Analytical models of supermassive black holes in galaxies surrounded by dark matter halos

Zibo Shen^{a,b,*} Anzhong Wang^{a,\dagger} Yungui Gong^{c,\ddagger} and Shaoyu Yin^{d§}

^a GCAP-CASPER, Physics Department, Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76798-7316, USA

Department of Astronomy, School of Astronomy and Space Science,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

^cDepartment of Physics, School of Physical Science and Technology,

Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, China

^d Institute for Theoretical Physics and Cosmology,

Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China.

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

In this Letter, we present five analytical models in closed forms, each representing a supermassive black hole (SMBH) located at the center of a galaxy surrounded by dark matter (DM) halo. The density profile of the halo vanishes inside twice the Schwarzschild radius of the hole and satisfies the weak, strong, and dominant energy conditions. The spacetime are asymptotically flat, and the difference among the models lies in the slopes of the density profiles in the spike and regions far from the center of the galaxy. Three of them represent cusp models, whereas the other two represent core models. With the well-known (generalized) Newman-Janis algorithm, rotating SMBHs with DM halos can be easily constructed from these models.

I. INTRODUCTION

The overwhelming evidence for dark matter (DM) is clear and compelling on a wide range of scales [1-5]. Motion of galaxies within clusters [6, 7], galaxy rotation curves [8, 9], bullet cluster systems [10], baryonic acoustic oscillation [11] and cosmic microwave background [12] all point to its existence. According to the latest Planck data [13], about 5/6 of the mass in the Universe is made of DM. However, despite numerous efforts in the search for DM in the past decades, our understanding is still based only on their gravitational interactions. Thus, uncovering the nature and origin of DM is arguably one of the greatest challenges of modern physics and cosmology [14–16].

According to the galaxy formation scenario, every galaxy forms within a DM halo, and at the center of almost every galaxy a supermassive black hole (SMBH) is present [17]. In fact, two such SMBHs have already been observed by the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT), one is the Sagittarius A^* black hole (BH) at the center of our own Milky Way galaxy [18], and the other called $M87^*$ is at the center of the more distant Messier 87 galaxy [19].

With the arrival of the gravitational wave (GW) astronomy [20], the studies of SMBHs in galaxies with DM halos have gained further momentum (See, for example, [21–25] and references therein). This is because such studies have important consequences for compact objects orbiting around a SMBH. Surrounding such SMBHs to distances of a few parsecs, a dense cusp of millions of compact objects is present [26]. These include stellarmass black holes, neutron stars, and white dwarfs, some of which move along orbits around the SMBH and form two-body gravitational systems with the SMBH. These systems give rise to either intermediate mass-ratio spirals (IMRIs) or extreme mass-ratio spirals (EMRIs), depending on the mass of the SMBH. In either case, the GWs emitted by such systems will be the primary sources for the forthcoming generation of GW detectors. In particular, the mass ratio $q \equiv m/M$ of such binaries is typically of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3} \sim 10^{-4})$ for IMRIs [27], and $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5} \sim 10^{-6})$ for EMRIs [28]. GWs emitted by IMRIs can be detected by upcoming ground-based detectors, such as the Einstein Telescope [29] and the Cosmic Explorer [30], as well as space-based ones, such as LISA [31], TianQin [32], Taiji [33] and DESIGO [34], while the ones from EMRIs are exclusively for space-based detectors.

When a compact object moves inside the DM halo, gravitational drag is induced on the inspiring object, changing its orbit and the gravitational waveforms emitted by it. For IMRIs, such effects can be detected using LISA [35–38]. Similar effects for EMRIs are also expected and can be used to study the nature of DM [39–42]. For binaries with a very small q, to the leading order, the compact object can be well described as a test particle, moving under the influence of the SMBH and DM halo [43]. Therefore, in this Letter, we focus on the gravitational field produced by an SMBH in a galaxy with a DM halo.

Using Newtonian gravity, Gondolo and Silk first studied the effects of a SMBH sitting at the center of a galaxy on the distribution of the DM halo and found that a spike can be produced at a distance of $4r_s$, where r_s denotes the Schwarzschild radius of the SMBH [44]. The orbits of the DM particles with radii less than $4r_s$ become unstable, so the density of the DM halo vanishes for $r \leq 4r_s$. Sadeghian, Ferrer, and Will later considered the relativis-

^{*} Zibo_Shen@baylor.edu

[†] anzhong_wang@baylor.edu; Corresponding author

[‡] gongyungui@nbu.edu.cn

[§] syyin@zjut.edu.cn

tic effects and found that a spike is indeed developed [45]. However, they found that the orbits of the DM particles now start to be unstable at $2r_s$, instead of $4r_s$, purely due to relativistic effects, which is further confirmed by Speeney *et al* [46] (See also [47]). Due to the complexity of the problem, such studies have been carried out mainly numerically, except for one particular case [48], in which Cardoso *et al* constructed an analytic model with a density profile that asymptotically approaches the Hernquist density contribution [49]. This solution has immediately attracted much attention, and its various properties and applications have already been explored, including its Love numbers, quasi-normal modes (QNMs), tails and gray-body factors [48, 50–52], shadows [53], and the detectability of GWs emitted by am EMRI by LISA, Tian-Qin and Taiji [54, 55], to name a few of them. Despite all these interesting properties and aspects, the model suffers from a couple of defects. For example, the density of the DM halo vanishes only inside the BH horizon, instead of vanishing in the region $r < 2r_s$, as shown explicitly in [45, 46]. In addition, near the BH horizon, the DM halo does not satisfy the dominant energy condition [56]. Extensions of this model to different circumstances have also been studied; see, for example, [57–59] and references therein.

In this Letter, we present five analytic solutions, each representing a SMBH located at the center of a galaxy surrounded by a DM halo, in which the energy density of the halo satisfies all the energy conditions, weak, strong and dominant [56], and identically vanishes for $r \leq 2r_s$. The spacetime in the region $r \leq 2r_s$ is described by the vacuum Schwarzschild BH solution, which is smoothly matched to the exterior across $r = 2r_s$. The difference between these models lies in the slopes of the density profiles in the spike and far regions. To the best of our knowledge, these are the only analytic models found so far that have such desirable properties.

