

# Global solutions to quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon systems in two space dimensions

Qian Zhang

**Abstract** In this paper we prove global existence and global behavior of solutions to quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon systems in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  with quadratic nonlinearities satisfying the null condition. We consider small, regular and compactly supported initial data, and prove global existence, pointwise decay estimates and linear scattering for the solutions.

**Keywords** quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system · global-in-time solution · pointwise decay estimates · linear scattering

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010)** 35L05 · 35L52 · 35L72

## 1 Introduction

We consider the following quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$

$$\begin{cases} -\square u &= F_u := P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta v = N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v), \\ -\square v + v &= F_v := P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta u + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta u = N_1(v, u) + N_2(u, u) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with compactly supported initial data prescribed on the time slice  $t = t_0 = 2$ :

$$(u, \partial_t u, v, \partial_t v)|_{t=t_0} = (u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1). \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and we denote  $N_i(w, z) = P_i^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  for any smooth functions  $w, z$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Einstein summation convention over repeated upper and lower indices is adopted throughout the paper. In the above,  $P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta}, P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  are constants satisfying the standard null condition, that is,

$$P_i^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \xi_\gamma \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi_0^2 = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1.3)$$

and in addition, the symmetry condition

$$P_i^{\gamma\alpha\beta} = P_i^{\gamma\beta\alpha}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

As usual,  $\square = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta = -\partial_0^2 + \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2$  denotes the wave operator, where  $\partial_0 = \partial_t, \partial_a = \partial_{x_a}$  for  $a = 1, 2$ ,  $g = (g_{\alpha\beta}) = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$  denotes the Minkowski metric in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ ,  $(g^{\alpha\beta})$  denotes the inverse matrix of  $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ . Without loss of generality, the initial data  $(u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1)$  are assumed to be supported in the unit ball  $\{x : |x| < 1\}$ , hence the solution is supported within the region  $\{(t, x) : t \geq 2, |x| < t - 1\}$ .

Throughout this paper, Greek letters  $\gamma, \alpha, \beta, \dots \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  represent spacetime indices and Latin letters  $a, b, c, \dots \in \{1, 2\}$  are used for space indices. For any two quantities  $A, B \geq 0$ , we write  $A \lesssim B$  if  $A \leq CB$  for some unimportant constant  $C > 0$ . We write  $A \sim B$  if  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ . We write  $A \ll B$  if  $A \leq CB$  for some constant  $C > 0$  sufficiently small.

Let us first review some works on global well-posedness for nonlinear wave equations. For general nonlinear wave equations in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$  with quadratic nonlinearities, the local solution may blow up in finite time; see John [21] for example. Klainerman [23] and Christodoulou [7] independently proved that nonlinear wave equations in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$  with small data and nonlinearities satisfying the null condition admit global-in-time solutions. Using the "ghost weight" energy estimates, Alinhac [1] established global

existence for quasilinear wave equations in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  with quadratic null nonlinearities, for small, smooth and compactly supported initial data. In [18], Hou-Yin removed the compactness assumption on the support of initial data in [1]. A similar result for 2D fully nonlinear wave equations under the null condition was obtained by Cai, Lei, and Masmoudi [6]. In [1], the top order energy of the solution grows polynomially in time. A question, known as the "blowup-at-infinity" conjecture [3–5], is whether this growth is a true phenomenon. This was solved by Dong-LeFloch-Lei [11] and Li [29] independently, where it was shown that the top order energy of quasilinear wave equations in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  with quadratic null nonlinearities is uniformly bounded in time.

We now mention some related works on coupled wave-Klein-Gordon systems. In [16], Georgiev proved global existence of small solutions for coupled systems of nonlinear wave and Klein-Gordon equations with strong null condition in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$ , which was improved by Katayama [22] to more general nonlinearities. LeFloch-Ma [28], Wang [37] and Ionescu-Pausader [20] studied the wave-Klein-Gordon system in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$  as a model for the full Einstein-Klein-Gordon system. In lower space dimensions, Ma [31, 32] studied global existence for a quasilinear diagonalized wave-Klein-Gordon system in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$ , and then extended the result [32] to more types of nonlinearities in [33, 34]. In [36], Stingo proved global existence for a quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  with  $Q_0$  type nonlinearities (here  $Q_0(w, z) = \partial_\alpha w \partial^\alpha z$  for any functions  $w, z$ ), when initial data are small, smooth and mildly decay at infinity. Ifrim-Stingo [19] established almost global existence for quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon systems in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  with quadratic null nonlinearities. There also exist many other results on nonlinear wave and Klein-Gordon equations as well as their coupled systems; see for instance [2, 8–10, 12–15, 26, 30, 35, 39].

Inspired by the works [19, 36], the goal of this paper is to prove global existence and asymptotic behavior for the solution to (1.1)-(1.2) under the null condition (i.e., (1.3)), for small, regular and compactly supported initial data. We use the hyperboloidal method which is due to Klainerman [24, 25] and Hörmander [17].

Before we present our main results, some notations are made as follows.

Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote  $\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2) := H^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) := (\dot{H}^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\dot{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  denote the Sobolev spaces and homogeneous Sobolev spaces respectively. We denote

$$\mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) := \mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2) = (\dot{H}^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (1.4)$$

We are now ready to state the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $N \geq 14$  be an integer. Consider the quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system (1.1) with initial data  $(u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1)$  on the time slice  $t = t_0 = 2$  supported in the ball  $\{x : |x| < 1\}$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$  and all initial data satisfying*

$$\|u_0\|_{H^{N+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|u_1\|_{H^N(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|v_0\|_{H^{N+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|v_1\|_{H^N(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon,$$

*the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits a global-in-time solution  $(u, v)$ , which satisfies the following pointwise decay estimates*

$$|v(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-1}, \quad |u(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-1/2+\delta}, \quad |\partial u(t, x)| \lesssim t^{-1/2}.$$

*Moreover, the solution  $(u, v)$  scatters to a free solution in  $\mathcal{X}_{N-5}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (see (1.4)), i.e., there exists  $(u_0^*, u_1^*, v_0^*, v_1^*) \in \mathcal{X}_{N-5}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u, \partial_t u, v, \partial_t v) - (u^*, \partial_t u^*, v^*, \partial_t v^*)\|_{\mathcal{X}_{N-5}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0,$$

*where  $(u^*, v^*)$  is the solution to the 2D linear homogeneous wave-Klein-Gordon system with the initial data  $(u_0^*, u_1^*, v_0^*, v_1^*)$ .*

**Difficulties and key ideas.** We follow [17, 24] and use hyperboloids  $\mathcal{H}_s = \{(t, x) : t^2 = s^2 + |x|^2\}$  ( $s \geq s_0 = 2$ ) to foliate the spacetime. Energy estimates are derived along these hyperboloids and integration is with respect to the hyperbolic time  $s = \sqrt{t^2 - |x|^2}$  (instead of  $t$ ). The main advantage of this

approach is that we can make use of the  $(t - |x|)$  decay. To prove the global existence result in Theorem 1.1, the main challenges include the following: *i*) The nondiagonalizable (i.e.,  $F_u$  contains  $\partial\partial v$  and  $F_v$  contains  $\partial\partial u$ ) structure of the nonlinearities brings difficulty in deriving an inequality for the top order energy of the solution  $(u, v)$  to (1.1)-(1.2); *ii*) The slow decay nature of quadratic nonlinearities causes trouble in closing the energy estimate. To close the estimate of top order energy (which is expected to have a small growth), we need to obtain sharp decay estimates for the solution. For this, we need a uniform (in time) bound for lower order energy of the solution. To overcome these difficulties, we adopt some novel ideas as stated below.

First, to derive an inequality for the energy of the solution  $(u, v)$  up to the top order, we combine both equations in (1.1) rather than dealing with single equation. Precisely, by acting the vector field  $\Gamma^I$  ( $\Gamma \in \{\partial_\alpha, L_a\}$ ) and  $I$  is a multi-index, where  $\partial_\alpha, L_a$  denote the translations and Lorentz boosts respectively) on both sides of each equation in (1.1), and applying Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$-\square\Gamma^I u = \Gamma^I F_u = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} \{N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} v) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} v)\}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$-\square\Gamma^I v + \Gamma^I v = \Gamma^I F_v = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} \{N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} u) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} u)\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$  and any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,  $N_{i,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  with  $P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition, and  $N_{i,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = N_i(w, z)$  when  $I_1 + I_2 = I$ . Multiplying (1.5) and (1.6) by  $\partial_t \Gamma^I u$  and  $\partial_t \Gamma^I v$  respectively, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (|\partial \Gamma^I u|^2) - \partial_\alpha (\partial^\alpha \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I u) = F_u^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I u + (N_1(v, \Gamma^I v) + N_2(u, \Gamma^I v)) \partial_t \Gamma^I u, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (|\partial \Gamma^I v|^2 + |\Gamma^I v|^2) - \partial_\alpha (\partial^\alpha \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I v) = F_v^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I v + (N_1(v, \Gamma^I u) + N_2(u, \Gamma^I u)) \partial_t \Gamma^I v \quad (1.8)$$

(see (2.2) for the definitions of  $|\partial \Gamma^I u|$  and  $|\partial \Gamma^I v|$ ), where

$$F_u^{I,low} : = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I, |I_2| \leq |I|-1} (N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} v) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} v)),$$

$$F_v^{I,low} : = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I, |I_2| \leq |I|-1} (N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} u) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} u)).$$

By adding (1.7) and (1.8), and a careful calculation in the terms " $N_1(v, \Gamma^I v) \partial_t \Gamma^I u + N_1(v, \Gamma^I u) \partial_t \Gamma^I v$ " and " $N_2(u, \Gamma^I v) \partial_t \Gamma^I u + N_2(u, \Gamma^I u) \partial_t \Gamma^I v$ ", we obtain an equality where the terms  $\partial \partial \Gamma^I u, \partial \partial \Gamma^I v$  vanish on the right hand side. After integrating over the region limited by two hyperboloids, we obtain an energy equality for  $(\Gamma^I u, \Gamma^I v)$ .

Next, to close the energy estimate up to the top order, we need to gain a uniform in time bound for lower order energy of the solution  $(u, v)$ . This is achieved by performing nonlinear transformations for both  $u$  and  $v$ . To bound the lower order energy of  $v$ , we let  $\tilde{v} = v - N_2(u, u)$ . Then  $\tilde{v}$  solves

$$-\square \tilde{v} + \tilde{v} = N_1(v, u) + N_2(\partial u, \partial u) + \text{"good terms"} \quad (1.9)$$

(here we omit the constant coefficients of each term), where we refer to "good terms" as "cubic terms involving  $v$  or derivatives of  $v$ ". Now in the term  $N_2(\partial u, \partial u)$  there is one " $\partial$ " hitting each  $u$ . Hence, by the null condition and extra decay of Hessian of the wave component  $u$  (see Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3), the terms  $N_1(v, u)$  and  $N_2(\partial u, \partial u)$  appearing on the right hand side of (1.9) have sufficient decay rates.

To bound the lower order energy of  $u$ , the main difficulty is to take care of the term  $N_1(v, v) = P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta v$  in (1.1). For this, we carefully exploit the structure of the nonlinearities, and discover new nonlinear transformations leading to faster decay nonlinearities. Precisely, we substitute the " $v$ " appearing in " $\partial_\gamma v$ " in  $N_1(v, v)$  by  $\square v + F_v$  (here we use the second equation in (1.1)), and arrive at

$$-\square u = N_1(-\partial_t \partial_t v + \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha v, v) + N_2(u, v) + \text{"good terms"}. \quad (1.10)$$

To deal with the term  $N_1(-\partial_t \partial_t v + \partial_a \partial^a v, v)$  on the right hand side of (1.10), we compute  $(-\square)N_1(v, v)$  and make a subtle cancellation. On the other hand, the term  $N_2(u, v)$  on the right hand side of (1.10) is cancelled by conducting the transformation  $u + N_2(u, v)$ . Combining both terms, our final transformation is  $\tilde{u} := u + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v)$ . The nonlinearity  $F_{\tilde{u}} := -\square \tilde{u}$  for this new function has sufficient decay rate. Hence we can close the bootstrap and obtain the global existence result.

