

Aging Dynamics of d -dimensional Locally Activated Random Walks

Julien Brémont,^{1,2} Theresa Jakuszeit,³ R. Voituriez,^{1,2} and O. Bénichou¹

¹*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée,
CNRS/Sorbonne Université, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

²*Laboratoire Jean Perrin, CNRS/Sorbonne Université, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

³*Institut Curie, CNRS UMR 144, Université PSL, 26 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France*

(Dated: November 20, 2023)

Locally activated random walks are defined as random processes, whose dynamical parameters are modified upon visits to given activation sites. Such dynamics naturally emerge in living systems as varied as immune and cancer cells interacting with spatial heterogeneities in tissues, or at larger scales animals encountering local resources. At the theoretical level, these random walks provide an explicit construction of strongly non Markovian, and aging dynamics. We propose a general analytical framework to determine various statistical properties characterizing the position and dynamical parameters of the random walker on d -dimensional lattices. Our analysis applies in particular to both passive (diffusive) and active (run and tumble) dynamics, and quantifies the aging dynamics and potential trapping of the random walker; it finally identifies clear signatures of activated dynamics for potential use in experimental data.

Locally activated random walks (LARWs) are defined as stochastic processes that undergo changes in their dynamic characteristics when they encounter specific sites, called hereafter activation sites [1–3]. Such locally activated dynamics, where activation sites either accelerate or slow down the process, can be typically observed in living systems, such as cells navigating through tissues [4, 5], or on a larger scale, when animals exploit local resources, or on the contrary visit infected areas [6, 7]. For example, it has been observed that immune (dendritic) cells, whose function is to collect chemical signals (antigens) left by pathogens, switch from a slow, non-persistent state, to a fast and persistent state, which eventually helps triggering the specific immune response [4]. This switch occurs when a threshold amount of chemical signals has been collected, after many visits to antigen concentrated spots; the switch can also occur in absence of infection signals, when the cells have been mechanically confined, as happens when they randomly migrate through tight pores distributed throughout tissues [8].

At the theoretical level, a minimal description of such dynamics suggests to endow the random walker (RWER) of interest, whose position at time t will be hereafter denoted by $x(t)$, with an internal scalar variable $a(t)$ – called activation hereafter –, which is a random variable controlled by the history of successive visits of the RWER to given activation sites up to t . In turn it is posited that the parameters ruling the dynamics of the RWER, typically its instantaneous speed or persistence, depend on a . This minimal choice makes the dynamics of the position $x(t)$ of a LARWER genuinely non Markovian, because it depends on the past trajectory $\{x(t')\}_{t' \leq t}$, and aging, because the statistics of the activation $a(t)$ is typically non stationary, eg depends on the observation time. Beyond the applications mentioned above, the class of LARWs thus provides an explicit microscopic construction of non Markovian, aging stochastic processes with a broad range of adjustable dynamic and geometric properties, which, as we show below, can be quantified analytically. Related

examples of non-Markovian RWs, in which the memory of the complete past trajectory determines the future evolution, comprise self-avoiding walks [9], true self-avoiding walkers [10–12], self-interacting RWs [1, 13–20] and RWs with reinforcement such as the elephant walks [21–23].

So far, the analysis of LARWs has been restricted to the example of $1d$ Brownian dynamics, with a point like activation site [2]. Because a given point in space is almost surely never visited by a Brownian RWER for $d \geq 2$, this early analysis is not suitable to generalizations to higher space dimensions, which are of obvious importance for practical applications. In addition, this model was limited to Brownian motion and thus parametrized by a single parameter – the diffusion coefficient $D(a)$. It thus does not cover the case of persistent RWs – typically parametrized by both their instantaneous speed and persistence, which are paradigmatic models of active particles, required in particular in the description of living systems as exemplified above, be them cells or animals [24].

In what follows, we introduce a general d -dimensional lattice model of continuous time LARWs, which covers the case of both simple symmetric (Polya) and persistent RWs, with either accelerated or decelerated dynamics. We present a general framework to obtain exact, analytical determinations of the joint distribution $P(x, a, t)$ of the position and activation of the RWER at time t , which fully characterizes the process. Our analysis shows quantitatively that activation, even if localized at a single site, can deeply impact the dynamics at large scales. For generic accelerated processes, we show that the marginal distribution of the position of the RWER (denoted $P(x, t)$ for simplicity) is non Gaussian for $d = 1, 2, 3$ – thereby generalizing the result of [2] obtained for $1d$ Brownian LARW. In contrast to the $1d$ case, which leads to anomalous diffusion, we find for $d = 2, 3$ a diffusive scaling $x \sim t^{1/2}$ for all choices of activation dynamics. For decelerated processes, we identify and characterize quantitatively a transition between a Gaussian, diffusive regime




FIG. 1: Left: Sketch of a LARWer (eg immune cell), whose dynamic parameters (speed v and persistence length l_p) depend on the activation time a , which increases upon each visit to the activation site (eg antigen carrying site). Right: A persistent LARW on a 2D square lattice, with persistence parameter ε_a ($p = \frac{1-\varepsilon_a}{4}$) and waiting time $\tau(a)$. The trajectory of the RWer is represented by the green arrows, and the activation site is represented by the red disk. The activation time increases from a to a' upon the visit of the activation site.

and a phase where the RWer can be irreversibly trapped at the activation site.

LARW : general framework. We consider a RWer that performs a generic continuous time RW on a regular d -dimensional infinite lattice. More precisely, the RWer, if at site s with activation time a , performs a jump with rate $1/\tau(a)$ to a site drawn from a given distribution (see explicit examples below and Fig. 1). The walk starts with activation time $a = 0$ from the origin $x = 0$, which is assumed by convention to be the only activation site of the lattice. In what follows, we assume that the activation a of the RWer is only increasing and given by the cumulative time spent on the activation site up to time t , so that $a(t) = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}(x(t')) dt'$, where $\mathbb{1}(s) = 1$ if s is the activation site and 0 otherwise; in turn, $\tau(a)$ is a system dependent modelling choice, and can capture both accelerated (decreasing $\tau(a)$) and decelerated (increasing $\tau(a)$) processes. Of note, the aging dynamics of a makes the position process $x(t)$ non Markovian, because the jump rate of the RW depends explicitly on the activation time a that is controlled by the full history of visits of the RWer to the activation site. Nonetheless, the process $(x(t), a(t))$ is Markovian, and the joint distribution $P(x, a, t)$ fully characterizes the process ; we denote $\hat{P}(x, a, s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} P(x, a, t) dt$ its Laplace transform.

In what follows, we first show that even if $a(t)$ alone is not a markovian process, an explicit evolution equation for $P(0, a, t)$ can be obtained. Writing $P(0, t + dt, a + dt)$ as a partition over the last time the hotspot was visited yields two different scenarii : (i) the walker was at 0 at time t with activation time a and did not jump during dt , or (ii) the walker jumped from 0 at an earlier time $t' < t$ with activation time $a + dt$ and came back exactly at $t + dt$. The key point is that between two consecutive visits to the activation site, the activation time a , and thus the jump rate $1/\tau(a)$ of the RWer remains constant. Thus, the probability of events involved in (ii) can be written in terms of the first-passage time (FPT) density to site 0 for a simple random walker with constant jump

rate $1/\tau(a)$ starting from a neighboring site of 0, which is denoted $F_a(0|\partial_0, t)$. This yields (see supplementary material (SM) for details)

$$\partial_t P(0, a, t) + \partial_a P(0, a, t) = -\frac{P(0, a, t)}{\tau(a)} + \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\tau(a)} P(0, a, t') F_a(0|\partial_0, t - t'). \quad (1)$$

Next, we define $\xi_a(s) = (1 + s\tau(a))^{-1}$ and Laplace-transform (1) to obtain a first important result

$$\partial_a \hat{P}(0, a, s) = \frac{[\mathcal{F}(0|0, \xi_a(s)) - 1] \hat{P}(0, a, s)}{\tau(a) \xi_a(s)} \quad (2)$$

where we introduced the discrete-time, non-activated first-passage generating function $\mathcal{F}(0|0, \xi)$, related to its continuous-time, activated counterpart $\hat{F}_a(0|\partial_0, s)$ by $\hat{F}_a(0|\partial_0, s) = \mathcal{F}(0|0, \xi_a(s))/\xi_a(s)$ [9]. Integrating this equation is straightforward and yields an explicit expression for $\hat{P}(0, a, s)$, provided that $\mathcal{F}(0|0, \xi)$ is known.

