

A smooth version of Landau's explicit formula

Eugenio P. Balanzario^{*†}, Daniel Eduardo Cárdenas Romero[†],
and Richar Chacón Serna[†]

[†]*Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 61-3 (Xangari), Morelia Michoacán, México*

November 9, 2023

Abstract

We present a smooth version of Landau's explicit formula for the von Mangoldt arithmetical function. Assuming the validity of the Riemann hypothesis, we show that in order to determine whether a natural number μ is a prime number, it is sufficient to know the location of a number of non trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function of order $\mu \log^{\frac{3}{2}} \mu$. Next we use Heisenberg's inequality to support the conjecture that this number of zeros cannot be essentially diminished.

Keywords: Prime numbers, Riemann zeta function, Explicit formulas, Heisenberg's inequality.

MathSciNet classification: 11N37.

^{*}ebg@matmor.unam.mx

1 Introduction

In 1895 von Mangoldt [15] gave a rigorous proof of following explicit formula first conjectured by Riemann,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2} \right). \quad (1)$$

In this formula, $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt arithmetical function and the sum over the non trivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is understood in the Cauchy principal value sense (see [4]). The explicit formula allows us to translate information about the Riemann zeta zeros into information about the distribution of prime numbers and it is regarded as an important result in the analytic theory of numbers.

It is the aim of this note to consider the following explicit formula for the von Mangoldt function $\Lambda(n)$ and expose some of its consequences.

Theorem 1. *For positive numbers t , α and λ , such that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$, let*

$$w_{\alpha,\lambda}(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t}{\lambda} \right\}.$$

Let $\mu = \alpha\lambda$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) w_{\alpha,\frac{\mu}{\alpha}}(n) = 1 - \sum_{\rho} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \rho - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha} \right)^{\rho-1} - R(\mu, \alpha) \quad (2)$$

where

$$R(\mu, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha/\mu)^{2j+1}}{(\alpha-1)_{2j+1}} - \frac{(\alpha/\mu)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu} \right)^j \frac{\zeta'(1-\alpha-j)}{\zeta(1-\alpha-j)}.$$

Here, $(x)_n$ is the falling factorial.

Formula (2) differs from formula (1) in that a weight function is introduced in the sum over $\Lambda(n)$. This weight function $w_{\alpha,\lambda}(t)$ is actually the probability density function of a gamma random variable with mean value $\mu = \alpha\lambda$ and variance $\sigma^2 = \alpha\lambda^2$. In case that $\alpha = 1$, we have that $w_{\alpha,\lambda}(t)$

reduces to a weight function that has been used extensively in the analytic theory of numbers. By allowing that $\alpha > 1$, we will be able to locate the probability unit mass given by $w_{\alpha,\lambda}(t)$ at any preassigned point μ of the positive real line. Furthermore, with α and λ as two free parameters, we will be able not only to place the bulk of the probability mass at μ , but also to control how much this probability mass is concentrated around this point of our interest.

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then $w_{\alpha,\lambda}(t)$ can be considered as the density function of the sum of α independent exponentially distributed random variables. Thus, if α is large, it follows from the central limit theorem that $w_{\alpha,\lambda}(t)$ is approximately a bell shaped function. This observation explains the given expression for $S(\mu)$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *Assume the Riemann hypothesis. Let be given $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\sigma, \eta > 0$ and $\theta > 1$ be fixed numbers. Let*

$$S(\mu) = \sum_{|j| \leq \eta\sigma} \frac{\mu}{\mu + j} \Lambda(\mu + j) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{j}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Let $\alpha = (\mu/\sigma)^2$ and $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) = \Gamma(\alpha - 1/2 + i\gamma)/\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then, as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{S(\mu)}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sigma} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \mu\theta/\sigma} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\mu} \right)^{i\gamma} - R(\mu, \alpha) + O\left(\frac{\log \mu}{\eta e^{\frac{1}{2}\eta^2}} + \frac{\mu^{\frac{3}{2}} \log \mu}{\theta e^{\frac{1}{2}\theta^2}} \right). \quad (4)$$

For the enunciation of theorem 2 we have assumed the validity of the Riemann hypothesis and it will be convenient to assume it for the remainder of this note without further notice.

