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#### Abstract

This study delves into the exploration of the limiting shape theorem for subadditive processes on finitely generated groups with polynomial growth, commonly referred to as virtually nilpotent groups. Investigating the algebraic structures underlying these processes, we present a generalized form of the asymptotic shape theorem within this framework. Extending subadditive ergodic theory in this context, we consider processes which exhibit both at most and at least linear random growth. We conclude with applications and illustrative examples.


## 1. Introduction

The investigation of the asymptotic shape for subadditive processes on groups with polynomial growth, often synonymous with virtually nilpotent groups, has recently gained significant attention in the mathematical community. This is in part due to the fact that the usage of subadditive ergodic theorems for the limiting shape relies on vertex-transitive properties that are natural for group actions. Typically, these actions involve translations of the underlying space, providing motivation for the investigation of random processes defined on groups. Our study brings to light the algebraic structures inherent in a class of subadditive processes, offering a generalization beyond the fundamental settings of previously studied models.

The findings presented in this paper hold the potential to deepen our comprehension of various mathematical and scientific phenomena. For instance, they could be instrumental in exploring the geometry of random surfaces or modeling the propagation of information or diseases through networks. The techniques used in this paper could also be applied to other types of random processes on graphs or manifolds.

Benjamini and Tessera [4] were the first to establish an asymptotic shape theorem for First-Passage Percolation (FPP) models on finitely generated groups of subexponential growth with i.i.d. random variables having finite exponential moments. Recently, Auffinger and Gorski [2] demonstrated a converse result, revealing that a Carnot-Carathéodory metric on the associated graded nilpotent Lie group serves as the scaling limit for certain FPP models on a Cayley graph under specified conditions. Broadening the investigation, Cantrell and Furman [8] explored the $L^{\infty}$ limiting shape for subadditive random processes on groups of polynomial growth. From a probabilistic standpoint, there is considerable

[^0]interest in relaxing the almost-surely bi-Lipschitz condition imposed by $L^{\infty}$. Here, we modify this hypothesis by replacing it with $L^{1}$ conditions and introducing hypotheses for at least and at most linear growth. The implications and applicability of this new result are illustrated through examples presented at the end of the article. Notably, we enhance our previous result from [9] on a limiting shape theorem obtained for the Frog Model, now extended to a broader class of non-abelian groups.

Addressing this challenge is primarily approached through the utilization of techniques from metric geometry and geometric group theory. The existence of the limiting shape can be viewed as an extension of Pansu's theorem to random metrics. The primary strategy involves considering the subadditive cocycle determining a pseudo-quasi-random metric, with the standard case on $\mathbb{Z}^{D}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{D}$ extensively covered in the literature (see, for instance, [5, 6]).

We describe the process and the obtained theorem below, more detailed definitions can be found in the next section.

Basic description and main results. Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $(\Gamma,$.$) a finitely generated group with polynomial growth rate. Set \vartheta: \Gamma \curvearrowright(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to be a $\mathbb{P}$-preserving (p.m.p.) ergodic group action. Consider the family $\{c(x)\}_{x \in \Gamma}$ of non-negative random variables such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x y) \leq c(y)+c(x) \circ \vartheta_{y} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $c(x, \omega)$ for $c(x)(\omega)$ and let $z \cdot \omega:=\vartheta_{z}(\omega)$. A function $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying (1.1) is referred to as a subadditive cocycle. Once given a subadditive cocycle $c$, there is a correspondent random pseudo-quasi metric $d_{\omega}$ defined by

$$
d_{z \cdot \omega}(x, y):=\left(c\left(y x^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x}\right)(z \cdot \omega)
$$

which is $\Gamma$-right equivariant, i.e., for all $x, y, z \in \Gamma$, and for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$
d_{\omega}(x, y)=d_{z \cdot \omega}\left(x z^{-1}, y z^{-1}\right)
$$

The correspondence is one-to-one since given a $\Gamma$-right equivariant random pseudoquasimetric $d_{\omega}$, one can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x, \omega):=d_{\omega}(e, x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a subadditive cocycle.
To avoid dealing with unnecessary technicalities, we initially consider $\Gamma$ as the group of polynomial growth, which is nilpotent and torsion-free. Later, we address the more general case where $\Gamma$ is virtually nilpotent. The essential definitions and notation are introduced as we proceed with the text. The group will be associated with a finite symmetric generating set $S \subseteq \Gamma$. We write $\|-\|_{S}$ and $d_{S}$ for a word length and a word metric, respectively. The following conditions will be needed throughout the paper. We assume the existence of $\beta>0$ and $\kappa>1$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(c(x) \geq t) \leq g(t) \quad \text { for all } t>\beta\|x\|_{S} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(t) \in \mathcal{O}\left(1 / t^{2 D+\kappa}\right)$ as $t \uparrow+\infty$.
Let $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ be the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma$ and set $\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}:=\inf _{y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]}\|y\|_{S}$. Suppose that there exists $a>0$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ there is a sequence
$\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers depending on $x[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ with $\lim _{j \uparrow+\infty} n_{j}=+\infty$ and, for all $y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|y^{n_{j}}\right\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[c\left(y^{n_{j}}\right)\right] \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that the process grows at least linearly when condition (I) is satisfied. Condition (II) provides a lower bound for the norm of the rescaled process $\phi$, which will be defined later.

To obtain the asymptotic result, we will introduce an innerness assumption. Specifically, for each $\varepsilon>0$, we require the existence of a finite generating set $F(\varepsilon) \subseteq \Gamma \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ such that, for $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$ and for every $x \in \Gamma$, we can write $x=z_{n} z_{n-1} \ldots z_{1}$ with $z_{n}, z_{n-1}, \ldots, z_{1} \in F(\varepsilon)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\left(z_{i}, z_{i-1} \ldots z_{1} \cdot \omega\right) \leq(1+\varepsilon) c(x, \omega) \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

When considering First-Passage Percolation models where $S \subseteq \Gamma \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]$, condition (III) is automatically fulfilled (see Section 2.5). Additionally, in the case where $\Gamma$ is abelian, we can eliminate the need for hypothesis (iii) in the main theorem altogether.

