Spin speed correlations and the evolution of galaxy-halo systems

Ming-Jie Sheng,¹ Lin Zhu,¹ Hao-Ran Yu,^{1,*} Hong-Chuan Ma,¹ Hai-Kun Li,¹ Peng Wang,^{2,3} and Xi Kang^{4,5,6}

¹Department of Astronomy, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China

²Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200030, China

³Astronomical Research Center, Shanghai Science and Technology Museum, Shanghai, 201306, China

⁴Institute for Astronomy, the School of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

⁵Center for Cosmology and Computational Astrophysics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

⁶Purple Mountain Observatory, 10 Yuan Hua Road, Nanjing 210034, China

(Dated: July 4, 2024)

Galaxy angular momenta (spins) contain valuable cosmological information, complementing their positions and velocities. The baryonic spin direction of galaxies has been probed as a reliable tracer of their host halos and the primordial spin modes. Here we use the TNG100 simulation of the IllustrisTNG project to study the spin magnitude correlations between dark matter, gas, and stellar components of galaxy-halo systems and their evolutions across cosmic history. We find that these components generate similar initial spin magnitudes from the same tidal torque in Lagrangian space. At low redshifts, the gas component still traces the spin magnitude of the dark matter halo and the primordial spin magnitude. However, the traceability of the stellar component depends on the *ex situ* stellar mass fraction, f_{acc} . Our results suggest that the galaxy baryonic spin magnitude can also serve as a tracer of their host halo and the initial perturbations, and the galaxy-halo correlations are affected by the similarity of their evolution histories.

I. INTRODUCTION

In cosmology, the low-redshift large-scale structure (LSS) of the universe evolved from the primordial density fluctuations of the early universe. One of the key tasks of the LSS study is looking for a link between the cosmic initial conditions and lowredshift observables [1, 2]. In general, the LSS is primarily driven by the dynamics of dark matter (DM). After recombination, baryonic matter decouples from radiation and follows the clustering of DM under gravity. Hence, the matter distribution on a large scale can be probed by various tracers, such as galaxies, resulting in rich cosmological information [3].

The locations and peculiar velocities of galaxies are traditionally used as the tracers of the LSS to probe the primordial perturbations, while the rotations of galaxies provide another degree of freedom to extract additional cosmological information. At low redshifts, the three-dimensional (3D) angular momenta (spins) of the galaxy are observable via their ellipticities, projection angles, spiral parities, Doppler effects, and dust absorptions [4]. The tidal torque theory explains the generation of the angular momentum of a clustering system in Lagrangian space¹ [3, 6, 7]. The tidal torque, generated by the misalignment between the moment of inertia of protohalos/protogalaxies (DM halos/galaxies in Lagrangian space) and the tidal fields they feel, provides a directioninvariant and persistent generation of angular momentum until the virialization of halos. These virialized DM halos at low redshifts tend to keep the predicted angular momentum directions [8, 9] and magnitudes [10]. Thus, their angular momenta provide independent cosmological information, including, e.g., the reconstruction

of primordial density and tidal fields [8, 11], the effects of cosmic neutrino mass [12, 13] and dark energy [14], the possible detection of chiral violation [15, 16], and the understanding of galaxy intrinsic alignments [17–20].

Practically, the rotations of DM halos are difficult to observe, so we can only expect the angular momenta of galaxies or other baryonic tracers to be the proxies of those of the DM halos. [21], for the first time, discovered a weak but significant correlation between the observational galaxy spins and the cosmic initial conditions. Most recently, [22] found that the baryonic components of galaxies trace the spin directions of their host DM halos and the primordial spin modes in the IllustrisTNG-100 simulations. The highly nonlinear baryonic effects, including gas cooling, galaxy and star formation, and supernova and black hole feedbacks, have not fully erased the memory of the initial spin directions. However, the studies on the correlations of spin magnitude between galaxies and their host halos have not reached full agreement. [23] found a strong correlation between the evolution of the specific angular momenta (sAM) of galaxy baryonic components and DM halo in the EAGLE simulations [24, 25]. [26] similarly showed that the overall angular momentum is retained in a nearly constant ratio during star formation and gas circulation in the IllustrisTNG-50 simulations. Observationally, [27] suggested that galaxies with larger baryon fractions have also retained larger fractions of their sAM in the process of galaxy formation and evolution. However, [28] found almost no correlation between the spin parameters of galaxies and their host halos using the VELA [29, 30] and NIHAO [31] zoom-in simulations. In addition, it is also unclear whether and how baryonic components trace the primordial spin magnitude across cosmic evolution. In this work, we use the state-of-the-art magneto-hydrodynamical (MHD) simulations IllustrisTNG [32–38] to study the spin magnitude correlations, characterized by the kinematic spin speed and supportedness, between the baryonic components of galaxies and their host DM halos. We will further investigate how the primordial spin magnitude can be traced by baryonic matter at low redshifts.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly de-