When the sum $S(\mu)$ in equation (3) involves only one term (corresponding to $j = 0$), then theorem 2 can be considered as a smooth version of the explicit formula of Landau [10],

$$\Lambda(x) = -\frac{2\pi}{T} \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^\rho + R \quad \text{where} \quad R \ll \frac{\log T}{T}.$$

Landau's explicit formula has received due attention by number theorists ever since its publication. In particular, Gonek [6], obtained a bound, uniform in x and T , for the error term,

$$R \ll \frac{x \log(2Tx) \log \log(3x)}{T}.$$

Smooth versions of Landau's explicit formula also exist in the literature. For example ([12], page 410)

$$\frac{1}{a\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2a^2} \log^2 \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right\} = K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 \quad (5)$$

where

$$K_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}a^2} x, \quad K_2 = - \sum_{\rho} e^{\frac{1}{2}a^2 \rho^2} x^{\rho}, \quad K_3 = \sum_{0 < k < \log(x)/2a^2} \frac{e^{2a^2 k^2}}{x^{2k}},$$

$$K_4 = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2a^2} \log^2 x \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left(-\frac{\log x}{a^2} + it \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}a^2 t^2} dt.$$

Here we have that the sum on the left hand side of equation (5) is a sum over the von Mangoldt function weighted with a function which in a neighborhood of x is bell shaped (this follows by considering the Taylor series expansion of $\log^2(x)$). On the other hand, the sum K_2 is a sum over the zeros of the Riemann zeta function with a weight function which is also bell shaped.

Formula (4) is similar to formula (5) because on both sides of the equation we have bell shaped weight functions. That this is the case for the right hand side of equation (4) is because of the fact that, when α is large, then $\tilde{w}_{\alpha}(\gamma)$ is approximately bell shaped for $|\gamma| \leq \theta\sqrt{\alpha}$. In fact, in the forthcoming lemma 3 we show that as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma \ll \sqrt{\alpha}$, we have

$$|\tilde{w}_{\alpha}(\gamma)| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \gamma^2 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

See [2] for a more recent example of smooth versions of Landau's explicit formula with bell shaped weight functions on both sides of the equation. Among other authors who have contributed to the understanding of Landau's explicit formula are Fujii [5] and Kaczorowski [9]. It is also interesting to note that in his research on the difference of $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$, Lehman [11] also worked with a bell shaped weight function.

2 Numerical computations

In this section we expose some consequences of theorem 2. Note that in equations (3) and (4), the terms η and θ determine how many “standard deviations” are to be taken into account when we numerically compute the sums with bell shaped weight functions. However, the term involving θ within Landau’s symbol in formula (4) is larger than the term involving η . Thus, it is this term involving θ , the one that will determine how many addends are to be taken into account in the sum over $|\gamma|$ in formula (4).

As a consequence of theorem 2, we have that by a comparison between the numerical values of $\log \mu$ and $S(\mu)$, as computed by the right hand side of equation (4), we can decide whether a natural number μ is a prime number.

Corollary 1. *Let $\sigma = 1/2$. Let*

$$B = \sigma\sqrt{2\pi}\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\sigma} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \mu\theta/\sigma} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\mu}\right)^{i\gamma} - R(\mu, \alpha)\right).$$

There exist a constant K such that if $\theta \geq K\sqrt{\log \mu}$, then, for all sufficiently large μ , if $B \geq (41/50) \log \mu$ then μ is a prime number.

Proof. Let η and be such that $O(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2}) < 1/50$. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{\log \mu} \sum_{1 < |j| \leq \eta/2} \frac{\mu}{\mu + j} \Lambda(\mu + j) e^{-2j^2} \leq \frac{\log(\mu + \eta)}{\log \mu} \frac{\mu}{\mu - \eta} 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2j^2} < \frac{7}{25}$$

whenever μ is sufficiently large. Thus, we have

$$\frac{\Lambda(\mu)}{\log \mu} = \frac{B}{\log \mu} + E + O(\mu^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2}) \quad \text{with} \quad |E| < \frac{7}{25} + \frac{1}{50}.$$

The O term in the above equation is smaller than $1/50$ if $\theta \geq K\sqrt{\log \mu}$ for some constant K . Therefore we have

$$\left| \frac{\Lambda(\mu)}{\log \mu} - \frac{B}{\log \mu} \right| \leq \frac{8}{25}.$$

Hence, if $B/\log \mu > 41/50$, then $\Lambda(\mu)/\log \mu > 1/2$ and therefore μ is a prime number. \square

It follows from corollary 1 that an order of $\mu \log^{\frac{3}{2}} \mu$ zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are needed to determine whether a natural number is prime. This last assertion is a consequence of the well known fact that the zero counting function $N(T) = \text{Card}\{\gamma \in (0, T) : \zeta(\beta + i\gamma) = 0\}$ is such that

$$N(T) \sim \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} \quad (7)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, ([3], page, 36). Furthermore, by setting $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2\log 2}$, one can also show that in order to determine whether a natural number μ is such that $2\mu - 1$ and $2\mu + 1$ are both prime numbers, the same number of zeros are sufficient as when determining whether 2μ is prime.

