

More on r -cross t -intersecting families for vector spaces

Tian Yao^{*a}, Dehai Liu^{†b}, and Kaishun Wang^{‡b}

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang 453003, China

^bLaboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education), School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Abstract

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a finite field. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are r -cross t -intersecting families of k -subspaces of V . In this paper, we determine the extremal structure when $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$ is maximum under the condition that $\dim(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$ for each i .

Key words r -cross t -intersecting families; vector spaces; Hilton-Milner theorem.

AMS classification: 05D05, 05A30

1 Introduction

Intersection problems originate from the famous Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [9]. For decades, these problems have attracted widespread attention. We refer readers to [1, 2, 4, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 19, 20, 21] for rich results of sets. Intersection problems are also studied on some other mathematical objects, for example, vector spaces.

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Denote the family of all k -subspaces of V by $\binom{V}{k}$. A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ is called t -intersecting if $\dim(F_1 \cap F_2) \geq t$ for any $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. The Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem for vector spaces [10, 14, 15] shows that each member of a maximum-sized t -intersecting subfamily of $\binom{V}{k}$ contains a fixed t -subspace of V when $n > 2k$. In [3, 6, 17, 18], the Hilton-Milner theorem for vector spaces was proved, providing the structure of the second largest maximal t -intersecting subfamilies of $\binom{V}{k}$.

Let $r \geq 2$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are subfamilies of $\binom{V}{k}$ with $\dim(\bigcap_{i=1}^r F_i) \geq t$ for any $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. We say they are r -cross t -intersecting families. Observe that r -cross t -intersecting families generalize t -intersecting families. Indeed, if $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is t -intersecting. A natural problem is maximizing $\sum_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$. Wang and Zhang [19] completely determined the extremal structure when $r = 2$. Another natural

*E-mail: tyao@hist.edu.cn

†E-mail: liudehai@mail.bnu.edu.cn

‡E-mail: wangks@bnu.edu.cn

problem focuses on $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$. An Erdős-Ko-Rado type theorem [7] states that, for large n , $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$ is maximum implies that $\dim(\bigcap_{i=1}^r \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) = t$. In [7], assuming $r = 2$ and $\dim(\bigcap_{i=1}^2 \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$, the authors also described \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 when $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|$ takes the maximum value.

For $T \in \binom{V}{t}$, $L, M \in \binom{V}{k+1}$ and $Z \in \binom{V}{t+2}$ with $T \subseteq L \cap M$ and $\dim(L \cap M) \geq t+2$, write

$$\mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M) = \left\{ F \in \binom{V}{k} : T \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap L) \geq t+1 \right\} \cup \left\{ F \in \binom{M}{k} : T \not\subseteq F \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2(Z) = \left\{ F \in \binom{V}{k} : \dim(F \cap Z) \geq t+1 \right\}.$$

Observe that if $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$, or $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$, then \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are 2-cross t -intersecting families with $\dim(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$, $i = 1, 2$.

In this paper, we characterize the structure of $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ when $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$ is maximum under the condition that $\dim(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$ for each i .

Theorem 1.1. *Let n, k and t be positive integers with $k \geq t+1$ and $n \geq 2k+2t+5$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ are 2-cross t -intersecting families with $\dim(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$ for each i . If $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|$ takes the maximum value, then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$ for some $T \in \binom{V}{t}$ and $L, M \in \binom{V}{k+1}$ with $T \subseteq L \cap M$ and $\dim(L \cap M) \geq t+2$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ for some $Z \in \binom{V}{t+2}$.

Moreover, if $k > 2t+1$, then (i) holds; if $k \leq 2t+1$, then (ii) holds, or $(k, t) = (3, 1)$ and (i) holds.

Theorem 1.2. *Let n, r, k and t be positive integers with $k-t+1 \geq r \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2k+2t+2r+1$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ are r -cross t -intersecting families with $\dim(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F) < t$ for each i , and $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$ takes the maximum value.*

- (i) If $k > 2t+2r-3$, then $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, M)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) for some $T \in \binom{V}{t+r-2}$ and $M \in \binom{V}{k+1}$ with $T \subseteq M$.
- (ii) If $k \leq 2t+2r-3$, then $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) for some $Z \in \binom{V}{t+r}$.

The first theorem can be seen as a product version of the Hilton-Milner theorem for vector spaces. The second implies the structure of maximum-sized non-trivial r -wise t -intersecting families in [7].

2 Inequalities concerning 2-cross t -intersecting families

In this section, we show some inequalities concerning 2-cross t -intersecting families in preparation for the proof of our main results. The *Gaussian binomial coefficient* is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \prod_{0 \leq i < k} \frac{q^{n-i} - 1}{q^{k-i} - 1}.$$

We begin with a lemma about it, which can be easily checked.

