

PSEUDO SUPPORTS AND THE DEPTH OF MODULES

TRAN NGUYEN AN

Thai Nguyen University of Education

Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

e-mail: antn@tnue.edu.vn

Abstract ¹. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, I an ideal of R , and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . In this paper, we describe prime ideal \mathfrak{p} satisfying $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ in term of pseudo supports $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ of M , for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Furthermore, if R is the homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, formulas for depth of M and depth of I on M are determined via the finite subset of attached primes of local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$, for $i = 0, \dots, d$.

1 Introduction

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . For a subset T of $\text{Spec}(R)$, we denote by $\min(T)$ the set of all minimal elements of T under the inclusion. By [Mat, Exercise 17.5]

$$\text{depth}(M) \leq \inf\{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$. Note that the set of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$ such that

$$\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \tag{1}$$

is not empty since it contains the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Therefore, it is natural to ask which prime ideals satisfy the equality (1). In this paper, we give an answer to this question via pseudo-supports of M . Recall that for an integer $i \geq 0$, M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp [BS1] define the i -th pseudo support of M , denoted by $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, as follows

$$\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

The notion of pseudo supports plays an important role in the study of the structure of rings, multiplicities of local cohomology modules, shifted localization principle, and Cohen-Macaulay loci (see [BS1], [NA], [NA1], [An], and [CNN]). M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp [BS1] showed that if R is universally catenary and all its formal fibers are Cohen-Macaulay, then $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ is a Zariski closed set. By [Kaw, Corollary 1.2], R is universally catenary and all its formal fibers are Cohen-Macaulay if and only if R is the homomorphic image

¹*Key words and phrases:* Pseudo support, depth, Zariski closed set, Cohen-Macaulay ring

2000 Subject Classification: 13D45, 13E15, 13E10.

This research is funded by Thai Nguyen University and Thai Nguyen University of Education under grant number DH2023-TN04-07

of a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Therefore, if R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then $\bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$ is a Zariski closed set and has finite generic points. Set $U_M = \bigcup_{i=0}^d \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, $\Lambda_M^i = \min(\bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M))$, and $\Lambda_M = \bigcup_{i=0}^d \Lambda_M^i$. We have

$$\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \Lambda_M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^d \min(\text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M)),$$

where $\text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M))$ denotes the set of attached primes of $H_m^i(M)$ (see Lemma 3.2).

The first main result of this paper is summarized in the following theorem in which we give an answer to the aforementioned question and formulas for depth of M in terms of finite set Λ_M .

Theorem 1.1. (i) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$. Set $t = \text{depth } M$. Then $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$.

$$(ii) \text{depth}(M) = \inf_{\mathfrak{p} \in U_M} \{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}\}.$$

(iii) If R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, then Λ_M is a finite set and $\text{depth}(M) = \min_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M} \{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}\}$.

Note that the assumption that R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring in Theorem 1.1(iii) can not be removed due to the finiteness of Λ_M (see Remark 3.4).

Let I be an ideal of R . In this paper, we also show that $\text{depth}_I(M)$ is determined by the height of I modulo each of the primes in Λ_M under the condition that R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. More precisely, we have the following theorem which is the second main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.2. Let I be an ideal of R . If R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then

$$\text{depth}_I(M) = \min_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M} \left\{ \text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{ht}\left(\frac{I + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \right\}.$$

We should mention that Theorem 1.2 was proved by C. Huneke and V. Trivedi in [HT] when R is a Noetherian ring (not necessarily local) which is a homomorphic image of a regular ring.

The rest of this paper is constructed as follows. In the next section, we give some preliminaries on pseudo supports of finitely generated modules and attached primes of Artinian modules. The proof of the main results will be presented in the last section.