Theorem 1.1 (Limiting Shape for Torsion-Free Nilpotent Groups). Let ( $\Gamma,$. ) be a torsion-free nilpotent finitely generated group with polynomial growth rate $D \geq 1$ and torsion-free abelianization. Consider $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to be a subadditive cocycle associated with $d_{\omega}$ and a p.m.p. ergodic group action $\vartheta$.

Suppose that conditions (I), (II), and (III) are satisfied for a finite symmetric generating set $S \subseteq \Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n} d_{\omega}, e\right) \xrightarrow{G H}\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\phi}, e\right) \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. } \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\infty}$ is a simply connected graded Lie group, and $d_{\phi}$ is a quasimetric homogeneous with respect to a family of homotheties $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$. Moreover, $d_{\phi}$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $d_{\infty}$ on $G_{\infty}$.

In addition, if $\Gamma$ is abelian, then (1.3) remains true even when condition (III) is not valid.

The limit space $G_{\infty}$ is also known as a Carnot group and $d_{\infty}$ coincides with the Carnot-Carathéodory metric obtained by the asymptotic cone of $\Gamma$ as the limit of $\frac{1}{n} d_{S}$. More details about its construction and properties will be given in Section 2 along with the definitions of $\delta_{t}$ and $d_{\phi}$. The usage of the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence arises naturally from its correspondence with geometric group theory.

Let now $(\Gamma,$.$) be a finitely generated group with polynomial growth rate.$ Gromov's Theorem [15] establishes the equivalence of polynomial growth and virtual nilpotency in finitely generated groups. Then there exists a normal nilpotent subgroup $N \unlhd \Gamma$ with finite index $\kappa:=[\Gamma: N]<+\infty$. Set tor $N$ to be the torsion subgroup of $N$ and define

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}:=N / \text { tor } N
$$

Pansu [16] showed that $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ share the same asymptotic cone. Let us fix $z_{(j)}$ as a representative of the coset $N_{(j)}=z_{(j)} N$ such that $\Gamma=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\kappa} N_{(j)}$. Consider $z_{(j)}=e$ when $N_{(j)}=N$. Set $\pi_{N}: \Gamma \rightarrow N$ to be given by $\pi_{N}(x)=z_{(j)}^{-1} x$ for
$x \in N_{(j)}$. Define now $\llbracket-\rrbracket: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ to be given by

$$
\llbracket x \rrbracket:=\pi_{N}(x) \text {. tor } N
$$

To refine the first main theorem, let us introduce some new conditions. Suppose that there exists $a>0$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$ there is a sequence $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers depending on $\llbracket x \rrbracket \cdot\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ with $n_{j} \uparrow+\infty$ as $j \uparrow+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|x^{n_{j}}\right\|_{S} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[c\left(x^{n_{j}}\right)\right] . \tag{II'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket):=\max _{\substack{y \in \llbracket x \rrbracket \\ z \in \operatorname{tor} N}} c(y) \circ \vartheta_{z} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix, for each $\llbracket x \rrbracket \in \Gamma^{\prime}$, a $v_{x} \in \llbracket x \rrbracket$ and consider $\theta: \Gamma^{\prime} \curvearrowright(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ given by $\theta_{\llbracket x \rrbracket} \equiv \vartheta_{v_{x}}$ and $\theta_{z}(\omega)=z * \omega$ (see Sec. 3.3 and Remark 5 for a detailed discussion). We consider a similar innerness assumption to replace (iiI). Suppose that, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a finite $F(\varepsilon) \subseteq N \backslash[N, N]$ which is a generating set of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for every $x \in \Gamma$, one can write $\llbracket x \rrbracket=z_{n} z_{n-1} \ldots z_{1}$ with $z_{n}, z_{n-1}, \ldots, z_{1} \in F(\varepsilon)$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c^{\prime}\left(z_{i}, z_{i-1} \ldots z_{1} * \omega\right) \leq(1+\varepsilon) c^{\prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket, \omega)
$$

Similar to (III), First-Passage Percolation models satisfy ( III $^{\prime}$ ) under specific conditions. In the case where $\Gamma=N$ is nilpotent, it suffices to have $S \subseteq$ $N \backslash([N, N] \cup \operatorname{tor} N)$ for an FPP model to satisfy ( $\mathrm{III}^{\prime}$ ). The virtually nilpotent case is treated separately in Section 3.4 with additional conditions imposed on $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and $\vartheta$. Moreover, when $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is abelian, hypothesis (III') is not required to verify the theorem below.

Theorem 1.2 (Limiting Shape for Groups with Polynomial Growth). Let ( $\Gamma,$. ) be a finitely generated group with polynomial growth rate $D \geq 1$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} /\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ torsion-free. Consider $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to be a subadditive cocycle associated with $d_{\omega}$ and a p.m.p. ergodic group action $\vartheta$.

Suppose that conditions (I), ( $\mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ), and ( $\mathrm{III}^{\prime}$ ) are satisfied for a finite symmetric generating set $S \subseteq \Gamma$ is so that $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ generates $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n} d_{\omega}, e\right) \xrightarrow{G H}\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\phi}, e\right) \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\infty}$ is a simply connected graded Lie group, and $d_{\phi}$ is a quasimetric homogeneous with respect to a family of homotheties $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$. Moreover, $d_{\phi}$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $d_{\infty}$ on $G_{\infty}$.

Furthermore, if $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is abelian, then (1.5) remains true even when condition (III') is not valid.

The primary technique employed in this work involves the approximation of admissible curves through the use of polygonal paths and ergodic theory. In Section 3, we introduce and delve into these tools, presenting their application in proving the theorems and a corollary for FPP models. Section 4 showcases examples dedicated to illustrating the applicability of the theorems.

## 2. Auxiliary Theory and Methodological Framework

In this section, we delve into the fundamental concepts of geometric group theory, a field that provides tools to comprehend the relationship between algebraic properties and geometric structures. We begin by establishing the basic definitions that serve as the cornerstone for our exploration. Central to our analysis is the construction of the asymptotic cone, a powerful tool that reveals the geometric behavior of groups at infinity. To illustrate the versatility of our framework, we present concrete examples of groups that satisfy the conditions under consideration.