In table 1 we show the values of quantity B as described in corollary 1 for distinct prime numbers μ and by setting $\eta = 3$ and $\theta = K\sqrt{\log \mu}$ for selected values of the constant K . We see from these numerical computations that a rather small value of K is sufficient to determine whether μ is a prime number.

μ	$K = 0.5$	$K = 1.0$	$K = 1.5$	$K = 2.0$	$S(\mu)$
12 553	7.83004	9.40828	9.43766	9.43772	9.43771
22 307	9.22783	10.0031	10.0127	10.0127	10.0127
48 611	9.8514	10.7817	10.7919	10.7919	10.7916

Table 1: This table illustrates the performance of the computation scheme of corollary 1 for distinct values of the constant K .

Now we might ask whether a lesser number of zeros are sufficient to determine when of natural number is a prime number. In order to address this question, we recall that the variance of a probability distribution is a measure of how much concentrated is the probability mass around its mean value. Another such measure is given by the dispersion $D[f]$ of a function

(not necessarily a probability density function) defined by

$$\mathcal{D}[f] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - \bar{x})^2 \frac{|f(x)|^2}{\|f\|_2^2} dx \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{|f(x)|^2}{\|f\|_2^2} dx$$

when $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For such an $f(x)$, we will denote its Fourier transform by $f^{\mathcal{F}}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i t x} dx$. Heisenberg's inequality states that if $\mathcal{D}[f]$ is small, then $\mathcal{D}[f^{\mathcal{F}}]$ must be large. More exactly, we have that

$$\mathcal{D}[f] \cdot \mathcal{D}[f^{\mathcal{F}}] \geq \frac{1}{16\pi^2}, \quad (8)$$

and this inequality holds as an equality only in case that $f(x) = c e^{-kx^2}$ for constants $k > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [7], page 188).

In section 5 we will use Heisenberg's inequality to prove the following theorem, which is not negligible because, while $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)$ is related to the Fourier transform of $w_{\alpha,\gamma}(t)$, it is not equal to it.

Theorem 3. *For the dispersion of \tilde{w}_α we have, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathcal{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha] \geq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right)\right\}.$$

Also in section 5 we prove that

$$\mathcal{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha] = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}. \quad (9)$$

It then follows that, asymptotically, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the dispersion $\mathcal{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha]$ is as small as possible. Thus, given a fixed μ and a fixed number of terms in the sum for $S(\mu)$, then it is natural to conjecture that the sum over γ on the right hand side of formula (4) is essentially as short as it can be.

Besides the cases $\sigma = 1/2$ and $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{2\log 2}$ considered above, other choices for σ are interesting to consider. In figure 1 we show the graph of $S(\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}$ for $\mu \in (4000, 7030)$ and with $\sigma = 25.5$. For the production of this graph, we used the right hand side of equation (4) and the list of the Riemann zeta zeros computed by Odlyzko [14]. Also in figure 1, a vertical line of height proportional to k^{-1} is placed at each number of the form p^k with p




Figure 1: The graph of $S(\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}$ with $\sigma = 25.5$. A vertical line of height k^{-1} is placed at each number of the form p^k with p prime and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

prime and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. These vertical lines allow us to identify spots along the real line where prime numbers are abundant and spots where prime numbers are relatively scarce. It is interesting to note the agreement between the graph of $S(\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}$ and the distribution of these vertical lines. Whenever primes are more abundant than one would expect on average, then $S(\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}$ assumes values greater than 1, which is the leading term on the right hand side of equation (4). It follows from these considerations, that it is interesting to address the question of the amplitude and number, in a given interval, of the deviations from the leading term on the right hand side of formula (4). We look forward to address these questions as a further research project.

We finish this section by noticing that the condition $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ in theorem 1 is included in order that the first term in the definition of $R(\mu, \alpha)$ does not have a singular term. It turns out that the term $R(\mu, \alpha)$ contributes negligibly to the numerical computation of $S(\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}$ and can therefore be ignored without detriment.