Finally, to show the scattering result in Theorem 1.1, we need to estimate the  $L_x^2$  norms of the nonlinearities on flat time slices. However, the hyperboloidal method we use only provides estimates on hyperboloids. Hence, we prove a technical lemma which gives a sufficient condition on the  $L^2$ -type norms of the nonlinearities on hyperboloids, for the linear scattering of the solution.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations, and state energy and Sobolev inequalities on hyperboloids, and estimates of null forms. In Section 3, we provide the main ingredients in proving Theorem 1.1, including an energy equality and some nonlinear transformations. The last two sections are devoted to the complete proof of Theorem 1.1. Precisely, we prove the global existence and the linear scattering results in Theorem 1.1 in Sections 4 and 5 respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Notations

We work in the  $(1+2)$  dimensional spacetime  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  with Minkowski metric  $g = (-1, 1, 1)$ , which is used to raise or lower indices. We denote a point in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  by  $(t, x) = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$  with  $t = x_0, x = (x_1, x_2), x^a = x_a, a = 1, 2$ , and its spacial radius is denoted by  $r := |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ . Following Klainerman's vector field method [23], we introduce the following vector fields:

- (i) Translations:  $\partial_\alpha := \partial_{x_\alpha}, \alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .
- (ii) Lorentz boosts:  $L_a := x_a \partial_t + t \partial_a, a \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (iii) Rotation:  $\Omega_{12} := x_1 \partial_2 - x_2 \partial_1$ .
- (iv) Scaling:  $L_0 = t \partial_t + x^a \partial_a$ .

For any operators  $A$  and  $B$ , the commutator  $[A, B]$  is defined as

$$[A, B] := AB - BA.$$

For simplicity, we denote  $\Sigma_\alpha = \Sigma_{\alpha \in \{0,1,2\}}$  and similarly for  $\Sigma_\beta, \Sigma_\gamma$ , while  $\Sigma_a = \Sigma_{a \in \{1,2\}}$  and similar for  $\Sigma_b, \Sigma_c$ .

We restrict our study to functions supported within the spacetime region

$$\mathcal{K} := \{(t, x) : t \geq 2, r < t - 1\},$$

which is the light cone with vertex  $(1, 0, 0)$ . We use  $s \geq s_0 = 2$  to denote the hyperbolic time, and hyperboloids are denoted by

$$\mathcal{H}_s := \{(t, x) : t^2 - r^2 = s^2\}.$$

We note that for any  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H}_s$  with  $s \geq s_0 = 2$ , it holds that

$$r \leq t - 1, \quad s \leq t \leq s^2.$$

For any  $s_1 \geq s_0 = 2$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{K}_{[s_0, s_1]} := \bigcup_{s_0 \leq s \leq s_1} \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H}_s$  the subsets of  $\mathcal{K}$  limited by the hyperboloids  $\mathcal{H}_{s_0}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{s_1}$ . We follow [27] and introduce the hyperboloidal frame, which is defined by

$$\bar{\partial}_0 = \partial_s = \frac{s}{t} \partial_t, \quad \bar{\partial}_a = \frac{L_a}{t} = \frac{x_a}{t} \partial_t + \partial_a, \quad a = 1, 2. \quad (2.1)$$

We also make use of the semi-hyperboloidal frame

$$\underline{\partial}_0 := \partial_t, \quad \underline{\partial}_a = \bar{\partial}_a, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

For any sufficiently smooth function  $u$ , we denote for simplicity

$$|\partial u| = \left( \sum_{\alpha} |\partial_{\alpha} u|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad |\bar{\partial} u| = \left( \sum_{\alpha} |\bar{\partial}_{\alpha} u|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Given a sufficiently nice function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , its  $L^p$  norms on the hyperboloids  $\mathcal{H}_s$  ( $s \geq s_0 = 2$ ) are defined by

$$\|u\|_{L^p_f(\mathcal{H}_s)}^p = \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} |u(t, x)|^p dx := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(\sqrt{s^2 + |x|^2}, x)|^p dx, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

We denote the ordered set

$$\{\Gamma_k\}_{k=0}^4 := \{\partial_0, \partial_1, \partial_2, L_1, L_2\}.$$

For any multi-index  $I = (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in \mathbb{N}^5$  of length  $|I| = \sum_{k=0}^4 i_k$ , we denote

$$\Gamma^I = \prod_{k=0}^4 \Gamma_k^{i_k}, \quad \text{where } \Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4).$$

For any multi-indices  $I = (i_0, i_1, i_2) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ ,  $J = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , let

$$\partial^I := \prod_{k=0}^2 \partial_k^{i_k}, \quad L^J := \prod_{k=1}^2 L_k^{j_k}. \quad (2.3)$$

For any multi-indices  $I = (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ ,  $J = (j_0, j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in \mathbb{N}^5$ , by writing " $I \leq J$ " we mean that

$$i_k \leq j_k \quad \text{for all } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

## 2.2 Energy and Sobolev inequalities

The following estimates for commutators will be frequently used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** (See [28]) *For any sufficiently smooth function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and any multi-indices  $I, J \in \mathbb{N}^5$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma^I \Gamma^J u - \Gamma^{I+J} u| &\lesssim \sum_{|K'| < |I| + |J|} |\Gamma^{K'} u|, \\ |L^K L_a \Gamma^I u| &\lesssim \sum_b \sum_{|K'| \leq |I| + |K|} |L_b \Gamma^{K'} u|, \quad a \in \{1, 2\}, \\ |L^K \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma^I u| &\lesssim \sum_{\beta} \sum_{|K'| \leq |I| + |K|} |\partial_{\beta} \Gamma^{K'} u|, \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \\ |L^K \underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| &\lesssim \sum_b \sum_{|K'| \leq |I| + |K|} |\underline{\partial}_b \Gamma^{K'} u|, \quad a \in \{1, 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof can be found in [27, 28], and we sketch it below. Noting that

$$[\partial_{\alpha}, L_b] = \delta_{\alpha 0} \partial_b + \delta_{\alpha b} \partial_t, \quad [L_a, L_b] = \frac{x_a}{t} L_b - \frac{x_b}{t} L_a,$$

and that  $|L_c(x_a/t)| \lesssim 1$ ,  $|\partial_{\alpha}(x_a/t)| \lesssim 1$ ,  $|L_c(1/t)| \lesssim 1/t$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ , for  $\alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $a, c \in \{1, 2\}$ , we obtain the conclusions.  $\square$

Let  $m \geq 0$ . Following [27, 33, 38], for a function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , we define its (natural) energy and conformal energy on the hyperboloids  $\mathcal{H}_s$  ( $s \geq s_0 = 2$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_m(u, s) &:= \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} \left( |\partial_t u|^2 + \sum_a |\partial_a u|^2 + 2(x^a/t) \partial_t u \partial_a u + m^2 u^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} \left( |(s/t) \partial_t u|^2 + \sum_a |\underline{\partial}_a u|^2 + m^2 u^2 \right) dx, \\ \mathcal{E}_{con}(u, s) &:= \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} \left( (K_0 u + u)^2 + \sum_a (s \bar{\partial}_a u)^2 \right) dx\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

respectively, where

$$K_0 := s \partial_s + 2x^a \bar{\partial}_a.\tag{2.5}$$

Using that  $\partial_a = \underline{\partial}_a - (x_a/t) \partial_t$  and recalling (2.2), we have

$$\|(s/t) \partial_t u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} + \|\bar{\partial} u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim [\mathcal{E}_0(u, s)]^{1/2}.\tag{2.6}$$

**Proposition 2.1.** (See [27].) *Let  $m \geq 0$ . For any sufficiently smooth function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and any  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ , we have*

$$[\mathcal{E}_m(u, s)]^{1/2} \lesssim [\mathcal{E}_m(u, s_0)]^{1/2} + \int_{s_0}^s \|-\square u + m^2 u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} d\tau.$$

*Proof.* For completeness, we sketch the proof below. Let  $F := -\square u + m^2 u$ . Multiplying on both sides of this equality by  $\partial_t u$ , we obtain

$$\partial_t (|\partial u|^2 + m^2 u^2) - 2\partial_a (\partial_t u \partial^a u) = 2\partial_t u F,\tag{2.7}$$

where we recall (2.2). Note that on  $\mathcal{H}_s$  we have  $\mathbf{n} d\sigma = (1, -x/t) dx$ , where  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $d\sigma$  denote the upward unit normal and the volume element of  $\mathcal{H}_s$  respectively. Integrating (2.7) over the region  $\mathcal{K}_{[s_0, s]}$ , and using the transformation  $(t, x) \rightarrow (\tau, x)$ , where  $\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - |x|^2}$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_m(u, s) = \mathcal{E}_m(u, s_0) + 2 \int_{s_0}^s \int_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} \frac{\tau}{t} \partial_t u F dx d\tau.$$

Differentiating the last equality with respect to  $s$  and using Hölder inequality, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

We next recall the conformal energy estimate on hyperboloids, which were proved by Wong [38] and also Ma [33].

**Proposition 2.2.** (See [11, 33, 38].) *For any sufficiently smooth function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and any  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ , we have*

$$[\mathcal{E}_{con}(u, s)]^{1/2} \lesssim [\mathcal{E}_{con}(u, s_0)]^{1/2} + \int_{s_0}^s \tau \|\square u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} d\tau.$$

*Proof.* We only sketch the proof. By straightforward computation, we can express the wave operator  $-\square$  in terms of the hyperboloidal frame as follows

$$-\square u = s^{-1} \partial_s (s \partial_s u + 2x^a \bar{\partial}_a u + u) - \bar{\partial}_a \bar{\partial}^a u.$$

Using the definition of  $K_0$  in (2.5) and by direct calculation, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}s(K_0 u + u)(-\square u) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_s (K_0 u + u)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_s (s^2 \bar{\partial}_a u \bar{\partial}^a u) - s^2 \bar{\partial}_a (\partial_s u \bar{\partial}^a u) \\ &\quad - 2s \bar{\partial}_b (x^a \bar{\partial}_a u \bar{\partial}^b u) + s \bar{\partial}_a (x^a \bar{\partial}_b u \bar{\partial}^b u) - s \bar{\partial}_a (u \bar{\partial}^a u)\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above identity over  $\mathcal{K}_{[s_0, s]}$ , we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

The result below is concerned with the weighted  $L^2$ -type estimate for a function  $u$  on hyperboloids.

**Proposition 2.3.** (See [33].) *For any sufficiently smooth function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and any  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ , we have*

$$\left\| \frac{s}{t} u \right\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim \left\| \frac{s_0}{t} u \right\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_{s_0})} + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{[\mathcal{E}_{con}(u, \tau)]^{1/2}}{\tau} d\tau.$$

*Proof.* We only sketch the proof. By direct computation, we have the following differential identity:

$$\partial_s \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} u^2 \right) + \bar{\partial}_a \left( \frac{x^a s^2}{s t^2} u^2 \right) = \frac{2}{s} \left[ (K_0 u + u) \cdot \frac{s^2}{t^2} u - \frac{x^a}{t} s \bar{\partial}_a u \cdot \frac{s}{t} u \right].$$

Integrating the above identity over  $\mathcal{K}_{[s_0, s]}$  yields the conclusion.  $\square$

We next present the Sobolev-type inequalities on hyperboloids which have been proved by Klainerman [24], Hörmander [17] and LeFloch-Ma [27]. We give the version of LeFloch-Ma, in which only the vector fields of Lorentz boosts are used.

