

Topological incidence rings, their groups of units and incidence functors

João V. P. e Silva

Abstract

We focus on working on incidence rings and their group of units. Some general properties about them are given, including how they are always the inverse limit of finite matrix groups/rings, giving a natural way to define their topology. Such construction can also be translated as a functor from the category of locally finite, preordered sets to the category of topological rings. It is shown that under some assumptions on the ordered sets, simple matrix groups over a field can be built from the group of units of such rings.

1 Introduction

The study of infinite matrices traces back to Poincaré in the discussion of the well known Hill's equation. In [Ber68], Bernkopf gives an introduction to the history of the study of infinite matrices and their importance for operator theory.

At the present paper we focus on incidence rings, a class of rings described using locally finite ordered sets, that is, the sets $[x, y] = \{z; x \leq z \leq y\}$ are finite for every x, y in the ordered set. Such class of rings was first defined at [Sta70] restricted to partially ordered sets, and it was used to give a counter-example for a non-published conjecture. Further work on incidence rings was done at [Vos80, Haa84, Fro85, PSS90, DvW96, AHdR99, AHdR02, Khr10] with results about Morita duality, the isomorphism problem on incidence rings and other general properties of such rings.

In this article we focus on the theory of topological incidence rings and their groups of units. Alternative proof for the isomorphism problem of incidence rings of partially ordered sets [Vos80, Theorem 1] is given using topological arguments. We also show connections between topological incidence rings and the category of locally finite preordered sets by constructing incidence functors over a ring P , a collection of contravariant functors from the category of locally finite preordered sets to the category of topological rings that map colimits to limits. Using results from the literature, we also show other interesting properties of the incidence functors.

We also study the groups of units of incidence rings. A generalization of the construction of matrix groups and rings from [GRW20] is given for ordered sets that are not \mathbb{Z} -like [GRW20, Definition 2.1]. We also generalize the construction of non-compactly generated, non-discrete, simple groups [GRW20, Theorem 5.2] for a bigger class of groups that are not necessarily totally disconnected, nor locally compact, nor second countable.

Groups similar to the ones studied here have been described previously. The groups denoted here as $\text{aGL}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, for \mathbf{N} the set of naturals with usual order and \mathbb{F}_q the finite field with q elements, was first built at [VK98] as the group GLB. Some first study on the representation of such groups was done at [GKV14].

Study of the group GLB and similarly defined groups over more general rings are also described in [HLY17, GH12, GH15, Ho102b].

We also prove sufficient conditions for the group of units of an incidence ring to be quasi-discrete or solvable. It then follows that if G contains a matrix group with such property as an open, compact subgroup, then G is always an elementary topological group in the Wesolek sense [Wes15].

All rings in this article are assumed to be associative with identity. Rings are assumed to be non-trivial, unless explicitly stated. Ring homomorphisms send the multiplicative identity to the multiplicative identity. Topological rings and groups are always Hausdorff spaces.

1.1 Structure of the article

Section 2 of this article will focus on giving the necessary background for the definitions and results from the article. In Subsection 2.1 some definitions for ordered sets are given. These will be central for the definition of the incidence rings, their groups of units, and to prove results for such classes of objects. Subsection 2.2 gives some results from category theory that will be used in a later section.

In Section 3 we give the definition of the incidence rings. It is also shown that if the locally finite preordered set is infinite, then these rings are inverse limits of finite matrix rings, allowing us to give a topological structure to the incidence rings. An alternative proof of the isomorphism problem of incidence rings of partially ordered sets using topological arguments [Vos80, Theorem 1] is also given, using a similar argument to [Khr10, Theorem 5].

Section 4 focuses on connecting some properties from the incidence rings with their partially ordered sets. The initial focus is describing a category for locally finite preordered sets so that there exists a functor from this category to the category of topological rings. It is also shown that under such properties the functor is contravariant and sends colimits to limits. A corollary of such a result is that all matrix rings over P indexed by partially ordered sets can be built from a countable collection of finite matrices over P under the operations of direct products, inverse limits, and pullbacks.

Section 5 focuses on the groups of units of an incidence ring. A description of such groups is given, and a generalization of the construction of GLB from [VK98] and $AU_\Lambda(\mathbf{F}_q)$ from [GRW20] is also given. The main focus of the section is generalizing the construction of simple groups as in [GRW20] and showing it can be done for an arbitrary topological field. We also show properties of topological groups that are locally the group of units of incidence rings of “not too big” preordered sets.

Section 6 gives a list of questions and alternative constructions that might also lead to interesting results.

Acknowledgements: This article is part of my PhD thesis written under the supervision of George Willis, Colin Reid, and Stephan Tornier. I would like to thank them for advising me while writing the article and proofreading it. A special thanks as well to Mykola Khrypchenko for giving me references on the past work done on incidence rings, and for some nice discussion on some of the properties of such rings.

2 Background

2.1 Ordered sets

For the context of our rings and groups, a definition that proves necessary is the following:

Definition 2.1. Let (Λ, \preceq) be a proset (preordered set). Given $s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda$ we define $[s_1, s_2] := \{s \in \Lambda; s_1 \preceq s \preceq s_2\}$. We will call these subsets of Λ **intervals**. We say that the proset is **locally finite** if for every $s_1 \preceq s_2 \in S$ the set $[s_1, s_2]_{\preceq}$ is finite.

This locally finite type of property allows us to define multiplication and addition on these rings in a similar way to the finite-dimensional matrix rings. It will also be essential to build a nice topology for such groups/rings.

As the central object of this text is algebraic structures defined in relation to an ordered set, defining some subsets and relations in the ordered sets will be essential for simplifying the proofs. This subsection will focus on giving these basic definitions and providing some results about the structure of ordered sets and their subsets.

Notation 2.2. Let Λ be a proset and $s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda$. We denote:

- $s_1 \overset{\sim}{\sim} s_2$ if $s_1 \preceq s_2$ and $s_1 \approx s_2$.
- $s_1 \overset{\neq}{\sim} s_2$ if $s_1 \preceq s_2$ and $s_1 \neq s_2$.
- $s_1 \not\overset{\sim}{\sim} s_2$ if it is not the case $s_1 \preceq s_2$.

Notice that for Λ a proset the set $[s, s]$ is the set of all elements equivalent to s under the preorder. Hence it is not always the case $[s, s] = \{s\}$. Notice that if for every $s \in \Lambda$, $[s, s] = \{s\}$ then Λ is a poset (partially ordered set).

Definition 2.3. Given a poset Λ , we define the following:

- Given $s \in \Lambda$, we define the **neighbourhoods** of s as:

$$\mathcal{N}_n(s) := \begin{cases} [s, s] & \text{for } n = 0 \\ \{t \in \Lambda; t \preceq s \text{ or } s \preceq t\} & \text{for } n = 1 \\ \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{N}_{n-1}(s)} \mathcal{N}_1(t) & \text{for } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

The **full collection of neighbours** s is defined as $\mathcal{N}_\omega(s) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_n(s)$.

- We say $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$ are **independent** if $s_1 \notin \mathcal{N}_\omega(s_2)$.
- A subset $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ is said to be **closed under intervals** if for every $s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda'$ then $[s_1, s_2]_\preceq \subset \Lambda'$.
- Given $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ a subset, we say Λ' is **convex** if it is closed under intervals and for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$ there are $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \Lambda'$ such that $t_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1(s_1), t_{i+1} \in \mathcal{N}_1(t_i), s_2 \in \mathcal{N}_1(t_n)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In other words, there is a path of intervals inside Λ' connecting s_1 and s_2 .
- Given $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ a collection such that for all $i \in I, \Lambda_i \subset \Lambda$ is convex in Λ and for $i \neq j \in I$ then $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j = \emptyset$, then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a **locally convex collection** of Λ .


Definition 2.4. Let Λ be a poset and $s \in \Lambda$. We say that:

- s is a **maximal element** if given $t \in \Lambda$ is such that $s \preceq t$ then $s = t$.
- s is a **minimal element** if given $t \in \Lambda$ is such that $t \preceq s$ then $s = t$.
- s is the **maximum element** if for all $t \in \Lambda$ we have $t \preceq s$.
- s is the **minimum element** if for all $t \in \Lambda$ we have $s \preceq t$.

The following examples illustrate the definition of intervals, neighbourhoods, and convex subsets as denoted above.

Example 2.5. • **Q:** *The rational numbers with usual order is a partially ordered sets that is not locally finite.*


- **Zig:** *This is the poset with elements in the integers and order given by $2k > 2k+1$ and $2k > 2k-1$, for k an integer.*



The only intervals for **Zig** are of the form $[n, n] = \{n\}$, $[2n, 2n-1] = \{2n, 2n-1\}$ and $[2n, 2n+1] = \{2n, 2n+1\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_0(0) = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{N}_n(0) = \{i\}_{-n \leq i \leq n}$ and, because no two elements are independent, $\mathcal{N}_\omega(0) = \mathbf{Zig}$. A subset $S \subset \mathbf{Zig}$ is convex if, and only if, there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$ such that $S = \{i\}_{n_1 < i < n_2}$. This poset has no independent element. Every element is either maximal or minimal, but it doesn't have any maximum or minimum element.

- **N*_d:** *The non-zero natural numbers with order given by $a \leq b$ if $b = ak$ for some k natural number.*


Order on the first 6 natural numbers.




The intervals of this poset can be described using arithmetic properties. For example $[\leq 30] = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30\}_{\mathbf{N}_d^*}$ (the divisors of 30) and $[30 \leq]_{\mathbf{N}_d^*} = \{30n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}_d^*}$ (multiples of 30). The interval $[3, 30] = \{3, 6, 15, 30\}$, which are all multiples of 3 that divide 30. Observe that $\mathcal{N}_1(1) = \mathbf{N}_d^*$ but if $n \neq 1$ then $\mathcal{N}_1(n)$ is the set of all multiples and divisors of n , but not all \mathbf{N}^* . But, as 1 is a divisor of all natural numbers, $\mathcal{N}_2(n) = \mathbf{N}_d^*$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}_d^*$. The element 1 is the minimum, but there is no maximal element.

We will also denote some commonly used ordered sets as follows:


- $\mathbf{n} <$: The poset with elements $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and order given by $0 < 1 < \dots < n-1$.
- S : the poset with elements in the set S and order given by equality. This is the poset with elements on S such that all elements are independent.
Example: $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$.



- \overline{S} : the poset with elements in the set S and order given by $i \preceq j$ for all i, j . In other words, it is the poset where all elements are equivalent. In the case the set is $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ we will just denote it as \mathbf{n} .
Example: $\mathbf{3}$



- \mathbf{N} : The natural numbers with the usual order, that is, $0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n < \dots$
- \mathbf{Z} : The integers with the usual order, that is, $\dots < -1 < 0 < 1 < \dots$
- $\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}$: the set with elements $\{0', 1', \dots, m-1', 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and order given by for every $i, j \in \{0', 1', \dots, m-1'\}$, for every $k, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have $i \sim j$, $i \not\sim k$ and $k \sim l$.
Example: $\mathbf{2} \leftarrow \mathbf{2}$




Notice that the posets of the form \mathbf{n} can be described as $\mathbf{0} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}$, and the poset $\mathbf{2} <$ can be described as $\mathbf{1} \leftarrow \mathbf{1}$. The posets of the form $\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}$ are all the finite, irreducible posets with at most two equivalence classes of elements.

Definition 2.6. Let (Λ, \preceq) a poset. If there is a collection $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ of convex subsets of Λ such that $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$, for every $i \neq j$ we have $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j = \emptyset$ and for every $s_i \in \Lambda_i, s_j \in \Lambda_j$ we have that if $i \neq j$ then s_i and s_j are independent, we say Λ is the **internal disjoint union** of $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote it by $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$.

If Λ cannot be written as disjoint union of two non-empty subsets we say Λ is **irreducible**.

Let $\{(\Lambda_i, \preceq_i)\}_{i \in I}$ a collection of posets. We define the **external disjoint union** of this collection as the poset $(\sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i, \preceq_{\sqcup})$ with elements in $\sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ and order \preceq_{\sqcup} given by $s_1 \preceq_{\sqcup} s_2 \in \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ if, and only if, there is $i \in I$ such that $s_1 \preceq_i s_2 \in \Lambda_i$.

For the ones familiar with category theory, the disjoint union is the coproduct on the category of posets. Given the posets $\mathbf{2} < = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathbf{3} < = \{0', 1', 2'\}$ then $\mathbf{2} < \sqcup \mathbf{3} <$ is the poset with elements $\{0, 1, 0', 1', 2'\}$ and Hasse diagram as follows:



The main use of disjoint union is breaking down ordered sets into irreducible parts, as shown below:

Proposition 2.7. *Let Λ be a non-empty preordered set. Then there is a collection $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ of irreducible subsets of Λ such that $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$.*

Proof. Notice that for every $s \in \Lambda$, every element t on the set $\mathcal{N}_\omega(s)$ is independent from every element t' on the set $\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{N}_\omega(s)$. It is also the case that for every $s \in \Lambda$, the set $\mathcal{N}_\omega(s)$ is irreducible.

Now let $I \subset \Lambda$ a maximal subset of pairwise independent elements of Λ (such set exists by Zorn's Lemma). Define for each $i \in I$ the irreducible subset $\Lambda_i := \mathcal{N}_\omega(i)$. As the set I is maximal, the observation above implies

$$\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i. \quad \square$$

We call the irreducible subsets $\Lambda_i = \mathcal{N}_\omega(i)$ the **components** of Λ . The irreducible sets also give us a finite convex hull for finite subsets of prosets as seen below.

Proposition 2.8. *Let Λ an irreducible locally finite proset and $S \subset \Lambda$ a finite subset. There is a finite convex subset $\alpha \subset \Lambda$ such that $S \subset \alpha$.*

Proof. The result is trivial for S the empty set. If $|S| > 0$, let $s \in S$. For each $s' \in S$ let $t_1^{s'}, t_2^{s'}, \dots, t_n^{s'}$ be elements such that $t_1^{s'} \in \mathcal{N}_1(s)$, $t_{i+1}^{s'} \in \mathcal{N}_1(t_i^{s'})$, $s' \in \mathcal{N}_1(t_n^{s'})$. As Λ is irreducible such elements exist. Let $S' = S \cup \left(\cup_{s' \in S \setminus \{s\}} \{t_1^{s'}, t_2^{s'}, \dots, t_n^{s'}\} \right)$. This set is a finite union of finite sets, hence is finite. Let $\alpha = \bigcup_{s_1 \leq s_2, s_1, s_2 \in S'} [s_1, s_2]$. This set is a finite union of finite intervals hence it is also finite.

To see α is convex, let $t_1, t_2 \in \alpha$ be such that $t_1 \preceq t_2$ in Λ . By the definition of α there are $s_1, s_2, s'_1, s'_2 \in S'$ such that $t_1 \in [s_1, s_2]$ and $t_2 \in [s'_1, s'_2]$. Notice that $s_1 \preceq t_1 \preceq t_2 \preceq s'_2$, hence $[t_1, t_2] \subset [s_1, s'_2]$. That is, α is closed under intervals. By definition it is also convex. \square

By looking at the components that intersect a finite subset $S \subset \Lambda$ individually, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2.9. *Given Λ a locally finite proset and $S \subset \Lambda$ a finite subset, there is a locally convex collection $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $S \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ and $\bigcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ is finite.*

Definition 2.10. Let $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ a function between preordered sets. We say f is an **bijective order preserving map** if it is a set bijection, and for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_1$ we have that $s_1 \preceq s_2$ if, and only if, $f(s_1) \preceq f(s_2)$.

Definition 2.11. Let Λ be a set and \preceq_1, \preceq_2 two preorders on the set Λ . We say \preceq_1 is a **suborder** of \preceq_2 if $s_1 \preceq_1 s_2$ implies $s_1 \preceq_2 s_2$. If \preceq_1 is a partial order we say it is a **partial suborder** of \preceq_2 . If \preceq_1 gives an irreducible partial order we say it is an **irreducible partial suborder** of \preceq_2 .

Given a collection $\{\preceq_i\}_{i \in I}$ of preorders of Λ , we define their **transitive closure** as the minimal preorder $\preceq_\cup := \bigcup_{i \in I} \preceq_i$ such that if $s_1 \preceq_i s_2$ for some $i \in I$ then $s_1 \preceq_\cup s_2$.


The following are useful to describe a proset Λ in relation to a proset Λ' , with same elements as Λ , and a collection of finite disjoint subsets. These definitions and results are essential for building the simple topological groups in Subsection 5.3.

Definition 2.12. Given proset Λ and, for some indexing set I , $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ a collection of disjoint subsets of Λ , we define $(\Lambda + \sum_{i \in I} S_i, \preceq_+)$ the proset with order given by:

$$s_1 \preceq_+ s_2 \text{ if } \begin{cases} s_1 \preceq s_2 \text{ in } \Lambda, \text{ or} \\ \exists i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I, \exists t_{i_k}, q_{i_k} \in S_{i_k} \text{ such that for } 1 \leq k \leq n, s_1 \preceq t_{i_1}, \\ t_{i_k} \preceq q_{i_k} \text{ and } q_{i_n} \preceq s_2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that under such an order, for each $i \in I$ all elements in S_i are equivalent. This is the minimal order containing \preceq as a suborder and with such property.

