DFT based investigation of structural, elastic, optoelectronic, thermophysical and superconducting state properties of binary Mo3P at different pressures

Md. Sohel Rana, Razu Ahmed, Md. Sajidul Islam, R.S. Islam, S.H. Naqib Department of Physics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi 6205, Bangladesh *Corresponding author email: salehnaqib@yahoo.com*

Abstract

In recent years, the investigation of novel materials for various technological applications has gained much importance in materials science research. Tri-molybdenum phosphide $(Mo₃P)$, a promising transition metal phosphide (TMP), has gathered significant attention due to its unique structural and electronic properties, which already make it potentially valuable system for catalytic and electronic device applications. Through an in-depth study using the density functional theory (DFT) calculations, this work aims to clarify the basic properties of the $Mo₃P$ compound at different pressures. In this work, we have studied the structural, elastic, optoelectronic and thermophysical properties of binary $Mo₃P$ compound. In this investigation, we varied uniform hydrostatic pressure from 0 GPa to 30 GPa. A complete geometrical optimization for structural parameters is performed and the obtained values are in good accord with the experimental values where available. It is also found that $Mo₃P$ possesses very low level of elastic anisotropy, reasonably good machinability, ductile nature, relatively high Vickers hardness, high Debye temperature and high melting temperature. Thermomechanical properties indicate that the compound has potential to be used as a thermal barrier coating material. The bonding nature in $Mo₃P$ has been explored. The electronic band structure shows that $Mo₃P$ has no band gap and exhibits conventional metallic behavior. All of the energy dependent optical characteristics demonstrate apparent metallic behavior and agree exactly with the electronic density of states calculations. The compound has excellent reflective and absorptive properties suitable for optical applications. Pressure dependent variations of the physical properties are explored and their possible link with superconductivity has been discussed.

Keywords: Density functional theory; Elastic properties; Optoelectronic properties; Thermophysical properties; Superconductivity

1. Introduction

In non-centrosymmetric superconductors (NCSCs), there is a lack of inversion symmetry which induces an antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling (ASOC) as a consequence of an emerging electric field gradient [1]. The admixture of spin-singlet and spin-triplet pairing in NCSCs can cause non-centrosymmetric superconductors to exhibit markedly different properties than normal superconducting systems. Despite numerous examples of NCSCs, tri-molybdenum phosphide (Mo3P) reported here, may help to identify the origin of this behavior. Superconductivity in Mo3P was first predicted in 1954 [2] and confirmed ten years later [3]. In that same year, also the Mo3P crystal structure was determined [4]. Despite a non-centrosymmetric crystal structure, Mo₃P is shown to be a moderately correlated electron material, which adopts a fully-gapped, spin-singlet superconducting state at low temperature. It has been found that tri-molybdenum phosphide (Mo3P) nanoparticles can be a promising catalyst candidate, owing to its unique structure; its surface provides a high density of molybdenum (Mo) active sites with special electronic properties, that is, low work function and high density of d-orbital electrons at Fermi energy, which can also be promising for electrocatalysis [5]. The advancement of lithium–air (Li–air) batteries, proposed as a potential alternative for existing energy storage systems, is mainly hampered by low energy efficiency and limited life cycle. One of the biggest disadvantages of today's Li-air batteries is that developed catalysts have poor activity for both the oxygen reduction and evolution reactions (ORR and OER) [6]. Density functional theory calculations on Mo3P show that the observed strong ORR and OER electrocatalytic activity and minimal discharge/charge overpotentials in this compound are due to an oxide overlayer developed on the surface.

In this work, we provide the results of an exhaustive analysis of the physical characteristics of Mo3P using Kohn-Sham density functional theory (KS-DFT). Several physical properties of Mo₃P have been studied both theoretically and experimentally so far [4,5,7-9]. But still there are notable shortages of information on this material. This lack of information limits the feasibility of potential applications. To the best of our knowledge, many important physical properties (elastic, bonding, optoelectronic and thermo-physical properties) remain unexplored. Specially, pressure dependent investigations of the physical properties have not yet been discussed at all. It is important to emphasize that any practical application of a compound requires a thorough understanding of its mechanical/elastic response to external stress. Understanding elastic constants is helpful in understanding bonding strength, solid stiffness, and mechanical stability. It is important to look into mechanical qualities (such brittleness and ductility) in order to determine whether a material is suitable for making a specific device. Elastic anisotropy indices, on the other hand, provide details on potential mechanical failure modes. When choosing a system for applications involving optoelectronic devices, optical characteristics are crucial to understand. The behavior of a material at various temperatures can be understood thanks to thermophysical characteristics. Pressure application impacts a compound's physical properties. For a better understanding of bonding character, electronic density of states, and superconductivity in materials, pressure-dependent elastic and band structure computations are essential [10,11]. A thorough understanding of the elastic, mechanical, thermophysical, bonding and optical response of this compound is necessary to unravel the potential of $Mo₃P$ for possible applications. This constitutes the primary motivation of the present study.

The rest of the article is organized in the following manner: In Section 2, we have discussed the computational procedure. Section 3 discloses the computational results and analyses. Major findings of our work are discussed and summarized in the concluding Section 4.

2. Computational methodology

Density functional theory (DFT), a quantum mechanical computing technique, is used to determine the electronic structure of atoms, molecules, and solids [12]. The ground state of the crystalline system can be found by solving the Kohn-Sham equation [13]. In this study, the structural, elastic, electronic and optical properties of binary $Mo₃P$ are carried out by using DFT embedded into the CASTEP (CAmbridge Serial Total Energy Package) simulation code [12]. The choice of the pseudopotential is quite important in view of optimization of the crystal structure and electronic structure. We adopted the plane wave pseudopotential method to consider the valence electrons for each atom. To treat the exchange-correlation potentials, we applied the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) scheme [14]. The electronic orbitals used for Mo and P to derive the valance and conduction bands are: Mo $[4d^5 5s^2]$ and P $[3s^2 3p^3]$. The total energies of each cell were calculated using periodic boundary conditions. The trial wave functions were expanded using a plane wave foundation. For the structure optimization, a plane-wave cutoff energy of 550 eV was used in the

calculations. The Brillouin zone (BZ) was sampled using k-point grids produced using the Monkhorst-Pack technique [15]. The structure optimization and energy calculation convergence quality was set to ultra-fine with a k-point mesh of $3 \times 3 \times 6$. The CASTEP programme is used to calculate the independent elastic constants C_{ij} , bulk modulus B, and shear modulus G using the 'stress-strain' approach. The electronic band structure features were estimated using the optimized geometry of the $Mo₃P$ crystal. To determine all of the optical constants, we analyzed the photon-induced electronic transition probabilities between distinct electronic orbitals. The optical characteristics can be calculated using the complex dielectric function:

$$
\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)
$$

The real part $\varepsilon_1(\omega)$ of dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega)$ can be found from the corresponding imaginary part, $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, which directly follows from the Kramers–Kronig relationships.

The imaginary part, $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, is calculated within the momentum representation of matrix elements between occupied and unoccupied electronic states by employing the CASTEP supported formula expressed as:

$$
\varepsilon_2(\omega) = \frac{2e^2\pi}{\Omega_{\varepsilon_0}} \sum_{k,\nu,c} |{} < \psi_k^c |\hat{u}.\vec{r}| |\psi_k^{\nu} > |^2 \delta(E_k^c - E_k^{\nu} - E) \tag{1}
$$

In this expression, Ω is the volume of the unit cell, ω is the frequency of the incident electromagnetic wave (photon), e is the electronic charge, and ψ_k^c and ψ_k^v are the conduction and valence band wave functions, respectively having a wave-vector k. The delta function forces energy and momentum conservation throughout the optical transition. All the other important optical parameters are estimated from the dielectric function *ε*(*ω*), once it is known at different energies using various interrelations [16-19].

The real, $n(\omega)$, and imaginary, $k(\omega)$, parts of the complex refractive index can be computed using the following relations:

$$
n(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\{ \varepsilon_1(\omega)^2 + \varepsilon_2(\omega)^2 \}^{1/2} + \varepsilon_1(\omega) \right]^{1/2} \tag{2}
$$

$$
k(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\{ \varepsilon_1(\omega)^2 + \varepsilon_2(\omega)^2 \}^{1/2} - \varepsilon_1(\omega) \right]^{1/2} \tag{3}
$$

Again, the reflectivity, $R(\omega)$, can be calculated by using the complex refractive index components:

$$
R(\omega) = \left| \frac{\tilde{n}-1}{\tilde{n}+1} \right| = \frac{(n-1)^2 + k^2}{(n+1)^2 + k^2} \tag{4}
$$

Furthermore, the absorption coefficient, $\alpha(\omega)$, the optical conductivity, $\sigma(\omega)$, and the energy loss function, $L(\omega)$, can be determined from the following equations:

$$
\alpha(\omega) = \frac{4\pi k(\omega)}{\lambda} \tag{5}
$$

$$
\sigma(\omega) = \frac{2W_{cv}\hbar\omega}{\vec{E_0}^2}
$$
 (6)

$$
L(\omega) = Im(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon(\omega)})
$$
 (7)

In Equation (6), W_{cv} is the inter-band transition probability per unit time.

The elastic characteristics are obtained from the DFT computations with the CASTEP program. The acoustic velocities in the crystals are used to compute the Debye temperature. The other thermophysical properties are calculated from the crystal density, elastic constants, and elastic moduli of $Mo₃P$.