The detailed derivations of these solutions and their main properties are presented in [60]. In addition, we also find other analytical solutions with different combinations of the four parameters $(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ introduced in (4). However, their derivations and expressions are much more complicated than those presented here, so we shall report them on another occasion.

II. GENERAL SETUP OF THE MODELS

Let us consider an SMBH sitting at the center of a galaxy and surrounded by a cloud made of massive DM particles. Cosmologically, DM is described by a perfect fluid with vanishing pressures. However, such a cloud cannot exist within spherically symmetric spacetime because the attractive forces from the SMBH will always lead the cloud to collapse into the BH. To capture the main properties of the realistic situation, here we consider a spherical Einstein cloud made of collisionless DM particles all moving tangentially with zero total angular momentum, so that the cloud will have a negligible pressure only along the radial direction, while the tangential pressures prevent the DM particles from falling into BHs [48]. For such a cloud, the corresponding energy-momentum tensor of the DM halo is given by $T^{\mu}_{\nu} = (-\rho(r), 0, P(r), P(r))$, here ρ and P denote respectively the energy density and tangential pressures of the DM halo. The spacetime are describe by $ds^2 =$ $-f(r)dt^{2} + (1 - 2m(r)/r)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}),$ where f(r) and m(r) are the solutions of the Einstein field equations, $G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi T^{\mu}_{\nu}$. Note that in this letter we choose units such that c = G = 1, where c and G denote the speed of light and the Newtonian constant, respectively. Then, it can be shown that the field equations have three independent components, given respectively by

$$\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} = \frac{2m(r)}{r(r-2m(r))},$$
(1)

$$m'(r) = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r),$$
 (2)

$$P(r) = \frac{m(r)\rho(r)}{2(r-2m(r))},$$
(3)

where a prime denotes the derivative wrt r. To uniquely determine the four unknown functions (f, m, ρ, P) , in this Letter, we consider the choice [46, 49, 61, 62]

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0 \left(1 - 4M/r\right)^n}{(r/a)^{\gamma} \left(1 + (r/a)^{\alpha}\right)^{(\beta - \gamma)/\alpha}},$$
(4)

where ρ_0 is the characteristic density of the halo, M the BH mass, a is the characteristic scale of the DM halo, and the parameters $(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ control the slopes of the density profile in the spike $(r \simeq 2r_s \ll a)$, near $(r_s \ll r \ll a)$, far $(r \gg a)$ and transition $(r \simeq a)$ regions, respectively. Observations found that $0 \leq \gamma < 3$ [61, 63], and 0.005kpc $\lesssim a \lesssim 50$ kpc, where $\gamma = 0$ corresponds to core models. Recall that $r_s \equiv 2M =$ $9.608 \times 10^{-11} (M/10^6 M_{\odot})$ kpc. Therefore, we generally have $a \gg r_s$. When M = 0, the above models include several important cases [61], such as $(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) =$ $\{(0, 1, 4, 1), (0, 1, 3, 1)\}$, which correspond to the Hernquist [49] and Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [63] profiles. As mentioned above, when a central BH is present, the trajectories of the DM particles become unstable for $r < 2r_s$ and will eventually fall inside the BH, whereby a spike is usually developed and the slope n of the spike is generally model-dependent [44–46]. Therefore, we must have

$$f = f_o\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right), \ m = M, \ \rho = P = 0, \ (r \le 2r_s), \ (5)$$

where f_o is a constant determined by the matching conditions across $r = 2r_s$. From (1) we can see that the Einstein field equations contain only the first-order derivatives of f(r) and m(r). Therefore, provided that they are continuous across $r = 2r_s$, these equations will be satisfied. For the choice (4), it is clear that $\rho(r)$ and P(r) are already continuous, while f(r) can always be made continuous by properly choosing f_o . In the rest of this Letter, we assume that this is always the case without further mentioning it. Thus, we only need to impose the boundary condition $m(2r_s) = M$. With such choices of f_o and m(r), the Einstein field equations (1) are solved in the entire spacetime $r \in (0, \infty)$. In addition, in this Letter we consider only the cases in which the spacetime is asymptotically flat

$$f(r) \simeq 1, \quad \frac{m(r)}{r} \simeq 0, \ (r \to \infty).$$
 (6)

III. ANALYTICAL MODELS

With the above setup, we are ready to integrate (1) for the density profile (4), for which we find a large class of analytical solutions.

Model I: This corresponds to the choice $(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 1, 4, 1)$ and the mass function is given by

$$m(r) = M + M_h \frac{(r-2r_s)^2}{(r+a)^2},$$
(7)

where $M_h \equiv 2\pi\rho_0 a^4/(a+2r_s)$. Note that $m(\infty) = M + M_h$. Thus, M_h is the total mass of the DM halo. Observations show that $M \ll M_h \ll a$ [1, 46, 63]. In this Letter, we consider the case $5r_s < M_h < a/10$. Then, we find

$$\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{1}{x-a} + \frac{x^2}{\mathcal{D}_1(x)},\tag{8}$$

with $x \equiv r+a$, and $\mathcal{D}_1(x)$ is defined in (A.1). To integrate (8), we first note that $\mathcal{D}_1(x) = 0$ has three roots, say, $x_{1,2,3}$, given explicitly in (A.2). It can be shown that $\Delta > 0$ defined in (A.3) for $5r_s < M_h < a/10$, so that the two roots $x_{2,3}$ are complex conjugates, $x_3 = x_2^*$, while x_1 is real. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_1(x)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}_1(x) = \prod_{n=1}^3 (x - x_n).$$
(9)

