

Disjoint Dunford-Pettis-type properties in Banach lattices

Geraldo Botelho*, José Lucas P. Luiz[†] and Vinícius C. C. Miranda[‡]

Abstract

New characterizations of the disjoint Dunford-Pettis property of order p (disjoint DPP_p) are proved and applied to show that a Banach lattice of cotype p has the disjoint DPP_p whenever its dual has this property. The disjoint Dunford-Pettis* property of order p (disjoint DP^*P_p) is thoroughly investigated. Close connections with the positive Schur property of order p , with the disjoint DPP_p , with the p -weak DP^* property and with the positive DP^* property of order p are established. In a final section we study the polynomial versions of the disjoint DPP_p and of the disjoint DP^*P_p .

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, X and Y denote Banach spaces, E and F denote Banach lattices, B_X and S_X denote the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of X , and p is a real number not smaller than 1.

Recall that a subset A of a Banach space X is a Dunford-Pettis set (respectively, a limited set) if every weakly null (respectively, weak* null) sequence in the dual X^* of X converges uniformly to zero on A . A Banach space X has the (classical) Dunford-Pettis property (DPP) if weakly compact subsets of X are Dunford-Pettis (see [1, p. 36]), and X has the Dunford-Pettis* property (DP^*P) if weakly compact subsets of X are limited (see [13, Definition 1.1]). Lattice counterparts of these concepts have been studied recently: a bounded subset A of a Banach lattice E is almost Dunford-Pettis (respectively, almost limited) if every disjoint weakly null (respectively, disjoint weak* null) sequence in E^* converges uniformly to zero on A (see [11], respectively, [15]). The Banach lattice E has the weak Dunford-Pettis property ($wDPP$) if weakly compact subsets of X are almost Dunford-Pettis, and E has the weak Dunford-Pettis* property (wDP^*P) if weakly compact subsets of E are almost limited (see [11, Theorem 2.7] and [15, Definition 3.1], respectively).

*Supported by Fapemig grants PPM-00450-17, RED-00133-21 and APQ-01853-23.

[†]Supported by Fapemig grant APQ-01853-23

[‡]Supported by CNPq Grant 150894/2022-8 and Fapemig grant APQ-01853-23

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B42, 46B40, 46G25, 46M05.

Keywords: Banach lattices, Dunford-Pettis properties, regular homogeneous polynomials.

According to Alikhani [2, Definition 4.1], a Banach lattice E has the disjoint Dunford-Pettis property or order p (disjoint DPP_p), if every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence is Dunford-Pettis. In Section 2 of this paper we continue the study of the disjoint DPP_p . First we give some new characterizations of this property that shall be useful later. Then we show that, unlike the cases of the DPP and of the $wDPP$, it is not true that E has the disjoint DPP_p whenever E^* has this property. In the search for an additional condition under which this implication holds true, in Theorem 2.4 we prove that if E^* has the disjoint DPP_p and E has cotype p , then E has the disjoint DPP_p .

In the same way that the DP^*P is defined by replacing Dunford-Pettis sets in the DPP with limited sets, in Section 3 we introduce the disjoint Dunford-Pettis* property of order p (disjoint DP^*P_p) by making the same replacement in the definition of the disjoint DPP_p . Illustrative examples are given and this new property is compared to several related properties already studied. Denoting the positive Schur property of order p introduced in [34] by SP_p^+ , in particular we see that the following implications are always true and that their converses do not hold in general:

$$SP_p^+ \implies \text{disjoint } DP^*P_p \implies \text{disjoint } DPP_p.$$

Some effort is devoted to find additional conditions under which the converse implications hold. As to the converse of the first implication, the order continuity of the norm does the job (cf. Proposition 3.6), whereas the weak Grothendieck property does the job for the second implication in σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattices (cf. Corollary 3.5). Strong connections of the disjoint DP^*P_p with the p -weak DP^* property from [6] and with the positive DP^* property of order p from [4] are also established.

The interest in studying polynomial versions of geometric and topological properties of Banach spaces has increased in the last two decades. For instance, polynomial versions of the DPP and of the DP^*P can be found in [13, 20, 30, 31]. In the context of Banach lattices, the positive polynomial Schur property was investigated in [8] and polynomial versions of the $wDPP$ and of the wDP^*P appeared in [35]. In Section 4 we investigate the polynomial behavior of the disjoint DPP_p and of the disjoint DP^*P_p . We show that the characterizations of these properties we proved in the previous sections hold true if linear operators/functionals are replaced with homogeneous polynomials. In other words, we prove that the polynomial versions of these properties coincide with themselves.

We refer the reader to [3, 29] for background on Banach lattices, to [19] for Banach space theory, and to [18] for polynomials on Banach spaces.

Whenever we say that a sequence $(x_n)_n$ has a property defined for sets we mean that the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has the property.

We finish this introduction stating some useful and inspiring characterizations of the properties considered above.

- A Banach space X has the DPP if and only if $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every weakly null sequence $(x_n)_n$ in X and every weakly null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in X^* [14, Proposition 2].
- A Banach space X has the DP^*P if and only if $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every weakly null sequence $(x_n)_n$ in X and every weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in X^* . [13, p. 206].
- A Banach lattice E has the $wDPP$ if and only if $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every weakly null sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* [11, Theorem 2.7].

- A Banach lattice E has the wDP^*P if and only if $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every weakly null sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* [15, p. 551].