A key focus of our investigation lies in the construction of the norm in $G_{\infty}$, providing the foundation for defining the limiting shape. We explore crucial results and properties in the following subsections. For an in-depth discussion on this topic, we refer interested readers to $[7,11,10,17]$. This construction leverages subadditive ergodic theorems, revealing the asymptotic behavior of sequences in the group. Through this lens, we gain a deeper understanding of the interplay between algebraic properties and geometric structures.

Building on these concepts, we introduce and elaborate on First-Passage Percolation (FPP) models, serving as a suitable example for a comprehensive exploration of limiting shapes and their implications.
2.1. Cayley graphs and volume growth. The interplay among finitely generated groups, Cayley graphs, word metrics, and the convergence of metric spaces establishes a bridge between the algebraic properties of groups and geometric structures.

Let $(\Gamma,$.$) be a group generated by a finite set S$. The associated Cayley graph $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ represents elements of $G$ as vertices, with edges connecting $x$ and $y$ if and only if $y=s x$ for some $s \in S$. Formally, the right-invariant Cayley graph $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)=(V, E)$ is defined by

$$
V=\Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad E=\{\{x, s x\}: x \in \Gamma, s \in S\}
$$

Cayley graphs provide a visual representation of the group structure and are fundamental in the study of geometric group theory.

Denote by $u \sim v$ the relation $\{u, v\} \in E$. Let $\mathscr{P}(x, y)$ be the set of self-avoiding paths from $x$ to $y$, where each $\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(x, y)$ follows $\gamma=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{i} \sim x_{i+1}, x_{0}=x, x_{m}=y$, and $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$. We write e $\in \gamma$ for $\mathrm{e}=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \in E$, and $|\gamma|=m$ represents the length of the path.

The word length on $\Gamma$ with respect to $S$ is defined as follows: For any $x \in \Gamma$, the length of the shortest word (or self-avoiding path) in $S$ that represents $x$ is its word length, denoted by $\|x\|_{S}=\inf _{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(e, x)}|\gamma|$. The word metric $d_{S}$ on $\Gamma$ is given by $d_{S}(x, y)=\left\|y x^{-1}\right\|_{S}$.

Throughout this article, various distinct metrics will be considered. Therefore, let us consider a (semi-pseudo-quasi) metric $d_{\diamond}$ on a non-empty set $\mathbb{X}$, where the metric is indexed by $\diamond$. We define $B_{\diamond}(x, r):=\left\{y \in \mathbb{X}: d_{\diamond}(x, y)<r\right\}$ as the open $d_{\diamond}$-ball centered at $x \in \mathbb{X}$. To streamline notation, let $t \vee t^{\prime}:=\max \left\{t, t^{\prime}\right\}$ and $t \wedge t^{\prime}:=\min \left\{t, t^{\prime}\right\}$. Here, the set $\mathbb{N}$ stands for $\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.

A finitely generated group $\Gamma$ has polynomial growth with respect to $S$ when $\left|B_{S}(e, r)\right| \in \mathcal{O}\left(n^{D^{\prime}}\right)$ for a $D^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$. The growth is associated with the Cayley graph $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$. The polynomial growth rate of $\Gamma$ is a constant $D \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such
that there exists $\mathrm{k} \in(1,+\infty)$ for all $r>1$ satisfying

$$
\mathrm{k}^{-1} r^{D} \leq\left|B_{S}(e, r)\right| \leq \mathrm{k} r^{D}
$$

Thus $D=\min \left\{D^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:\left|B_{S}(e, r)\right| \in \mathcal{O}\left(n^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right\}$. Moreover, one can verify that the polynomial growth rate of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ does not depend on the choice of $S$.

Recall the definition of the commutator element $[x, y]=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ and the subgroup $[U, V]:=\langle[u, v]: u \in U, v \in V\rangle$ for any $U, V \subseteq \Gamma$. Set $\Gamma_{0}:=\Gamma$ and let $\Gamma_{n}:=\left[\Gamma, \Gamma_{n-1}\right]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a lower central series with $\Gamma_{n} \unlhd \Gamma_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The group $\Gamma$ is called nilpotent when there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_{n}=\{e\}$, i.e., when the sequence stabilizes in the trivial group. More specifically, $\Gamma$ is nilpotent of class $n$ when $n$ is the minimal value such that $\Gamma_{n}$ is the trivial group. A group is abelian if and only if it is nilpotent of class 1. The abelianization of a group $\Gamma$ is given by $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \Gamma /[\Gamma, \Gamma]$.

The group $\Gamma$ is called virtually nilpotent when there exists a normal subgroup $N \unlhd \Gamma$ that is nilpotent with finite index $\kappa=[\Gamma: N]<+\infty$. A noteworthy result obtained by Gromov [15] is that a finitely generated group has polynomial growth exactly when it is virtually nilpotent. Therefore, the growth established by word metrics is strongly related to algebraic properties of the group.

The torsion subgroup of a group $H$ is denoted by tor $H$ and it is defined as the set of all elements with finite order. In other words, tor $H:=\left\langle h \in H: \exists n \in \mathbb{N}\left(h^{n}=e\right)\right\rangle$. The group $H$ is called torsion-free when tor $H$ is the trivial group.

Let $[U]_{\varepsilon}$ to be the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $U \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ of in a metric space $\left(\mathbb{X}, d_{\diamond}\right)$, i.e., the set $[U]_{\varepsilon}=\bigcup_{u \in U} B_{\diamond}(e, \varepsilon)$. The Hausdorff distance $d_{H}$ detects the largest variations between sets with respect to the given metric

$$
d_{H}(U, V):=\inf \left\{\varepsilon>0: U \subseteq[V]_{\varepsilon} \text { and } V \subseteq[U]_{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

We define the convergence of metric spaces used in the main theorems employing the Hausdorff distance. Let $\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}, d_{\diamond_{n}}, o_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of centered, locally compact metric spaces. Consider $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as a family of isometric embeddings $\psi_{n}:\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}, d_{\diamond_{n}}, o_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{X}, d_{\diamond}, o\right)$.