**Lemma 2.2.** (See [27, 28].) *For any sufficiently smooth function  $u$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and any  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{H}_s} |tu(t, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{|J| \leq 2} \|L^J u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}, \\ \sup_{\mathcal{H}_s} |su(t, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{|J| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{s}{t} L^J u \right\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof of the first inequality can be found in [28]. For the second one, let  $\tilde{u}(t, x) = \frac{s}{t} u(t, x)$ . By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ L_a, \frac{s}{t} \right] &= t \partial_a \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) + x_a \partial_t \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) = -\frac{x_a s}{t^2}, \\ \left[ L_b L_a, \frac{s}{t} \right] &= L_b \left[ L_a, \frac{s}{t} \right] + \left[ L_b, \frac{s}{t} \right] L_a = \left( \frac{2x_a x_b}{t^2} - \delta_{ab} \right) \frac{s}{t} - \frac{x_b s}{t^2} L_a. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the first inequality to  $\tilde{u}$ , we obtain the second inequality.  $\square$

Below we state the extra decay for Hessian of the wave component.

**Lemma 2.3.** (See [27, 34]) *Let  $w$  solve the wave equation*

$$-\square w = F_w,$$

*then we have*

$$|\partial \partial w| \lesssim \frac{t}{s^2} \sum_{|I| \leq 1} |\partial \Gamma^I w| + \frac{t^2}{s^2} |F_w| \quad \text{in } \mathcal{K}.$$

*Proof.* For completeness, we revisit the proof in [27]. We write the d'Alembert operator  $-\square$  as

$$-\square = \frac{(t-r)(t+r)}{t^2} \partial_t \partial_t + \frac{x^a}{t^2} \partial_t L_a - \frac{1}{t} \partial^a L_a + \frac{2}{t} \partial_t - \frac{x^a}{t^2} \partial_a,$$

which implies

$$|\partial_t \partial_t w| \lesssim \frac{1}{t-r} \sum_{|I| \leq 1} |\partial \Gamma^I w| + \frac{t}{t-r} |-\square w|. \quad (2.8)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_a w &= \partial_t (t^{-1} L_a w - (x_a/t) \partial_t w) = t^{-1} \partial_t L_a w - (x_a/t) \partial_t \partial_t w - t^{-1} \partial_a w, \\ \partial_b \partial_a w &= \partial_b (t^{-1} L_a w - (x_a/t) \partial_t w) = t^{-1} \partial_b L_a w - (x_a/t) \partial_b \partial_t w - \delta_{ab} t^{-1} \partial_t w. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.8) also holds if we replace  $\partial_t \partial_t w$  by  $\partial_t \partial_a w$  and  $\partial_b \partial_a w$ . Noting that  $(t-r) \sim s^2/t$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ , we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

### 2.3 Estimates of null forms

The lemma below provides estimates of the null forms appearing in (1.1), whose proof can be found in [11, 27]. For completeness, we revisit the proof and present more conclusions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $P^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfy the null condition. Then the following statements hold:*

i) *For any sufficiently smooth functions  $u, v$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , we have*

$$P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta u = g(t, x) \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t u + B(v, u),$$

where  $g(t, x)$  satisfies

$$|g(t, x)| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2}, \quad |\Gamma^I(g(t, x))| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for any multi-index } I,$$

and  $B(v, u)$  can be written as

$$B(v, u) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|=1, |I_2| \leq 1, \alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}} h^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x) \Gamma^{I_1} v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^{I_2} u$$

with the coefficients  $h^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x)$  satisfying

$$|\Gamma^I(h^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x))| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for any multi-index } I.$$

In particular, we have

$$|P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta u| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|=1, |I_2| \leq 1} |\Gamma^{I_1} v| |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|.$$

ii) *For any sufficiently smooth functions  $u, v$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , it holds that*

$$|P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha v \partial_\beta u| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1| \leq 1, |I_2|=1} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| |\Gamma^{I_2} u|.$$

iii) *For all sufficiently smooth functions  $u, v, w$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$ , we have*

$$|P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta w| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial_t v \partial_t u \partial_t w| + \sum_a \{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial v| |\partial w| + |\partial u| |\underline{\partial}_a v| |\partial w| + |\partial u| |\partial v| |\underline{\partial}_a w| \}.$$

*Proof.* i) Denote  $\xi_a = -x_a/t$ . Using that  $\partial_a = \xi_a \partial_t + \underline{\partial}_a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta u \\ &= P^{000} \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t u + P^{00a} \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_a u + P^{0a0} \partial_t v \partial_a \partial_t u + P^{0ab} \partial_t v \partial_a \partial_b u \\ &+ P^{a00} \partial_a v \partial_t \partial_t u + P^{a0b} \partial_a v \partial_t \partial_b u + P^{ab0} \partial_a v \partial_b \partial_t u + P^{abc} \partial_a v \partial_b \partial_c u \\ &= P^{000} \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t u + (P^{00a} + P^{0a0}) \partial_t v (\xi_a \partial_t \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t u) + P^{0ab} \partial_t v (\xi_a \partial_t + \underline{\partial}_a) (\xi_b \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_b u) \\ &+ P^{a00} (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) \partial_t \partial_t u + (P^{a0b} + P^{ab0}) (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) (\xi_b \partial_t \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_b \partial_t u) \\ &+ P^{abc} (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) (\xi_b \partial_t + \underline{\partial}_b) (\xi_c \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_c u) \\ &= g(t, x) \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t u + B(v, u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x) : &= P^{000} + P^{00a} \xi_a + P^{0a0} \xi_a + P^{0ab} \xi_a \xi_b + P^{a00} \xi_a + P^{a0b} \xi_a \xi_b + P^{ab0} \xi_a \xi_b + P^{abc} \xi_a \xi_b \xi_c, \\ B(v, u) : &= (P^{00a} + P^{0a0}) \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t u + P^{0ab} \xi_a \partial_t (\xi_b) \partial_t v \partial_t u + P^{0ab} \xi_a \partial_t v \partial_t \underline{\partial}_b u + P^{0ab} \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a \partial_b u \\ &+ P^{a00} \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_t \partial_t u + (P^{a0b} + P^{ab0}) \xi_a \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_b \partial_t u + (P^{a0b} + P^{ab0}) \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_b \partial_t u \\ &+ P^{abc} \xi_a \xi_b \partial_t (\xi_c) \partial_t v \partial_t u + P^{abc} \xi_a \xi_b \partial_t v \partial_t \underline{\partial}_c u + P^{abc} \xi_a \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_b \partial_c u + P^{abc} \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_b \partial_c u. \end{aligned}$$



We observe that  $B(v, u)$  can be written as

$$B(v, u) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|=1, |I_2| \leq 1, \alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}} h^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x) \Gamma^{I_1} v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^{I_2} u$$

with the coefficients  $h^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x)$  satisfying

$$|\Gamma^I(h^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x))| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for any multi-index } I.$$

Here we use that

$$[\partial_\alpha, L_b] = \delta_{\alpha 0} \partial_b + \delta_{\alpha b} \partial_t, \quad [\partial_t, \underline{\partial}_a] = -\frac{x_a}{t^2} \partial_t.$$

By the definition of  $g(t, x)$  above, we also have

$$|\Gamma^I(g(t, x))| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for any multi-index } I.$$

Since  $P^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfies the null condition, we have

$$P^{000} \frac{r^3}{t^3} + P^{00a} \frac{r^2}{t^2} \xi_a + P^{0a0} \frac{r^2}{t^2} \xi_a + P^{0ab} \frac{r}{t} \xi_a \xi_b + P^{a00} \frac{r^2}{t^2} \xi_a + P^{a0b} \frac{r}{t} \xi_a \xi_b + P^{ab0} \frac{r}{t} \xi_a \xi_b + P^{abc} \xi_a \xi_b \xi_c = 0$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x) &= P^{000} \left(1 - \frac{r^3}{t^3}\right) + P^{00a} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{t^2}\right) \xi_a + P^{0a0} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{t^2}\right) \xi_a + P^{0ab} \left(1 - \frac{r}{t}\right) \xi_a \xi_b + P^{a00} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{t^2}\right) \xi_a \\ &\quad + P^{a0b} \left(1 - \frac{r}{t}\right) \xi_a \xi_b + P^{ab0} \left(1 - \frac{r}{t}\right) \xi_a \xi_b. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\left|1 - \frac{r^3}{t^3}\right| + \left|1 - \frac{r^2}{t^2}\right| + \left|1 - \frac{r}{t}\right| \lesssim \left|1 - \frac{r}{t}\right| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2},$$

we also have

$$|g(t, x)| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2}.$$

ii) Let  $g(t, x)$  be as above. Similar to i), we write

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha v \partial_\beta u \\ &= P^{000} \partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t u + P^{00a} \partial_t \partial_t v \partial_a u + P^{0a0} \partial_t \partial_a v \partial_t u + P^{0ab} \partial_t \partial_a v \partial_b u \\ &+ P^{a00} \partial_a \partial_t v \partial_t u + P^{a0b} \partial_a \partial_t v \partial_b u + P^{ab0} \partial_a \partial_b v \partial_t u + P^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b v \partial_c u \\ &= P^{000} \partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t u + P^{00a} \partial_t \partial_t v (\xi_a \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_a u) + (P^{0a0} + P^{a00}) (\xi_a \partial_t \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v) \partial_t u \\ &+ (P^{0ab} + P^{a0b}) (\xi_a \partial_t \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v) (\xi_b \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_b u) + P^{ab0} (\xi_a \partial_t + \underline{\partial}_a) (\xi_b \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_b v) \partial_t u \\ &+ P^{abc} (\xi_a \partial_t + \underline{\partial}_a) (\xi_b \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_b v) (\xi_c \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_c u) \\ &= g(t, x) \partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t u \\ &+ P^{00a} \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a u + (P^{0a0} + P^{a00}) \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v \partial_t u + (P^{0ab} + P^{a0b}) \xi_a \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_b u + (P^{0ab} + P^{a0b}) \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v \partial_b u \\ &+ P^{ab0} \xi_a \partial_t (\xi_b) \partial_t v \partial_t u + P^{ab0} \xi_a \partial_t \underline{\partial}_b v \partial_t u + P^{ab0} \underline{\partial}_a \partial_b v \partial_t u \\ &+ P^{abc} \xi_a \partial_t (\xi_b) \partial_t v \partial_c u + P^{abc} \xi_a \xi_b \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_c u + P^{abc} \xi_a \partial_t \underline{\partial}_b v \partial_c u + P^{abc} \underline{\partial}_a \partial_b v \partial_c u, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma \partial_\alpha v \partial_\beta u| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1| \leq 1, |I_2|=1} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| |\Gamma^{I_2} u|.$$

iii) Let  $g(t, x)$  be as above. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta w \\
&= P^{000} \partial_t v \partial_t u \partial_t w + P^{00a} \partial_t v \partial_t u (\xi_a \partial_t w + \underline{\partial}_a w) + P^{0a0} \partial_t v (\xi_a \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_a u) \partial_t w \\
&+ P^{0ab} \partial_t v (\xi_a \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_a u) (\xi_b \partial_t w + \underline{\partial}_b w) + P^{a00} (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) \partial_t u \partial_t w \\
&+ P^{a0b} (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) \partial_t u (\xi_b \partial_t w + \underline{\partial}_b w) + P^{ab0} (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) (\xi_b \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_b u) \partial_t w \\
&+ P^{abc} (\xi_a \partial_t v + \underline{\partial}_a v) (\xi_b \partial_t u + \underline{\partial}_b u) (\xi_c \partial_t w + \underline{\partial}_c w) \\
&= g(t, x) \partial_t v \partial_t u \partial_t w \\
&+ P^{00a} \partial_t v \partial_t u \underline{\partial}_a w + P^{0a0} \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a u \partial_t w + P^{0ab} \xi_a \partial_t v \partial_t u \underline{\partial}_b w + P^{0ab} \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a u \partial_b w \\
&+ P^{a00} \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_t u \partial_t w + P^{a0b} \xi_a \partial_t v \partial_t u \underline{\partial}_b w + P^{a0b} \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_t u \partial_b w + P^{ab0} \xi_a \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_b u \partial_t w \\
&+ P^{ab0} \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_b u \partial_t w + P^{abc} \xi_a \xi_b \partial_t v \partial_t u \underline{\partial}_c w + P^{abc} \xi_a \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_b u \partial_c w + P^{abc} \underline{\partial}_a v \partial_b u \partial_c w,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$|P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta w| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{r^2} |\partial_t v \partial_t u \partial_t w| + \sum_a \{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial v| |\partial w| + |\partial u| |\underline{\partial}_a v| |\partial w| + |\partial u| |\partial v| |\underline{\partial}_a w| \}.$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

The Lemma below is concerned with vector fields acting on null forms; see [17, Lemma 6.6.5].