2 The disjoint Dunford-Pettis property of order p

Extending the linear concept introduced in [34], a (linear or nonlinear) map from a Banach lattice to a Banach space is said to be disjoint p -convergent if it sends disjoint weakly p -summable sequences to norm null sequences. Recent developments can be found in [2, 4, 6, 7]. The positive Schur property of order p (SP_p^+) and the disjoint DPP_p can be characterized by means of disjoint p -convergent operators as follows:

- A Banach lattice has the SP_p^+ if and only if the identity operator is disjoint p -convergent, that is, if disjoint weakly p -summable sequences are norm null [34, Remark 4.7].
- A Banach lattice E has the disjoint DPP_p if and only if every weakly compact operator $T: E \rightarrow c_0$ is disjoint p -convergent [2, Theorem 4.2].

Examples 2.1. (1) It is easy to see that every Banach lattice E with the DPP or with the SP_p^+ has the disjoint DPP_p .

(2) The Banach lattice ℓ_2 does not have the disjoint DPP_2 . Indeed, the sequence $(e_n)_n$ of the canonical unit vectors is disjoint and weakly 2-summable, but $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not a Dunford-Pettis subset of ℓ_2 .

(3) Let $1 < q \leq p$ be given. Since weakly q -summable sequences are weakly p -summable, every Banach lattice with the disjoint DPP_p has the disjoint DPP_q as well. Let us see that the converse is not true. As we saw above, ℓ_2 fails the disjoint DPP_2 , but ℓ_2 has the disjoint DPP_1 by (1) because it has the SP_1^+ (see [34, Example 3.9(ii)]).

(4) The first example of a Banach space with the DPP whose dual fails the DPP was $E = \ell_1(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^n)$ (see [33]). In the Banach lattice context, it was proved in [26] that $E^* = \ell_{\infty}(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^n)$ even fails the $wDPP$. Moreover, it is known that E^* contains a complemented lattice copy of ℓ_2 (see the proof of [26, Proposition 2.4]), hence, by [2, Corollary 4.3(i)], we conclude that E^* fails the DPP_2 .

It was proved in [22, Proposition 5.5] that every Dunford-Pettis disjoint sequence in a Banach lattice is almost Dunford-Pettis. Therefore, E has the disjoint DPP_p if and only if every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E is almost Dunford-Pettis. Next we establish some further characterizations that shall be useful later a couple of times. We include the aforementioned characterization just for the record.

Theorem 2.2. *The following are equivalent for a Banach lattice E .*

- E has the disjoint DPP_p .
- Every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E is almost Dunford-Pettis.
- For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every positive disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every positive weakly null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As mentioned above, (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) follows from [22, Proposition 5.5]. The implications (c) \Rightarrow (d) and (e) \Rightarrow (d) are immediate. The implication (a) \Rightarrow (e) follows from [2, Theorem 4.2]. We just have to check (b) \Rightarrow (c) and (d) \Rightarrow (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a disjoint weakly null sequence in E^* . By the assumption the set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is almost Dunford-Pettis, so

$$|x_n^*(x_n)| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n^*(x_k)| \longrightarrow 0.$$

(d) \Rightarrow (b) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Suppose that A is not an almost Dunford-Pettis set. In this case there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence $(y_k)_k \subset A$ and a disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_k^*)_k \subset E^*$ such that $|x_k^*(|y_k|)| \geq |x_k^*(y_k)| \geq \varepsilon$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since finite sets are compact, hence almost Dunford-Pettis, $\{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ cannot be finite, so we can assume without loss of generality that $(y_k)_k$ is a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$, say $(y_k)_k = (x_{n_k})_k$. However, since $(|x_{n_k}|)_k$ is a positive disjoint weakly null sequence (see [36, Proposition 1.3]), it follows that $|x_k^*(|y_k|)| = |x_k^*(|x_{n_k}|)| \longrightarrow 0$, a contradiction that completes the proof. \square

It is well known that if X^* has the DPP (resp. E^* has the $wDPP$), then X has the DPP (resp. E has the $wDPP$) (see [14, Corollary 3], resp. [10, Corollary 2.11]). In the following example we show that a related result does hold in general for the disjoint DPP_p .

Example 2.3. On the one hand, the Banach lattice ℓ_3 does not have the disjoint $DPP_{3/2}$ because $(e_n)_n$ is a disjoint weakly $\frac{3}{2}$ -summable sequence and the sequence $(e_n^*)_n$ of coordinate functionals is a disjoint and weakly null sequence ℓ_3^* such that $e_n^*(e_n) = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, $\ell_3^* = \ell_{3/2}$ has the disjoint $DPP_{3/2}$ by Example 2.1(1) because it has the $SP_{3/2}$ (see [34, Example 3.9(i)]).

Now it is a natural question to ask what condition should be added to the disjoint DPP_p of E^* in order to ensure that E has the disjoint DPP_p . Recall that a Banach space X has *cotype* $p \geq 2$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)x_k \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $(r_k)_k$ denotes the Rademacher sequence (see [17, p. 217]).