We now show how to obtain the full joint distribution $P(x, a, t)$ from (2). For the walker to be on site x with activation a at t , it must jump away from 0 at an earlier time t' with activation a , and next reach site x without hitting 0 in the remaining time $t - t'$. This analysis yields the following renewal equation

$$P(x, a, t) = \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\tau(a)} P(0, a, t') P_{\text{surv}}^a(x|\partial_0, t - t') \quad (3)$$

where we define $P_{\text{surv}}^a(x|\partial_0, t)$ to be the (survival) probability for a walker with activation a , starting from site 0 and jumping at time 0^+ , to be at site x at time t , all this without visiting site 0 again. This quantity is related [9] to its non-activated, discrete-time counterpart $\mathcal{P}_{\text{surv}}(x|0, \xi)$ by $\hat{P}_{\text{surv}}^a(x|\partial_0, s) = \tau(a) \xi_a(s) \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\text{surv}}(x|0, \xi_a(s))}{\xi_a(s)}$. The Laplace transform of (3) thus yields

$$\hat{P}(x, a, s) = \hat{P}(0, a, s) \mathcal{P}_{\text{surv}}(x|0, \xi_a(s)). \quad (4)$$

We now recall how all the quantities entering (2), (4) can be deduced from the generating function $\mathcal{P}(x|y, \xi)$ of the propagator of the corresponding non activated RW. Standard results [9] yield the discrete-time generating function $\mathcal{P}_{\text{surv}}(x|0, \xi)$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{surv}}(x|0, \xi) = \mathcal{F}(x|0, \xi) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(x|0, \xi)}{\mathcal{P}(0|0, \xi)} \quad (5)$$

as well as the first-return time to 0

$$\mathcal{F}(0|0, \xi) = 1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(0|0, \xi)}. \quad (6)$$

Using these results, one finds finally the exact expression of the Laplace transformed joint law

$$\hat{P}(x, a, s) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(x|0, \xi_a)}{\mathcal{P}(0|0, \xi_a)} \exp\left(-\int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b) \xi_b \mathcal{P}(0|0, \xi_b)}\right). \quad (7)$$

We stress that this determination of the joint law is fully explicit for all processes for which the propagator of the underlying, non activated RW is known. We present explicit examples below.

d-dimensional nearest neighbor LARWs. For the paradigmatic example of symmetric nearest neighbor RWs on the hypercubic lattice \mathbb{Z}^d , one has the following integral representation of the (non activated) propagator [9]:

$$\mathcal{P}(x = (x_k)_{k=1\dots d}, \xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \prod_{k=1}^d I_{x_k} \left(\frac{u\xi}{d} \right) du \quad (8)$$

where I_{x_k} is a modified Bessel function.

For $d = 1$, making use of Eq.7 yields (see SM):

$$\hat{P}_{1D}(x, a, s) = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \xi_a^2}}{\xi_a} \right)^{|x|} \exp \left(- \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \xi_b^2}}{\xi_b} \right) \quad (9)$$

While this expression cannot, to the best of our knowledge, be Laplace inverted analytically for arbitrary $\tau(a)$, numerical inversion is straightforward and provides the joint law at all times. Under the condition that $\tau(a) \ll a$ for $a \rightarrow \infty$ (to be refined below), a smooth (non singular) continuous limit, defined by $x \rightarrow \infty, x^2\tau(a)/t$ fixed, can be obtained and yields the following asymptotic expression of the joint law of x, a

$$P_{1D}(x, a, t) \sim \frac{Z e^{-\frac{z^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^{3/2}}} \quad (10)$$

where $Z = \sqrt{\tau(a)}|x| + F_1(a)$, $F_1(a) = \int_0^a \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau(b)}}$. In turn, considering the explicit example of $\tau(a) \sim a^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > -1$ (to ensure $\tau(a) \ll a$ for $a \rightarrow \infty$), integration over a using the saddle point method yields the marginal distribution

$$P_{1D}(x, t) \sim \begin{cases} A(\alpha) \frac{x^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}}{t} \exp \left(-B(\alpha) \frac{x^{\frac{2+\alpha}{4t}}}{4t} \right), \alpha > 0 \\ \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}|x|)}}, -1 < \alpha < 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where A, B are constants explicitly given in SM. Of note, the marginal distribution is thus non Gaussian, and even non monotonic as a function of x in the case of accelerated processes. These results generalize the earlier findings of [2], where a similar $1d$ model of LARW for a Brownian particle in continuous space, with a pointlike activation site was studied. These earlier results are indeed recovered by taking the appropriate continuous limit of (10),(11) (see SM).

We now turn to LARW on the 2-dimensional square lattice. Using (7),(8), the joint law of $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and a




FIG. 2: Joint law of activation time (colors) and position (abscissa, along a given axis) of an accelerating 2D LARW with waiting time $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a}$. Thick lines are obtained from numerical Laplace inversion of (12), while dashed lines correspond to the asymptotics (13).

can be written in Laplace space

$$\hat{P}_{2D}(x, a, s) = \frac{\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-u} I_{x_1} \left(\frac{\xi_a u}{2} \right) I_{x_2} \left(\frac{\xi_a u}{2} \right) du}{2K(\xi_a^2)} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)\xi_b K(\xi_b^2)} \right) \quad (12)$$

where K is the elliptic integral. While there is a priori no simple Laplace inversion of this expression, numerical inversion can be performed and provides the joint law for all values of parameters (see Fig 2).

In addition, in the scaling regime $x \rightarrow \infty, x^2\tau(a)/t$ fixed, Laplace inversion can be performed analytically (assuming $\tau(a) \ll a$ for $a \rightarrow \infty$, see SM) and yields :

$$P_{2D}(x, a, t) \sim -\frac{\tau(a)}{\pi t} e^{-\frac{|x|^2 \tau(a)}{t}} \partial_a e^{-\frac{\pi F_2(a)}{\log \frac{8t^2}{\tau(a)^2 |x|^2}}} \quad (13)$$

where $F_2(a) = \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}$. This expression of the joint law is found to be in very good agreement with the numerical inversion (see Fig 2). Of note, different behaviours are obtained according to the value of a relative to a threshold value $a_*(t)$, which can be determined from the analysis of (13), and turns out to scale as the typical value of a at time t (see SM) [25]. For $a < a_*$, trajectories with atypically low numbers of visits to the activation site are selected. This leads to an effective repulsion from the activation site, so that $P_{2D}(x, a, t)$ as a function of x displays a local minimum for $x = (0, 0)$. Conversely, for $a > a_*$, trajectories with atypically large numbers of visits to the activation site are selected, and $P_{2D}(x, a, t)$ shows a sharp maximum for $x = (0, 0)$.

We now turn to the determination of the marginal distribution of the position at time t . To be explicit, we consider the example $\tau(a) \sim \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$ with $\alpha > -1$ (to ensure $\tau(a) \ll a$ for $a \rightarrow \infty$). Using again the saddle point method in the regime $x/\sqrt{t} \gg 1$, one finds, up to sub-




FIG. 3: Spatial distribution for a persistent 2D LARW with a single hotspot at the origin with persistence parameter ε , along a given axis x . Blue, yellow and red curves correspond respectively to $\varepsilon = -0.3, 0, 0.4$. Black lines correspond to the saddle-point approximations (14) and are drawn for $\varepsilon = 0$. Thick lines are obtained from numerical integration over a of the asymptotic expression (13) with rescaled waiting time $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\tau(a)$, while dashed lines correspond to numerical simulations. (a) Decelerating LARW, with $\tau(a) = \sqrt{1+a}$. Note the cusp at site $x = 0$. (b) Accelerating LARW, with $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a}$. Note the nonzero typical displacement scaling logarithmically with time.

leading log log corrections:

$$P_{2D}(x, t) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{A(\alpha)}{t} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} e^{-\frac{B(\alpha)(\frac{x^2}{t})^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}}{\log \frac{C(\alpha)(\frac{t^{1+\alpha}}{x})^{\frac{1}{1+2\alpha}}}}}, & \alpha > 0 \\ \frac{\exp(-\frac{x^2}{t})}{t(\pi - \frac{\alpha x^2}{t} \log(\frac{8t^2}{x^2}))}, & -1 < \alpha < 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where A is a slowly varying function of x and t , and B, C are constants, which are explicitly given in SM. This analytical expression of the distribution, confirmed by numerical simulations, is strongly non-Gaussian, similarly to the 1d case (see Fig.3). In addition, for accelerated processes ($\alpha > 0$), this distribution is maximized for a non vanishing, increasing in time displacement $r_*(t)$.