**Lemma 2.5.** (See [17]) Suppose  $P^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfies the null condition. Given any sufficiently smooth functions  $v, u$ , we denote  $N(v, u) = P^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v \partial_\alpha u \partial_\beta u$ . Then we have

$$\Gamma^I(N(v, u)) = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} N_{I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} u),$$

where for each  $(I_1, I_2)$  with  $I_1 + I_2 \leq I$  and any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,

$$N_{I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha z \partial_\beta z$$

with  $P_{I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition. In addition,  $N_{I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = N(w, z)$  (i.e.,  $P_{I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} = P^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$ ) when  $I_1 + I_2 = I$ .

### 3 Main lemmas

In this section, we present the key lemmas to be used in proving Theorem 1.1. Specifically, we establish an equality for the energy of the solution to (1.1) up to the top order, and then introduce some nonlinear transformations for estimates of lower order energy.

#### 3.1 Top order energy equality

We first show an equality for the energy of the solution to (1.1) up to the top order.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $(u, v)$  solve (1.1) and  $I \in \mathbb{N}^5$  be a multi-index with  $|I| \geq 1$ . For any  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s) + \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} H_I dx = \mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s_0) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s_0) + \int_{\mathcal{H}_{s_0}} H_I dx + \int_{s_0}^s \int_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} 2(\tau/t) F_I dx d\tau.$$

Here  $F_I$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_I &:= F_{I,1} + F_{I,2} + F_{I,3}, & F_{I,1} &:= F_u^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I u + F_v^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I v, \\
F_u^{I,low} &:= \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I, |I_2| \leq |I|-1} (N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} v) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} v)), \\
F_v^{I,low} &:= \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I, |I_2| \leq |I|-1} (N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} u) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} u)), \\
F_{I,2} &:= -(P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma u) (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v), \\
F_{I,3} &:= \frac{1}{2} (P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \partial_\gamma v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \partial_\gamma u) (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$  and any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,  $N_{i,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  with  $P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition. The function  $H_I$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
H_I &:= (P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u) (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) \\
&\quad - 2(P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u) \mathbf{n}_\alpha (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

with  $\mathbf{n} = (1, -x_1/t, -x_2/t)$ . In addition, the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_I| &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq |I| \\ |I_1| + |I_2| \leq |I| + 1}} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq |I|, |J|=1 \\ |I_1| + |I_2| \leq |I| + 1}} (|\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|), \\
|H_I| &\lesssim \left( |\partial v| + \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial u| \right) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| \\
&\quad + \sum_a \left\{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial u| (|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v|) (|\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial \Gamma^I u|) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Acting the vector field  $\Gamma^I$  on both sides of (1.1) and applying Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$-\square \Gamma^I u = \Gamma^I F_u = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} \{N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} v) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} v)\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$-\square \Gamma^I v + \Gamma^I v = \Gamma^I F_v = \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} \{N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} u) + N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2} u)\}, \tag{3.4}$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$  and any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,  $N_{i,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  with the constant coefficients  $P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition, and  $N_{i,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = N_i(w, z)$  when  $I_1 + I_2 = I$ . Multiplying (3.3) and (3.4) with  $\partial_t \Gamma^I u$  and  $\partial_t \Gamma^I v$  respectively, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (|\partial \Gamma^I u|^2) - \partial_\alpha (\partial^a \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I u) = F_u^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I u + (N_1(v, \Gamma^I v) + N_2(u, \Gamma^I v)) \partial_t \Gamma^I u, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (|\partial \Gamma^I v|^2 + |\Gamma^I v|^2) - \partial_\alpha (\partial^a \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I v) = F_v^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I v + (N_1(v, \Gamma^I u) + N_2(u, \Gamma^I u)) \partial_t \Gamma^I v, \tag{3.6}$$

where  $F_u^{I,low}$  and  $F_v^{I,low}$  are as in (3.1) and we recall (2.2). Adding both sides of (3.5) and (3.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (|\partial \Gamma^I u|^2 + |\partial \Gamma^I v|^2 + |\Gamma^I v|^2) - \partial_\alpha (\partial^a \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial^a \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \\
&= F_u^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I u + F_v^{I,low} \partial_t \Gamma^I v + A_1 + A_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v), \\ A_2 &:= P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$A_1 = P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha (\partial_\gamma v \partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\gamma v \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) - P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) - B_1. \quad (3.8)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \\ &= P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t (\partial_\gamma v \partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\gamma v \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) - P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) \\ &\quad - \tilde{B}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\tilde{B}_1 := P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v (\partial_t \partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_t \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) = B_1 \quad (3.10)$$

(here we use that  $P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} = P_1^{\beta\gamma\alpha}$ ). Substituting (3.10) into (3.9) and then by (3.8), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \{ \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \} - P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \{ \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) \} + \frac{1}{2} P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \{ \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \} - P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \{ \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) \} + \frac{1}{2} P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_t \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the last two equalities into (3.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (|\partial \Gamma^I u|^2 + |\partial \Gamma^I v|^2 + |\Gamma^I v|^2) - \partial_\alpha (\partial^a \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial^a \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \\ &- \partial_\alpha \{ (P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u) (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v) \} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \{ (P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v + P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u) (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v) \} = F_I, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $F_I$  is as in (3.1). Integrating (3.11) over  $\mathcal{K}_{[s_0, s]}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{H}_s} (|\bar{\partial} \Gamma^I u|^2 + |\bar{\partial} \Gamma^I v|^2 + |\Gamma^I v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} H_I dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{H}_{s_0}} (|\bar{\partial} \Gamma^I u|^2 + |\bar{\partial} \Gamma^I v|^2 + |\Gamma^I v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathcal{H}_{s_0}} H_I dx + \int_{s_0}^s \int_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} 2(\tau/t) F_I dx d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $H_I$  is as in (3.2) and we recall (2.2) and (2.4).

Recall the definition of  $F_I$  in (3.1). For simplicity, we denote by  $\partial^J$  any of the derivatives  $\partial^J$ ,  $|J| = j$  (recall (2.3)). By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{I,1}| &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I| \\ |I_2|\leq|I|-1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\partial^2 \Gamma^{I_2} v| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|J_1|=1 \\ |J_2|\leq 1}} (|\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} \Gamma^{I_2} v| \right) |\partial \Gamma^I u| \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I| \\ |I_2|\leq|I|-1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\partial^2 \Gamma^{I_2} u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|J_1|=1 \\ |J_2|\leq 1}} (|\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} \Gamma^{I_2} u| \right) |\partial \Gamma^I v|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 also implies

$$|F_{I,2}| \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} (|\partial^2 v| + |\partial^2 u|) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|J_2| \leq 1, |J_1|=1} (|\partial \Gamma^{J_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} u|) (|\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v|)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{I,3}| &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} (|\partial \partial_t v| + |\partial \partial_t u|) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + \sum_a (|\underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v| + |\underline{\partial}_a \partial_t u|) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| \\ &\quad + \sum_a (|\partial \partial_t v| + |\partial \partial_t u|) (|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u|) \\ &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} (|\partial^2 v| + |\partial^2 u|) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|J_2| \leq 1, |J_1|=1} (|\partial \Gamma^{J_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} u|) (|\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v|). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |F_I| &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I| \\ |I_2| \leq |I|-1}} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial^2 \Gamma^{I_2} v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial^2 \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\partial \Gamma^I v|) + (|\partial^2 v| + |\partial^2 u|) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I| \\ |I_2| \leq |I|-1}} \sum_{|J_1|=1, |J_2| \leq 1} (|\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^{J_2} \Gamma^{I_2} v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\partial \Gamma^I v|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|J_2| \leq 1, |J_1|=1} (|\partial \Gamma^{J_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} u|) (|\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v|) \\ &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq |I| \\ |I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+1}} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq |I|, |J|=1 \\ |I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+1}} (|\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|). \end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of  $H_I$ , we recall (3.2) and note that  $\mathbf{n}_\alpha = (s/t)\partial_\alpha s$ . By Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v)| + |P_2^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \mathbf{n}_\alpha \partial_\gamma u (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v)| \\ &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + \sum_a \{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial u| |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v| \} \\ &\quad + \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial u| \left| \frac{s}{t} \partial s \right| |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| \\ &\quad + \sum_a \left\{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| \left| \frac{s}{t} \partial s \right| |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial u| \left| \frac{s}{t} \partial s \right| (|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v|) \right\} \\ &\lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + \sum_a \{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial u| |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v| \}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$|P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_\alpha \Gamma^I v)| + |P_1^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \mathbf{n}_\alpha \partial_\gamma v (\partial_\beta \Gamma^I v \partial_t \Gamma^I u + \partial_\beta \Gamma^I u \partial_t \Gamma^I v)| \lesssim |\partial v| |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u|.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_I| &\lesssim \left( |\partial v| + \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial u| \right) |\partial \Gamma^I v| |\partial \Gamma^I u| \\ &\quad + \sum_a \{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial \Gamma^I u| |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial u| (|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v|) (|\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\partial \Gamma^I u|) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