Example 2.13. Let $\Lambda = \mathbf{Zig}$ as in Example 2.5 and let $S_1 = \{a_0, a_3\}$, $S_2 = \{a_2, a_4\}$. Then the proset $(\Lambda + (S_1 + S_2), \preceq_+)$ has the following order:



where the dashed arrows are the new relations.

Proposition 2.14. *Let (Λ, \preceq) be a proset. There are $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ disjoint subsets of Λ and \leq a partial suborder of \preceq such that $(\Lambda, \preceq) \cong (\Lambda + \sum_{i \in I} S_i, \leq_+)$.*

Proof. First we need to get a partial order \leq on Λ in such a way we can add equivalence relations and get our proset structure. Let $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$. If $s_1 = s_2$ or $s_1 \preceq s_2$, define $s_1 \leq s_2$. For each $s \in \Lambda$, define \leq restricted to $\mathcal{N}_0(s)$ as a total order, that is, for every $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{N}_0(s)$ either $s_1 \leq s_2$ or $s_2 \leq s_1$. By definition of (Λ, \preceq) , it is then the case that $(\Lambda, \preceq) \cong (\Lambda + \sum_{s \in \Lambda} \mathcal{N}_0(s), \leq_+)$ \square

Corollary 2.15. *If Λ is a locally proset, then for every finite subset $S' \subset \Lambda$ the proset $(\Lambda + S', \preceq_+)$ is locally finite.*

2.2 The units group of a matrix ring

Given P a ring, we denote the **group of units of P** as P^* . We will denote the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over P by $M_n(P)$, the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices by $GL_n(P)$, and the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices with determinant 1 as $SL_n(P)$.

Theorem 2.16 (Theorem 2.6 [Igu]). *The functor that maps a ring P to its group of units is right adjoint.*

Definition 2.17 (Definition 2.1 [Tar23]). An **absolute topological ring** is a topological ring such that its group of units with the subspace topology is a topological groups.

As topological fields are defined so the inverse map is continuous, every topological field is an absolute topological ring.

Lemma 2.18 (§§103–105 [Dic01]). *Let F be a field and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 2$; in the case $n = 2$, assume $|F| > 3$. Then every proper normal subgroup $SL_n(F)$ is central, and every noncentral normal subgroup of $GL_n(F)$ contains the groups $SL_n(F)$.*

Theorem 2.19 (Theorem 4.19 [How]). *Let P be a commutative ring. Then for every $A \in M_n(P)$ we have*

$$A \operatorname{adj}(A) = \operatorname{adj}(A)A = \det(A)I_n.$$

Hence, $A \in M_n(P)$ is invertible if, and only if, $\det(A)$ is invertible in P .

Theorem 2.20 (Theorem 4.21 [How]). *Let P be a commutative ring. If $A \in GL_n(R)$ then*

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A).$$

Theorem 2.21 (Theorem 4.27 [How]). *[Cayley-Hamilton Theorem] Let P be a commutative ring. If $A \in GL_n(R)$ then*

$$\operatorname{adj}(A) = - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i A^{i-1}$$

where c_i are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of A .

2.3 Category theory

Proposition 2.22 (Proposition 9.14 [Awo10]). *Right adjoint functors preserve limits and left adjoint functors preserve colimits.*

Let $\mathbf{U} : \mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grps}$ be the functor that sends a ring R to its group of units. This functor is right adjoint, hence it preserve limits. Such property allow us to translate some results from Section 3 and Section 4 to the group of units of matrices in Section 5.

Proposition 2.23 (Proposition 5.14 [Awo10]). *A category \mathcal{C} has finite products (coproducts) and equalizers (coequalizers) if, and only if, it has pullbacks (pushouts) and a terminal object (initial object).*

Proposition 2.24 (Corollary 5.22, Theorem 5.23 [Awo10]). *A category \mathcal{C} has all its limits (colimits) of some cardinality κ if it has all equalizers (coequalizers) and products (coproducts) of cardinality κ .*

Proposition 2.25 (Exercise 2 [Lan71]). *If \mathcal{C} has all limits (colimits) and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor that preserves all products (coproducts) of some cardinality κ and all equalizers (coequalizers), then F maps limits (colimits) to limits (colimits).*

Propositions 2.24 and 2.25 gives us tools to prove, under some conditions, the existence of a faithful functor from the category of partially ordered sets to the category of topological rings, sending the partially ordered sets to the rings of matrices indexed by the partially ordered set.

2.4 Topological groups

Definition 2.26. Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact, second countable group (t.d.l.c.s.c.). We say G is **regionally elliptic** if every compact subset of G is contained in a compact subgroup.

Definition 2.27 (Introduction [BM00]). Let G be a topological group. We define the **quasicentre** of G as:

$$QZ(G) = \{g \in G; C_G(g) \text{ is open in } G\}.$$

When studying totally disconnected, locally compact groups, a class of groups that play an important role are the elementary groups.

Definition 2.28 (Definition 1.1[Wes15]). The class of **elementary groups** is the smallest class \mathcal{E} of t.d.l.c.s.c. groups such that:

- (i) \mathcal{E} contains all second countable profinite groups and countable discrete groups;
- (ii) \mathcal{E} is closed under taking group extensions, that is, if there is $N \trianglelefteq H$ closed subgroup such that $N \in \mathcal{E}$ and $H/N \in \mathcal{E}$ then $H \in \mathcal{E}$;
- (iii) \mathcal{E} is closed under taking closed subgroups;
- (iv) \mathcal{E} is closed under taking quotients by closed normal subgroups;
- (v) If G is a t.d.l.c.s.c. group and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} O_i = G$, where $\{O_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an \subset -increasing sequence of open subgroups of G with $O_i \in \mathcal{E}$ for each i , then $G \in \mathcal{E}$. We say that \mathcal{E} is closed under countable increasing union.

The class \mathcal{E} of elementary groups admits a well-behaved rank ξ [Wes15, Lemma 4.12]. This rank roughly measures how many steps it is necessary to build an elementary group G from the discrete and profinite groups under operations (ii) until (v).

Proposition 2.29 (Proposition 6.1 [Wes15]). *Let G be a t.d.l.c.s.c. group. Assume G contains an open compact subgroup $U \leq G$ such that $QZ(U)$ is dense in U . Then G is elementary and $\xi(G) \leq 3$.*

Definition 2.30. The **derived series** of a topological group G is the sequence of closed normal groups $\{G^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $G^{(0)} = G$ and $G^{(n+1)} = \overline{[G^{(n)}, G^{(n)}]}$. A group G is **solvable** if this sequence stabilizes at $\{1\}$ after finitely many steps. A group G is **residually solvable** if $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G^{(n)} = \{1\}$.

Theorem 2.31 (Theorem 8.1, Proposition 4.19 [Wes15]). *Let G be a t.d.l.c.s.c. group. If there is U an open, compact subgroup of G such that U is solvable, then G is elementary with $\xi(G) < \omega$.*

3 Topological incidence rings

3.1 First definitions

Definition 3.1. [Bel73, Definition 1.1] Let P be a ring and (Λ, \preceq) a locally finite proset. We define the **incidence ring of Λ over P** as

$$M_\Lambda(P) := \{(a_{s_1, s_2}) \in P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}; \text{ if } a_{s_1, s_2} \neq 0 \text{ then } s_1 \preceq s_2\}$$

with coordinatewise sum and multiplication defined as follows: given two element $(a_{s_1, s_2}), (b_{s_1, s_2}) \in M_\Lambda(P)$ then $(a_{s_1, s_2}) \cdot (b_{s_1, s_2}) = (c_{s_1, s_2})$, where $c_{s_1, s_2} = \sum_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} a_{s_1, t} b_{t, s_2}$.

Note that this multiplication is defined in such a way that for any finite $n \times n$ block of the matrix centered on the main diagonal, the multiplication follows the same rule as $M_n(P)$. We will denote the elements of $M_\Lambda(P)$ as A, B, C . We denote A_{s_1, s_2} as the coordinate (s_1, s_2) in the matrix A . When talking about the coordinate of the product $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ we denote it as $(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)_{s_1, s_2}$. Following are some examples of incidence rings.

Example 3.2. 1. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ring $M_n(P)$ is the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over the ring P with the usual multiplication.

2. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ring $M_{n<}(P)$ is the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over P with the usual multiplication.

3. Given S a set, the ring $M_S(P)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{s \in S} P$ with the coordinate-wise multiplication.

4. The ring $M_{\mathbb{N}_d^*}(P)$ has elements of the form:

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} & A_{1,4} & A_{1,5} & \cdots \\ 0 & A_{2,2} & 0 & A_{2,4} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & A_{3,3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{4,4} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{5,5} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and the multiplication of two matrices $A, B \in M_{\mathbb{N}_d^*}(P)$ is:

$$AB := \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}B_{1,1} & \sum_{d|2} A_{1,d}B_{d,2} & \sum_{d|3} A_{1,d}B_{d,3} & \sum_{d|4} A_{1,d}B_{d,4} & \sum_{d|5} A_{1,d}B_{d,5} & \cdots \\ 0 & A_{2,2}B_{2,2} & 0 & \sum_{d|4} A_{2,d}B_{d,4} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & A_{3,3}B_{3,3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{4,4}B_{4,4} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{5,5}B_{5,5} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Given $S \subset M_\Lambda(P)$ a subset, we define $S_{s_1, s_2} = \{A_{s_1, s_2}; A \in S\} \subset P$. Note though that $R \subset M_\Lambda(P)$ a subset is a subring if $0, 1 \in R$ and for every $s_1 \preceq s_2$ in Λ we have that

$$R_{(s_1, s_2)} + R_{(s_1, s_2)} \subset R_{(s_1, s_2)},$$

$$\sum_{s \in [s_1, s_2]} R_{s_1, s} R_{s, s_2} \subset R_{s_1, s_2}.$$

And $I \subset M_\Lambda(P)$ a subset is a two-sided ideal if $0 \in I$ and for every $s_1 \preceq s_2$ in Λ we have that

$$R_{(s_1, s_2)} + R_{(s_1, s_2)} \subset R_{(s_1, s_2)},$$

$$\sum_{s \in [s_1, s_2]} I_{s_1, s} R_{s, s_2} \subset I_{s_1, s_2},$$

$$\sum_{s \in [s_1, s_2]} R_{s_1, s} I_{s, s_2} \subset I_{s_1, s_2}.$$

This allows us to easily describe some ideals and subrings of $M_\Lambda(P)$ central to our work. These also allow us to prove the existence of a topology on $M_\Lambda(P)$ in a natural way, preserving properties of the finite blocks of matrices.

Notation 3.3 (Ideals of $M_\Lambda(P)$). Let Λ a locally finite poset and P a ring. We denote the following two-sided ideals:

- Given $s_1 \preceq s_2$ in Λ we define

$$I_{[s_1, s_2]}^\Lambda(P) = \{A \in M_\Lambda(P); \text{ if } t_1, t_2 \in [s_1, s_2] \text{ then } A_{t_1, t_2} = 0\}.$$

This ideal has quotient

$$M_\Lambda(P)/I_{[s_1, s_2]}^\Lambda(P) \cong M_{[s_1, s_2]}(P).$$

- For a convex set $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$, the ideal

$$I_{\Lambda'}^\Lambda = \bigcap_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda'} I_{[s_1, s_2]}^\Lambda.$$

This ideal has quotient

$$M_\Lambda(P)/I_{\Lambda'}^\Lambda \cong M_{\Lambda'}(P).$$

- For $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ a locally convex set of Λ we define the ideal

$$I_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda = \bigcap_{i \in I} I_{\Lambda_i}^\Lambda.$$

This ideal has quotient

$$M_\Lambda(P)/I_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda \cong \prod_{i \in I} M_{\Lambda_i}(P).$$

- Given $J \leq P$ a two-sided ideal we define

$$M_\Lambda(J) := \{A \in M_\Lambda(P); \text{ for every } t_1, t_2 \in \Lambda \text{ then } A_{t_1, t_2} \in J\} \leq M_\Lambda(P).$$

This ideal has quotient

$$M_\Lambda(P)/M_\Lambda(J) \cong M_\Lambda(P/J).$$

- Given $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ a locally convex set of Λ and $J \leq P$ a two-sided ideal of P , then the ideal

$$I_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}} + M_\Lambda(J)$$

has quotient

$$M_\Lambda(P)/(I_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}} + M_\Lambda(J)) \cong \prod_{i \in I} M_{\Lambda_i}(P/J).$$

Some of the ideals above were initially defined at [Bel73].

Example 3.4. In the case of the matrix ring $M_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and interval $be [0, 2] = \{0, 1, 2\}$, we have that $I_{[0, 2]}$ has elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{0,3} & A_{0,4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1,3} & A_{1,4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{2,3} & A_{2,4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{3,3} & A_{3,4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{4,4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and the quotient $M_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)/I_{[0, 2]}$ is isomorphic to $M_{\mathbf{3}<}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the ring of upper 3×3 triangular matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p . Given the ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ of \mathbb{Z}_p , the quotient $M_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)/M_{\mathbf{N}}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to $M_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, and $M_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)/(I_{[0, 2]} + M_{\mathbf{N}}(p\mathbb{Z}_p))$ is isomorphic to $M_{\mathbf{3}<}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

3.2 Infinite matrices as limits of finite matrices

To prove the results in this section we will look at $M_\Lambda(P)$ as objects in the category of associative topological rings with identity and the morphisms are the continuous homomorphism of rings. This category will be denoted as **TopRings**. For the next result, notice that if P is a topological ring and Λ is a finite poset, then the ring $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a topological ring with subspace topology in relation to the product space $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$. In a similar way, for Λ an infinite, locally finite poset we define the topology on $M_\Lambda(P)$ as the subspace topology on $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$. Our main goal in this subsection is proving that with this topology, $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a topological ring.

Notice that if $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ is a finite convex subset, say $\Lambda' = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$, then $M_{\Lambda'}(P)$ can be seen as a subring of $M_\Lambda(P)$ with embedding determined by the enumeration of Λ' . The ideals of the form $I_{\Lambda'}^\Lambda$, for Λ' a finite convex subset, will then be essential to build our inverse limit and the topology, as the quotient under such ideals are topological rings.

Given Λ a poset, define $\Gamma(\Lambda) = \{\alpha \subset \Lambda; \alpha \text{ is finite and convex}\}$ **the set of all finite convex subsets of Λ** . The next result follows directly from Proposition 2.8.

Corollary 3.5. *If Λ is an irreducible poset then for convex subsets $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ there is $\gamma \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ such that $\alpha \subset \gamma$ and $\beta \subset \gamma$, that is, under the \subset order the set $\Gamma(\Lambda)$ is a directed set.*

We can then prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 3.6. *Let P a ring and (Λ, \preceq) an infinite irreducible locally poset. Then $M_\Lambda(P) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P)$. If P is a topological ring then $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a topological ring with topology given by the inverse limit.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.5 we have that $\Gamma(\Lambda)$ is a directed set. Given $\alpha \subset \beta \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ define $\pi_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha} : M_\beta(P) \rightarrow M_\alpha(P)$ as the natural projection of $M_\beta(P)$ with kernel I_{α}^β . It is easy to see that given $\alpha \subset \beta \subset \gamma$ then $\pi_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} = \pi_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha} \circ \pi_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta}$, that is, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_\gamma(P) & \\ \swarrow \pi_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} & & \searrow \pi_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} \\ M_\beta(P) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}} & M_\alpha(P) \end{array}$$

The collection $((M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)}, (\pi_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha})_{\alpha \subset \beta})$ is then an inverse system. As the category of associative rings with identity is closed under inverse limits, the inverse limit of this system exists and is unique. Denote $\varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P)$ as the inverse limit of this system. Our objective now is proving $M_\Lambda \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P)$.

Observe that for every $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ there is $\psi_\alpha : M_\Lambda(P) \rightarrow M_\alpha(P)$ given by the natural projection of M_Λ under I_α^Λ , and these projections are such that the outer triangle of the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_\Lambda(P) & \\ & \swarrow \psi_{\alpha_2} & \searrow \psi_{\alpha_1} \\ & \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P) & \\ & \swarrow \pi_{\alpha_2} & \searrow \pi_{\alpha_1} \\ M_{\alpha_2}(P) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1}} & M_{\alpha_1}(P) \end{array}$$

By the universal property of inverse limits, there exists an unique ring homomorphism $f : M_\Lambda(P) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P)$ such that the whole diagram commutes. It remains to show the function f is a bijection.

Let $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$ be such that $f(A) = 0$. Because the diagram commutes we have that $\psi_\alpha(A) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$. Because these projections are such that $\psi_\alpha(A) = 0$ if, and only if, $A_{t_1, t_2} = 0$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in \alpha$ we have that $A = 0$. Hence f is injective.