Lemma 2.1. *Let m and i be positive integers with $i < m$. Then*

$$q^{m-i} < \frac{q^m - 1}{q^i - 1} < q^{m-i+1}, \quad q^{i(m-i)} < \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix} < q^{i(m-i+1)}.$$

Let T be a subspace of V and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$. From now on, write $\mathcal{F}_T = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : T \subseteq F\}$, and “cross t -intersecting” instead of “2-cross t -intersecting”. If $k \geq t$ and $\dim(T \cap F) \geq t$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then T is called a t -cover of \mathcal{F} . Define the t -covering number $\tau_t(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} as the minimum dimension of a t -cover of \mathcal{F} . Observe that $\dim(\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F) < t$ if and only if $t + 1 \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{F}) \leq n$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let n, k and t be positive integers with $k \geq t + 1$ and $n \geq 2k + 2t + 5$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ are cross t -intersecting families with $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t + 1$, and \mathcal{B} is the set of all members of \mathcal{F}_2 not containing any $(t + 1)$ -dimensional t -cover of \mathcal{F}_1 . Then*

$$|\mathcal{B}| \leq q^{k-3t-1} \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, and $S \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_2 . Observe that

$$\mathcal{B} \subseteq \bigcup_{U \in \begin{bmatrix} S \\ t \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{B}_U. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $U \in \begin{bmatrix} S \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ with $\mathcal{B}_U \neq \emptyset$. Since $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t + 1$, there exists $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ with $\dim(U \cap F_1) \leq t - 1$. Write $\alpha = \dim(U \cap F_1)$ and $H = U + F_1$. For each $G \in \mathcal{B}_U$, by $\dim(G \cap F_1) \geq t$, we have $\dim(H \cap G) \geq 2t - \alpha \geq t + 1$. Hence

$$\mathcal{B}_U \subseteq \bigcup_{R \in \begin{bmatrix} H \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}, U \subseteq R} \mathcal{B}_R. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $R \in \begin{bmatrix} H \\ t+1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $U \subseteq R$ and $\mathcal{B}_R \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of \mathcal{B} , R is not a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_1 . Then there exists $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ with $\dim(R \cap F_2) \leq t - 1$. Note that F_2 is a t -cover of \mathcal{B}_R . By [7, Lemma 2.4], there exists a $(2t + 1 - \dim(R \cap F_2))$ -subspace R' of V such that

$$|\mathcal{B}_R| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - \dim(R \cap F_2) \\ t - \dim(R \cap F_2) \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{F}_{R'}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{t - \dim(R \cap F_2)} \begin{bmatrix} n - 2t - 1 + \dim(R \cap F_2) \\ k - 2t - 1 + \dim(R \cap F_2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

For integer $a \leq k - 1$, since $n \geq 2k - t + 1$, we have

$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-a \\ k-a \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} n-a-1 \\ k-a-1 \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{q^{n-a} - 1}{q^{k-a} - 1} \geq q^{n-k} \geq q^{k-t+1} \geq \begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $t - \dim(R \cap F_2) \geq 1$. We derive

$$|\mathcal{B}_R| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 2 \\ k - t - 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then by (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{B}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-\alpha \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let S' be a t -cover of \mathcal{F}_1 with dimension $t+1$. We have $\dim(S \cap S') \geq t$ by [7, Lemma 2.7]. Then $\dim(U \cap S') \geq t-1$. Hence

$$t \leq \dim(S' \cap F_1) \leq \dim((S' \cap U) \cap F_1) + \dim S' - \dim(S' \cap U) \leq \alpha + 2,$$

which implies that $\alpha \geq t-2$. Then by $n \geq 2k + 2t + 5$, (2.3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{\begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}} \leq \frac{q^{k-t-1} - 1}{q^{n-t-1} - 1} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq q^{k-3t-1},$$

as desired. \square

For $T \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t \end{bmatrix}$, $L, M \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $Z \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ t+2 \end{bmatrix}$ with $T \subseteq M \cap L$ and $\dim(M \cap L) \geq t+2$, note that $|\mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M)|$ and $|\mathcal{H}_2(Z)|$ are independent of the choice of M, L, T and Z . Write

$$h_1(n, k, t) = |\mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M)|, \quad h_2(n, k, t) = |\mathcal{H}_2(Z)|.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let n, k and t be positive integers with $k \geq t+2$ and $n \geq 2k + 2t + 5$. Then*

$$h_1(n, k, t) > \frac{383}{384} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_2(n, k, t) > \frac{1023}{1024} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. By [17, Lemma 2.4] and $h_2(n, k, t) = \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix} - q \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_1(n, k, t)}{\begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}} &> 1 - \frac{1}{q^{n-2k+t-1}(q^2-1)} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{q^{3t+4}(q^2-1)} \geq \frac{383}{384}, \\ \frac{h_2(n, k, t)}{\begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}} &> 1 - \frac{q^{k-t-1} - 1}{q^{n-t-1} - 1} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{q^{n-k}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{q^{3t+7}} \geq \frac{1023}{1024}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let n, k and t be positive integers with $k \geq t+2$ and $n \geq 2k + 2t + 5$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ are cross t -intersecting families with $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \geq \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) \geq t+1$ and $(\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)) \neq (t+1, t+1)$. Then $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < (h_1(n, k, t))^2$.*

Proof. We first show

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^3 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-2 \\ k-t-2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

If $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t+1$, then $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \geq t+2$. By [7, Lemma 2.5], we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1)-t-2} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \\ k-\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This together with [7, Lemma 2.3 (ii)] produces (2.4). If $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) \geq t+2$, then $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) \geq t+2$. We get

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \prod_{i=1}^2 \begin{bmatrix} \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i) \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i)-t-2} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i) \\ k-\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

from [7, Lemma 2.5]. This together with [7, Lemma 2.3 (ii)] also produces (2.4).