Phonon dispersion curves (PDC) and phonon density of states (PHDOS) have been obtained applying density functional perturbation theory (DFPT) based finite displacement method (FDM) [20,21]. The phonon properties can be understood using a harmonic approximation based on the knowledge of just one fundamental quantity; the force-constant matrix. The fundamental equation regarding the force-constant matrix, $D_{v\mu}$, is given below:

$$
D_{n\mu} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial u_n \partial u_\mu} \tag{8}
$$

In this equation, E is the energy of the crystal and u is the atomic displacement. The derivative has to be evaluated at the equilibrium atomic coordinates.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Structural properties

The structure of tri-molybdenum phosphide $(Mo₃P)$ compound is defined by two lattice parameters, a and c. In order to determine the equilibrium geometry of the compound, the total energy is minimized at zero pressure using the generalized gradient approximation. This optimization was done with respect to the volume of the unit cell. After geometry optimization, we obtained the fully relaxed lattice parameters of $Mo₃P$ at various pressures (0, 10, 20 and 30 GPa).

The binary Mo₃P compound crystallizes in the tetragonal α -V₃S-type structure with space group $I\overline{4}2m$ (No. 121) [7]. The unit cell of Mo₃P compound is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. The unit cell of Mo₃P crystal structure.

The unit cell of $Mo₃P$ contains 24 Mo atoms and 8 P atoms; 8 formula units in total. The optimized structural parameters of $Mo₃P$ are tabulated in Table 1 along with the experimental results for comparison [7].

Pressure P(GPa)	$a (= b)$	\mathbf{C}	c/a	V_{0}	Z	N	Reference
$\overline{0}$	9.81	4.82	0.49	463.90	8	32	This work
	9.79	4.83	0.49	462.64	8	32	$[7]$
10	9.69	4.77	0.49	447.59	8	32	This work
20	9.59	4.72	0.49	433.87	8	32	This work
30	9.50	4.68	0.49	422.04	8	32	This work

Table 1. Calculated lattice constants a and c (both in \hat{A}), equilibrium volume $V_0 (\hat{A}^3)$, number of formula units in the unit cell Z and total number of atoms in the unit cell N of $Mo₃P$.

The calculated lattice parameters showed excellent agreement with the experimentally obtained values. The theoretical volume of the unit call is slightly greater than the experimental one because of the GGA, which tends to overestimate the lattice parameters slightly by spreading the electronic orbitals. On the other hand, local density approximation (LDA) tends to underestimate the lattice parameters. The difference between the theoretical and experimental values can also arise because of the experimental environment, which had a finite temperature and pressure compared to the zero temperature and pressure conditions of the theoretical one. Overall, GGA treatment results in lattice parameters very close to the experimental ones provides support about the DFT results found in this study. From Table 1, it is also seen that the lattice constants and equilibrium volume are decreasing gradually with increasing pressure, as expected.

Table 2. Wyckoff positions and atomic coordinates of Mo and P atoms in a unit cell of Mo₃P.

Atom	Wyckoff	Occupancy	X	у	Z	Reference
P	8f	1.0	0.29240	0.00000	0.0000	
Mo ₁	8g	1.0	0.35541	0.00000	0.5000	$[7]$
Mo ₂	8 <i>i</i>	1.0	0.09289	0.09289	0.2657	
Mo ₃	8 <i>i</i>	1.0	0.29871	0.29871	0.2649	

The Wyckoff positions and fractional coordinates of Mo and P atoms are shown in Table 2.

3.2 Elastic properties and anisotropy

Elastic constants play very important role in evaluating the mechanical, lattice dynamical and many thermophysical properties of solids. Tetragonal crystals have six independent elastic constants C_{ij} , namely C_{11} , C_{33} , C_{44} , C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{66} . The calculated elastic constants are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Calculated elastic constants C_{ij} , tetragonal shear modulus C' and Cauchy pressure C'' of $Mo₃P$ (all in GPa).

Pressure P(GPa)	\mathcal{C}_{11}	C_{12}	\mathcal{C}_{13}	C_{33}	C_{44}	C_{66}	C'	$C^{\prime\prime}$
0	384.3	206.4	179.1	420.0	98.4	132.3	88.9	108.0
10	442.0	247.6	210.6	489.7	110.6	151.4	97.3	136.9
20	496.1	286.6	241.8	552.4	121.9	169.1	104.7	164.8
30	548.4	324.9	270.2	613.6	132.5	185.7	111.7	192.4

Elastic constants can be used to check the mechanical stability of crystals. According to Born-Huang conditions, the necessary and sufficient conditions for mechanical and elastic stability of the tetragonal crystals are [22,23]:

$$
C_{11} > 0, \t C_{33} > 0, \t C_{44} > 0, \t C_{66} > 0,
$$

$$
C_{11} > |C_{12}|, \t 2C_{13}^2 < C_{33}(C_{11} + C_{12}),
$$

$$
(C_{11} + C_{33} - 2C_{13}) > 0 \t and \t (2(C_{11} + C_{12}) + C_{33} + 4C_{13}) > 0
$$
 (9)

All the elastic constants of $Mo₃P$ are positive and satisfy these mechanical and elastic stability criteria. This indicates that Mo₃P is mechanically stable. The same behavior follows for the pressures we have considered.

The tetragonal shear modulus, C' is given by,

$$
C' = \frac{(c_{11} - c_{12})}{2} \tag{10}
$$

The tetragonal shear modulus is a measure of crystal's stiffness, i.e., the resistance to shear deformation by a shear stress applied in the (110) plane in the [1 $\overline{1}0$] direction [24]. The value of C' for Mo₃P at pressure 0 GPa is 88.94 GPa. The value of C' increases with increasing pressure. Therefore, the stiffness of the Mo₃P crystal should increase with increasing pressure.

The Cauchy pressure, C'' is given by,

$$
C'' = (C_{12} - C_{44})
$$
 (11)

The value of C'' for Mo₃P at pressure 0 GPa is 108.05 GPa. As C'' is positive, Mo₃P should be ductile and damage tolerant. The Cauchy pressure can also be used to describe the character of chemical bonding in solids. The positive value of C'' indicates the presence of metallic bonding, whereas the negative value indicates the directional covalent bonding with angular character [25,26]. Therefore, the positive value of C'' in Mo₃P indicates the presence of metallic bonding. High positive value of the Cauchy pressure means high ductility and the presence of significant central force dominated atomic bondings. As the value of C'' increases with increasing pressure, we can say that $Mo₃P$ contains more metallic bonds at higher pressures.

The isotropic bulk modulus, B and shear modulus, G (by the Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) method) and Young's modulus, Y are calculated using the following well known equations [27-29]:

$$
B \equiv B_H = \frac{B_V + B_R}{2} \tag{12}
$$

$$
G \equiv G_H = \frac{G_V + G_R}{2} \tag{13}
$$

$$
Y = \frac{9BG}{(3B+G)}\tag{14}
$$

The shear modulus G of a material characterizes its reaction to shear stress. A large value of G indicates strong directional bonding between the atoms [30]. The bulk modulus B describes a material's response to uniform hydrostatic pressure [30]. For $Mo₃P$, the smaller value of G compared to B (Table 4) indicates that the mechanical stability will be dominated by the shear deformation. The Young's modulus Y describes the material's strain response to uniaxial stress

in the direction of this stress. The covalent nature of a material increases with Young's modulus [31]. The Young's modulus provides a measure of the stiffness and thermal shock resistance of a solid. From Table 4, it is clearly noticed that the values of elastic moduli increase gradually with increasing pressure.

High value of Y for Mo₃P (as a comparison, the Young's modulus of steel is \sim 200 GPa) suggests that the material under study is fairly stiff and should show high thermal shock resistance.

The Pugh's indicator or Pugh's ratio, G/B , which is the ratio of shear modulus and bulk modulus, is an indicator of failure mode (ductile/brittle) of a material. If the value of G/B is less than 0.57, the material is ductile, otherwise it would be brittle [32-34]. For Mo_3P , the value of G/B at pressure 0 GPa is 0.41 (Table 5). Hence, Mo₃P shows ductile nature, which once again indicates that the metallic bonding dominates over covalent bonding.

The Kleinman parameter or internal strain parameter, ξ is calculated using the following equation [35]:

$$
\xi = \frac{C_{11} + 8C_{12}}{7C_{11} + 2C_{12}}\tag{15}
$$

The Kleinman parameter, ξ is an indicator which measures the stability of a compound against stretching and bending. It is a dimensionless parameter whose value generally lies in the range 0 $\leq \xi \leq 1$. Bond stretching dominates for the lower limit of ξ (ξ close to zero) and bond bending dominates for the upper limit of ξ (ξ close to 1) [24]. For Mo₃P, the estimated value of ξ at 0 GPa is 0.66 (Table 5). This indicates that the limiting mechanical strength of $Mo₃P$ is mainly affected by bond bending contribution over bond stretching or contracting.

Poisson's ratio, σ is given by [36],

$$
\sigma = \frac{(3B - 2G)}{2(3B + G)}\tag{16}
$$

For central-forces, the lower and upper limits of Poisson's ratio for solids are 0.25 and 0.50, respectively [37,38]. For Mo₃P, the value of σ at 0 GPa is 0.32 (Table 5). This implies that interatomic forces are central in nature. The Poisson's ratio can also be used to determine whether a substance has ionic and covalent bonds. For ionic solids, the value of σ is typically 0.25 and for covalent materials, the value of σ is typically 0.10 [39]. This yardstick shows that ionic contribution is present in $Mo₃P$.