It remains to prove that f is surjective. For that, given $\bar{A} \in \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P)$ we need to find $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$ such that $f(A) = \bar{A}$. We will describe such A coordinate-wise. Notice that as f is a ring homomorphism, it will be an isomorphism if, and only if, f is an isomorphism of abelian groups (when we forget the multiplication structure on our ring). Hence we can treat these as abelian groups to simplify our proof.

Observe that by looking at these as abelian groups, for every poset Λ we have that $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a subgroup of $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$. Hence for every $s_0, t_0 \in \Lambda$ there is a projection

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{s_0, t_0}^\Lambda : M_\Lambda(P) &\rightarrow P \\ A &\mapsto A_{s_0, t_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$, with $\alpha_1 \subset \alpha_2$, and $s_0, t_0 \in \alpha_1$ there are projection $\pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\alpha_1} : M_{\alpha_1}(P) \rightarrow P$ and $\pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\alpha_2} : M_{\alpha_2}(P) \rightarrow P$ such that $\pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\alpha_1} \circ \psi_{\alpha_1} = \pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\alpha_2} \circ \psi_{\alpha_2}$, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M_\Lambda(P) & & \\ & & \downarrow f & & \\ & \psi_{\alpha_2} & \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P) & \psi_{\alpha_1} & \\ & \swarrow \pi_{\alpha_2} & & \searrow \pi_{\alpha_1} & \\ M_{\alpha_2}(P) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1}} & & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha_1}} & M_{\alpha_1}(P) \\ & \searrow \pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\alpha_2} & & \swarrow \pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\alpha_1} & \\ & & P & & \end{array}$$

That is, the coordinate A_{s_0, t_0} is the same for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ such that $s_0, t_0 \in \alpha$.

Notice that $\pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\beta_1} \circ \pi_\alpha(\bar{A}) = \pi_{s_0, t_0}^{\beta_2} \circ \pi_\alpha(\bar{A})$ for every $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ such that $[s_0, t_0] \subset \beta_i$, $i = 1, 2$. We define A coordinatewise as $A_{s_0, t_0} = \pi_{s_0, t_0}^\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha(\bar{A})$, for $s_0, t_0 \in \alpha$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$. As the diagram commutes, $\pi_{s_0, t_0}^\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha \circ f = \pi_{s_0, t_0}^\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha$ for all $s_0 \preceq t_0$ such that $s_0, t_0 \in \alpha$, and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$. Hence A is so that $f(A) = \bar{A}$. That is, f is an isomorphism of rings.

By the inverse limit property, $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} M_\alpha(P)$ under the product topology, hence it can be seen as a topological ring.

Notice that, as observed earlier for finite posets, it then follows that $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} P^{\alpha \times \alpha}$. As Λ is infinite, we have that $|\Gamma(\Lambda)| = |\Lambda|$. Hence there exists a homeomorphism between $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} P^{\alpha \times \alpha}$ and $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$ given by a bijection from $\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} \alpha \times \alpha$ to $\Lambda \times \Lambda$. That is, the topological ring structure on $M_\Lambda(P)$ can be seen as the subspace topology in relation to $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$, as in the finite poset case. \square

Proposition 3.7. [Fro85, Lemma 2.2] *Let P be a ring and $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ a preordered set. Then $M_\Lambda(P) \cong \prod_{i \in I} M_{\Lambda_i}(P)$. If P is a topological ring then $M_\Lambda(P)$ is a topological ring with topology given by the product topology on the $M_{\Lambda_i}(P)$.*

Notice that Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 implies that all matrix rings indexed by posets are limits of finite matrix rings. Both results then imply that the topology given to $M_\Lambda(P)$ in relation to $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$ makes $M_\Lambda(P)$ into a topological ring. More than that, this is the topology given by the inverse limit.

For an example, let $\mathbf{2} <$ be our poset and P be any ring. We can define an isomorphism of rings from $f : M_{\mathbf{2} < \sqcup \mathbf{2} <}(P) \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{2} <}(P) \times M_{\mathbf{2} <}(P)$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & A_{0,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{3,3} & A_{3,4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{4,4} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & A_{0,1} \\ 0 & A_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} A_{0',0'} & A_{0',1'} \\ 0 & A_{1',1'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.3 Topological structure

We now use Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 to prove properties of the rings as topological spaces. Given P a topological ring, Λ a proset and $S \subset P$ a non-empty subset such that $0 \in S$, we define

$$M_\Lambda(S) := \{A \in M_\Lambda(P); A_{s_1, s_2} \in S \text{ for all } s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda\} \subset M_\Lambda(P).$$

Remark 3.8. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of topological spaces. Define $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ a topological space given by the product topology on the sets X_i . For each $i \in I$, let $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ be the projection on the i -th coordinate. Then:

- The subsets $O \subset X$ such that for all $i \in I$ the set $\pi_i(O)$ is an open subset of X_i , and there is a finite subset $J \subset I$ such that if $i \in I \setminus J$ then $\pi_i(O) = X_i$ form a basis of open subsets of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$.
- Given $\{x_a\}_{a \in A}$ a net in X converges, it converges to x if, and only if, for every $i \in I$ the net $\{\pi_i(x_a)\}_{a \in A}$ converges to $\pi_i(x)$ in X_i .

The following results follow directly from Remark 3.8 and the topology given on $M_\Lambda(P)$ as a topological subspace of $P^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let P be a topological ring, $\{S_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ a basis of the neighbourhood of 0 and Λ a locally proset. Then $\{I_\alpha^\Lambda(P) + M_\Lambda(S_\sigma)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda), \sigma \in \Sigma}$ is a basis of the neighbourhood of 0 in $M_\Lambda(P)$.*

Corollary 3.10. *Let P be a topological ring and Λ a locally finite proset. Given J a two-sided open ideal of P and $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \Lambda$ locally convex, then the following are true:*

1. *The ideals $I_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda$ and $M_\Lambda(J)$ are closed in $M_\Lambda(P)$.*
2. *The ideal $I_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda$ is open if, and only if, $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ is finite and P has the discrete topology.*
3. *The ideal $M_\Lambda(J)$ is open if, and only if, Λ is finite.*

Corollary 3.11. *Let P be a topological ring, Λ an irreducible proset and $\{A^{(i)}\}_{i \in I} \subset M_\Lambda(P)$ a net. The net is convergent if, and only if, for every $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ we have that $\{\pi_\alpha(A^{(i)})\}_{i \in I}$ is convergent, and the sequence converges to A defined coordinate-wise as follows:*

$$A_{s_0, t_0} := \begin{cases} \lim_{i \in I} A_{s_0, t_0}^{(i)}, & \text{if } s_0 \preceq t_0 \in \Lambda \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can also easily describe a dense subset:

Notation 3.12. Given P a ring and Λ a proset, we denote the following elements from $M_\Lambda(P)$ as:

- For $p \in P$ we define the element p^Λ coordinatewise as:

$$p_{s_1, s_2}^\Lambda := \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 \in \Lambda \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For $S \subset \Lambda$ a subset we define the element 1^S coordinatewise as:

$$1_{s_1, s_2}^S := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For $t_1 \preceq t_2 \in \Lambda$ we define the element $e^{(t_1, t_2)}$ coordinatewise as:

$$e_{s_1, s_2}^{(t_1, t_2)} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s_1 = t_1 \text{ and } s_2 = t_2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that for every $s \in \Lambda$, by definition, $e^{(s, s)} = 1^{\{s\}}$. Given P a ring and $S \subset P$ a subset we will define $\langle S \rangle$ the minimal subring of P containing S .

Proposition 3.13. *Let P be a topological ring, $S \subset P$ a dense subset of P and Λ a proset. Then*

$$M_\Lambda(P) = \overline{\langle \{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in S}, \{1^{\{t\}}\}_{t \in \Lambda}, \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda} \rangle} = \overline{\langle \{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in S}, \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda} \rangle}.$$

Proof. Define $R := \langle \{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in S}, \{1^{\{t\}}\}_{t \in \Lambda}, \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda} \rangle$ and \overline{R} the closure of R in $M_\Lambda(P)$. Because $S \subset P$ is dense it is clear that $\{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in P} \subset \overline{R}$, hence we can use these elements to write our convergent nets.

This result is clearly true for Λ finite, as the subring R contains all the elements with finitely many non-zero coordinates. Assume Λ is infinite and let $\Gamma(\Lambda)$ be as in Theorem 3.6. For each $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ define

$$A_{s_1, s_2}^{(\alpha)} := \begin{cases} A_{s_1, s_2}, & \text{if } s_1, s_2 \in \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that these are all in R . By Corollary 3.11 it follows that this net is convergent and it converges to A . Hence $\overline{R} = M_\Lambda(P)$. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let Λ be a poset and P a topological ring. Then $M_\Lambda(P)$ is second countable if, and only if, P is second countable and Λ is countable.*

Proof. If P is second countable then there is $S \subset P$ a countable dense subset. By Proposition 3.13 it follows that the set $\langle \{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in S}, \{1^{\{t\}}\}_{t \in \Lambda}, \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda} \rangle$ is dense in $M_\Lambda(P)$. Because S and Λ are countable it follows that the dense subring $\langle \{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in S}, \{1^{\{t\}}\}_{t \in \Lambda}, \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda} \rangle$ is also countable, hence $M_\Lambda(P)$ is also second countable.

For the other side, notice that $\{A \in M_\Lambda(P); \text{ if } s_1 \neq s_2 \text{ then } A_{s_1, s_2} = 0\} \cong \prod_{s \in \Lambda} P$ is a closed subring of $M_\Lambda(P)$, and this subring is second countable if, and only if, P is second countable and Λ is countable. \square

3.4 Isomorphism problems for matrix rings over posets

In this section we assume that our ordered sets are partially ordered sets and that P has only 0 and 1 as its idempotents. The topology given to P is the discrete topology.

In this section we give conditions on the ring P so two incidence rings are isomorphic if, and only if, their partially ordered sets are isomorphic. For our proofs, we will use the idempotents of our topological incidence rings to recreate the structure of our poset, proving that if there is an isomorphism of matrix rings, their posets are isomorphic as well. For that, first we will write down some relations between the generators of M_Λ that follow easily from the definitions, and use such properties to defined our equivalence classes of idempotents. An alternative proof of this result using Jacobison radical is given at [Vos80, Theorem 1].

Remark 3.15. Given Λ a poset and P a topological ring note that:

- For every $s_1 \leq s_2 \in \Lambda, t_1 \leq t_2 \in \Lambda$

$$e^{(s_1, s_2)} e^{(t_1, t_2)} = \begin{cases} e^{(s_1, t_2)} & \text{if } s_2 = t_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For every $s \in \Lambda,$

$$e^{(s, s)} = 1^{\{s\}}.$$

- For every S_1, S_2 subsets of Λ

$$1^{S_1} 1^{S_2} = \begin{cases} 1^{S_1 \cap S_2} & \text{if } S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For every $S \subset \Lambda, s_1 \leq s_2 \in \Lambda$

$$1^S e^{(s_1, s_2)} = \begin{cases} e^{(s_1, s_2)} & \text{if } s_1 \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$e^{(s_1, s_2)} 1^S = \begin{cases} e^{(s_1, s_2)} & \text{if } s_2 \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- For every $S \subset \Lambda, p \in P$

$$p^\Lambda 1^S = 1^S p^\Lambda.$$

- For every $s_1 \leq s_2 \in \Lambda$, $p \in P$

$$p^\Lambda e^{(s_1, s_2)} = e^{(s_1, s_2)} p^\Lambda.$$

- Given $s, s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$ and $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1^{\{s\}}A)_{t_1, t_2} &= \begin{cases} A_{t_1, t_2}, & \text{if } t_1 = s \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (A1^{\{s\}})_{t_1, t_2} &= \begin{cases} A_{t_1, t_2}, & \text{if } t_2 = s \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (1^{\{s_1\}}A1^{\{s_2\}})_{t_1, t_2} &= \begin{cases} A_{t_1, t_2}, & \text{if } t_1 = s_1 \text{ and } t_2 = s_2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (A1^{\{s\}}A)_{t_1, t_2} &= \begin{cases} A_{t_1, s}A_{s, t_2}, & \text{if } s \in [t_1, t_2] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

These all can be proven by expanding the sums in each coordinate (t_1, t_2) .

We will denote $\mathcal{B}(P) := \{a \in P; a^2 = a\}$ the **set of idempotents of P** , also known as the Boolean ring of P . This set will be given a poset structure by defining $a \leq b \in \mathcal{B}(P)$ if, and only if, $ab = ba = a$. Note that under this order 1 is the maximum element and 0 is the minimum element.

Given Λ a poset and P a ring and, given $S \subset \Lambda$, the elements 1^S as defined in Notation 3.12 are all idempotents. The following will be used throughout the whole section:

Lemma 3.16. *Let P be a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$, Λ a poset and $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$. Then for every $s \in \Lambda$ we have $A_{s, s} \in \{0, 1\}$. If $A_{s, s} = 0$ for every $s \in \Lambda$ then $A = 0$.*

Proof. If A is idempotent then $(A^2)_{s, s} = (A_{s, s})^2 = A_{s, s}$ for every $s \in \Lambda$, hence $A_{s, s} \in \mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$.

Assume $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ is such that $A_{s, s} = 0$ for all $s \in \Lambda$. Let $s_1 \leq s_2$. As $A^2 = A$ it follows that $\sum_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} A_{s_1, t} A_{t, s_2} = A_{s_1, s_2}$. If $|[s_1, s_2]| = 2$ this implies that $A_{s_1, s_2} = 0$. An induction under $|[s_1, s_2]|$ with the fact all intervals are finite implies $A_{s_1, s_2} = 0$, for every $s_1 \leq s_2$. Hence $A = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.17. *Let P be a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$, Λ a poset and $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P)) \setminus \{0\}$. If $s \in \{s \in \Lambda; \pi_{[s, s]}(A) = 1\}$ then $A - A1^{\{s\}}A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ and $A - A1^{\{s\}}A < A$.*

Proof. To show $A - A1^sA$ is an idempotent, notice that

$$(A - A1^{\{s\}}A)^2 = A^2 - A^21^{\{s\}}A - A1^{\{s\}}A^2 - A1^{\{s\}}A^21^{\{s\}}A = A - 2A1^{\{s\}}A + A1^{\{s\}}A1^{\{s\}}A.$$

By Remark 3.15, $1^{\{s\}}A1^{\{s\}} = 1^{\{s\}}$ hence $(A - A1^{\{s\}}A)^2 = A - A1^{\{s\}}A$.

We have that

$$A(A - A1^{\{s\}}A) = A^2 - A^21^{\{s\}}A = A - A1^{\{s\}}A = A^2 - A1^{\{s\}}A^2 = (A - A1^{\{s\}}A)A.$$

Then $A - A1^{\{s\}}A \leq A$.

To show it is not an equality, assume that $A = A - A1^{\{s\}}A$. It follows that $A1^{\{s\}}A = 0$. By Remark 3.15 this is true if, and only if, $A_{s, s} = 0$, a contradiction to our assumption. \square

Notation 3.18. We now define, for $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$, the set $b(A) = \{s \in \Lambda; \pi_{[s, s]}(A) = 1\}$. Lemma 3.17 shows that if A is a non-zero idempotent, then this set is non-empty.

Given a non-zero element $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ this result gives us a way to erase one of the diagonal elements in A and get an element strictly less than it under the idempotent order. We want to show that the partial order on the idempotents of $M_\Lambda(P)$ is similar to the partial order on the power set of Λ with subset order.

Notation 3.19. Let P be a ring and Λ a locally finite poset. Let $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$ and $S \subset b(A)$ be a finite subset. We define the following:

- If $S = \{s_1\}$ we define

$$A^S = A - A1^{\{s_1\}}A.$$

- If A^S was defined for $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$, and $s_n \in b(A) \setminus S$, we define

$$A^{S \cup \{s_n\}} = A^S - A^S 1^{\{s_n\}} A^S.$$

Lemma 3.20. *Let Λ be a poset and P be a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ such that $b(A)$ has at least two elements. Let $s_1 \neq s_2 \in b(A)$. Then $A^{\{s_1, s_2\}} = A^{\{s_2, s_1\}}$.*

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\{s_1, s_2\}} &= A - A 1^{\{s_1\}} A - A 1^{\{s_2\}} A + A 1^{\{s_1\}} A 1^{\{s_2\}} A + A 1^{\{s_2\}} A 1^{\{s_1\}} A - A 1^{\{s_1\}} A 1^{\{s_2\}} A 1^{\{s_1\}} A \\ A^{\{s_2, s_1\}} &= A - A 1^{\{s_2\}} A - A 1^{\{s_1\}} A + A 1^{\{s_2\}} A 1^{\{s_1\}} A + A 1^{\{s_1\}} A 1^{\{s_2\}} A - A 1^{\{s_2\}} A 1^{\{s_1\}} A 1^{\{s_2\}} A \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.15, we get that $1^{\{s_1\}} A 1^{\{s_2\}} A 1^{\{s_1\}} = 1^{\{s_2\}} A 1^{\{s_1\}} A 1^{\{s_2\}} = 0$. Hence $A^{\{s_1, s_2\}} = A^{\{s_2, s_1\}}$. \square

It follows that for every finite set S the construction of A^S does not depend on the order of S . We can then define A^S for the case S is infinite as follows:

If S is infinite, let $I = \{S' \subset S; S' \text{ is finite}\}$ with order given by containment. Define A^S as the limit of the net $\{A^{S'}\}_{S' \in I}$, that is,

$$A^S = \lim_{S' \in I} A^{S'}.$$

This limit is well-defined as P has the discrete topology. By Corollary 3.11 this net is convergent. By Lemma 3.20, the definition of A^S also doesn't depend on the ordering of S even in the case S is infinite, hence A^S is uniquely defined. Proposition 3.17 implies the element A^S is an idempotent.