Proposition 2.4. *If E has cotype p and E^* has the disjoint DPP_p , then E has the disjoint DPP_p .*

Proof. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a disjoint weakly null sequence in E^* . Since $\{x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly compact subset of E^* , $(x_n^*)_n$ is a disjoint sequence contained in the solid hull of a weakly compact set. The cotype p of E implies, by the principle of local reflexivity, that E^{**} has cotype p as well (see [17, Corollary 11.9]). From [7, Lemma 2.4], which is a straightforward consequence of the

proof of [21, Lemma 3.4], it follows that $(x_n^*)_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E^* . As the canonical embedding $J: E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is a lattice homomorphism [29, Proposition 1.4.5], $(J(x_n))_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E^{**} , so it is a disjoint weakly null sequence in E^{**} . Therefore, as E^* has the disjoint DPP_p , we get from Theorem 2.2 that $x_n^*(x_n) = J(x_n)(x_n^*) \rightarrow 0$. By applying Theorem 2.2 once again we conclude that E has the disjoint DPP_p . \square

3 The disjoint Dunford-Pettis* property of order p

In Banach spaces, the DP^*P is defined by replacing Dunford-Pettis sets in the definition of the DPP with limited sets. The following definition arises naturally by doing the same with the definition of the disjoint DPP_p property introduced in [2].

Definition 3.1. A Banach lattice E has the *disjoint Dunford-Pettis* property of order p* , in short E has the *disjoint DP^*P_p* , if every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E is limited.

Examples 3.2. (1) It is easy to see that every Banach lattice E with the DP^*P or with the SP_p^+ has the disjoint DP^*P_p .

(2) The Banach lattice ℓ_2 has the SP_1^+ (see [34, Example 3.9(ii)]), and consequently the disjoint DP^*P_1 . However, ℓ_2 fails the DP^*P (see [13, Remark 1.4]).

(3) The Banach lattice ℓ_∞ has the DP^*P (see [9, Remark 3.2(c)]), hence it has the disjoint DP^*P_p for every $1 \leq p < \infty$. However, ℓ_∞ fails the SP_p^+ by Proposition 3.6 because its norm is not order continuous.

(4) Since limited sets are Dunford-Pettis, every Banach lattice E with the disjoint DP^*P_p has the disjoint DPP_p . As to the converse, c_0 is a Banach lattice with the disjoint DPP_p (because c_0 has the DPP), failing the disjoint DP^*P_p . Indeed, $(e_n)_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in c_0 , but $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not a limited set.

(5) At this point it is clear that disjoint DP^*P_p is not inherited by sublattices: as we saw above, c_0 is a sublattice of ℓ_∞ that fails the disjoint DP^*P_p while ℓ_∞ has the disjoint DP^*P_p .

(6) The disjoint DP^*P_p is inherited by complemented sublattices. Let F be a complemented sublattice of the Banach lattice E with the disjoint DP^*P_p . If $(x_n)_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in F , then it is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E , hence $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a limited subset of E . So, given a weak* null sequence $(y_j^*)_j$ in F^* , denoting by P the projection from E onto F , we have $y_j^* \circ P \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ in E^* , hence

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |y_j^*(x_n)| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_j^*(P(x_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a limited subset of F . Thus F has the disjoint DP^*P_p .

From Example 3.2(4) it is a natural question to wonder which condition should be added to the disjoint DPP_p to ensure the disjoint DP^*P_p . And from Example 3.2(1) it is also a natural question to wonder which condition should be added to the disjoint DPP_p to ensure the SP_p^+ . The following characterizations are needed to answer these questions.

Theorem 3.3. *The following are equivalent for a Banach lattice E .*

- (a) E has the disjoint DP^*P_p .
- (b) For any Banach space Y such that B_{Y^*} is sequentially weak* compact, every bounded linear operator $T: E \rightarrow Y$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (c) Every bounded linear operator $T: E \rightarrow c_0$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (d) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Assume by way of contradiction that there exist a Banach space Y such that B_{Y^*} is sequentially weak* compact and a bounded non disjoint p -convergent linear operator $T: E \rightarrow Y$. We can take a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E such that $(T(x_n)_n)_n$ is not norm null in Y . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|T(x_n)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $y_n^* \in S_{Y^*}$ such that $|y_n^*(T(x_n))| \geq \varepsilon$. The sequential weak* compactness of B_{Y^*} gives a subsequence $(y_{n_j}^*)_j$ and $y^* \in Y^*$ such that $y_{n_j}^* \xrightarrow{\omega^*} y^*$ in Y^* . It follows that $T^*(y_{n_j}^* - y^*) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ because T^* is weak*-weak* continuous. We know that $(x_n)_n$ is a limited sequence in E because E has the disjoint DP^*P_p , so

$$|T^*(y_{n_j}^* - y^*)(x_{n_j})| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |T^*(y_{n_j}^* - y^*)(x_k)| \rightarrow 0.$$

But $(x_{n_j})_j$ is, in particular, a weakly null sequence in E , hence $T^*y^*(x_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\varepsilon \leq |y_{n_j}^*(Tx_{n_j})| = |T^*y_{n_j}^*(x_{n_j})| \leq |T^*(y_{n_j}^* - y^*)x_{n_j}| + |T^*y^*(x_{n_j})| \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Just take $Y = c_0$ and use that duals of separable spaces have sequentially weak* compact unit balls.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a weak* null sequence in E^* . By assumption, the operator $T: E \rightarrow c_0$ defined by $T(x) = (x_n^*(x))_n$ for every $x \in E$ is disjoint p -convergent. Therefore,

$$|x_n^*(x_n)| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k^*(x_n)| = \|T(x_n)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E . If $A := \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ was not limited, there would exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence $(x_{n_k})_n \subset A$ and a weak* null sequence $(x_k^*)_k$ in E^* such that $|x_k^*(x_{n_k})| \geq \varepsilon$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts the fact that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p and completes the proof. \square

We can go quite further for σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattices.