3 Proof of theorem 1

In this section we assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha - 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. For $x > 0$ as a dummy variable, we let

$$h(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) w_{\alpha, \lambda}(xn).$$

Let $\hat{h}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} h(x) x^{s-1} dx$ be the Mellin transform of $h(x)$. Because of the operational properties of the Mellin transform, it is easy to see that $\hat{h}(s)$ is the product of the Dirichlet series of $\Lambda(n)$ and the Mellin transform of $w(x)$, that is to say,

$$\hat{h}(s) = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \hat{w}_{\alpha, \lambda}(s) = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \lambda^{s-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + s - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

From Perron inversion formula, we have

$$h(1) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-iT}^{2+iT} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + s - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{s-1} ds.$$

Now we recall that there exist a sequence of numbers T_j , with $j \geq 2$ such that $j < T_j < j + 1$ and

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'(\sigma + iT_j)}{\zeta(\sigma + iT_j)} \right| \ll \log(T_j)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad -1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$$

([8], page 71). Also, in the region obtained by removing from the half plane $\sigma \leq -1$ the interior of the circles of radius $1/2$ with centers at $-2j$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'(x)}{\zeta(s)} \right| \ll \log(|s| + 1)$$

([8], page 73). Given $\lambda = \mu/\alpha$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k > -(3/\pi) \log \lambda$. Let $q_j = -2j - 1$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_4$ be the contour of integration defined by

- L_1 : the line segment going from $2 - iT_{kj}$ to $2 + iT_{kj}$,
- L_2 : the line segment going from $2 + iT_{kj}$ to $-q_j + iT_{kj}$,
- L_3 : the line segment going from $-q_j + iT_{kj}$ to $-q_j - iT_j$,
- L_4 : the line segment going from $-q_j - iT_{kj}$ to $2 - iT_{kj}$.

From the Cauchy theory of residues $h(1)$ is equal to

$$1 - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_{kj}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \rho - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{\rho-1} + J_1 + J_2 + J_3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= - \sum_{2j \leq T_{kj}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 2j - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{-2j-1} = - \sum_{2j \leq q_j} \prod_{k=1}^{2j+1} \frac{\alpha/\mu}{\alpha - k}, \\ J_2 &= \frac{(\alpha/\mu)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^j \frac{\zeta'(1 - \alpha - j)}{\zeta(1 - \alpha - j)}, \\ J_3 &= \sum_{j=2}^4 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{-1}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{L_j} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \Gamma(\alpha + s - 1) \lambda^s ds. \end{aligned}$$

The integrals over L_2 and L_4 are bounded by

$$\frac{\log^2(T_{kj})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\pi T_{kj}} \int_{-2j-1}^2 \lambda^\sigma d\sigma \ll \frac{\log^2(kj)}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\pi kj} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2j+1} \rightarrow 0$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ because $k > (3/\pi) \log(1/\lambda)$. For the estimation of the integral over L_3 it is bounded by a constant times

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^{q_j-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-T_{kj}}^{+T_{kj}} \log^2(e + |t|) |\Gamma(\alpha - q_j - 1 + it)| dt \\ & \ll \frac{\lambda^{q_j-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \Gamma(\alpha + q_j - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log^2(e + |t|) e^{-\pi|t|} dt \\ & \ll \frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2j+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha + 2j + 5/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

If α and λ are fixed, then the last term tends to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This finishes the proof of theorem 1.

4 Proof of theorem 2

Lemma 1. Let η be a positive real number such that $\eta \leq 4\sqrt{\alpha}/5$. Then we have, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) w_{\alpha, \lambda}(n) = \sum_{\sqrt{\alpha}|n-\mu| \leq \eta\mu} \Lambda(n) w_{\alpha, \lambda}(n) + O\left(\log(\mu) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2}}{\eta}\right).$$

Proof. Let us write

$$E_1 = \sum_{\sqrt{\alpha}(n-\mu) > \eta\mu} \Lambda(n) w_{\alpha, \lambda}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = \sum_{\sqrt{\alpha}(n-\mu) < -\eta\mu} \Lambda(n) w_{\alpha, \lambda}(n).$$