By $n \geq 2k + 2t + 5$, (2.4) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^2} \leq \frac{q^{k-t-1} - 1}{q^{n-t-1} - 1} \begin{bmatrix} t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq q^{2k+2t+4-n} < \frac{383^2}{384^2}.$$

This together with Lemma 2.3 yields the desired result. \square

3 Proof of main results

In this section, we first show Theorem 1.1, and then based on this result prove Theorem 1.2.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We may suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are non-empty cross t -intersecting families of k -subspaces of V with $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq t+1$ for each i , and $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|$ takes the maximum value. Observe that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \geq \max_{i \in \{1,2\}} (h_i(n, k, t))^2. \quad (3.1)$$

We claim that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t+1$. Indeed, if $(\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)) \neq (t+1, t+1)$, then $k \geq t+2$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < (h_1(n, k, t))^2$. This contradicts (3.1).

Denote the set of all t -covers of \mathcal{F}_i with dimension $t+1$ by \mathcal{T}_i for each i . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 : \exists S \in \mathcal{T}_2, S \subseteq F\}, & \mathcal{B}_1 &= \{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 : \forall S \in \mathcal{T}_2, S \not\subseteq F\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= \{F \in \mathcal{F}_2 : \exists S \in \mathcal{T}_1, S \subseteq F\}, & \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{F \in \mathcal{F}_2 : \forall S \in \mathcal{T}_1, S \not\subseteq F\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Observe that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. On the other hand, by [7, Lemma 2.7], \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 are cross t -intersecting, implying that $t \leq \tau_t(\mathcal{T}_i) \leq t+1$ for each i . In the following, we investigate \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 in two cases.

Case 1. $(\tau_t(\mathcal{T}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{T}_2)) \neq (t+1, t+1)$.

If $k = t+1$, then by the maximality of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , we have $\mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$, implying that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{T}_1$. So $\tau_t(\mathcal{T}_1) = \tau_t(\mathcal{T}_2) = t+1$. This contradicts the assumption that $(\tau_t(\mathcal{T}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{T}_2)) \neq (t+1, t+1)$. Consequently $k \geq t+2$.

For $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $A \in \binom{V}{t}$ with $(\mathcal{T}_i)_A \neq \emptyset$, let E be the space spanned by the union of all members of $(\mathcal{T}_i)_A$. For $S_0 \in (\mathcal{T}_i)_A$ and $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus (\mathcal{F}_i)_A$, we have $\dim(S_0 \cap F_0) = t$ and $\dim(A \cap F_0) = t-1$. Then $k+1 = \dim(A + F_0) \leq \dim(S_0 + F_0) = k+1$. We further get

$$A + F_0 = S_0 + F_0 = E + F_0, \quad \dim(A + F_0) = k+1. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore

$$t + 1 \leq \dim E \leq k + 1, \quad |(\mathcal{F}_i)_A| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \dim E - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

Case 1.1. $(\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)) = (t, t)$.

Let M and L be the spaces spanned by the union of all members of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , respectively, and $m = \dim M$, $\ell = \dim L$. By (3.4), we have $m, \ell \in \{t + 1, t + 2, \dots, k + 1\}$.

Suppose $(m, \ell) \neq (k + 1, k + 1)$. We may assume $m \leq k + 1$ and $\ell \leq k$. Since $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t$, by (3.4), we obtain $|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \begin{bmatrix} \ell - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}_1| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This together with (3.2) and Lemma 2.2 produces

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \left(\begin{bmatrix} k - t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we derive

$$|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \left(\begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^2} &\leq \frac{q^{k-t} - 1 + q^{k-3t-1}(q-1)}{q^{k-t+1} - 1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{q^{k-3t-1}(q-1)}{q^{k-t+1} - 1} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \leq \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{9}{8} < \frac{383^2}{384^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 2.3 produces $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < (h_1(n, k, t))^2$, which contradicts (3.1). Therefore $m = \ell = k + 1$.

Suppose that t -subspaces T_1 and T_2 are t -covers of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , respectively. Assume $T_1 \neq T_2$. Observe that $|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq 2$. Then $T_2 \not\subseteq S_1$ for some $S_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Note that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are cross t -intersecting. It is routine to check that $\dim(T_2 \cap S_1) = t - 1$. For $S_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$, since $\dim(S_2 \cap S_1) \geq t$, we have $S_2 \subseteq T_2 + S_1$. Then $k + 1 = \ell \leq \dim(T_2 + S_1) = t + 2$, which contradicts the fact that $k \geq t + 2$. Thus $T_1 = T_2 \in \begin{bmatrix} L \cap M \\ t \end{bmatrix}$.