We now turn to LARW on the 3d cubic lattice. As opposed to the above $d = 1, 2$ cases, the 3d non activated RW is known to be transient, so that $P(0|0, \xi_a)$ tends to the finite value $\frac{1}{1-R}$ as $as \ll 1$, where $R \simeq 0.34\dots$ is the return probability on the cubic lattice [9]. This allows for an explicit Laplace inversion in the regime $x \rightarrow \infty, a \ll t, x^2\tau(a)/t$ fixed, which yields using (7) (assuming $\tau(a) \ll a$ for $a \rightarrow \infty$):

$$P_{3D}(x, a, t) \sim (1-R)\sqrt{\tau(a)}\left(\frac{3}{2\pi t}\right)^{3/2} \times \exp\left(-\frac{3|x|^2\tau(a)}{2t} - (1-R)F_3(a)\right) \quad (15)$$

where $F_3(a) = \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}$. Of note, in contrast to the case of recurrent RWs for $d = 1, 2$, (15) is a Gaussian function of x (for a fixed), and is maximized at the origin, and this regardless of $\tau(a)$, for both accelerated and decelerated processes. Finally, taking the example of $\tau(a) \sim 1/a^\alpha$, the marginal distribution of the position is obtained by

saddle-point integration of (15) in the regime $x/\sqrt{t} \gg 1$

$$P_{3D}(x, t) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{A(\alpha)}{t^{3/2}} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}} e^{-B(\alpha)\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}}, & \alpha > 0 \\ \left(\frac{3}{2\pi t}\right)^{3/2} \frac{e^{-\frac{3x^2}{2t}}}{1 - \frac{3\alpha x^2}{2t(1-R)}}, & -1 < \alpha < 0 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where $A(\alpha), B(\alpha)$ are constants and are given explicitly in the SM. Of note this distribution shows a diffusive scaling $x^2 \propto t$, even if non Gaussian. Therefore, a localized perturbation by a single activation site, even for a transient RW that typically makes only a finite number of visits to this site, is sufficient to yield a non Gaussian behaviour.

Persistent (active) LARWs. Last, we show that (2) can in fact be used beyond the case of symmetric nearest neighbor RWs. For the sake of simplicity, we consider a 1d RWer that performs a persistent RW on \mathbb{Z} [26], which is the discrete space analog of the classical run and tumble model of active particle. Our results below can be generalized to d -dimensional persistent RWs as shown in SM. In this model, after a jump $\sigma = \pm 1$, the walker performs an identical jump σ with probability $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$, and $-\sigma$ with probability $\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$. Local activation is taken into account by allowing both the jump rate $1/\tau(a)$ and the persistence parameter $\varepsilon_a \in [-1, 1]$ to depend on activation a , whose definition is unchanged. Eq. (4) remains valid for this process, and yields the Laplace transform of the joint distribution $\hat{P}(x \neq 0, a, s)$, given in Eq.(9) of the SM. Importantly, this expression, upon numerical inversion, gives access to the joint law at all times. In addition the $as \ll 1$ asymptotics of this equation show that at large scales the persistent LARW can be mapped exactly to a non-persistent nearest neighbor LARW with rescaled waiting time $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \frac{1-\varepsilon(a)}{1+\varepsilon(a)}\tau(a)$, for which explicit expressions of the joint law have been obtained above. This result holds in any space dimension, and explicitly quantifies how the activation of either persistence ($\varepsilon(a)$) or velocity ($1/\tau(a)$) impacts on the large scale dynamics of the process. In particular, acceleration ($\tilde{\tau}(a) \rightarrow 0$) can be achieved either by increasing the instantaneous speed ($\tau(a) \rightarrow 0$) or increasing persistence ($\varepsilon(a) \rightarrow 1$), as quantified by our approach.

Ergodicity breaking and trapping. In the case of decelerated processes, the particle can eventually be trapped at the activation site. Quantitatively, this trapping occurs if the particle asymptotically spends a finite fraction of time at 0, so that there is some $\gamma > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(0, a = \gamma t, t) > 0$ and the joint law is non smooth (singular) at large times. The analysis of the joint law (7) shows (see SM) that such ergodicity breaking occurs if and only if

$$S \equiv e^{-\int_0^\infty \frac{da}{\tau(a)}} > 0. \quad (17)$$

Of note, this condition holds in all space dimensions; in the example $\tau(a) \sim a^{-\alpha}$ discussed above this occurs for $\alpha < -1$. This condition of trapping is also realised if $\tau(a)$

diverges for a finite activation a_f . Importantly, while general expressions (9), (12) of the joint law are valid for both trapping and non trapping regimes, explicit expressions (11), (13), (14), (16) have been obtained in the ergodic regime $S = 0$ (or more loosely $\tau(a) \ll a$), and thus remain smooth. In the case of nearest neighbor RWs, the trapping condition (17) amounts to requiring that the probability S that the walker remains on the activation site forever upon a given visit is non vanishing. In turn, for persistent RWs this condition applies to the effective $\tilde{\tau}$, and reveals two possible mechanisms for trapping: either waiting times diverge ($\tau(a) \rightarrow \infty$), as in the case of trapped nearest neighbor RWs, or the RW becomes infinitely antipersistent ($\varepsilon(a) \rightarrow -1$). Our analysis allows to quantify asymptotically in the large t regime the dynamics of trapping by considering $P(0, a = \gamma t, t)$ in the large t regime, which is obtained from the $s \rightarrow 0$ behaviour of $\tilde{P}(0, a = \gamma/s, s)$ in the non-ergodic regime (see SM). This shows that for $d = 1, 2$, ie recurrent

RWs, $P(0, a, t) \sim (1 - w_d(t))\delta(a - \gamma t)$, where $\gamma = 1$ for simple and persistent LARWs with $\tau(a) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma = 1/2$ for persistent LARWs with $\varepsilon(a) \rightarrow -1$. The weight $w_d(t)$ is given explicitly in SM and vanishes for $t \rightarrow \infty$, so that the RWer is eventually trapped with probability 1. For $d = 3$, ie transient RWs, one has $P(0, a, t) \sim e^{-(1-R)} \int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\tau(b)} \delta(a - \gamma t)$, and the RWer always has a non vanishing probability to remain untrapped, which is quantified by our approach.

Finally, we have presented a comprehensive analytical framework for determining a range of statistical properties that describe the dynamics of both passive and active (run and tumble) LARWs on d -dimensional lattices. In the context of living systems, our analysis unveils notable and robust features of LARW (such as non-Gaussian behavior, diffusive or anomalous scaling, non-monotonicity of $P(x, t)$, and trapping). These features offer clear signatures of activated dynamics that can be potentially useful in the analysis of experimental data.

-
- [1] T. Antal and S. Redner, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **38**, 2555 (2005).
- [2] O. Bénichou, N. Meunier, S. Redner, and R. Voituriez, *Physical Review E* **85**, 021137 (2012).
- [3] S. Redner and O. Bénichou, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2014**, P11019 (2014).
- [4] H. D. Moreau, M. Piel, R. Voituriez, and A.-M. Lennon-Duménil, *Trends Immunol* **39**, 632 (2018).
- [5] G. P. d. F. Nader, S. Agüera-Gonzalez, F. Routet, M. Gratia, M. Maurin, V. Cancila, C. Cadart, A. Palamidessi, R. N. Ramos, M. San Roman, et al., *Cell* **184**, 5230 (2021).
- [6] O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **83**, 81 (2011).
- [7] G. M. Viswanathan, M. G. Da Luz, E. P. Raposo, and H. E. Stanley, *The physics of foraging: an introduction to random searches and biological encounters* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [8] Z. Alraies, C. A. Rivera, M.-G. Delgado, D. Sanséau, M. Maurin, R. Amadio, G. M. Piperno, G. Dunsmore, A. Yatim, L. L. Mariano, et al., *bioRxiv* p. 2022.08.09.503223 (2023).
- [9] B. Hughes, *Random walks and random environments* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1995).
- [10] D. J. Amit, G. Parisi, and L. Peliti, *Phys. Rev. B* **27**, 1635 (1983).
- [11] L. Pietronero, *Phys. Rev. B* **27**, 5887 (1983).
- [12] P. Grassberger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 140601 (2017).
- [13] M. Perman and W. Werner, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **108**, 357 (1997).
- [14] B. Davis, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **113**, 501 (1999).
- [15] R. Pemantle and S. Volkov, *The Annals of Probability* **27**, 1368 (1999).
- [16] D. Boyer and C. Solis-Salas, *Physical Review Letters* **112**, 240601 (2014).
- [17] J. d’Alessandro, A. Barbier-Chebbah, V. Cellerin, O. Benichou, R. Mège, R. Voituriez, and B. Ladoux, *Nature Communications* **12**, 4118 (2021).
- [18] A. Barbier-Chebbah, O. Bénichou, and R. Voituriez, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 011052 (2022).
- [19] L. Régnier, O. Bénichou, and P. L. Krapivsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 227101 (2023).
- [20] L. Régnier, M. Dolgushev, S. Redner, and O. Bénichou, *Nature Communications* **14**, 618 (2023).
- [21] G. M. Schütz and S. Trimper, *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 045101 (2004).
- [22] E. Baur and J. Bertoin, *Phys. Rev. E* **94**, 052134 (2016).
- [23] B. Bercu and L. Laulin, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **133**, 111 (2021).
- [24] P. Romanczuk, M. Bär, W. Ebeling, B. Lindner, and L. Schimansky-Geier, *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* **202**, 1 (2012).
- [25] Note, a similar phenomenology is obtained for 1d LARW from eq. (10).
- [26] M. H. Ernst, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **53**, 191 (1988), 10.1007/BF01011552.