Substituting this expression into (8) and then integrating it, we find that

$$f(r) = \frac{\Delta_1^{\alpha_1} \Delta_2^{(1-\alpha_1)/2}}{r} \left(\frac{\Delta_1(r)}{\Delta_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\Delta_2(r)}{\Delta_2}\right)^{(1-\alpha_1)/2} \\ \times \exp\left[D_o\left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a+r+k}{\Delta_3}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right], (10)$$

where α_n , Δ_n , $\Delta_n(r)$, D_o and k are given in (A.4). Note that, in writing the above expression for f(r), we chose the integration constant so that the asymptotically flat condition f(r) = 1 as $r \to \infty$ is satisfied [cf. (6)]. With the choice $f_o = 2f(2r_s)$, where f_o is the constant appearing in (5), the continuous condition of f(r) across the surface $r = 2r_s$ is also satisfied. By defining $\mathcal{G}(r) \equiv r - 2m(r)$, we find $\mathcal{G}'(r) = 1 - 4M_h(r - 2r_s)(a + 2r_s)/(r + a)^3 > 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}(r)$ is a monotonically increasing function with a minimal value r_s given at $r = 2r_s$. Since $\rho + P = (1 + m/2\mathcal{G})\rho$, $\rho + 2P = (1 + m/\mathcal{G})\rho$, we can see that the conditions $\rho \geq 0$, $\rho + P \geq 0$ and $\rho + 2P \geq 0$ always hold for $r \geq 2r_s$, so the DM halo satisfies the weak and strong energy conditions [56]. On the other hand, we also have $\rho - P = \rho[5 - r/\mathcal{G}(r)]/4$. Since $\mathcal{G}(r) \geq r_s$, we find that $m/r = r_s/2r + M_h(r - 2r_s)^2/[r(r + a)^2] < 1/4 + M_h r/(r + a)^2$, where $r/(r + a)^2$ has its maximal value at r = a, so $m/r < (a + M_h)/4a$ and $r/\mathcal{G}(r) < 2a/(a - M_h)$. Hence, we have $\rho - P > (3a - 5M_h)\rho/[4(a - M_h)] > 0$ for $5r_s < M_h < a/10$. Thus, the halo also satisfies the dominant energy condition [56].

Model II: In this model, we choose $(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 1, 5, 1)$. Then, we find

$$m(r) = M + M_h \left(1 + 2\frac{(a+2r_s)^3}{(r+a)^3} - 3\frac{(a+2r_s)^2}{(r+a)^2} \right), (11)$$

but now with $M_h \equiv 2\pi a^5 \rho_0 / [3(a+2r_s)^2]$. Inserting the above expression into (1), we find that

$$\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{y^3}{a\mathcal{D}_2(y)},$$
(12)

where $y \equiv 1 + r/a$, and $\mathcal{D}_2(y)$ is given by (A.5). Then, it can be shown that $\mathcal{D}_2(y) = 0$ has four roots, two of which are real, denoted by y_1 and y_2 , and the other two are complex conjugates, $y_4^* = y_3 \equiv y_{3R} + iy_{3I}$, all given in (A.6). Hence, we can follow what we did in the last case, i.e., first write $\mathcal{D}_2(y)$ in the form (9) but now with four roots, then substitute it into (12), and finally integrate such obtained f'(r)/f(r), we obtain

$$f(r) = \frac{(y-y_1)^{\beta_1} (y-y_2)^{\beta_2}}{y-1} \left[(y-y_{3R})^2 + y_{3I}^2 \right]^{\beta_3} \\ \times \exp\left\{ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_o \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y-y_{3R}}{y_{3I}} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where β_n and \hat{D}_o are defined in (A.8). Note that the above solution satisfies the asymptotically flat and matching conditions mentioned above.

To show that all three energy conditions also hold in the current case, we first note that $\mathcal{G}''(r) = 12M_h(a + 2r_s)^2(3r - a - 8r_s)/(a + r)^5$, which vanishes at $r_{\min} = (a + 8r_s)/3$. This corresponds to a minimum of $\mathcal{G}'(r)$, where $\mathcal{G}'(r_{\min}) = 1 - 3^4M_h/(4^3(a + 2r_s)) > 0$ for $5r_s < M_h < a/10$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}'(r) > 0$ for $r > 2r_s$. Hence, in this region $\mathcal{G}(r)$ is monotonically increasing and $\mathcal{G}(r) \ge r_s$. Then, the DM halo satisfies the weak and strong energy conditions. In addition, the maximum of [m(r)/r] is 1/4 for $5r_s < M_h < a/10$. Then, we find that $\rho - P = (5 - r/\mathcal{G})\rho/4 > (5 - 1/(1 - 1/2))\rho > 0$. Hence, the dominant energy condition also holds. **Model III:** This corresponds to the choice $(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 1, \frac{5}{2}, 1)$. Then, we find

$$m(r) = M + \mathcal{M}\left[\left(1 + \frac{r}{a}\right)^{1/2} - 2\left(1 + \frac{2r_s}{a}\right)^{1/2} + \left(1 + \frac{2r_s}{a}\right)\left(1 + \frac{r}{a}\right)^{-1/2}\right], \quad (14)$$

where $\mathcal{M} \equiv 8\pi a^3 \rho_0$, and

$$\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{1}{a(z^2 - 1)} + \frac{z}{a\mathcal{D}_3(z)},$$
(15)

with $z \equiv (1 + r/a)^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_3(z)$ is given in (A.9). Thus, $\mathcal{D}_3(z) = 0$ has three roots, denoted by $z_{1,2,3}$ and given by (A.10). Depending on the values of u and v, the nature of these roots is different. In particular, if u < 0.1709, all of them are real. If u > 0.1716, two of them are complex conjugates, and only one is real, i.e., z_1 . If 0.1709 < u < 0.1716, whether the three roots are all real or not depends on the values of v. In the following, we consider the two cases separately.

Before doing so, note that $m(r) \propto \sqrt{r} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Thus, the mass of the DM halo becomes infinitely large. In fact, this is always the case for $\beta \leq 3$, including the NFW model [63], for which $\beta = 3$ and $m(r) \propto \ln r$. In reality, a halo is always restricted to a finite region; therefore, one needs to restrict the expression of (14) to be valid only up to a maximal radius, say, r_{∞} . Then, the total mass of the halo is finite. It is interesting to note that in the current case, the mass does not increase fast enough, so the spacetime is still asymptotically flat, as the conditions (6) still hold.