The pointed Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of $\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}, d_{\diamond_{n}}, o_{n}\right)$ to ( $\mathbb{X}, d_{\diamond}, o$ ) is denoted by

$$
\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}, d_{\diamond_{n}}, o_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{GH}}\left(\mathbb{X}, d_{\diamond}, o\right)
$$

and it implies, for all $r>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} d_{H}\left(\psi_{n}\left(B_{\diamond_{n}}\left(o_{n}, r\right)\right), B_{\diamond}(o, r)\right)=0
$$

The definitions above are immediately extended to random semi-pseudo-quasi metrics, as employed in the main theorems. The assumption of almost sure local compactness is also maintained. We are now prepared to present Pansu's theorem on the convergence of finitely generated virtually nilpotent groups.

Theorem 2.1 (Pansu [16]). Let $\Gamma$ be a virtually nilpotent group generated by a symmetric and finite $S \subseteq \Gamma$. Then

$$
\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n} d_{S}, e\right) \xrightarrow{G H}\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, e\right),
$$

where $G_{\infty}$ is a simply connected real graded Lie group (Carnot group). The metric $d_{\infty}$ is a right-invariant sub-Riemannian (Carnot-Caratheodory) metric
which is homogeneous with respect to a family of homotheties $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$, i.e., $d_{\infty}\left(\delta_{t}(g), \delta_{t}(h)\right)=t d_{\infty}(g, h)$ for all $t>0$ and $g, h \in G_{\infty}$.

Note that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are generalizations of the theorem above. Therefore, the shape theorems under investigation can be interpreted as the convergence of random metric spaces in large-scale geometry. The next subsection is dedicated to the construction of the asymptotic cone $G_{\infty}$ and related results.
2.2. Rescaled distance and asymptotic cone. Consider for now $\Gamma$ as a nilpotent and torsion-free group, unless stated otherwise. We also assume that its abelianization is torsion-free. In this subsection, we use $\Gamma$ instead of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ to simplify notation, but we will subsequently extend the results to the more general case.

Let $G$ denote the real Mal'cev completion of $\Gamma$. The group $G$ can be defined as the smallest simply connected real Lie group such that $\Gamma \leq G$ and, for all $z \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $z \in G$ with $z^{n}=z$. In this case, $G$ is nilpotent of the same order of $\Gamma$ and it is uniquely defined. Furthermore, $G$ is simply connected it is associated with the Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot, \cdot]_{1}\right)$ where $\Gamma$ is cocompact in $G$. We write $\log : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for the Lie logarithm map.

Define $\mathfrak{g}^{1}:=\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{i+1}:=\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{i}\right]_{1}$. It follows from the nilpotency of $\Gamma$ that threre exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_{l}=\{e\}$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}^{l+1}=(0)$. Since $\left[\mathfrak{g}^{i}, \mathfrak{g}^{j}\right]_{1} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{i+j}$ and, in particular, $\left[\mathfrak{g}^{i+1}, \mathfrak{g}^{j}\right]_{1},\left[\mathfrak{g}^{i}, \mathfrak{g}^{j+1}\right]_{1} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{i+j+1}$, the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ determines a bilinear map

$$
\left(\mathfrak{g}^{i} / \mathfrak{g}^{i+1}\right) \otimes\left(\mathfrak{g}^{j} / \mathfrak{g}^{j+1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{i+j} / \mathfrak{g}^{i+j+1}
$$

which in turn defines a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\infty}$ on

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}:=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{v}_{i} \text { with } \mathfrak{v}_{i}:=\mathfrak{g}^{i} / \mathfrak{g}^{i+1}
$$

Consider the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{l}$ given by $\mathfrak{g}^{i}:=V_{i} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{l}$. Thus, $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\infty},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\infty}\right)$ is a graded Lie algebra. Let us define a family of linear maps $\delta_{t}: \mathfrak{g}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ given by

$$
\delta_{t}\left(v_{1}+v_{2}+\cdots+v_{l}\right)=t v_{1}+t^{2} v_{2}+\cdots+t^{l} v_{l}
$$

for each $t>0$ and $v_{i} \in \mathfrak{v}_{i}$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$. It follows from the definition of $\delta_{t}$ that $\delta_{t}\left([u, v]_{\infty}\right)=\left[\delta_{t}(u), \delta_{t}(v)\right]_{\infty}$ and $\delta_{t t^{\prime}}=\delta_{t} \circ \delta_{t^{\prime}}$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ and $t, t^{\prime}>0$. Hence, $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ defines a family of automorphisms in the graded Lie group $G_{\infty}:=\exp _{\infty}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right]$. Here we write $\exp _{\infty}: \mathfrak{g}_{\infty} \rightarrow G_{\infty}$ and $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ to differentiate the distinct exponential maps of $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, $\log _{\infty}$ and $\log$ stand for their correspondent Lie logarithm maps.

Let $\mathfrak{g}=V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{l}$ be the decomposition given by $\mathfrak{g}^{i}=V_{i} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{l}$. Set $L: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ to be an linear map such that $L\left(V_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{v}_{i}$. Consider now $\sigma_{t}$ to be the linear automorphism on $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\sigma_{t}\left(v_{i}\right)=t^{i} v_{i}$ for each $v_{i} \in V_{i}$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$. Define the Lie brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_{t}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$
[v, w]_{t}=\sigma_{1 / t}\left(\left[\sigma_{t}(v), \sigma_{t}(w)\right]\right), \text { for all } t>0
$$

thus $\left(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot, \cdot]_{t}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot, \cdot]_{1}\right)$ via $\sigma_{t}$. Furthermore,

$$
[L(v), L(w)]_{\infty}=\lim _{t \uparrow+\infty}[v, w]_{t}
$$

since, given $v \in V_{i}$ and $w \in V_{j}$, one has that the main term belongs to $V_{i+j}$, the other terms of superior order belong to $V_{i+j+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{l}$ and it makes them insignificant in the rescaled limit (see [7, 16] for a detailed discussion). Set

$$
\frac{1}{t_{n}} \bullet x_{n}:=\left(\exp _{\infty} \circ L \circ \sigma_{1 / t_{n}} \circ \log \right)\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

The convergence established by Theorem 2.1 determines the metric $d_{\infty}$ such that

$$
\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n} d_{S}, e\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{GH}}\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, e\right) .
$$