Supplementary Material

Julien Brémont,^{1,2} Theresa Jakuszeit,³ R. Voituriez,^{1,2} and O. Bénichou¹

¹*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée,
CNRS/Sorbonne Université, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

²*Laboratoire Jean Perrin, CNRS/Sorbonne Université, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France*

³*Institut Curie, CNRS UMR 144, Université PSL, 26 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France*

(Dated: November 17, 2023)

I. PROOF OF THE MASTER EQUATION (1) FOR $P(0, a, t)$

We keep notations and definitions of the main text. Partitioning over the last time the activation site was visited yields the equation

$$\begin{aligned} P(0, a + dt, t + dt) &= \mathbb{P}(\text{being at } 0 \text{ with } a \text{ at } t, \text{ stay at } 0 \text{ for } dt) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(\text{jump from } 0 \text{ at } t' \leq t \text{ with } a + dt \text{ and return to } 0 \text{ exactly at } t + dt) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{dt}{\tau(a)}\right) P(0, a, t) + \int_0^{t+dt} \frac{dt'}{\tau(a)} P(0, a + dt, t') (F_{a+dt}(0|\partial_0, t + dt - t') dt) \end{aligned}$$

Taylor-expanding both sides of the equation and neglecting all $O(dt^2)$ terms yields (1) in the main text.

II. ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE POSITION OF A 1D LARW

Here we derive equation (11) in the main text, which is the asymptotic distribution of the position of a 1D LARW with waiting time $\tau(a) \sim \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$, $\alpha > -1$.

A. Accelerating 1D LARW

We assume a form $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$. We remind that the asymptotic joint distribution of a, x reads (equation (10) in the main text)

$$P(x, a, t) \underset{a \ll t}{\sim} \frac{Z}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-Z^2/2t}, Z = a^{-\alpha/2} x + \frac{a^{1+\alpha/2}}{1 + \alpha/2} \quad (1)$$

The marginal distribution of x reads, integrating over a and changing variables $a = ux^{1/(1+\alpha)}$

$$P(x, t) \sim \frac{x^{1/(1+\alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2+2\alpha}} \phi(u) e^{-\frac{x^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2t}}}{\alpha+1} \phi(u)^2} du, \phi(u) = \frac{u^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (\alpha + 2u^{\alpha+1} + 2)}{\alpha + 2} \quad (2)$$

One easily sees that ϕ has a minimum at $u_* = (\frac{\alpha}{2})^{1/(1+\alpha)}$, and

$$\phi(u_*) = \frac{2^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+2}+1} \alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+2}} (\alpha + 1)}{\alpha + 2}, \phi''(u_*) = 2^{1-\frac{3\alpha}{2\alpha+2}} \alpha^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha+1)}} (\alpha + 1)$$

Using the Laplace method, one obtains the asymptotics for large x

$$P(x, t) \sim \frac{x^{\frac{4+\alpha}{2+2\alpha}}}{t} \phi(u_*) \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}} \phi''(u_*)}} e^{-\frac{x^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2t}}}{\alpha+1} \phi(u_*)^2} = \frac{Ax^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}}{t} e^{-Bx^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2t}}}$$

where $A = \frac{\phi(u_*)}{\sqrt{\phi''(u_*)}}$, $B = \phi(u_*)^2$.

B. Decelerating 1D LARW

We assume here that $-1 < \alpha < 0$, so that the LARW decelerates. Also, we rather use $\tau(a) = (1+a)^\alpha$ so that $\tau(0) = 1$ is finite. The former derivation does not apply anymore as Z defined above is minimal at the boundary $a = 0$ of the integration range. It writes, near this value

$$Z^2 = |x|^2 + |x|(2 - \alpha|x|)a + O(a^2)$$

Therefore, we use the Laplace method with a maximum at the boundary to find

$$P(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty Z e^{-\frac{Z^2}{2t}} da \underset{\sqrt{t} \ll x \ll t}{\sim} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty e^{-x(2-\alpha|x|)a/2t} |x| da = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}|x|)}$$

III. ASYMPTOTIC FORM OF THE JOINT LAW OF ACTIVATED TIME AND POSITION $P(x, a, t)$ FOR 2D LARW

A. Laplace asymptotics of the joint law $\hat{P}(x, a, s)$

Here, we underline the derivation of the asymptotic form (14) from the exact result (13). We recall that we have, from Hughes, p151, the following behavior of the discrete-time generating function of the propagator of the square lattice 2D random walk, with our convention

$$P_{2D}(x, \xi) \underset{\xi \rightarrow 1^-}{\sim} 2 \frac{K_0(2\sqrt{1-\xi}|x|)}{\pi}$$

When ergodicity is not broken ie $\tau(a) \ll a$, one has $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{1+s\tau(a)} \underset{as \ll 1}{\sim} 1 - s\tau(a)$.

Using the same argument, we have, for $as \ll 1$, the expansion of the term with the elliptic integral

$$K(\xi_a^2) \underset{as \ll 1}{\sim} \frac{\log \frac{8}{s\tau(a)}}{2}$$

so that

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)\xi_b K(\xi_b^2)} \underset{as \ll 1}{\sim} \pi \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b) \log \frac{8}{s\tau(b)}} \sim \frac{\pi}{\log \frac{8}{s\tau(a)}} \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}$$

integrating the log as if it were a constant. This is justified for algebraic $\tau(a) = a^\alpha$, for which we can perform the integral exactly and find the same $as \ll 1$ behavior.

Combining these results yields eq. (14).

B. A result about Laplace inversion in the complex plane

We expose here a technical result that is useful for analytical inversions of Laplace transforms. Assume that we want to invert the Laplace transform $\hat{f}(s)$ where $\hat{f}(s) = o(\frac{1}{s})$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ and $\hat{f}(s)$ has no other singular point than $s = 0$. For example, one can consider $\hat{f}(s) = \frac{1}{s^\alpha}$, $\alpha < 1$. Also suppose $\hat{f}(\bar{z}) = \overline{\hat{f}(z)}$, and \hat{f} has a branch cut along the negative real line. We write the Bromwich contour inversion of the Laplace quantity $\hat{f}(s)$ as

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \hat{f}(s) e^{st} ds$$

To obtain the integral over the complex vertical line for the laplace inverse, one closes the contour from $i\infty$ to $i0^+ - \infty$ in a large circle of radius R , slightly hovering above the negative real line, encloses a small circle of radius ε around the origin, runs below the negative real line $i0^- \rightarrow i0^- - \infty$ again and closes the contour at $-i\infty$ in a large circle. Only the contributions of the two runs along the negative real line remain as $R \rightarrow \infty$. We can then rewrite by the Cauchy residue theorem

$$f(t) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} \text{Im} \hat{f}(-u + i0^+) du \quad (3)$$

Indeed, for example on the branch $(-\infty + i0^+, +i0^+)$ we write $s = -u + i0^+$ with u running on the negative real line, and on the branch $(-\infty - i0^+, -i0^+)$ we write $s = -u - i0^+$ with u running on the negative real line : we thus choose two different determinations of \hat{f} due to the branch cut. Thus these two contributions yield the sum of the following two terms

$$\int_{-R}^{-\varepsilon} ds e^{st} \hat{f}(s) = \int_{\varepsilon}^R \hat{f}(-u + i0^+) e^{-ut} du, \quad \int_{-\varepsilon}^{-R} ds e^{st} \hat{f}(s) = - \int_{\varepsilon}^R \hat{f}(-u - i0^+) e^{-ut} du$$

The whole integral over the vertical complex line $f(t)$ plus these two contributions gives 0 according to the Cauchy theorem since no residue is present. This yields (3) in the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