Theorem 3.4. *The following are equivalent for a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice E .*

- (a) E has the disjoint DP^*P_p .
- (b) Every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E is almost limited.
- (c) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (d) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every positive disjoint

weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(e) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every positive weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , we have $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) and (c) \Rightarrow (d) are immediate. We skip the easy proofs of (a) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (d).

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a disjoint weak* null sequence in E^* . The set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is almost limited by assumption, so

$$|x_n^*(x_n)| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n^*(x_k)| \rightarrow 0.$$

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a weak* null sequence in E^* . As $(x_n)_n$ is disjoint, there exists a disjoint sequence $(y_n^*)_n$ in E^* such that $|y_n^*| \leq |x_n^*|$ and $y_n^*(x_n)_n = x_n^*(x_n)_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [3, Ex. 22, p. 77]). Since E is σ -Dedekind complete, $x_n^* \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ in E^* and $(y_n^*)_n \subset E^*$ is a disjoint sequence with $|y_n^*| \leq |x_n^*|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get from [15, Lemma 2.2] that $|y_n^*| \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ in E^* . And since $(x_n)_n$ is disjoint and weakly p -summable, $(|x_n|)_n$ is also a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E (see [34, Proposition 2.2]). So, $|y_n^*|(|x_n|) \rightarrow 0$ by assumption, therefore

$$|x_n^*(x_n)| = |y_n^*(x_n)| \leq |y_n^*|(|x_n|) \rightarrow 0,$$

proving that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . □

It is well known that if a Banach space with the Grothendieck property has the DPP , then it has the DP^*P . This holds because Dunford-Pettis sets and limited sets coincide in Banach spaces with the Grothendieck property. The lattice counterpart of the Grothendieck property is the so-called weak Grothendieck property introduced in [28]: a Banach lattice E has the weak Grothendieck property if every disjoint weak* null sequence in E^* is weakly null. It is under this property that the disjoint DPP_p and the disjoint DP^*P_p coincide:

Corollary 3.5. *A σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice has the disjoint DP^*P_p if and only if it has the disjoint DPP_p and the weak Grothendieck property.*

Proof. Let E be a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice with the disjoint DPP_p and the weak Grothendieck property. Given a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E , the disjoint DPP_p gives that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an almost Dunford-Pettis subset of E . In Banach lattices with the weak Grothendieck property, the classes of almost Dunford-Pettis and almost limited sets coincide (see [22, p. 2]), so $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an almost limited subset of E . By Theorem 3.4 we conclude that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . The converse implication was settled in Example 3.2(4). □

It was observed in Example 3.2(4) that c_0 is a Banach lattice with the disjoint DPP_p failing the disjoint DP^*P_p . This does not contradict Corollary 3.5 because c_0 is a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice without the weak Grothendieck property. It is worth noticing that there exist σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattices with the weak Grothendieck

property failing both the disjoint DPP_p and the disjoint DP^*P_p . Take, for instance, $E = \ell_2$ and $p = 2$.

Inspired by [15, Proposition 3.3] we show that it is under an order continuous norm that the disjoint DP^*P_p coincide with the SP_p^+ :

Proposition 3.6. *A Banach lattice E has the SP_p^+ if and only if E has order continuous norm and the disjoint DP^*P_p .*

Proof. Assume first that E has the SP_p^+ . In Example 3.2(1) we saw that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . In order to prove that E has order continuous norm, let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint order bounded sequence in E^+ . So, $(x_n)_n$ is weakly p -summable (see the proof of [7, Lemma 2.2]), hence $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ by assumption. Therefore E has order continuous norm by [29, Theorem 2.4.2].

Suppose now that E has order continuous norm and the disjoint DP^*P_p . If E does not have the SP_p^+ , there exists a positive disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n \subset E$ such that $\|x_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n^* \in E^*$ with $\|x_n^*\| = 1$ such that $x_n^*(x_n) = 1$. Since $(x_n)_n$ is a disjoint sequence, there exists a disjoint sequence $(y_n^*)_n \subset E^*$ such that $|y_n^*| \leq |x_n^*|$ and $y_n^*(x_n) = x_n^*(x_n) = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [3, Ex. 22, p. 77]. As E has order continuous norm, by [29, Corollary 2.4.3] we have $y_n^* \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ in E^* , which contradicts the fact that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . \square

According to [6], a Banach lattice E has the p -weak DP^* property if every weakly p -compact subset of E is almost limited; or, equivalently, for every weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* , it holds $x_n^*(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Bearing in mind the equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) in Theorem 3.4, [6, Theorem 3.8] establishes that a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice E with type $1 < q \leq 2$ has the p -weak DP^* property, $p \geq q^*$, if and only if E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . Next we show that, for $p \geq 2$, the nontrivial type of E can be dropped. In summary, the following result improves upon [6, Theorem 3.8].

Theorem 3.7. *Let E be a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice.*

- (a) *If E has the p -weak DP^* property then E has the disjoint DP^*P_p .*
- (b) *For $p \geq 2$, E has the p -weak DP^* property if and only if E has the disjoint DP^*P_p .*

Proof. (a) If $(x_n)_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence, then $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly p -compact subset of E , hence it almost limited because E has the p -weak DP^* property. By Theorem 3.4 we conclude that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p .