^{*} haoran@xmu.edu.cn

¹ The Lagrangian space is defined as the *initial, comoving* coordinates of mass elements in the picture of structure formation. In *N*-body simulations, *N*-body particles represent phase-space "sheets" [5, Chapter 12], and when the initial conditions of the simulation are set at sufficiently high redshift, their comoving coordinates represent Lagrangian space.

scribe the simulation and analytical methods. Sec. III shows the spin magnitude correlation and evolution results for galaxy-halo systems. The conclusion and discussion are presented in Sec. IV.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. TNG100 simulation and galaxy samples

The IllustrisTNG simulations are a suite of MHD galaxy formation simulations using the AREPO code [39, 40]. In this study, the main results are given by the TNG100-1 simulation, which starts with 1820³ DM particles and 1820³ gas cells in a periodic cubic box with a comoving length of $75 h^{-1}$ Mpc per side. The initial condition is generated with the Zel'dovich approximation and the N-GENIC code [41]. The adopted cosmological parameters are from the Plank 2015 results [42], i.e., $\Omega_m = 0.3089$, $\Omega_{\rm b} = 0.0486, \, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.6911, \, {\rm and} \, h = 0.6774.$ The mass resolutions for DM particles and gas cells are $m_{\rm DM} = 7.5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ and $m_{\rm gas} = 1.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (on average), respectively. DM halos and subhalos are identified with the friends-of-friends (FOF; [43]) and SUBFIND algorithms [44]. The TNG100-1 simulation has sufficient massive galaxy clusters, which enable us to study spin correlations on large, linear scales, while having a higher baryonic resolution compared with the TNG300-1 to study the spins of gas and stars.

In this paper, all the quantities of a galaxy and its host subhalo are calculated for the entire SUBFIND objects, i.e., using all particles belonging to these objects. We consider only the central galaxies that belong to the most massive subhalos of their host halos with stellar masses $M_* \ge 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, yielding a galaxy catalog that contains 3971 samples in the Eulerian space (redshift z = 0) with particle IDs, positions, velocities, and other astrophysical properties for DM, gas, and stellar components, respectively. To study the primordial spin mode, we need to trace the subhalo/galaxy mass elements back to the Lagrangian space (initial condition, redshift z = 127). For DM, we can simply trace them by following the particle IDs. For gas cells and star particles, we trace their tracer particles [45] back to the initial condition. The TNG100-1 simulation contains 2×1820^3 tracer particles.

To quantify the galaxy morphology, we employ the kappa parameter, κ_{rot} , which measures the fraction of the stellar kinetic energy invested into ordered rotation [46, 47]. It is defined as

$$\kappa_{\rm rot} = \frac{K_{\rm rot}}{K} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_i \left(\frac{j_{z,i}}{R_i}\right)^2,\tag{1}$$

where *K* is the total kinetic energy of the stellar component, m_i is the mass of a stellar particle, $j_{z,i}$ is the *z*-component of the particle's sAM, assuming that the *z*-axis coincides with the stellar angular momentum of the galaxy, R_i is the particle's distance to the *z*-axis, and the sum is carried out over all stellar particles in the galaxy. Following the classification in [48], galaxies with $\kappa_{rot} < 0.5$ and $\kappa_{rot} \ge 0.7$ are referred to as spheroid- or disc-dominated, respectively. The former morphology contains 1308 galaxies in our samples; the second contains 507 galaxies. The remainder consist of intermediate types where both rotation and velocity dispersion play comparable structural roles.

B. Spin parameters

In Eulerian (Lagrangian) space, the angular momentum vector $J_{\rm E}$ ($J_{\rm L}$) of a certain subhalo/galaxy component (e.g., DM, gas, or stars) is computed as

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{E}} = \sum_{i} m_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{\prime}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{L}} = \sum_{i} m_{i} \boldsymbol{q}_{i}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\prime}, \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{x}'_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{v}'_i = \mathbf{v}_i - \bar{\mathbf{v}}$, $\mathbf{q}'_i = \mathbf{q}_i - \bar{\mathbf{q}}$, $\mathbf{u}'_i = \mathbf{u}_i - \bar{\mathbf{u}}$, with m_i , \mathbf{x}_i (\mathbf{q}_i) and \mathbf{v}_i (\mathbf{u}_i) are the particle mass, Eulerian (Lagrangian²) position, and velocity of the *i*th particle, while $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ ($\bar{\mathbf{q}}$) and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ ($\bar{\mathbf{u}}$) are the Eulerian (Lagrangian) center-of-mass position and mean velocity of this component. Then the sAM vector is defined as $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{J} / \sum_i m_i$. [26] showed that the sAM of gas (j_g) and stellar (j_s) components almost conserved that of the dark matter halo (j_h) during star formation and gas circulation in the central discdominated galaxies ($\kappa_{rot} \ge 0.7$).

The Eulerian angular momentum of a virialized object can be characterized by the dimensionless spin parameter $\lambda_P \equiv J |E|^{1/2} G^{-1} M^{-5/2}$ [3] or the closely related definition $\lambda_B \equiv J/(\sqrt{2}MVR)$ [49], where J, E, M, V, R are the total angular momentum, total energy, mass, circular velocity, radius of the system, and G is the Newton's constant. The parameters λ_P and λ_B are very similar for typical NFW halos [49]. Previous work using the VELA and NIHAO zoom-in simulations found a null correlation between the spin parameter λ_B of galaxies (λ_{gal}) and their host halos (λ_{halo}), especially at redshift $z \ge 1$ [28].