### 3.2 Nonlinear transforms

To bound the lower order energy of the solution to (1.1), we introduce some nonlinear transformations as stated in the lemma below.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(u, v)$  solve (1.1) and  $I \in \mathbb{N}^5$  be a multi-index. Denote*

$$\tilde{v} := v - N_2(u, u), \quad \tilde{u} := u + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |(-\square + 1)\Gamma^I \tilde{v}| + |(-\square)\Gamma^I \tilde{u}| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)(|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| + |\Gamma^{I_2} v|) \\ & + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)(|\Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)|\Gamma^{I_3} v| \\ & + \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+3 \\ |J|=1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| + \frac{1}{t} |\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u| \right) |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\partial \Gamma^{I_3} u|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{v} := v - N_2(u, u)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\square \tilde{v} + \tilde{v} &= (-\square v + v) - (-\square)N_2(u, u) - N_2(u, u) \\ &= N_1(v, u) - N_2(F_u, u) - N_2(u, F_u) - 2(N_2(\partial_t u, \partial_t u) - N_2(\partial_a u, \partial^a u)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Acting the vector field  $\Gamma^I$  on both sides of (3.13) and applying Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$(-\square + 1)\Gamma^I \tilde{v} = \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &:= \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} \{N_{1,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} v, \Gamma^{I_2} u) - 2(N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} \partial_t u, \Gamma^{I_2} \partial_t u) - N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} \partial_a u, \Gamma^{I_2} \partial^a u))\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_2 &:= -\Gamma^I (N_2(F_u, u) + N_2(u, F_u)), \end{aligned}$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$  and any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,  $N_{i,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  with the constant coefficients  $P_{i,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition. Note that we can roughly write  $N_2(F_u, u) \approx \partial F_u \partial \partial u \approx \partial(\partial v \partial \partial v + \partial u \partial \partial v) \partial \partial u$ , where we omit the constant coefficients of null forms and the subscripts of  $\partial$ . For simplicity, we denote by  $\partial^j$  any of the derivatives  $\partial^J$ ,  $|J| = j$  (recall (2.3)). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{R}_2| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|} \left\{ (|\Gamma^{I_1} \partial^2 v| + |\Gamma^{I_1} \partial^2 u|) |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^2 v| |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^2 u| + (|\Gamma^{I_1} \partial v| + |\Gamma^{I_1} \partial u|) |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^3 v| |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^2 u| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\Gamma^{I_1} \partial u| [ (|\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^3 v| + |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^3 u|) |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^2 v| + |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^2 u| |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^3 v| + (|\Gamma^{I_2} \partial v| + |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial u|) |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^4 v| ] \right\} \quad (3.14) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+5} \{ |\Gamma^{I_1} v| |\Gamma^{I_2} v| |\partial \Gamma^{I_3} u| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\Gamma^{I_3} v| \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{R}_1| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I| \\ |J_1|\leq 1, |J_2|\leq 1}} \left\{ \frac{s^2}{t^2} (|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial^2\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}\partial u||\partial^2\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u|) + \frac{1}{t} (|\Gamma^{J_1}\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial\Gamma^{J_2}\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\Gamma^{J_2}\Gamma^{I_2}\partial u||\partial\Gamma^{J_1}\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u|) \right\} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|, |J|\leq 1} \left\{ (|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial^2\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u|) \left( \frac{1}{t} |\partial\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |(-\square)\Gamma^{I_2}u| \right) \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+3} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+3} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|} (|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial^2\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u|) |(-\square)\Gamma^{I_2}u|.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that  $(-\square)\Gamma^J u = \Gamma^J F_u$  for any multi-index  $J$ , and

$$|\Gamma^J F_u| \lesssim \sum_{|J_1|+|J_2|\leq|J|} (|\Gamma^{J_1}\partial v| + |\Gamma^{J_1}\partial u|) |\Gamma^{J_2}\partial^2 v| \lesssim \sum_{|J_1|+|J_2|\leq|J|+2} (|\partial\Gamma^{J_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{J_1}u|) |\Gamma^{J_2}v|. \quad (3.15)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{R}_1| & \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+3} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) \\
& + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+2} (|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial^2\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u|) (|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) |\Gamma^{I_3}v| \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+3} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) \\
& + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\Gamma^{I_3}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\Gamma^{I_3}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u||\Gamma^{I_3}v|).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|(-\square + 1)\Gamma^I \tilde{v}| & \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+3} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|) |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| \\
& + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|) (|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) |\Gamma^{I_3}v|.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We turn to the transformation of  $u$ . By (1.1), we have  $v = \square v + F_v$ . Inserting this into the first equation in (1.1) and applying Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\square u & = N_1(\square v, v) + N_1(F_v, v) + N_2(u, v) \\
& = g_1(t, x) (-\partial_t \partial_t \partial_t v + \partial_t \partial_a \partial^a v) \partial_t \partial_t v + B_1(\square v, v) + N_1(F_v, v) + N_2(u, v),
\end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where, for any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,

$$B_1(w, z) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|=1, |I_2|\leq 1, \alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}} h_1^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x) \Gamma^{I_1} w \partial_\alpha \Gamma^{I_2} z \quad (3.19)$$

with  $g_1(t, x)$  and  $h_1^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x)$  satisfying

$$|\Gamma^K(g_1(t, x))| + |\Gamma^K(h_1^{I_1, I_2, \alpha}(t, x))| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for any multi-index } K. \quad (3.20)$$

It follows that

$$g_1(t, x) \partial_t \partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t v = \square u + g_1(t, x) \partial_t \partial_a \partial^a v \partial_t \partial_t v + B_1(\square v, v) + N_1(F_v, v) + N_2(u, v). \quad (3.21)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-\square)N_1(v, v) \\
&= N_1(-\square v, v) + N_1(v, -\square v) + 2(N_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - N_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v)) \\
&= -2N_1(v, v) + N_1(F_v, v) + N_1(v, F_v) + 2(N_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - N_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v)) \\
&= 2\square u + 2N_2(u, v) + N_1(F_v, v) + N_1(v, F_v) + 2g_1(t, x)(\partial_t \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t v - \partial_t \partial_a v \partial_t \partial_t \partial^a v) \\
&\quad + 2(B_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - B_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v)),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where we use Lemma 2.4, and  $g_1(t, x)$  and  $B_1(\cdot, \cdot)$  are as in (3.18) and (3.19). Substituting (3.21) into the right hand side of (3.22), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-\square)N_1(v, v) \\
&= 2\square u + 2N_2(u, v) + N_1(F_v, v) + N_1(v, F_v) \\
&\quad + 2\square u + 2B_1(\square v, v) + 2N_1(F_v, v) + 2N_2(u, v) + 2g_1(t, x)(\partial_t \partial_a \partial^a v \partial_t \partial_t v - \partial_t \partial_a v \partial_t \partial_t \partial^a v) \\
&\quad + 2(B_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - B_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v)) \\
&= 4\square u + 4N_2(u, v) + 3N_1(F_v, v) + N_1(v, F_v) + 2B_1(\square v, v) \\
&\quad - 2g_1(t, x)(\underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t \partial^a v - \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t \partial^a v) + 2(B_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - B_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We also have

$$(-\square)N_2(u, v) = N_2(F_u, v) - N_2(u, v) + N_2(u, F_v) + 2(N_2(\partial_t u, \partial_t v) - N_2(\partial_a u, \partial^a v)). \tag{3.24}$$

Let  $\tilde{u} := u + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v)$ . Then (3.23) and (3.24) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
-\square \tilde{u} &= \frac{3}{4}N_1(F_v, v) + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, F_v) + N_2(F_u, v) + N_2(u, N_1(v, u)) + N_2(u, N_2(u, u)) + \frac{1}{2}B_1(\square v, v) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}g_1(t, x)(\underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t \partial^a v - \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t \partial^a v) + \frac{1}{2}B_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - \frac{1}{2}B_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v) \\
&\quad + 2(N_2(\partial_t u, \partial_t v) - N_2(\partial_a u, \partial^a v))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Acting the vector field  $\Gamma^I$  on both sides of (3.25) and applying Lemma 2.5, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\square)\Gamma^I \tilde{u} &= \mathcal{R}^I + \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} u, \Gamma^{I_2}(N_2(u, u))) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^I(B_1(\square v, v)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^I(g_1(t, x)(\underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t \partial^a v - \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t \partial^a v)) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^I(B_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v) - B_1(\partial_a v, \partial^a v)) \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} (N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} \partial_t u, \Gamma^{I_2} \partial_t v) - N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1} \partial_a u, \Gamma^{I_2} \partial^a v)),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where for any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,  $N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{2,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  with  $P_{2,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition, and

$$\mathcal{R}^I := \Gamma^I \left( \frac{3}{4}N_1(F_v, v) + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, F_v) + N_2(F_u, v) + N_2(u, N_1(v, u)) \right). \tag{3.27}$$

Below we provide estimates of each term on the right hand side of (3.26). Note that we can write roughly  $N_1(F_v, v) \approx \partial F_v \partial v \approx \partial(\partial v \partial u + \partial u \partial \partial u) \partial v$ , where we omit the constant coefficients of null forms and the subscripts of  $\partial$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma^I(N_1(F_v, v))| &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|} |\Gamma^{I_1} \partial^2 v| \{ (|\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^2 v| + |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^2 u|) |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^2 u| + (|\Gamma^{I_2} \partial v| + |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial u|) |\Gamma^{I_3} \partial^3 u| \} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1} v| |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\Gamma^{I_3} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\Gamma^{I_3} v|),
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma^I(N_1(v, F_v))| &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|} |\Gamma^{I_1}\partial v| \left\{ (|\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^3 v| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^3 u|)|\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^2 u| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 v||\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^3 u| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (|\Gamma^{I_2}\partial v| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial u|)|\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^4 u| \right\} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_3}u| + |\Gamma^{I_1}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_3}u|),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma^I(N_2(F_u, v))| &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|} |\Gamma^{I_1}\partial^2 v| \left\{ (|\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 v| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 u|)|\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^2 v| + (|\Gamma^{I_2}\partial v| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial u|)|\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^3 v| \right\} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+5} (|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\Gamma^{I_3}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\Gamma^{I_3}v|)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma^I(N_2(u, N_1(v, u)))| &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|} |\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u| (|\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^3 v||\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^2 u| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 v||\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^3 u| + |\Gamma^{I_2}\partial v||\Gamma^{I_3}\partial^4 u|) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+4} |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u||\Gamma^{I_3}v|,
\end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$\mathcal{R}^I \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\partial\Gamma^{I_3}u| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u||\Gamma^{I_3}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v||\Gamma^{I_3}v|). \quad (3.28)$$

We write  $N_2(u, u)$  roughly as  $\partial u \partial \partial u$  (here we omit the coefficients of null forms and the subscripts of  $\partial$ ). By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{I_1+I_2\leq I} |N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1}u, \Gamma^{I_2}(N_2(u, u)))| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|} \left\{ \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial^2\Gamma^{I_2}(\partial u \partial^2 u)| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|J_1|=1, |J_2|\leq 1} |\Gamma^{J_1}\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{J_2}\Gamma^{I_2}(\partial u \partial^2 u)| \right\} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\leq|I|+3 \\ |J|=1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u| + \frac{1}{t} |\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u| \right) |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_3}u|.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

By (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma^I(B_1(\square v, v))| &= \left| \Gamma^I \left( \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|J_1|=1, |J_2|\leq 1, \alpha \in \{0,1,2\}} h_1^{J_1, J_2, \alpha}(t, x) \Gamma^{J_1} \square v \partial_\alpha \Gamma^{J_2} v \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|, |J_1|, |J_2|\leq 1} |\Gamma^{I_1}\Gamma^{J_1}\partial^2 v||\Gamma^{I_2}\partial\Gamma^{J_2}v| \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+5} |\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v|
\end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma^I(B_1(\partial_t v, \partial_t v))| + |\Gamma^I(B_1(\partial_a v, \partial_a v))| &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|, |J_1|, |J_2|\leq 1} |\Gamma^{I_1}\Gamma^{J_1}\partial v||\Gamma^{I_2}\partial\Gamma^{J_2}\partial v| \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+5} |\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v|.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Using (3.20) again, we obtain

$$|\Gamma^I(g_1(t, x)(\underline{\partial}_a \partial_t v \partial_t \partial_t \partial^a v - \partial_t \partial_t v \underline{\partial}_a \partial_t \partial^a v))| \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+5} |\Gamma^{I_1}v||\Gamma^{I_2}v|. \quad (3.32)$$