Hence, $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \bullet x_{n}=g$ exactly when $\frac{1}{t_{n}} \bullet x_{n}$ converges to $g$ in $\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\infty}\right)$. The corresponding metric statement shows that, given sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\Gamma$, and $t_{n} \uparrow+\infty$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$ with $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \bullet x_{n}=g$ and $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{n}^{\prime}=g^{\prime}$,

$$
d_{\infty}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} d_{S}\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The abelianized Lie algebras are defined by $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\text {ab }}:=\mathfrak{g}_{\infty} /\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}, \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right]_{\infty} \cong \mathfrak{v}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\text {ab }}:=\mathfrak{g} /[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{1}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}^{\text {ab }} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\text {ab }}$. By the Frobenius integrability criterion, the integrable curves in $G_{\infty}$, the tangent vectors must belong to $\mathfrak{v}_{1}$ at each point of the curve. An admissible (or curve) in $G_{\infty}$ is a Lipschitz curve $\gamma:\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow G_{\infty}$ such that the tangent vector $\gamma^{\prime}(t) \in \mathfrak{v}_{1}$ for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Let $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\text {ab }} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a norm in the abelianized algebra. Then the $\ell_{\phi}$-length of the admissible $\gamma$ is

$$
\ell_{\phi}(\gamma):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \phi\left(\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
$$

Set $d_{\phi}$ to be the inner metric of the length space $\left(G, \ell_{\phi}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\phi}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right):=\inf \left\{\ell_{\phi}(\gamma): \gamma \text { is an admissible curve from } g \text { to } g^{\prime} \text { in } G_{\infty}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the construction of $d_{\phi}$ can be employed to define $d_{\infty}$. The bi-Lipschitz property is a consequence of the results in Section 2.4. One can also verify that the metric $d_{\infty}$ is right-invariant and homogeneous with respect to $\delta_{t}$. Let us define the projections

$$
\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{ab}} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{\infty}: \mathfrak{g}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}_{1} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

so that, if $v=\sum_{i=1}^{l} v_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ with $v_{i} \in \mathfrak{v}_{\mathfrak{i}}$, then $\pi_{\infty}(v)=v_{1}$ and $\pi=$ $L^{-1} \circ \pi_{\infty} \circ L$. The next lemma compiles several well-known results that will be employed throughout the text. We state the results and their proofs can be found in $[8,10,16]$.

Lemma 2.2. Consider $\Gamma$ a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group, then all of the following hold true:
(i) Let $g \in G_{\infty}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot x_{n}=g
$$

(ii) Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma, g, h \in G_{\infty}$, and $t_{n} \uparrow+\infty$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$ be such that $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{n}=g$ and $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot y_{n}=h$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{n} y_{n}=g h
$$

(iii) Let $x \in \Gamma$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \bullet x^{n} & =\left(\exp _{\infty} \circ L \circ \pi \circ \log \right)(x) \\
& =\left(\exp _{\infty} \circ \pi_{\infty} \circ L \circ \log \right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. The conditions imposed on $\Gamma$ might appear somewhat restrictive. However, we will subsequently regain many properties by making necessary adjustments for virtually nilpotent $\Gamma$ through the quotient $\Gamma^{\prime}=N /$ tor $N$ (see Section 3.3).

The item (iii) in Lemma 2.2 has direct implications for the application of subadditive ergodic theorems. To address this constraint, we overcome it by approximating the lengths using polygonal curves. We present, without proof, Lemma 3.7 from [8], which will be employed in the approximation.

Lemma 2.3. Consider $\Gamma$ nilpotent. Let $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m} \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exist $\xi>0$ and $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, for all $n>\bar{n}$, for all $n_{j} \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\xi \bar{n}\rfloor\}$,

$$
\frac{1}{n} d_{S}\left(y_{m}^{n-n_{m}} y_{m-1}^{n-n_{m-1}} \ldots y_{1}^{n-n_{1}}, y_{m}^{n} y_{m-1}^{n} \ldots y_{1}^{n}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

One standout example that exemplifies several properties presented above is the discrete Heisenberg group. As a prime example of a nilpotent group, it offers valuable insights into the fusion of algebraic structures with geometric phenomena in both geometric group theory and metric geometry.

Example 2.1 (The discrete Heisenberg group). The discrete Heisenberg group can be visualized as a collection of integer lattice points in a three-dimensional space, with a unique group structure derived from matrix multiplication. The nilpotent nature is the key to understand its intricate geometric properties. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and set $H_{3}(R):=\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}): \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in R\}$ to be the set of upper triangular matrices with

$$
(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}):=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{z} \\
0 & 1 & \mathrm{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure 1. A section of the Heisenberg discrete Cayley graph $\mathcal{C}\left(H_{3}(\mathbb{Z}), S\right)$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The Heisenberg group on $R$ is $H_{3}(R)$ with the matrix multiplication. In particular, $H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$ is known as discrete Heisenberg group. Let $\Gamma=H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathrm{X}=(1,0,0), \mathrm{Y}=(0,1,0), \mathrm{Z}=(0,0,1)$, and $S=\left\{\mathrm{X}^{ \pm 1}, \mathrm{Y}^{ \pm 1}\right\}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}) \cdot\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{z}^{\prime}+\mathrm{xy}^{\prime}\right), \\
(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})^{-1} & =(-\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{xy}-\mathrm{z}), \text { and } \\
{\left[(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}),\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)\right] } & =\left(0,0, \mathrm{xy}^{\prime}-\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{m}=(m, 0,0), \quad \mathrm{Y}^{n}=(0, n, 0), \text { and } \quad\left[\mathrm{X}^{m}, \mathrm{Y}^{n}\right]=\mathrm{Z}^{m \cdot n}=(0,0, m \cdot n) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily see that $S$ is a finite generating set of $\Gamma$. Furthermore, $\Gamma_{1}=[\Gamma, \Gamma]=\langle\mathrm{Z}\rangle$ and $\Gamma_{2}=\left[\Gamma, \Gamma_{1}\right]=\{e\}$. Hence, $\Gamma$ is nilpotent of class 2 and $S \subseteq \Gamma \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Consider $\|-\|_{S}$ the word norm of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$
\left\|\mathrm{Z}^{m}\right\|_{S} \in \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{m}) \quad \text { as } m \uparrow+\infty
$$

It highlights how the rescaled norm $\frac{1}{n}\left\|x^{n}\right\|_{S}$ vanishes as $n \uparrow+\infty$ when $x \in[\Gamma, \Gamma]$.
Due to the properties above, one can write $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Note that $S^{\mathrm{ab}}=\{( \pm 1,0),(0, \pm 1)\}$ is a finite generating set of the abelianized group $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}=\Gamma /[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ which yields an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}\left(\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}, S^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ and the square $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ lattice.