Theorem 3.21. *Let P be a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$, Λ a poset and $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P)) \setminus \{0\}$. Given $S \subset b(A)$ a non-empty subset, then $A^S < A$ and $A_{s,s}^S = 1$ if, and only if, $s \in b(A) \setminus S$. More than that, if $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset b(A)$ then $A^{S_2} \leq A^{S_1}$ with equality if, and only if, $S_1 = S_2$.*

Proof. The proof for the finite case follows directly from the definition and Lemma 3.17.

By the definition of the net and the idempotent order it clear that, for all $S' \subset S$ finite subsets, $A A^{S'} = A^{S'} A = A^{S'}$. Hence $A^S A = \lim A A^{S'} = \lim A^{S'} A = \lim A^{S'} = A^S$. We then proved the first part of the statement.

For the second part, let $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset b(A)$. The result is trivial for the case S_1 is finite, hence we assume S_1 is infinite. Then

$$A^{S_1} A^{S_2} = \lim_{S'_1 \subset \text{finite } S_1} A^{S'_1} A^{S_2} = \lim_{S'_1 \subset \text{finite } S_1} A^{S_2} A^{S'_1} = A^{S_2},$$

hence $A^{S_2} \leq A^{S_1}$. If $S_1 \neq S_2$, then given $s \in S_2 \setminus S_1$, by Lemma 3.17, we have that $A^{S_2} \leq A^{S_1 \cup \{s\}} < A^{S_1}$, that is, $A^{S_1} \neq A^{S_2}$. \square

Corollary 3.22. *Let Λ be a poset and P a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P)) \setminus \{0\}$ is such that A has only one non-zero diagonal coordinate, say $A_{s,s} = 1$, then $A - A 1^{(s)} A = 0$.*

The initial idea from this section is using idempotents of the form $1^{\{s\}}$ to recover our poset structure. The problem is that isomorphisms don't necessarily send elements with only one non-zero coordinate to other elements with only one non-zero coordinate. Following is an example for 2×2 triangular matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The next few definitions will be central to tackle such problem:

Definition 3.23 (Definition 86.4.8 [Sta23]). Let P be a topological ring. We say an element $r \in P$ is **topologically nilpotent** if $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r^n = 0$.

In [Sta23] the notion of topologically nilpotent is given for topological rings with a neighbourhood of 0 consisting of ideals, known as linearly topologized [Sta23, Definition 15.36.1]. As our rings might not be linearly topologized, we define topologically nilpotent in a more general way.

Example 3.24. • Given \mathbb{R} the ring of real numbers with the usual topology, for all $r \in (-1, 1)$ we have that r is topologically nilpotent.

- Given \mathbb{Z}_p the p -adic integers, then for all $r \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ we have that r is topologically nilpotent.
- Let P a topological ring and Λ a poset. If $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$ is such that for all $s \in \Lambda$, $A_{s,s} = 0$, then A is topologically nilpotent. Notice that if $|[s_1, s_2]| = 2$ then

$$(A^2)_{s_1, s_2} = A_{s_1, s_1} A_{s_1, s_2} + A_{s_1, s_2} A_{s_2, s_2} = 0.$$

In general, the poset structure on Λ implies that if $|[s_1, s_2]| = n$ then $(A^n)_{s_1, s_2} = 0$. This fact can easily be proved by induction. Corollary 3.11 then implies such A is topologically nilpotent.

The following two definitions will allow us to order the idempotents of $M_\Lambda(P)$ in such a way we can recover the poset structure of Λ from the ring of matrices.

Definition 3.25. Let P be a topological ring with only 0 and 1 as its idempotents and Λ a poset. We define an relation \sim in $\mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ as $A \sim B$ if, and only if, $A - B$ is topologically nilpotent. The equivalence class of an idempotent $A \in \mathcal{B}(P)$ is denoted as $[A]$.

Definition 3.26. Let Λ be a poset. Given that Λ has 0 a minimum element, we say $s \in \Lambda$ is **minimal non-zero** if $[0, s] = \{0, s\}$, that is, there is no element $t \in \Lambda$ such that $0 < t$ and $t < s$.

Lemma 3.27. Let Λ be a poset and P a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$. The following are true for the relation \sim in $M_\Lambda(P)$:

1. $A \sim B$ in $\mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ if, and only if, $b(A) = b(B)$.
2. It is an equivalence relation.
3. For every $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ there exists an unique $S \subset \Lambda$ such that $A \sim 1^S$.
4. Given $[A], [B]$ equivalence classes, the order given by $[A] \leq [B]$ if there are $A \in [A], B \in [B]$ such that $A \leq B$ in relation to the idempotent order is a partial order.
5. There is an order preserving bijection from the power set of Λ and the equivalence classes of idempotents $\mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$. The elements of Λ can be identified with the minimal non-zero elements of $\mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$.

Proof. 1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$. Notice that if $b(A) \neq b(B)$, there is $t \in \Lambda$ such that $(A - B)_{s,s} \in \{1, -1\}$. Theorem 3.21 then implies that $A - B$ is not topologically nilpotent. On the other hand, if $b(A) = b(B)$ by Theorem 3.21 and Lemma 3.16 we have that $A - B$ is topologically nilpotent, proving the argument.

Statement 2. and 3. follows directly from 1., and statements 4. and 5. follows directly from 3. \square

Example 3.28. Notice that for the case Λ is a poset this is not necessarily an equivalence relation on $M_\Lambda(P)$. For example, on the case $\Lambda = \mathbf{2}$ and $P = \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ -p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ -p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n p^{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^n p^{2n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ -p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^n p^{2n+1} \\ -(-1)^n p^{2n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence it is topologically nilpotent. It is also the case that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p-1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p-1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are nilpotent, hence topologically nilpotent. But

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^n \end{pmatrix}$$

is not topologically nilpotent.

Lemma 3.29. *Let P be a ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$ and Λ a poset. Given $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P)) \setminus \{0\}$ idempotent, then $A = 1^S + A'$ for some $S \subset \Lambda$, A' topologically nilpotent with $A'_{s,s} = 0$ for all $s \in \Lambda$. If $[A]$ is minimal non-zero then $A' = 1^{\{s\}}A' + A'1^{\{s\}} + A'1^{\{s\}}A'$.*

Proof. Because $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P)) \setminus \{0\}$ then, by Lemma 3.27, there is $S \subset \Lambda$ such that $A \sim 1^S$. Hence, by definition of the equivalence relation, $A - 1^S = A'$ for A' topologically nilpotent. Then $A = 1^S + A'$, where $A'_{s,s} = 0$ for all $s \in \Lambda$.

If $[A]$ is minimal non-zero then there is $s \in \Lambda$ such that $[A] = [1^{\{s\}}]$. By Lemmas 3.17 and 3.16, $A - A1^{\{s\}}A = 0$. We can write A as $1^{\{s\}} + A'$, as shown above. Using the property A is an idempotent we get

$$(1^{\{s\}} + A')^2 = 1^{\{s\}} + 1^{\{s\}}A' + A'1^{\{s\}} + A'1^{\{s\}}A' = 1^{\{s\}} + A'.$$

Rearranging the equation then gives us $A' = 1^{\{s\}}A' + A'1^{\{s\}} + A'1^{\{s\}}A'$. \square

Corollary 3.30. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(M_\Lambda(P))$ be minimal non-zero and $s \in \Lambda$ be such that $A \sim 1^{\{s\}}$. Then A_{s_1, s_2} can be non-zero if, and only if, $s \in [s_1, s_2]$.*

Proof. A direct corollary from Lemma 3.29 and from Remark 3.15. \square

Lemma 3.31. *Let Λ_1, Λ_2 be two posets and P a topological ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$. If $f : M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ is a continuous homomorphism of rings then, for every $S_1 \subset \Lambda_1$ there is $S_2 \subset \Lambda_2$ such that $f([1^{S_1}]) \subset [1^{S_2}]$. It is also the case that if $S \subset S' \subset \Lambda$ then $[f(1^S)] \leq [f(1^{S'})]$.*

Proof. Let $A \in [1^{S_1}]$. As homomorphisms send idempotents to idempotents, Lemma 3.29 implies $f(A) = 1^{S_2} + f(A)'$ for some $S_2 \subset \Lambda_2$ and $f(A)' \in M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ topologically nilpotent. Hence $f(A) \in [1^{S_2}]$.

Suppose now $B \in [1^{S_1}]$. Then $B - A$ is topologically nilpotent and, because f is continuous, it follows that $f(B - A)$ is topologically nilpotent. Then $f(B) \in [f(A)] = [1^{S_2}]$ for every $B \in [1^{S_1}]$. Hence $f[1^{S_1}] \subset [f(1^{S_1})] = [1^{S_2}]$, for some $S_2 \subset \Lambda_2$.

For the last statement, assume $[1^S] < [1^{S'}]$. Hence $f(1^{S'})f(1^S) = f(1^S)f(1^{S'}) = f((1^S + 1^{S' \setminus S})(1^S)) = f(1^S)$, that is, $[f(1^S)] \leq [f(1^{S'})]$. \square

The next result is essential for the proof of the isomorphism problem on the rings of matrices indexed by ordered sets. It connects properties of the minimal non-zero elements and the ordering of our ordered set.

Lemma 3.32. *Let $[1^{\{s_1\}}], [1^{\{s_2\}}]$ be minimal non-zero classes of $M_\Lambda(P)$, for a ring P such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$ and Λ a poset. Then there is $A \in [1^{\{s_1\}}], B \in [1^{\{s_2\}}]$ such that $AB \neq 0$ if, and only if, $s_1 \leq s_2$. It is the case $s_1 = s_2$ if, and only if, $AB \neq 0$ for every $A \in [1^{\{s_1\}}]$ and $B \in [1^{\{s_2\}}]$.*

Proof. Let $[1^{\{s_1\}}], [1^{\{s_2\}}]$ be two minimal non-zero classes and let $A \in [1^{\{s_1\}}], B \in [1^{\{s_2\}}]$. Write $A = 1^{\{s_1\}} + A'$ and $B = 1^{\{s_2\}} + B'$, as in Lemma 3.29. We first show that if $AB \neq 0$ then $s_1 \leq s_2$. Notice that AB can be written as follows

$$AB = 1^{\{s_1\}}1^{\{s_2\}} + 1^{\{s_1\}}B' + A'1^{\{s_2\}} + A'B'.$$

Hence, if $AB \neq 0$, at least one of the terms above is non-zero. We now work on necessary conditions for each term to be non-zero:

- Remark 3.15 implies $1^{\{s_1\}}1^{\{s_2\}} \neq 0$ if, and only if $s_1 = s_2$.
- By Remark 3.15 and Corollary 3.30 we have that $1^{\{s_1\}}B'$ is non-zero if, and only if, $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $B'_{s_1, s_2} \neq 0$.

- Similar to the case $1^{\{s_1\}}B'$, $A'1^{\{s_2\}}$ is non-zero if, and only if, $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $A'_{s_1, s_2} \neq 0$.
- For $A'B'$, notice that by Lemma 3.29 we can rewrite it as:

$$(1^{\{s_1\}}A' + A'1^{\{s_1\}} + A'1^{\{s_1\}}A')(1^{\{s_2\}}B' + B'1^{\{s_2\}} + B'1^{\{s_2\}}B').$$

After expanding, the terms are of the form $X1^{\{s_1\}}Y1^{\{s_2\}}Z$ for $X, Y, Z \in \{1^\Lambda, A', B', A'B'\}$. By Remark 3.15 if $s_1 \not\leq s_2$ then $1^{\{s_1\}}Y1^{\{s_2\}} = 0$. Hence if $A'B' \neq 0$ we have $s_1 \leq s_2$.

That is, if $AB \neq 0$ then $s_1 \leq s_2$. We now need to proof the other side.

Let $s_1 \leq s_2$. Define the matrix A coordinate-wise as follows:

$$A_{t_1, t_2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_1 = t_2 = s_1 \text{ or } t_1 = s_1, t_2 = s_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Remark 3.15, $A1^{s_2} \neq 0$. Hence, we get the only if side.

Now assume $s_1 = s_2 = s$. By Lemma 3.29 it follows that for all $A, B \in [1^{\{s\}}]$ we have $AB = 1^{\{s\}} + 1^{\{s\}}B' + A'1^{\{s\}} + A'B'$. As $1^{\{s\}}B' + A'1^{\{s\}} + A'B'$ is topologically nilpotent and $1^{\{s\}}$ is not, we have that $AB \neq 0$.

In the case $s_1 < s_2$, $1^{\{s_1\}}1^{\{s_2\}} = 0$. Hence there are $A \in [1^{\{s_1\}}]$, $B \in [1^{\{s_2\}}]$ such that $AB = 0$. \square

Now the process of rebuilding our poset using our matrices ring is as follows:

- The elements of our poset will be the minimal non-zero classes of idempotents, which can be written as $[1^{\{s\}}]$ for $s \in \Lambda$.
- If there are $A \in [1^{\{s_1\}}]$ and $B \in [1^{\{s_2\}}]$ such that $AB \neq 0$ we get $s_1 \leq s_2$. If it is also the case that there are idempotents $A \in [1^{\{s_1\}}]$ and $B \in [1^{\{s_1\}}]$ such that $AB = 0$, then $s_1 = s_2$.

By Lemma 3.32 it follows that the set of minimal non-zero idempotents with order as given above is the same as Λ . With Lemma 3.31 we get that isomorphisms send minimal non-zero to minimal non-zero. We can then prove the following:

Theorem 3.33. *[Vos80, Theorem 1] Let Λ_1, Λ_2 be posets and P be a topological ring such that $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{0, 1\}$. Then $M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \cong M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ if, and only if, $\Lambda_1 \cong \Lambda_2$, that is, there is a bijective order preserving map from Λ_1 to Λ_2 .*

Proof. Assume $f : M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ is a continuous isomorphism. Because f is a ring isomorphism, Lemma 3.31 implies that for all $s_1 \in \Lambda_1$ there exists a unique $s_2 \in \Lambda_2$ such that $f([1^{\{s_1\}}]) = [1^{\{s_2\}}]$, as these are minimal non-zero. Hence f gives a bijection from $\{[1^{\{s_1\}}]; s_1 \in \Lambda_1\}$ and $\{[1^{\{s_2\}}]; s_2 \in \Lambda_2\}$. Notice that the continuous isomorphism of rings also preserve all the properties from Lemma 3.32. Hence the map $\tilde{f} : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ defined by $s_1 \mapsto s_2$ if, and only if, $f([1^{\{s_1\}}]) = [1^{\{s_2\}}]$ is an order preserving bijective map. Hence $\Lambda_1 \cong \Lambda_2$.

On the other hand, let $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ an order preserving bijective map. It is easy to see that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : M_{\Lambda_2}(P) &\longrightarrow M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \\ A &\longmapsto \tilde{f}(A) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}(A)_{s_1, s_2} = A_{f(s_1), f(s_2)}$, is a continuous isomorphism of rings. \square

Theorem 3.33 is not always true for topological rings. In fact, [Vos80, Proposition 1] proves that if Λ is a locally finite pre-ordered set then there exists P a ring such that $P \cong M_\Lambda(P)$. In the same article, it is also shown that if P is a ring that is simple Artinian modulo the Jacobson radical, then $M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \cong M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ if, and only if, $\Lambda_1 \cong \Lambda_2$. A list of answers for the isomorphism problem in different classes of incidence rings can be seen at [AHdR].

4 Categorical properties

4.1 The functor $M_{\prec}(P)$

Theorem 3.33 closely relates the structure of matrix rings of the form $M_{\Lambda}(P)$ with their respective partially ordered sets. In this section we will show that this can be extended to defining a contravariant functor from a category of partially ordered sets to the category of rings, showing that even products and inverse limits of these rings can be understood just by looking at limits and coproducts on the category of partially ordered sets. This question arises naturally from Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.6, which points to the direction that coproducts are mapped to products and colimits to limits under such map.

For this section we will need to restrict the maps we accept for the category of ordered sets, as not all maps would work. We then give arguments for why each condition is added.