With the above in mind, let us first consider the case in which all three roots are real. Hence, we first write $\mathcal{D}_3(z)$ in the form (9), then integrate (15), so that finally we obtain

$$f(r) = \frac{a}{r} \frac{(z - z_1)^{\gamma_1} (z - z_2)^{\gamma_2}}{(z - z_3)^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - 2}},$$
(16)

where γ_n are given in (A.11). The above expressions show that we have $f(\infty) = 1$. On the other hand, from (14) we find that $m(2r_s) = M$. Therefore, choosing $f_o = 2f(2r_s)$, the solution can be smoothly matched to that of the Schwarzschild vacuum solution valid in the region $r \leq 2r_s$. We also found that the corresponding DM halo satisfies all three energy conditions. However, the proof is rather tedious and will be presented in detail in [60]. Therefore, here we shall omit the proof. The same will be done for the case in which only one of the three roots is real.

When only one of the three roots is real, which is cho-

sen as z_1 , we find

$$f(r) = \frac{a}{r} \left(z - z_1 \right)^{\delta_1} \left((z - z_{2R})^2 + z_{2I}^2 \right)^{\frac{2-\sigma_1}{2}} \\ \times \exp\left\{ \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_o}{z_{2I}} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{z - z_{2R}}{z_{2I}} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\}, (17)$$

where δ_n are given in (A.12). Thus, we have $f(\infty) = 1$ and $m(r)/r \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. As a result, spacetime is asymptotically flat, despite the fact $m(r) \sim \mathcal{M}\sqrt{r/a}$ for $r \gg a$.

Models IV & **V**: These two models have the same choice of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 4, 0)$ but with n = 1, 2, respectively. In contrast to the above three models, the density profiles now become constants when $2r_s \ll r \ll a$, which are often referred to as the core models. Then, the mass functions are given by

$$m^{(N)}(r) = M + M_h^{(N)} + \sum_{J=1}^3 \frac{\mathcal{A}_J^{(N)}}{(1+r/a)^J}, \ (N = 4, 5), \qquad (18)$$

where $M_h^{(N)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(N)}$ are given in (A.13). Hence, we find

$$\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{1}{aw} + \frac{(1+w)^3}{\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w)},$$
(19)

where $w \equiv r/a$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w)$ is defined in (A.14). It can be shown that in both cases $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w) = 0$ has four roots given in (A.16), two of which are real and the other two are complex conjugates, denoted respectively by $w_{j(=1,...,4)}^{(N)}$, where $w_3^{(N)} = w_{3R}^{(N)} + iw_{3I}^{(N)}$ and $w_3^{(N)}$ and $w_4^{(N)}$ are complex conjugates. Then, we have write $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w)$ in the form (9), with which the integration of (19) yields

$$f(r) = \frac{(r - aw_1)^{\epsilon_1}(r - aw_2)^{\epsilon_2}}{a^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - 1}r} \left[\frac{(r - aw_{3R})^2}{a^2} + w_{3I}^2 \right]^{\epsilon_3} \\ \times \exp\left\{ \frac{\epsilon_3 w_{3R} + \epsilon_4}{w_{3I}} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a} - w_{3R} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\},$$
(20)

where ϵ_N are given in (A.15). Note that we have dropped the superscript "(N)" in writing down (20) and (A.15) without causing any confusion. In addition, we set the integration constant so that the asymptotically flat conditions (6) and the matching conditions across $r = 2r_s$ are all satisfied. In these two core models, the DM halo satisfies the three energy conditions [60].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The construction of these analytic models is expected to significantly facilitate the studies of QNMs of SMBHs and GWs emitted by IMRIs and EMRIs, which are the ideal sources of next-generation GW detectors, both ground- and space-based detectors [29–34]. As the small compact companion of the SMBH passes through the DM halo, it feels gravitational dragging forces, whereby its orbits and the gravitational waveforms emitted by it are modified. The modifications depend on the nature and properties of the DM halo. Such modifications can be detected by LISA and other next generation detectors [35–37, 39–42]. Hence, it opens a brand new window to studying DM.

Certainly, the applications of these models are not only restricted to the studies mentioned above but also equally applicable to other fields, such as shadows and lensing of SMBHs, which can be the sources of EHT observations [18, 19], the dynamics of galaxy systems, including our own Milky Way galaxy [1–5, 49, 63], and a better understanding of the cusp-core problem [66], To name a few.

Note that for EHT observations, baryons are often required to be present. In the current models, we consider DM as the dominant matter component and consider baryons (as well as other matter fields) as perturbations. Therefore, to the leading order, they are assumed to be negligible. In our forthcoming investigations, we hope to include such effects.

Finally, we note that using the generalized Newman-Janis algorithm [64], we can construct rotating SMBHs surrounded by DM halos. In the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, they are given precisely by (16) and (17) of [65].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Profs. Katherine Freese and Monica Valluri for their valuable comments and suggestions. Z.S. thanks the hospitality of the Physics Department and Baylor University during his visit. A.W. is partially supported by the U.S. Natural Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. PHY2308845. Y.G. is partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2020YFC2201504.

APPENDIX A: ROOTS FOR MODELS I - V

Model I: In this model, the function $\mathcal{D}_1(x)$ appearing in (8) is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_1(x) \equiv x^3 - Ax^2 + Bx - C, \quad A \equiv a + r_s + 2M_h, \quad B \equiv 8\pi a^4 \rho_0, \quad C \equiv 2M_h (a + 2r_s)^2. \tag{A.1}$$

Then, $\mathcal{D}_1(x) = 0$ has the following three roots

$$x_{1} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q-\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \frac{A}{3},$$

$$x_{2} = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} - \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q-\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \frac{A}{3}, \quad x_{3} = x_{2}^{*},$$
(A.2)

where $x \equiv r + a$, and

$$p \equiv B - \frac{1}{3}A^2, \quad q \equiv -\frac{2}{27}A^3 + \frac{1}{3}AB - C, \quad \Delta \equiv q^2 + \frac{4}{27}p^3.$$
 (A.3)

In addition, the quantities, $\Delta_n(r)$, Δ_n , k and α_n appearing in (10) are defined as follows:

$$\Delta_{1}(r) \equiv a + r - x_{1}, \quad \Delta_{2}(r) \equiv (a + r + k)^{2} + |x_{2}|^{2} - k^{2}, \quad \Delta_{1,2} \equiv \Delta_{1,2}(2r_{s}), \quad \Delta_{3} \equiv \sqrt{|x_{2}|^{2} - k^{2}},$$

$$D_{o} \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta_{3}} \left(\alpha_{2} - k(1 - \alpha_{1}) \right), \quad k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} \left(-q + \sqrt{\Delta} \right)} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} \left(-q - \sqrt{\Delta} \right)} \right) - \frac{A}{3},$$

$$\alpha_{1} \equiv \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{1}^{2} + |x_{2}|^{2} + 2kx_{1}}, \quad \alpha_{2} \equiv \frac{|x_{2}|^{2}}{x_{1}} \alpha_{1}.$$
(A.4)