Let $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_{T_1}$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_2)_{T_1}$. We have $\dim(F_1 \cap M) = \dim(F_2 \cap L) = k$ by (3.3). Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_{T_1} \subseteq \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} M \\ k \end{bmatrix} : T_1 \not\subseteq F \right\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_2)_{T_1} \subseteq \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} L \\ k \end{bmatrix} : T_1 \not\subseteq F \right\}.$$

For $F_3 \in (\mathcal{F}_1)_{T_1}$, by $\dim(F_2 \cap F_3) \geq t$ and $T_1 \not\subseteq F_2 \subseteq L$, we have $\dim(F_3 \cap L) \geq t + 1$. So

$$(\mathcal{F}_1)_{T_1} \subseteq \left\{ F \in \begin{bmatrix} V \\ k \end{bmatrix} : T_1 \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap L) \geq t + 1 \right\}.$$

Similarly, we derive that

$$(\mathcal{F}_2)_{T_1} \subseteq \left\{ F \in \binom{V}{k} : T_1 \subseteq F, \dim(F \cap M) \geq t+1 \right\}.$$

Suppose that $\dim(L \cap M) \leq t+1$. Then $\{F \in \binom{M}{k} : T_1 \not\subseteq F\}$ and $\{F \in \binom{L}{k} : T_1 \not\subseteq F\}$ are not cross t -intersecting. Consequently for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$|\mathcal{F}_i \setminus (\mathcal{F}_i)_{T_1}| < \left| \left\{ F \in \binom{M}{k} : T_1 \not\subseteq F \right\} \right|.$$

Then $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < (h_1(n, k, t))^2$, which contradicts (3.1). So $\dim(L \cap M) \geq t+2$.

Notice that $\mathcal{H}_1(T_1, L, M)$, $\mathcal{H}_1(T_1, M, L)$ are cross t -intersecting and $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1(T_1, L, M)$, $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1(T_1, M, L)$. By the maximality of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(T_1, L, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1(T_1, M, L)$.

Case 1.2. $(\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)) \neq (t, t)$.

W.l.o.g., assume that $(\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)) = (t+1, t)$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{S\}$. Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are cross t -intersecting, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \bigcup_{T \in \binom{S}{t}} (\mathcal{F}_1)_T$. For each $T \in \binom{S}{t}$, we have $|(\mathcal{F}_1)_T| \leq \binom{k-t+1}{1}$ by (3.4). Then $|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \binom{t+1}{1} \binom{k-t+1}{1}$. We further get

$$|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \binom{t+1}{1} \binom{k-t+1}{1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.$$

This together with (3.2) and Lemma 2.2 yields

$$|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \left(\binom{t+1}{1} \binom{k-t+1}{1} + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.$$

Since $|\mathcal{F}_2| = 1$, we have $|\mathcal{A}_1| \leq \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}$. This together with (3.2) and Lemma 2.2 produces

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \left(1 + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{k-t+1}{1}^2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2} &\leq \left(1 + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \left(\frac{q^{t+1} - 1}{q^{k-t+1} - 1} + \frac{q^{k-3t-1}(q-1)^2}{(q^{k-t+1} - 1)^2} \right) \\ &< \left(1 + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{q^{k-2t}} + \frac{1}{q^{k+t+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{k-2t}} + \frac{1}{q^{t+1}} + \frac{1}{q^{k+t+1}} + \frac{1}{q^{4t+2}} \leq \frac{51}{64} < \frac{383^2}{384^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for $k \geq 2t+1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{t+2}{1}^2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2} &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^{t+1}} \right) \left(\frac{(q^{t+1} - 1)^2}{(q^{t+2} - 1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)^2}{q^{t+1}(q^{t+2} - 1)^2} \right) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^{t+1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{1}{q^{3t+3}} \right) \leq \frac{85}{256} < \frac{1023^2}{1024^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for $k \leq 2t$. By (3.5), (3.6) and Lemma 2.3, we get $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < \max_{i \in \{1,2\}} (h_i(n, k, t))^2$. This contradicts (3.1). So $|\mathcal{F}_2| \geq 2$.

Notice that $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2) = t$. Let S_1 and S_2 be members of \mathcal{F}_2 with $\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) = t$. Since $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are cross t -intersecting and $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t + 1$, there exists $U \in \mathcal{F}_1$ with $S_1 \cap S_2 \not\subseteq U$. By $\dim(U \cap S_1) \geq t$ and $\dim(U \cap S_2) \geq t$, we have $\dim(U \cap (S_1 \cap S_2)) = t - 1$ and $U \subseteq S_1 + S_2$. Thus

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_{S_1 \cap S_2} \subseteq \left\{ U \in \begin{bmatrix} S_1 + S_2 \\ t + 1 \end{bmatrix} : S_1 \cap S_2 \not\subseteq U \right\}.$$