(b) Assume that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p and fails the p -weak DP^* property. In this case there exists a weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and a disjoint weak* null sequence $(x_n^*)_n$ in E^* such that $(x_n^*(x_n))_n$ does not converge to zero. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|x_n^*|(|x_n|) \geq |x_n^*(x_n)| \geq \varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. The σ -Dedekind completeness of E gives $|x_n^*| \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ in E^* by [36, Proposition 1.4]. Put $n_1 = 1$. As $|x_{n_1}^*|(4|x_{n_1}|) \rightarrow 0$, there exists $n_2 > n_1$ such that $|x_{n_2}^*|(4|x_{n_1}|) < 1/2$. Again, since $|x_n^*| \left(4^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 |x_{n_j}| \right) \rightarrow 0$, there exists $n_3 > n_2$ such that $|x_{n_3}^*| \left(4^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 |x_{n_j}| \right) < 1/2^2$.

Inductively we construct a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_{n_{k+1}}^*| \left(4^k \sum_{j=1}^k |x_{n_j}| \right) < \frac{1}{2^k} \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, setting $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |x_{n_k}|$ and

$$u_k = \left(|x_{n_{k+1}}| - 4^k \sum_{j=1}^k |x_{n_j}| - 2^{-k} x \right)^+,$$

we obtain from [3, Lemma 4.35] that $(u_k)_k$ is a positive disjoint sequence such that $0 \leq u_k \leq |x_{n_{k+1}}|$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(x_{n_{k+1}})_k$ is weakly p -summable and $p \geq 2$, we get from [23, Theorem 3.6] that $(u_k)_k$ is weakly p -summable, hence $x_{n_{k+1}}^*(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ because E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . From

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n_{k+1}}^*(u_k)| &\geq |x_{n_{k+1}}^*|(|x_{n_{k+1}}|) - |x_{n_{k+1}}^*| \left(4^k \sum_{j=1}^k |x_{n_j}| \right) - 2^{-k} |x_{n_{k+1}}^*(x)| \\ &\geq \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2^k} - 2^{-k} |x_{n_{k+1}}^*(x)| \end{aligned}$$

for every k , we conclude that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n_{k+1}}^*(u_k)| \geq \varepsilon$, a contradiction that completes the proof. \square

In the recent paper [4], H. Ardakani and K. Amjadi introduced the positive DP^* property of order p considering the positively limited sets introduced in [5]. It was observed before [4, Theorem 3.6] that a Banach lattice E with the property (d) (see [27] for details) has the positive DP^* property of order p if and only if E has the p -weak DP^* . Since σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattices have the property (d), the following is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.7:

Corollary 3.8. *For $p \geq 2$, a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice has the positive DP^* property of order p if and only if it has the disjoint DP^*P_p .*

Combining the corollary above with Theorem 3.4 we get that, for $p \geq 2$, [4, Theorem 3.6] holds for every σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice.

Remark 3.9. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, it is worth mentioning that every σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice with the disjoint DP^*P_p which is weak p -consistent (see [34, Definition 2.4]) has the p -weak DP^* property. This follows by the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.7(b) by using the (definition of the) weak p -consistency of E instead of [23, Theorem 3.6].

4 Polynomial properties

Inspired by the results obtained for polynomial versions of the $wDPP$ and of the wDP^*P in [35], especially [35, Theorems 3.8 and 4.3], we investigate in this section the behavior of the disjoint DPP_p and of the disjoint DP^*P_p when linear operators and linear functionals are replaced with homogeneous polynomials. In particular, polynomial versions of our Theorems 2.2, 3.3 and 3.4 shall be proved.

We begin by recalling the terminology and a few properties concerning regular polynomials and the positive projective symmetric tensor product. Details can be found in [12] and [25].

A k -homogeneous polynomial between Riesz spaces $P: E \rightarrow F$ is positive if its associated symmetric multilinear operator $T_P: E \times \cdots \times E \rightarrow F$ is positive, meaning that $T_P(x_1, \dots, x_k) \geq 0$ for all $x_j \in E_j^+, j = 1, \dots, k$. The difference of two positive k -homogeneous polynomials is called a regular homogeneous polynomial, and the set of all these polynomials is denoted by $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E, F)$. When F is the scalar field we simply write $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. If E and F are Banach lattices with F Dedekind complete, then $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E, F)$ is a Banach lattice with the regular norm $\|P\|_r = \||P|\|$, where $|P|$ denotes the absolute value of the regular polynomial $P: E \rightarrow F$.

For a Banach lattice E , we denote the k -fold positive projective symmetric tensor product of E by $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E$, which is a Banach lattice endowed with the positive projective symmetric tensor norm $\|\cdot\|_{s,|\pi|}$. As usual, we write $\otimes^k x = x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$ for every $x \in E$. For every $P \in \mathcal{P}^r({}^k E, F)$ there exists a unique regular linear operator $P^\otimes: \widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E \rightarrow F$, called the linearization of P , such that $P(x) = P^\otimes(\otimes^k x)$ for every $x \in E$. Moreover, the correspondence

$$P \in \mathcal{P}^r({}^k E, F) \mapsto P^\otimes \in \mathcal{L}^r\left(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E; F\right) \quad (1)$$

is an isometric isomorphism and a lattice homomorphism.