By construction of the asymptotic cone, the Mal'cev completion $G$ of $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma^{\prime}$ is the continuous Heisenberg group $H_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ with its associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}$, in this case, $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ and $G \simeq G_{\infty}$. The Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is given by $\mathfrak{h}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{23}\right\}$ with $\left\{e_{i j}: i, j \in\{1,2,3\}\right\}$ the canonical basis of $M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$.

Since for all $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \in \mathfrak{h}$ one has $[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}]_{\infty}=\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{BA} \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{e_{13}\right\}$ by matrix multiplication, it then follows that $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{v}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{v}_{2}$ with $\mathfrak{v}_{1} \simeq \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{e_{12}, e_{23}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathfrak{v}_{1}$.

Let $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{u} \cdot e_{12}+\mathrm{v} \cdot e_{23}+\mathrm{w} \cdot e_{13}$, then $\exp _{\infty}(\mathrm{A})=\left(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{uv}\right)$. Since $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})^{n}=\left(n \mathrm{x}, n \mathrm{y}, n \mathbf{z}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \mathrm{xy}\right)$ one can verify by the procedure defined in this section that $\frac{1}{n} \cdot(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})^{n}=\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{z}-\frac{1}{n} \mathrm{xy}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{xy}\right) \in G_{\infty}$. It implies that, for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})^{n}=\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{xy}\right)=\exp _{\infty}\left(\pi_{\infty}(\log (\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}))\right)
$$

2.3. Some examples of virtually nilpotent groups. In this subsection, our focus shifts to examples of virtually nilpotent groups that can be constructed through direct and outer semidirect products. The discussion of the virtually nilpotent case will be explored more extensively later in the text.

Let L be a nilpotent group and consider $M$ a finite group. Then the direct product

$$
K=\mathrm{L} \times M
$$

is a group with the binary operation given by $(x, m) \cdot\left(y, m^{\prime}\right)=\left(x y, m m^{\prime}\right)$. Note that the commutator is $\left[(x, m),\left(y, m^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left([x, y],\left[m, m^{\prime}\right]\right)$. It follows that, for all $A, A^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{L}$ and $B, B^{\prime} \subseteq M$,

$$
\left[A \times B, A^{\prime} \times B^{\prime}\right]=[A, B] \times\left[A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right]
$$

Hence, $K$ is a nilpotent group if, and only if, $M$ is nilpotent. On the other hand, for all finite group $M, K$ is virtually nilpotent.

Set $S_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $S_{M}$ to be finite symmetric generating sets of L and $M$, respectively.

$$
\left(S_{\mathrm{L}} \times\{e\}\right) \cup\left(\{e\} \times S_{M}\right)
$$

is a finite generating set of $K$. We will consider another useful example of generating set of $K$. Let $S_{\square}^{e}$ stand for $S_{\square} \cup\{e\}$. Then

$$
S=S_{\mathrm{L}} \times S_{M}^{e}
$$

is also a symmetric generating set of $K$. Furthermore, if L is torsion-free, then $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is analog to $S_{\mathrm{L}}$ while $\Gamma^{\prime} \simeq \mathrm{L}$.

Example 2.2. Let $\operatorname{SL}(2,3)$ be the of degree two over a field of three elements determined by

$$
\mathrm{SL}(2,3)=\left\langle\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}: \rho_{1}^{3}=\rho_{2}^{3}=\rho_{3}^{3}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}\right\rangle
$$

A remarkable property of $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$ is that it is the smallest group that is not nilpotent. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{m}=\left\langle\rho_{0}\right\rangle$ the cyclic group with $\rho_{0}^{m}=e$ and consider $H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$ to be the discrete Heisenberg group, as defined in Example 2.1. Set

$$
\Gamma=\left(H_{3}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \times \operatorname{SL}(2,3)
$$

Then $\Gamma$ is virtually nilpotent with $N=H_{3}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{m} \times\{e\} \unlhd \Gamma$ such that $\kappa=[\Gamma: N]=|\mathrm{SL}(2,3)|=24$. Hence, considering this notation:

$$
N \simeq H_{3}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}, \quad \text { tor } N=\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times\{e\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{3} \quad \Gamma^{\prime}=N / \text { tor } N \simeq H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

Let us write $\operatorname{SL}(2,3)=\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{24}$ and fix $z_{(j)}=\left(e, e, z_{j}\right)$ as representatives for each coset in $\Gamma / N$. Thus,

$$
\pi_{N}(x, y, z)=(x, y, e), \text { and } \llbracket(x, y, z) \rrbracket=\{x\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times\{e\} \cong x \in H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

Now, set

$$
S_{H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})}=\left\{\mathrm{X}^{ \pm 1}, \mathrm{Y}^{ \pm 1}\right\}, \quad S_{\mathbb{Z}_{m}}=\left\{\rho_{0}^{ \pm 1}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad S_{\mathrm{SL}(2,3)}=\left\{\rho_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \rho_{2}^{ \pm 1}, \rho_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
S=S_{H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})} \times S_{\mathbb{Z}_{m}}^{e} \times S_{\mathrm{SL}(2,3)}^{e}
$$

is a finite symmetric generating set of $\Gamma$. Moreover, the Cayley graph $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ is homomorphic equivalent to $\mathcal{C}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \llbracket S \rrbracket\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}\left(H_{3}(\mathbb{Z}), S_{H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})}\right)$.