Let $u = \mu + \eta\mu/\sqrt{\alpha}$. Then

$$E_1 \ll \int_u^{\infty} \log(t) \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \exp\left\{-\frac{t}{\lambda}\right\} dt = J_1 + J_2$$

where J_1 is the above integral from u to $9\mu/5$ and J_2 is the integral from $9\mu/5$ to ∞ . For J_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\ll \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha} \left(\frac{e}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} \log(\mu) \int_{1+\eta/\sqrt{\alpha}}^{\frac{9}{5}} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\alpha t} dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{\alpha} e^{\alpha} \log(\mu) \int_{1+\eta/\sqrt{\alpha}}^{\frac{9}{5}} t^{\alpha} e^{-\alpha t} dt = \sqrt{\alpha} \log(\mu) \int_{\eta/\sqrt{\alpha}}^{\frac{4}{5}} e^{\alpha(\log(1+t)-t)} dt \\ &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \log(\mu) \int_{\eta/\sqrt{\alpha}}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \frac{1}{2} t^2} dt \ll \log(\mu) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2}}{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\frac{9}{5}(1+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\alpha}})}^{\infty} \log(\mu t) t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\alpha t} dt \ll \sqrt{\alpha} e^\alpha \log(\mu) \int_{\frac{9}{5}(1+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\alpha}})}^{\infty} t^\alpha e^{-\alpha t} dt \\
&= \sqrt{\alpha} \log(\mu) \int_{\frac{4}{5}+\frac{9\eta}{5\sqrt{\alpha}}}^{\infty} (1+t)^\alpha e^{-\alpha t} dt \ll \sqrt{\alpha} \log(\mu) \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}t} dt \ll \frac{\log(\mu)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the estimation of E_2 , we follow the same steps as for the estimation of E_1 . Let $\ell = \mu - \eta\mu/\sqrt{\alpha}$. Then

$$E_2 \ll \log(\mu) \int_0^\ell \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \exp\left\{-\frac{t}{\lambda}\right\} dt \ll \log(\mu) \sqrt{\alpha} e^\alpha \int_0^{1-\eta/\sqrt{\alpha}} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\alpha-1)t} dt.$$

We split this last integral in two parts: from 0 to $1/e^2$ and from $1/e^2$ to $1 - \eta/\sqrt{\alpha}$. For the first integral, we have

$$\log(\mu) \sqrt{\alpha} e^\alpha \int_0^{1/e^2} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\alpha-1)t} dt \ll \log(\mu) \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} e^\alpha}{e^{2\alpha}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha-1)t} dt \ll \log(\mu) \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

For the second integral we have,

$$\log(\mu) \sqrt{\alpha} e^\alpha \int_{1/e^2}^{1-\eta/\sqrt{\alpha}} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\alpha-1)t} dt \ll \log(\mu) \int_{-\infty}^{-\eta} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} dt \ll \log(\mu) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2}}{\eta}.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2. Let $\theta \geq 1$. Then we have,

$$\sum_{\rho} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma} = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \theta\sqrt{\alpha}} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma} + O(\alpha^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2}} \log \alpha).$$

Proof. From Euler-Maclaurin sum formula we have that $\log |\Gamma(\alpha + it)|$ equals

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \log(\alpha^2 + t^2) - \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - |t| \arctan \frac{|t|}{\alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{|t|} \arctan \frac{|t|}{\alpha}\right)$$

(see [1], page 21). Hence, for $\sqrt{\alpha} \leq t < \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{w}_\alpha(t)| &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \left(\frac{e}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha |\alpha + it|^{\alpha-1} \exp \left\{ -\alpha - |t| \arctan \frac{|t|}{\alpha} \right\} \\ &\ll \left| 1 + i \frac{t}{\alpha} \right|^\alpha \exp \left\{ -|t| \arctan \frac{|t|}{\alpha} \right\} \\ &\ll \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{t}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right) - |t| \arctan \frac{|t|}{\alpha} \right\} \\ &\ll \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{2\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t > \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)| &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \left(\frac{e}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha |\alpha + it|^{\alpha-1} \exp \left\{ -\alpha - |t| \arctan \frac{|t|}{\alpha} \right\} \\ &\ll \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha |\alpha + it|^\alpha \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{2} |t| \right\} \\ &\ll \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha |t|^\alpha \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{2} |t| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with $N(t)$ is as in (7),

$$\sum_{|\gamma| > \theta\sqrt{\alpha}} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma} \ll J_1 + J_2,$$

where, on the one hand,

$$J_1 \ll \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \int_{\theta\sqrt{\alpha}}^{\alpha} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\alpha}} dN(t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \log(\alpha) \int_{\theta\sqrt{\alpha}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\alpha}} dt = \frac{\alpha^{\frac{3}{4}} \log \alpha}{\theta e^{\frac{1}{2}\theta^2}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\ll \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} t^\alpha e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} dN(t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha+2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} dt \\ &\ll \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi\alpha}\right)^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 3) \ll \alpha^4 \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{e\pi}\right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

With $x = -1/2$, the following lemma implies that the relation (6) holds true.