To prove the first theorem of the section we need two lemmas. The first one can be found within the proof of [35, Theorem 3.8].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a positive weakly null sequence in E^* and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $P_n(x) = (x_n^*(x))^k$ for every $x \in E$, that is, $P_n = (x_n^*)^k \in \mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. Then $P_n^\otimes = \otimes^k x_n^*$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(P_n)_n$ is a positive weakly null sequence in $\left(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E\right)^*$.*

The proof of the next lemma is essentially contained in the proof of [18, Proposition 2.34]. We give a short reasoning for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 4.2. *If $(x_n)_n$ is a weakly null Dunford-Pettis sequence in a Banach space X , then $Q(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every homogeneous polynomial $Q: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. The fact that $(x_n)_n$ is a weakly null sequence gives that the result holds for linear functionals, that is, for 1-homogeneous polynomials. Suppose that the result holds for k -homogeneous polynomials and let us prove that it holds for $(k+1)$ -homogeneous polynomials. Let $Q: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a $(k+1)$ -homogeneous polynomial. The map

$$P: X \rightarrow X^*, [P(x)](z) = T_Q(z, x, \cdots, x),$$

is a k -homogeneous polynomial, so $x^{**} \circ P: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a k -homogeneous polynomial for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$. By the induction hypothesis, $x^{**}(P(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$, which implies that $(P(x_n))_n$ is a weakly null sequence in X^* . As $(x_n)_n$ is a Dunford-Pettis sequence, it follows that $Q(x_n) = (P(x_n))(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 4.3. *For a Banach lattice E and a positive integer k , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) E has the disjoint DPP_p .
- (b) For any Banach lattice F with order continuous norm, every positive weakly compact k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow F$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (c) Every positive weakly compact k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow c_0$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (d) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and for every positive weakly null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (e) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and for every positive disjoint weakly null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (f) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and for every disjoint weakly null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The implications (b) \Rightarrow (c), (d) \Rightarrow (e) and (f) \Rightarrow (e) are immediate.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Supposing by way of contradiction that (b) fails, there exist a Banach lattice F with order continuous norm, a positive weakly compact k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow F$ and a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|P(x_n)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. So, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $y_n^* \in S_{F^*}$ such that $|y_n^*(P(x_n))| = \|P(x_n)\| \geq \varepsilon$. Since $P: E \rightarrow F$ is a positive weakly compact polynomial and F has order continuous norm, we obtain from [24, Theorem 4.1] that $P^\otimes: \widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E \rightarrow F$ is a weakly compact linear operator, hence its adjoint $(P^\otimes)^*: F^* \rightarrow \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E\right)^*$ is weakly compact as well. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $(y_{n_j}^*)$ of $(y_n^*)_n$ such that $((P^\otimes)^*(y_{n_j}^*))_j$ is weakly convergent in $\left(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E\right)^*$. By using the identification (1) between $\left(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E\right)^*$ and $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$ such that

$$(y_{n_j}^* \circ P)^\otimes = y_{n_j}^* \circ P^\otimes = (P^\otimes)^*(y_{n_j}^*) \xrightarrow{\omega} Q^\otimes.$$

Applying the identification in the other direction we get that $(y_{n_j}^* \circ P - Q)_j$ is a weakly null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$.

Using the disjoint DPP_p of E , the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is almost Dunford-Pettis, consequently $A := \text{sol}(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ is a solid almost Dunford-Pettis subset of E (see the proof of the theorem in [16, p. 112]). By [32, Theorem 3.4] we have $\sup_{z \in A} |Q_j(z)| \rightarrow 0$ for every disjoint weakly null sequence $(Q_j)_j$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. This implies that $Q_j(z_j) \rightarrow 0$ for every disjoint sequence $(z_j)_j$ in A and every disjoint weakly null sequence $(Q_j)_j$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. From [32, Lemma 3.2] it follows that $Q_j(z_j) \rightarrow 0$ for every disjoint sequence $(z_j)_j$ in A and every weakly null sequence $(Q_j)_j$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. Since $(x_{n_j})_j$ is a disjoint sequence contained in A , we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (y_{n_j}^* \circ P - Q)(x_{n_j}) = 0$. Finally, using that $(x_{n_j})_j$ is, in particular, a

weakly null Dunford-Pettis sequence in E , we get from Lemma 4.2 that $Q(x_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\varepsilon \leq |y_{n_j}^*(P(x_{n_j}))| \leq |(y_{n_j}^* \circ P - Q)(x_{n_j})| + |Q(x_{n_j})| \rightarrow 0,$$

a contradiction that completes the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b).

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(P_n)_n$ be a positive weakly null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. Considering the identification (1) again we have that $(P_n^\otimes)_n$ is a positive weakly null sequence in $(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E)^*$. So, $T(z) = (P_i^\otimes(z))_i$ defines a positive weakly compact operator from $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E$ to c_0 . Hence the positive k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow c_0$ such that $P^\otimes = T$ is a positive weakly compact polynomial by [24, Theorem 4.1]. The assumption gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n) = 0$, from which we get

$$|P_n(x_n)| = |P_n^\otimes(\otimes^k x_n)| \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |P_i^\otimes(\otimes^k x_n)| = \|T(\otimes^k x_n)\| = \|P(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

(e) \Rightarrow (f) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(P_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. By [34, Proposition 2.2] we obtain that $(|x_n|)_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and by [36, Proposition 1.3] we know that $(|P_n|)_n$ is a positive disjoint weakly null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. The assumption gives $|P_n|(|x_n|)$, from which it follows that $|P_n(x_n)| \leq |P_n|(|x_n|) \rightarrow 0$.