More generally, one can also obtain a virtually nilpotent group by the outer semidirect product. Consider $N$ a nilpotent and $H$ a finite group. Let $\varphi$ be a group homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)$, where $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$ is the automorphism group of $N$. Then the semidirect group is

$$
\Gamma=N \rtimes_{\varphi} H
$$

whose elements are the same of $N \times H$ but the binary operation is characterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x, h) \cdot\left(y, h^{\prime}\right) & =\left(x \varphi_{h}(y), h h^{\prime}\right), \\
(x, h)^{-1} & =\left(\varphi_{h^{-1}}\left(x^{-1}\right), h^{-1}\right), \text { and } \\
{\left[(x, h),\left(y, h^{\prime}\right)\right] } & =\left(x \varphi_{h}(y) \varphi_{h h^{\prime} h^{-1}}\left(x^{-1}\right) \varphi_{\left[h, h^{\prime}\right]}\left(y^{-1}\right),\left[h, h^{\prime}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S_{N}$ and $S_{H}$ be finite symmetric generating sets of $N$ and $H$, respectively. Hence, similarly to the direct product,

$$
\left(S_{N} \times\{e\}\right) \cup\left(\{e\} \times S_{H}\right)
$$

is a finite symmetric generating set of $\Gamma$. Moreover, $S_{N} \times S_{H}^{e}$ is also a finite generating set, but not necessarily symmetric. However,

$$
\left(\bigcup_{h \in H} \varphi_{h}\left(S_{N}\right)\right) \times H
$$

is finite, symmetric, and generates $\Gamma$. The next example illustrates how some properties of the outer semidirect product groups change in comparison to the direct product.

Example 2.3 (Generalized dihedral group). Let ( $N,+$ ) be a finitely generated abelian group with polynomial growth rate $D \geq 1$ and ( $\mathbb{Z}_{2},+$ ) with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$. Fix $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ such that $\varphi_{0}=i d$ and $\varphi_{1}=-i d$. The generalized virtually nilpotent diheral group is

$$
\operatorname{Dih}(N):=N \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_{2} .
$$

Consider $\Gamma=\operatorname{Dih}(N)$, then for all $(x, r),\left(y, r^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x, r) \cdot\left(y, r^{\prime}\right) & =\left(x+\varphi_{r}(y), r+r^{\prime}\right), \\
(x, r)^{-1} & =\left((-1)^{r+1} x, r\right) \\
{\left[(x, r),\left(y, r^{\prime}\right)\right] } & =\left(\left(1-(-1)^{r^{\prime}}\right) x-\left(1-(-1)^{r}\right) y, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\Gamma$ is non-abelian and $\Gamma_{1}=[\Gamma, \Gamma]=2 N \times\{0\}$. One can easily verify that all elements of $\Gamma_{2}=\left[\Gamma, \Gamma_{1}\right]$ are

$$
[(x, r),(2 y, 0)]=\left(2\left((-1)^{r}-1\right) y, 0\right) .
$$

Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\Gamma_{n} \simeq 2^{n} N$. We can conclude that $\Gamma$ is not nilpotent while it is virtually nilpotent since $N \unlhd \Gamma$.
2.4. Establishing a Candidate for the Limiting Shape. From this point until Section 3.2, let us once again regard $\Gamma$ as a torsion-free nilpotent group. Our objective is to characterize the limiting shape using a norm that defines a metric in $G_{\infty}$. In this section, we achieve the desired norm through the application of a subadditive ergodic theorem. The convergence is not directly established as uniform convergence in $\mathbb{R}^{D}$ because of the constraints imposed by admissible curves.

Set $\bar{c}(x):=\mathbb{E}[c(x)]$, due to the subadditivity of the cocycle

$$
\bar{c}(x y) \leq \bar{c}(y)+\bar{c}(x),
$$

for all $x, y \in \Gamma$. Thus $\bar{c}(x) \leq b\|x\|_{S}$ with $b=\max _{s \in S}\{\bar{c}(s)\}$. It follows from (iI) that there exists a subsequence of $c\left(x^{n}\right) / n$ such that $c\left(x^{n_{j}}\right) / n_{j} \geq a\|x\|_{S}^{\text {ab }} \mathbb{P}$ - $a$.s. for sufficiently large $j$.

Recall that $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}=\Gamma /[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ and consider $x^{\mathrm{ab}}=x[\Gamma, \Gamma]$, To simplify notation, we also use $x^{\text {ab }}$ interchangeably with $\left(\pi_{\infty} \circ L \circ \log \right)(x)$ when it is clear from the context. Let

$$
\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}:=\inf _{y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]}\|y\|_{S} .
$$

Since $\|-\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is discrete, there exists $y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ such that $\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\|y\|_{S}$. Hence, for all $x, y \in \Gamma$, there exist $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ and $y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in y[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ such that

$$
\|x y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left\|x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right\|_{S}, \quad\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{S}, \quad \text { and } \quad\|y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{S} ;
$$

which implies the subadditivity

$$
\|x y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left\|x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right\|_{S} \leq\left\|x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{S} \leq\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{S}+\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{S}=\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}+\|y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}
$$

Now, regarding $\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=0$ whenever $x \in[\Gamma, \Gamma]$, one has for all $x \in[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ and $y \in \Gamma,\|x y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\|y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Let $y=s_{m} \ldots s_{j+1} s_{j} s_{j-1} \ldots s_{1}$ with $s_{j} \in[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Since $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma, \bar{s}_{j}=\left(s_{j-1} \ldots s_{1}\right)^{-1} s_{j}\left(s_{j-1} \ldots s_{1}\right) \in[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is such that $y=s_{m} \ldots s_{j+1} s_{j-1} \ldots s_{1} \bar{s}_{j}$. Hence

$$
\|y\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left\|s_{m} \ldots s_{j+1} s_{j-1} \ldots s_{1}\right\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} .
$$

Therefore, $\|y\|_{S}^{\text {ab }}=\|y\|_{S}=m$ if, and only if, there exists $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m} \subseteq S \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ such that $y=s_{m} \ldots s_{1}$. Observe that $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a topological lattice of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $G^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{v}_{1}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Let $\|-\|$ be an Euclidean norm on $G^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and fix $\bar{a}, \bar{b}>0$ such that
$\bar{a}:=\min \{\|s[\Gamma, \Gamma]\|: s \in S \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]\}$, and $\bar{b}:=\max \{\|s[\Gamma, \Gamma]\|: s \in S \backslash[\Gamma, \Gamma]\}$,
Due to the properties of a normed vector space, one has, for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq\|x[\Gamma, \Gamma]\| \leq \bar{b}\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $f: \Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to be given by

$$
f\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\inf \{\bar{c}(y): y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]\}
$$