Definition 4.1. Given Λ, Λ' two posets and $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ a set function. We say that:

- f is **order preserving** if for $a \preceq b \in \Lambda$ then $f(a) \preceq f(b) \in \Lambda'$.
- f is a **convex function** if it is an order preserving function and whenever $\alpha \subset \Lambda$ is a convex subset then $f(\alpha)$ is a convex subset of Λ' .
- f is a **convex embedding** if it is a convex function and injective.
- f is **constant** if $f(\Lambda) = \{t\}$, for some $t \in \Lambda'$
- Given that $\sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ is the decomposition of Λ into its components. we say f **factors out into constant functions and convex embeddings (FCC)** if for each $i \in I$ either the function $f|_{\Lambda_i} : \Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda'$ is a constant function or a convex embedding.

Let $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ be an order preserving morphism. A first idea for a map $\tilde{f} : M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \rightarrow M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ is as in Theorem 3.33. We then have $\tilde{f}(A)$ is defined coordinatewise as

$$(\tilde{f}(A))_{s_1, s_2} = \sum A_{t_1, t_2}$$

for $t_1, t_2 \in \Lambda_1, f(t_1) = s_1, f(t_2) = s_2$.

1. If f is injective, but not surjective, then $\tilde{f}(1^{\Lambda_1}) \neq 1^{\Lambda_2}$, hence it is not a ring homomorphism. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} f : 2 < \rightarrow 3 < \\ f(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = 2 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : M_{2<}(P) &\rightarrow M_{3<}(P) \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} \\ 0 & a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & 0 & a_{0,1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

2. If f is surjective, but not injective, it does not preserve multiplication. For example:

$$f : 2 < \rightarrow 1$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : M_{2<}(P) &\rightarrow M_1(P) \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} \\ 0 & a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto a_{0,0} + a_{0,1} + a_{1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is necessary to make some tweaks when defining a morphism between matrix rings in relation to a morphism between posets.

A second idea is reversing the direction of $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$. We can then define $\mathbf{M}[f]$ on the set of generators (Proposition 3.13) of $M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}[f] : M_{\Lambda_2}(P) &\longrightarrow M_{\Lambda_1}(P) \\ p^{\Lambda_2} &\longmapsto p^{\Lambda_1} \\ e^{(s_1, s_2)} &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \sum_{t_1, t_2} e^{(t_1, t_2)} & \text{for } t_1 \preceq t_2 \text{ and } f(t_1) = s_1, f(t_2) = s_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In this case we have the following:

Proposition 4.2. *Assume Λ_1, Λ_2 are prosets and P is a ring. Let $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ be an order preserving morphism that is not convex. Then $\mathbf{M}[f]$ is not well-defined.*

Proof. Let f be an order preserving that is not convex. If f is not convex then there is $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ a convex set such that $f(\alpha)$ is not convex in Λ_2 . Hence either $f(\alpha)$ is not closed under intervals or it is not connected.

If $f(\alpha)$ is not connected, there are $s_1, s_2 \in \alpha$ such that $[s_1, s_2] \neq \emptyset$, but $[f(s_1), f(s_2)] = \emptyset$. That is, $s_1 \preceq s_2$ but $f(s_1) \not\preceq f(s_2)$, a contradiction to the assumption f is order preserving.

If f is not closed under intervals, there are $s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda_1$ such that $[f(s_1), f(s_2)]$ is not in $f(\alpha)$. Then there is $f(s_1) \approx t \approx f(s_2)$ such that $f^{-1}(t) = \emptyset$. In this case we then have

$$\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(f(s_1), t)})\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(t, f(s_2))}) = 0$$

but

$$\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(f(s_1), f(s_2))})$$

contains $e^{(s_1, s_2)}$ as a term, hence is non-zero. That is,

$$\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(f(s_1), t)})\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(t, f(s_2))}) \neq \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(f(s_1), f(s_2))}).$$

□

An example of the result above is as follows: let $f : \mathbf{2} < \rightarrow \mathbf{3} <$ defined by $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 2$. This map is order preserving but not convex. The $\mathbf{M}[f] : M_{\mathbf{3} <}(P) \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{2} <}(P)$ would be defined as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} \\ 0 & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,2} \\ 0 & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is easily seen not to preserve multiplication.

It is still not known if it the morphism being FCC is a necessary condition for $\mathbf{M}[f]$ to be well-defined, but there are some examples showing that being convex is not sufficient. For instance, let $f : \mathbf{4} < \rightarrow \mathbf{2} <$ defined as $f(0) = f(1) = 0, f(2) = f(3) = 1$. The map $\mathbf{M}[f] : M_{\mathbf{2} <}(P) \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{4} <}(P)$ would be defined as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} \\ 0 & a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & 0 & a_{0,1} & a_{0,1} \\ 0 & a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,1} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{1,1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is clearly not a ring homomorphism.

Remark 4.3. Let Λ^1 be a proset and let $\sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ the decomposition of Λ^1 into its components. Notice that if $f : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ is FCC then for every $s \in \Lambda^2, i \in I$ we have that either $f^{-1}(s) \cap \Lambda_i = \emptyset, f^{-1}(s) \cap \Lambda_i = \{t_i\}$ or $f^{-1}(s) \cap \Lambda_i = \Lambda_i$.

Definition 4.4. We define the category category of locally finite prosets $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ as:

- Objects: all locally finite prosets,
- Arrows: all the FCC morphisms between locally finite prosets.

Notice that the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ has $0 = \emptyset$ as its initial object and 1 as its terminal object.

Our goal now is to show that if f is FCC then $\mathbf{M}[f]$ can be extended to a ring homomorphism. Not only that, we can define a contravariant functor from the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ to $\mathbf{TopRings}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let P be a topological ring. The map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}_-(P) : & \mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}} & \Longrightarrow & \mathbf{TopRings} \\ & \Lambda & \longmapsto & M_\Lambda(P) \\ & f & \longmapsto & \mathbf{M}[f] \end{array}$$

is a contravariant functor.

Proof. Given P a topological ring, the functor $\mathbf{M}_-(P)$ maps the object Λ from *posets* to $\mathbf{M}_-(P)(\Lambda) := \mathbf{M}_\Lambda(P)$, where $\mathbf{M}_\emptyset(P) := \{0\}$ is defined to be the trivial ring. We now need to show the functor map FCC maps to continuous ring homomorphisms. To simplify the notation we will denote $\mathbf{M}_-(P)(f) := \mathbf{M}[f]$, for f an FCC map. The proof will follow by defining a map from the generators of the domain and showing it extends to a continuous ring homomorphism. Remark 3.15 and Proposition 3.13 will be central for this part of the proof. It will then remain to show that composition of functions is mapped to composition of functions.

If Λ_1 is the empty poset and $f : \emptyset \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ is the unique morphism from the empty set to Λ_2 , define $\mathbf{M}[f]$ as the unique morphism from $\mathbf{M}_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ to $\mathbf{M}_\emptyset(P)$.

For Λ_2 a non-empty set, we extend $\mathbf{M}[f]$ for some finite sums as follows:

- If $A, B \in \{p^\Lambda\}_{p \in P} \cup \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda_2}$, the generating set, we define

$$\mathbf{M}[f](A + B) := \mathbf{M}[f](A) + \mathbf{M}[f](B).$$

- If $A \in \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda_2}$ and $p \in P$, we define

$$p^{\Lambda_1} \mathbf{M}[f](A) = \mathbf{M}[f](p^{\Lambda_2} A) = \mathbf{M}[f](A p^{\Lambda_2}) = \mathbf{M}[f](A) p^{\Lambda_1}.$$

We want now to show that such a map can be extended to a continuous ring homomorphism. First, we will show that such a map can be extended to a ring homomorphism on the dense subset from Proposition 3.13 and then extend it continuously to the whole ring. For that let $\Lambda_1 = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ the decomposition of Λ_1 into its irreducible components.

Claim 1: Let $t_1 \not\approx t_2 \in \Lambda_1$ be such that $f(t_1) = s_1$ and $f(t_2) = s_2$ for some $s_1 \not\approx s_2 \in \Lambda_2$. If $s_1 \not\approx s_2 \not\approx s_3$, for some $s_3 \in \Lambda_2$ then one of the following happen:

- $e^{(t_1, t_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_2, s_3)}) = 0$, or
- $e^{(t_1, t_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_2, s_3)}) = e^{(t_1, t_3)}$ for a unique $t_3 \in \Lambda_1$ such that $t_1 \not\approx t_2 \not\approx t_3$ and $f(t_3) = s_3$.

Assume $e^{(t_1, t_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_2, s_3)}) \neq 0$. Then expanding it, we have the right-hand side of

$$e^{(t_1, t_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_2, s_3)}) = e^{(t_1, t_2)} \left(\sum e^{(t'_2, t'_3)} \right)$$

has at least one pair (t'_2, t'_3) such that $f(t'_2) = s_2$, $t'_2 = t_2$, and $f(t'_3) = s_3$ (Remark 3.15). Hence, restricted to the component containing t_1, t_2 the function f is a convex embedding. By Remark 4.3, such the pair (t'_2, t'_3) is unique, as it has to be on the same component as t_1, t_2 . That is, if $e^{(t_1, t_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_2, s_3)}) \neq 0$ then $e^{(t_1, t_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_2, s_3)}) = e^{(t_1, t'_3)}$.

Claim 2: Let $s_1 \not\approx s_2$ be elements in Λ_2 . Then $\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(t_1, t_2)}) = \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_1, s_2)} e^{(t_1, t_2)})$ for every $t_1, t_2 \in \Lambda_2$.

Assume $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \Lambda_2$ are such that $s_1 \not\approx s_2$, $s_2 = t_1$ and $t_1 \not\approx t_2$, as otherwise the result would be trivially 0 on both sides. Then $e^{(s_1, s_2)} e^{(t_1, t_2)} = e^{(s_1, t_2)}$ and, as f is FCC, by Remark 4.3 the right-hand side is

$$\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_1, t_2)}) = \sum_{j \in J} e^{(q_{j_1}, q_{j_2})} \quad (1)$$

for J a subset of I , $q_{j_1} \not\approx q_{j_2} \in \Lambda_j$ and $f^{-1}(s_1) \cap \Lambda_j = \{q_{j_1}\}$, $f^{-1}(t_2) \cap \Lambda_j = \{q_{j_2}\}$. For the left-hand side,

$$\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(t_1, t_2)}) = \left(\sum_{k \in K} e^{(s_{k_1}, s_{k_2})} \right) \left(\sum_{l \in L} e^{(t_{l_1}, t_{l_2})} \right) \quad (2)$$

where K and L are subsets of I , for $k \in K$ we have $s_{k_1} \not\approx s_{k_2} \in \Lambda_k$, $f^{-1}(s_1) \cap \Lambda_k = \{s_{k_1}\}$, $f^{-1}(s_2) \cap \Lambda_k = \{s_{k_2}\}$ and for $l \in L$ we have $t_{l_1} \not\approx t_{l_2} \in \Lambda_l$, $f^{-1}(t_1) \cap \Lambda_l = \{t_{l_1}\}$, $f^{-1}(t_2) \cap \Lambda_l = \{t_{l_2}\}$. As we assumed $s_1 \not\approx s_2 = t_1 \not\approx t_2$ we have that

$$\mathbf{M}[f](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) \mathbf{M}[f](e^{(t_1, t_2)}) = \left(\sum_{p \in K \cap L} e^{(s_{p_1}, t_{p_2})} \right).$$

We need to show $K \cap L = J$, and the result will follow from Remark 4.3. If $j \in J$ then there are $q_{j_1} \not\approx q_{j_2}$ such that $f(q_{j_1}) = s_1$, $f(q_{j_2}) = t_2$, hence $f|_{\Lambda_j}$ is convex embedding. Then there is

$q \in [q_{j_1}, q_{j_2}]$ such that $f(q) = s_2 = t_1$, that is, $e^{(q_{j_1}, q)}$ and $e^{(q, q_{j_2})}$ show up as terms in the right hand side of 2. Hence $j \in K \cap L$.

On the other hand, if $p \in K \cap L$, then there are $s_{p_1} \approx t \approx t_{p_2} \in \Lambda_p$ such that $f(s_{p_1}) = s_1$, $f(t) = s_2 = t_1$, $f(t_{p_2}) = t_2$. Hence the term $e^{(s_1, t_2)}$ appears on the right-hand side of Equation 1. That is, $p \in J$.

Claim 3: $\mathbf{M}[f]$ is well-defined and continuous.

Claim 1. and 2. implies it is well-defined for all elements that are finite sums and finite products of the generators. Hence it is well-defined for a dense subset of $M_{\Lambda_2}(P)$ (Corollary 3.13). Given $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda_2)} \subset \langle \{p^{\Lambda_2}\}_{p \in S}, \{e^{(s_1, s_2)}\}_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda_2} \rangle$ a convergent net, Corollary 3.11 then implies

$$\mathbf{M}[f] \left(\lim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda_2)} (A_\alpha) \right) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda_2)} \mathbf{M}[f](A_\alpha).$$

Hence the morphism can be extended to a continuous ring homomorphism.

Claim 4: Given $f_1 : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ and $f_2 : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_3$ then $\mathbf{M}[f_2 \circ f_1] = \mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ \mathbf{M}[f_2]$.

The result is trivial when $\Lambda_1 = \emptyset$. Assume $\Lambda_1 \neq \emptyset$. The proof will be given by looking at where the generators of M_{Λ_3} are mapped.

It is easy to see that for $p \in P$

$$\mathbf{M}[f_2 \circ f_1](p^{\Lambda_3}) = p^{\Lambda_1} = \mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ \mathbf{M}[f_2](p^{\Lambda_3}).$$

For the $e^{(s_1, s_2)}$ case, notice that if $\mathbf{M}[f_2 \circ f_1](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) \neq 0$ then:

$$\mathbf{M}[f_2 \circ f_1](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) = \sum_{t_1, t_2} e^{(t_1, t_2)} \quad \text{for } t_1 \preceq t_2 \in \Lambda_1, \text{ and } f_2 \circ f_1(t_1) = s_1, \quad (3)$$

$$f_2 \circ f_1(t_2) = s_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ \mathbf{M}[f_2](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) &= \mathbf{M}[f_1](\sum_{q_1, q_2} e^{(q_1, q_2)}) \quad \text{where } q_1 \preceq q_2 \in \Lambda_2 \text{ and } f_2(q_1) = s_1, \\ & \quad f_2(q_2) = s_2 \\ &= \sum_{q_1, q_2} (\sum_{t_1, t_2} e^{(t_1, t_2)}) \quad \text{for } t_1 \preceq t_2 \in \Lambda_1, \text{ and } f_2(q_1) = s_1, \quad (4) \\ & \quad f_2(q_2) = s_2, f_1(t_1) = q_1, f_1(t_2) = q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 implies that the right-hand side of Equation (4) has to be the same as the right-hand side of Equation (3). Then $\mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ \mathbf{M}[f_2](e^{(s_1, s_2)}) = \mathbf{M}[f_2 \circ f_1](e^{(s_1, s_2)})$.

It then follows that $\mathbf{M}_-(P)$ is a contravariant functor. □

We call the functor $\mathbf{M}_-(P)$ the **incidence functor**.

Remark 4.6. Notice that given $f : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ an FCC map and two elements $e^{(s_1, s_2)}$, $e^{(t_1, t_2)}$ on the generator set of $M_{\Lambda^2}(P)$, if they are distinct and have no trivial image then the image under $\mathbf{M}[f]$ of both elements are disjoint. Hence if f is a surjective FCC map we have that $\mathbf{M}[f]$ is injective.

4.2 Sending colimits to limits

In this section we will work with properties of the category *posets* that later can be used to show our contravariant functor maps colimits to limits.

Lemma 4.7. *Given $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an arbitrary collection of objects in $\mathbf{posets}_{\text{fin}}$, their coproduct exist and it is $\sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$, as defined in Definition 2.6.*

Proof. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ as in the statement and define $\Lambda := \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$. For each $i \in I$ let $i_i : \Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda$ be the embeddings sending Λ_i to its copy in Λ .

Claim: Λ is the coproduct of $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Let Λ' be another locally finite poset and, for each $i \in I$, let $f_i : \Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda'$ a FCC map. Define $(f_i)_{i \in I} : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ by $(f_i)_{i \in I}(s_i) = f_i(s_i)$ if $s_i \in \Lambda_i$. It is clear that $(f_i)_{i \in I} \circ i_i = f_i$ and $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is the unique FCC morphism satisfying this property. Hence Λ is the coproduct of the collection $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & & \\ \uparrow & \text{---} & \text{---} \\ i_i & & (f_i)_{i \in I} \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \\ \Lambda_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & \Lambda' \end{array}$$

□

The following follows directly from Lemma 4.7 and Proposition 3.7.

Corollary 4.8. *The incidence functor $\mathbf{M}_-(P)$ maps coproducts to products.*

Definition 4.9 (Quotient order). Let $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ be a poset with order given by the disjoint union. We will say $\equiv \subset \Lambda \times \Lambda$ is a **FCC equivalence relation** if there is a FCC surjective map $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ such that given $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$ then $s_1 \equiv s_2$ if, and only if, $f(s_1) = f(s_2)$. We write $\Lambda' := \Lambda / \equiv$ and call this set the **FCC quotient** under \equiv .