(e) \Rightarrow (a) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be positive weakly null sequence in E^* . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, letting $P_n(x) = (x_n^*(x))^k$ for every $x \in E$, we have by Lemma 4.1 that $(P_n^\otimes)_n = (\otimes^k x_n^*)_n$ is a positive weakly null sequence in $(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E)^*$. Using once again that the correspondence (1) is an isometric isomorphism and a lattice homomorphism, $(P_n)_n$ is a positive weakly null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. By [35, Lemma 3.2] there exists a disjoint weakly null sequence $(Q_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$ such that $Q_n(x_n) = P_n(x_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The assumption gives

$$(x_n^*(x_n))^k = P_n(x_n) = Q_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

therefore E has the disjoint DPP_p by Theorem 2.2. \square

In order to establish our last result, recall that a sequence $(P_n)_n$ of scalar-valued regular k -homogeneous polynomials on E is said to be weak* null if $(P_n^\otimes)_n$ is a weak* null sequence in $(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E)^*$, or, equivalently, if $P_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in E$ (see [35, Lemma 4.1]).

Theorem 4.4. *For a σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice E and a positive integer k , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) E has the disjoint DP^*P_p .
- (b) For any Banach lattice F such that B_{F^*} is sequentially weak* compact, every positive k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow F$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (c) Every positive k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow c_0$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (d) Every regular k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow c_0$ is disjoint p -convergent.
- (e) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every weak* null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (f) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every disjoint weak*

null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$.

(g) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every positive disjoint weak* null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$.

(h) For every disjoint weakly p -summable sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E and every positive weak* null sequence $(P_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$, it holds $P_n(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$.

Proof. The implications (b) \Rightarrow (c), (e) \Rightarrow (f), (f) \Rightarrow (g), (e) \Rightarrow (h) and (h) \Rightarrow (g) are immediate.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) The case $k = 1$ follows from Theorem 3.3. Assume that (b) holds for $k > 1$ and let us prove that it holds for $k + 1$. To do so, let $P: E \rightarrow F$ be a positive $(k + 1)$ -homogeneous polynomial and let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E . For each $x \in E$, define $Q_x: E \rightarrow F$ by $Q_x(y) = T_P(|x|, y, \cdot^k, y)$, where $T_P: E^{k+1} \rightarrow F$ denotes the positive symmetric $(k + 1)$ -linear operator associated to P . Thus, Q_x is a positive k -homogeneous polynomial. By [34, Proposition 2.2], $(|x_n|)_n$ is a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence, so the assumption gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_x(|x_n|) = 0$. In particular, using that

$$|T_P(x, x_n, \cdot^k, x_n)| \leq T_P(|x|, |x_n|, \cdot^k, |x_n|),$$

we have that

$$\|T_P(x, x_n, \cdot^k, x_n)\| \leq \|T_P(|x|, |x_n|, \cdot^k, |x_n|)\| = \|Q_x(|x_n|)\| \longrightarrow 0$$

for every $x \in E$. Proceeding as in the proof of [13, Proposition 3.1], we can choose a sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$ in S_{F^*} such that $\varphi_n(P(x_n)) = \|P(x_n)\|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So,

$$T: E \rightarrow c_0, \quad T(x) = (\varphi_i(T_P(x, x_i, \cdot^k, x_i)))_i,$$

is a well defined bounded linear operator. The disjoint DP^*P_p of E , together with Theorem 3.3, yields that T is disjoint p -convergent operator. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n)_n = 0$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|P(x_n)\| = \varphi_n(P(x_n)) = \varphi_n(T_P(x_n, x_n, \cdot^k, x_n)) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\varphi_i(T_P(x_n, x_i, \cdot^k, x_i))| = \|T(x_n)\|_\infty,$$

therefore $P(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Given a regular k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow c_0$, we may write $P = P^+ - P^-$, where $P^+, P^-: E \rightarrow c_0$ are positive k -homogeneous polynomials. Applying (c) for P^+ and P^- one obtains (d) easily.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(P_n)_n$ be a weak* null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. Defining $P(x) = (P_i(x))_i$ for every $x \in E$ we obtain a well defined regular k -homogeneous polynomial $P: E \rightarrow c_0$. By assumption we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n) = 0$. Thus,

$$\|P_n(x_n)\| \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |P_i(x_n)| = \|P(x_n)\|_\infty \longrightarrow 0.$$

(g) \Rightarrow (a) Let $(x_n)_n$ be a disjoint weakly p -summable sequence in E and let $(x_n^*)_n$ be a positive weak* null sequence in E^* . On the one hand, letting $P_n(x) = (x_n^*(x))^k$ for every $x \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $(P_n^\otimes)_n = (\otimes^k x_n^*)_n$ is a positive weak* null sequence

in $(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E)^*$. On the other hand, $(\otimes^k x_n)_n$ is a disjoint sequence in $\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E$ because $(x_n)_n$ is disjoint, and by [3, Ex. 22, p. 77] there exists a positive disjoint sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$ in $(\widehat{\otimes}_{s,|\pi|}^k E)^*$ such that $\varphi_n \leq P_n^\otimes$ and $\varphi_n(\otimes^k x_n) = P_n^\otimes(\otimes^k x_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denoting by $Q_n: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the positive k -homogeneous polynomial whose linearization is φ_n , we get that $(Q_n)_n$ is a positive disjoint weak* null sequence in $\mathcal{P}^r({}^k E)$. By assumption,

$$(x_n^*(x_n))^k = P_n(x_n) = P_n^\otimes(\otimes^k x_n) = \varphi_n(\otimes^k x_n) = Q_n(x_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 3.4 we conclude that E has the disjoint DP^*P_p . \square

Remark 4.5. (i) The assumption of E being σ -Dedekind complete in Theorem 4.4 was used only to prove (g) \Rightarrow (a). All other implications hold for every Banach lattice.
(ii) Theorem 4.3(a) and Theorem 4.4(a) make clear that each of the other conditions of both theorems holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if it holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

