# Greybody Factor for a Non Accelerated Charged Modified Black Hole in anti-de Sitter Regime

M. Sharif <sup>∗</sup>and A. Raza †

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Lahore, 1-KM Defence Road Lahore, Pakistan.

#### Abstract

This paper investigates the greybody factor for a non accelerated black hole with modified Maxwell electrodynamics in an anti-de Sitter regime. For this purpose, we compute the radial equation for a massless scalar field with the help of Klein-Gordon equation. We then formulate effective potential by transforming this equation into Schrodinger wave equation. We analyze the graphical behavior of effective potential for different values of mass, parameter characterizing the modified Maxwell theory, anti-de Sitter radius and electromagnetic charge parameters. The exact solutions are computed at two different horizons, i.e., event and cosmological horizons through the radial equation. Furthermore, we match the obtained solutions in an intermediate regime to enhance feasibility of the greybody factor over the entire domain and check its behavior graphically. It is found that the greybody factor has a direct relation with the radius, electromagnetic charge as well as angular momentum of the black hole and an inverse relation with the anti-de Sitter radius and modification parameter. We conclude that the modified Maxwell solution reduces the emission rate of the black hole.

<sup>∗</sup>msharif.math@pu.edu.pk

<sup>†</sup>aliraza008.math@gmail.com

Keywords: Black hole; Electrodynamics; Singularity; Hawking radiation; Greybody factor.

PACS: 04.70.Dy; 03.50.De; 04.70.Bw; 04.70.-s; 02.40.Xx.

## 1 Introduction

The mysterious features of black holes (BHs) have attracted the attention of many researchers in recent years. It has such a strong gravity that prevents all kinds of outgoing material as well as electromagnetic radiations and absorbs all the surrounding material. The boundary around the BH is known as event horizon (EH) beyond which the escape velocity exceeds the speed of light. Later, it was discovered that BH could emit radiations (Hawking radiations) due to quantum effects [\[1\]](#page-20-0). The mass of BH decreases due to the emission of radiations which leads to the death of BH. Black holes are thermal objects (thermodynamics laws hold) and display thermal properties like Hawking temperature and entropy which are different for distinct BHs [\[2\]](#page-21-0)-[\[4\]](#page-21-1). The emission rate of Hawking radiations is defined as [\[1\]](#page-20-0)

$$
\Theta(w) = \left(\frac{(2\pi)^{-3}d^3\kappa}{e^{\frac{w}{t_h}} \pm 1}\right),\,
$$

where w,  $d^3\kappa$  and  $t_h$  represent frequency, change in surface gravity and temperature of BH, respectively. The positive/negative sign shows fermion/boson particles and the above expression can also be used for higher dimensions.

Hawking radiation is a theoretical prediction made by physicist Stephen Hawking in 1974. It suggests that BHs are not completely black but instead emit radiation due to quantum effects near the EH. This phenomenon arises from the interplay between quantum mechanics and GR. The outer region of the EH plays a role in modifying the emitted Hawking radiation. As particles are created and annihilated near the EH, they can escape the BH due to quantum effects, resulting in the emission of radiation. However, the curvature of spacetime caused by the strong gravitational field of the BH can affect this radiation emission. The concept of the EH acting as a barrier is important. Particles near the EH are influenced by the strong gravitational field and some can gain enough energy to escape the BH gravitational pull as Hawking radiation. However, particles that are very close to the EH can be captured by the BH gravitational pull before they escape, essentially creating a kind of gravitational barrier. This interaction between the particles and

the gravitational barrier influences the radiation's energy spectrum. The emission rate for a distant observer is expressed as

$$
\Theta(w) = \left(\frac{|G_{w,l,m}|^2 d^3 \kappa}{(2\pi)^3 (e^{\frac{w}{t_h}} \pm 1)}\right),
$$

where  $|G_{w,l,m}|^2$  displays the greybody factor (GBF) which is a frequencydependent quantity. The GBF (rate of emission of particles) is defined as the probability of absorption of a wave by BH coming from infinity [\[5\]](#page-21-2). These waves provide information about the interior of BH in the form of charge, mass and angular momentum.

The radiation emitted by a BH, if the effects of the outer curvature were ignored, would have the characteristics of a black body spectrum, which is a characteristic spectrum for an idealized body that absorbs all radiations incident upon it. However, when considering the effects of the outer curvature and the gravitational barrier, the emitted radiation spectrum gets modified. This modified spectrum is often referred to as a greybody spectrum because it is a combination of the black body spectrum and the modifications caused by the curvature of spacetime near the event horizon. Thus, the outer curvature of the EH impacts the emitted Hawking radiation, causing it to deviate from a black body spectrum. This deviation results in greybody spectrum, where the radiation appears to be a mixture of black body and modified emissions due to the gravitational effects near the event horizon.

In the context of GR, singularity refers to a point in spacetime where certain physical quantities such as density and curvature become infinite. Singularities are associated with BHs, where the curvature of spacetime becomes so extreme that it traps everything including light within an event horizon. The concept of "cosmic censorship" was proposed by physicist Roger Penrose in the 1960s as a way to protect the predictability and stability of the universe [\[6\]](#page-21-3). The Penrose cosmic censorship hypothesis suggests that singularities resulting from the gravitational collapse of massive objects are always hidden behind event horizons. In other words, the extreme curvature and infinite density of a singularity are never directly visible to observers outside the BH. There is no connection between the interior (inside the EH) and the exterior (outside the EH) regions of a BH as the physical laws hold in the exterior part. Sakharov and Gliner [\[7\]](#page-21-4) suggested that one can avoid this problem by a source of matter replaced with a de Sitter core at the center of a BH. Bardeen [\[8\]](#page-21-5) found a BH by using a de Sitter patch in the place of singularity,

so called regular BH. Later many non-singular BH models were proposed in the literature  $[9]-[11]$  $[9]-[11]$ . Bronnikov [\[12\]](#page-22-2), Dymnikova [\[13\]](#page-22-3) and Hayward [\[14\]](#page-22-4) regular BHs are non-singular spherically symmetric BHs that violate strong energy condition. Some axially symmetric and rotatory regular BHs can also be found in the literature which violate weak energy condition [\[15\]](#page-22-5). Rincon and Santos [\[16\]](#page-22-6) computed the GBF and quasinormal modes of non-singular BH solutions and found that these modes are unstable.