C. Application of the above to $P(x, a, t)$

As derived above, the following $as \ll 1$ asymptotics hold

$$P_{2D}(x, a, s) \sim 2K_0(2\sqrt{s\tau(a)}|x|) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}}{\log \frac{8}{\tau(a)s}}\right)}{\log \frac{8}{\tau(a)s}}$$

Let $F(a) = \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}$. Using formula (3), one finds

$$P(\vec{x}, a, t) \underset{a \ll t}{\sim} \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{K_0\left(2i|x|\sqrt{u\tau(a)}\right)}{-i\pi + \log \frac{8}{u\tau(a)}} e^{-\frac{\pi F(a)}{-i\pi + \log \frac{8}{u\tau(a)}}} \right] du$$

If we change variables $v = \sqrt{\tau(a)u}$

$$P(\vec{x}, a, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{v^2 t}{\tau(a)}} v K_0(2i|x|v) \partial_a \exp\left(-\frac{\pi F(a)}{\log \frac{8}{v^2} - i\pi}\right) dv$$

Note that the main contributions to the integral are for $\frac{v^2 t}{\tau(a)}$ not too large. Hence, v should scale as $\sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}}$. For $x\sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}} \gg 1$, one can use the asymptotic form $K_0(2i|x|v) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{-2iv|x|}}{2\sqrt{iv|x|}}$. One then finds

$$P(\vec{x}, a, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty, x\sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}} \gg 1}{\sim} -\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2} \sqrt{|x|}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{v^2 t}{\tau(a)} - 2iv|x|} \sqrt{v} \partial_a \exp\left(-\frac{\pi F(a)}{\log \frac{8}{v^2} - i\pi}\right) dv$$

Completing the square in the exponential, we write $u = v\sqrt{\frac{t}{\tau(a)}} + i|x|\sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}}$

$$P(\vec{x}, a, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2} \sqrt{|x|}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2 \tau(a)}{t}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \int_{i|x|\sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}}}^{\infty + i|x|\sqrt{\frac{\tau(a)}{t}}} e^{-u^2} \sqrt{v(u)} \partial_a \exp\left(-\frac{\pi F(a)}{\log \frac{8}{v(u)^2} - i\pi}\right) du$$

This integral is dominated by small values of u : therefore, $v^2 \sim -\frac{\tau(a)^2 |x|^2}{t^2} = \frac{\tau(a)^2 |x|^2}{t^2} e^{-i\pi}$, because we approach this value from the lower half plane. This simplifies the $-i\pi$ in the denominator of the exp factor, and we are left with a simple gaussian integral. This finally yields for the joint law

$$P(\vec{x}, a, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty, \sqrt{t} \ll x \ll t}{\sim} -\frac{\tau(a)}{\pi t} e^{-\frac{|x|^2 \tau(a)}{t}} \partial_a e^{-\frac{\pi F(a)}{\log \frac{8t^2}{\tau(a)^2 |x|^2}}} \quad (4)$$

Note that by expanding the Bessel K_0 further in $1/x$, we can have a better estimate.

D. Determining the threshold $a_*(t)$

In the main text, we claim that above a threshold activated time $a_*(t)$, the joint distribution $P(x, a, t)$ displays a cusp at $x = 0$, while it displays bumps for $a < a_*(t)$. We perform the analysis for the choice $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a^q}$ and compute this threshold in this section. We will show that

$$a_*(t) = \log^{\frac{1}{1+q}} t$$

To do so, we look for values of a such that $\partial_x P(x, a, t) = 0$ has a solution at $x \neq 0$. Looking at the structure of $P(x, a, t)$ in x , it suffices to know for which values of a one has $\partial_x P(x, a, t)|_{x=1} < 0$, since it changes sign for large x .

We expect to find $a_*(t) \asymp \log^\delta t$. Writing $t = e^u$ and computing the x derivative at $x = 1$ of the joint law, and after some algebra, we have at largest order in u

$$\partial_x P(x, a, t)|_{x=1} < 0 \iff \pi u^{1+\delta(2q+1)} - 4(1+q)^2 u^{2+q\delta} < 0 \text{ for } u \text{ large enough} \iff \delta < \frac{1}{1+q}$$

Therefore, the threshold value is

$$a_*(t) = \log^{\frac{1}{1+q}} t$$

which is of the order of the mean of the activated time at time t , as expected : this mean sets a natural scale for a .

IV. ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE POSITION OF A 2D LARW

In this section we derive equation (14) in the main text, which is the asymptotic distribution of the position of a 2D LARW with waiting time $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$, $\alpha > -1$.

A. Decelerating 2D LARW

The reasoning is the same as for the 1D LARW.

B. Accelerating 2D LARW

First, we rewrite the asymptotic joint law $P(x, a, t)$ in the more computationally practical manner

$$P(x, a, t) \sim -\frac{\tau(a)}{\pi t} e^{-\frac{|x|^2 \tau(a)}{t}} \partial_a e^{-\frac{\pi F(a)}{\log \frac{8t^2}{\tau(a)^2 |x|^2}}} = \frac{\left((\alpha + 1) \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}ta^\alpha}{x} \right) - \alpha \right) \exp \left(-\frac{x^2 a^{-\alpha}}{t} - \frac{\pi a^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1) \left(2 \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}ta^\alpha}{x} \right) \right)} \right)}{2(\alpha + 1)t \log^2 \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}ta^\alpha}{x} \right)}$$

We follow the same derivation as the 3D case. Doing so, we obtain the following Laplace-type integral, after the change of variables $a = ux^{\frac{2}{1+2\alpha}}$

$$P_{2D}(x, t) \sim x^{\frac{2}{1+2\alpha}} \int_0^\infty du g(x, t, u) e^{-x^{\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}} \phi(u)} \quad (5)$$

where the large-deviation function writes

$$\phi(u) = \frac{\pi u^{\alpha+1}}{2(\alpha + 1) \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{ux^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right)} + \frac{1}{tu^\alpha}$$

and the algebraic prefactor in the integrand writes

$$g(x, t, u) = \frac{(\alpha + 1) \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{u x^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right) - \alpha}{2(\alpha + 1)t \log^2 \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{u x^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right)}$$

ϕ has a local minimum at a value $u_*(t)$ that verifies the equation

$$\phi'(u_*) = 0 \iff \frac{\pi u_*^\alpha}{2 \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{u_* x^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha) \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{u_* x^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right)} \right) - \frac{u_*^{1-\alpha} \alpha}{t} = 0$$

There is no simple analytical form for u_* . To proceed further, we perform a perturbative expansion in $\log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{u_* x^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right)$,

which, for large $tx^{\frac{-1}{2\alpha+1}}$, should be large enough. Since $x \ll t$ and $\alpha > 0$, $tx^{\frac{-1}{2\alpha+1}} \gg 1$ is satisfied. Of course, since the log varies slowly, we expect that traces of this perturbative expansion will remain in the comparison with numerics. This leads to the simpler implicit equation

$$u_* \sim \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\pi t} \log \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}t \left(\frac{u_* x^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}}}{x} \right)^\alpha}{x} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{1+2\alpha}}$$

We now plug this solution in (5) and perform the saddle-point approximation, while only keeping log terms and neglecting the log log terms. This yields, after some algebra performed with Mathematica, the following saddle-point form of the propagator announced in the main text

$$P(x, t) \sim \frac{A(\alpha)}{t} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} \exp \left(-B(\alpha) \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{t} \right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}}{l^{\frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}} \right) \quad (6)$$

where

$$l = \log \left(2\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\alpha \alpha^\alpha t^{\alpha+1}}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \right), B(\alpha) = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} (2\alpha + 1)}{\alpha + 1}, A(\alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} \alpha^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2\alpha+1}}}}{2\alpha+1} \left(l - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right)^{\frac{7\alpha+2}{4\alpha+2}}$$

The term $C(\alpha)$ used in the main text, which is defined by $l = \log \left(C(\alpha) \left(\frac{t^{1+\alpha}}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+2\alpha}} \right)$ thus writes $C(\alpha) = 2\sqrt{2} \left(\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\alpha \alpha^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}$.