2 Preliminaries

The theory of secondary representation for Artinian modules was introduced by I. G. Macdonald [Mac]. Let A be an Artinian R -module. Then A has a minimal secondary representation $A = A_1 + \cdots + A_n$, where A_i is \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is independent of the choice of the minimal secondary representation of A . This set is called *the set of attached prime ideals* of A , and denoted by $\text{Att}_R A$. Note that $A \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{Att}_R A \neq \emptyset$. For each ideal I of R , we denote by $\text{Var}(I)$ the set of all prime ideals containing I .

Lemma 2.1 ([Mac]). *The set of all minimal elements of $\text{Att}_R A$ is exactly the set of all minimal elements of $\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R A)$.*

For an integer $i \geq 0$, M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp [BS1] define the i -th pseudo support of M , denoted by $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, as follows

$$\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

L. T. Nhan and the author in [NA] give a relation between $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ and $\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ as follows.

Lemma 2.2 ([NA]). *If R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then*

$$\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)),$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, d$.

By Lemma 2.2, if R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ is a Zariski closed set and its generic points are attached primes of local cohomology module $\text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ (see also [BS1]).

3 Proof of main results

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Firstly, we have the following lemma which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Moreover, the lemma motivates the consideration of the set Λ_M in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i\}. \quad (2)$$

This set is closed under specialization if R is catenary and is closed if R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$. Then there exists t such that $t \leq i$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M)$. Hence $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{t-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. This follows that $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq t - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. So, $\mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i\}$. Assume that

$$\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = j \leq i.$$

Then $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = j - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. This proves that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$.

Assume that R is catenary. Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i\}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Then by [BS, Lemma 9.3.2], $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{q}} \leq \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$. Since R is catenary, we have

$$\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{q}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{q} \leq \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}.$$

So, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i\}$ then so is \mathfrak{q} . These prove that the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq i\}$ is closed under specialization.

Now assume that R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. By Lemma 2.2, we get that

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \dots \text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$$

is a Zariski closed set in $\text{Spec}(R)$. □

From now on we set $\Lambda_M^i = \min(\bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M))$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $\Lambda_M = \bigcup_{i=0}^d \Lambda_M^i$. By Lemma 3.1, if R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then Λ_M^i is finite for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ and therefore Λ_M is finite. The following lemma gives more information on Λ_M .

Lemma 3.2. *If R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then*

$$\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \Lambda_M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^d \min(\text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$. Set $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = k$. Since $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$, we have

$$0 \neq H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^0(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{k-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^k(M)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \supsetneq \mathfrak{q}$. Then $k - \dim R/\mathfrak{q} < k - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 0$. This follows that $\mathfrak{q} \notin \text{Psupp}_R^k(M)$ and hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \min(\text{Psupp}_R^k(M))$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$, we get $\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M^k$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M$.

If R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then

$$\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)),$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, d$ by Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\Lambda_M^i = \min\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^i \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=0}^i \min \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \bigcup_{i=0}^d \min(\text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M))).$$

Therefore, $\Lambda_M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^d \min(\text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M)))$. □

Note that if R is not a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring then the inclusions in Lemma 3.2 do not hold. A concrete example is given in the following.

Example 3.3. Let R be the Noetherian local domain of dimension 2 constructed by Ferrand and Raynaud [FR] such that $\dim(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}) = 1$ for some $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{Ass } \widehat{R}$. Then $\text{Psupp}_R^0(R) = \emptyset$, $\text{Psupp}_R^1(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $\text{Psupp}_R^2(R) = \text{Spec } R$, $\Lambda_R = \{0, \mathfrak{m}\}$, and $\bigcup_{i=0}^2 \min(\text{Att}_R(H_m^i(R))) = \{0\}$.

We get that $\Lambda_M \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \min(\text{Att}_R(H_m^i(R)))$.