The dimension of sAM gives

$$\dim(\mathbf{j}) = \frac{\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{T}^{-1}}{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{T}^{-1},$$
(4)

which shows that sAM is sensitive to the system size, especially when comparing different components and galaxies in different mass ranges. Besides, not all the above spin parameters are straightforwardly defined for the baryonic components and for protohalos/protogalaxies in the Lagrangian space. Here, we employ two parameters according to the kinematics of mass elements to characterize the rotation of different components for a subhalo/galaxy in both Eulerian and Lagrangian spaces to avoid these problems.

For a subhalo/galaxy that occupies region V_x in Eulerian space and the corresponding region V_q in Lagrangian space, the spin speed parameter is defined as

$$\omega_{\rm K}^{\rm Eul} \equiv \frac{\int_{V_x} \hat{j}_i \epsilon_{ijk} x'_j v'_k \, \mathrm{d}M}{2\pi \int_{V_x} r_i^2 \, \mathrm{d}M}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{V_x} \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 x' v' \, \mathrm{d}M}{2\pi \int_{V_x} \sin^2 \theta_3 x'^2 \, \mathrm{d}M} = \frac{J_{\rm E}}{I_{\hat{j}}}, \tag{5}$$

² We refer the readers to [5, Chapter 12] for more details on the definitions of Lagrangian properties.



FIG. 1. Comparison of average spin speed $\omega_{\rm K}$ and spin supportedness $\lambda_{\rm K}$ for DM (left column), gas (middle column), and stars (right column) in Lagrangian (upper row) and Eulerian (lower row) spaces, respectively. The contours are colored according to $\kappa_{\rm rot}$, with the inner and outer regions containing 68 and 95 percent of the galaxy population, respectively. The Pearson correlation coefficients *r* (in logarithmic units) for different types of galaxies are indicated in each panel.

where $\hat{j}_i = (J_E/J_E)_i$ is the unit J_E vector $(J_E = |J_E|)$, x' = |x'|, v' = |v'|, $\sin \theta_1 = \sin (x', v')$, $\cos \theta_2 = \cos (x' \times v', J_E)$, $\sin \theta_3 = \sin (x', J_E)$, and $I_{\hat{j}}$ denotes the average moment of inertia along the spin direction. This parameter can be similarly defined in Lagrangian space and denoted with ω_K^{Lag3} .

The spin supportedness parameter is defined as [10, 50]

$$\lambda_{\rm K}^{\rm Eul} \equiv \frac{\int_{V_x} \hat{j}_i \epsilon_{ijk} x'_j v'_k \, \mathrm{d}M}{\int_{V_x} x' v' \, \mathrm{d}M} = \frac{\int_{V_x} \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 x' v' \, \mathrm{d}M}{\int_{V_x} x' v' \, \mathrm{d}M}, \qquad (6)$$

which can also be similarly defined in Lagrangian space and denoted with $\lambda_{\rm K}^{\rm Lag}$.

These two parameters characterize the spin magnitude of a subhalo/galaxy from similar but subtly different perspectives. $\omega_{\rm K}$ characterizes the average spin speed of the system along the spin direction with dimension dim ($\omega_{\rm K}$) = time⁻¹, in the unit of Gyr⁻¹, which is independent of the mass and size of the system. On the other hand, $\lambda_{\rm K} \in [0, 1]$ is dimensionless with dim ($\lambda_{\rm K}$) = 1 and characterizes whether the system is rotation-supported ($\lambda_{\rm K} \rightarrow 1$) or dispersion-supported ($\lambda_{\rm K} \rightarrow 0$). For instance, a coplanar system with all mass elements having homodromous circular orbits has $\lambda_{\rm K} = 1$, and a rotating rigid isodensity globe has $\lambda_{\rm K} = 8/3\pi \approx 0.85$, while their spin speed $\omega_{\rm K}$ may be varied. We can directly study the spin magnitude of different components both in Lagrangian and Eulerian space by utilizing these parameters, regardless of the mass and size of the galaxies. In addition, combining these two parameters gives us an idea of how fast or slow and to what extent the galaxy is rotating as a whole.

III. RESULTS

A. Spin speed-supportedness correlation

We first start with a comparison between the galaxy-halo average spin speed and spin supportedness. Unless otherwise noted, we will color disc-dominated, intermediate-type, and spheroiddominated galaxies with blue, green, and red in the rest of this paper. The upper panels of Fig. 1 show that the average spin speed and spin supportedness of DM, gas, and stellar components have similar high correlations in Lagrangian space, with the Pearson correlation coefficients r (hereafter calculated in logarithmic units) larger than 0.9, which is independent of galaxy morphol-

³ Hereafter, the superscript ^{Eul} denotes the spin parameters that are measured in Eulerian space, while the superscript ^{Lag} denotes Lagrangian space.