V. 3D LARW

In this section we derive equation (16) in the main text, which is the asymptotic distribution of the position of a 3D LARW with waiting time $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha}, \alpha > -1$. In [1] is given the generating function of the propagator of a RW on the cubic lattice

$$P_{3D}(x, \xi) \sim 3 \frac{\exp \left(-\sqrt{6(1-\xi)}|x| \right)}{2\pi|x|}$$

A. Decelerating 3D LARW

We use eq. (12) in the main text, and integrate it over all a to obtain the marginal distribution of x . We first focus on the decelerating case so that $\tau(a) = (1+a)^\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$. We write

$$P_{3D}(x, t) = \int_0^\infty da P_{3D}(x, a, t) \sim (1-R) \left(\frac{3}{2\pi t} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty da \sqrt{\tau(a)} \exp\left(-\frac{3|x|^2 \tau(a)}{2t} - (1-R) \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)} \right)$$

In the scaling region $\frac{x^2}{t} \sim 1$, this integral is dominated by values of a close to $a_* = 0$. We then apply the Laplace method with a function exhibiting a boundary extremum. The series expansion of the term in the exponential at $a = 0$ is, after computing the integral $\int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)} = \frac{((a+1)^{1-\alpha} - 1)}{1-\alpha}$

$$\frac{(1-R)((a+1)^{1-\alpha} - 1)}{1-\alpha} + \frac{(3x^2)(a+1)^\alpha}{2t} = \frac{3x^2}{2t} + a \left(1-R + \frac{\alpha(3x^2)}{2t} \right) + O(a^2)$$

Therefore, the Laplace method yields the asymptotic form

$$P_{3D}(x, t) \sim \left(\frac{3}{2\pi t} \right)^{3/2} \frac{e^{-\frac{3x^2}{2t}}}{1 + \frac{3\alpha x^2}{2t(1-R)}}$$

as written in the main text.

B. Accelerating 3D LARW

We now focus on $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha}, \alpha > 0$. Following the same derivation as above, one has

$$P_{3D}(x, t) \sim (1-R) \left(\frac{3}{2\pi t} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty da \sqrt{\frac{1}{a^\alpha}} \exp\left(-\frac{3|x|^2}{2ta^\alpha} - (1-R) \frac{a^{1+\alpha}}{1+\alpha} \right)$$

We change variables $a = ux^{\frac{2}{1+2\alpha}}$ so that

$$P_{3D}(x, t) \sim (1-R) \left(\frac{3}{2\pi t} \right)^{3/2} x^{\frac{2}{1+2\alpha}} \int_0^\infty du \sqrt{\frac{1}{u^\alpha x^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}}} e^{-x^{\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}} \phi(u)}$$

where $\phi(u) = \frac{(1-R)u^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} + \frac{3}{2tu^\alpha}$ is the large deviation function associated to this Laplace integral. It is minimal at

$$u_*(t) = 3^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2t - 2Rt} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}, \phi(u_*(t)) = \frac{3(2\alpha+1) \left(3^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2-2R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \right)^{-\alpha}}{2(\alpha+1)t^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}}$$

The Laplace method yields, after some algebra, in the regime $\left(\frac{x^2}{t} \right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha}} \gg 1$

$$P(x, t) \sim \frac{A(\alpha)}{t^{3/2}} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}} e^{-B(\alpha) \left(\frac{x^2}{t} \right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha}}}$$

where

$$A(\alpha) = \frac{3^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}+1} (1-R) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2(1-R)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\alpha(2\alpha+1)}}, B(\alpha) = \frac{3(2\alpha+1) \left(3^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2(1-R)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \right)^{-\alpha}}{2(\alpha+1)}$$

VI. PERSISTENT (ACTIVE) LARW

A. Exact determination of the propagator of 1D persistent random walks

Here, we derive the Laplace transform of the joint distribution of x, a for persistent 1D LARWs. Consider a persistent *non-activated* walker on \mathbb{Z} . The probability for the walker to jump in the same direction as its last step is $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$. In [2], this corresponds to the so-called 'forward jump model'. In this article, the lattice Green function is given and writes, in Fourier space

$$P(q, \xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi^n \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{iqx} P(x, n) dx = \frac{1 - \varepsilon \xi \cos q}{1 + \varepsilon \xi^2 - \xi(1 + \varepsilon) \cos q} = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon \xi^2} \frac{1 - \varepsilon \xi \cos q}{1 - \alpha \cos q}, \alpha = \frac{\xi(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 + \varepsilon \xi^2}$$

Writing $\frac{1}{1 - \alpha \cos q} = \int_0^{\infty} du e^{-u(1 - \alpha \cos q)}$, and using the trigonometric identity $\cos nq \cos q = \frac{\cos((n+1)q) + \cos((n-1)q)}{2}$, we obtain an integral representation in terms of modified Bessel functions $I_n, I_{n \pm 1}$ for the inverse Fourier transform $q \rightarrow x$ of $P(q, \xi)$:

$$P(x = n, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(q, \xi) \cos(nq) = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon \xi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} du e^{-u} \left[I_n(\alpha u) - \frac{\varepsilon \xi}{2} (I_{n+1}(\alpha u) + I_{n-1}(\alpha u)) \right] \quad (7)$$

This yields, after some algebra performed in Mathematica, the generating function of the propagator for the persistent RW for $x \neq 0$ (the propagator has a different expression for $x = 0$ and $x \neq 0$, whenever $\varepsilon \neq 0$)

$$\frac{P(x, \xi)}{P(0, \xi)} = \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}} \right)^{|x|} (\xi_a \varepsilon_a - \alpha)}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) \xi_a \varepsilon_a - \alpha}, P(0, \xi) = \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{(1 - \xi^2) \varepsilon - \sqrt{(1 - \xi^2)(1 - \xi^2 \varepsilon^2)}}, \alpha = \frac{\xi(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 + \varepsilon \xi^2} \quad (8)$$

We can check that it reduces to the symmetric random walk (Polya) propagator for $\varepsilon = 0$. Making use of Eq. (7) of the main text, one obtains explicitly the Laplace transform of the joint distribution:

$$\hat{P}(x \neq 0, a, s) = \frac{\left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} \right)^{|x|} (\xi_a \varepsilon_a - \alpha)}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}) \xi_a \varepsilon_a - \alpha} \exp \left(- \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b) \xi_b} \frac{\sqrt{(1 - \xi_b^2)(1 - \varepsilon_b^2 \xi_b^2)} - \varepsilon_b(1 - \xi_b^2)}{(1 - \varepsilon_b)} \right), \alpha = \frac{\xi_a(\varepsilon_a + 1)}{\xi_a^2 \varepsilon_a + 1} \quad (9)$$

B. Small- s asymptotics of the 1D persistent LARW

In the case where $\tau(a) \ll a$, (8) can be expanded for $as \ll 1$ to yield the long-time limit, for $x \neq 0$

$$\hat{P}(x, a, s) \underset{as \ll 1}{\approx} \exp \left(- [x(1 - \varepsilon(a)) + \varepsilon(a)] \sqrt{\frac{2s\tau(a)}{(1 + \varepsilon(a))(1 - \varepsilon(a))}} - \sqrt{2s} \int_0^a da' \sqrt{\frac{1 + \varepsilon(a')}{\tau(a')(1 - \varepsilon(a'))}} \right) \quad (10)$$

Or, using the rescaled waiting time $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \frac{1 - \varepsilon(a)}{1 + \varepsilon(a)} \tau(a)$

$$\hat{P}(x, a, s) \underset{as \ll 1}{\approx} \exp \left(-x \sqrt{2s\tilde{\tau}(a)} - \frac{\varepsilon(a)}{1 - \varepsilon(a)} \sqrt{2s\tilde{\tau}(a)} - \sqrt{2s} \int_0^a da' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\tau}(a')}} \right) \quad (11)$$

Laplace inverting the above, one obtains

$$P(x, a, t) \underset{a \ll t}{\approx} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\tau}(a)}(x + \frac{\varepsilon(a)}{1 - \varepsilon(a)}) + \int_0^a da' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\tau}(a')}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp \left(- \frac{\left(\sqrt{\tilde{\tau}(a)}(x + \frac{\varepsilon(a)}{1 - \varepsilon(a)}) + \int_0^a da' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\tau}(a')}} \right)^2}{2t} \right) \quad (12)$$

Except when $\varepsilon(a) \rightarrow 1^-$, which corresponds to a diverging persistence length $L_p(a) = \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon(a)}$, the above, in the limit $a \ll t, x \gg \varepsilon(a)L_p(a)$, indeed tends to the joint distribution of x, a for a non-persistent LARW with rescaled waiting time $\tilde{\tau}(a)$.