The study of emission of Hawking radiations has gained the attention of various researchers. Konoplya [\[17\]](#page-22-7) formulated the quasinormal modes and potential barrier associated with the emission of scalar field. The GBF and emission rate of BH produced in extra dimensions were studied in [\[18\]](#page-23-0) which indicate that both increase with extra dimensions. Grain et al [\[19\]](#page-23-1) computed GBF of a BH for modified gravity and concluded that the effect of Guass-Bonnet coupling constant shows different behavior in high and low energy regions. Creek et al [\[20\]](#page-23-2) formulated an analytical solution of rotating BH in the brane-scalar field for the GBF in the high and low energy regions. They found that the numerical and analytical results are comparable with each other. Harmark et al [\[21\]](#page-23-3) computed the GBF for de Sitter and anti-de Sitter (AdS) BHs in the presence of charge and cosmological constant.

Srinivasan and Padmanabhan [\[22\]](#page-23-4) discussed the GBF of Schwarzschildlike spacetimes in the uniform electric field by the complex path method. Jiang [\[23\]](#page-23-5) formulated the absorption probability for the RN BH by using the tunneling method. Ngampitipan and Boonserm [\[24\]](#page-23-6) found bounds on the absorption probability of Reissner-Nordström (RN) and Kerr-Newman BHs. Kanti et al [\[25\]](#page-23-7) computed the GBF of a scalar field in the higher dimensions for Schwarzschild-de Sitter BH. Toshmatov et al [\[26\]](#page-23-8) formulated the GBF of a regular charged BH and concluded that charge decreases the absorption probability of Hawking radiations. The emission rate of massive particles propagating from charged BH was computed in [\[27\]](#page-24-0). Ahmad and Saifullah [\[28\]](#page-24-1) formulated an analytical expression of the GBF in the low energy regime and developed a general expression of GBF for RN BH. Sharif and Ama-Tul-Mughani [\[29\]](#page-24-2) found the GBF for a rotating BH surrounded by quintessence energy and found that this energy enhances the GBF.

Panotopoulos and Rincon [\[30\]](#page-24-3) analyzed the GBF with minimally coupled massless scalar field in various regimes and found that the obtained results are comparable with previous work in those fields. Ovg $\ddot{\text{u}}$  and Jusufi [\[31\]](#page-24-4) computed the emission rate of a massless scalar field and obtained that the behavior of GBF is similar to Bekenstein's solution. Ahmad and Saifullah [\[32\]](#page-24-5) examined the GBF for a non-minimally coupled scalar field in RN-de Sitter regime and found that non-minimally coupled scalar field decreases the emission rate. The absorption rate of BH for non-minimally coupled massless scalar field with strings was studied in [\[33\]](#page-24-6). Ali et al [\[34\]](#page-24-7) studied the GBF of a spherically symmetric charged regular de Sitter BH in higher dimensions. They found that the non-minimal coupling reduces the GBF while the non-linear charge increases the GBF. Several minimally and non-minimally coupled BHs in de Sitter spacetime have been studied with different considerations which give life span of the BHs [\[35\]](#page-24-8)-[\[37\]](#page-25-0). Recently, we have studied the GBF of a static spherically symmetric BH with non-linear electrodynamics and found that the non-linear charge parameter increases the absorption probability of the BH [\[38\]](#page-25-1).

Researchers have made progress in understanding the structure, lifespan and information loss paradox associated with BHs. This progress is achieved through the study of GBF in different regimes, including de Sitter and AdS spacetimes. This exploration helps them delve into the quantum gravity and quantum structure of BHs in various regions. Born and Infeld [\[39\]](#page-25-2) used electrodynamics to demonstrate that point-like charge possesses finite energy. Beato and Garcia [\[40\]](#page-25-3) extended this concept by exploring the coupling of electrodynamics with Einstein's theory and found non-singular BH solutions. Black holes that are minimally or non-minimally coupled with gravity in different regimes have attracted significant attention from researchers. These investigations contribute to a deeper understanding of the behavior of BHs. Motl and Neitzke [\[41\]](#page-25-4) proposed that studying the GBF of Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr BHs can unveil new aspects of their quantum nature. This exploration offers insights into the quantum characteristics of these types of BHs. The study of GBF is crucial for understanding the information loss paradox that arises from thermodynamics of BHs. This paradox is linked to the study of Hawking radiation, which is considered the carrier of information escaping from BHs. Researchers are using various approaches, including electrodynamics and gravity coupling, to advance our understanding of these enigmatic cosmic objects.

In this paper, we compute the potential barrier and GBF for a non accelerated BH with modified Maxwell electrodynamics in the AdS regime. The paper is planned as follows. Section 2 describes the solution of BH and formulates the effective potential through radial equation. In section 3, we discuss exact solutions of the Regge-Wheeler equation at the event and cosmological horizons. We match these solutions in an intermediate region and

formulate the expression for GBF in section 4. The summary of the main results is given in the last section.

## 2 Non-Accelerated Black Hole with Modified Maxwell Electrodynamics

The Einstein-Hilbert action of non-linear electrodynamics is defined as [\[42\]](#page-25-5)

$$
I = \frac{1}{6\pi} \int_M d^4x (R + \frac{6}{p^2} - 4L)\sqrt{-g},
$$

where  $R$ ,  $L$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  represent the Ricci scalar, Lagrangian related to nonelectrodynamics theory, AdS radius and determinant of the line element, respectively. The Lagrangian depends on the following two invariants

$$
S = \frac{F_{ab}F^{ab}}{2}, \quad P = \frac{F_{ab}(*F)^{ab}}{2},
$$

where  $F_{ab} = \partial_a A_a - \partial_b A_a$  and  $(*F)_{ab} = \frac{\epsilon_{ab} F}{2}$  with vector potential  $A_a$ . The Einstein-non linear electrodynamics equations are

$$
G_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab}
$$
,  $d * E = 0$ ,  $dF = 0$ ,

where  $T_{ab}$  and  $E_{ab}$  denote energy-momentum tensor and non-linear function of  $(*F_{ab}, F_{ab})$ , respectively. They are evaluated as

$$
T_{ab} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( 4F_{ac}F_b^c + 2(PL_P - L)g_{ab} \right), \quad E_{ab} = \frac{\partial L}{F_{ab}} = 2\left( L_S F_{ab} + L_p * F_{ab} \right),
$$

where  $L_S = \frac{\partial L}{\partial S}$  and  $L_P = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P}$ . The Lagrangian for modified Maxwell theory is expressed as [\[43\]](#page-25-6)

$$
L = \frac{1}{2} \left( S \cosh \gamma - \sqrt{S^2 + P^2} \sinh \gamma \right),\,
$$

which is characterized by a dimensionless parameter  $\gamma$  that represents the modified Maxwell BH solution.