Now the proof of Theorem 1.1 can proceed as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$. Set $t = \text{depth } M$. Assume $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = t - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. This follows that $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{t-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ and then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ where $0 \leq i \leq t-1$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Hence $\text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq i - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} < t - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$, a contradiction. This proves that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M)$, we have $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{t-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Hence $\text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq t - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. This means that $\text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq t$. Assume that $\text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i < t$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. So, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, where $0 \leq i \leq t-1$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Therefore

$$\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = t = \text{depth}(M).$$

(ii) Set $n := \inf_{\mathfrak{p} \in U_M} \{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}\}$. Since $\text{depth}(M) \leq \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, we get that $\text{depth}(M) \leq n$. Set $t = \text{depth}(M)$. There exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$ such that $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. By (i), $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in U_M$ and we have

$$\text{depth}(M) \leq n \leq \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \text{depth}(M).$$

Therefore $\text{depth}(M) = n$.

(iii) Set $\ell = \min_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M} \{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}\}$. Then $\text{depth}(M) \leq \ell$. Set $t = \text{depth } M$. By (i), there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^t(M) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ such that $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$.

We have $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \min(\text{Psupp}_R^t(M))$. Since $\bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ is closed under specialization and $\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, we have $\mathfrak{q} \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. This follows that $\mathfrak{q} \in \min(\text{Psupp}_R^t(M)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. So, $\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda_M^t \subseteq \Lambda_M$ and by (i) $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{q}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{q}$. Hence

$$\text{depth}(M) \leq \ell \leq \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{q}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{q} = \text{depth}(M).$$

So the proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.4. In Theorem 1.1(iii), the assumption that R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring can not be eliminated due to the finiteness of Λ_M . In [BS1, Example 3.1], M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp constructed a 3-dimensional universally catenary local domain R which has the non-closed second pseudo-support. Note that R has a non Cohen-Macaulay formal fiber. Then R is not a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay and Λ_R is not finite. Another example is the 3-dimensional Noetherian local domain R constructed in [BS1, Example 3.2]. In this case, R is not catenary, all formal fibers of R are Cohen-Macaulay but R has non-closed third and second pseudo-supports [BS1, Example 3.2].

Next, for each ideal I of R , we have the following bound for $\text{depth}_I(M)$.

Lemma 3.5. $\text{depth}_I(M) \leq \text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{ht}(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.

Proof. We can assume $\mathfrak{p}+I$ is a proper ideal of R . Then there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \min(\mathfrak{p}+I)$ such that $\text{ht}(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{\mathfrak{p}})$. By [BS, Lemma 9.3.2],

$$\text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{ht}(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{ht}(\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{depth}_{\mathfrak{q}}(M) \geq \text{depth}_I(M). \quad \square$$

Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $n = \min_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M} \left\{ \text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{ht}(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}) \right\}$. By Lemma 3.5, it is enough to prove that $\text{depth}_I(M) \geq n$. Remind that $\text{depth}_I(M) = \inf\{\text{depth}_{I_{\mathfrak{q}}} M_{\mathfrak{q}} \mid \text{for all } \mathfrak{q} \supseteq I\}$. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Var}(I)$, we will prove that $\text{depth}_{I_{\mathfrak{q}}} M_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq n$. Since $\text{ht}(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq n - \text{depth}_I(M)$ for all \mathfrak{p} in the finite set Λ_M , by Prime Avoidance Lemma and [Nor, Chapter 3, Theorem 8], we can choose n -elements $y_1, \dots, y_n \in I$ such that $\text{ht}(\frac{(y_1, \dots, y_n)+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}) = \min\{\text{ht}(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}), n\}$. Set $J = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Denote \overline{y}_i the natural image of y_i in $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We will prove that