For the next result we will prove the existence of pushouts in the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$. We will first give a remark on how such construction works in the case the poset Λ is irreducible, and the proof will then be given for the general case.

Remark 4.10. Let Λ, Λ_1 and Λ_2 be posets and $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_1, g : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ be FCC maps. We want to build the pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{f} & \Lambda_1 \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \Lambda_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \Lambda_{f,g} \end{array}$$

For the case $\Lambda = \emptyset$, as the empty set is the initial object of $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$, $\Lambda_{f,g} = \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ and p_1, p_2 are the embeddings of Λ_1, Λ_2 into $\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$.

Assume Λ is irreducible and non-empty. As Λ is irreducible, there exists a unique $j_0 \in J$ and $k_0 \in K$ such that $f(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda_{j_0}, g(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda_{k_0}$. The desired poset $\Lambda_{f,g}$ will be as follows:

$$\Lambda_{f,g} = \left(\bigsqcup_{j \in J \setminus \{j_0\}} \Lambda_j \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{k \in K \setminus \{k_0\}} \Lambda_k \right) \sqcup \Lambda_{\{j_0, k_0\}}.$$

The poset $\Lambda_{\{j_0, k_0\}}$ is the FCC quotient $\Lambda_{j_0} \sqcup \Lambda_{k_0}$ with equivalence relation given by:

- for every $t \in \Lambda$, $f(t) \equiv g(t)$,
- if $\Lambda \neq 1$, f is a convex embedding and g is a constant function then for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_{j_0}$ we have $s_1 \equiv s_2$,
- if $\Lambda \neq 1$, g is a convex embedding and f is a constant function then for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_{k_0}$ we have $s_1 \equiv s_2$.

This is the maximal FCC quotient of $\Lambda_{j_0} \sqcup \Lambda_{k_0}$ such that $\pi \circ i_{j_0} \circ f = \pi \circ i_{k_0} \circ g$. Here the maps $\pi : \Lambda_{j_0} \sqcup \Lambda_{k_0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\{j_0, k_0\}}$ is given by the FCC quotient under \equiv , and i_{j_0}, i_{k_0} are the embeddings from $\Lambda_{j_0}, \Lambda_{k_0}$ into their respective components in the disjoint union $\Lambda_{j_0} \sqcup \Lambda_{k_0}$. It is then the case

- $p_1|_{\Lambda_{j_0}} = \pi \circ i_{j_0}$,
- for $j \neq j_0$, $p_1|_{\Lambda_j} = i_j$,
- $p_2|_{\Lambda_{k_0}} = \pi \circ i_{k_0}$,
- and for $k \neq k_0$, $p_2|_{\Lambda_k} = i_k$.

As p_1, p_2 is defined on all the components of $\Lambda_{\{f,g\}}$, it is uniquely defined for the whole poset. Notice that $\Lambda_{f,g}$ is an FCC quotient of $\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\Lambda, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ be locally finite posets and $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_1, g : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ FCC maps. Then there exists $\Lambda_{f,g}$ a locally finite poset and $p_1 : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,g}, p_2 : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,g}$ FCC maps such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{f} & \Lambda_1 \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \Lambda_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \Lambda_{f,g} \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram.

Proof. For the proof we will write $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$, $\Lambda_1 = \sqcup_{j \in J} \Lambda_j$ and $\Lambda_2 = \sqcup_{k \in K} \Lambda_k$ the decomposition of these sets into their components.

Define the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \{j \in J; \text{ for all } i \in I, f(\Lambda_i) \cap \Lambda_j = \emptyset\} \subset J \\ J_1 &= \{j \in J \setminus J_0; \forall i \in I, \text{ if } f(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_j \text{ then } f|_{\Lambda_i} \text{ is a convex embedding}\} \subset J \\ J_2 &= \{j \in J \setminus J_0; \forall i \in I, \text{ if } \Lambda_i \neq 1, f(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_j \text{ then } f|_{\Lambda_i} \text{ is a constant function}\} \subset J \\ J_3 &= J \setminus (J_0 \cup J_1 \cup J_2) \\ K_0 &= \{k \in K; \text{ for all } i \in I, g(\Lambda_i) \cap \Lambda_k = \emptyset\} \subset K \\ K_1 &= \{k \in K \setminus K_0; \forall i \in I, \text{ if } g(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_k \text{ then } g|_{\Lambda_i} \text{ is a convex embedding}\} \subset K \\ K_2 &= \{k \in K \setminus K_0; \forall i \in I, \text{ if } \Lambda_i \neq 1, g(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_k \text{ then } g|_{\Lambda_i} \text{ is a constant function}\} \subset K \\ K_3 &= K \setminus (K_0 \cup K_1 \cup K_2). \end{aligned}$$

For each $l \in J \sqcup K$ also define the set $\langle l \rangle$ as the minimal set such that:

- $l \in \langle l \rangle$.
- Let $k \in K$ be such that $k \in \langle l \rangle$. If $i \in I$ is such that $g(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_k$ and $f(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_j$, then $j \in \langle l \rangle$.
- Let $j \in J$ be such that $j \in \langle l \rangle$. If $i \in I$ is such $f(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_j$ and $g(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_k$, then $k \in \langle l \rangle$.

In other words, $\langle l \rangle$ is the set of components that contains Λ_l and that will be ‘‘glued together’’ under the pushout. Notice that if $l \in J_0 \sqcup K_0$ then $\langle l \rangle = \{l\}$.

Let $L = \{\langle l \rangle; l \in J \sqcup K\}$ the partition of $J \sqcup K$ defined as above. Define $\Lambda_{f,g}$ as follows:

$$\Lambda_{f,g} := \bigsqcup_{\langle l \rangle \in L} \Lambda_{\langle l \rangle}$$

where $\Lambda_{\langle l \rangle}$ is defined as

$$\Lambda_{\langle l \rangle} = \left(\bigsqcup_{l \in \langle l \rangle} \Lambda_l \right) / \equiv_l$$

where

- for every $s \in \Lambda$, if there is $l \in \langle l \rangle$ such $f(s) \in \Lambda_l$ then $f(s) \equiv_l g(s)$.
- if $l \in \langle l \rangle$ is such that $l \in J_3 \cup K_3$ then for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_l$ we have $s_1 \equiv_l s_2$.
- if $j \in J_1$ and $k \in K_2$ are such that there is $i \in I$ with $f(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_j$, $g(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_k$ then for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_j$ we have $s_1 \equiv_l s_2$.
- if $j \in J_2$ and $k \in K_1$ are such that there is $i \in I$ with $f(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_j$, $g(\Lambda_i) \subset \Lambda_k$ then for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_k$ we have $s_1 \equiv_l s_2$.

with the order given by the FCC quotient. Notice that the construction is similar to the irreducible case, but here we have more components being ‘‘glued together’’. Notice that $\Lambda_{f,g} = (\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2) / \equiv$, for the \equiv FCC equivalence relation defined above on each component $\Lambda_{\langle l \rangle}$. Let $i_1 : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ and $i_2 : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ the embeddings from the coproduct and $\pi : \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,g}$ given by the FCC quotient. We then define $p_1 := \pi \circ i_1$ and $p_2 := \pi \circ i_2$.

Notice that $\Lambda_{f,g}$ is defined so that it is the maximal FCC quotient of $\Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ such that there exists an unique FCC maps p_1, p_2 with $p_1 \circ f = p_2 \circ g$. The construction implies that if there are FCC maps $f' : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda'$ and $g' : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda'$, then there exists a unique FCC map $p' : \Lambda_{f,g} \rightarrow \Lambda'$ such that $f' = p' \circ p_1$ and $g' = p' \circ p_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{f} & \Lambda_1 \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \Lambda_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \Lambda_{f,g} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow f' \\ & & \Lambda' \\ & \swarrow g' & \downarrow p' \\ & & \Lambda' \end{array}$$

□

Note that the intuitive idea of pushouts of posets is getting two posets, Λ_1 and Λ_2 , with some common convex subsets, given by the maps f and g on each component of Λ , and making these subsets an intersection of them. Below we have an example of that:


Example 4.12. Let $\alpha = \mathbf{2} \leq \{0, 1\}$, $\Lambda_1 = \mathbf{Z}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \mathbf{Zig}$ and define

$$i_1: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{2} < & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & \longmapsto & 0 \\ 1 & \longmapsto & -1, \end{array}$$

and

$$i_2: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{2} < & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Zig} \\ 0 & \longmapsto & 0 \\ 1 & \longmapsto & -1. \end{array}$$

The pushout of this diagram is the following poset:



Where the normal arrow represents the element of \mathbf{Zig} , the dashed arrows are the elements from \mathbf{Z} and the double arrow represent the elements “glued together” under the pushout.

Notice that as \emptyset is an initial object of $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ then this category has coequalizers (Proposition 2.23). We then get the following:

Corollary 4.13. The category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ has all colimits.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.11 and Proposition 2.24 our results follows. \square

And as the category of $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ has only FCC maps and locally finite ordered sets, we get the following:

Corollary 4.14. Colimits of FCC maps are FCC maps and they preserve the property of being locally finite.

In other words, direct limits, pushouts and disjoint unions of locally posets under FCC maps are locally finite posets. We now will use these results to show that coequalizers are mapped to equalizers via $\mathbf{M}_-(P)$.

Remark 4.15. Let $f, g : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ be two maps. Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ the set of components of Λ^1 and $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ the set of components of Λ^2 . We define $\text{Coeq}(f, g) := \Lambda^2 / \equiv_{\text{Coeq}}$ as follows:

1. For all $t \in \Lambda^1$, $f(t) \equiv_{\text{Coeq}} g(t)$.
2. If $j \in J$ is such that there is $i \in I$, $t \in \Lambda_i$ with $f(t), g(t) \in \Lambda_j$ and $f(t) \neq g(t)$, then for every $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda_j$, $s_1 \equiv_{\text{Coeq}} s_2$.

and the map $p : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \text{Coeq}(f, g)$ as the quotient map. Notice that the first condition makes $p \circ f = p \circ g$, and the second condition is a minimal condition for the map p to be FCC.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $f_1, f_2 : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ and $p : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \text{Coeq}(f_1, f_2)$ be the coequalizer of f_1 and f_2 . Then $\mathbf{M}[p]$ is the equalizer of $\mathbf{M}[f_1], \mathbf{M}[f_2]$.*

Proof. First, let f_1, f_2, p as in the statement. We first show that $\mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ \mathbf{M}[p] = \mathbf{M}[f_2] \circ \mathbf{M}[p]$. We then prove $\mathbf{M}[p]$ is the equalizer of $\mathbf{M}[f_1], \mathbf{M}[f_2]$.

Notice that for $n = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}[f_n] \circ \mathbf{M}[p] : & M_{\text{Coeq}(f_1, f_2)}(P) & \longrightarrow & M_{\Lambda^1}(P) \\ & \downarrow r^{\text{Coeq}(f_1, f_2)} & \longmapsto & \downarrow r^{\Lambda^1} \\ & 1\{s\} & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} 1^{(p \circ f_n)^{-1}(s)} & \text{if } (p \circ f_n)^{-1} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ & e^{(s_1, s_2)} & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} \sum_{t_1, t_2} e^{(t_1, t_2)} & \text{for } t_1 \approx t_2 \text{ and } p \circ f_n(t_1) = s_1, \\ & p \circ f_n(t_2) = s_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Because p is the coequalizer of f_1 and f_2 it follows that $\mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ \mathbf{M}[p] = \mathbf{M}[f_2] \circ \mathbf{M}[p]$. Notice that as p is surjective, Remark 4.6 implies $\mathbf{M}[p]$ is injective.

Now let Λ' be a poset and $h' : M_{\Lambda'}(P) \rightarrow M_{\Lambda^2}(P)$ be such that $\mathbf{M}[f_1] \circ h' = \mathbf{M}[f_2] \circ h'$ and $h'(r^{\Lambda'}) = r^{\Lambda^2}$, for every $r \in P$. Define $h : M_{\Lambda'}(P) \rightarrow M_{\text{Coeq}(f_1, f_2)}(P)$ as:

$$h(A) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{M}[p])^{-1}(h'(A)) & \text{if } (\mathbf{M}[p])^{-1}(h'(A)) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This function is well-defined because $\mathbf{M}[p]$ is injective. Hence the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{\text{Coeq}(f_1, f_2)}(P) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}[p]} & M_{\Lambda^2}(P) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}[f_1]} & M_{\Lambda^1}(P) \\ & & & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}[f_2]} & \\ \uparrow h & \nearrow h' & & & \\ M_{\Lambda'}(P) & & & & \end{array}$$

That is, $\mathbf{M}[p]$ is the equalizer of $\mathbf{M}[f_1], \mathbf{M}[f_2]$. \square

Corollary 4.17. *The incidence functor \mathbf{M}_- sends colimits to limits.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.16 and Corollary 4.8 we have that the functor ${}^{op} \circ \mathbf{M}_-(P) : \mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{TopRings}{}^{op}$ maps coequalizers to coequalizers and coproducts to coproducts. The result then follows from Proposition 2.25. \square

4.3 Generating the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$

In this section, we focus on showing that the posets of the form $\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}$, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ can generate all locally finite posets under direct limits, disjoint unions, and pushouts of FCC maps.

The next result is the version of Theorem 3.6 in the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$.

Theorem 4.18. *Let Λ be an irreducible, locally finite poset. Then $\Lambda \cong \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} \alpha$, where $\Gamma(\Lambda) = \{\alpha \subset \Lambda; \text{ is finite and convex}\}$ with subset order.*

Proof. For each $\alpha_1 \subset \alpha_2 \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ let $j_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1} : \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$ be the convex embedding given by the subset structure. It is clear that if $\alpha_1 \subset \alpha_2 \subset \alpha_3$ then $j_{\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2} \circ j_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3} = j_{\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3}$, hence the direct limit $\varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} \alpha$ exists. For each $\alpha_i \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$ let $i_{\alpha_i} : \alpha_i \rightarrow \Lambda$ be the embedding of α_i into Λ . Because the outer triangle of the following diagram commutes, there exists a unique $f : \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} \alpha \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that the whole diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Lambda & & \\ & & \uparrow f & & \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & & \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} \alpha & & \\ & \nearrow i_{\alpha_2} & & \nwarrow i_{\alpha_1} & \\ \alpha_2 & \xrightarrow{j_{\alpha_2}} & & \xleftarrow{j_{\alpha_1}} & \alpha_1 \\ & \longleftarrow j_{\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1} & & & \end{array}$$

By the direct limit property, f is an FCC map and a direct limit of embeddings. Hence it is a convex embedding. To complete our proof, we will show that f is surjective.

Let $a \in \Lambda$. The set $\mathcal{N}_0(a)$ is a finite convex subset of Λ containing a . It is clear that $f \circ j_{\mathcal{N}_0(a)}(a) = a$, hence f is surjective. \square

Lastly we can show a property which can be taken from the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ and translated to the context of matrix rings over P indexed by a poset.

Theorem 4.19. *All objects in $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ are generated by $\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}$, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and under disjoint unions, direct limits and pushouts of convex embeddings.*

Proof. We first prove by induction that we can generate all the irreducible, finite posets. From the proof for this case will be by induction on the cardinality of Λ .

Our generating set generates contains all irreducible posets of cardinalities 0, 1 and 2, proving the base cases, as noted in Example 2.5. Assume the result is true for every irreducible Λ with cardinality k , for some $k > 2$.

Let $\Lambda \notin \{\mathbf{i} \leftarrow \mathbf{j}\}_{i+j=k+1}$ be an irreducible poset with cardinality $k + 1$. Let $a \neq b \in \Lambda$ such that $\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{N}_0(a)$, $\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{N}_0(b)$, and $\Lambda \setminus (\mathcal{N}_0(a) \cup \mathcal{N}_0(b))$ are irreducible. Let $\alpha = \Lambda \setminus (\mathcal{N}_0(a) \cup \mathcal{N}_0(b))$, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda \setminus \mathcal{N}_0(a)$ and $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda \setminus \mathcal{N}_0(b)$. Such elements a, b exists as every finite connected graph with at least 3 vertices has at least two non-cut vertices (a proof can be seen in [Bas]). The conditions on Λ imply $\Lambda \setminus (\mathcal{N}_0(a) \cup \mathcal{N}_0(b))$ is a non-empty set.

Define $i_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \Lambda_1$, $i_2 : \alpha \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ the embeddings given by the subset structure. It follows that $|\Lambda_1|, |\Lambda_2|, |\alpha| < k + 1$ and Λ is the pushout of these posets, proving our induction.

Theorem 4.18 implies that all infinite irreducible posets are generated by finite, irreducible posets under direct limits. Proposition 2.6 implies that if all the irreducible posets are generated, so are the reducible ones under disjoint unions. \square

Corollary 4.20. *The subclass of all rings of the form $M_\Lambda(P)$, for Λ a poset, is generated by $M_{\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}}(P)$, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, under products, inverse limits and pullbacks.*

Proof. A direct corollary from Corollary 4.17 and Theorem 4.19. \square

This corollary can be useful for proving general properties of these rings. Instead of proving for all of them individually, you can prove for the generators and show that these properties are preserved by inverse limits and pullbacks.