The respective line element of non-accelerated BH with modified Maxwell electrodynamics in AdS regime is [\[42\]](#page-25-5)

$$
ds^{2} = -\frac{f(r)dt^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \frac{d\phi^{2}}{K^{2}}),
$$
 (1)

where  $\alpha$  is the real constant and

$$
f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{z^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{p^2},
$$
\n(2)

where  $z^2 = e^{-\gamma}(q_m^2 + q_e^2)$ . Here m, r, K,  $q_m$  and  $q_e$  are mass, radius, conical deficits, magnetic and electric charge of the BH, respectively. The given BH solution is singular at  $r = p = 0$ . A static spherically symmetric BH is obtained for  $\alpha = 1$  as well as reduces to Schwarzschild BH for  $z = 0$  and  $p \to \infty$ . The EHs of the given BH are obtained by taking

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{z^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{p^2} = 0.
$$
 (3)

#### 2.1 The Effective Potential

In this section, we compute the potential required to cross the gravitational barrier. We examine the propagation of massless scalar field by using the Klein-Gordon equation as

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\partial_a[\sqrt{-g}g^{ab}\partial_b\Psi] = 0,\t\t(4)
$$

where  $g$  is the determinant of the line element. We assume that particles and gravity are minimally coupled during the propagation of scalar field. We separate Eq.[\(4\)](#page-6-0) into two wave equations by using the separation of variables method

$$
\Psi = e^{iwt} R_{wlm}(r) A_m^l(\theta, \phi),
$$

where  $A_m^l(\theta, \phi)$  is an angular function. The function  $R_{wlm}(r)$  is the solution of the following radial equation [\[44\]](#page-26-0)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\left(r^2 f \frac{d}{dr} R_{wlm}\right)_{,r} + \left(\frac{r^2 \alpha^2 w^2}{f} - \lambda_l\right) R_{wlm} = 0,\tag{5}
$$

where  $\lambda_l = l^2 + l$  determines the relation between angular and radial equations [\[45\]](#page-26-1). We can solve Eq.[\(5\)](#page-6-1) to formulate the GBF of the massless scalar field. Firstly, we find the effective potential which affects the GBF of the BH. Therefore, we use the new radial equation

$$
R_{wlm}(r) = \frac{Y_{wlm}(r)}{r},\tag{6}
$$

and tortoise coordinate as

$$
\frac{du_{\star}}{dr} = \frac{1}{f}, \quad \frac{d}{du_{\star}} = f\frac{d}{dr}, \quad \frac{d^2}{du_{\star}^2} = f\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{df}{dr}\frac{d}{dr}\right).
$$

We note that r approaches to  $r_h$ , tortoise coordinate approaches to  $-\infty$  and  $u_{\star} \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ . The radial equation works for the whole real line due to the tortoise coordinate, hence the radial equation is written as

$$
(\frac{d^2}{du_*^2} - V_{ef})Y_{wlm} = 0,
$$
\n(7)

where the effective potential

$$
V_{ef} = f\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{df}{dr} - w^2\alpha^2 + \frac{\lambda_l}{r^2}\right),\,
$$

which vanishes at  $f = 0$ . The explicit form of the effective potential turns out to be

$$
V_{ef} = \frac{1}{r^6 p^4} \left( r^4 + p^2 r^2 - 2mp^2 r + p^2 z^2 \right) \left( (2 - \alpha^2 p^2 w^2) r^4 + (l^2 p^2 + l p^2) r^2 + 2mp^2 r + 2p^2 z^2 \right).
$$

We analyze the graphical behavior of effective potential against  $\frac{r}{r_h}$  corresponding to different parameters  $(q_m, q_e, m, l, \alpha, p, w, \gamma)$ . Figure 1 shows that the effective potential has a direct relation with  $q_m$  and  $q_e$  which shows the decrease in absorption probability of the BH. Figure 2 represents that the gravitational barrier decreases with the increase in mass and decrease in angular momentum which shows the enhancement in evaporation rate. In Figure 3, the graph shows that gravitational barrier has an inverse relation with  $\alpha$  and AdS radius which shows the increasing behavior of the GBF. The graphical behavior of wave frequency and the parameter characterizing the modification of the Maxwell solution is given in Figure 4. The graph in the left panel shows that there is an inverse relation of effective potential for different values of wave frequency against  $\frac{r}{r_h}$  which indicates that frequency parameter enhances the GBF. The right plot describes that the height of the graph decreases with an increase in  $\gamma$ . This behavior shows that the modified Maxwell theory enhances the absorption probability of the BH and consequently maximizes the evaporation process.



Figure 1: Effective potential versus  $\frac{r}{r_h}$  with  $q_e = 1$  (left) and  $q_m = 1$  (right) for  $m = 5$ ,  $l = 3$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 2$  and  $w = 1$ .



Figure 2: Effective potential versus  $\frac{r}{r_h}$  with  $l = 3$  (left) and  $m = 5$  (right) for  $q_e = 1$ ,  $q_m = 1$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 2$  and  $w = 1$ .



Figure 3: Effective potential versus  $\frac{r}{r_h}$  with  $p = 1$  (left) and  $\alpha = 1$  (right) for  $m = 5, l = 3, q_e = 1, q_m = 1, \gamma = 2 \text{ and } w = 1.$ 



Figure 4: Effective potential versus  $\frac{r}{r_h}$  with  $\gamma = 2$  (left) and  $w = 1$  (right) for  $m = 5, l = 3, p = 1, \alpha = 1, q_m = 1$  and  $q_e = 1$ .