FIG. 2. Comparison of average spin speed $\omega_{\rm K}$ between DM and gas (left column) / star (right column) in the Lagrangian (upper row) and Eulerian (lower row) spaces, respectively. The contours are colored according to $\kappa_{\rm rot}$, with the inner and outer regions containing 68 and 95 percent of the galaxy population, respectively. The Pearson correlation coefficients *r* for different types of galaxies are indicated in each panel. The dotted lines indicate y = x.



FIG. 3. Similar plotted as Fig. 2, except for comparison of spin supportedness $\lambda_{\rm K}$. The inset in the bottom-right panel shows the zoom-in contour of the disc-dominated galaxies with $\kappa_{\rm rot} \ge 0.7$.

ogy. The lower panels also show strong correlations for each component in Eulerian space. But the bottom-right panel indicates that the spin speed and supportedness of the stellar component are clearly dependent on galaxy morphology. This can be attributed to the similar kinematic definitions of $\omega_{\rm K}$ and $\kappa_{\rm rot}$ when considering stellar component, both of which describe the spin supportedness of stars along the spin direction. In addition, the stellar angular momenta of disc-dominated galaxies are mainly distributed in the inner region of their host halos, while a large fraction of the stellar angular momenta of spheroid-dominated galaxies are found beyond two stellar half-mass radii [47]. Due to the inward distribution of angular momenta and higher spin supportedness, the average spin speed of the stellar component of disc-dominated galaxies is much higher than that of spheroid-dominated galaxies.

B. Spin magnitude of different components

In this subsection we compare the spin magnitude between DM, gas, and stellar components in Lagrangian and Eulerian spaces, respectively. In the upper panels of Fig. 2, we show the average spin speeds characterized by $\omega_{\rm K}$ for different components in Lagrangian space. Clearly, the average spin speeds of gas and stellar components are strongly correlated with the DM component and match the y = x line in Lagrangian space, which is independent of galaxy morphology. In the upper panels of Fig. 3, we find similar results for spin supportedness $\lambda_{\rm K}$. These similar correlations could be explained by the fact that these components have similar mass distributions and feel the same tidal torque in Lagrangian space [22].

In Eulerian space, both the bottom-left panels of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show that the $\omega_{\rm K}$ and $\lambda_{\rm K}$ of gas are still correlated with DM, regardless of galaxy morphology. The spin speed of gas is slightly higher than that of DM, while the spin supportedness of gas far exceeds. However, the bottom-right panel of Fig. 2 suggests that the spin speed of the stellar component is poorly correlated with the DM component, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of r = 0.19, especially for disc-dominated and intermediatetype galaxies. As mentioned above, disc-dominated galaxies have much higher stellar spin speeds than spheroid-dominated ones. In addition, the spin speed of the stellar component is higher than that of the DM component, especially for disc-dominated galaxies. The correlation of spin supportedness between DM and stellar components is slightly higher with the Pearson correlation coefficient r = 0.23, shown in the bottom-right panel of Fig. 3. Considering only the disc-dominated galaxies, the correlation coefficient increases to r = 0.39. Similarly, disc-dominated galaxies also have much higher spin supportedness than spheroid-dominated ones. Although the spin direction of the stellar component is still correlated with the host halo in Eulerian space and the initial direction in Lagrangian space shown in [22], these correlations vanish when it comes to the spin magnitude. Meanwhile, the poor correlations of spin speed and supportedness between the stellar and DM components in Eulerian space are consistent with [28], who found an almost null correlation of spin parameter $\lambda_{\rm B}$ between the total halos and the galaxies in the inner region. We will explore the possible origins of these poor correlations in Sec. III D.



FIG. 4. Comparison of average spin speed $\omega_{\rm K}$ for DM (left column), gas (middle column), and stellar (right column) components in the Eulerian space with the DM component in the Lagrangian space, respectively. The contours are colored according to $\kappa_{\rm rot}$, with the inner and outer regions containing 68 and 95 percent of the galaxy population, respectively. The Pearson correlation coefficients *r* for different types of galaxies are indicated in each panel.



FIG. 5. Similar plotted as Fig. 4, except for comparison of spin supportedness λ_{K} . The dotted lines indicate y = x. The inset in the rightmost panel shows the zoom-in contour of the disc-dominated galaxies with $\kappa_{rot} \ge 0.7$.