Notice that $\dim(S_1 + S_2) = t + 2$. We obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_{S_1 \cap S_2}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} t + 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By (3.4), we have $|(\mathcal{F}_1)_{S_1 \cap S_2}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| = |(\mathcal{F}_1)_{S_1 \cap S_2}| + |\mathcal{F}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{F}_1)_{S_1 \cap S_2}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This together with (3.2) and Lemma 2.2 produces

$$|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \left(\begin{bmatrix} k - t + 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^2 \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $S_3 \in \mathcal{F}_2$, since $S_1 \cap S_2 \subseteq S_3$, $\dim(S_3 \cap U) \geq t$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 \not\subseteq U$, we have $S_3 \subseteq (S_1 \cap S_2) + U$. Observe that $\dim((S_1 \cap S_2) + U) = t + 2$. We further get $|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. This together with (3.2) and Lemma 2.2 yields

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{k-3t-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 and $\frac{q^2-1}{q^{t+2}-1} \leq \frac{q^2-1}{q^3-1} \leq \frac{3}{7}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\begin{bmatrix} k-t+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^2} &\leq \left(\frac{q^2-1}{q^{k-t+1}-1} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{q^2(q^t-1)}{q^{k-t+1}-1} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{q^2-1}{q^{t+3}-1} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{q^2(q^t-1)}{q^{t+3}-1} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{q^{t+1}} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \leq \frac{39}{64} < \frac{383^2}{384^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for $k \geq 2t + 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\begin{bmatrix} t+2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} n-t-1 \\ k-t-1 \end{bmatrix}^2} &\leq \left(\frac{q^2-1}{q^{t+2}-1} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{q^2(q^t-1)}{q^{t+2}-1} + \frac{1}{q^{2t+1}} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{q^2-1}{q^{t+2}-1} + \frac{1}{8} \right) \left(\frac{17}{8} - \frac{q^2-1}{q^{t+2}-1} \right) \leq \frac{2945}{3136} < \frac{1023^2}{1024^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for $k \leq 2t + 1$. By (3.7), (3.8) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < \max_{i \in \{1,2\}} (h_i(n, k, t))^2$. This contradicts (3.1).

Case 2. $(\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1), \tau_t(\mathcal{F}_2)) = (t+1, t+1)$.

Case 2.1. \mathcal{F}_1 is t -intersecting.

By assumption and [5, Remark (ii) in Section 9.3], there exists $Z \in \binom{V}{t+2}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \binom{Z}{t+1}$. If there exists $S \in \mathcal{F}_2$ with $\dim(S \cap Z) \leq t$, then

$$\dim(S' \cap S) = \dim(S' \cap (S \cap Z)) \leq t-1$$

for some $S' \in \mathcal{F}_1$. This contradicts the fact that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are cross t -intersecting. Therefore, each member of \mathcal{F}_2 is also a subspace of Z , i.e., $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \binom{Z}{t+1}$.

If $\dim(H \cap Z) = t$ for some $H \in \mathcal{F}_1$, then each member of \mathcal{F}_1 contains $H \cap Z$. This contradicts $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_1) = t+1$. So $\dim(F \cap Z) \geq t+1$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$. Similarly, we have $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$. Notice that $\mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ is t -intersecting. By the maximality of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$.

Case 2.2. \mathcal{F}_1 is not t -intersecting.

We first show upper bounds of $|\mathcal{F}_1|$ and $|\mathcal{F}_2|$. Let $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ with $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) < t$, and $S \in \mathcal{F}_2$. Note that $\dim(U_i \cap S) \geq t$ for each i . We have

$$t+1 = \dim S \geq \dim(U_1 \cap S) + \dim(U_2 \cap S) - \dim((U_1 \cap U_2) \cap S) \geq 2t - \dim((U_1 \cap U_2) \cap S).$$

Hence

$$t-1 \leq \dim((U_1 \cap U_2) \cap S) \leq \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \leq t-1,$$

which implies that

$$\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = t-1, \quad U_1 \cap U_2 = (U_1 \cap U_2) \cap S \subseteq S.$$

We further obtain $\dim(U_1 \cap S) = \dim(U_2 \cap S) = t$ and $S = (U_1 \cap S) + (U_2 \cap S)$. So

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \left\{ W_1 + W_2 : W_i \in \binom{U_i}{t}, U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq W_i, i = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \binom{(t+1) - (t-1)}{1}^2 = \binom{2}{1}^2.$$

If \mathcal{F}_2 is t -intersecting, then by discussion in Case 2.1, \mathcal{F}_1 is also t -intersecting, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{F}_2 is not t -intersecting. Similarly, we derive $|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \binom{2}{1}^2$.

Assume that $t = 1$ and $|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq 3$. Note that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$. Since \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are cross 1-intersecting, we have $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \binom{U_1+U_2}{2}$. Observe that there exist $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ with $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \binom{Y_1+Y_2}{2} \subseteq \binom{U_1+U_2}{2}$.