### 3 Analytic Solutions and Greybody Factor

This section uses transformations to obtain analytical solutions of Eq.[\(5\)](#page-6-1) at the event as well as cosmological horizon. Further, we apply a semiclassical method to match these solutions in the intermediate region. These transformations give equations in the form of hypergeometric (HG) function whose solutions are used to obtain an expression of GBF. For the region near to the EH  $(r \sim r_h)$ , we use the first transformation as

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\Psi = \frac{f}{1 + \frac{r^2}{p^2}} = \frac{1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{z^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{p^2}}{1 + \frac{r^2}{p^2}},\tag{8}
$$

which satisfies the relation

$$
\frac{d\Psi}{dr} = \frac{(1-\Psi)B}{r(p^2+r^2)},
$$

where

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
B = \frac{2p^2(3mr^3 - 2r^2z^2 + (mr - z^2)p^2)}{2mr - z^2}.
$$
\n(9)

Using Eq.[\(9\)](#page-10-0) in the radial equation, we have

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\Psi(1-\Psi)\frac{d^2R_{wlm}}{d\Psi^2} + (C-D\Psi)\frac{dR_{wlm}}{d\Psi} + \frac{1}{(1-\Psi)B^2}(\frac{\zeta_h}{\Psi} - \xi_h)R_{wlm} = 0, (10)
$$

where

$$
C = \frac{p^2 (r f (1 - \Psi) B)'}{(1 - \Psi) B^2}, \quad D = -\frac{2r^2}{B}, \ \zeta_h = p^4 w^2 r^2 \alpha^2, \ \xi_h = p^2 (l^2 + l)(p^2 + r^2).
$$

We use the field redefinition  $(R_{wlm}(\Psi) = \Psi^{\alpha_1}(1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1}W_{wlm}(\Psi)$ , which reduces Eq.[\(10\)](#page-10-1) to HG differential equation as

$$
\Psi(1 - \Psi) \frac{d^2 W_{wlm}}{d\Psi^2} + [2\alpha_1 + C - (2\alpha_1 + 2\beta_1 + D)\Psi] \frac{dW_{wlm}}{d\Psi} + \left[\frac{1}{\Psi}(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1 + C\alpha_1 + \frac{\zeta_h}{B^2}) + \frac{1}{1 - \Psi}(\beta_1^2 - \beta_1 - C\beta_1 + D\beta_1 + \frac{\zeta_h}{B^2} - \frac{\xi_h}{B^2}) - (\beta_1 + \alpha_1)A - \alpha_1^2 - 2\alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_1 - \beta_1^2 + \beta_1\right] W_{wlm} = 0.
$$

The power coefficients  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  can be found by solving the following equations

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(1 - C) + \frac{\zeta_h}{B^2} = 0, \quad \beta_1^2 - \beta_1(1 + C - D) + \frac{\zeta_h}{B^2} - \frac{\xi_h}{B^2} = 0. \tag{11}
$$

The corresponding radial equation [\(5\)](#page-6-1) together with Eq.[\(11\)](#page-11-0) leads to

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\Psi(1-\Psi)\frac{d^2W_{wlm}}{d\Psi^2} + (x_1 - (t_1 + y_1 + 1)\Psi)\frac{dW_{wlm}}{d\Psi} - t_1y_1W_{wlm} = 0, \quad (12)
$$

where  $t_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$ ,  $y_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + D - 1$ ,  $x_1 = 2\alpha_1 + C$ . The general solution of Eq.[\(12\)](#page-11-1) in terms of HG function is

$$
(R_{\omega lm})_{nh}(\Psi) = T_1 \Psi^{\alpha_1} (1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} F(t_1, y_1, x_1; \Psi) + T_2 \Psi^{-\alpha_1} (1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} \times F(1 - x_1 + t_1, 1 - x_1 + y_1, 2 - x_1; \Psi),
$$

where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are integration constants and

$$
\alpha_1^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 - C) \pm \sqrt{(1 - C)^2 - 4 \frac{\zeta_h}{B^2}} \right],
$$
  

$$
\beta_1^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 + C - D) \pm \sqrt{(1 - D + C)^2 + 4(\frac{\zeta_h}{B^2} \frac{\zeta_h}{B^2})} \right].
$$

Applying the boundary conditions by which no outgoing mode is observed at  $r \sim r_h$ , therefore, we may take either  $T_1 = 0$ , or  $T_2 = 0$  depending upon the choice of signature of  $\alpha_1$ . Here, we take  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$  with  $T_2 = 0$ . Hence, the final form of the corresponding solution is given as

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
(R_{\omega lm})_{nh}(\Psi) = T_1 \Psi^{\alpha_1} (1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} F(t_1, y_1, x_1; \Psi).
$$
 (13)

Now, we obtain solution of the radial equation at the cosmological horizon. Applying the same procedure, we have

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
\Omega(r) = \frac{f}{r^2} = \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{p^2},\tag{14}
$$

which gives

$$
\frac{d\Omega}{dr} = \frac{(1 - \Omega)F}{r},\tag{15}
$$

where  $F(r) = \frac{-2p^2}{r^2 + p^2}$  $\frac{-2p^2}{r^2+p^2}$ . Using the transformation  $R_{wlm}(\Omega) = \Omega^{\alpha_2} (1-\Omega)^{\beta_2} W_{wlm}(\Omega)$ , in radial equation, it follows that

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\Omega(1 - \Omega) \frac{d^2 W_{wlm}}{d\Omega^2} + (2\alpha_2 + C_\star - (2\alpha_2 + 2\beta_2 + D_\star)\Omega) \frac{dW_{wlm}}{d\Omega} \n+ \left[ (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2 + C_\star \alpha_2 + \frac{\zeta_\star}{F^2}) \frac{1}{\Omega} + (\beta_2^2 - \beta_2 - C_\star \beta_2 + D_\star \beta_2 + \frac{\zeta_\star}{F^2} - \frac{\xi_\star}{F^2}) \right] \times \frac{1}{1 - \Omega} - \alpha_2^2 - 2\alpha_2 \beta_2 - D_\star (\alpha_2 - \beta_2 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2) \Big] W_{wlm} = 0.
$$
\n(16)

The power coefficients  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$  can be obtained by solving the following equations

$$
\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2(1 - C_\star) + \frac{\zeta_\star}{F^2} = 0, \quad \beta_2^2 - \beta_2(1 - D_\star + C_\star) + \frac{\zeta_\star}{F^2} - \frac{\xi_\star}{F^2} = 0.
$$

At cosmological horizon, Eq.[\(16\)](#page-12-0) reduces to the HG differential equation as

$$
\Omega(1-\Omega)\frac{d^2W_{wlm}}{d\Omega^2} + (x_2 - (t_2 + y_2 + 1)\Omega)\frac{dW_{wlm}}{d\Omega} - t_2y_2W_{wlm} = 0, \quad (17)
$$

where  $t_2 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ ,  $y_2 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 + D_{\star} - 1$ ,  $x_2 = 2\alpha_2 + C_{\star}$ . The general solution of HG equation is

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
(R_{wlm})_{fh}(\Omega) = Y_1 \Omega^{\alpha_2} (1 - \Omega)^{\beta_2} F(t_2, y_2, x_2; \Omega) + Y_2 \Omega^{-\alpha_2} (1 - \Omega)^{\beta_2}
$$
  
 
$$
\times F(1 + t_2 - x_2, 1 + y_2 - x_2, 2 - x_2; \Omega), \qquad (18)
$$

where  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are integration constants.