The machinability index, μ_M of a material is calculated using following equation [40]:

$$
\mu_M = \frac{B}{C_{44}}\tag{17}
$$

Machinability is related to a number of mechanical variables connected to the inherent properties of the work materials, cutting tool material, cutting conditions, type of cutting, cutting fluid, tool geometry, and the nature of tool engagement with the work and machine tool rigidity and its capacity. Machinability can also be used as a measure of plasticity and lubricating property of a material. Larger value of machinability of a compound indicates excellent dry lubricating properties, lower feed forces, lower friction value and higher plastic strain value [24]. The value of machinability for $Mo₃P$ at 0 GPa is 2.62 (Table 5). This value is high and comparable to those of many prominent engineering materials. From Table 5, it is seen that the value of machinability index increases with increasing pressure. This implies that the $Mo₃P$ compound shows excellent lubricating properties, lower feed forces, lower friction value and higher plastic strain value at high pressure.

The Vickers hardness, H_v or micro hardness, H_{micro} is given by [41],

$$
H_v \equiv H_{micro} = \frac{(1 - 2\sigma)Y}{6(1 + \sigma)}
$$
 (18)

The hardness of a material is essential to understand elastic and plastic properties [42] under high mechanical stress. The value of hardness for $Mo₃P$ at pressure 0 GPa is 12.74 GPa and increases with increasing pressure as shown in Table 5. This value of hardness implies that $Mo₃P$ is a reasonably hard material.

The macro hardness, H_{macro} is calculated by [43],

$$
H_{macro} = 2\left[\left(\frac{G}{B}\right)^2 G\right]^{0.585} - 3\tag{19}
$$

The value of macro hardness for $Mo₃P$ at pressure 0 GPa is 7.89 GPa and also increases with increasing pressure (Table 5).

The fracture toughness, K_{IC} is calculated using the following empirical formula [44]:

$$
K_{IC} = V_o^{1/6} \sqrt{GB} \tag{20}
$$

Fracture toughness carries significant importance like the hardness for practical applications of solids. Fracture toughness gives a measure of the resistance of solids to stop the propagation of the induced fracture inside. High value of fracture toughness is expected for hard materials for use in industrial purposes [45]. The value of K_{IC} for Mo₃P at 0 GPa is 4.60 MPa.m^{1/2}. This value increases with increasing pressure.

Table 5. Pugh's ratio *G/B*, Kleinman parameter ξ, Poisson's ratio σ , machinability index μ_M , micro hardness H_{micro} or Vicker's hardness H_v (GPa), macro hardness H_{macro} (GPa) and fracture toughness K_{IC} (MPa.m^{1/2}) of Mo₃P.

Pressure P(GPa)	G/B	ξ	σ	μ_M	H_v or H _{micro}	H_{macro}	K_{IC}
0	0.41	0.66	0.32	2.62	12.74	7.89	4.60
10	0.40	0.67	0.32	2.72	14.05	8.20	5.26
20	0.39	0.69	0.33	2.81	15.06	8.43	5.86
30	0.38	0.70	0.33	2.88	16.07	8.69	6.43

Elastic anisotropy explains the directional dependence of mechanical properties of a compound. Knowledge of elastic anisotropy is crucial for material design because elastic anisotropy is associated with lattice distortion, plastic deformation and formation of micro-cracks and mechanical failures of solids. The calculated elastic anisotropy factors are tabulated in Table 6.

Table 6. Shear anisotropy factors (A₁, A₂ and A₃), Zener anisotropy factor A, universal anisotropy index A^U, equivalent Zener anisotropy measure A^{eq} , anisotropy in compressibility A^B (%), anisotropy in shear A^G (%) and universal log-Euclidean anisotropy index A^L of Mo₃P at different pressures.

Shear anisotropy factors are used to understand the degree of anisotropy in the bonding between atoms in different planes within a crystal. The shear anisotropy for a tetragonal crystal can be quantified by three different factors [46,47].

The shear anisotropy factor for $\{100\}$ shear planes between the $\langle 011 \rangle$ and $\langle 010 \rangle$ directions is,

$$
A_1 = \frac{4c_{44}}{c_{11} + c_{33} - 2c_{13}}\tag{21}
$$

The shear anisotropy factor for {010} shear planes between the 〈101〉 and 〈001〉 directions is,

$$
A_2 = \frac{4c_{55}}{c_{22} + c_{33} - 2c_{23}}\tag{22}
$$

The shear anisotropy factor for {001} shear planes between the 〈110〉 and 〈010〉 directions is,

$$
A_3 = \frac{4C_{66}}{C_{11} + C_{22} - 2C_{12}}\tag{23}
$$

Zener anisotropy factor, A has been calculated using the following equation,

$$
A_{Zener} \equiv A = \frac{2c_{44}}{c_{11} - 2c_{12}} \tag{24}
$$

The materials having isotropy in the bondings existing between different atomic planes have unit values of A_1 , A_2 and A_3 ($A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = 1$) and any other value (greater or lesser than unity) implies that there is anisotropy in the material. The values of A_1 , A_2 , A_3 and A for different pressures are given in Table 6. It is found that $Mo₃P$ shows anisotropic behavior. The degree of anisotropy increases slowly with increasing pressure.

The universal anisotropy indices A^U and d_E , equivalent Zener anisotropy measure A^{eq} , anisotropy in compressibility A^B and anisotropy in shear A^G for a crystal with any symmetry are calculated using following standard equations [48-53]:

$$
A^{U} = 5\frac{G_V}{G_R} + \frac{B_V}{B_R} - 6 \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_E = \sqrt{A^{U} + 6}
$$
 (25)

$$
A^{eq} = \left(1 + \frac{5}{12}A^{U}\right) + \sqrt{(1 + \frac{5}{12}A^{U})^{2} - 1}
$$
 (26)

$$
A^B = \frac{B_V - B_R}{B_V + B_R} \quad \text{and} \quad A^G = \frac{G_V - G_R}{G_V + G_R} \tag{27}
$$

The universal log-Euclidean index is defined as [49]:

$$
A^{L} = \sqrt{\left\{ln\left(\frac{B_{V}}{B_{R}}\right)\right\}^{2} + 5\left\{ln\left(\frac{C_{44}^{V}}{C_{44}^{R}}\right)\right\}^{2}}
$$
(28)

where, the Reuss and Voigt values of C_{44} are defined as follows,

$$
C_{44}^{R} = \frac{5}{3} \frac{C_{44}(C_{11} - C_{12})}{3(C_{11} - C_{12}) + 4C_{44}} \tag{29}
$$

and,

$$
C_{44}^V = C_{44}^R + \frac{3}{5} \frac{(C_{11} - C_{12} - 2C_{44})^2}{3(C_{11} - C_{12}) + 4C_{44}}
$$
(30)

The expression for A^L is valid for all crystal symmetries. The universal anisotropy index, A^U is closely related to A^L . For perfectly anisotropic crystal, $A^L = 0$. The values of A^L range between 0

14

and 10.26. Almost 90% of crystalline solids have $A^L < 1$. It is also argued that, A^L indicates whether the compound is layered/lamellar type [51]. The compounds that have high value of A^L indicate that they have strongly layered whereas the compounds with low value of A^L indicate that they have non-layered structural features. Since the calculated value of A^L as shown in Table 6 is only 0.02 at 0 GPa; which is very much lower than unity, we thus conclude that $M_{03}P$ will exhibit non-layered type of structural properties.

Table 7. Uniaxial bulk modulus B_a , B_b and B_c (GPa), isotropic bulk modulus B_{relax} (GPa), anisotropies of the bulk modulus A_{Ba} and A_{Bc} , compressibility β (TPa⁻¹), linear compressibilities $β_a$ and $β_b$ (TPa⁻¹) and their ratio ($β_a/β_b$) for Mo₃P at different pressures.