Suppose that there exists $U_3 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ with $U_3 \cap U_1 = U_3 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$, and U_i is spanned by vectors α_i and β_i ($i = 1, 2$). Note that $U_3 \in \binom{U_1+U_2}{2}$. Then U_3 is spanned by vectors $a_i\alpha_1 + b_i\beta_1 + c_i\alpha_2 + d_i\beta_2$, where $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ($i = 1, 2$). If $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 = 0$, then $\dim(U_3 \cap U_2) \geq 1$, a contradiction. Thus $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \neq 0$. We may assume $a_1 = b_2 = 1$ and $a_2 = b_1 = 0$. Recall that $S \in \mathcal{F}_2$. Since $\dim(S \cap U_1) = \dim(S \cap U_2) = 1$, S is spanned

by vectors $r_1\alpha_1 + s_1\beta_1$ and $r_2\alpha_2 + s_2\beta_2$ for some $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Note that $\dim(S \cap U_3) \geq 1$. There exists $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that

$$r_1\alpha_1 + s_1\beta_1 + u(r_2\alpha_2 + s_2\beta_2) = r_1(\alpha_1 + c_1\alpha_2 + d_1\beta_2) + s_1(\beta_1 + c_2\alpha_2 + d_2\beta_2) \in S \cap U_3.$$

We further get $r_2 = u^{-1}(r_1c_1 + s_1c_2)$ and $s_2 = u^{-1}(r_1d_1 + s_1d_2)$, which imply that S is spanned by $r_1\alpha_1 + s_1\beta_1$ and $(r_1c_1 + s_1c_2)\alpha_2 + (r_1d_1 + s_1d_2)\beta_2$. Hence

$$|\mathcal{T}_2| \leq |\mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}| = q^2 - 1.$$

Next, w.l.o.g., suppose $\dim(U_4 \cap U_1) = 1$ for some $U_4 \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Note that $\dim(U_1 + U_4) = 3$. This together with $U_4 \subseteq U_1 + U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$ yields $\dim(U_2 \cap (U_1 + U_4)) = 1$. If $U_1 \cap U_4 \not\subseteq S$, then $S \subseteq U_1 + U_4$ and $S = W + (U_2 \cap (U_1 + U_4))$ for some $W \in \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \setminus \{U_1 \cap U_4\}$. Consequently

$$|\mathcal{T}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{T}_2)_{U_1 \cap U_4}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 1 = q.$$

If $U_1 \cap U_4 \subseteq S$, then by $\dim(S \cap U_2) = 1$, we obtain $|(\mathcal{T}_2)_{U_1 \cap U_4}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{T}_2| = |(\mathcal{T}_2)_{U_1 \cap U_4}| + |\mathcal{T}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{T}_2)_{U_1 \cap U_4}| \leq q + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2q + 1.$$

Now we have $|\mathcal{T}_2| \leq \max\{q^2 - 1, 2q + 1\}$. Similarly, since \mathcal{T}_2 is not t -intersecting, when $t = 1$ and $|\mathcal{T}_2| \geq 3$, we have $|\mathcal{T}_1| \leq \max\{q^2 - 1, 2q + 1\}$.

In a word, for each i , we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_i| \leq \begin{cases} \max\{q^2 - 1, 2q + 1\} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2, & \text{if } t = 1 \text{ and } |\mathcal{T}_{3-i}| \geq 3, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

If $k = t + 1$, we have known that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{T}_1$. Then by (3.9),

$$|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \begin{cases} \max\left\{(q^2 - 1)^2, (2q + 1)^2, 2\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2\right\} < (h_2(n, 2, 1))^2, & \text{if } t = 1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^4 < \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \leq \begin{bmatrix} t + 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = (h_2(n, t + 1, t))^2, & \text{if } t \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

This contradicts (3.1). So $k \geq t + 2$.

By (3.2) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq \left(|\mathcal{T}_2| + q^{k-3t-1}\right) \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \left(|\mathcal{T}_1| + q^{k-3t-1}\right) \begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

Assume $k \leq 2t + 1$. Then

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\begin{bmatrix} n - t - 1 \\ k - t - 1 \end{bmatrix}^2} \leq |\mathcal{T}_1||\mathcal{T}_2| + q^{-t}(|\mathcal{T}_1| + |\mathcal{T}_2|) + q^{-2t}.$$

Suppose $t = 1$ and $|\mathcal{F}_1|, |\mathcal{F}_2| \geq 3$. If $q = 2$, then by (3.9) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{(h_2(n, k, 1))^2 - |\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{n-2}{k-2}^2} \geq \frac{1023^2}{1024^2} \binom{3}{1}^2 - 5^2 - 5 - \frac{1}{2^2} > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