## 4 Matching Regime

We must match  $(R_{wlm})_{nh}(\Psi)$  and  $(R_{wlm})_{fh}(\Omega)$  in the intermediate region of radial coordinate to get the analytical solution for the whole range of  $r$ . Therefore, we first stretch the EH towards the intermediate region by replacing  $\Psi$  by  $1 - \Psi$  in Eq.[\(13\)](#page-11-2) as

$$
(R_{wlm})_{nh}(\Psi) = T_1 \Psi^{\alpha_1} (1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(-t_1 - y_1 + x_1) \Gamma(x_1)}{\Gamma(x_1 - t_1) \Gamma(x_1 - y_1)} F(t_1, y_1, x_1; 1 - \Psi) + (1 - \Psi)^{-t_1 - y_1 + x_1} \frac{\Gamma(x_1) \Gamma(t_1 + y_1 - x_1)}{\Gamma(y_1) \Gamma(t_1)} \right] + K F(x_1 - t_1, x_1 - y_1, 1 - t_1 - y_1 + x_1; 1 - \Psi).
$$

Using Eqs.  $(3)$  in  $(8)$ , we obtain

$$
1 - \Psi = \frac{p^2(2mr - z^2)}{p^2 + r^2}.
$$

The stretched EH for the limiting value  $(r \gg r_h$  and  $\Psi \to 1)$  takes the form

$$
(1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} \simeq \left(\frac{z_{\star}^2 p^2 + \frac{1}{r_h^2}}{p^2 + r^2}\right)^{\beta_1} \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{\beta_1} \Rightarrow (1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} \simeq \left(\frac{z_{\star}^2 p^2 + \frac{1}{r_h^2}}{p^2 + r^2}\right)^{-l} \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{-l},
$$

and

$$
(1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1 + x_1 - t_1 - y_1} \simeq \left( \frac{z_{\star}^2 p^2 + \frac{1}{r_h^2}}{p^2 + r^2} \right)^{-\beta_1 + B - A + 1} \left( \frac{r}{r_h} \right)^{-\beta_1 + C - D + 1}
$$

$$
\simeq \left( \frac{z_{\star}^2 p^2 + \frac{1}{r_h^2}}{p^2 + r^2} \right)^{l+1} \left( \frac{r}{r_h} \right)^{l+1},
$$

where  $z_{\star}^2 = \frac{z^2}{r_{\star}^2}$  $\frac{z^2}{r_h^2}$ . Here we assume that the values of electric and magnetic charges are small. This assumption makes our results valid in the low energy region. Both parts of near horizon BH solution in the intermediate region can be written as

$$
(1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z_{\ast}^2 p^2 + \frac{1}{r_h^2}}{p^2 + r^2} \end{pmatrix}^{-l} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{r_h} \end{pmatrix}^{-l},
$$

$$
(1 - \Psi)^{\beta_1 + x_1 - t_1 - y_1} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z_{\ast}^2 p^2 + \frac{1}{r_h^2}}{p^2 + r^2} \end{pmatrix}^{1+l} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{r_h} \end{pmatrix}^{1+l}
$$

Finally, the solution on the EH is

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
(R_{\omega lm})_{nh}(\Psi) = T_1'(\frac{r}{r_h})^{-l} + T_2'(\frac{r}{r_h})^{l+1},\tag{19}
$$

.

with

$$
T'_{1} = T_{1} \left( \frac{z_{\star}^{2} p^{2} + \frac{1}{r_{h}^{2}}}{p^{2} + r^{2}} \right)^{-l} \frac{\Gamma(-t_{1} - y_{1} + x_{1}) \Gamma(x_{1})}{\Gamma(x_{1} - t_{1}) \Gamma(x_{1} - y_{1})},
$$
  

$$
T'_{2} = T_{2} \left( \frac{z_{\star}^{2} p^{2} + \frac{1}{r_{h}^{2}}}{p^{2} + r^{2}} \right)^{l+1} \frac{\Gamma(x_{1}) \Gamma(t_{1} + y_{1} - x_{1})}{\Gamma(y_{1}) \Gamma(t_{1})}.
$$

Now, we replace the argument  $\Omega$  by  $1 - \Omega$  in Eq.[\(18\)](#page-12-1) for shifting the cosmological horizon to the intermediate region and obtain

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
(R_{wlm})_{fh}(\Omega) = Y_1 \Omega^{\alpha_2} (1 - \Omega)^{\beta_2} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(-t_2 - y_1 + x_1)\Gamma(x_2)}{\Gamma(x_2 - t_2)\Gamma(x_2 - y_2)} F(t_2, y_2, x_2; 1 - \Omega) \right.
$$
  
+
$$
F(x_2 - t_2, x_2 - y_2, 1 - t_2 - y_2 + x_2; 1 - \Omega) \frac{\Gamma(x_2)\Gamma(t_2 + y_2 - x_2)}{\Gamma(y_2)\Gamma(t_2)} \times (1 - \Omega)^{-t_2 - y_2 + x_2} \right] + Y_2 \Psi^{-\alpha_2} (1 - \Omega)^{\beta_2} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(-t_2 - y_2 + x_2)\Gamma(2 - x_2)}{\Gamma(1 - t_2)\Gamma(1 - y_2)} \right.
$$
  

$$
\times F(-x_2 + t_2 + 1, y_2 - x_2 + 1, 2 - x_2; 1 - \Omega) + (1 - \Omega)^{-t_2 - y_2 + x_2} \times \frac{\Gamma(2 - x_2)\Gamma(t_2 + y_2 - x_2)}{\Gamma(1 - t_2)\Gamma(1 - y_2)} F(1 - t_2, 1 - y_2, 1 - t_2 - y_2 + x_1; 1 - \Omega) \right]. (20)
$$

By setting  $\Omega(r_f) \to 0$ , Eq.[\(14\)](#page-11-3) becomes

$$
1 - \Omega = \frac{r}{r_{fh}} \left( \frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_f}{r^3} + \frac{r_{fh}}{r} \right),\tag{21}
$$

which can be written as

$$
(1 - \Omega)^{\beta_2} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_{fh}}\right)^{-l} \left(\frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_{fh}}{r^3} + \frac{r_{fh}}{r}\right)^{-l},
$$

and

$$
(1 - \Omega)^{\beta_2 - t_2 - y_2 + x_2} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_{fh}}\right)^{l+1} \left(\frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_{fh}}{r^3} + \frac{r_{fh}}{r}\right)^{l+1}.
$$