Lemma 3. Let x be a fixed real number. Let $|y| \ll \sqrt{\alpha}$. If $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + x + iy)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right| = \alpha^x \exp \left\{ -\frac{y^2}{2(\alpha + x)} \right\} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$ and $A = \Gamma(\alpha + z)/\Gamma(\alpha)$. By Stirling's formula

$$\log \Gamma(\alpha) = \alpha \log \alpha - \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \log A &= \log \Gamma(\alpha + z) - \log \Gamma(\alpha) \\ &= \left(\alpha + z - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(\alpha + z) - (\alpha + z) - \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(\alpha) + \alpha + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \\ &= \log \alpha^z + \left(\alpha + z - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left(1 + \frac{z}{\alpha} \right) - z + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we take the real part of $\log A$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left[\log \alpha^z + \left(\alpha + z - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{\alpha} \right| + i \arctan \frac{y}{\alpha + x} \right) - z + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \right] \\ &= \log \alpha^x + \left(\alpha + x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha + x)^2 + y^2}{\alpha^2}} - y \arctan \frac{y}{\alpha + x} - x + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $|u| < 1$, then $\arctan(u) = u + O(|u|^3)$. Hence, if $y < \alpha + x$, then $\operatorname{Re}[\log A] - x \log \alpha$ is equal to (we write $E = O(1/\alpha)$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\alpha + x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{x}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{y^2}{2(\alpha + x)^2} + O\left(\frac{y^4}{\alpha^4}\right) \right] - \frac{y^2}{\alpha + x} - x + E \\ &= \left(\alpha + x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left(1 + \frac{x}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{\alpha + x - 1/2}{2(\alpha + x)^2} y^2 - \frac{y^2}{\alpha + x} - x + O\left(\frac{y^4}{\alpha^3}\right) + E \\ &= \left(\alpha + x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[\frac{x}{\alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \right] - \frac{y^2}{2(\alpha + x)} - \frac{y^2}{4(\alpha + x)^2} - x + O\left(\frac{y^4}{\alpha^3}\right) + E \\ &= -\frac{y^2}{2(\alpha + x)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2(\alpha + x)} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + O\left(\frac{y^4}{\alpha^3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $y^4/\alpha^3 \ll 1/\alpha$, then this finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we can undertake the proof of theorem 2. Given μ, σ and $\alpha = (\mu/\sigma)^2$ we have

$$\sum_{|j| \leq \eta\sigma} \Lambda(\mu + j) w_{\alpha, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}(\mu + j) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \theta\sqrt{\alpha}} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{i\gamma} - R(\mu\alpha) + E_1$$

where $E_1 \ll \log(\mu)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2}/\eta + e^{-\theta\sqrt{\alpha}}$. Now we claim that, for $|j| \leq \eta\sigma$,

$$w_{\alpha, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}(\mu + j) = \frac{1}{\mu + j} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{j}{\mu}\right)^2\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)\right\}. \quad (10)$$

Indeed, by Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\alpha, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}(\mu + j) &= \frac{(\mu + j)^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{e}\right)^\alpha} \exp\left\{-\frac{\mu + j}{\mu/\alpha}\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu + j} \left(1 + \frac{j}{\mu}\right)^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} e^\alpha \exp\left\{-\alpha\left(1 + \frac{j}{\mu}\right)\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu + j} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{j}{\mu}\alpha + \alpha \log\left(1 + \frac{j}{\mu}\right)\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|j| \leq \eta\sigma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\alpha, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}(\mu + j) &= \frac{1}{\mu + j} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\left(\frac{j}{\mu}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{|j|}{\mu}\right)^3\right]\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu + j} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{j}{\mu}\right)^2\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (10). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(\mu)}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} &= \sum_{|j| \leq \eta\sigma} \frac{\mu}{\mu + j} \Lambda(\mu + j) \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{j}{\mu}\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \left\{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \theta\sqrt{\alpha}} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{i\gamma} + E_1\right\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)\right\} \\ &= 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\mu}} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \theta\sqrt{\alpha}} \tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{i\gamma} + E_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) + E_2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_2 \ll \frac{\log^{\frac{3}{2}} \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu}} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \theta \sqrt{\alpha}} |\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)| \ll \frac{\log^{\frac{5}{2}} \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu}}$$

because, from lemma 3, $|\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)| \ll 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$ and the above sum has $N(\theta\sqrt{\alpha})$ terms, where $N(T)$ is as in equation (7). With this estimation for E_2 we finish the proof of theorem 2.