If $q \geq 3$, then by (3.9), $n \geq 2k + 2t + 5$, Lemma 2.1 and

$$h_2(n, k, 1) = \binom{3}{1} \binom{n-2}{k-2} - q \binom{2}{1} \binom{n-3}{k-3},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(h_2(n, k, 1))^2 - |\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{n-2}{k-2}^2} &\geq \left(\binom{3}{1} - \frac{q(q^{k-2} - 1) \binom{2}{1}}{q^{n-2} - 1} \right)^2 - (q^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{2(q^2 - 1)}{q} - \frac{1}{q^2} \\ &\geq \left(\binom{3}{1} - \frac{q(q+1)}{q^{10}} \right)^2 - \left(q^2 - 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} \right)^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Suppose $t = 1$ and $|\mathcal{F}_i| \leq 2$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By $\frac{q^2-1}{q^3-1} \leq \frac{3}{7}$, (3.9) and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{3}{1}^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2}^2} \leq \frac{2 \binom{2}{1}^2}{\binom{3}{1}^2} + \frac{2 + \binom{2}{1}^2}{q \binom{3}{1}^2} + \frac{1}{q^2 \binom{3}{1}^2} \leq \frac{18}{49} + \frac{1}{49} + \frac{9}{98} + \frac{1}{196} < \frac{1023^2}{1024^2}. \quad (3.13)$$

Suppose $t \geq 2$, then by (3.9) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{t+2}{1}^2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2} &\leq \left(\frac{\binom{2}{1}^2}{\binom{t+2}{1}} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{q^t} \left(\frac{\binom{2}{1}}{\binom{t+2}{1}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{(q^t \binom{t+2}{1})^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(q^2 - 1)^4}{(q-1)^2 (q^4 - 1)^2} + \frac{2(q^2 - 1)^2}{q^t (q^{t+2} - 1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)^2}{q^{2t} (q^{t+2} - 1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(q+1)^2}{(q^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{q^{3t-1}} + \frac{1}{q^{4t+2}} \leq \frac{10041}{25600} < \frac{1023^2}{1024^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Now assume $k \geq 2t + 2$. Note that $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \binom{t+2}{1}^2$ by (3.9). This together with (3.9), (3.10) and Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2|}{\binom{k-t+1}{1}^2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2} &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| + q^{k-3t-1} (|\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{F}_2|) + q^{2k-6t-2}}{\binom{k-t+1}{1}^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(q^{t+2} - 1)^2}{(q^{t+3} - 1)^2} + \frac{2q^{k-3t-1} (q^2 - 1)^2}{(q^{k-t+1} - 1)^2} + \frac{q^{2k-6t-2} (q-1)^2}{(q^{k-t+1} - 1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{1}{q^{k+t-2}} + \frac{1}{q^{4t+2}} \leq \frac{25}{64} < \frac{383^2}{384^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.11)–(3.15) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{F}_2| < \max_{i \in \{1, 2\}} (h_i(n, k, t))^2$. This contradicts (3.1).

In summary, assuming $k = t + 1$, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ for some $Z \in \binom{V}{t+2}$; assuming $k \geq t + 2$, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$ for some $T \in \binom{V}{t}$

and $L, M \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ with $T \subseteq L \cap M$ and $\dim(L \cap M) \geq t+2$, or $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ for some $Z \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ t+2 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$.

When $k \geq t+2$, by [6, Lemmas 2.6-2.9], we have $(h_1(n, k, t))^2 > (h_2(n, k, t))^2$ if $k > 2t+1$; $(h_1(n, k, t))^2 \leq (h_2(n, k, t))^2$ if $k \leq 2t+1$, and equality holds if and only if $(k, t) = (3, 1)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We may suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_r$ are non-empty r -cross t -intersecting families of k -subspaces of V with $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq t+1$ for each i , and $\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i|$ takes the maximum value.

Let $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Assume that there exists a subspace F_0 of V with $\dim(\bigcap_{j=0}^d F_j) \geq t$ for any $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. For $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$, suppose that there exist $F'_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, F'_m \in \mathcal{F}_m$ such that $\dim F_0 \geq \dim(\bigcap_{j=0}^m F'_j) + m \geq t+m$, where $F'_0 = F_0$. Since $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_{m+1}) \geq t+1$, there exists $F'_{m+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{m+1}$ not containing $\bigcap_{j=0}^m F'_j$, which implies that

$$\dim F_0 \geq \dim \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^m F'_j \right) + m \geq \dim \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m+1} F'_j \right) + 1 + m \geq t + m + 1.$$

Note that $\dim F_0 \geq t$. By induction, we get $\dim F_0 \geq t+d$.

Set $d = r-2$ and $F_0 = A \cap B$, where $A \in \mathcal{F}_{r-1}$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_r$. Then $\dim(A \cap B) \geq t+r-2$. Hence \mathcal{F}_{r-1} and \mathcal{F}_r are cross $(t+r-2)$ -intersecting. We further conclude that \mathcal{F}_i and \mathcal{F}_j are cross $(t+r-2)$ -intersecting for distinct i, j .