The corresponding Eq.[\(20\)](#page-14-0) turns out to be

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
R_{fh} = (S_1'Y_1 + S_2'Y_2) \left(\frac{r}{r_{fh}}\right)^{-l} + (S_3'Y_1 + S_4'Y_2) \left(\frac{r}{r_{fh}}\right)^{l+1},\tag{22}
$$

where

$$
S'_{1} = \frac{\Gamma(x_{2})\Gamma(x_{2} - t_{2} - y_{2})}{\Gamma(x_{2} - t_{2})\Gamma(x_{2} - y_{2})} \left(\frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_{fh}}{r^{3}} + \frac{r_{fh}}{r}\right)^{-l},
$$
  
\n
$$
S'_{2} = \frac{\Gamma(2 - x_{2})\Gamma(x_{2} - t_{2} - y_{2})}{\Gamma(1 - t_{2})\Gamma(1 - y_{2})} \left(\frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_{fh}}{r^{3}} + \frac{r_{fh}}{r}\right)^{-l},
$$
  
\n
$$
S'_{3} = \frac{\Gamma(x_{2})\Gamma(-x_{2} + t_{2} + y_{2})}{\Gamma(t_{2})\Gamma(y_{2})} \left(\frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_{fh}}{r^{3}} + \frac{r_{fh}}{r}\right)^{l+1},
$$
  
\n
$$
S'_{4} = \frac{\Gamma(2 - x_{2})\Gamma(-x_{2} + t_{2} + y_{2})}{\Gamma(1 - t_{2})\Gamma(1 - y_{2})} \left(\frac{1}{rr_{fh}} - \frac{r_{fh}}{r^{3}} + \frac{r_{f}}{r}\right)^{l+1}.
$$

Comparing the coefficients of solutions [\(19\)](#page-13-0) and [\(22\)](#page-14-1), we obtain

$$
T_1' = S_1'Y_1 + S_2'Y_2, \quad T_2' = S_3'Y_1 + S_4'Y_2,
$$

where

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
Y_1 = \frac{T_1'S_4' - T_2'S_2'}{S_1'S_4' - S_2'S_3'}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{T_1'S_3' - T_2'S_1'}{S_2'S_3' - S_1'S_4'}.
$$
\n
$$
(23)
$$

Here  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  denote the ingoing and out-coming waves. Consequently, using Eq.[\(23\)](#page-15-0) and

$$
|G_{w,l,m}|^2 = 1 - \left|\frac{Y_2}{Y_1}\right|^2,\tag{24}
$$

we have final expression for the GBF as [\[16\]](#page-22-6)

$$
|G_{w,l,m}|^2 = 1 - \left| \frac{T_1'S_3' - T_2'S_1'}{T_1'S_4' - T_2'S_2'} \right|^2.
$$
 (25)

Finally, we obtain an expression of GBF for the non accelerated BH with modified Maxwell electrodynamics. The Hawking radiations passing through the cosmological horizon face the gravitational barrier. Therefore, some of the radiations transmit towards the EH while some reflect back to the cosmological horizon which is due to the relation between frequency and potential. The wave can only cross the barrier when the frequency of the wave is higher than the threshold frequency (the minimum frequency below which a wave cannot cross the barrier).

We plot the graphs of GBF against frequency parameter for  $m, l, q_m$ ,  $q_e, p, \alpha, r$  and  $\gamma$ . Figure 5 shows the relation of GBF with the electric and magnetic charges, i.e., the GBF increases with an increase in electric charge (left panel) and magnetic charge (right panel). This indicates that GBF increases for greater values of electric and magnetic charges which shows that the BH with electromagnetic charge evaporates quickly. Figure 6 indicates that the GBF decreases with an increase in mass of the BH and increases for higher values of the coupling parameter. This shows that the BH with greater mass and lower angular momentum has a lower absorption probability. The graphical behavior of  $\alpha$  and AdS radius is shown in Figure 7 which indicates that the GBF is directly proportional to  $\alpha$  and inversely proportional to AdS radius. It shows that the decrease in  $\alpha$  and increase in AdS radius reduce the



Figure 5: GBF versus  $wr_h$  with  $q_m = 3$  (left) and  $q_e = 3$  (right) for  $m = 5$ ,  $l = 5, p = 1, \alpha = 1, \gamma = 2 \text{ and } r = 1.$ 



Figure 6: GBF versus  $wr_h$  with  $l = 5$  (left) and  $m = 5$  (right) for  $q_m = 3$ ,  $q_e = 3, p = 1, \alpha = 1, \gamma = 2 \text{ and } r = 1.$ 



Figure 7: GBF versus  $wr_h$  with  $p = 1$  (left) and  $\alpha = 1$  (right) for  $m = 5$ ,  $l = 5, q_m = 3, q_e = 3, \gamma = 2 \text{ and } r = 1.$ 

evaporation process. In Figure 8, we observe direct relation of the GBF with radial coordinate which indicates that the BH of larger radius with modified charge in anti-de sitter regime will die sooner.

In the RN AdS BH solution, the charge is modified by a parameter  $\gamma$ whose effects on GBF is discussed as follows.

- Case 1:  $\gamma > 0$  The graph of GBF is given in the left plot of Figure 9 for subluminal case (the speed of modified electromagnetic radiations is less than the speed of light). This indicates that BH evaporates in the presence of non-linear electromagnetic modified charge and greybody factor has an inverse relation with  $\gamma$ . Thus, higher frequency of the Hawking radiations of a BH with electromagnetic radiations having speed less than the speed of light reduces the greybody factor, i.e., evaporation rate.
- Case 2:  $\gamma$  < 0 Here, we consider negative values of  $\gamma$  and plot graph to analyze the behavior of greybody factor. The right plot of Figure 8 shows that BH does not evaporate when the speed of modified electromagnetic radiations is greater than the speed of light. This implies that higher frequency of the Hawking radiations of a BH with electromagnetic radiations having speed greater than the speed of light does



Figure 8: GBF versus  $wr_h$  with  $\gamma = 2$  (left) and  $r = 1$  (right) for  $m = 5$ ,  $l = 5, p = 1, \alpha = 1, q_m = 3 \text{ and } q_e = 3.$ 

not cross the gravitational barrier and BH does not die i.e., evaporation rate becomes zero.