In the particular case of a diverging persistence length, we write $\varepsilon(a) = 1 - \frac{1}{a^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$ and study the case $\tau(a) = 1$ for simplicity, so that only persistence varies with a . Thus $\tilde{\tau}(a) \sim 2a^{-\alpha}$, and (12) becomes

$$P(x, a, t) \underset{1 \ll a \ll t}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{2}(xa^{-\alpha/2} + a^{\alpha/2}) + \frac{a^{1+\alpha/2}}{(1+\alpha/2)\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\sqrt{2}(xa^{-\alpha/2} + a^{\alpha/2}) + \frac{a^{1+\alpha/2}}{(1+\alpha/2)\sqrt{2}}\right)^2}{2t}\right) \quad (13)$$

For $x \gg L_p(a) = a^\alpha$, which corresponds to length scales x much larger than the persistence length, we get back to the behavior of the non-persistent LARW. However, for $x \ll a^\alpha$ this equivalence does not hold. We now show that this does not impact the marginal distribution of x . We integrate Eq.(13) over a and note that the minimum of the function $a \mapsto xa^{-\alpha/2} + a^{\alpha/2} + \frac{a^{1+\alpha/2}}{(1+\alpha/2)\sqrt{2}}$, for large x , is realized for a value $a_m \propto x^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}$. Define

$$\phi(u, x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}(2 + \alpha + u^{1+\alpha})}{u^{\alpha/2}(2 + \alpha)}$$

so that after a change of variables $a = ux^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}$, with $u = O(1)$, one has for large x

$$P(x, t) \sim \frac{x^{1/(1+\alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2+2\alpha}} \phi(u, x) e^{-\phi(u, x)^2 x^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2t}}} du$$

The argminimum $u_*(x)$ of $\phi(u, x)$ is $u_*(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \alpha^{1/(1+\alpha)} + o(1)$, which is indeed $O(1)$ with respect to x . Hence,

$$\phi(u_*) \sim \frac{2\sqrt{2}\alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+2}, \phi''(u_*(x)) \sim \frac{\alpha^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha+1)}}(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{2}}$$

By the Laplace method, one deduces the asymptotic form of $P(x, t)$ for large x

$$P(x, t) \sim \frac{Ax^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}}{t} e^{-Bx^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2t}}}$$

This is the same behavior as the non-persistent walker, with the same constants. The key to understand this result is that the typical persistent length of walkers at position x is $L_p^*(x) \sim (u_*(x)x^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}})^\alpha \ll x$, so that the diffusive regime is attained as long as x is sufficiently large. Thus, the divergence of the persistence length asymptotically impacts the joint law of a and x , but not the marginal law of x .

C. Ergodicity breaking (loops) for antipersistent 1D LARW

Consider a 1D, persistent LARW, with $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a^\beta}$ and $\varepsilon(a) = -1 + \frac{1}{a^\gamma}$ so that $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \frac{1-\varepsilon(a)}{1+\varepsilon(a)}\tau(a) \sim 2a^{\gamma-\beta}$. Let k denote the number of returns to the activation site, and a_k denote the typical scale of the activated time for a walker which has returned k times to the activation site. Then $a_{k+1} \sim a_k + \tau(a) = a_k + \frac{1}{a_k^\beta}$, so that $a_k \propto k^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}$. There is a finite probability that the walker is stuck in an antipersistent loop between 0 and ± 1 if $\prod_k \frac{1-\varepsilon(a_k)}{2} > 0$, or, equivalently, if $\sum \frac{1}{a_k^\gamma} < \infty$, which means that $\gamma > 1 + \beta$ since $a_k^\gamma \sim k^{\frac{\gamma}{1+\beta}}$. This means that $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \frac{1-\varepsilon(a)}{1+\varepsilon(a)}\tau(a) \gg a$. This is the same criterion as for the nonpersistent walker, only with the appropriately rescaled waiting time $\tilde{\tau}$.

D. Persistent LARW for $d \geq 2$

The propagator of discrete time, non activated persistent lattice random walks has been obtained in Fourier space in [2] for $d = 2, 3$. The above analysis of 1d persistent LARW can thus in principle be generalized to $d = 2, 3$. We expect the equivalence to rescaled diffusive LARW to hold as for $d = 1$. This analysis involves tedious algebra that we leave for further works ; we however confirm this equivalence numerically for $d = 2$ in Fig. (1).




FIG. 1: Cumulative distribution of the radius $r = |x(t)|$, at given activated time a , of an accelerating anti-persistent 2D LARW with waiting time $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a}$ and persistence parameter $\varepsilon = -0.3$. Solid lines are obtained from numerical Laplace inversion of the joint distribution for a *non-persistent* LARW, eq. (15) of the main text, replacing $\tau(a)$ by the effective waiting time $\tilde{\tau}(a) = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\tau(a)$. Symbols are obtained from numerical simulations.




FIG. 2: Cumulative distribution of $\frac{a(t)}{t}$ for two different LARW. (a) 1D antipersistent LARW, with $\tau(a) = 1, \varepsilon(a) + 1 = \frac{1}{(1+a)^{9/4}}$ so that $\tilde{\tau}(a) \gg a$ and ergodicity is broken. We can clearly see the bimodal distribution, with the rightmost bump corresponding to trajectories that are stuck in a loop of back-and-forth jumps between 0 to ± 1 : thus $\frac{a}{t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}$. (b) 3D accelerating LARW with $\tau(a) = \frac{1}{a}$, which gets trapped with $\tau(a_f) = \infty$ for $a_f = 1.5$.

The black dash-dot line represents the probability $\mathbb{P}(a(t = \infty) < a_f) = \exp\left(-\frac{(1-R)a_f^2}{2}\right)$.

VII. LONG TIME ASYMPTOTICS OF $a(t)$ IN THE ERGODICITY BREAKING REGIME

A. General method of obtaining asymptotics in the ergodicity breaking regime

As underlined in the main text, in the regime where $S = e^{-\int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\tau_b}} > 0$, we can no longer use the asymptotic forms $as \ll 1$ that relied on the fact that $a(t) \ll t$, since the walker can now get trapped forever. We have to carefully perform our asymptotic expansions to obtain a scaling form of the trapping probability $P(0, a = \gamma t, t)$. To do so, we first recall the (exact) expression from the main text

$$\hat{P}(0, a, s) = \exp\left(-\int_0^a \frac{db}{\xi_b \tau_b P(0|0, \xi_b)}\right) \quad (14)$$

and we are now interested in the quantity $\hat{P}(0, a, s)$ where $as \sim 1$. The integral $\int_0^a \frac{db}{\xi_b \tau_b P(0|0, \xi_b)}$ contains two different regimes, depending on whether $s\tau_b \gg 1$ or $s\tau_b \ll 1$. Assuming $b \mapsto \tau_b$ is monotonically strictly increasing, these are separated by the value $b_* = \tau^{-1}(1/s)$. Since, by assumption, $\tau_b \gg b$, we have $b_* a \ll 1$ and we can rewrite the integral as

$$\int_0^a \frac{db}{\xi_b \tau_b P(0|0, \xi_b)} = \int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\xi_b \tau_b P(0|0, \xi_b)} + \int_{\tau^{-1}(1/s)}^a \frac{db}{\xi_b \tau_b P(0|0, \xi_b)} = A + B \quad (15)$$

Using this splitting of the integral, we can use the respective valid asymptotics $s\tau_b \ll 1, \xi_b \sim 1 - s\tau_b$ for A , and $s\tau_b \gg 1, \xi_b \sim \frac{1}{s\tau_b}$ for B to obtain, as $s \rightarrow 0$ with $as \sim 1$

$$A \sim \int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\tau_b P(0|0, 1 - s\tau_b)}, B \sim s \int_{\tau^{-1}(1/s)}^a \frac{db}{P(0|0, \frac{1}{s\tau_b})} \sim as \quad (16)$$

so that

$$\hat{P}(0, a, s) \underset{s \rightarrow 0, as \sim 1}{\sim} e^{-as - \int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\tau_b P(0|0, 1 - s\tau_b)}} \quad (17)$$

In real space, the term e^{-as} only shifts the time $t \mapsto t - a$. Therefore, the statistics of $P(0, a, t)$ for $a, t \rightarrow \infty, a \sim t$ only depend on the behavior of the integral $\int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\tau_b P(0|0, 1 - s\tau_b)}$.