Model II: In this model, the function $\mathcal{D}_2(y)$ appearing in (12) is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{2}(y) \equiv y^{4} - \mathcal{A}y^{3} + \mathcal{B}y - \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv 1 + \frac{2}{a} \left(M + M_{h} \right), \quad \mathcal{B} \equiv \frac{6}{M_{h}} a^{2} \left(a + 2r_{s} \right)^{2}, \quad \mathcal{C} \equiv \frac{4M_{h}}{a^{4}} \left(a + 2r_{s} \right)^{3}.$$
(A.5)

Then, the equation $\mathcal{D}_2(y) = 0$ has four roots

$$y_{1} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(b - \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left(b - \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right)^{2} - 8 \left(y_{0} - \sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - 4c} \right)},$$

$$y_{2} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(b - \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left(b - \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right)^{2} - 8 \left(y_{0} - \sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - 4c} \right)},$$

$$y_{3} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(b + \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left(b + \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right)^{2} - 8 \left(y_{0} + \sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - 4c} \right)},$$

$$y_{4} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(b + \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left(b + \sqrt{b^{2} + 4y_{0}} \right)^{2} - 8 \left(y_{0} + \sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - 4c} \right)},$$

(A.6)

where

$$b = -(1+2u+2v), \quad c = -4u(1+4v)^3, \quad y_0 = \left(-\frac{q}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{4} + \frac{p^3}{27}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \left(\frac{q}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{4} + \frac{p^3}{27}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

$$p = -6u(1+4v)^2(1+2u+2v) + 16u(1+4v)^3, \quad q = -4u(1+4v)^3(1+2u+2v)^2 - 36u^2(1+4v)^4, \quad (A.7)$$

and $u \equiv 2\pi a^4 \rho_0 / [3(a+4M)^2]$, $v \equiv M/a$. In addition, the coefficients β_n , \hat{D}_o and D_n appearing in (13) are defined by

$$\beta_{1} \equiv \frac{y_{1}^{3}}{(y_{1} - y_{2})D_{1}}, \quad \beta_{2} \equiv \frac{y_{2}^{3}}{(y_{2} - y_{1})D_{2}}, \quad \beta_{3} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta_{1} - \beta_{2}), \quad \beta_{4} \equiv \frac{|y_{3}|^{2}\left[(y_{1} + y_{2})y_{3}^{2} - 2y_{3R}y_{1}y_{2}\right]}{D_{1}D_{2}}, \\ D_{n} \equiv |y_{3}|^{2} + y_{n}^{2} - 2y_{3R}y_{n}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{o} \equiv \frac{\beta_{4} + 2y_{3R}\beta_{3}}{y_{3I}}.$$
(A.8)

Model III: In this model, the function $\mathcal{D}_3(z)$ appearing in (15) is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_3(z) \equiv z^3 - uz^2 + \left(2u\sqrt{1+4v} - 2v - 1\right)zu(1+4v), \tag{A.9}$$

with $z \equiv (1 + r/a)^{1/2}$, $v \equiv M/a$ and $u \equiv 2M/a$. Then, the equation $\mathcal{D}_3(z) = 0$ has three roots $z_{1,2,3}$, given, respectively, by

$$z_{1} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q-\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \frac{u}{3},$$

$$z_{2} = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} - \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q-\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \frac{u}{3},$$

$$z_{3} = \frac{-1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} - \frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}\left(-q-\sqrt{\Delta}\right)} + \frac{u}{3}.$$
(A.10)

In addition, the constants γ_n appearing in (16) fir three real roots are given by

$$\gamma_{1} \equiv \frac{2z_{1}^{2}(z_{2}-z_{3})D_{4}}{z_{3}(z_{1}-z_{2})}, \quad \gamma_{2} \equiv \frac{2z_{2}^{2}(z_{1}-z_{3})D_{4}}{z_{3}(z_{2}-z_{1})}, \quad D_{3} \equiv z_{1}(z_{2}^{2}-z_{3}^{2})+z_{2}(z_{3}^{2}-z_{1}^{2})+z_{3}(z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}),$$

$$D_{4} \equiv \frac{z_{3}(z_{2}-z_{1})}{D_{3}}.$$
(A.11)

with $z_{1,2,3}$ being the three real roots given in (A.10).

When only one of the three roots is real, the integration of (15) yields the solution of f(r) given by (17) with

$$\delta_{1} = \frac{2az_{1}^{2}}{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{3}}, \quad z_{2} = z_{2R} + iz_{2I}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{o} = 2a(K_{3} + 2z_{2R}K_{2})z_{2R} - 2a|z_{2}|^{2}K_{2}, \quad K_{2} = -\frac{z_{1}}{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{3}}, \quad K_{3} = \frac{|z_{2}|^{2}}{\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{3}}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{3} \equiv a[z_{1}^{2} + |z_{2}|^{2} - 2z_{1}z_{2R}].$$
(A.12)

Models IV & V: The coefficients appearing in (18) are given by

$$M_{h}^{(4)} = \frac{4\pi a^{3} \rho_{0}}{3(1+2r_{s}/a)^{2}} \left(1+\frac{3r_{s}}{a}\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{1}^{(4)} = -\frac{3M_{h}^{(4)}}{1+3r_{s}/a} \left(1+\frac{2r_{s}}{a}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{2}^{(4)} = \frac{3M_{h}^{(4)}}{1+3r_{s}/a} \left(1+\frac{2r_{s}}{a}\right)^{2} \left(1+\frac{r_{s}}{a}\right), \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(4)} = -\frac{M_{h}^{(4)}}{1+3r_{s}/a} \left(1+\frac{2r_{s}}{a}\right)^{3}, \quad M_{h}^{(5)} = \frac{4\pi a^{3} \rho_{0}}{3(1+2r_{s}/a)}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{1}^{(5)} = -3M_{h}^{(5)} \left(1+\frac{2r_{s}}{a}\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{2}^{(5)} = 3M_{h}^{(5)} \left(1+\frac{2r_{s}}{a}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(5)} = -M_{h}^{(5)} \left(1+\frac{2r_{s}}{a}\right)^{3}.$$
(A.13)