Pressure P(GPa)	B_a	B _b	B_c	B_{Relax}	A_{Ba}	A_{Bc}	β	β _a	B_c	B_c/β_a
$\overline{0}$	764	764	790	257	1.0	1.04	3.9	1.3	1.3	0.97
10	892	892	928	301	1.0	1.04	3.3	1.1	1.1	0.96
20	1016	1015	1054	342	1.0	1.04	2.9	1.0	1.0	0.96
30	1135	1135	1171	382	1.0	1.03	2.6	0.9	0.8	0.97

Uniaxial bulk modulus B_a , B_b and B_c (along a-, b- and c-axis, respectively) and anisotropies in the bulk modulus are calculated from the following equations [54]:

$$
B_a = a \frac{dP}{da} = \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \alpha + \beta} \tag{31}
$$

$$
B_b = a \frac{dP}{db} = \frac{B_a}{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad B_c = c \frac{dP}{dc} = \frac{B_a}{\beta}, \tag{32}
$$

$$
A_{B_a} = \frac{B_a}{B_b} = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad A_{B_c} = \frac{B_c}{B_b} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \tag{33}
$$

where,

$$
\Lambda = C_{11} + 2C_{12}\alpha + C_{22}\alpha^2 + 2C_{13}\beta + C_{33}\beta^2 + 2C_{23}\alpha\beta, \tag{34}
$$

$$
\alpha = \frac{(c_{11} - c_{12})(c_{33} - c_{13}) - (c_{11} - c_{13})(c_{23} - c_{13})}{(c_{22} - c_{12})(c_{33} - c_{13}) - (c_{12} - c_{23})(c_{13} - c_{23})},
$$
\n(35)

15

and,

$$
\beta = \frac{(c_{22} - c_{12})(c_{11} - c_{13}) - (c_{11} - c_{12})(c_{23} - c_{12})}{(c_{22} - c_{12})(c_{33} - c_{13}) - (c_{12} - c_{23})(c_{13} - c_{23})}
$$
(36)

Here, A_{B_a} and A_{B_c} denote the anisotropies in bulk modulus along a-axis and c-axis with respect to b-axis, respectively. For $Mo₃P$,

$$
A_{B_{\alpha}} = \alpha = 1 \; ; \; A_{B_{c}} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = 1.04
$$

Also, isotropic bulk modulus, B_{relax} is given by,

$$
B_{relax} = \frac{\Lambda}{(1 + \alpha + \beta)^2} \tag{37}
$$

The compressibility, β is given by,

$$
\beta = \frac{1}{B} \tag{38}
$$

The value of β is 0.00388 /GPa or 3.88 TPa⁻¹ at 0 GPa. The compressibility of Mo₃P decreases significantly with increasing pressure.

The linear compressibility of a tetragonal compound along a and c axis (β_a and β_c) are calculated using the relations,

$$
\beta_a = \frac{c_{33} - c_{13}}{D} \text{ and } \beta_c = \frac{c_{11} + c_{12} - 2c_{13}}{D} \tag{39}
$$

where, $D = (C_{11} + C_{12})C_{33} - 2C_{13}^{2}$.

The ratio of the linear compressibilities (β_c/β_a) for Mo₃P is 0.97 at 0 GPa. From Table 7, it is seen that the value of the ratio of the linear compressibilities (β_c/β_a) remains almost invariant with applying pressure.

ELATE [55] generated plots of Young's modulus, linear compressibility, shear modulus and Poisson's ratio are given below:

Figure 2. ELATE generated plots of Young's modulus at (a) $P = 0$ GPa and (b) $P = 30$ GPa.

Figure 3. ELATE generated plots of linear compressibility at (a) $P = 0$ GPa and (b) $P = 30$ GPa.

Figure 4. ELATE generated plots of Shear modulus at (a) $P = 0$ GPa and (b) $P = 30$ GPa.

Figure 5. ELATE generated plots of Poisson's ratio at (a) $P = 0$ GPa and (b) $P = 30$ GPa.

For elastically isotropic solids, the three-dimensional (3D) direction-dependent Young's modulus, linear compressibility, shear modulus, and Poisson's ratio should all have spherical forms. Any deviation from spherical shape, on the other hand, demonstrates anisotropy. For the Mo3P compound, we have displayed the ELATE [55] generated 2D and 3D plots showing the directional dependences of Young's modulus, shear modulus, linear compressibility, and Poisson's ratio.

As can be observed in Figures 2 to 5, there is a slight deviation from the spherical shape in the 3D figures of Y, G, and σ , indicating some anisotropy. However, there is no deviation from spherical shape in the 3D figure of compressibility. Therefore, compressibility and bulk modulus of Mo3P is isotropic. The ELATE plots also show that the projections of the direction dependent Y, G, and σ in the *ab*-plane are reasonably circular. This means that the elastic anisotropy within the basal plane is extremely low.

3.2 Phonon dynamics

The properties of phonons are extremely important in solid state physics. Phonon dynamics influence all thermal and charge transport parameters. The nature of the phonon modes governs the dynamical and structural stabilities of a crystal. Many features of a material can be measured directly or indirectly using phonon dispersion spectra (PDS) and phonon density of states (PHDOS) [56]. Phonon dispersion curves provide information regarding a material's dynamical stability, structural phase transition, and contribution of atomic vibrations to its thermal properties [57]. In this work, to test the dynamical stability, the phonon dispersion curves and phonon density of states of the $Mo₃P$ compound at absolute zero were determined.

This computation was carried out using the finite displacement method (FDM) based on density functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [20,21]. Figure 6 depicts the phonon dispersion spectra and phonon density of states of the mentioned materials at different pressures along the high symmetry direction of the BZ.

Figure 6. Phonon dispersion curves of Mo₃P for (a) $P = 0$ GPa, (b) $P = 10$ GPa, (c) $P = 20$ GPa and (d) $P = 30$ GPa.

The PDS show no negative frequency branch within the BS. This suggests that the compound is dynamically stable in the pressure range considered in this study.

There are three phonon branches in the low frequency. These are the acoustic modes. These modes are divided into transverse acoustic (TA) and longitudinal acoustic (LA) modes. The branches in the high frequency, on the other hand, are optical modes. In general, low-frequency acoustic modes are caused by the vibration of heavy atoms, whereas high-frequency optical modes are caused by the out of phase vibrations of light atoms. The pressure dependent PHDOS profiles are shown in Figure 7. The $Mo₃P$ compound does not have a phonon gap between acoustic and optical modes. The high frequency optical modes are less dispersive.

Figure 7. Phonon density of states (PHDOS) of Mo₃P for various pressures.

These branches should lead to high PHDOS peaks as seen in Fig. 7. Application of pressure does not affect the nature of dispersion to any large extent. On the other hand, various phonon branches shift to higher frequencies as pressure increases. This effect is also seen in the positions

of the PHDOS peaks shown in Figure 7. The reason of this shift is the pressure induced stiffening of the crystal which increases the effective stiffness constant of $Mo₃P$.

3.3. Electronic band structure and density of states

Figure 8 depicts electronic band structures for the optimized crystal structure of $Mo₃P$ along different high symmetry axes $(X-R-M-T-R)$ in the first Brillouin zone. The Fermi level is indicated by the horizontal broken line. The band structure characteristics clearly show that several bands with varied degrees of dispersion cross the Fermi level. This demonstrates the metallic nature of the Mo₃P at different pressures.

Figure 8. Electronic band structure of Mo₃P for (a) $P = 0$ GPa, (b) $P = 10$ GPa, (c) $P = 20$ GPa and (d) $P = 30$ GPa, along the high symmetry directions of the *k*-space within the first Brillouin zone.

The bands crossing the Fermi level show varying degree of dispersion at various regions of the *k*space. Both electron- and hole-like bands cross the Fermi level. The effect of pressure is moderate on the band structure. Highly dispersive bands imply low charge carrier effective mass and high charge mobility. The situation becomes opposite for non-dispersive bands.

The calculated total and partial density of states (TDOSs and PDOSs, respectively) of Mo_3P compound, as a function of energy, (*E-EF*), are represented in Figure 9 for different pressures. The vertical dashed line at 0 eV marks the Fermi level, *EF*. To understand the contribution of each atom to the TDOSs, we have calculated the PDOSs of Mo and P atoms in Mo₃P. The nonzero values of TDOSs at the Fermi level is the evidence that $Mo₃P$ should exhibit metallic electrical conductivity. At the Fermi energy, the values of TDOSs for $Mo₃P$ are 22.7, 21.9, 20.9 and 20.2 states per eV per unit cell at pressures of 0, 10, 20 and 30 GPa, respectively. The TDOS decreases gradually with increasing pressure. Hence one can say that $M_0{}_{3}P$ should be the most conducting at the low pressure. Near the Fermi level, the main contribution to TDOSs comes from the Mo-4*d* orbitals and P-3*p* orbitals of Mo₃P. Thus, these electronic states dominate the electrical conductivity and other transport coefficients of $Mo₃P$. The peaks in the TDOS controls the energy dependent optoelectronic properties of the compound to a large extent.

The structural, electronic and mechanical stabilities of $Mo₃P$ are affected by the properties of Mo-4*d* and P-3*p* orbitals bonding electronic states. It seen from the PDOS that there is a significant overlap in energy between the Mo-4 d and P-3 p bands in Mo₃P compound. Such overlaps are suggestive of bonding between the electronic states involved. The nearest peak at the negative energy below the Fermi level in TDOS is known as the bonding peak, while the nearest peak at the positive energy is the anti-bonding peak. The energy gap between these peaks is called the pseudo-gap which is an indication of electronic stability [58–60]. The electronic density of states profiles show that the peaks below the Fermi level in the valence band are red shifted and the peaks above the Fermi level in the conduction band are blue shifted with increasing pressure.

Figure 9. Total and partial electronic energy density of states (TDOS and PDOS) of Mo₃P at different pressures.