5 Heisenberg inequality

In this section we first prove that equation (9) holds true, and then, starting with the following lemma 5, we use Heisenberg's inequality (8) in order to prove theorem 3.

Lemma 4. If $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)$ is as in theorem 2, then $\mathcal{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha] = \alpha/2 - 1/4$.

Proof. From tables of cosine Fourier transforms, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Gamma(\alpha + it)|^2 \cos(yt) dt = \frac{\pi \Gamma(2\alpha)}{2^{2\alpha-1}} \cosh^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{y}{2} \right)$$

([13], page 47). Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Gamma(\alpha + it)|^2 dt = \frac{\pi \Gamma(2\alpha)}{2^{2\alpha-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^2 |\Gamma(\alpha + it)|^2 dt = \frac{\alpha \pi \Gamma(2\alpha)}{2^{2\alpha}}.$$

The quotient of these two integrals is equal to $\alpha/2$. We finish the proof by writing $\alpha - 1/2$ in place of α . \square

Lemma 5. Let $a = \lambda(\alpha + \sigma - 1)$ and let $|x - \log a| \leq \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}$. For $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x) e^{x\sigma} = W(x) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right) \right\}$$

where

$$W(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} (\lambda\alpha)^{\sigma-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha + \sigma - 1}{2} (x - \log a)^2 \right\}.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\mathbb{D}[W] = \frac{1}{2\alpha - 1}.$$

Proof. Notice first that

$$ax - e^x = a \log \left(\frac{a}{e} \right) - \frac{a}{2} (x - \log(a))^2 - R \quad (11)$$

with $R = (1/2) \int_{\log a}^x (x - y)^2 e^y dy$. If $\log a \leq x \leq \log a + \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}$, then there exists a number ξ such that $\log a \leq \xi \leq x$ and

$$|R| = \left| \frac{1}{2} (x - \xi)^2 \int_{\log a}^x e^y dy \right| \ll \frac{a}{\alpha^{\frac{20}{21}}} (\exp\{\alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}\} - 1) \ll \frac{\lambda}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}.$$

A similar bound holds true when $\log a - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} \leq x \leq \log a$. Hence,

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{R}{\lambda} \right\} = 1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}} \right).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\alpha, \lambda}(e^x) e^{x\sigma} &= \frac{e^{x(\alpha + \sigma - 1)}}{\lambda^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{e^x}{\lambda} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda(\alpha + \sigma - 1)x - e^x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (11) implies that $w_{\alpha, \lambda}(e^x) e^{x\sigma}$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{a}{e} \right)^{\alpha + \sigma - 1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha + \sigma - 1}{2} (x - \log a)^2 - \frac{R}{\lambda} \right\}.$$

By Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha}{e} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma - 1}{\alpha} \right) \right)^{\alpha + \sigma - 1} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \left(\frac{e}{\lambda \alpha} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha}{e} \right)^{\alpha + \sigma - 1} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma - 1}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha + \sigma - 1} \left\{ 1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} (\lambda \alpha)^{\sigma - 1} \left\{ 1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression for $\mathbb{D}[W]$ is obtained from a direct calculation. □

Lemma 6. Let a be as in lemma 5 and let $\sigma \leq 1$. If $x \geq \log a + \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}$, then,

$$w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x)e^{x\sigma} \ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{2}(x - \log a)^2 - \frac{\alpha^{\frac{11}{21}}}{2}(x - \log a) \right\}.$$

If $\log a - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} - 1 < x \leq \log a - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}$, then,

$$w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x)e^{x\sigma} \ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{4}(x - \log a)^2 - \frac{\alpha^{\frac{11}{21}}}{2}(x - \log a) \right\}.$$

If $x \leq \log a - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} - 1$, then,

$$w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x)e^{x\sigma} \ll \sqrt{\alpha} e^{\alpha(x - \log a + 1)}.$$

Proof. Indeed, we use Stirling's formula to see that $w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x)e^{x\sigma}$ is bounded by a positive constant times