By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{I_1+I_2 \leq I} (|N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1}\partial_t u, \Gamma^{I_2}\partial_t v)| + |N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1}\partial_a u, \Gamma^{I_2}\partial^a v)|) \\
& \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} \partial u| |\partial^2 \Gamma^{I_2} \partial v| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I| \\ |J_1|=1, |J_2| \leq 1}} |\Gamma^{J_1} \Gamma^{I_1} \partial u| |\partial \Gamma^{J_2} \Gamma^{I_2} \partial v| \\
& \lesssim \frac{s^2}{t^2} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+3} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| |\Gamma^{I_2} v| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+4} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| |\Gamma^{I_2} v| \tag{3.33} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+3 \\ |J| \leq 1}} \left( \frac{1}{t} |\partial \Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u| + |(-\square) \Gamma^{I_1} u| \right) |\Gamma^{I_2} v| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+4} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| |\Gamma^{I_2} v| \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+4} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| |\Gamma^{I_2} v| + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+5} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\Gamma^{I_2} v| |\Gamma^{I_3} v|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (3.15). Combining (3.26)-(3.33), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
|(-\square) \Gamma^I \tilde{u}| & \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+5} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|) |\Gamma^{I_3} v| \\
& + \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+3 \\ |J|=1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| + \frac{1}{t} |\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u| \right) |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\partial \Gamma^{I_3} u| + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\Gamma^{I_2} v|.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(-\square + 1) \Gamma^I \tilde{v}| + |(-\square) \Gamma^I \tilde{u}| \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| + |\Gamma^{I_2} v|) \\
& + \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+5} (|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) (|\Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|) |\Gamma^{I_3} v| \\
& + \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq |I|+3 \\ |J|=1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| + \frac{1}{t} |\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u| \right) |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| |\partial \Gamma^{I_3} u|.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of the global existence

In this section we prove the global existence result in Theorem 1.1.

### 4.1 Bootstrap assumption

**Bootstrap setting.** Let  $N \geq 14$  be an integer and  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ . We assume the following energy bound for the solution  $(u, v)$  to (1.1)-(1.2) on  $[s_0, s_*)$ :

$$\sum_{|I| \leq N} \{ [\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s)]^{1/2} \} \cdot s^{-\delta} + \sum_{|I| \leq N-5} \{ [\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s)]^{1/2} \} \leq C_1 \epsilon \tag{4.1}$$

with  $C_1 > 1$  some large constant to be determined later,  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  the size of the initial data and

$$s_* := \sup\{s > s_0 : (4.1) \text{ holds on } [s_0, s]\}. \tag{4.2}$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exist some constants  $C_1$  sufficiently large and  $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll C_1^{-1}$  sufficiently small such that, for any  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , if  $(u, v)$  is a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) and satisfies (4.1) on the hyperbolic time interval  $[s_0, s_1]$ , then for  $s \in [s_0, s_1]$  we have*

$$\sum_{|I| \leq N} \{[\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s)]^{1/2}\} \cdot s^{-\delta} + \sum_{|I| \leq N-5} \{[\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s)]^{1/2}\} \leq \frac{1}{2} C_1 \epsilon.$$

In the above proposition  $s_1$  is arbitrary, hence  $s_* = +\infty$  where  $s_*$  is as in (4.2), which implies that the solution  $(u, v)$  exists globally in time and satisfies (4.1) for any  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ . Below we provide the proof of Proposition 4.1. In the sequel, the implied constants in " $\lesssim$ " do not depend on the constants  $C_1$  and  $\epsilon$  appearing in the bootstrap assumption (4.1).

Let  $(u, v)$  be a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) and satisfy (4.1) for  $s \in [s_0, s_1]$ . By (2.4),(2.6) and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1, we have the following  $L^2$ -type and pointwise estimates for  $s \in [s_0, s_1]$ :

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{|I| \leq N, a \in \{1,2\}} \|(s/t)(|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|) + (|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v| + |\Gamma^I v|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim C_1 \epsilon s^\delta, \\ \sum_{|I| \leq N-7, a \in \{1,2\}} \sup_{\mathcal{H}_s} \{s(|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|) + t(|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I u| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^I v| + |\Gamma^I v|)\} \lesssim C_1 \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.3), we also obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{|I| \leq N \\ |J|=1}} \|t^{-1}(|\Gamma^J \Gamma^I u| + |\Gamma^J \Gamma^I v|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-\delta} + \sum_{\substack{|I| \leq N-7 \\ |J|=1}} \| |\Gamma^J \Gamma^I u| + |\Gamma^J \Gamma^I v| \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim C_1 \epsilon. \quad (4.4)$$

## 4.2 Improved estimates of energy up to the top order

In this subsection we show refined estimates of energy of the solution  $(u, v)$  up to the top order.

By Lemma 3.1, for  $|I| \leq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s) + \int_{\mathcal{H}_s} H_I dx &= \mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s_0) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s_0) + \int_{\mathcal{H}_{s_0}} H_I dx \\ &+ \int_{s_0}^s \int_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} 2(\tau/t) F_I dx d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $F_I, H_I$  are as in (3.1) and (3.2) respectively. For any  $\tau \in [s_0, s]$ , Lemma 3.1 implies that on  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$

$$\begin{aligned} |F_I| &\lesssim \frac{\tau^2}{t^2} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq N \\ |I_1| + |I_2| \leq N+1}} (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)(|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)(|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq N, |J|=1 \\ |I_1| + |I_2| \leq N+1}} (|\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u|)(|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)(|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{\tau}{t} F_I \right\|_{L_f^1(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1| \leq N-7 \\ |I_2| \leq N}} \left\{ \|\tau(|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|(\tau/t)(|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \|(\tau/t)(|\partial\Gamma^Iv| + |\partial\Gamma^Iu|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-1} \right\} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{|I_1| \leq N-7 \\ |I_2| \leq N, |J|=1}} \left\{ \|\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|(\tau/t)(|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \|(\tau/t)(|\partial\Gamma^Iv| + |\partial\Gamma^Iu|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-1} \right\} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{|I_2| \leq N-7 \\ |I_1| \leq N, |J|=1}} \left\{ \|t^{-1}(|\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|\tau(|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \|(\tau/t)(|\partial\Gamma^Iv| + |\partial\Gamma^Iu|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-1} \right\} \\
&\lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^3 \tau^{-1+2\delta},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where we use that  $N \geq 14$ . We also obtain from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|H_I| &\lesssim |\partial v| |\partial\Gamma^Iv| |\partial\Gamma^Iu| + \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial u| |\partial\Gamma^Iu| |\partial\Gamma^Iv| \\
&+ \sum_a \left\{ |\underline{\partial}_a u| |\partial\Gamma^Iu| |\partial\Gamma^Iv| + |\partial u| (|\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^Iu| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^Iv|) (|\partial\Gamma^Iv| + |\partial\Gamma^Iu|) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H_I\|_{L_f^1(\mathcal{H}_s)} &\lesssim \sum_a \|t|\partial v| + |\partial u| + t|\underline{\partial}_a u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^Iv|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^Iu|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\
&+ \sum_a \|s|\partial u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \| |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^Iv| + |\underline{\partial}_a \Gamma^Iu| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|(s/t)(|\partial\Gamma^Iv| + |\partial\Gamma^Iu|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\
&\lesssim C_1\epsilon \{ \mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^Iu, s) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^Iv, s) \} \leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^Iu, s) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^Iv, s) \},
\end{aligned}$$

where we choose  $0 < \epsilon \ll C_1^{-1}$  sufficiently small. Combining this with (4.5) and (4.6), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^Iu, s) + \mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^Iv, s) \lesssim \epsilon^2 + (C_1\epsilon)^3 s^{2\delta}, \quad |I| \leq N. \tag{4.7}$$

Hence we have strictly improved the estimates of  $\sum_{|I| \leq N} \{ [\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^Iu, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^Iv, s)]^{1/2} \}$  in (4.1) if we choose  $C_1 > 1$  sufficiently large and  $0 < \epsilon \ll C_1^{-1}$  sufficiently small.

### 4.3 Improved estimates of lower order energy

In this subsection we show refined estimates of lower order energy of the solution  $(u, v)$ .

Let  $\tilde{v} := v - N_2(u, u)$  and  $\tilde{u} := u + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v)$ . By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$|(-\square + 1)\Gamma^I\tilde{v}| + |(-\square)\Gamma^I\tilde{u}| \lesssim Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3, \quad |I| \leq N-5, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq N} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|) (|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\Gamma^{I_2}v|) \\
Q_2 &= \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq N} (|\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|) (|\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|) |\Gamma^{I_3}v| \\
Q_3 &= \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3| \leq N-2 \\ |J|=1}} \left( \frac{s^2}{t^2} |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u| + \frac{1}{t} |\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u| \right) |\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| |\partial\Gamma^{I_3}u|.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $|I| \leq N - 5$ , Proposition 2.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I \tilde{u}, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I \tilde{v}, s)]^{1/2} &\lesssim [\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I \tilde{u}, s_0)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I \tilde{v}, s_0)]^{1/2} \\ &+ \int_{s_0}^s \|Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

For any  $\tau \in [s_0, s]$ , by (4.3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_1\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1| \leq N-7 \\ |I_2| \leq N}} \|\tau(|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|(\tau/t)(|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u| + |\Gamma^{I_2} v|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-2} \\ &\lesssim (C_1 \epsilon)^2 \tau^{-2+\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_2\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq N-7 \\ |I_3| \leq N}} \left\{ \|\tau(|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \|\tau(|\Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|\Gamma^{I_3} v\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-2} \left. \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{|I_2|, |I_3| \leq N-7 \\ |I_1| \leq N}} \left\{ \|(\tau/t)(|\Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \|\tau(|\Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|t|\Gamma^{I_3} v\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-2} \left. \right\} \\ &\lesssim (C_1 \epsilon)^3 \tau^{-2+\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

By (4.3) and (4.4), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_3\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_2|, |I_3| \leq N-7 \\ |I_1| \leq N, |J|=1}} \left\{ \|(\tau/t)|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u| + t^{-1}|\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|\tau|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \|\tau|\partial \Gamma^{I_3} u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-2} \left. \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{|I_1|, |I_2| \leq N-7 \\ |I_3| \leq N, |J|=1}} \|\Gamma^J \Gamma^{I_1} u\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|\tau|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \|(\tau/t)|\partial \Gamma^{I_3} u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \cdot \tau^{-2} \\ &\lesssim (C_1 \epsilon)^3 \tau^{-2+\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Combining (4.9)-(4.12), we obtain

$$[\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I \tilde{u}, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I \tilde{v}, s)]^{1/2} \lesssim \epsilon + (C_1 \epsilon)^2, \quad |I| \leq N - 5. \quad (4.13)$$