## 5 Final Remarks

In this paper, we have constructed an expression of the GBF for the non accelerated charged modified BH in the AdS region. For this purpose, we have computed a radial equation for the massless scalar field with the help of Klein-Gordon equation. We have then formulated the effective potential by transforming this equation into Schrodinger wave equation. We have analyzed the graphical behavior of effective potential for different values of various parameters against  $\frac{r}{r_h}$ . We have used radial equation to compute exact solutions in the form of HG function at event and cosmological horizons. We have joined them in an intermediate regime to enhance the feasibility of GBF over the entire domain. The main findings of this paper are given as follows.

• The gravitational potential increases with an increase in electromagnetic charge (Figure 1), angular momentum (right panel in Figure 2),  $\alpha$ 



Figure 9: GBF versus  $wr_h$  with  $\gamma > 0$  (left) and  $\gamma < 0$  (right) for  $m = 5$ ,  $l = 5, q_m = 3, q_e = 3, \alpha = 1, p = 1$  and  $r = 1$ .

and  $w$  (left plots of Figure **3-4**). This shows that the modified Maxwell theory reduces the absorption probability of the BH and consequently minimizes the evaporation process.

- The gravitational barrier decreases with the increase in mass (left panel in Figure 2), AdS radius (right panel of Figure 3) and  $\gamma$  (right panel in Figure 4) that increases the emission rate of modified AdS charged BH.
- The height of the GBF increases with an increase in electromagnetic charges (Figure 5), angular momentum (right plot of Figure 6),  $\alpha$  and radial coordinates (left panels of Figure(7-8)). This indicates that GBF increases for greater values of angular momentum, electric and magnetic charges.
- The GBF decreases with an increase in mass (left panel of Figure 6) and AdS radius (right plots in Figure 7). This shows that the emission rate of BH becomes slow for higher values of  $m$  and  $p$ .
- The height of the GBF decreases with an increase in  $\gamma$  (left plot of Figure 9) for subluminal case ( $\gamma > 0$ ). This indicates that the GBF

and evaporation rate of a BH with electromagnetic radiations having speed less than speed of light decreases. The right plot of Figure 9 shows that the BH with electromagnetic radiations having speed less than the speed of light has no absorption probability.

Lai et al [\[46\]](#page-26-2) studied the GBF of the charged AdS BH with Maxwell electrodynamics. We have extended this work for modified charged AdS BH and discussed the effects of physical parameters on GBF. The GBF for a regular de Sitter BH coupled (minimally/non-minimally) with non-linear charge is analyzed in [\[34\]](#page-24-7). We have found that the effective potential of the BH increases with an increase in both the mass and electric charge parameters  $(q_m$  and  $q_e)$ . This increase in the effective potential indicates a reduction in the absorption probability of the BH in an AdS spacetime. In the de Sitter regime, there is an inverse relationship between the potential barrier and the charge parameter. This implies that the charge parameter affects the potential barrier differently in the de Sitter spacetime compared to other scenarios. In the AdS region, angular momentum enhances the emission rate of the BH, while in the de Sitter region, it minimizes the emission rate. For small values of the cosmological constant, the solution for the GBF in de Sitter spacetime remains appropriate even if the distance between the BH horizons is large. Conversely, larger values of the cosmological constant in AdS are appropriate for smaller values of the radial coordinate. The modification under consideration results in a reduction of the BHs emission rate and an increase in its lifespan when compared to the emission behavior in de Sitter spacetime. It is found that the modification reduces the emission rate of the BH and increases its life span as compared to the de Sitter spacetime. The GBF can be used to measure the evaporation rate of a BH as it is the absorption of radiations passing through the gravitational barrier. It would be interesting to find the GBF of a modified charged de Sitter and accelerating charged AdS BH.

Data Availability: No data was used for the research described in this paper.

### <span id="page-20-0"></span>References

[1] S.W. Hawking, Black hole explosions?, Nature, 248 (1974) 30, https://www.nature.com/articles/248030a0; Particle creation by black hole, Commun. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02345020.

- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[2] M.K. Parikh, F. Wilczek, Hawking radiation as tunneling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5042, https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5042.
- [3] R. Kerner, R.B. Mann, Fermions tunnelling from black holes, Class. Quantum Grav. 25 (2008) 095014, https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0264- 9381/25/9/095014/meta.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[4] A. Ejaz, H. Gohar, H. Lin, et al., Quantum tunneling from three-dimensional black holes, Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013) 827, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.015
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[5] L.H. Ford, Quantization of a scalar field in the Kerr spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 2963, https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.2963; J.M. Maldacena, A. Strominger, Black hole greybody factors and D-brane spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 861, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.861; I.R. Klebanov, S.D. Mathur, Black hole greybody factors and absorption of scalars by effective strings, Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 115, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00287-3.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[6] R. Penrose, Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 57, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.57.
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[7] A.D. Sakharov, The initial stage of an expanding universe and the appearance of a nonuniform distribution of matter, Sov. Phys. JETP 22 (1966) 241, https://www.lutecium.fr/mirror/www.jppetit.org/papers/cosmo/Sakharov/1966-JETP.pdf; E.B. Gliner, Algebraic properties of the energy-momentum tensor and vacuum-like states  $o^+$  matter, Sov. Phys. JETP 22 (1966) 378, https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1966JETP...22..378G.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[8] J.M. Bardeen, Proceedings of GR5 (Tiflis, USSR, 1968)174,
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[9] A. Borde, Open and closed universes, initial singularities, and inflation, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 3692, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.3692.
- [10] C. Barrabes, V.P. Frolov, How many new worlds are inside a black hole?, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3215, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.53.3215; M. Mars, M.M. Martin-Prats J.M.M. Senovilla, Models of regular Schwarzschild black holes satisfying weak energy conditions, Class. Quantum Grav. 13 (1996) 51, https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0264-9381/13/5/003/meta
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[11] A. Cabo, Ayon-Beato, About black holes with nontrapping interior, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999) 2013, https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X99001019.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[12] K.A. Bronnikov, Regular magnetic black holes and monopoles from nonlinear electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 044005, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.044005.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[13] I.G. Dymnikova, Spherically symmetric space-time with the regular de Sitter center, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 12 (2003) 1015, https://doi.org/10.1142/S021827180300358X.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[14] S. Hayward, Formation and evaporation of nonsingular black holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 031103, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.031103.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[15] A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, Kerrr black hole: The lord of the string, Phys. Lett. B 688 (2010) 82, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.03.075; C. Bambi, L. Modesto, Rotating regular black holes, Phys. Lett. B 721 (2013) 329, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.025.
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[16] A. Rincon, V. Santos, Greybody factor and quasinormal modes of regular black holes, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 1, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08445-2.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[17] R.A. Konoplya, Quasinormal modes of a small Schwarzschildanti-de Sitter black hole, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 044009, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.044009.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[18] P. Kanti, J. March-Russell, Calculable corrections to brane black hole decay. II. Greybody factors for spin 1/2 and 1, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 104019, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.104019.
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[19] J. Grain, A. Barrau, P., Kanti, Exact results for evaporating black holes in curvature-squared lovelock gravity: Gauss-Bonnet greybody factors, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 104016, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.104016.
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[20] S. Creek, O. Efthimiou, P. Kanti, et al., Greybody factors for brane scalar fields in a rotating black hole background, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 084043, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.084043.
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[21] T. Harmark, J. Natario, R. Schiappa, Greybody factors for ddimensional black holes, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 14 (2010) 727, https://arxiv.org/pdf/0708.0017.pdf.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[22] K. Srinivasan, T. Padmanabhan, Particle production and complex path analysis, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 024007, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.024007.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[23] Q.Q. Jiang, Revisit emission spectrum and entropy quantum of the Reissner–Nordström black hole, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2086, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2086-y.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[24] T. Ngampitipan, P. Boonserm, Bounding the greybody factors for the Reissner–Nordström black holes, J. Phys. 435 (2013) 012027, https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742- 6596/435/1/012027/meta; P. Boonserm, T. Ngampitipan, M. Visser, Bounding the greybody factors for scalar field excitations on the Kerr-Newman spacetime, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2014) 1, https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2014)113.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[25] P. Kanti, T. Pappas, N. Pappas, Greybody factors for scalar fields emitted by a higher–dimensional Schwarzschild– de Sitter black hole, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 124077, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124077.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[26] B. Toshmatov, A. Abdujabbarov, Z. Stuchl´ık, et al., Quasinormal modes of test fields around regular black holes, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 083008, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.083008.
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>[27] Q. Chang, X. Hu, Z. Chang, et al., Study of Decays with QCD Factorization Approach, Adv.in High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016), https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ahep/2016/3863725/.
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>[28] J. Ahmad, K. Saifullah, Greybody factor of scalar fields from black strings, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 885, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5449-6; ibid., Greybody factor of a scalar field from Reissner–Nordström–de Sitter black hole, 78 (2018) 316, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5800-6.
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>[29] M. Sharif, Q. Ama-Tul-Mughani, Greybody factor for a rotating black hole with quintessential energy, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020 (2020) 033E01, https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa004.
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>[30] G. Panotopoulos, A. Rincan, Greybody factors for a nonminimally coupled scalar field in BTZ black hole background, Phys. Lett. B 772 (2017) 523, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.07.014; Greybody factors for a minimally coupled scalar field in a three-dimensional Einsteinpower-Maxwell black hole background, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 085014, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.085014.
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>[31]  $Ovgün$ , A. and Jusufi, K., Quasinormal modes and greybody factors of  $f(R)$  gravity minimally coupled to a cloud of strings in  $2+1$  dimensions, Ann. Phys. 395 (2018) 138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2018.05.013.
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>[32] J. Ahmed, K. Saifullah, Greybody factor of a scalar field from Reissner–Nordström–de Sitter black hole, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 8, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5800-6.
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>[33] A. Rincan, G. Panotopoulos, Greybody factors and quasinormal modes for a nonminimally coupled scalar field in a cloud of strings in (2+1)-dimensional background, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 858, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6352-5.
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>[34] M.S. Ali, A.N. Kumara, K. Hegde, et al., Greybody factor for an electrically charged regular-de Sitter black holes in d-dimensions, [arXiv:2105.05730v](http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.05730)1, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2105.05730.
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>[35] P. Kanti, T. Pappas, N. Pappas, Greybody factors for scalar fields emitted by a higher–dimensional Schwarzschild– de Sitter black hole, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 124077,

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124077; B. Gwak, Weak cosmic censorship conjecture in Kerr-(anti-) de Sitter black hole with scalar field, J. High Energy Phys. 2018 (2018) 22, https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)081.

- [36] P.C. Li, C.Y. Zhang, Hawking radiation for scalar fields by Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet–de Sitter black holes, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 024030, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.024030; S. Devi, R. Roy, S. Chakrabarti, Quasinormal modes and greybody factors of the novel four dimensional Gauss–Bonnet black holes in asymptotically de Sitter space time: scalar, electromagnetic and Dirac perturbations, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 760, https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8311-1.
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>[37] R. Gregory, I.G. Moss, N. Oshita, et al.,Black hole evaporation in de Sitter space, Class. Quantum Grav. 38 (2021) 185005; E. Eslamzadeh, J.T. Firouzjaee, K. Nozari, Radiation from Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet de Sitter black hole via tunneling process, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 75, https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1361-6382/ac1a68/meta.
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>[38] M. Sharif, A. Raza, Greybody factor for a static spherically symmetric black hole with non-linear electrodynamics, Ann. Phys. 442 (2022) 168920, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2022.168920.
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>[39] M. Born, L. Infeld, On the quantum theory of the electromagnetic field, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 143 (1934) 410, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rspa.1934.0010.
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>[40] A.E. Beato, A. Garcia, New regular black hole solution from nonlinear electrodynamics, Phys. Lett. B 464 (1999) 25, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01038-2.
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>[41] L. Motl, A. Neitzke, Asymptotic black hole quasinormal frequencies, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 (2003) 307, https://arxiv.org/pdf/hepth/0301173.pdf.
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>[42] J. Barrientos, A. Cisterna, D. Kubizňák, et al., Accelerated black holes beyond Maxwell electrodynamics, Phys. Lett. B 834 (2022) 137447, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137447.
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>[43] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, D. Sorokin, et al., Nonlinear dualityinvariant conformal extension of Maxwell equations, Phys. Rev. D

102 (2020) 121703, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.121703; B.V. Kosyakov, Nonlinear electrodynamics with the maximum allowable symmetries, Phys. Lett. B 810 (2020) 135840, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135840.

- <span id="page-26-1"></span><span id="page-26-0"></span>[44] C. Flammer, C.: Spheroidal Wave Functions (Stanford University Press, 1957),
- [45] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, M. Casals, Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of spinweighted spheroidal harmonics in four and higher dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 024013, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.024013.
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[46] X.J. Lai, X. X. Zeng, S. Z. Yang, Fermions Tunnelling from the Reissner-Nordström-Anti-de Sitter black hole, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 47 (2008) 2576, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-008-9691-4.