3.4 Thermophysical properties

(a) Debye temperature and sound velocities

The Debye temperature (Θ_D) is a crucial thermophysical parameter of solids. It is linked to a variety of physical properties e.g., lattice vibration, thermal conductivity, phonon specific heat, interatomic bonding, vacancy formation energy, melting temperature, and coefficient of thermal expansion. It also sets an energy scale for Cooper pairing in phonon mediated superconductors. The Debye temperature can be defined as the temperature at which all the atomic modes of

vibrations in a solid become activated. The Debye temperature of the compound depends on the crystal stiffness and atomic masses of its constituent atoms. In general, solids with stronger interatomic bonding strength, higher melting temperatures, greater hardness, higher acoustic wave velocity, and lower average atomic mass are shown to have larger Debye temperatures. Moreover, the Debye temperature marks a boundary between the classical and quantum behavior in lattice vibration. When $T > \Theta_D$, all the modes of vibrations get an energy $\sim k_B T$. On the other hand, when $T < \Theta_D$, the higher frequency modes are considered to be frozen and the quantum mechanical nature of vibrational modes are manifested [61]. The Debye temperature can be obtained using the following equation [62,63]:

$$
\theta_D = \frac{h}{k_B} \left(\frac{3N}{4\pi V_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} v_a \tag{40}
$$

In the above expression, *h* is the Planck's constant, k_B is the Boltzmann's constant, *n* is the number of atoms in the unit cell, *M* is the molar mass, ρ is the density of the solid, N_A is the Avogadro number, and v_a denotes mean sound velocity. The mean value (v_a) can be calculated from bulk the (B) and shear (G) moduli using the longitudinal (v_l) and the transverse (v_t) sound velocities as follows [62]:

$$
v_t = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}} \quad and \quad v_l = \sqrt{\frac{B + \frac{4G}{3}}{\rho}}
$$
(41)

The transverse and longitudinal sound velocities can be used to calculate the average sound velocity, v_a by using the following equation [47]:

$$
v_a = \left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2}{v_t^3} + \frac{1}{v_l^3}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{3}}\tag{42}
$$

The calculated values of the Debye temperature, Θ_D and v_l , v_t , and v_a at various pressures are given in Table 8. The calculated Debye temperature of $Mo₃P$ is 466.44 K at 0 GPa. The value of Debye temperature increases gradually with increasing pressure.

The acoustic impedance of a material is a useful parameter for sound related applications. This parameter can be defined as:

$$
Z = \sqrt{\rho G} \tag{43}
$$

The value of acoustic impedance of the compound $M_0{}_{3}P$ is 31.20 \times 10⁶ Rayl and increases with pressure.

The intensity or sound radiation factor is given by,

$$
I = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho^3}}\tag{44}
$$

The calculated value of the sound radiation factor 0.40 m^4 /kg.s for Mo₃P at 0 GPa and this remains almost unchanged with changing pressure.

Table 8. Calculated mass density ρ (kg/m³), longitudinal velocity of sound ν_1 (ms⁻¹), transverse velocity of sound v_t (ms⁻¹), average sound velocity v_a (ms⁻¹), Debye temperature Θ_D (K), acoustic impedance Z (Rayl) and radiation factor I (m^4 /kg.s) of Mo₃P.

Pressure, P(GPa)	ρ	ν_1	$v_{\rm t}$	v_a	$\Theta_{\rm D}$	$\frac{Z}{(x10^6)}$	
0	9131.99	6612.10	3411.70	3820.00	466.44	31.20	0.40
10	9465.31	6979.96	3559.71	3988.56	492.93	33.69	0.38
20	9764.69	7290.75	3679.60	4125.52	515.18	35.93	0.38
30	10038.16	7564.49	3788.10	4249.16	535.52	38.03	0.38

Sound velocity in crystalline solid controls a large number of transport properties and the dynamical stability. For crystals with tetragonal symmetry, the pure transverse and longitudinal modes can only exist for the symmetry directions of type [010] (or [100]), [001] and [110]. Therefore, the acoustic velocities along these principal directions can be expressed as [24,63]:

 $[010] = [100]$:

$$
[010]v_l = [100]v_l = \sqrt{\frac{c_{11}}{\rho}} \; ; \; [001]v_{t1} = \sqrt{\frac{c_{44}}{\rho}} \; ; \; [010]v_{t2} = \sqrt{\frac{c_{66}}{\rho}} \tag{45}
$$

[001]:

$$
[001]v_l = \sqrt{\frac{c_{33}}{\rho}} \; ; \; [100]v_{t1} = [010]v_{t2} = \sqrt{\frac{c_{66}}{\rho}} \tag{46}
$$

[110]:

$$
[110]v_l = \sqrt{\frac{(c_{11} + c_{12} + 2c_{66})}{2\rho}} \; ; \; [001]v_{t1} = \sqrt{\frac{c_{44}}{\rho}} \; ; \; [1\overline{1}0]v_{t2} = \sqrt{\frac{(c_{11} - c_{12})}{2\rho}} \tag{47}
$$

The values of these sound velocities (in ms⁻¹) of $Mo₃P$ along different crystallographic directions are listed in **Table 9.**

Table 9. Sound velocities of $Mo₃P$ (in ms⁻¹) along different directions.

Sound velocity increases systematically with increasing pressure.

(b) Melting temperature

Another significant parameter for solid is its melting temperature (T_m) that gives the idea about the limit of temperature up to which the solid can be used. The melting temperature of a crystalline material is principally determined by its bonding energy and atomic arrangement. A material with a high value of melting temperature shows strong atomic bonding, a high value of bonding energy and a low value of thermal expansion coefficient [64]. We have calculated melting temperature T_m using the following equation [65]:

$$
T_m = 354 + 1.5(2C_{11} + C_{33})
$$
\n(48)

The calculated melting temperature of $M_{03}P$ is 2137.05 K at 0 GPa. The value of melting temperature of $Mo₃P$ is high and increases gradually with increasing pressure.

(c) Thermal expansion coefficient and heat capacity

The thermal expansion coefficient (a) , a material's intrinsic thermal property that is linked to a number of other physical properties such as specific heat, thermal conductivity, temperature variation of the energy band gap in semiconductors, and electron/hole effective mass, is crucial for the epitaxial growth of thin films. The thermal expansion coefficient (TEC), α is given by [24]:

$$
\alpha = \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-3}}{G} \tag{49}
$$

In this equation G is the shear modulus (in GPa). The calculated value of thermal expansion coefficient of Mo₃P is $1.50 \times 10^{-5} K^{-1}$ at 0 GPa. From Table 10, it was seen that the value of thermal expansion coefficient decreases gradually with increasing pressure.

(d) Dominant phonon mode

Phonons or quantum of lattice vibrations of materials make a significant contribution to a number of physical properties, such as electrical conductivity, thermopower, thermal conductivity and heat capacity. The dominant phonon wavelength of a compound, λ_{dom} , is the wavelength at which the phonon distribution function exhibits the peak. The wavelength of the dominant phonon at 300 K has been estimated by using the following expression [63,66]:

$$
\lambda_{dom} = \frac{12.566v_a}{T} \times 10^{-12} \tag{50}
$$

where, T is the temperature in degree K and v_a is the average sound velocity in ms⁻¹. Materials with higher average sound velocity, higher shear modulus, and lower density exhibits higher wavelength of the dominant phonon mode. The obtained values of λ_{dom} (in meter) of Mo₃P at various pressures are given in Table 10.

The dominant phonon mode shifts to lower wavelength as the pressure increases. This is a consequence of the pressure induced stiffening of the crystal. This finding also agrees completely with the lattice dynamical calculations.

(e) Lattice thermal conductivity

Lattice vibrations are an effective avenue of heat transport. The ability of a material to transport heat due to a temperature gradient is measured by the parameter known as the thermal conductivity. The lattice thermal conductivity (k_{ph}) of a material at different temperatures determines the amount of heat energy transported by the lattice vibration when there is a temperature gradient in the system. The *kph* as a function of temperature can be calculated from an expression proposed by Slack [67] given below:

$$
k_{ph} = A \frac{M_{av} \Theta_D^3 \delta}{\gamma^2 n^2 / 3T}
$$
 (51)

where, M_{av} is the average atomic mass in kg/mol, Θ_D is the Debye temperature in K, δ is the cubic root of the average atomic volume in meter, *γ* refers to the acoustic Grüneisen parameter, *n* is the number of atoms in the conventional unit cell, and *T* is the absolute temperature in K. The Grüneisen parameter measures the degree of anharmonicity of the phonons. The acoustic Grüneisen parameter, γ and the factor $A(\gamma)$ due to Julian [67], can be calculated by using the following relations [67,68]:

$$
\gamma = \frac{3(1+s)}{2(2-3s)}\tag{52}
$$

and,

$$
A(\gamma) = \frac{5.720 \times 10^7 \times 0.849}{2 \times (1 - 0.514/\gamma + 0.228/\gamma^2)}
$$
(53)

where, σ refers to the Poisson's ratio. The lattice thermal conductivity is calculated at 300 K for all the pressures considered and the values obtained are given in Table 11. It is clearly seen from Table 11, that the values of *kph* are increasing as the pressure increases. This is expected, since solids with higher Debye temperature have higher lattice thermal conductivities, as found in the preceding section. The overall thermal conductivity of this compound is high. Therefore, this material can be used as a heat sink.

(f) Minimum thermal conductivity

The behavior of a solid at temperatures higher than the Debye temperature has become an issue for high temperature applications. At high temperatures, a compound's inherent lattice thermal conductivity approaches a lowest value which is called the minimum thermal conductivity (*kmin*). This parameter is important for the fact that it is not affected by the existence of defects, impurities or imperfections in the crystal. Using the quasi-harmonic Debye model, Clarke developed the following equation for estimating the *kmin* at high temperature [66]:

$$
k_{min} = k_B v_a (V_{atomic})^{-\frac{2}{3}}
$$
 (54)

In the above expression, *Vatomic* is the cell volume per atom of the compound.