Note that we can write roughly  $N_1(v, v) \approx \partial v \partial \partial v$ ,  $N_2(u, v) \approx \partial u \partial \partial v$  and  $N_2(u, u) \approx \partial u \partial \partial u$  (here we omit the constant coefficients of null forms and the subscripts of  $\partial$ ). Hence for  $|I| \leq N - 5$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I(u - \tilde{u}), s)]^{1/2} \lesssim \| |\partial \Gamma^I(N_1(v, v))| + |\partial \Gamma^I(N_2(u, v))| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|} \| (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} \partial v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} \partial u|) |\Gamma^{I_2} \partial^2 v| + (|\Gamma^{I_1} \partial v| + |\Gamma^{I_1} \partial u|) |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} \partial^2 v| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq |I|+3} \| (|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) |\Gamma^{I_2} v| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1| \leq N-7, |I_2| \leq N} \|s(|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|\Gamma^{I_2} v\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|I_2| \leq N-7, |I_1| \leq N} \| (s/t)(|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|) \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|t|\Gamma^{I_2} v\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\ &\lesssim (C_1 \epsilon)^2 s^{-1+\delta} \lesssim (C_1 \epsilon)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where we use (4.3). For  $|I| \leq N - 5$ , we also have (recall (2.4))

$$[\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I(v - \tilde{v}), s)]^{1/2} \lesssim \sum_a \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))| + |\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))| + |\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_a \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))| + |\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I| \\ a\in\{1,2\}}} \|(s/t)(|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u||\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 u| + |\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 u|) + |\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u||\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 u| + |\Gamma^{I_1}\partial u||\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^{I_2}\partial^2 u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+2 \\ a\in\{1,2\}}} \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + |\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|\leq N-7, |I_2|\leq N \\ a\in\{1,2\}}} \|s|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u| + t|\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^{I_1}u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{|I_2|\leq N-7, |I_1|\leq N \\ a\in\{1,2\}}} \| |\underline{\partial}_a\Gamma^{I_1}u| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|s|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2 s^{-1+\delta} \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 2.5 and 2.4 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim \sum_{I_1+I_2\leq I} \|N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(\Gamma^{I_1}u, \Gamma^{I_2}u)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I| \\ |J_1|=1, |J_2|\leq 1}} \|(s^2/t^2)|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial^2\Gamma^{I_2}u| + t^{-1}|\Gamma^{J_1}\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{J_2}\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+1 \\ |J|=1}} \|(s^2/t^2)|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u| + t^{-1}|\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u||\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \tag{4.15} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{|I_1|\leq N-7, |I_2|\leq N \\ |J|=1}} \|s|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u| + |\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|(s/t)|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{|I_2|\leq N-7, |I_1|\leq N \\ |J|=1}} \|t^{-1}|\Gamma^J\Gamma^{I_1}u|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|s|\partial\Gamma^{I_2}u|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2 s^{-1+\delta} \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where for any sufficiently smooth functions  $w, z$ ,  $N_{2,I;I_1,I_2}(w, z) = P_{2,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma w \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta z$  with  $P_{2,I;I_1,I_2}^{\gamma\alpha\beta}$  satisfying the null condition. Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$[\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I(v - \tilde{v}), s)]^{1/2} \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2, \quad |I| \leq N - 5. \tag{4.16}$$

This together with (4.14) and (4.13) yields

$$[\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s)]^{1/2} \lesssim \epsilon + (C_1\epsilon)^2, \quad |I| \leq N - 5. \tag{4.17}$$

Hence we have strictly improved the estimates of  $\sum_{|I|\leq N-5} \{[\mathcal{E}_0(\Gamma^I u, s)]^{1/2} + [\mathcal{E}_1(\Gamma^I v, s)]^{1/2}\}$  in (4.1) if we choose  $C_1 > 1$  sufficiently large and  $0 < \epsilon \ll C_1^{-1}$  sufficiently small. In addition, by Proposition 2.2, (4.8) and (4.10)-(4.12), for  $|I| \leq N - 5$ , we obtain

$$[\mathcal{E}_{con}(\Gamma^I \tilde{u}, s)]^{1/2} \lesssim [\mathcal{E}_{con}(\Gamma^I \tilde{u}, s_0)]^{1/2} + \int_{s_0}^s \tau \|(-\square)\Gamma^I \tilde{u}\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} d\tau \lesssim \epsilon + (C_1\epsilon)^2 s^\delta.$$

This together with Proposition 2.3 implies that for  $|I| \leq N - 5$

$$\|(s/t)\Gamma^I \tilde{u}\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim \|(s_0/t)\Gamma^I \tilde{u}\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_{s_0})} + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{[\mathcal{E}_{con}(\Gamma^I \tilde{u}, \tau)]^{1/2}}{\tau} d\tau \lesssim (\epsilon + (C_1\epsilon)^2) s^\delta. \tag{4.18}$$

Recall that  $\tilde{u} - u = \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| |\Gamma^I(N_1(v, v))| + |\Gamma^I(N_2(u, v))| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2|\leq|I|+2} \| (|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|) |\Gamma^{I_2}v| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|\leq N-7, |I_2|\leq N} \|s(|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \| |\Gamma^{I_2}v| \|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\
& + \sum_{|I_2|\leq N-7, |I_1|\leq N} \| (s/t)(|\partial\Gamma^{I_1}v| + |\partial\Gamma^{I_1}u|)\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|t|\Gamma^{I_2}v|\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\
& \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2 s^{-1+\delta} \lesssim (C_1\epsilon)^2, \quad |I| \leq N-5.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

It follows from (4.19) and (4.18) that

$$\|(s/t)\Gamma^I u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim (\epsilon + (C_1\epsilon)^2)s^\delta, \quad |I| \leq N-5. \tag{4.20}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (4.20), we arrive at

$$\|\Gamma^I u\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim (\epsilon + (C_1\epsilon)^2)s^{-1+\delta}, \quad |I| \leq N-7. \tag{4.21}$$

Combining (4.7) and (4.17), we have strictly improved the bootstrap estimate (4.1) (here we choose  $C_1 > 1$  sufficiently large and  $0 < \epsilon \ll C_1^{-1}$  sufficiently small). Hence the proof of Proposition 4.1 is completed. In addition, the estimates (4.3) and (4.21) hold for all  $s \in [2, +\infty)$ .

## 5 Proof of the scattering result

In this section we prove the scattering result in Theorem 1.1.

Let  $(u, v)$  be the solution to the following Cauchy problem in  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$

$$\begin{cases} -\square u = f_u, \\ -\square v + v = f_v, \end{cases} \quad (u, \partial_t u, v, \partial_t v)|_{t=t_0=2} = (u^0, u^1, v^0, v^1)$$

with the initial data  $(u^0, u^1, v^0, v^1)$  supported in the ball  $\{x : |x| < 1\}$ . We denote

$$\vec{u} = (u, \partial_t u)' = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \partial_t u \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{v} = (v, \partial_t v)' = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \partial_t v \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

where  $(a_1, a_2)'$  denotes the transpose of a vector  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We also set

$$\vec{f}_u = (0, f_u)', \quad \vec{f}_v = (0, f_v)', \quad \vec{u}^0 = (u^0, u^1)', \quad \vec{v}^0 = (v^0, v^1)'. \tag{5.2}$$

By the linear theory of wave and Klein-Gordon equations, we can write

$$\vec{u} = \mathcal{S}(t-2)\vec{u}^0 + \int_2^t \mathcal{S}(t-\tau)\vec{f}_u(\tau)d\tau, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\vec{v} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t-2)\vec{v}^0 + \int_2^t \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t-\tau)\vec{f}_v(\tau)d\tau, \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta}) & \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \\ -\sqrt{-\Delta}\sin(t\sqrt{-\Delta}) & \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t\langle\nabla\rangle) & \frac{\sin(t\langle\nabla\rangle)}{\langle\nabla\rangle} \\ -\langle\nabla\rangle\sin(t\langle\nabla\rangle) & \cos(t\langle\nabla\rangle) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote  $\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2) := H^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) := (\dot{H}^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^2), \dot{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^2), k \in \mathbb{N}$  denote the Sobolev spaces and homogeneous Sobolev spaces respectively.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The following statements hold:*

i) Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ . For any  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -valued function  $\vec{f}(\tau, x) = (f_1, f_2)'$  which is defined in  $[2, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$  with support in  $\mathcal{K}$  and satisfies  $\vec{f}(\tau, \cdot) \in \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any fixed  $\tau \in [2, +\infty)$ , any  $t \in [2, +\infty)$ , and any  $4 \leq T_1 < T_2 < +\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \mathcal{S}(t-\tau) \vec{f}(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l \left( \int_{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{T_2} \|\vec{\nabla}^k \vec{f}\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}^2 \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2}, \\ \left\| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t-\tau) \vec{f}(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l \left( \int_{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{T_2} \|\vec{\nabla}^k \vec{f} + |f_1|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}^2 \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\vec{\nabla}^k \vec{f}| := |\nabla^{k+1} f_1| + |\nabla^k f_2|$  and  $\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$ .

ii) Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{f}_u, \vec{f}_v, \vec{u}^0, \vec{v}^0$  be as in (5.1)-(5.4) with  $\vec{u}^0 \in \mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\vec{v}^0 \in \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  supported in  $\{x : |x| < 1\}$  and  $f_u, f_v$  supported in  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfying  $f_u(\tau), f_v(\tau) \in H^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any fixed  $\tau \in [2, +\infty)$ . If for some  $\delta > 0$ , it holds that

$$M := \int_2^4 \|f(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} d\tau + \left( \int_2^{+\infty} \|f\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}^2 \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2} < +\infty,$$

where  $f := \sum_{k=0}^l (|\nabla^k f_u| + |\nabla^k f_v|)$ , then the solution  $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$  scatters to a free solution in  $\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , i.e., there exist  $\vec{u}_0^* = (u_0^*, u_1^*)' \in \mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\vec{v}_0^* = (v_0^*, v_1^*)' \in \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\vec{u} - \vec{u}_0^*\|_{\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\vec{v} - \vec{v}_0^*\|_{\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0,$$

where  $\vec{u}^* = (u^*, \partial_t u^*)'$ ,  $\vec{v}^* = (v^*, \partial_t v^*)'$ , and  $(u^*, v^*)$  is the solution to the 2D linear homogeneous wave-Klein-Gordon system with the initial data  $(u_0^*, u_1^*, v_0^*, v_1^*)$  (prescribed on the time slice  $t = t_0 = 2$ ).

*Proof.* i) We only need to consider the case  $l = 0$ . For any fixed  $t \in [2, +\infty)$ , let  $\vec{U}(\tau) := \mathcal{S}(t-\tau) \vec{f}(\tau) = (U_1, U_2)'$ . For any  $4 \leq T_1 < T_2 < +\infty$ , by standard energy inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \vec{U}(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \left| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \nabla U_1(\tau) d\tau \right|^2 + \left| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} U_2(\tau) d\tau \right|^2 \right\} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |\nabla U_1(\tau) \cdot \tau^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}|^2 d\tau + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |U_2(\tau) \cdot \tau^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}|^2 d\tau \right\} \cdot \left( \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \tau^{-(1+\delta)} d\tau \right) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{ |\nabla U_1(\tau)|^2 + |U_2(\tau)|^2 \} \cdot \tau^{1+\delta} dx d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim II := T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{r < \tau-1} \{ |\nabla f_1(\tau)|^2 + |f_2(\tau)|^2 \} \cdot \tau^{1+\delta} dx d\tau \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By a change of variables  $(\tau, x) \rightarrow (s, x)$  with  $s = \sqrt{\tau^2 - |x|^2}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \int_{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{T_2} \int_{r < \frac{s^2-1}{2}} \{ |\nabla f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 \} (\sqrt{s^2 + |x|^2}, x) \cdot \tau^{1+\delta} \frac{s}{\tau} dx ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \int_{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{T_2} \|\nabla f_1 + |f_2|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}^2 \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Similarly,

$$\left\| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t-\tau) \vec{f}(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \int_{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{T_2} \|\nabla f_1 + |f_2| + |f_1|\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}^2 \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2}.$$



ii) Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}^0, \vec{v}^0, \vec{f}_u, \vec{f}_v$  be as in (5.1)-(5.4) and

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u}_0^* &:= \vec{u}^0 + \int_2^{+\infty} \mathcal{S}(2-\tau) \vec{f}_u(\tau) d\tau, & \vec{u}^* &= \mathcal{S}(t-2) \vec{u}_0^*, \\ \vec{v}_0^* &:= \vec{v}^0 + \int_2^{+\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(2-\tau) \vec{f}_v(\tau) d\tau, & \vec{v}^* &= \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t-2) \vec{v}_0^*.\end{aligned}$$