C. Evolution of spin magnitude

Then we focus on the evolution of galaxy-halo spin magnitude between the Lagrangian and Eulerian spaces. In Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, we compare the average spin speed $\omega_{\rm K}$ and spin supportedness $\lambda_{\rm K}$ for different components of galaxy-halo systems with their original protohalos. Clearly, the spin speed and supportedness increase for each component through cosmic evolution, which is expected by the tidal torque theory. In addition, the leftmost and middle panels of Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 suggest that the spin speed and supportedness of DM and gas components are both correlated with the Lagrangian protohalos, which is independent of galaxy morphology. For protohalos that acquire higher spin magnitude from the initial tidal field, the DM and gas components of the final halos tend to have higher spin speed and supportedness. The gas component shows weaker correlation with the Pearson correlation coefficients r = 0.39 for $\omega_{\rm K}$ and r = 0.35 for $\lambda_{\rm K}$ than the DM component, with r = 0.54 for $\omega_{\rm K}$ and r = 0.59 for $\lambda_{\rm K}$. These decreases can be partially explained by the effects of baryonic processes [51], especially the stellar and AGN feedback [52]. The DM and gas components of galaxy-halo systems still retain the memory of the initial spin magnitude of their host halos, which is similarly found for spin directions in [22]. However, for the stellar component, the rightmost panel of Fig. 4 shows that the spin speed is poorly correlated with the protohalos, especially for disc-dominated and intermediate-type galaxies. The rightmost panel of Fig. 5 shows similar a weak correlation for spin supportedness.

D. Origin of the weak star-DM correlation

In the previous subsections, we find that the spin magnitude of the gas component well traces the DM component both in Lagrangian and Eulerian space, but does not apply to stars. Here we show that the spin magnitude correlation between the stellar and DM components depends on the *ex situ* stellar mass fraction, f_{acc} , which measures the fraction of a galaxy's stellar mass contributed by stars that formed in other galaxies and which were subsequently accreted. This quantity is provided in the stellar assembly catalogs of TNG100-1 [47, 53, 54].



FIG. 6. Left: the number distributions of galaxy *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} at z = 0. The black curve corresponds to the distribution of all galaxy samples. The disk-dominated, intermediate-type, and spheroid-dominated galaxies are shown in blue, green, and red, respectively. Middle: correlation between star-DM spin speed correlation and galaxy *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} . Right: correlation between star-DM spin supportedness correlation and galaxy *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} . Right: correlation between star-DM spin supportedness correlation and galaxy *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} . Galaxies are binned every 800 samples by f_{acc} to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficients, *r*. The uncertainties in the derived parameters are estimated by the bootstrap method, with the center, left/right boundaries of the error bar representing the median, 25%/75% quartiles of the distributions. The spin correlations between stars and Eulerian halos or Lagrangian protohalos are indicated by red and blue curves, respectively.



FIG. 7. Radial dependence of the star-DM spin magnitude correlation. The spin speed (blue line) and supportedness (red line) of stars are measured within various radii R, normalized by the stellar half mass radius $R_{1/2}$, to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficients with the total DM component. The solid line represents the results in TNG100-1, while the dashed line shows the results for the galaxies in the same mass range in TNG50-1. The error bars are similarly obtained in Fig. 6.

In the left panel of Fig. 6, we show the number distributions of galaxy *ex situ* stellar mass fractions, distinguishing between disc-dominated, intermediate-type and spheroid-dominated galaxies. Most galaxies have much more *in situ* formed stars than *ex situ* accreted ones. In addition, disc-dominated galaxies with $\kappa_{rot} \ge 0.7$ tend to have more *in situ* formed stars, while galaxies with a high *ex situ* stellar mass fraction are more likely to be spheroid-dominated with $\kappa_{rot} < 0.5$.

In the middle and right panels of Fig. 6, we explore the relationship between the star-DM spin magnitude correlation and the ex situ stellar mass fraction. In Eulerian space, the spin speed and supportedness correlations between galaxy stellar component and their host DM halo increase with the ex situ stellar mass fraction, $f_{\rm acc}$. The Pearson correlation coefficient $r(DM \ \omega_{\rm K}^{\rm Eul}, {\rm Star} \ \omega_{\rm K}^{\rm Eul})$ of spin speed exhibits a rapid initial increase from 0.1 to 0.5 when $f_{\rm acc} < 0.3$, followed by a slight further increment to its maximum value of 0.55. The spin supportedness correlation shows a similar trend but is slightly less sensitive to the increase in ex situ stellar mass fraction. In Fig. 7, we show the radial dependence of the star-DM spin magnitude correlation. We measure the Pearson correlation coefficient r between the spin magnitude of the total DM component and the spin magnitude of the stars enclosed within radius R. As shown by the solid lines in Fig. 7, both the spin speed and supportedness correlations are positively correlated with the radius, indicating that the stars in the inner region of galaxies are poorly correlated with the DM component while the stars in the outer region are on the contrary. These suggest that, in general, the spin magnitudes of *in situ* formed stars are primarily driven by the inner stellar disk, losing the correlation with their host DM halos. But the ex situ accreted stars are distributed in the outer region of galaxy-halo systems, which follow the DM component during merger events, resulting in a strong correlation.