5 Group of invertible matrices

5.1 $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ and its properties

In this section we will work with the group of units of the triangular matrix rings and show how to use them to build simple topological groups. In this section we assume P is a commutative ring.

Definition 5.1. Given P a ring and Λ a poset. We define the **general linear group of P in relation to Λ** as:

$$\text{GL}_\Lambda(P) := \{A \in M_\Lambda(P); A^{-1} \in M_\Lambda(P)\}.$$

That is, the group of units of $M_\Lambda(P)$.

Definition 5.2. Let Λ be a locally finite poset and P be a ring. Let $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$. We define the set $\text{DET}(A)$ as:

$$\text{DET}(A) = \{\det(\pi_\alpha(A)); \alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)\} \subset P^{\Gamma(\Lambda)}.$$

The following is a directly corollary of Theorem 2.20 and the fact the groups of the form $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ are inverse limits of finite matrix rings.

Proposition 5.3. *Let P be a commutative ring and Λ a preordered set, and $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$. Then $A \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ if, and only if, $\pi_\alpha(A)$ is invertible for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$. That is, $A \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ if, and only if, $\text{DET}(A) \subset \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} P^*$.*

A stronger version of Proposition 5.3 is given at [Bel73, Theorem 1.16].

Corollary 5.4. *Let P be a commutative, absolute topological ring. Then for every finite preordered set Λ we have that $M_\Lambda(P)$ is an absolute topological ring.*

Proof. Let A be an element of $GL_\Lambda(P)$. By Theorem 2.21 we have that for every $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$, the map $\pi_\alpha(A) \mapsto \text{adj}(\pi_\alpha(A))$ is a polynomial, hence it is continuous. As P is an absolute topological ring and $\det(\pi_\alpha(A)) \in P^*$, we have by Theorem 2.20 and Proposition 5.3 that if $\pi_\alpha(A) \in GL_\alpha(P)$, the map $\pi_\alpha(A) \mapsto \pi_\alpha(A^{-1})$ is continuous. As the inverse map is continuous for all $GL_\alpha(P)$, $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$, the inverse limit property implies the map $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ is continuous for $GL_\Lambda(P)$. \square

As P is commutative, when Λ is a poset we can define $GL_\Lambda(P)$ as all the infinite matrices such that the diagonal elements belongs to P^* . In the case P is not commutative this is not always the case, as shown in articles [Hol02a] and [Asp59].

Given that the functor that sends a ring to its units group is left adjoint (Proposition 2.22), Corollaries 5.4, 4.17, and Theorem 3.6 allow us to prove the following for the case $GL_\Lambda(P)$:

Proposition 5.5. *Let P be an absolute topological ring and Λ a locally finite poset. The following are true:*

1. *If Λ is infinite, then $GL_\Lambda(P) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda)} GL_\alpha(P)$ is a topological group.*
2. *Given Λ is a poset, then $GL_\Lambda(P)$ can be generated by $GL_{\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathbf{n}}(P)$ under products, inverse limits and pullbacks.*

We can also describe some normal subgroups of $GL_\Lambda(P)$ in a similar way to the ideals of $M_\Lambda(P)$, as follows:

Notation 5.6 (Normal subgroups). Let Λ a locally finite poset and P a commutative ring. We denote the following normal subgroups:

- Given $s_1 \preceq s_2$ in Λ we define

$$N_{[s_1, s_2]}^\Lambda(P) = \{A \in GL_\Lambda(P); \text{ if } s \in [s_1, s_2], A_{s,s} = 1, \text{ and if } t_1 \neq t_2 \in [s_1, s_2], A_{t_1, t_2} = 0\}.$$

This normal subgroup has quotient

$$GL_\Lambda(P)/N_{[s_1, s_2]}^\Lambda(P) \cong GL_{[s_1, s_2]}(P).$$

- For a convex set $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$, the normal subgroup

$$N_{\Lambda'}^\Lambda = \bigcap_{s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda'} N_{[s_1, s_2]}^\Lambda.$$

This normal subgroup has quotient

$$GL_\Lambda(P)/N_{\Lambda'}^{\Lambda, \preceq} \cong GL_{\Lambda'}(P).$$

- For $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ a locally convex set of Λ we define the normal subgroup

$$N_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_{\Lambda_i}^\Lambda.$$

This normal subgroup has quotient

$$GL_\Lambda(P)/N_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda \cong \prod_{i \in I} GL_{\Lambda_i}(P).$$

Example 5.7. *Let $\Lambda = \mathbf{3} <$, $P = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha = \{0, 1\}$. Then $N_\alpha^{\mathbf{3} <}$ is the normal subgroup with elements of the form:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{0,2} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_{2,2} \in \{-1, 1\}$.

The following is the equivalent for Corollary 3.10 for the groups $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$.

Corollary 5.8. *Let P a commutative, absolute topological ring, Λ a locally finite poset and $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \Lambda$ locally convex. Then the following are true:*

1. *The normal subgroup $N_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda$ is closed in $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$.*
2. *The normal subgroup $N_{\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}}^\Lambda$ is open if, and only if, $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$ is a finite set and P has the discrete topology.*

One can also get an equivalent to Theorem 4.5 for the groups of units. For that we denote $\mathbf{U} : \mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grps}$ the functor that maps a ring to its group of units. The following is a direct consequence from Proposition 2.22, Theorem 4.5, Corollary 4.17 and Corollary 5.4:

Theorem 5.9. *Let P be a commutative, absolute topological ring. The functor $\mathbf{GL}_-(P) := \mathbf{U} \circ \mathbf{M}_-(P)$ is a contravariant functor from the category $\mathbf{prosets}_{\text{fin}}$ to the category of topological groups, $\mathbf{TopGrps}$. This functor maps colimits to limits.*

5.2 The center

For the next result, given $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$, we say that A is **scalar** if $A_{s,s} = A_{t,t}$ for every $t, s \in \Lambda$, and if $t \neq s$ then $A_{t,s} = 0$. We will denote $Z(M_\Lambda(P))$ as the center of the ring of matrices and $Z(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P))$ the center of the group of units of matrices.

Proposition 5.10. *[Bel73, Theorem 1.23] Let Λ be a finite irreducible poset and P a commutative ring. Then $A \in Z(M_\Lambda(P))$ if, and only if, A is scalar.*

Proposition 5.11. *Let Λ be a finite irreducible poset and P a commutative ring such that $\exists p_1, p_2 \in P^*$ with $p_1 - p_2 \in P^*$. Then $A \in Z(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P))$ if, and only if, A is scalar.*

Proof. First we will use induction to prove for the case Λ is a poset. We then use it to generalize for the posets case.

Given that $|\Lambda| = 1$, both results follow trivially, as every element is scalar. If $|\Lambda| = 2$, as Λ is irreducible then $\Lambda = \mathbf{2} <$. Let $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$. Then A can be written as follows.

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & A_{0,1} \\ 0 & A_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume now that $A \in Z(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P))$. Hence, given $B \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ any element, the following equations are true for every $B_{0,0}, B_{0,1}, B_{1,1} \in P$

$$\begin{cases} A_{0,0}B_{0,0} = B_{0,0}A_{0,0} \\ A_{1,1}B_{1,1} = B_{1,1}A_{1,1} \\ B_{0,0}A_{0,1} + B_{0,1}A_{1,1} = A_{0,0}B_{0,1} + A_{0,1}B_{1,1}. \end{cases}$$

By making $B_{0,0} = B_{1,1} = B_{0,1} = 1$ we get $A_{0,0} = A_{1,1}$. On the other hand, by fixing $B_{0,0} = B_{1,1} = 1$ and letting $B_{0,1} \in P$ any element, it follows that $A_{0,0}, A_{1,1} \in P^*$. The third equation also tell us that for every $p_1, p_2 \in P^*$ we have that $A_{0,1}p_1 = A_{0,1}p_2$. Hence $A_{0,1}(p_1 - p_2) = 0$. Using p_1, p_2 from the assumption and using the fact that units cannot be zero divisors, it follows that $A_{0,1} = 0$.

Assume that for every $k \leq n$ it is true that if $|\Lambda| = k$ and Λ is an irreducible poset than the statements are true.

Let $|\Lambda| = n + 1$. Let $s_1 \leq s_2$. If $|[s_1, s_2]| \leq n$, then the result follows on the submatrix with coordinates on $[s_1, s_2]$ by induction. Hence the result is always true when $|\Lambda| \leq n + 1$ and $\Lambda \neq \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1} <$.

If $\Lambda := \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1} <$, by looking at the submatrices indexed by $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ it only remains to show $A_{0,n} = 0$. Given $A \in M_\Lambda(P)$ then, if $AB = BA$ the coordinate $A_{0,n}$ implies that

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} A_{0,i}B_{i,n} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} B_{0,i}A_{i,n}$$

By the induction argument, as $A \in Z(M_\Lambda(P))$ we have that $A_{0,0} = A_{n,n} \in Z(P)$ and for $i \neq j$ and $(i, j) \neq (0, n)$ we have that $A_{i,j} = 0$. Hence the equation is reduced to

$$A_{0,0}B_{0,n} + A_{0,n}B_{n,n} = B_{0,0}A_{0,n} + B_{0,n}A_{n,n} \tag{5}$$

By letting $B_{0,0} = B_{n,n} = B_{0,n} = 1$ it follows that $A_{0,0} = A_{n,n}$. On the other hand, by fixing $B_{0,0} = B_{1,1} = 1$ and letting $B_{0,n} \in P$ any element, it follows that $A_{0,0}, A_{n,n} \in P^*$. The third equation also tell us that for every $p_1, p_2 \in P^*$ we have that $A_{0,n}p_1 = A_{0,n}p_2$. Hence $A_{0,n}(p_1 - p_2) = 0$. Using p_1, p_2 from the assumption and using the fact that units cannot be zero divisors, it follows that $A_{0,n} = 0$.

For the poset case, denote the poset as (Λ, \preceq) . Notice that every scalar element A with coordinates in P^* belongs to $\text{GL}_{(\Lambda, \preceq)}(P)$. It is also the case that for any irreducible partial suborder \leq of \preceq (Definition 2.11), if $A \in Z(\text{GL}_{(\Lambda, \leq)})$ then A is scalar. Hence, A can only belong to the center of $\text{GL}_{(\Lambda, \preceq)}$ if it is scalar. \square

Note that the group part of the result is not true for the case $\Lambda = \mathbf{2} <$ and \mathbb{F}_2 , as $Z(\text{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_2))$ has only scalar matrices but $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$ is an abelian group. This also gives us an example that given P a non-commutative ring, it is not necessarily true that $Z(P) \cap P^* = Z(P^*)$.

Corollary 5.12. *Let Λ be an irreducible poset and P a commutative, absolute topological ring. Then $Z(\text{M}_\Lambda(P)) \cong P$. If there are $p_1, p_2 \in P^*$ such that $p_1 - p_2 \in P^*$ then $Z(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)) \cong P^*$.*

5.3 Building simple topological groups

Definition 5.13. Given Λ a poset and P a ring, define the **almost general linear group** of P in relation to Λ as

$$\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P) := \{A \in \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S} \text{ for some } S \subset \Lambda \text{ finite}\}.$$

In other words, the set of all matrices that accept finitely many non-zero entries without relation in Λ .

Example 5.14. *Let $\Lambda = \mathbf{N}$. Then $A \in \text{aGL}_\mathbf{N}(P)$ if, and only if, $A \in \text{GL}_{\mathbf{N}+\{0, 1, \dots, n\}}(P)$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proposition 5.15. *Let Λ be a poset and P a ring. The group $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P)$ is equal to the direct limit $\varinjlim_{S \subset \Lambda \text{ finite}} \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(P)$.*

Proof. For each S finite subsets of Λ , define $j_S : \text{GL}_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}$ the embedding such that $A_{s_1, s_2} = (j_S(A))_{s_1, s_2}$. If $S_1 \subset S_2$ are subsets of Λ , define $j_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2} : \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S_1} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S_2}$ the embedding such that $A_{s_1, s_2} = (j_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2}(A))_{s_1, s_2}$. These morphisms are such that if $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3$ are finite subsets, then $j_{S_1 \rightarrow S_3} = j_{S_2 \rightarrow S_3} \circ j_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2}$ and $j_{S_1} = j_{S_2} \circ j_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2}$. Hence the direct limit of this system, $\varinjlim \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(P)$ exist. We now need to prove $\varinjlim \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(P) = \text{aGL}_\Lambda(P)$.

For each S finite subset of Λ , define $i_S : \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S} \rightarrow \text{aGL}_\Lambda(P)$ the embedding such that $A_{s_1, s_2} = (i_S(A))_{s_1, s_2}$. Notice that these morphisms are such that the outer triangle of the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{aGL}_\Lambda(P) & & \\
 & & \uparrow i & & \\
 & & \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(P) & & \\
 & \nearrow i_{S_2} & & \nwarrow i_{S_1} & \\
 \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S_2}(P) & & \varinjlim \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(P) & & \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S_1}(P) \\
 \nwarrow j_{S_2} & & \longleftarrow j_{S_1 \rightarrow S_2} & & \nearrow j_{S_1}
 \end{array}$$

Hence, there exists a unique $i : \varinjlim \text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(P) \rightarrow \text{aGL}_\Lambda(P)$. By definition of all the morphisms and of $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P)$ it follows that i is an isomorphism. \square

The following corollary follows directly from the last proposition.

Corollary 5.16. *Let Λ be a poset and P a ring. If Λ is countable, $\Lambda = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is an enumeration then $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{GL}_{\Lambda+\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}}(P)$. If P is an absolute topological ring, $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P)$ is a topological group under the final topology.*

For the next result, we use the following notation:

- Let S be a finite set with cardinality n and F a field. We denote $SL_{\overline{S}}(F) \leq \text{GL}_{\overline{S}}(F)$ the square matrices indexed by S with determinant 1

- Given Λ an irreducible proset and $S \subset \Lambda$ a finite set, define $(\overline{S}, \preceq_+)$ $\subset \Lambda$ the minimal convex subset containing S with order given by all elements being equivalent.

Theorem 5.17. *Let F be a topological field and Λ an infinite, locally finite proset. Then $Z_\Lambda(F) := Z(\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F))$ is the unique largest proper closed normal subgroup of $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$. In particular, $\text{aPGL}_\Lambda(F) := \text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)/Z_\Lambda(F)$ is topologically simple.*

Proof. The group $Z_\Lambda(F)$ is clearly closed and normal in $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$. To complete the proof we will show that if M is a normal subgroup of $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$ is not contained in $Z_\Lambda(F)$, then $M = \text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$. For the proof we will look at the intersection of M with the subgroups of $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$ of the form $\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(F)$, for S a finite subset of Λ , and show all the elements of $\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(F)$ are in M .

Fix such M and let $A \in M$ which is not scalar. Let S be a finite set containing $\{s, t \in \Lambda; A_{s,t} \neq 0 \text{ and } s \not\preceq t\}$. Then A can be seen as an element in $\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(F)$.

Now, let $N_S^{\Lambda+S}(F)$ the normal subgroup of $\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(F)$ as in Notation 5.6. For each $S \subset S' \subset \Lambda$ finite convex subset of $\Lambda + S$, define:

$$M_{S'} := (\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(F) \cap M) / N_{S'}^{\Lambda+S}.$$

That can be seen as a normal subgroup of $\text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$. Notice that as $AN_{S'}^{\Lambda+S}$ is a non-central element in $\text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$, hence $M_{S'}$ is non-central in $\text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$. By Lemma 2.18 we have that $SL_{\overline{S'}}(F) \leq M_{S'}$. We now want to show $M_{S'} = \text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$.

Given $A' \in \text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$, there is B an element of $\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S'}(F)$ such that for

$$B_{i,j} = \begin{cases} A'_{i,j} & \text{if } i, j \in S', \\ 0 & \text{if } i, j \notin S' \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ \text{is an element of } P^* & \text{if } i, j \notin S' \text{ and } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by changing the coordinates (i, i) for $i \notin S'$, B may be chosen with $\overline{BN_{S''}^{\Lambda+S'}}$ is an element $SL_{S''}(F)$ for some finite convex subset S'' of $\Lambda + S'$ that strictly contains $\overline{S'}$.

Let A the fixed non-scalar element in M . Let $A' = AN_{S'}^{\Lambda+S} \in \text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$. Let B be the element in $SL_{S''}(F)$ generated in relation to A' , as seen above. Since $N_{S''} \geq SL_{S''}(F)$ and $\text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$ can be seen as a subgroup of $SL_{S''}(F)$, the previous argument and the fact $A = \overline{BN_{S'}^{\Lambda+S}}$ implies $M_{S'} = \text{GL}_{\overline{S'}}(F)$. Hence, for every $S \subset \Lambda$ finite subset, $\text{GL}_{\Lambda+S}(F) \leq M$. Proposition 5.15 then implies $M = \text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$.