The function $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w)$ of (19) is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{0}^{(N)} + \left(a - 2\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(N)} - \mathcal{A}_{1}^{(N)} - 6\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(N)}\right)w + \left(3a - 2\mathcal{A}_{1}^{(N)} - 6\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(N)}\right)w^{2} + \left(3a - 2\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(N)}\right)w^{3} + aw^{4}, \ (w \equiv r/a),$$
(A.14)

with $\mathcal{D}_0^{(N)} \equiv -2\sum_{n=0}^3 \mathcal{A}_n^{(N)}, \ \mathcal{A}_0^{(N)} \equiv M + M_h^{(N)}$. The coefficients of (20) are given by

$$\epsilon_{1} = \frac{(1+w_{1})^{3}}{(w_{1}-w_{2})d_{1}}, \quad \epsilon_{2} = \frac{(1+w_{2})^{3}}{(w_{2}-w_{1})d_{2}}, \quad \epsilon_{3} \equiv (1-\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2})/2, \quad d_{n} \equiv w_{n}^{2} + |w_{3}|^{2} - 2w_{3R},$$

$$\epsilon_{4} = \frac{1}{d_{1}d_{2}} \Biggl\{ 4w_{3R}^{2} \left(3+w_{1}+w_{2}\right) + w_{1} \left(w_{2}-3|w_{3}|^{2}-3w_{2}|w_{3}|^{2}+|w_{3}|^{4}\right) + 2w_{3R} \left[1+3w_{2}-6|w_{3}|^{2}-2w_{2}|w_{3}|^{2}+w_{1} \left(3+3w_{2}-2|w_{3}|^{2}\right)\right] + |w_{3}|^{2} \left(-1+3|w_{3}|^{2}-3w_{2}+w_{2}|w_{3}|^{2}\right)\Biggr\}, \quad (A.15)$$

Then, $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(w) = 0$ has four roots, $w_{1,\dots,4}$, given respectively by

$$w_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-B_{1} + \sqrt{B_{1}^{2} - 4C_{1}} \right), \quad w_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-B_{1} - \sqrt{B_{1}^{2} - 4C_{1}} \right),$$

$$w_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-B_{2} + i\sqrt{4C_{2} - B_{2}^{2}} \right), \quad w_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-B_{2} - i\sqrt{4C_{2} - B_{2}^{2}} \right), \quad (A.16)$$

where

$$B_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(b - \sqrt{b^{2} - 4c + 4y_{0}} \right), \quad B_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(b + \sqrt{b^{2} - 4c + 4y_{0}} \right),$$

$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_{0} - \sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - 4e} \right), \quad C_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(y_{0} + \sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - 4e} \right),$$

$$y_{0} = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{3}} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{3}} + \frac{c}{3}},$$

$$p = bd - 4e - \frac{c^{2}}{3}, \quad q = -b^{2}e + 4ce - d^{2} + \frac{c}{3} \left(bd - 4e\right) - \frac{2}{27}c^{3},$$

$$b = 3 - \frac{2\left(M + M_{h}\right)}{a}, \quad c = 3 - \frac{1}{a} \left[2\mathcal{A}_{1} + 6\left(M + M_{h}\right)\right],$$

$$d = 1 - \frac{2}{a} \left[\mathcal{A}_{2} + 2\mathcal{A}_{1} + 3\left(M + M_{h}\right)\right], \quad e = -\frac{2}{a} \left[\mathcal{A}_{3} + \mathcal{A}_{2} + \mathcal{A}_{1} + \left(M + M_{h}\right)\right].$$
(A.17)

Г

Note that in writing (A.16)-(A.17), we have dropped the

super-indices "(N)" without causing any confusion.

G. Bertone, D. Hooper, and J. Silk, Particle Dark Matter: Evidence, Candidates and Constraints, Phys. Rep. 405,

^{279 (2005) [}arXiv:hep-ph/0404175].

- [2] K. Freese, Review of Observational Evidence for Dark Matter in the Universe and in upcoming searches for Dark Stars, EAS Publ. Ser. 36, 113 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4005].
- [3] J. G. de Swart, G. Bertone, and J. van Dongen, *How dark matter came to matter*, Nature Astron. 1, 0059 (2017) [arXiv:1703.00013].
- [4] R.H. Wechsler and J.L. Tinker, *The Connection between Galaxies and their Dark Matter Halos*, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 56, 435 (2018) [arXiv:1804.03097].
- [5] A. Arbey, F. Mahmoudi, Dark matter and the early Universe: a review, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 119 (2021) 103865 [arXiv:2104.11488].
- [6] F. Zwicky, Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln, Helv. Phys. Acta, 6, 110 (1933) [arXiv: 1711.01693].
- [7] F. Zwicky, On the masses of nebulae and of clusters of nebulae, Astrophys. J. 86, 217 (1937).
- [8] V.C. Rubin, W.K. Jr. Ford, Rotation of the Andromeda nebula from a spectroscopic survey of emission regions, Astrophys. J. 159, 379 (1970).
- [9] K.C. Freeman, On the disks of spiral and S0 galaxies, Astrophys. J. 160, 811 (1970).
- [10] D. Clowe, et al., A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter, Astrophys. J. 648, L109 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0608407].
- [11] D. J. Eisenstein, et al., Detection of the Baryon Acoustic Peak in the Large-Scale Correlation Function of SDSS Luminous Red Galaxies, Astrophys. J. 633, 560 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0501171].
- [12] C. L. Bennett, et al., Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) observa- tions: Final maps and results, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 208, 20 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5226].
- [13] N. Aghanim, et al. (Planck Collaboration), *Planck 2018 results VI. Cosmological parameters*, A&A **641**, A6 (2020) [arXiv:1807.06209].
- [14] S. Cebrián, Review on dark matter searches, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2502 (2023) 012004 [arXiv:2205.06833].
- [15] C. Smarra, et al (European Pulsar Timing Array), Second Data Release from the European Pulsar Timing Array: Challenging the Ultralight Dark Matter Paradigm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 171001 (2023) [arXiv:2306.16228].
- [16] M. Misiaszeka and N. Rossib, Direct detection of dark matter: a critical review, arXiv:2310.20472.
- [17] M. Valluri, D. Merritt, and E. Emsellem, Difficulties with Recovering the Masses of Supermassive Black Holes from Stellar Kinematical Data, Astrophys. J. 602, 66 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0210379].
- [18] K. Akiyama, et al (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration), First Sagittarius A* Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole in the Center of the Milky Way, Astrophys. J. Lett. 930 (2022) L12.
- [19] K. Akiyama, et al (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration), First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole, Astrophys. J. Lett. 875 (2019) L1 [arXiv:1906.11238].
- [20] R. Abbott, et al (LIGO Scientific, VIRGO and KA-GRA Collaborations), GWTC-3: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the Second Part of the Third Observing Run, arXiv:2111.03606.
- [21] A. El-Zant, Z. Roupas, J. Silk, Ejection of supermassive black holes and implications for merger rates in