For any  $4 \leq T_1 < T_2 < +\infty$ , by i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \left\| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \mathcal{S}(2-\tau) \vec{f}_u(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \left\| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(2-\tau) \vec{f}_v(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \lesssim T_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l \left( \int_{T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{T_2} \left( \|\nabla^k f_u\| + \|\nabla^k f_v\| \right)_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2} \lesssim MT_1^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T_1 \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\vec{u}_0^*$  and  $\vec{v}_0^*$  are well-defined in  $\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)$  respectively. Similarly, using i) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{u} - \vec{u}^*\|_{\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \left\| \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{S}(t-\tau) \vec{f}_u(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim Mt^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \|\vec{v} - \vec{v}^*\|_{\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \left\| \int_t^{+\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(t-\tau) \vec{f}_v(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim Mt^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

*Proof of the scattering result in Theorem 1.*

For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote

$$\mathcal{X}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) := \mathbf{H}_l(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbf{H}^l(\mathbb{R}^2) = (\dot{H}^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^{l+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^l(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Let  $(u, v)$  be the global solution to (1.1)-(1.2) given by Theorem 1.1. Denote  $F_{\vec{u}} := (-\square)\vec{u}$  and  $F_{\vec{v}} := (-\square + 1)\vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  are as in Lemma 3.2. Then by (4.8) and (4.10)-(4.12), for any  $s \in [2, +\infty)$  we have

$$\sum_{|I| \leq N-5} \left( \|\Gamma^I F_{\vec{u}}\| + \|\Gamma^I F_{\vec{v}}\| \right)_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim s^{-2+\delta}. \quad (5.6)$$

It follows from (5.6) and Lemma 5.1 that  $(\vec{u}, \partial_t \vec{u}, \vec{v}, \partial_t \vec{v})$  scatters to a free solution in  $\mathcal{X}_{N-5}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . It remains to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u, \partial_t u, v, \partial_t v) - (\vec{u}, \partial_t \vec{u}, \vec{v}, \partial_t \vec{v})\|_{\mathcal{X}_{N-5}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

By the proof of (4.19) and (4.15), for any  $s \in [2, +\infty)$ , it holds that

$$\left( \|\Gamma^I F_u\| + \|\Gamma^I (N_2(u, u))\| \right)_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim s^{-1+\delta}, \quad |I| \leq N-2. \quad (5.8)$$

By (4.3), for  $|I| \leq N-2$  and any  $s \in [2, +\infty)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Gamma^I (N_1(v, u))\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq N} \|\Gamma^{I_1} v\| \|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|I_1| \leq N-7, |I_2| \leq N} \|t \Gamma^{I_1} v\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|(s/t) \partial \Gamma^{I_2} u\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \\ &+ \sum_{|I_2| \leq N-7, |I_1| \leq N} \|\Gamma^{I_1} v\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot \|s \partial \Gamma^{I_2} u\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}_s)} \cdot s^{-1} \lesssim s^{-1+\delta}.\end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Combining (5.8) and (5.9), we obtain that for all  $s \in [2, +\infty)$

$$\sum_{|I| \leq N-2} \left( \|\Gamma^I F_u\| + \|\Gamma^I F_v\| \right)_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \lesssim s^{-1+\delta}. \quad (5.10)$$

Denote  $G := \sum_{|I| \leq N-2} (|\Gamma^I F_u| + |\Gamma^I F_v|)$ . Then for any  $t \in [4, +\infty)$ , by a change of variables  $(\tau, x) \rightarrow (s, x)$  with  $s = \sqrt{\tau^2 - |x|^2}$  (similar to the proof of (5.5)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_4^t \|G(\tau)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \cdot \tau^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \cdot \tau^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} d\tau \lesssim \left( \int_4^t \int_{r < \tau-1} |G(\tau, x)|^2 \cdot \tau^{1+\delta} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \left( \int_2^t \|G\|_{L_f^2(\mathcal{H}_s)}^2 \cdot s^{1+2\delta} ds \right)^{1/2} \lesssim t^{2\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use (5.10). Hence by the standard energy estimates for wave and Klein-Gordon equations, for any  $t \in [4, +\infty)$  we arrive at

$$\sum_{|I| \leq N-2} \|(|\partial \Gamma^I u| + |\partial \Gamma^I v| + |\Gamma^I v|)(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \epsilon + \int_2^t \|G(\tau)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} d\tau \lesssim t^{2\delta}. \quad (5.11)$$

By (4.3) and (5.11), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|I| \leq N-4} \|(|\Gamma^I(N_1(v, v))| + |\Gamma^I(N_2(u, v))| + |\Gamma^I(N_2(u, u))|)(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|I_1|+|I_2| \leq N-3} \|(|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)(|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|I_1| \leq N-7, |I_2| \leq N-3} \|(|\partial \Gamma^{I_1} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_1} u|)(t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \cdot \|(|\partial \Gamma^{I_2} v| + |\partial \Gamma^{I_2} u|)(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \lesssim t^{-1/2+2\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Recall that  $\tilde{v} = v - N_2(u, u)$  and  $\tilde{u} = u + \frac{1}{4}N_1(v, v) + N_2(u, v)$  (see Lemma 3.2). Hence (5.7) follows from (5.12), and we conclude that  $(u, \partial_t u, v, \partial_t v)$  scatters to a free solution in  $\mathcal{X}_{N-5}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

## References

- [1] Alinhac, S.: *The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I*. Invent. Math. **145** (2001), no. 3, 597-618.
- [2] Alinhac, S.: *The null condition for quasi linear wave equations in two space dimensions II*. Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 6, 1071-1101.
- [3] Alinhac, S.: *A minicourse on the global existence and blowup of classical solutions to multidimensional quasilinear wave equations*. Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" (Forges-les-Eaux, 2002), Exp. No. I, 33 pp., Univ. of Nantes, 2002.
- [4] Alinhac, S.: *An example of blowup at infinity for a quasilinear wave equation*. Autour de l'analyse microlocale, Astérisque (2003), no. 284, 1-91.
- [5] Alinhac, S.: *Geometric Analysis of Hyperbolic Differential Equations: An introduction*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 374, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [6] Cai, Y., Lei, Z., Masmoudi, N.: *Global well-posedness for 2D nonlinear wave equations without compact support*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **114** (2018), 211-234.
- [7] Christodoulou, D.: *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), no. 2, 267-282.
- [8] Delort, J.-M., Fang, D., Xue, R.: *Global existence of small solutions for quadratic quasilinear Klein-Gordon systems in two space dimensions* J. Funct. Anal. **211** (2004), no. 2, 288-323.

- [9] Dong, S.: *Global solution to the wave and Klein-Gordon system under null condition in dimension two*. J. Funct. Anal. **281** (2021), no.11, Paper No. 109232, 29 pp.
- [10] Dong, S.: *Asymptotic behavior of the solution to the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov model in dimension two*. Comm. Math. Phys. **384** (2021), no. 1, 587-607.
- [11] Dong, S., LeFloch, P.G., Lei, Z.: *The top-order energy of quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions is uniformly bounded*. Preprint, arXiv:2103.07867.
- [12] Dong, S., Wyatt, Z.: *Stability of a coupled wave-Klein-Gordon system with quadratic nonlinearities*. J. Differential Equations **269** (2020), no. 9, 7470-7497.
- [13] Dong, S., Wyatt, Z.: *Hidden structure and sharp asymptotics for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions*. Preprint, arXiv:2105.13780. To appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire.
- [14] Duan, S., Ma, Y.: *Global solutions of wave-Klein-Gordon systems in  $2 + 1$  dimensional space-time with strong couplings in divergence form*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **54** (2022), no. 3, 2691-2726.
- [15] Fang, A., Wang, Q., Yang, S.: *Global solution for massive Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations with large Maxwell field*. Ann. PDE **7** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 3, 69 pp.
- [16] Georgiev, V.: *Global solution of the system of wave and Klein-Gordon equations*. Math. Z. **203** (1990), no. 4, 683-698.
- [17] Hörmander, L.: *Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic differential equations*. Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications], vol. 26, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, viii+289 pp.
- [18] Hou, F., Yin, H.: *Global small data smooth solutions of 2-D null-form wave equations with non-compactly supported initial data*. J. Differential Equations **268** (2020), no. 2, 490-512.
- [19] Ifrim, M., Stingo, A.: *Almost global well-posedness for quasilinear strongly coupled wave-Klein-Gordon systems in two space dimensions*. Preprint, arXiv:1910.12673.
- [20] Ionescu, A.D., Pausader, B.: *On the global regularity for a wave-Klein-Gordon coupled system*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **35** (2019), no. 6, 933-986.
- [21] John F.: *Blow up of solutions for quasi-linear wave equations in three space dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), no. 1, 29-51.
- [22] Katayama, S.: *Global existence for coupled systems of nonlinear wave and Klein-Gordon equations in three space dimensions*. Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 1-2, 487-513.
- [23] Klainerman, S.: *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations*. Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984), 293-326, Lectures in Appl. Math., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [24] Klainerman, S.: *Global existence of small amplitude solutions to nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in four space-time dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), no. 5, 631-641.
- [25] Klainerman, S.: *Remark on the asymptotic behavior of the Klein-Gordon equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$* . Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (1993), no. 2, 137-144.
- [26] Klainerman, S., Wang, Q., Yang, S.: *Global solution for massive Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **73** (2020), no. 1, 63-109.
- [27] LeFloch, P.G., Ma, Y.: *The hyperboloidal foliation method*. Series in Applied and Computational Mathematics, World Scientific Press, Hackensack, NJ (2014).

- [28] LeFloch, P.G., Ma, Y.: *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for self-gravitating massive fields. The Wave-Klein–Gordon Model.* Comm. Math. Phys. **346** (2016), no. 2, 603-665.
- [29] Li, D.: *Uniform estimates for 2D quasilinear wave.* Adv. Math. **428** (2023), Paper No. 109157, 41 pp.
- [30] Lindblad, H.: *Global solutions of quasilinear wave equations.* Am. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 1, 115-157.
- [31] Ma, Y.: *Global solutions of quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in two-space dimension: technical tools,* J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **14** (4) (2017), 591-625.
- [32] Ma, Y.: *Global solutions of quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in two-space dimension: completion of the proof.* J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **14** (2017), no. 4, 627-670.
- [33] Ma, Y.: *Global solutions of nonlinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in two spatial dimensions: weak coupling case.* Preprint, arXiv:1907.03516.
- [34] Ma, Y.: *Global solutions of nonlinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in two spatial dimensions: a prototype of strong coupling case.* J. Differential Equations **287** (2021), 236-294.
- [35] Ozawa, T., Tsutaya, K.; Tsutsumi, Y.: *Normal form and global solutions for the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire **12** (1995), no. 4, 459-503.
- [36] Stingo, A.: *Global existence of small amplitude solutions for a model quadratic quasi-linear coupled wave-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimension, with mildly decaying Cauchy data.* Preprint, arXiv:1810.10235. To appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [37] Wang, Q.: *An intrinsic hyperboloid approach for Einstein Klein-Gordon equations.* J. Differential Geom. **115** (2020), no. 1, 27-109.
- [38] Wong, W.: *Small data global existence and decay for two dimensional wave maps.* Preprint, arXiv:1712.07684. To appear in Ann. H. Lebesgue
- [39] Zha, D.: *Global and almost global existence for general quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions.* J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **123** (2019), 270-299.

Qian Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tiangong University, Tianjin, 300387, PR China

Email: m201011131071\_zq@126.com