$\overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_n}$ is an $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -sequence and this follows that $\text{depth}_{I_{\mathfrak{q}}} M_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq \text{depth}_{J_{\mathfrak{q}}} M_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq n$. Since R is catenary, by [An, Lemma 3.1],

$$\text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{q}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \{\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{q}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M), \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\},$$

for all integer $i \geq 0$. This follows that $\Lambda_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \{\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{q}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M\}$. Since $J \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$,

$$\text{ht}\left(\frac{JR_{\mathfrak{q}} + \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{q}}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \geq \text{ht}\left(\frac{J + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \geq n - \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M$. Therefore to prove $\overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_n}$ being an $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -sequence, we can assume $R = R_{\mathfrak{q}}, M = M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. We will prove by induction on n . Assume $n = 1$ and there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)$ such that $y_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M$. Then

$$\text{ht}\left(\frac{J + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = 0 < 1 - \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, $y_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)$ and y_1 is a non-divisor on M . Assume the result is true for $n - 1$ elements. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M$, we have

$$n - \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{ht}\left(\frac{J + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \leq \text{ht}\left(\frac{(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) + 1.$$

Hence $(n - 1) - \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{ht}\left(\frac{(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. By inductive hypothesis y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} is an M -sequence. Assume that there exists $\theta \in \text{Ass}(M/(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})M)$ such that $y_n \in \theta$. Set $t = \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Then by Lemma 3.1,

$$\theta \in \bigcup_{j=0}^t \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq t\}.$$

Since $\bigcup_{j=0}^t \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$ is closed, there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \min \bigcup_{j=0}^t \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$ such that $\theta \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda_M$. On the other hand, since

$$t = \text{depth } M_{\theta} + \dim R/\theta \leq \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq t,$$

we have $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = t$. Remind that $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \theta$ and $\text{depth } M_{\theta} = n - 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ht}\left(\frac{\theta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) &\geq \text{ht}\left(\frac{J + \mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \geq n - \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= 1 + (n - 1) - t + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \\ &= 1 + \text{depth } M_{\theta} - \text{depth } M_{\theta} - \dim R/\theta + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \\ &= 1 + \text{ht}\left(\frac{\theta}{\mathfrak{p}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. So, y_n is $M/(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})M$ -regular and hence y_1, \dots, y_n is an M -sequence. \square

From Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary giving formulas for depth of M and depth of I on M in term of attached primes of local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$, for $i = 0, \dots, d$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let I be an ideal of R . Set $\Gamma_M = \bigcup_{i=0}^d \min(\text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)))$. If R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, then*

$$(i) \text{ depth}(M) = \min_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Gamma_M} \{ \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \}.$$

$$(ii) \text{ depth}_I(M) = \min_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Gamma_M} \left\{ \text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{ht}\left(\frac{I+\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \right\}.$$

References

- [An] T. N. An, *On the attached primes and Shifted Localization Principle for local cohomology modules*, Algebra Colloq. **20**(2013), 671-680.
- [BS] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [BS1] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *On the dimension and multiplicity of local cohomology modules*, Nagoya Math. J., **167** (2002), 217-233.
- [CNN] N. T. Cuong, L. T. Nhan, N. T. K. Nga, *On pseudo supports and non Cohen-Macaulay locus of a finitely generated module*, J. Algebra, **323** (2010), 3029-3038.
- [FR] D. Ferrand and M. Raynaud, *Fibres formelles d'un anneau local Noetherian*, Ann. Sci. E'cole Norm. Sup., (4)**3** (1970), 295-311.
- [HT] C. Huneke and V. Trivedi, *The height of ideals and regular sequences*, Manuscripta mathematica, **93** (1997), 137-142.
- [Kaw] T. Kawasaki, *On arithmetic Macaulayfication of local rings*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **354**(1) (2002), 123-149.
- [Mac] I. G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Symposia Mathematica, **11** (1973), 23-43.
- [Mat] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [NA] L. T. Nhan and T. N. An, *On the unmixedness and the universal catenaricity of local rings and local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra, **321** (2009), 303-311.
- [NA1] L. T. Nhan and T. N. An, *On the catenaricity of Noetherian local rings and quasi unmixed Artinian modules*, Comm. Algebra, **38**(10)(2010), 3728-3736.
- [Nor] D. G. Northcott (2004), *Ideal theory*, Cambridge University Press.