B. 1D LARW

Here we consider a 1D, ergodicity breaking, non-persistent LARW. The study for a persistent LARW is similar. Using (17) with $P(0|0, 1 - s\tau_b)^{-1} \sim \sqrt{2s\tau_b}$, $\tau_b \gg b$, one obtains

$$\hat{P}(0, a, s) \underset{s \rightarrow 0, as \sim 1}{\sim} e^{-as - \sqrt{2s} \int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau_b}}} \quad (18)$$

Two cases arise : either $\int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau_b}} < \infty$, or this integral diverges. We begin with the former. In this case, we can write

$$\hat{P}(0, a, s) \underset{s \rightarrow 0, as \sim 1}{\sim} e^{-as - \sqrt{2s} \int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau_b}}} \quad (19)$$

so that, performing a Laplace inversion

$$P(0, a, t) \underset{a \sim t, t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{I e^{-\frac{I^2}{2(t-a)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(t-a)^{3/2}}, I = \int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau_b}} \quad (20)$$

and writing $a = \gamma t, \gamma < 1$

$$P(0, a = \gamma t, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} t \times \frac{I e^{-\frac{I^2}{2(1-\gamma)t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{(1-\gamma)^3 t^3}} = \frac{I e^{-\frac{I^2}{2(1-\gamma)t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{(1-\gamma)^3 t}}, I = \int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau_b}} \quad (21)$$

This yields the value of the weight of surviving trajectories $w_d(t)$ defined in the main text

$$w_d(t) = 1 - \int_0^1 d\gamma \frac{I e^{-\frac{I^2}{2(1-\gamma)t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{(1-\gamma)^3 t}} \quad (22)$$

If, however, $\int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau_b}} = \infty$, explicit Laplace inversion does not seem accessible.

C. 2D LARW

We now consider a 2D LARW in the regime of ergodicity breaking. Using (17) and results from the main text, we obtain

$$\hat{P}(0, a, s) \underset{as \sim 1, s \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{-as - \pi \int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\tau_b \log \frac{8}{s\tau_b}}} \quad (23)$$

It can be shown that for $I = \int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\tau_b} < \infty$, for small s one has the asymptotic behavior

$$\int_0^{\tau^{-1}(1/s)} \frac{db}{\tau_b \log \frac{8}{s\tau_b}} \underset{s \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{I}{\log \frac{8}{s}} \quad (24)$$

Therefore, the Tauberian theorem along with the fact that the log varies very slowly leads to the explicit expression for the cumulative distribution of $\frac{a(t)}{t}$

$$\hat{P}_{cum}(a, s) = \frac{\hat{P}(0, a, s)}{s} \implies P\left(\frac{a(t)}{t} \geq \gamma\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} e^{-\frac{\pi I}{\log 8(1-\gamma)t}} \quad (25)$$

Therefore, the weight $w_d(t)$ for the 2D LARW is

$$w_d(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 1 - P\left(\frac{a(t)}{t} \geq 0^+\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 1 - e^{-\frac{\pi I}{\log 8t}}$$

D. 3D LARW

In this section we consider a 3D ergodicity breaking LARW. Using again (17), one obtains, since $\int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\tau_b} < \infty$ by definition of the ergodicity breaking regime

$$\hat{P}(0, a, s) \underset{as \sim 1, s \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{-as - (1-R)I}, I = \int_0^\infty \frac{db}{\tau_b} \quad (26)$$

Therefore, we have for all a

$$P(0, a, t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \delta(t - a)e^{-(1-R)I} \quad (27)$$

In contrast to recurrent walks, the weight of the Dirac peak does not go to 1 and remains stationary at long times.

VIII. DISTRIBUTION OF THE ACTIVATION TIME FOR LARW

A. The distribution of $a(t)$ as a marginal of the master equation

We consider as above a generic LARW on a lattice with a single activation site at the origin. A master equation can be written for the joint distribution of position and activation time, $P(x, a, t)$, taking into account the change of $\tau(a)$

$$\partial_t P(x, a, t) = \frac{1}{\tau(a)} \Delta_x P(x, a, t) - \delta_x \partial_a P(x, a, t)$$

where Δ is the discrete laplacian on the lattice and δ is the Kronecker delta. We did not use this equation in our derivation of the joint law of x, a above, as we found it a less appropriate starting point for obtaining our results. However, it is well-suited for obtaining directly the marginal distribution of a . Summing the above over all sites of the lattice, one obtains the evolution equation for the distribution of a written in the main text

$$\partial_t P(a, t) = -\partial_a P(0, a, t)$$

B. Computing the cdf of a

In this section, we derive eq (18) in the main text. We focus on the non-ergodicity breaking case, which is $\tau(a) \ll a$, but we recall, as underlined in the main text, that this derivation is valid for trapped LARW with an *explicit* trapping threshold, i.e. with a diverging waiting time at a finite value $\tau(a_f) = \infty$. As proved above, the cdf of a writes, after Laplace transformation $t \rightarrow s$

$$\mathcal{L}_{t \rightarrow s} \mathbb{P}(a(t) \geq a) = \frac{\hat{P}(0, a, s)}{s} = \frac{\exp\left(-\int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)\xi_b P(0|0, \xi_b)}\right)}{s} \quad (28)$$

The last equality follows from eq. (8) in the main text. Using $\tau(a) \ll a$, an asymptotic form of $P(0|0, \xi_a)$ for $as \ll 1$ can be derived and writes, depending on the space dimension

$$P(0|0, \xi_a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi_a}{\sqrt{1-\xi_a^2}} \underset{as \ll 1}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s\tau(a)}}, & d = 1 \\ \frac{2}{\pi K(\xi_a^2)} \underset{as \ll 1}{\sim} \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{8}{s\tau(a)}, & d = 2 \\ \underset{as \ll 1}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{1-R}, & d = 3 \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Due to the algebraic $\frac{1}{s}$ factor and the slowly-varying functions appearing as arguments in the exponential term that we wrote above, eq. (28) is particularly well-suited for the use of a Tauberian theorem to obtain the long-time asymptotics of the cdf of a . The application of this theorem yields the cdf of a upon Laplace inversion

$$\mathbb{P}(a(t) \geq a) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty, a \ll t}{\sim} \begin{cases} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\int_0^a \frac{db}{\sqrt{\tau(b)}}}{\sqrt{2t}}\right), & d = 1 \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\pi \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}}{\log 8t}\right), & d = 2 \\ \exp\left(-(1-R) \int_0^a \frac{db}{\tau(b)}\right), & d = 3 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

IX. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

To simulate LARWs, we use an event-driven Monte-Carlo algorithm. Each step in the algorithm represents a jump of the walker. To keep track of the time spent since the beginning of the walk, we have a simple rule :

- If the walker with activation time a is on a site that is not an activation site, it jumps after a random time drawn from the exponential distribution with mean $\tau(a)$
- If the walker is on the activation site and arrived with activation a_0 , then it jumps after a time T that is drawn from the distribution with cumulative distribution $P_s(t)$. This cumulative distribution verifies

$$\frac{dP_s}{dt} = -\tau(a_0 + t)^{-1}$$

To draw T , we draw $y \in [0, 1]$ uniformly, so that $T = P_s^{-1}(y)$.

- [1] B.D. Hughes. *Random Walks and Random Environments: Random walks*. Number vol. 1 in Oxford science publications. Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [2] Matthieu H. Ernst. Random walks with short memory. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 53(1-2):191–201, October 1988.
- [3] Alain Mazzolo and Cé cile Monthus. Conditioning diffusion processes with respect to the local time at the origin. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2022(10):103207, oct 2022.
- [4] Raphaël Chetrite and Hugo Touchette. Nonequilibrium markov processes conditioned on large deviations. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 16(9):2005–2057, oct 2014.
- [5] J. Klinger, R. Voituriez, and O. Bénichou. Leftward, rightward, and complete exit-time distributions of jump processes. *Physical Review E*, 107(5):054109–, 05 2023.
- [6] S. Alexander, J. Bernasconi, W. R. Schneider, and R. Orbach. Excitation dynamics in random one-dimensional systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 53:175–198, Apr 1981.

- [7] P. Erdős and S. J. Taylor. Some problems concerning the structure of random walk paths. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 11(1-2):137 – 162, 1960.
- [8] Jean-Philippe Bouchaud and Antoine Georges. Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications. *Physics Reports*, 195(4):127–293, 1990.
- [9] Olivier Benichou, Nicolas Meunier, Sidney Redner, and Raphael Voituriez. Non-Gaussianity and Dynamical Trapping in Locally Activated Random Walks. working paper or preprint, February 2012.
- [10] A.N. Borodin and P. Salminen. *Handbook of Brownian Motion - Facts and Formulae*. Probability and Its Applications. Birkhäuser Basel, 2015.
- [11] J. C. Maxwell M.A. Ii. illustrations of the dynamical theory of gases. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 20(130):21–37, 1860.
- [12] Bernard Derrida. Velocity and diffusion constant of a periodic one-dimensional hopping model. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 31:433–450, 1983.
- [13] Francesco Baschieri, Abigail Illand, Jorge Barbazan, Olivier Zajac, Clémence Henon, Damarys Loew, Florent Dingli, Danijela Matic Vignjevic, Sandrine Lévêque-Fort, and Guillaume Montagnac. Fibroblasts generate topographical cues that steer cancer cell migration. *Science Advances*, 9(33):eade2120, 2023/10/25.