In Fig. 8, we further show that both the spin speed and supportedness of stars are more correlated with those of cold gas, where we take the star-forming gas cells as cold and the rest as hot in TNG. The spin magnitude of dark matter indicates a strong correlation with hot gas, while there is a null or even negative correlation with cold gas. This consistently demonstrates that the spin magnitude of a subhalo/galaxy shows distinct distributions at different radii, with the inner region reflecting the rotation of the gas disk and stellar disk and the outer region reflecting the assembly history. However, the spin direction correlation is less affected by the radii. Both the inner stellar disk and outer distributed stars



FIG. 8. Spin speed (upper row) and supportedness (lower row) correlations between hot or cold gas with DM (left column) and stellar (right column) components. The red contour represents hot gas and blue represents cold gas, with the inner and outer regions containing 68 and 95 percent of the galaxy population, respectively. The Pearson correlation coefficients r are indicated in each panel.

have similar spin directions with their host halo, as shown in [22]. In addition, [55] suggested a similar dependence on *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} for galaxy-halo alignment.

Fig. 9 shows the evolution of the star-DM spin magnitude correlation from redshift z = 2 to z = 0. Here we trace back the progenitors of galaxies along their main progenitor branches in the merger trees. We select four typical types of galaxies from our samples: (i) galaxies that consistently have low ex situ stellar mass fractions $f_{\rm acc}$, that is, $f_{\rm acc}$ < 0.05, from redshift z = 2 to z = 0; (ii) galaxies that consistently have high f_{acc} ($f_{acc} > 0.3$); (iii) galaxies with low f_{acc} at z = 2, while increasing to high f_{acc} at z = 0; (iv) galaxies with no major merger event throughout the galaxy's history, provided by [47, 56] in the TNG simulation. Type (i) galaxies show a weak star-DM spin magnitude correlation across time, while type (ii) galaxies behave the opposite. Type (iii) galaxies accreted amounts of ex situ stars during their evolution history, resulting in an increased star-DM spin magnitude correlation. In particular, galaxies with no major merger event yet have a similar weak star-DM spin magnitude correlation with type (i) galaxies. Overall, the spin speed and supportedness correlations between the DM and stellar components at different redshifts show clear dependence on the ex situ stellar mass fractions. These results indicate that the connections between galaxies and their host halos are affected by their coevolutionary histories.

In Lagrangian space, the spin magnitude correlation between the stellar component of galaxies and their protohalos also shows a positive correlation with the *ex situ* stellar mass fraction. Compared with the correlation in Eulerian space, the Lagrangian cor-



FIG. 9. The evolution of the star-DM spin speed (upper panel) and supportedness (lower panel) correlations from redshift z = 2 to z = 0 for four typical types of galaxies. (i) Galaxies that always have low *ex situ* stellar mass fractions f_{acc} (red solid line); (ii) galaxies that always have high f_{acc} (blue solid line); (iii) galaxies with increased f_{acc} across time (green solid line); (iv) galaxies with no major merger event yet (yellow dashed line). A detailed description of the classification criteria is provided in the main text. The error bars are similarly obtained in Fig. 6.

relation decreases but does not fully vanish, which indicates that the memory of the initial tidal fields of the stellar component is also not fully erased by the baryonic processes, and this memory is affected by the coevolutionary history of galaxy-halo systems.

E. Connecting galaxy morphology to spin magnitude

Here, we directly study the connection between spin magnitude and galaxy morphology. The left column of Fig. 10 suggests that disc-dominated galaxies with $\kappa_{rot} \ge 0.7$ have slightly higher spin speed $\omega_{\rm K}$ and supportedness $\lambda_{\rm K}$ for the DM component than spheroid-dominated galaxies, but the distinction is typically small. This indicates that galaxy morphology is not directly determined by the spin magnitude of its host halo, which is consistent with previous works [47, 48]. The results are similar for the gas components shown in the middle column. Naturally, the bottom-right panel shows that galaxy morphology is well distinguished by galaxy stellar spin supportedness as elaborated in Sec. III A. Meanwhile, the top-right panel suggests that galaxy stellar spin speed is also strongly correlated with galaxy morphology. Disc-dominated galaxies have much higher stellar spin speeds and supportedness than spheroid-dominated ones.



FIG. 10. The effect of DM (left column), gas (middle column), and stellar (right column) components' spin speed (upper row) or supportedness (lower row) on galaxy morphology as a function of stellar mass. The blue, green, and red solid lines show the median trends for disc-dominated, intermediate-type, and spheroid-dominated galaxies, respectively, while the shaded regions indicate the 16th to 84th percentile ranges.

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, by using the TNG100-1 simulation, we study the correlation of spin magnitudes between DM, gas, and stellar components of galaxy-halo systems, as well as their evolution throughout cosmic history. We conclude our new findings the following:

- The DM, gas, and stellar components of galaxy-halo systems all have highly correlated spin speed and supportedness in the Lagrangian and Eulerian spaces. These correlations are independent of galaxy morphology, except for stars at low redshifts.
- Similar original mass distributions between DM and baryonic components lead to strong spin speed and supportedness correlations between them in Lagrangian space. These correlations are mostly conserved between gas and DM components at low redshifts. Besides, the gas component still retains the memory of the original spin magnitude of its host halo across the comic evolution, similar to that of the DM component but slightly weaker.
- The *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} is an important factor that could indicate the spin magnitude correlations between the galaxy stellar component and its host halo, as well as the

protohalo. The connection between galaxies and their host halos, as well as the memory of the initial perturbations, are both correlated with their coevolutionary history.