fuzzy dark matter haloes, MNRAS **499**, 2575 (2020) [arXiv:2009.10167].

- [22] L.E. Padilla, T. Rindler-Daller, P.R. Shapiro, T. Matos, J. A. Vázquez, Core-Halo Mass Relation in Scalar Field Dark Matter Models and its Consequences for the Formation of Supermassive Black Holes, Phys. Rev. D103, 063012 (2021) [arXiv:2010.12716].
- [23] A. Marasco, G. Cresci, L. Posti, F. Fraternali, F. Mannucci, A. Marconi, F. Belfiore, S.M. Fall, A universal relation between the properties of supermassive black holes, galaxies, and dark matter haloes, MNRAS 507, 4274 (2021) [arXiv:2105.10508].
- [24] A. Bansal, K. Ichiki, H. Tashiro, Y. Matsuoka, Evolution of the mass relation between supermassive black holes and dark matter halos across the cosmic time, MNRAS 523, 3840 (2023) [arXiv:2206.01443].
- [25] H. Zhang, P. Behroozi, M. Volonteri, J. Silk, X. Fan, P.F. Hopkins, J. Yang, J. Aird, *TRINITY I: self-consistently* modeling the dark matter halo-galaxy-supermassive black hole connection from z = 0 - 10, MNRAS **518**, 2123 (2023) [arXiv:2105.10474].
- [26] R. Schödel, A. Feldmeier, N. Neumayer, L. Meyer, and S. Yelda, *The nuclear cluster of the Milky Way: our primary testbed for the interaction of a dense star cluster with a massive black hole*, Classical Quantum Gravity 31, 244007 (2014).
- [27] P. Amaro-Seoane, J.R. Gair, M. Freitag, M. Coleman Miller, I. Mandel, C.J. Cutler, and S. Babak *Intermediate* and extreme mass-ratio inspirals: astrophysics, science applications and detection using LISA, Class. Quantum Grav. 24, R113 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0703495].
- [28] S. Babak, J. Gair, A. Sesana, E. Barausse, C. F. Sopuerta, C. P. L. Berry, E. Berti, P. Amaro-Seoane, A. Petiteau, and Klein, *Science with the space-based interferometer LISA. V. Extreme mass-ratio inspirals*, Phys. Rev. D95, 103012 (2017) [arXiv:1703.09722].
- [29] F. Amann, et al., Site-selection criteria for the Einstein Telescope, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 91, 094504 (2020)
 [arXiv:2003.03434]; Sathyaprakash et al., Corrigendum: Scientific objectives of Einstein telescope, Class. Quantum Grav. 30 (2013) 079501; M. Punturo, et al., The Einstein Telescope: a third-generation gravitational wave observatory, Class. Quantum Grav. 27, 194002 (2010); http://www.et-gw.eu.
- [30] E.D. Hall, et al., Gravitational-wave physics with Cosmic Explorer: limits to low-frequency sensitivity, arXiv:2012.03608; P. Abbott, et al., Exploring the sensitivity of next generation gravitational wave detectors, Class. Quantum Grav. 34, 044001 (2017) [arXiv:1607.08697]; S. Dwyer, D. Sigg, S.W. Ballmer, L. Barsotti, N. Mavalvala, M. Evans, Gravitational wave detector with cosmological reach, Phys. Rev. D91, 082001 (2015) [arXiv:1410.0612]; https://cosmicexplorer.org.
- [31] P. Amaro-Seoane, et al., Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, arXiv:1702.00786.
- [32] J. Luo, et al., *TianQin: a space-borne gravitational wave detector*, Class. Quantum Grav. **33**, 035010 (2016) [arXiv:1512.02076].
- [33] Z.-R. Luo, Z.-K. Guo, G. Jin, Y.-L. Wu, and W.-R. Hua, A brief analysis to Taiji: Science and technology, Results in Phys. 16 102918 (2020); W.-H. Ruan, Z.-K. Guo, R.-G. Cai, Y.-Z. Zhang, Taiji Program: Gravitational-Wave Sources, arXiv:1807.09495.
- [34] S. Kawamura, et al., Current status of space grav-

itational wave antenna DECIGO and B-DECIGO, arXiv:2006.13545; S. Sato, et al., The status of DECIGO, J. Phys.: Conf. Series **840**, 012010 (2017).