If $\tau_{t+r-2}(\mathcal{F}_\ell) = t+r-2$ for some ℓ , then there exists a t -subspace contained in each member of \mathcal{F}_ℓ , a contradiction to $\tau_t(\mathcal{F}_\ell) \geq t+1$. Thus $\tau_{t+r-2}(\mathcal{F}_i) \geq t+r-1$ for each i . For distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, since $k \geq t+r-1$ and $n \geq 2k+2t+2r+1$, by Theorem 1.1, we get

$$|\mathcal{F}_i| |\mathcal{F}_j| \leq \max\{(h_1(n, k, t+r-2))^2, (h_2(n, k, t+r-2))^2\}, \quad (3.16)$$

which implies that

$$\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \max\{(h_1(n, k, t+r-2))^r, (h_2(n, k, t+r-2))^r\}.$$

We may suppose the equality holds. Then the equality in (3.16) holds for distinct i, j .

Suppose $k > 2t+2r-3$. By Theorem 1.1, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(T, L, M)$, then $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$ ($i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r\}$) for some $T \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ t \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $M, L \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ k+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ with $T \subseteq L \cap M$ and $\dim(L \cap M) \geq t+r$. Note that \mathcal{F}_2 and \mathcal{F}_3 are cross $(t+r-2)$ -intersecting. Then $\mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$ is $(t+r-2)$ -intersecting. If $p = \dim(M \cap L) \leq k$, then since $n \geq 2k+2t+2r+1$, there exists $Y \in \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$ with

$$T \subseteq Y, \quad \dim(Y \cap M) = (t+r-2) + (k+1-p), \quad Y \cap L = T.$$

For $Y' \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} L \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ with $T \not\subseteq Y'$, we have $\dim(Y \cap Y') = \dim((Y \cap L) \cap Y') < t+r-2$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{H}_1(T, M, L)$ is $(t+r-2)$ -intersecting. So $M = L$, implying that $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, M)$ for each i . On the other hand, if $k \leq 2t+2r-3$, then by Theorem 1.1, we have $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$ ($i \in \{2, 3, \dots, r\}$) for some $Z \in \left[\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ t+r \end{smallmatrix} \right]$.

To finish our proof, it is sufficient to show that neither $\dim(\bigcap_{i=1}^r A_i)$ nor $\dim(\bigcap_{i=1}^r B_i)$ is less than t for any $A_i \in \mathcal{H}_1(T, M, M)$ and $B_i \in \mathcal{H}_2(Z)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. By [7, Lemma 4.7], the desired result follows.

Remark. Set $d = r - 1$ and $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_r$. Then $k = \dim F_0 \geq t + r - 1$. So $r > k - t + 1$ implies that there does not exist non-empty r -cross t -intersecting families of k -subspaces of V .

Acknowledgment. K. Wang is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2020YFA0712900) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071039, 12131011).

References

- [1] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, The complete nontrivial-intersection theorem for systems of finite sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 76 (1996) 121–138.
- [2] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, The complete intersection theorem for systems of finite sets, *European J. Combin.* 18 (1997) 125–136.
- [3] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, A. Chowdhury, P. Frankl, T. Mussche, B. Patkós and T. Szőnyi, A Hilton-Milner theorem for vector spaces, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (2010) #R71.
- [4] P. Borg, The maximum product of weights of cross-intersecting families, *J. London Math. Soc.* 94 (2016) 993–1018.
- [5] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989.
- [6] M. Cao, B. Lv, K. Wang and S. Zhou, Non-trivial t -intersecting families for vector spaces, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 36 (2022) 1823–1847.
- [7] M. Cao, M. Lu, B. Lv and K. Wang, r -cross t -intersecting families for vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 193 (2023) 105688.
- [8] M. Cao, M. Lu, B. Lv and K. Wang, Nearly extremal non-trivial cross t -intersecting families and r -wise t -intersecting families, *European J. Combin.* 120 (2024) 103958.
- [9] P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2* (12) (1961) 313–320.
- [10] P. Frankl and R. Wilson, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for vector spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 43 (1986) 228–236.
- [11] P. Frankl and J. Wang, A Product Version of the Hilton-Milner Theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 200 (2023) 105791.
- [12] P. Frankl and J. Wang, A Product Version of the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem, *Sci. China Math.* 67 (2024) 455–474.

- [13] A. Hilton and E. Milner, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 18 (1967) 369–384.
- [14] W.N. Hsieh, Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces, *Discrete Math.* 12 (1975) 1–16.
- [15] H. Tanaka, Classification of subsets with minimal width and dual width in Grassmann, bilinear forms and dual polar graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 113 (2006) 903–910.
- [16] N. Tokushige, The eigenvalue method for cross t -intersecting families, *J. Algebr. Comb.* 38 (2013) 653–662.
- [17] Y. Wang, A. Xu and J. Yang, A t -intersecting Hilton-Milner theorem for vector spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 680 (2024) 220–238.
- [18] J. Wang, A. Xu and H. Zhang, A Kruskal-Katona-type theorem for graphs: q -Kneser graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 198 (2023) 105766.
- [19] J. Wang and H. Zhang, Nontrivial independent sets of bipartite graphs and cross-intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 120 (2013) 129–141.
- [20] R. Wilson, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Combinatorica* 4 (1984) 247–257.
- [21] Y. Xi, X. Kong and G. Ge, Multi-part cross-intersecting families, *J. Algebr. Comb.* 59 (2024) 597–620.