For a convergence check on resolution, we also test the result performed on a higher resolution simulation, TNG50-1. We select galaxies from the same mass range as our TNG100-1 galaxy samples. However, the number of galaxy samples in TNG50-1 is much smaller and contains fewer massive samples compared with TNG100-1 due to the smaller simulation box. In Fig. 7, the dashed lines represent the result from TNG50-1, which shows a similar radial dependence of the star-DM spin magnitude correlation with TNG100-1. In addition, the rightmost data points indicate that the star-DM spin magnitude correlation is similar in TNG50-1 and 100-1, while the offsets in the inner region may arise from the particle resolution, galaxy sample size, and galaxy mass.

In our earlier paper [22], we found that the spin directions of DM halos and primordial spin modes can be well traced by baryonic matter (gas and stars) at low redshifts. Here we show that both the spin magnitude of DM halos and the initial spin magnitude can also be traced by the galaxy gas component, especially the hot gas component, and weakly by the stellar component. The spin of the hot gas component can be observed via the kinetic Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (kSZ) effect [57], which has been applied in many previous works [58–61]. Meanwhile, the initial galactichalo spin can be predicted by tidal torque theory [62]. This provides us with the possibility of using observable galaxy spin magnitude to constrain the cosmic initial conditions.

Nevertheless, the traceability of galaxy stellar component is largely affected by their assembly history. Galaxies with a high fraction of *in situ* formed stars are more likely to lose the spin magnitude correlation with their host halos as well as the initial perturbation. [63, 64] also found that the stellar angular momenta of galaxies tend to retain memory of the initial conditions just after mergers. Meanwhile, [55] reported that f_{acc} appears to be a fundamental parameter that determines the galaxy-halo alignment. These results indicate that the galaxy-halo correlations, both in shape and spin, are affected by the similarity of their evolution histories [65]. With the spin direction and magnitude of some specific galaxy-halo systems, e.g., the members of the local group, we can constrain their evolution histories.

There are also some interesting questions that are worth further studying. First, whether the spin direction correlation between stellar and DM components is also affected by the *ex situ* stellar mass fraction f_{acc} . Second, it would be interesting to compare the galaxy-halo correlation before and after an individual merger event and investigate how the galaxy loses the connection (shape

- [1] C. D. Rimes and A. J. S. Hamilton, MNRAS360, L82 (2005), astroph/0502081.
- [2] M. McQuinn, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.2021, 024 (2021), 2008.12312.
- [3] P. J. E. Peebles, ApJ155, 393 (1969).
- [4] M. Iye, K.-i. Tadaki, and H. Fukumoto, ApJ886, 133 (2019), 1910.10926.
- [5] S. Dodelson and F. Schmidt, Modern Cosmology (, 2020).
- [6] A. G. Doroshkevich, Astrofizika 6, 581 (1970).
- [7] S. D. M. White, ApJ286, 38 (1984).
- [8] J. Lee and U.-L. Pen, ApJ532, L5 (2000), astro-ph/9911328.
- [9] C. Porciani, A. Dekel, and Y. Hoffman, MNRAS332, 339 (2002), astro-ph/0105165.
- [10] Q. Wu, H.-R. Yu, S. Liao, and M. Du, Phys. Rev. D103, 063522 (2021), 2011.03893.
- [11] J. Lee and U.-L. Pen, ApJ555, 106 (2001), astro-ph/0008135.
- [12] H.-R. Yu, U.-L. Pen, and X. Wang, Phys. Rev. D99, 123532 (2019), 1810.11784.
- [13] J. Lee, N. I. Libeskind, and S. Ryu, ApJ898, L27 (2020), 2004.02638.
- [14] J. Lee and N. I. Libeskind, ApJ902, 22 (2020), 2008.02121.
- [15] H.-R. Yu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 101302 (2020), 1904.01029.
- [16] P. Motloch, U.-L. Pen, and H.-R. Yu, Phys. Rev. D105, 083512 (2022), 2111.12590.
- [17] P. Catelan, M. Kamionkowski, and R. D. Blandford, MNRAS320, L7 (2001), astro-ph/0005470.
- [18] J. Blazek, M. McQuinn, and U. Seljak, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.2011, 010 (2011), 1101.4017.
- [19] F. Schmidt, N. E. Chisari, and C. Dvorkin, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.2015, 032 (2015), 1506.02671.
- [20] P. Wang and X. Kang, MNRAS473, 1562 (2018), 1709.07881.
- [21] P. Motloch, H.-R. Yu, U.-L. Pen, and Y. Xie, Nature Astronomy 5, 283 (2021), 2003.04800.
- [22] M.-J. Sheng et al., ApJ943, 128 (2023), 2210.04203.
- [23] J. Zavala et al., MNRAS460, 4466 (2016), 1512.02636.

and spin) to their host halo in and after star forming processes. Lastly, it is essential to quantitatively compare these results based on IllustrisTNG and other hydrodynamical simulations, as well as more convergence checks on resolution, such as the origin of the offsets of the correlations in the galaxy inner region. We leave these to future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Fangzhou Jiang, Yi Zheng, Min Du and Houjun Mo for valuable discussions and comments. We also thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions. This work is supported by National Science Foundation of China grant No. 12173030. P.W. is sponsored by Shanghai Pujiang Program (No. 22PJ1415100). The authors acknowledge the support by the China Manned Space Program through its Space Application System. The IllustrisTNG simulations were run on the HazelHen Cray XC40 supercomputer at the High Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS) as part of project GCS-ILLU of the Gauss Centre for Supercomputing (GCS).