The values of minimum thermal conductivity calculated from Clarke formula is given in Table 11. Again, we know that elastically anisotropic materials have different values of minimum thermal conductivity in different directions, i.e., they naturally exhibit anisotropy in minimum thermal conductivity. This anisotropy can be determined using the longitudinal and transverse sound speeds along different crystallographic axes. In this work, we used the following formula to compute the *kmin* along various directions which is predicted by the Cahill model [64]:

$$
k_{min} = \frac{k_B}{2.48} n^{2/3} (v_l + v_{t1} + v_{t2})
$$
 (55)

The calculated values of *kmin* along the [100], [110] and [001] crystallographic directions are listed in Table 11.

Table 11. Grüneisen parameter γ , minimum thermal conductivities k_{min} in different crystallographic directions (all in W/m-K), minimum thermal conductivity k_{min} in Cahill's and Clark's method (both in W/m-K) and lattice thermal conductivity k_{ph} (W/m-K) at 300 K of $Mo₃P$.

Pressure, P		$[100]$ K _{min} or	$[001]K_{min}$	$[110]K_{min}$	K_{min}	K_{Ph}	
(GPa)	γ	$[010]$ K _{min}			Cahill	Clark	
$\overline{0}$	1.89	1.27	1.35	1.24	1.29	0.89	0.70
10	1.93	1.37	1.46	1.33	1.39	0.95	0.78
20	1.97	1.45	1.55	1.41	1.47	1.00	0.84
30	1.99	1.53	1.64	1.48	1.55	1.05	0.91

From Table 11, it is seen that the Clarke model predicts a lower minimum thermal conductivity than the Cahill model. It is also observed that the k_{min} of Mo₃P compound is comparatively higher at higher pressures. It can also be concluded from the above table that the *kmin* along [001] direction is slightly higher than that along [100] direction. This implies the existence of small anisotropy in the thermal transport. The minimum thermal conductivity of $Mo₃P$ is also significant.

3.5 Charge density distribution

Electron charge density distribution gives detailed information about a material's chemical bonding and shows charge transfer among the atoms. In this work, we have investigated the electronic charge density distribution of $Mo₃P$ at various pressures. Figures 10 and 11 depict the electronic charge density distribution at 0 GPa and 30 GPa, respectively. The color scale between the charge density maps shows the total electron density. The red color on the color scale represents the highest electron density whereas the blue color represents the lowest electron density.

It is clearly seen from Figure 10 that the charge distribution around Mo and P atom are almost spherical and there is high electron density around the Mo atom and low electron density around the P atom. Thus, ionic bonding dominates between these two atoms. But the slight deviation from the spherical shape and accumulation of small amount of charge in between the P-P and Mo-P atoms indicate that weak covalent bonding is also present. Nearly uniform low density of electronic charge throughout the crystal plane gives rise to the metallic bonding. Therefore, Mo₃P has mixed ionic, covalent, and metallic bondings, where the ionic contribution dominates. The effect of pressure on the bonding characteristics is small.

Figure 10. Electronic charge density map at 0 GPa.

Figure 11. Electronic charge density map at 30 GPa.

3.6 Optical properties

A material's optical response can be determined by a number of energy/frequency dependent parameters, which include the absorption coefficient $\alpha(\omega)$, dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega)$, energy loss function *L*(*ω*), optical conductivity *σ*(*ω*), refractive index *n*(*ω*), and reflectivity *R*(*ω*) [69]. These optical parameters are computed for pressures 0 GPa to 30 GPa and are presented in Figure 12. The optical spectra are shown for incident photon energies up to 30 eV in [100] polarization direction of the electric field vector.

The values of the *α*(*ω*) can be used to understand a material's electrical nature. The *α*(*ω*) of the Mo₃P compound is shown in Figure 12. It is observed that photon absorption starts right from zero energy. This indicates that there is no optical band gap in $Mo₃P$. This also proves that the $Mo₃P$ is a conductor. Interestingly, $Mo₃P$ shows notable absorption in the mid-ultraviolet region of the electromagnetic spectrum. Also, the value of absorption coefficient *α*(*ω*) increases slightly due to the increase of pressure.

The optical conductivity, $\sigma(\omega)$ is the electrical conductivity across a specified range of photon energy. Figure 12 shows that the $\sigma(\omega)$ of Mo₃P material is starting from zero energy. It agrees with the previously computed electrical properties, implying that the material is a conductor once more. The optical conductivity decreases with increasing energy in the mid-ultraviolet (UV) region. At low energies, the optical conductivity is high for low pressures; the behavior changes in the UV region where the conductivity increases a little as the pressure increases.

Complex dielectric function is a vital optical parameter to describe a material's optical response since all the other energy dependent optical constants can be obtained from it. The variation of real (Re), $\varepsilon_1(\omega)$ and imaginary (Im), $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ parts of the dielectric function of Mo₃P is illustrated in Figure 12. From the figure, it is seen that the values of $\varepsilon_1(0)$ are almost same for all pressures. The imaginary part is related to dielectric loss. Figure 12 shows that the real part of dielectric function crosses zero from below at \sim 25 eV, whereas the imaginary part flattens to a very low value at the same energy indicating that the material will become transparent for photons with energies above 25 eV. This phenomenon confirms the Drude-like behavior (metallic feature) of $Mo₃P$.

The loss function, $L(\omega)$, of the Mo₃P is shown in Figure 12. The position of the loss peak corresponds to the energy of the plasma excitations in the $Mo₃P$ compound. Loss function varies significantly with pressure and the heights of the loss peaks decrease with increasing pressure. At the same time, the peak energy shifts to higher values as pressure increases. This shift is mainly caused by the increase in the electron density in $Mo₃P$ with increasing pressure. The loss peak position coincides with the sharp falls in absorption coefficient and reflectivity as expected for materials.

Reflectivity, $R(\omega)$, measures the fraction of the incident light energy reflected from the material. The reflectivity spectra, $R(\omega)$, of Mo₃P compound is depicted in Figure 12. It is found that Mo₃P is a very good reflector of infrared and visible light. In the mid-UV region, reflectivity is lower but it increases again going through a pressure dependent peak in the energy range 20 eV to 24 eV. $R(\omega)$ falls sharply around 25 eV and Mo₃P becomes transparent for energies over 25 eV to the incident electromagnetic wave.

The real part of the refractive index, $n(\omega)$, determines the group speed of an electromagnetic wave inside a material. The imaginary part (referred to as the extinction coefficient), *k*(*ω*), on the other hand, controls how much of the incident electromagnetic wave is attenuated when it passes through the substance. Figure 12 depicts the complex refractive indices of $M_0{}_{3}P$ crystal at different pressures. According to the plot, the value refractive index decreases with energy. Furthermore, it was seen that the value of refractive index of $M_0{}_{3}P$ is almost independent of pressure.

The overall results obtained in this section imply that there was no significant change on the optical properties of the compound $Mo₃P$ due to the increase of pressure. This is consistent with the underlying electronic band structure which also shows weakly pressure dependent variations.

Figure 12. Optical properties (absorption coefficient, optical conductivity, reflectivity, complex dielectric function, loss function and complex refractive index) of $Mo₃P$ compound at different pressures.

3.7 Superconducting state properties

 $Mo₃P$ exhibits phonon mediated superconductivity with a transition temperature, T_c , of 3.1 K [3]. The superconducting transition temperature of such systems can be estimated from the widely used expression proposed by McMillan [70]:

$$
T_c = \frac{\theta_D}{1.45} \exp\left[-\frac{1.04(1 + \lambda_{ep})}{\lambda_{ep} - \mu^*(1 + 0.62\lambda_{ep})}\right]
$$
(56)

From the above equation, it is noted that the superconducting transition temperature depends on the Debye temperature, electron-phonon coupling constant (λ_{en}) , and the repulsive Coulomb pseudopotential (μ^*) . We observe that the Debye temperature of Mo₃P increases steadily with increasing pressure. The electronic density of states at the Fermi level $[N(E_F)]$, on the other hand, decreases gradually with rising pressure. For a given average electron-phonon interaction energy, V_{ep} , the parameter λ_{ep} varies linearly with N(E_F) via the relation, $\lambda_{ep} = N(E_F)V_{ep}$ [71]. This shows that the electron-phonon coupling constant should decrease with increasing pressure in case of Mo₃P. The repulsive Coulomb pseudopotential, which works against the formation of Cooper pairs essential to superconductivity, also depends on the value of $N(E_F)$ [72]. We have estimated this parameter for Mo3P which decreases slightly as the pressure increases. Overall, the increase in the Debye temperature and the decrease in the Coulomb pseudopotential should favor an enhancement in the superconducting transition temperature with increasing pressure. But the decreasing trend in the pressure dependent λ_{ep} should work in the opposite way. Thus, we predict a weak pressure dependent variation in T_c for Mo₃P. For detailed and precise calculation of T_c , information regarding the Eliashberg spectral function is needed [11, 73] which falls outside the scope of CASTEP calculations.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have performed a detailed calculation of the structural, elastic, dynamical, optoelectronic and some thermophysical properties of non-centrosymmetric superconductor Mo₃P at various pressures using the first-principles approach based on DFT. The majority of the findings dealing with the properties of elastic, electrical, bonding, thermophysical, and optical

properties are novel. The estimated lattice parameters correspond well with previously published data [7].