References

- [1] K. T. Andrews, *Dunford-Pettis sets in the space of Bochner integrable functions*, Math. Ann. 241 (1979), 35-41.
- [2] M. Alikhani, *Some characterizations of almost p -convergent operators and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 526 (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 127316, 17 pp.
- [3] C. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [4] H. Ardakani, K. Amjadi, *On the positively limited p -Schur property in Banach lattices*, Ann. Funct. Anal. 14 (2023), no.4, Paper No. 68, 14 pp.
- [5] H. Ardakani, J. X. Chen, *Positively limited sets in Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 526 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 127220, 12 pp.
- [6] H. Ardakani, Kh. Taghavinejad, *The strong limited p -Schur property in Banach lattices*, Oper. Matrices 16 (2022), no. 3, 811-825.
- [7] G. Botelho, L. A. Garcia, V. C. C. Miranda, *Disjoint p -convergent operators and their adjoints*, arXiv:2309.03775v2, 2023.
- [8] G. Botelho, J. L. P. Luiz, *The positive polynomial Schur property in Banach lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (2021), no. 5, 2147–2160.
- [9] J. Borwein, M. Fabian and J. Vanderwerff, *Characterizations of Banach spaces via convex and other locally Lipschitz functions*, Acta Math. Vietnam. 22 (1997), 53-69.
- [10] K. Bouras and M. Moussa, *Banach lattices with weak Dunford-Pettis property*, Inter. J. Inf. Math. Sci. 6 (3) (2010), 203-207.
- [11] K. Bouras, *Almost Dunford-Pettis sets in Banach lattices*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 62 (2013), no. 2, 227–236.
- [12] Q. Bu and G. Buskes, *Polynomials on Banach lattices and positive tensor products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 388 (2012), 845–862.
- [13] H. Carrión, P. Galindo and M. L. Lourenço, *A stronger Dunford-Pettis property*, Studia Math. 184 (2008), no. 3, 205–216.

- [14] J. M. F. Castillo, M. González, *On the Dunford-Pettis property in Banach spaces*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. 35 (1994), no. 2, 5-12.
- [15] J. X. Chen, Z. L. Chen, G. X. Ji, *Almost limited sets in Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 412 (2014), no. 1, 547–553.
- [16] J. X. Chen, L. Li, *On a question of Bouras concerning weak compactness of almost Dunford-Pettis sets*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 92 (2015), no. 1, 111–114.
- [17] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 43, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1995).
- [18] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, London, 1999.
- [19] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos and V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory. The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [20] J. Farmer, W. B. Johnson, *Polynomial Schur and polynomial Dunford–Pettis properties*, Banach Spaces (Mérida, 1992), 95-105, Contemp. Math., 144, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [21] J. H. Fourie and E. D. Zeekoei, *On weak-star p -convergent operators*, Quaest. Math. 40 (2017), no. 5, 563-579.
- [22] P. Galindo, V. C. C. Miranda, *Grothendieck-type subsets of Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 506 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 125570, 14 pp.
- [23] P. Galindo, V. C. C. Miranda, *Some properties of p -limited sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/16573>.
- [24] Y. Li, Q. Bu, *Majorization for compact and weakly compact polynomials on Banach lattices*, Positivity and Noncommutative Analysis, 339-348, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham [2019].
- [25] J. Loane, *Polynomials on Riesz Spaces*, Doctoral Thesis, National University of Ireland, Galway (2007).
- [26] M. L. Lourenço, V. C. C. Miranda, *A note on the Banach lattice $c_0(\ell_2^n)$ and its dual*, Carpathian Math. Publ. 15 (2023), no. 1, 270-277.
- [27] M. L. Lourenço, V. C. C. Miranda, *The Property (D) and the almost limited completely continuous operators*, Anal. Math. 49 (2023), no. 1, 270–277.
- [28] N. Machrafi, K. El Fahri, M. Moussa, B. Altin, *A note on weak almost limited operators*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 48 (2019), no. 3, 759-770.
- [29] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [30] A. Pełczyński, *On weakly compact polynomial operators on B -spaces with Dunford–Pettis property*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 11 (1963), 371–378.
- [31] R. A. Ryan, *Dunford–Pettis properties*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), no. 5, 373–379.
- [32] Z. Shi, Y. Wang, Q. Bu, *Polynomial versions of almost Dunford-Pettis sets and almost limited sets in Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 485 (2020), no. 2, 123834, 9 pp.
- [33] C. Stegall, *Duals of certain spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1972) 799.

- [34] E. D. Zeekoei, J. H. Fourie, *On p -convergent operators on Banach lattices*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 34 (2018), n0.5, 873–890.
- [35] Y. Wang, Z. Shi, Q. Bu, *Polynomial versions of weak Dunford–Pettis properties in Banach lattices*, Positivity 25 (2021), no. 5, 1685-1698.
- [36] W. Wnuk, *On the dual positive Schur property in Banach lattices*, Positivity 17 (2013), 759-773.

G. Botelho and V. C. C. Miranda
Faculdade de Matemática
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia
38.400-902 – Uberlândia – Brazil
e-mails: botelho@ufu.br, colferaiv@gmail.com

J. L. P. Luiz
Instituto Federal do Norte de Minas Gerais
Campus de Araçuaí
39.600-000 – Araçuaí – Brazil
e-mail: lucasvt09@hotmail.com