- [24] R. A. Crain et al., MNRAS450, 1937 (2015), 1501.01311.
- [25] J. Schaye et al., MNRAS446, 521 (2015), 1407.7040.
- [26] M. Du, L. C. Ho, H.-R. Yu, and V. P. Debattista, ApJ937, L18 (2022), 2201.08579.
- [27] A. B. Romeo, O. Agertz, and F. Renaud, MNRAS518, 1002 (2023), 2204.02695.
- [28] F. Jiang et al., MNRAS488, 4801 (2019), 1804.07306.
- [29] D. Ceverino et al., MNRAS442, 1545 (2014), 1307.0943.
- [30] A. Zolotov et al., MNRAS450, 2327 (2015), 1412.4783.
- [31] L. Wang et al., MNRAS454, 83 (2015), 1503.04818.
- [32] F. Marinacci et al., MNRAS480, 5113 (2018), 1707.03396.
- [33] D. Nelson et al., MNRAS475, 624 (2018), 1707.03395.
- [34] D. Nelson et al., MNRAS490, 3234 (2019), 1902.05554.
- [35] A. Pillepich et al., MNRAS475, 648 (2018), 1707.03406.
- [36] A. Pillepich et al., MNRAS490, 3196 (2019), 1902.05553.
- [37] V. Springel et al., MNRAS475, 676 (2018), 1707.03397.
- [38] D. Nelson et al., Computational Astrophysics and Cosmology 6, 2 (2019), 1812.05609.
- [39] V. Springel, MNRAS401, 791 (2010), 0901.4107.
- [40] R. Weinberger, V. Springel, and R. Pakmor, ApJS248, 32 (2020), 1909.04667.
- [41] V. Springel, N-GenIC: Cosmological structure initial conditions, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1502.003, 2015, 1502.003.
- [42] Planck Collaboration et al., A&A594, A13 (2016), 1502.01589.
- [43] M. Davis, G. Efstathiou, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, ApJ292, 371 (1985).
- [44] V. Springel, S. D. M. White, G. Tormen, and G. Kauffmann, MN-RAS328, 726 (2001), astro-ph/0012055.
- [45] S. Genel et al., MNRAS435, 1426 (2013), 1305.2195.
- [46] L. V. Sales et al., MNRAS409, 1541 (2010), 1004.5386.
- [47] V. Rodriguez-Gomez et al., MNRAS467, 3083 (2017), 1609.09498.
- [48] L. V. Sales et al., MNRAS423, 1544 (2012), 1112.2220.
- [49] J. S. Bullock et al., ApJ555, 240 (2001), astro-ph/0011001.
- [50] M.-J. Sheng et al., Phys. Rev. D105, 063540 (2022), 2110.15512.

- [51] D. DeFelippis, S. Genel, G. L. Bryan, and S. M. Fall, ApJ841, 16 (2017), 1703.03806.
- [52] J. Zjupa and V. Springel, MNRAS466, 1625 (2017), 1608.01323.
- [53] V. Rodriguez-Gomez et al., MNRAS449, 49 (2015), 1502.01339.
- [54] V. Rodriguez-Gomez et al., MNRAS458, 2371 (2016), 1511.08804.
- [55] K. Xu, Y. P. Jing, and D. Zhao, ApJ957, 45 (2023), 2307.12334.
- [56] L. Eisert et al., MNRAS519, 2199 (2023), 2202.06967.
- [57] R. A. Sunyaev and Y. B. Zeldovich, MNRAS190, 413 (1980).
- [58] A. Cooray and X. Chen, ApJ573, 43 (2002), astro-ph/0107544.
- [59] A. D. Montero-Dorta, M. C. Artale, L. R. Abramo, and B. Tucci, MNRAS504, 4568 (2021), 2008.08607.

- [60] Y. Zheng et al., MNRAS519, 1171 (2023), 2209.04464.
- [61] E. Altamura, S. T. Kay, J. Chluba, and I. Towler, MNRAS524, 2262 (2023), 2302.07936.
- [62] C. Porciani, A. Dekel, and Y. Hoffman, MNRAS332, 325 (2002), astro-ph/0105123.
- [63] C. Cadiou, A. Pontzen, and H. V. Peiris, MNRAS502, 5480 (2021), 2012.02201.
- [64] C. Cadiou, A. Pontzen, and H. V. Peiris, MNRAS517, 3459 (2022), 2206.11913.
- [65] J. Lee and J.-S. Moon, ApJ936, 119 (2022), 2204.11268.