In particular, any nontrivial closed normal subgroup of $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)/Z_\Lambda(F)$ has preimage equal to $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)$ and $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F)/Z_\Lambda(F)$ is topologically simple. \square

Notice that if Λ is a finite proset, then:

$$\text{aGL}_\Lambda(G)/Z_\Lambda(F) = \text{PGL}_{|\Lambda|}(F);$$

the latter group fails to be topologically simple if $|\Lambda| > 2$, because the determinant homomorphism.

One can see that this construction can make simple topological groups of large cardinalities over different fields. Under some conditions the group created can have an interesting topology, for example, if your field is finite with discrete topology, the group generated will be a totally disconnected locally compact group. In general, if the field has some property P on the topology then this simple group will be locally pro- P .

5.4 Matrix groups of finite fields and t.d.l.c.s.c. groups

Corollary 5.18. *Let F a finite field and Λ is an infinite, irreducible, locally finite proset. Then the group $\text{aPGL}_\Lambda(F)$ is a topologically simple, locally elliptic group.*

Proof. Theorem 5.17 implies these groups are simple and Proposition 5.15 implies it is the countable union of profinite, second countable groups. Hence, the group is locally elliptic. \square

If for every $s \in \Lambda$ we have that $\mathcal{N}_1(s)$ is finite we say that Λ is **locally finite**.

Lemma 5.19. *Let Λ be an infinite irreducible proset and P a finite commutative ring with discrete topology. If Λ is locally finite then $QZ(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P))$ is dense in $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$.*

Proof. Assume Λ satisfies the conditions of the lemma. Let S be a finite set of elements of Λ . Let α the minimal convex subset of Λ containing $\cup_{s \in S} \mathcal{N}_1(s)$. As Λ is irreducible, such subset exists. The union is a finite subset of Λ , hence so is α . Define

$$G_S = \{A \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P); \text{ if } t \notin S, t' \in \Lambda \text{ then } A_{t,t} = 1 \text{ and } A_{t,t'} = A_{t',t} = 0\} \leq \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$$

Notice that for every $g \in G_S$ we have that

$$N_\alpha^\Lambda \subset C_{\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)}(g).$$

Hence, under the assumption on Λ and P , $C_{\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)}(g)$ is open. As this is the case for every S finite subset of Λ , we have that:

$$H = \langle \cup_{S \subset \text{finite } \Lambda} G_S \rangle \leq \text{QZ}(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)).$$

It remains to show that $\text{QZ}(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P))$ is dense in $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$. Notice that for every $\beta \in \Gamma(\Lambda)$, the map $\pi_\beta : \text{GL}_\Lambda(P) \rightarrow \text{GL}_\beta(P)$ given by the quotient of $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ under N_β^Λ is such that $\pi_\beta(H) = \pi_\beta(G_\beta) = \text{GL}_\beta(P)$. By the inverse limit property it is then the case $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P) = \overline{H}$. \square

Corollary 5.20. *Let Λ be a locally finite proset and P be a finite commutative ring with discrete topology. If G is a t.d.l.c.s.c. group such that $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P) \leq G$ is an open, compact subgroup, then G is an elementary group with $\xi(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Notice that $\text{QZ}(\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)) \leq \text{QZ}(G)$. Hence $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P) \leq \overline{\text{QZ}(G)}$. By Proposition 2.29 it follows that G is elementary and $\xi(G) \leq 3$. \square

A preordered set Λ is **n-bounded** if for every $s \in \Lambda$, the set $\mathcal{N}_1(s)$ has at most n elements.

Lemma 5.21. *Assume Λ is a partially ordered set and P is a commutative, absolute topological ring. Then the group $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ is residually solvable. If Λ is n -bounded then $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ is solvable and $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(n)} = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Let $s_1 \leq s_2$ be two elements of Λ . We will prove by induction that for every $A, B \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(k)}$, if $[s_1, s_2]$ has less than $k+1$ elements, then

$$[A, B]_{s_1, s_2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_1 = s_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Remark 3.8 it then follows that for every $C \in \overline{[\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(k)}, \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(k)}]} = \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(k+1)}$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$ such that $[s_1, s_2]$ has at most $k+1$ elements, then:

$$C_{s_1, s_2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_1 = s_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the case $k=1$, let $A, B \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ and $s \in \Lambda$. As P is commutative it follows that

$$[A, B]_{s_1, s_1} = A_{s_1, s_1} B_{s_1, s_1} A_{s_1, s_1}^{-1} B_{s_1, s_1}^{-1} = 1,$$

proving the base case.

Assume it is true for all $k \leq m$. Let $k = m+1$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \Lambda$ be such that $[s_1, s_2]$ has $m+1$ elements. Let $A, B \in \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(m)}$. The induction hypothesis implies that for every $s_1 < s_3$ we have $A_{s_1, s_3} = B_{s_1, s_3} = A_{s_1, s_3}^{-1} = B_{s_1, s_3}^{-1} = 0$, for every $s_3 < s_2$ we have $A_{s_3, s_2} = B_{s_3, s_2} = A_{s_3, s_2}^{-1} = B_{s_3, s_2}^{-1} = 0$ and $A_{s_1, s_2} = -A_{s_1, s_2}^{-1}$, $B_{s_1, s_2} = -B_{s_1, s_2}^{-1}$. Hence:

$$[A, B]_{s_1, s_2} = A_{s_1, s_2} + B_{s_1, s_2} - A_{s_1, s_2} - B_{s_1, s_2} = 0,$$

proving the induction. It then follows that if $A \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(n)}$, then $A = 1^\Lambda$, that is, $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ is residually solvable.

Assume now that the partially ordered set is n -bounded. It then follows that for every element A of $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)^{(n)}$, $A = 1^\Lambda$. Hence, for this case, $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P)$ is solvable. \square

With Theorem 2.31 we get the following result:

Corollary 5.22. *Assume Λ is an n -bounded partial ordered set and P is a commutative, profinite, second countable ring. If G is a t.d.l.c.s.c. group such that $\text{GL}_\Lambda(P) \leq G$ is an open, compact subgroup, then G is an elementary group with $\xi(G) < \omega$.*

5.5 Relation to the matrix groups defined in [GRW20]

The next definition and result comes from [GRW20]. There Groenhout, Willis and Reid use the definition of being \mathbb{Z} -like to prove some results on the unit group of these matrices. The following definition and result will translate it to the terms used here.

Definition 5.23. [GRW20, Definition 2.1] Fix a preordered set (Λ, \preceq) . A subset $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ is **strongly convex** if for all $s \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda'$, either $s \succsim t$ for all $t \in \Lambda'$ or else $t \succsim s$ for all $t \in \Lambda'$. A proset (Λ, \preceq) is said to be \mathbb{Z} -like if every finite subset of Λ is contained in a finite strongly convex subset of Λ .

Lemma 5.24. *Let (Λ, \preceq) be a irreducible proset. Then Λ is \mathbb{Z} -like if, and only if, it is locally finite and for every $s \in \Lambda$ we have $\mathcal{N}_1(s) = \Lambda$.*

Proof. Assume Λ is \mathbb{Z} -like. Given $s \neq t \in \Lambda$ it then follows that or $s \preceq t$ or $t \preceq s$, hence $\mathcal{N}_1(s) = \Lambda$ for every $s \in \Lambda$. If there was $s \preceq t$ such that $[s, t]$ is infinite then there would be no strongly convex finite set containing s and t , hence Λ is locally finite.

Assume now Λ is locally finite and for all $s \in \Lambda$ we have $\mathcal{N}_1(s) = \Lambda$. Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in \Lambda$. Under our assumption, without loss of generality we can assume $s_1 \preceq s_2 \preceq \dots \preceq s_n$. Observe that $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} \subset [s_1, s_n]$, and this set is finite by assumption. Let $t \in \Lambda \setminus [s_1, s_n]$. By the assumption it is also true that $t \preceq s_1, s_1 \preceq t \preceq s_n$ or $s_n \preceq t$. Because $t \notin [s_1, s_n]$ it is clear that $s_1 \preceq t \preceq s_n$ is not possible and, for every $s \in [s_1, s_n], s \approx t$. Hence $t \succsim s_1$ or $s_n \succsim t$ and $[s_1, s_n]$ is \mathbb{Z} -like. \square

6 Other questions

6.1 Isomorphism problem for matrix groups

When working with the isomorphism problem for $M_\Lambda(P)$, we use relations between idempotents. As idempotents are not elements of the group, to prove the isomorphism problem for $GL_\Lambda(P)$ it will be necessary to work with other properties of the groups and the ordered sets. It is already known a result that two non-isomorphic prosets can give isomorphic groups. To state such Theorem, given Λ a proset, we define Λ^{op} to be the proset with the same elements as Λ and relation given by $x \preceq_{op} y$ in Λ^{op} if, and only if, $y \preceq x$ in Λ .

Theorem 6.1. [Bel73, Theorem 1.21] *Let Λ be a locally finite proset and P a commutative ring. Then $GL_\Lambda(P) \cong GL_{\Lambda^{op}}(P)$.*

For the case $aGL_\Lambda(P)$, let (Λ, \preceq_1) and (Λ, \preceq_2) be prosets. If there are S_1, \dots, S_n finite subsets of Λ such that $(\Lambda + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i, \preceq_{1+})$ is order isomorphic to $(\Lambda + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i, \preceq_{2+})$, then $aGL_{\Lambda_1}(P) \cong aGL_{\Lambda_2}(P)$. On the other hand, it is still not-known what are the general conditions for them to be isomorphic or not. In the article [GRW20] it is given some conditions for these to be non-isomorphic when $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$, for some $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ locally convex collection of \mathbb{Z} .

6.2 Alternative constructions

The proof of Theorem 5.17 depends on the field F when using Lemma 2.18. By adapting the construction of $aGL_\Lambda(P)$ it might be possible to build an even bigger class of simple topological groups.

Definition 6.2. Let P_1, P_2 be rings such that $P_1 \subset P_2$, that is, P_1 is a subring of P_2 . Given a proset Λ and $A \in aGL_\Lambda(P_2)$, define the singularities of A in relation to P_1 as:

$$S_{P_1}(A) = \{(s_1, s_2); A_{s_1, s_2} \in P_2 \setminus P_1\} \subset \Lambda \times \Lambda.$$

We then define the group $aGL_\Lambda(P_2, P_1)$ as follows:

$$aGL_\Lambda(P_2, P_1) = \{A \in aGL_\Lambda(P_2); S_{P_1}(A) \text{ is finite}\}.$$

In a similar way to what we had for the case $aGL_\Lambda(P)$ it might be possible to prove the following:

Conjecture 6.3. Let P_1, P_2 be rings such that P_1 is a subring of P_2 . Then $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P_2, P_1) = \varinjlim_{S \subset \Lambda \text{ finite}} (\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P_1) + \text{GL}_{\overline{S}}(P_2))$, where \overline{S} is the proset with elements in S and order given by all elements being equivalent.

Conjecture 6.4. Let F be a topological field, R a closed subring of F and Λ an infinite, locally finite proset. Then $Z(\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F, P)) = Z(\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P))$ and it is the unique largest closed subgroup of $\text{aGL}_\Lambda(F, P)$. In particular, $\text{aPGL}_\Lambda(F, P) := \text{aGL}_\Lambda(F, P)/Z(\text{aGL}_\Lambda(P))$ is topologically simple.

Another construction can be made by allowing some coordinates to be from different rings. For example, let $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collection of rings such that P_{n+1} is a P_n -algebra, for all $k \leq n + 1$. Let $s_1 \preceq s_2 \in \Lambda$. Define the length of the interval $[s_1, s_2]$ as

$$l([s_1, s_2]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_1 \sim s_2 \\ n + 1 & \text{if there are } t_0 = s_1 \not\sim t_1 \not\sim t_2 \not\sim \dots \not\sim t_n = s_2 \text{ such that } t_i \preceq t \preceq t_{i+1} \\ & \text{then either } t_i \sim t \text{ or } t \sim t_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

We then can define $M_\Lambda(\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ the set of matrices such that if $l([s_1, s_2]) = n$ then $A_{s_1, s_2} \in P_n$. Multiplication and addition are defined coordinatewise as for the matrices $M_\Lambda(P)$.

The finitary incidence algebras, as defined in [Khr10], might give rise to other interesting examples of topological groups. An extension of the incidence functor to the whole category **prosets**, not just the locally finite ones, might be possible as well.

References

- [AHdR] G Abrams, J Haefner, and Ángel del Río. The recovery question for incidence rings.
- [AHdR99] G Abrams, J Haefner, and Ángel del Río. The isomorphism problem for incidence rings. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 187:201–214, 1999.
- [AHdR02] G Abrams, J Haefner, and Ángel del Río. Corrections and addenda to ‘the isomorphism problem for incidence rings’. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 207:497–506, 2002.
- [Asp59] Edgar Asplund. Inverses of matrices $\{a_{ij}\}$ which satisfy $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j > i + p$. *MATHEMATICA SCANDINAVICA*, 7:57–60, Dec. 1959.
- [Awo10] Steve Awodey. *Category Theory*. Oxford Logic Guides 52. Oxford University Press, 2nd edition, 2010.
- [Bas] Amitabh Basu. Graph Theory Homework Problems - Week III. https://www.ams.jhu.edu/~abasu9/AMS_550-472-672/HW-3-sol.pdf.
- [Bel73] W. Russell Belding. Incidence rings of pre-ordered sets. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 14(4):481 – 509, 1973.
- [Ber68] Michael Bernkopf. A history of infinite matrices: A study of denumerably infinite linear systems as the first step in the history of operators defined on function spaces. *Archive for History of Exact Sciences*, 4(4):308–358, 1968.
- [BM00] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes. Groups acting on trees: From local to global structure. *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 92(1):113–150, Dec 2000.
- [Dic01] L.E. Dickson. *Linear Groups: With an Exposition of the Galois Field Theory*. B.G. Teubner’s Sammlung von Lehrbüchern auf dem Gebiete der Mathematischen Wissenschaften mit Einschluss ihrer Anwendungen. bd. VI. B. G. Teubner, 1901.
- [DvW96] S. Dăscălescu and L. van Wyk. Do isomorphic structural matrix rings have isomorphic graphs? *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 124(5):1385–1391, 1996.
- [Fro85] John Froelich. The isomorphisms problem for incidence rings. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 29:142–152, 1985.
- [GH12] Chander K. Gupta and Waldemar Hołubowski. Commutator subgroup of Vershik–Kerov group. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436(11):4279–4284, 2012.

- [GH15] Chander K. Gupta and Waldemar Hołubowski. Commutator subgroup of Vershik–Kerov group II. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 471:85–95, 2015.
- [GKV14] Vadim Gorin, Sergei Kerov, and Anatoly Vershik. Finite traces and representations of the group of infinite matrices over a finite field. *Advances in Mathematics*, 254:331–395, 2014.
- [GRW20] Peter Groenhout, Colin D Reid, and George A Willis. Topologically simple, totally disconnected, locally compact infinite matrix groups. *Journal of Lie Theory*, 30(4):965–980, 2020.
- [Haa84] Joel K. Haack. Isomorphisms of incidence rings. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 28:676–683, 1984.
- [HLY17] Xin Hou, Shangzhi Li, and Yucheng Yang. Commutator subgroups of Vershik-Kerov groups for infinite symplectic groups. *Scienceasia*, 43:319, 2017.
- [Hol02a] Waldemar Hołubowski. An inverse matrix of an upper triangular matrix can be lower triangular. *Discussiones Mathematicae. General Algebra and Applications*, 22, 01 2002.
- [Hol02b] Waldemar Hołubowski. Parabolic subgroups of Vershik-Kerov’s group. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 130(9):2579–2582, 2002.
- [How] Ralph Howard. Rings, determinants and the Smith normal form. <https://people.math.sc.edu/howard/Classes/700b/notes.pdf>.
- [Igu] Kiyoshi Igusa. Math 101a (Algebra I) notes. https://people.brandeis.edu/~igusa/Math101aF07/Math101a_notesB2.pdf.
- [Khr10] Mykola Khrypchenko. Finitary incidence algebras of quasiorders. *Matematychni Studii*, 34, 01 2010.
- [Lan71] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. SPRINGER-VERLAG, 6 edition, 1971.
- [PSS90] M.M Parmenter, J Schmerl, and E Spiegel. Isomorphic incidence algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 84(2):226–236, 1990.
- [Sta70] Richard P. Stanley. Structure of incidence algebras and their automorphism groups. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 76:1236–1239, 1970.
- [Sta23] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.
- [Tar23] Abolfazl Tarizadeh. Topological rings and their groups of units, 2023.
- [VK98] Anatoly Vershik and S. Kerov. On an infinite-dimensional group over a finite field. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 32, 10 1998.
- [Vos80] Edward R. Voss. On the isomorphism problem for incidence rings. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 24:624–638, 1980.
- [Wes15] Phillip Wesolek. Elementary totally disconnected locally compact groups. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 110(6):1387–1434, 2015.