The calculated six independent elastic constants $(C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{33}, C_{44}$ and C_{66}) of Mo₃P compound satisfy the Born-Huang stability criteria of tetragonal crystals, suggesting that $Mo₃P$ crystal is mechanically stable. According to Pugh's ratio (*G/B*), Poisson's ratio (*σ*) and Cauchy pressure (C''), Mo₃P compound is ductile in nature. The value of μ_M in Mo₃P implies a good level of machinability. Both ductility and the machinability increase with increasing pressure. Mo3P compound shows excellent dry lubricating properties, lower feed forces, lower friction value and higher plastic strain value at high pressure. By hardness calculations of $Mo₃P$ through different formulae, it is seen that this material shows reasonable hardness, and the hardness value increases with pressure. Ductility, machinability, and hardness values of $Mo₃P$ suggest that this compound is suitable for engineering applications. In this respect, the physical properties of Mo3P compares well with many of the technologically important MAX and MAB phase compounds [74-77]. The compound under study is moderately anisotropic. The electronic band structure and total density of states analysis show that $Mo₃P$ exhibits clear metallic behavior. The calculated Debye temperature of $Mo₃P$ increases almost linearly with pressure for the range considered. It is found that the melting temperature of $Mo₃P$ compound is high which implies that $Mo₃P$ is a good candidate material for high-temperature application. The phonon thermal conductivity of $Mo₃P$ is high and it has potential to be used as a heat sink material. The optical constants' profiles of Mo₃P match very well with the electronic band structure and DOS profiles. Mo₃P compound is a good absorber of mid-ultraviolet radiation and is also an efficient reflector of infrared-visible light. The effect of pressure on the optical constants is low. By considering various parameters related to superconductivity, we qualitatively predict that the effect of pressure on superconductivity should be weak in case of $Mo₃P$.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

The data sets generated and/or analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

CRediT author statement

Md. Sohel Rana: Methodology, Software, Formal analysis. **Razu Ahmed:** Methodology, Software, Writing- Original draft. **Md. Sajidul Islam**: Software, Validation. **R.S. Islam:** Supervision, Writing- Reviewing and Editing. **S.H. Naqib:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Formal analysis, Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

References

- [1] E. Bauer and M. Sigrist, eds., *Non-Centrosymmetric Superconductors*, Vol. 847 (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2012).
- [2] B. T. Matthias, E. Corenzwit, and C. E. Miller, *Superconducting compounds*, Phys Rev 93, 1415 (1954).
- [3] R. D. Blaugher, J. K. Hulm, and P. N. Yocom, *Superconducting phosphides of the transition metals*, J Phys Chem Solids 26, 2037 (1965).
- [4] B. Sellberg and S. Rundqvist, *The crystal structure of Mo3P*, Acta Chem Scand 19, 760 (1965).
- [5] A. Kondori, M. Esmaeilirad, A. Baskin, B. Song, J. Wei, W. Chen, C. Segre, *et al*., *Identifying Catalytic Active Sites of Tri-molybdenum Phosphide (Mo3P) for Electrochemical Hydrogen Evolution*, Adv Energy Materials 9, (2019).
- [6] A. Kondori *et al*., *Kinetically Stable Oxide Overlayers on Mo3P Nanoparticles Enabling Lithium–Air Batteries with Low Overpotentials and Long Cycle Life*, Adv Mater 32, 2004028 (2020).
- [7] T. Shang et al., *Nodeless Superconductivity and Preserved Time-Reversal Symmetry in the Noncentrosymmetric Mo3P Superconductor*, Phys Rev B 99, 184513 (2019).
- [8] I. Shirotani, I. Kaneko, M. Takaya, C. Sekine, and T. Yagi, *Superconductivity of Molybdenum Phosphides Prepared at High Pressure*, Phys Rev B 281, 1024 (2000).
- [9] A. Sun, K. Lv, D. Wang, and Z. Wu, *Template-Free Synthesis of Porous Mo3P/MoP Nanobelts as Efficient Catalysts for Hydrogen Generation*, Appl Surf Sci10 493, 740 (2019)
- [10] N. Kishore, V. Nagarajan, R. Chandiramouli, *Mechanical properties and band structure of CdSe and CdTe nanostructures at high pressure - a first-principles study*, PAC 13, 124–31 (2019).
- [11] M. M. Mridha, S. H. Naqib, *Pressure dependent elastic, electronic, superconducting, and optical properties of ternary barium phosphides (BaM₂P₂;* $M = Ni$ *, Rh): DFT*

based insights, Phys Scr 95, 105809 (2020).

- [12] S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. I. J. Probert, K. Refson, and M. C. Payne, *First Principles Methods Using CASTEP*, Zeitschrift Für Kristallographie - Crystalline Materials 220, 567 (2005).
- [13] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects*, Phys Rev **140**, A1133 (1965).
- [14] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple*, Phys Rev Lett 77, 3865 (1996).
- [15] H. J. Monkhorst, J. D. Pack, *Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations*, Phys Rev B 13**,** 5188 (1976).
- [16] G. Murtaza, N. Yousaf, M. Yaseen, A. Laref, and S. Azam, *Systematic Studies of the Structural and Optoelectronic Characteristics of CaZn2X² (X = N, P, As, Sb, Bi)*, Mater Res Express 5, 016304 (2018).
- [17] S. Saha, T. P. Sinha, and A. Mookerjee, *Electronic Structure, Chemical Bonding, and Optical Properties of Paraelectric*, Phys Rev B 62, 8828 (2000).
- [18] R. Ahmed, M. Mahamudujjaman, M. A. Afzal, M. S. Islam, R. S. Islam, S. H. Naqib, *DFT based comparative analysis of the physical properties of some binary transition metal carbides XC (X = Nb, Ta, Ti)*, J Mater Res Technol, 24, 4808-4832 (2023).
- [19] M. S. Islam, Razu Ahmed, M. Mahamudujjaman, R. S. Islam, S. H. Naqib, *A comparative study of the structural, elastic, thermophysical, and optoelectronic properties of CaZn2X² (X = N, P, As) semiconductors via ab-initio approach*, Results Phys 44, 6214 (2023).
- [20] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, J. Hafner, *Ab-initio force constant approach to phonon dispersion relations of diamond and graphite*, Europhys Lett 32, 729 (1995).
- [21] K. Parlinski, Li ZQ, Y. Kawazoe, *First-principles determination of the soft mode in cubic ZrO2*, Phys Rev Lett 78, 4063 (1997).
- [22] H. Zhai, X. Li, and J. Du, *First-Principles Calculations on Elasticity and Anisotropy of Tetragonal Tungsten Dinitride under Pressure*, Mater Trans 53, 1247 (2012).
- [23] F. Mouhat and F.-X. Coudert, *Necessary and Sufficient Elastic Stability Conditions in Various Crystal Systems*, Phys Rev B 90, 224104 (2014).
- [24] M. I. Naher and S. H. Naqib, *An Ab-Initio Study on Structural, Elastic, Electronic, Bonding, Thermal, and Optical Properties of Topological Weyl Semimetal TaX (X = P, As)*, Sci Rep 11, 1 (2021).
- [25] W. Feng and S. Cui, *Mechanical and Electronic Properties of Ti2AlN and Ti4AlN3: A First-Principles Study*, Can J Phys 92, 1652 (2014).
- [26] M. A. Ali, M. A. Hadi, M. M. Hossain, S. H. Naqib, and A. K. M. A. Islam, *Theoretical Investigation of Structural, Elastic, and Electronic Properties of Ternary Boride MoAlB: Theoretical Investigation of Ternary Boride MoAlB*, Phys Status Solidi B 254, 1700010 (2017).
- [27] A. Gueddouh, B. Bentria, and I. K. Lefkaier, *First-Principle Investigations of Structure, Elastic and Bond Hardness of FexB (X=1, 2, 3) under Pressure*, Journal of Magand Mag Materials 406, 192 (2016).
- [28] M. Jamal, S. Jalali Asadabadi, I. Ahmad, and H. A. Rahnamaye Aliabad, *Elastic Constants of Cubic Crystals*, Comput Materials Sci 95, 592 (2014).
- [29] M. Mattesini, R. Ahuja, and B. Johansson, *Cubic Hf3N⁴ and Zr3N4: A Class of Hard Materials*, Phys Rev B 68, 184108 (2003).
- [30] S. K. Mitro, M. A. Hadi, F. Parvin, R. Majumder, S. H. Naqib, and A. K. M. A. Islama, *Effect of Boron Incorporation into the Carbon-Site in Nb2SC MAX Phase: Insights from DFT*, J Mater Res Technol 11, 1969 (2021).
- [31] M. Rajagopalan, S. Praveen Kumar, and R. Anuthama, *FP-LAPW Study of the Elastic Properties of Al2X (X=Sc,Y,La,Lu)*, Physica B: Condens Matter 405, 1817 (2010).
- [32] S. F. Pugh, *XCII. Relations between the Elastic Moduli and the Plastic Properties of Polycrystalline Pure Metals*, J Sci **45**, 823 (1954).
- [33] Z. Yang, D. Shi, B. Wen, R. Melnik, S. Yao, and T. Li, *First-Principle Studies of Ca– X (X=Si,Ge,Sn,Pb) Intermetallic Compounds*, J Solid State Chem 183, 136 (2010).
- [34] A. Moussali, M. B. Amina, B. Fassi, I. Ameri, M. Ameri, and Y. Al-Douri, *First-Principles Calculations to Investigate Structural and Thermodynamic Properties of* $Ni₂LaZ$ ($Z = As$, *Sb and Bi) Heusler Alloys*, Indian J Phys 94, 1733 (2020).
- [35] L. Kleinman, *Deformation Potentials in Silicon. I. Uniaxial Strain*, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2614 (1962).
- [36] P. H. Mott, J. R. Dorgan, and C. M. Roland, *The Bulk Modulus and Poisson's Ratio of "Incompressible" Materials*, J Sound Vibration 312, 572 (2008).
- [37] G. N. Greaves, A. L. Greer, R. S. Lakes, and T. Rouxel, *Poisson's Ratio and Modern Materials*, Nature Mater 10, 11 (2011).
- [38] H. Fu, D. Li, F. Peng, T. Gao, and X. Cheng, *Ab Initio Calculations of Elastic Constants and Thermodynamic Properties of NiAl under High Pressures*, Comput

Materials Sci 44, 774 (2008).