- [35] K. Eda, Y. Itoh, S. Kuroyanagi, J. Silk, Gravitational waves as a probe of dark matter minispikes, Phys. Rev. D91, 044045 (2015) [arXiv:1408.3534].
- [36] B.J. Kavanagh, D.A. Nichols, G. Bertone, D. Gaggero, Detecting dark matter around black holes with gravitational waves: Effects of dark-matter dynamics on the gravitational waveform, Phys. Rev. D102, 083006 (2020) [arXiv:2002.12811].
- [37] A. Coogan, G. Bertone, D. Gaggero, B.J. Kavanagh, D.A. Nichols, *Measuring the dark matter environments of black hole binaries with gravitational waves*, Phys. Rev. D105, 043009 (2022) [arXiv:2108.04154].
- [38] K. Kadota, J.H. Kim, P. Ko, X.-Y. Yang, Gravitational Wave Probes on Self-Interacting Dark Matter Surrounding an Intermediate Mass Black Hole, arXiv:2306.10828.
- [39] O.A. Hannuksela, K.C.Y. Ng, T.G.F. Li, Extreme Dark Matter Tests with Extreme Mass Ratio inspirals, Phys. Rev. D102, 103022 (2020) [arXiv:1906.11845].
- [40] T.-G. Zi, J.-D. Zhang, H.-M. Fan, X.-T. Zhang, Y.-M. Hu, C. Shi, J. Mei, Science with the TianQin Observatory: Preliminary Results on Testing the No-hair Theorem with EMRI, Phys. Rev. D 104, 064008 (2021) [arXiv:2104.06047].
- [41] H.-M. Fan, S. Zhong, Z.C. Liang, Z. Wu, J.-d. Zhang, Y.-M. Hu, *Extreme-mass-ratio burst detection with TianQin*, Phys. Rev. D106, 124028 (2023) [arXiv:2209.13387].
- [42] L. Berezhiani, G. Cintia, V. De Luca, and J. Khoury, Dynamical friction in dark matter superfluids: The evolution of black hole binaries, arXiv:2311.07672.
- [43] V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, F. Duque, R. P. Macedo, A. Maselli, Gravitational Waves from Extreme-Mass-Ratio Systems in Astrophysical Environments, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 241103 (2022) [arXiv:2210.01133].
- [44] P. Gondolo and J. Silk, Dark Matter Annihilation at the Galactic Center, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1719 (1999).
- [45] L. Sadeghian, F. Ferrer, and C. M. Will, Dark-matter distributions around massive black holes: A general relativistic analysis, Phys. Rev. D88, 063522 (2013) [arXiv:1305.2619].
- [46] N. Speeney, A. Antonelli, V. Baibhav, and E. Berti, Impact of relativistic corrections on the detectability of darkmatter spikes with gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D106, 044027 (2022) [arXiv:2204.12508].
- [47] V. De Luca, J. Khoury, Superfluid dark matter around black holes, JCAP 04 (2023) 048 [2302.10286].
- [48] V. Cardoso, K. Destounis, F. Duque, R. P. Macedo, A. Maselli, Black holes in galaxies: environmental impact on gravitational-wave generation and propagation, Phys. Rev. D105, L061501 (2022) [arXiv:2109.00005].
- [49] L. Hernquist, An Analytical Model for Spherical Galaxies and Bulges, Astrophys. J. 356, 359 (1990).
- [50] R.A. Konoplya, Black holes in galactic centers: quasinormal ringing, gray-body factors and Unruh temperature, Phys. Lett. B823 (2021) 136734 [arXiv:2109.01640].

- [51] J. Liu, S. Chen, J. Jing, *Tidal effects of dark matter halo around a galactic black hole*, Chin. Phys. C46, 105104 (2022) [arXiv:2203.14039].
- [52] K. Destounis, A. Kulathingal, K.D. Kokkotas, G.O. Papadopoulos, Gravitational-wave imprints of compact and galactic-scale environments in extreme-mass-ratio binaries, Phys. Rev. D107, 084027 (2023) [arXiv:2210.09357].
- [53] S.V.M.C.B. Xavier, H.C.D. Lima Junior, L.C.B. Crispino, Shadows of black holes with dark matter halo, Phys. Rev. D107, 064040 (2023) [arXiv:2303.17666].
- [54] N. Dai, Y. Gong, Y. Zhao, T. Jiang, Extreme mass ratio spirals in galaxies with dark matter halos, arXiv:2301.05088.
- [55] M. Rahman, S. Kumar, A. Bhattacharyya, Probing astrophysical environment with eccentric extreme mass-ratio inspirals, arXiv:2306.14971.
- [56] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973).
- [57] S. Nampalliwar, Saurabh K., K. Jusufi, Q. Wu, M. Jamil, P. Salucci, *Modeling the Sgr A* Black Hole Immersed* in a Dark Matter Spikez, Astrophys. J. **916**, 116 (2021) [arXiv:2103.12439].
- [58] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Solutions of the Einstein equations for a black hole surrounded by a galactic halo, Astrophys. J. 933 (2022) 166 [arXiv:2202.02205].
- [59] D. Liu, Y. Yang, Z. Xu, Z.-W. Long, Modeling the black holes surrounded by a dark matter halo in the galactic center of M87, arXiv:2307.13553.
- [60] Z. Shen, A. Wang, Y. Gong, and S.-Y. Yin, Supermassive black holes of galaxies surrounded by dark matter halos: construction of analytical models, in preparation (2023).
- [61] H.S. Zhao, Analytical models for galactic nuclei, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 278, 488 (1996) [arXiv:astroph/9509122].
- [62] E. Figueiredo, A. Maselli, and V. Cardoso, Black holes surrounded by generic dark matter profiles: Appearance and gravitational-wave emission, Phys. Rev. D107, 104033 (2023) [arXiv:2303.08183].
- [63] J.F. Navarro, C.F. Frenk, S.D.M. White, a universal density profile from hierarchical clustering, Astrophys. J. 490, 493 (1997) [arXiv:astro-ph/9611107].
- [64] M. Azreg-Aïnou, Generating rotating regular black hole solutions without complexification, Phys. Rev. D90, 064041 (2014) [arXiv:1405.2569]; From static to rotating to conformal static solutions: Rotating imperfect fluid wormholes with(out) electric or magnetic field, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 2865 [arXiv:1401.4292].
- [65] C. Liu, T. Zhu, Q. Wu, K. Jusufi, M. Jamil, M. Azreg-Aïnou, A. Wang, Shadow and quasinormal modes of a rotating loop quantum black hole, Phys. Rev. D101, 084001 (2020) [arXiv:2003.00477].
- [66] T. Matos, L.A. Ureña-López, J.-W. Lee, Short Review of the main achievements of the Scalar Field, Fuzzy, Ultralight, Wave, BEC Dark Matter model, arXiv:2312.00254.