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ (\alpha + \sigma - 1)x - \frac{1}{\lambda}e^x + \alpha \log \left(\frac{e}{\lambda\alpha} \right) \right\} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ \alpha(x - \log a) - \frac{1}{\lambda}e^x + \alpha \right\} e^{x(\sigma-1)} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma-1}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \log a + \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} + z$ where $z \geq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} B &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ \alpha(\alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} + z) - (\alpha + \sigma - 1)e^{z+\alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}} + \alpha \right\} \\ &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ \alpha^{\frac{11}{21}} + \alpha z - (\alpha + \sigma - 1)(1 + \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}) \left(1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \right) + \alpha \right\} \\ &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{2}z^2 - \frac{\alpha^{\frac{11}{21}}}{2}z \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \log a - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} - z$ where $1 > z \geq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} B &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\alpha^{\frac{11}{21}} - \alpha z - (\alpha + \sigma - 1)(1 - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}}) \left(1 - z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \right) + \alpha \right\} \\ &\ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2 - \frac{\alpha^{\frac{11}{21}}}{2}z \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \log a - \alpha^{-\frac{10}{21}} - 1 - z$ where $z \geq 0$. Then,

$$B \ll \sqrt{\alpha} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\alpha(-1 - z) + \alpha \right\},$$

and this is as stated in the lemma. \square

In order to apply Heisenberg's inequality to the dispersion of $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)$, we must consider $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\gamma)$ as a Fourier transform of an appropriate function. For this end, we notice that a Mellin transform $f^{\mathbf{M}}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1} dx$ is related to a Fourier transform by means of the relation

$$[f(x)]^{\mathbf{M}}(\sigma + it) = [f(e^x)e^{x\sigma}]^{\mathbf{F}}\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\right).$$

On the other hand, we have, with $s = 1/2 + it$,

$$\tilde{w}_\alpha(t) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-it} \int_0^\infty w_{\alpha,\lambda}(x)x^{s-1} dx.$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{w}_\alpha(t) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-it} [w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x)e^{\frac{1}{2}x}]^{\mathbf{F}}\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\right).$$

Since the dispersion of a function does not change when it is multiplied by a factor $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-it}$, then we have,

$$\mathbf{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha] = \mathbf{D}\left[[w_{\alpha,\lambda}(e^x)e^{\frac{1}{2}x}]^{\mathbf{F}}\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)\right].$$

Now we notice that because of lemmas 5 and 6 we can write,

$$w_{\alpha,\lambda}^{\mathbf{F}} = W^{\mathbf{F}}\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right)\right\} + O(e^{-\frac{1}{4}\alpha^{\frac{1}{21}}}) = W^{\mathbf{F}}\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right)\right\},$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for the dispersion of \tilde{w}_α we have

$$\mathbf{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha] = \mathbf{D}[W^{\mathbf{F}}]\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right)\right\}.$$

Thus, by Heisenberg's inequality (8),

$$\mathbf{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha]\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right)\right\} = \mathbf{D}\left[W^{\mathbf{F}}\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)\right] = 4\pi^2 \mathbf{D}[W^{\mathbf{F}}(t)] \geq \frac{1}{4\mathbf{D}[W]} = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{D}[\tilde{w}_\alpha] \geq \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{7}}}\right)\right\}.$$

This finishes the proof of theorem 3.

References

- [1] Andrews, G.E.; Askey, R.; Roy, R. *Special functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [2] Aryan, F. *On an extension of the Landau-Gonek formula*. J. Number Theory 233 (2022), 389-404.
- [3] Chandrasekharan, K. *Arithmetical functions*. Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [4] Davenport, H. *Multiplicative number theory*. Third edition. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [5] Fujii, A. *On a theorem of Landau*. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 65 (1989), no. 2, 51-54.
- [6] Gonek, S.M. *An explicit formula of Landau and its applications to the theory of the zeta function*. Contemp. Math. 143 (1993) 395-413.
- [7] Igari, S. *Real analysis with an introduction to wavelet theory*. American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [8] Ingham, A.E. *The distribution of prime numbers*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [9] Kaczorowski, J.; Languasco, A.; Perelli, A. *A note on Landau's formula*. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 28 (2000), 173-186.
- [10] Landau, E. *Über die Nullstellen der Zetafunktion*. Math. Ann. 71 (1912) 548-564.
- [11] Lehman, R.S. *On the difference $\pi(x) - li(x)$* . Acta Arith. 11 (1966), 397-410.
- [12] Montgomery, H.L.; Vaughan, R.C. *Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [13] Oberhettinger, F. *Tables of Fourier transforms and Fourier transforms of distributions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [14] Odlyzko, A. *Tables of zeros of the Riemann zeta function*. www.dtc.umn.edu/~odlyzko/zeta-tables/index.html.

- [15] von Mangoldt, H. *Zu Riemanns Abhandlung “Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse”*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 114 (1895), 255-305.