- [39] A. Šimůnek, *How to Estimate Hardness of Crystals on a Pocket Calculator*, Phys Rev B 75, 172108 (2007).
- [40] Z. Sun, D. Music, R. Ahuja, and J. M. Schneider, *Theoretical Investigation of the Bonding and Elastic Properties of Nanolayered Ternary Nitrides*, Phys Rev B 71, 193402 (2005).
- [41] A. Bouhemadou, *First-Principles Study of Structural, Electronic and Elastic Properties of Nb4AlC3*, Braz J Phys 40, 52 (2010).
- [42] M. Mahamudujjaman, M. A. Afzal, R. S. Islam, and S. H. Naqib, *Structural, Elastic, Bonding, Optoelectronic, and Some Thermo-Physical Properties of Transition Metal Dichalcogenides* ZrX_2 *(X = S, Se, Te): Insights from Ab-Initio Calculations, AIP Adv* 12, 025011 (2022).
- [43] X.-Q. Chen, H. Niu, D. Li, and Y. Li, *Modeling Hardness of Polycrystalline Materials and Bulk Metallic Glasses*, Intermetallics 19, 1275 (2011).
- [44] E. Mazhnik and A. R. Oganov, *A Model of Hardness and Fracture Toughness of Solids*, J Appl Phy 126, 125109 (2019).
- [45] B. R. Lawn and D. B. Marshall, *Hardness, Toughness, and Brittleness: An Indentation Analysis*, J Am Ceram Soc 62, 347 (1979).
- [46] X. Gao, Y. Jiang, R. Zhou, and J. Feng, *Stability and Elastic Properties of Y–C Binary Compounds Investigated by First Principles Calculations*, J Alloys Compd 587, 819 (2014).
- [47] J. Zhang, R. Jiang, Y. Tuo, T. Yao, and D. Zhang, *Elastic Properties and Elastic Anisotropy of ZrN² and HfN2*, Acta Phys Polonica A 135, 546 (2019).
- [48] C. M. Kube, *Elastic Anisotropy of Crystals*, AIP Adv 6, 095209 (2016).
- [49] V. Arsigny, P. Fillard, X. Pennec, and N. Ayache, *Fast and Simple Calculus on Tensors in the Log-Euclidean Framework*, in *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2005*, Vol. 3749 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005), pp. 115–122.
- [50] Y. C. Cheng, X. L. Wu, J. Zhu, L. L. Xu, S. H. Li and P. K. Chu, *Optical Properties of Rocksalt and Zinc Blende AlN Phases: First-Principles Calculations*, J Appl Phys 103, 073707 (2008).
- [51] C. M. Kube and M. de Jong, *Elastic Constants of Polycrystals with Generally Anisotropic Crystals*, J Appl Phy 120, 165105 (2016).
- [52] S. I. Ranganathan and M. Ostoja-Starzewski, *Universal Elastic Anisotropy Index*, Phys Rev Lett 101, 055504 (2008).
- [53] Y. H. Duan, Y. Sun, M. J. Peng, and S. G. Zhou, *Anisotropic Elastic Properties of the Ca–Pb Compounds*, J Alloys Compd 595, 14 (2014).
- [54] P. Ravindran, L. Fast, P. A. Korzhavyi, B. Johansson, J. Wills, and O. Eriksson, *Density Functional Theory for Calculation of Elastic Properties of Orthorhombic Crystals: Application to TiSi2*, J Appl Phy 84, 4891 (1998).
- [55] R. Gaillac, P. Pullumbi, and F.-X. Coudert, *ELATE: An Open-Source Online Application for Analysis and Visualization of Elastic Tensors*, J Phys Condens Matter 28, (2016).
- [56] E. N. Koukaras, G. Kalosakas, C. Galiotis, and K. Papagelis, *Phonon Properties of Graphene Derived from Molecular Dynamics Simulations*, Sci Rep 5, 12923 (2015).
- [57] Y. Yun, D. Legut, and P. M. Oppeneer, *Phonon Spectrum, Thermal Expansion and Heat Capacity of UO² from First-Principles*, J Nuclear Materials 426, 109 (2012).
- [58] A. H. Reshak and S. Auluck, *Theoretical Investigation of the Electronic and Optical Properties of ZrX² (X=S, Se and Te)*, Physica B: Condens Matter 353, 230 (2004).
- [59] F. Parvin and S. H. Naqib, *Pressure Dependence of Structural, Elastic, Electronic, Thermodynamic, and Optical Properties of van Der Waals-Type NaSn2P² Pnictide Superconductor: Insights from DFT Study*, Results Phys 21, 103848 (2021).
- [60] J.-H. Xu, T. Oguchi, and A. J. Freeman, *Crystal Structure, Phase Stability, and Magnetism in Ni3V*, Phys Rev B 35, 6940 (1987).
- [61] J. R. Christman, *Fundamentals of Solid State Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1988).
- [62] O. L. Anderson, *A Simplified Method for Calculating the Debye Temperature from Elastic Constants*, J. Phys Chem Solids 24, 909 (1963).
- [63] M. I. Naher, M. A. Afzal and S. H. Naqib, *A Comprehensive DFT Based Insights into the Physical Properties of Tetragonal Superconducting Mo5PB2,* Results Phys 28, 104612 (2021).
- [64] M. E. Fine, L. D. Brown, and H. L. Marcus, *Elastic Constants versus Melting Temperature in Metals*, Scripta Metallurgica **18**, 951 (1984).
- [65] D. G. Cahill, S. K. Watson and R. O. Pohl, *Lower Limit to the Thermal Conductivity of Disordered Crystals,* Phys Rev B 46, 6131 (1992).
- [66] D. R. Clarke, *Materials Selection Guidelines for Low Thermal Conductivity Thermal*

Barrier Coatings, Surf Coat Technol 163–164, 67 (2003).

- [67] G. A. Slack, *The Thermal Conductivity of Nonmetallic Crystals*, Solid State Phys. 34, 1-71 (1979).
- [68] C. L. Julian, *Theory of heat conduction in rare-gas crystals*, Phys Rev 137, 128-137 (1965).
- [69] J. Sun, H. T. Wang, J. He and Y. Tian, *Ab Initio Investigations of Optical Properties of the High-Pressure Phases of ZnO*, Phys Rev B 71, 125132 (2005).
- [70] W. L. McMillan, *Transition Temperature of Strong-Coupled Superconductors*, Phys Rev 167, 331 (1968).
- [71] A. K. M. A. Islam and S. H. Naqib, *Possible Explanation of High-T^c in Some 2D Cuprate Superconductors*, J Phys Chem Solids 58, 1153 (1997).
- [72] S. H. Naqib and R. S. Islam, *Interrelations among zero-field critical current density, irreversibility field and pseudogap in hole doped high-T^c cuprates*, Physica C 608, 1354255 (2023).
- [73] Nazmun Sadat Khan, B. Rahman Rano, Ishtiaque M. Syed, R. S. Islam and S. H. Naqib, *First-principles prediction of pressure dependent mechanical, electronic, optical, and superconducting state properties of NaC6: A potential high-T^c superconductor*, Results Phys 33, 105182 (2022).
- [74] M. A. Hadi, Nikolaos Kelaidis, S. H. Naqib, Alexander Chroneos and A. K. M. A. Islam, *Mechanical behaviors, lattice thermal conductivity and vibrational properties of a new MAX phase Lu2SnC*, J Phys Chem Solids 129, 162-171 (2019).
- [75] M. A. Hadi, Martin Dahlqvist, S. –RG. Christopoulos, S. H. Naqib, Alexander Chroneos and A. K. M. A. Islam, *Chemically stable new MAX phase V2SnC: a damage and radiation tolerant TBC material*, RSC Advances 10, 43783-43798 (2020).
- [76] Md. Ashraf Ali, Md. Mukter Hossain, Md. Mohi Uddin, A. K. M. Azharul Islam and Saleh Hasan Naqib, *The Rise of 212 MAX Phase Borides: DFT Insights into the Physical Properties of Ti2PB2, Zr2PbB2, and Nb2AB² [A = P, S] for Thermomechanical Applications*, ACS Omega 8, 954-968 (2023).
- [77] Mst. Bina Akhtar, F. Parvin, A. K. M. A. Islam and S. H. Naqib, *Structural, elastic, electronic, bonding, thermo-mechanical and optical properties of predicted NbAlB MAB phase in comparison to MoAlB: DFT based ab-initio insights*, Results in Physics 52, 106921 (2023).