; Prasad et al., 2019; Frank, 2021; Li et al., 2022; Choi and Park, 2022). For example, a language model would assign a higher probability to a prepositional object (PO) dative sentence (e.g. “*the chef gives a hat to the swimmer*”) following another PO sentence than it would following a double object (DO) dative sentence (e.g. “*the chef gives*

*the swimmer a hat*”; sentences from Schoonbaert et al., 2007). Because the grammatical structure is primed rather than a specific semantic meaning, Sinclair et al. (2022) argue that structural priming effects provide evidence for abstract grammatical representations in language models. By measuring output model probabilities given a prime sentence, structural priming demonstrates causal effects of grammatical representations on model outputs without relying on access to internal model states. The presence of structural priming in crosslingual scenarios (e.g. a structure primes a similar structure in another language) would indicate that these representations are shared between languages.

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Bilingual Model Pre-Training

We pre-train a Dutch-English bilingual language model to simulate the language experience of the Dutch-English bilingual participants in Schoonbaert et al. (2007). The model is an autoregressive GPT-2 Transformer language model with 124M parameters (Radford et al., 2018, 2019). The model is pre-trained on 6B tokens each of the Dutch and English OSCAR corpus (Abadji et al., 2021) for 1M pre-training steps with batch size 128. To simulate L1-L2 learning, the model is exposed only to Dutch data for the first half of pre-training. During the second half of pre-training, the model is given an equal mix of Dutch and English data. The tokenizer is trained on 25% English text and 75% Dutch text to match the proportions of data from each language seen during pre-training.

### 2.2 Materials

We test our bilingual model for crosslingual structural priming using the stimuli from Schoonbaert et al. (2007). Structural priming studies measure how frequently speakers produce sentences with different grammatical structures after corresponding prime structures. Our study uses the **dative al-**

**ternation**, where sentences can either be expressed with a PO or DO construction (see Introduction). We consider Dutch primes with English targets.

### 2.3 Calculating Structural Priming

Following human studies (Loebell and Bock, 2003; Schoonbaert et al., 2007), we compute the normalized probability of each target sentence following each prime. For example, we compute the normalized probability  $P_N$  of a PO target  $T_{PO}$  following a PO prime  $P_{PO}$  as shown below, where  $T_{DO}$  is the DO target and  $P_{DO}$  would be a DO prime:

$$P_N(T_{PO}|P_{PO}) = \frac{P(T_{PO}|P_{PO})}{P(T_{PO}|P_{PO}) + P(T_{DO}|P_{PO})}$$

To test for a structural priming effect, we compare  $P_N(T_{PO}|P_{PO})$  and  $P_N(T_{PO}|P_{DO})$ . If the former is significantly higher, this would indicate structural priming, because PO targets are more likely after PO primes than after DO primes.

## 3 Results

As shown in Figure 1, the English PO target probabilities are numerically higher after PO primes than after DO primes throughout pre-training, but the effect drastically increases after the model begins training on English.<sup>1</sup> To quantify the point at which the structural priming effect emerges, we consider results for the first 200 steps after the model is first exposed to English, in 10-step intervals. We fit a linear mixed-effects model predicting normalized PO target probability based on prime type and pre-training step.<sup>2</sup> We find a significant overall interaction between prime type and pre-training step ( $\chi^2(20) = 56.86, p < 0.001$ ), suggesting that the magnitude of the structural priming effect changes throughout pre-training. The effect of prime type is first significantly different from step 500K (after correcting for multiple comparisons) at step 500120, or 120 steps after English training begins ( $t(3943) = 2.93$ , adjusted  $p = 0.030$ ). At that point, the model has been exposed to 983040 English tokens.

<sup>1</sup>We hypothesize that apparent crosslingual priming effects before 500K steps may be due to English contamination in the Dutch pre-training data.

<sup>2</sup>We treat pre-training step as a categorical rather than continuous variable, because effects of pre-training step may be nonlinear. We include a random intercept for stimulus item. Structural priming at step  $t$  (an effect of prime type at step  $t$  beyond the effect at step 500K) is reflected as an interaction term between pre-training step  $t$  and prime type.




Figure 1: Language model priming effect for Dutch-English structural priming experiments every 100K steps during pre-training. The model is first exposed to English at 500K steps.

## 4 Discussion

We find evidence of structural priming after 120 steps of English training, suggesting that crosslingual grammatical representations can emerge with fewer than 1M tokens of data in a secondary language. This result is important from multiple perspectives. First, understanding how much data is needed to obtain shared multilingual representations has implications for transfer learning to low-resource languages (e.g., Winata et al., 2022; Ogueji et al., 2021). Our results suggest that structures in a high-resource language can quickly transfer to a new language, although our results only consider a pair of highly related languages, Dutch and English.

Second, these results illuminate the effects of crosslingual data contamination. Ongoing research has demonstrated that contamination with other languages can impact multilingual model performance (Blevins and Zettlemoyer, 2022; Muennighoff et al., 2023). Muennighoff et al. (2023) find zero-shot crosslingual transfer on XNLI for models that are not intentionally trained on some of the XNLI languages. In an analysis of the pre-training dataset, they find small amounts of data in non-included languages (e.g. approximately 0.006% of the data is in Thai, corresponding to roughly 20M tokens). Our work demonstrates that it is possible to observe crosslingual effects with fewer than 1M tokens in a target language. This is confirmatory evidence that data contamination may be driving apparent “zero-shot” crosslingual capabilities in multilingual language models.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Tiffany Wu, Fiona Tang, Emily Xu, and Jason Tran for helping to prepare stimuli. Models were pre-trained and evaluated using hardware provided by the NVIDIA Corporation as part of an NVIDIA Academic Hardware Grant. Tyler Chang is partially supported by the UCSD HDSI graduate fellowship.

## References

- Julien Abadji, Pedro Javier Ortiz Suárez, Laurent Romary, and Benoît Sagot. 2021. [Ungoliant: An optimized pipeline for the generation of a very large-scale multilingual web corpus](#). In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Challenges in the Management of Large Corpora (CMLC-9) 2021*, pages 1–9, Mannheim. Leibniz-Institut für Deutsche Sprache.
- Mikel Artetxe, Sebastian Ruder, and Dani Yogatama. 2020. [On the cross-lingual transferability of monolingual representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4623–4637, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Terra Blevins and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2022. [Language contamination helps explain the cross-lingual capabilities of English pretrained models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 3563–3574, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- J. Kathryn Bock. 1986. [Syntactic persistence in language production](#). *Cognitive Psychology*, 18(3):355–387.
- Zewen Chi, Li Dong, Furu Wei, Wenhui Wang, Xian-Ling Mao, and Heyan Huang. 2020. [Cross-lingual natural language generation via pre-training](#). *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 34(05):7570–7577.
- Sunjoo Choi and Myung-Kwan Park. 2022. [Syntactic priming in the L2 neural language model](#). *The Journal of Linguistic Science*, 103:81–104.
- Alexis Conneau, Shijie Wu, Haoran Li, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. [Emerging cross-lingual structure in pretrained language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6022–6034, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Stefan Frank. 2021. [Cross-language structural priming in recurrent neural network language models](#). *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 43(43).
- Junjie Hu, Sebastian Ruder, Aditya Siddhant, Graham Neubig, Orhan Firat, and Melvin Johnson. 2020. [XTREME: A massively multilingual multi-task benchmark for evaluating cross-lingual generalisation](#). In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 4411–4421. PMLR.
- Bai Li, Zining Zhu, Guillaume Thomas, Frank Rudzicz, and Yang Xu. 2022. [Neural reality of argument structure constructions](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7410–7423, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Helga Loebell and Kathryn Bock. 2003. [Structural priming across languages](#). *Linguistics*, 41(5):791–824.
- Niklas Muennighoff, Thomas Wang, Lintang Sutawika, Adam Roberts, Stella Biderman, Teven Le Scao, M Saiful Bari, Sheng Shen, Zheng Xin Yong, Hailey Schoelkopf, Xiangru Tang, Dragomir Radev, Alham Fikri Aji, Khalid Almubarak, Samuel Albanie, Zaid Alyafeai, Albert Webson, Edward Raff, and Colin Raffel. 2023. [Crosslingual generalization through multitask finetuning](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 15991–16111, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kelechi Ogueji, Yuxin Zhu, and Jimmy Lin. 2021. [Small data? no problem! exploring the viability of pretrained multilingual language models for low-resourced languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Multilingual Representation Learning*, pages 116–126, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Grusha Prasad, Marten van Schijndel, and Tal Linzen. 2019. [Using priming to uncover the organization of syntactic representations in neural language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, pages 66–76, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alec Radford, Karthik Narasimhan, Tim Salimans, and Ilya Sutskever. 2018. [Improving language understanding by generative pre-training](#). *OpenAI*.
- Alec Radford, Jeff Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. [Language models are unsupervised multitask learners](#). *OpenAI Technical Report*.
- Sofie Schoonbaert, Robert J. Hartsuiker, and Martin J. Pickering. 2007. [The representation of lexical and syntactic information in bilinguals: Evidence from syntactic priming](#). *Journal of Memory and Language*, 56(2):153–171.

- Arabella Sinclair, Jaap Jumelet, Willem Zuidema, and Raquel Fernández. 2022. [Structural Persistence in Language Models: Priming as a Window into Abstract Language Representations](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:1031–1050.
- Genta Winata, Shijie Wu, Mayank Kulkarni, Thamar Solorio, and Daniel Preotiuc-Pietro. 2022. [Cross-lingual few-shot learning on unseen languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 12th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 777–791, Online only. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Genta Indra Winata, Andrea Madotto, Zhaojiang Lin, Rosanne Liu, Jason Yosinski, and Pascale Fung. 2021. [Language models are few-shot multilingual learners](#). In *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Multilingual Representation Learning*, pages 1–15, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shijie Wu and Mark Dredze. 2019. [Beto, bentz, becas: The surprising cross-lingual effectiveness of BERT](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 833–844, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.