It follows immediately from the subadditivity of $\bar{c}$ and the definition of $f$ that

$$
f\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}} y^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq f\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)+f\left(y^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)
$$

We are now able to state the following a subadditive ergodic theorem obtained by Austin [3] and improved by Cantrell and Furman [8].
Proposition 2.4 (Subadditive Ergodic Theorem). Let the subadditive cocycle $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ associated with a p.m.p. ergodic group action $\vartheta: \Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega$ be such that $c(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. Then there exists a unique homogeneous subadditive function $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that, for every $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} c\left(x^{n}\right)=\phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. and in } L^{1} .
$$

Moreover, $\phi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} f\left(n \cdot x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\inf _{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} f\left(n \cdot x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. The function $\phi$ obtained above is naturally associated with the abelianized space considering the well-known fact of the convergence of $\frac{1}{n} \bullet x^{n}$ to the projection of $x$ onto a subspace isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\text {ab }}$. It will allow us to measure distances in $G_{\infty}$ with $\ell_{\phi}$ by considering the rescaling of the subadditive cocyle.

The bi-Lipschitz property established in the following lemma is crucial for the main results.

Lemma 2.5. Let $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a subadditive cocycle under the assumptions of Proposition 2.4. Set $\phi$ as in (2.4). Consider c satisfying (I) and (II). Then there exist $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}>0$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
a^{\prime}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\| \leq \phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq b^{\prime}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\| .
$$

Proof. Observe that condition (I) implies $c \in L^{1}(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Consider $\bar{a}, \bar{b}>0$ as in (2.3) and fix $a^{\prime}:=a / \bar{b}$. By (II), one has

$$
f\left(n_{j} \cdot x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\inf _{y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]} \bar{c}(y) \geq a\left\|x^{n_{j}}\right\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \geq a^{\prime} n_{j}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|
$$

We know by Proposition 2.4 that $\phi$ exists and

$$
\phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \bar{c}\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\lim _{j \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n_{j}} f\left(n_{j} \cdot x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \geq a^{\prime}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\| .
$$

It follows from (I) and subaditivity that there exists $b>0$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
\bar{c}(x) \leq b\|x\|_{S}
$$

Let us fix $b^{\prime}:=b / \bar{a}$, then

$$
\phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \inf _{y \in x^{n}[\Gamma, \Gamma]} \bar{c}(y) \leq b \inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n}\left\|x^{n}\right\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq b^{\prime}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|,
$$

which is our assertion.
Remark 3. The Subadditive Ergodic Theorem guarantees the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. existence of the $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} c\left(x^{n}\right) / n$. By combining this fact with previous assertions and the $L^{1}$ convergence, we obtain the existence of $0<a \leq b<+\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq \bar{c}(x) \leq b\|x\|_{S} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, one has from (2.5) that $a\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq \phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq b\|x\|_{S}$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. Since there exists $y \in x[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ with $\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\|y\|_{S}$ and $x^{\mathrm{ab}}=y^{\mathrm{ab}}$, one has by (2.3)

$$
\frac{a}{\bar{b}}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\| \leq a\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq \phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq b\|x\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} \leq \frac{b}{\bar{a}}\left\|x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|
$$

Recall the definition of $d_{\phi}$ in (2.1). Therefore, there is a bi-Lipschitz relation between $d_{\infty}$ and $d_{\phi}$. We now define $\Phi: G_{\infty} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ by

$$
\Phi(g):=d_{\phi}(e, g)
$$

The next subsection deals with one of the most relevant models to be considered in our context.
2.5. First-Passage Percolation models. Hammersley and Welsh introduced the First-Passage Percolation (FPP) as a mathematical model in 1965 to study the spread of fluid through a porous medium. In FPP models, a graph with random edge weights is considered, where these weights represent the time taken for the fluid to pass through the corresponding edge. These concepts will be revisited in Section 3.4 and illustrated with examples in Section 4.

Let $\mathcal{G}=(V, E)$ be a graph and set $\tau=\{\tau(\mathrm{e})\}_{\mathrm{e} \in E}$ to be a collection of nonnegative random variables. We may regard each $\tau(u, v)$ as random length (also passage time or weight) of an edge $\{u, v\} \in E$. It turns $(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ into a random length space and it motivates the following construction.

The random passage time of a path $\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(x, y)$ is given by $T(\gamma)=\sum_{\mathrm{e} \in \gamma} \tau(\mathrm{e})$. Let us now define the first-passage time of $y$ with the process starting at $x$ by

$$
T(x, y):=\inf _{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(x, y)} T(\gamma)
$$

The random variable $T(x, y)$ is also known as first-hitting time. Observe that $T(x, y)$ is a random intrinsic pseudometric, i.e., $x \neq y$ does not imply in $T(x, y)>0$. We can now consider the group action $\vartheta: \Gamma \curvearrowright(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as a translation such that
$c(x):=T(e, x)$ is a subadditive cocycle (see 1.2) with $\tau(x, s x) \circ \vartheta_{y}=\tau\left(x y^{-1}, s x y^{-1}\right)$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$ when $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$.

By requiring $\vartheta$ to be ergodic, we obtain for the FPP model that, for all $x \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$,

$$
c(s) \circ \vartheta_{x}=\tau(x, s x) \sim \tau(e, s)=c(s)
$$

It also follows that $\tau(e, s) \sim \tau\left(e, s^{-1}\right)$. Therefore, each direction of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ determines a common distribution for its random lengths in a FPP model. Example 4.1 portraits an FPP model with dependend and identically distributed random lengths. While the FPP the random variables of Example 4.2 are independent but not identically distributed.

As passage times are preserved under translation, condition (IiI) is immediately satisfied, as it suffices to consider a geodesic path when $S=F(\varepsilon)$. However, other examples of subadditive interacting particle systems do not exhibit these properties. For instance, the Frog Model (see Example 4.3) can be described by a subadditive cocycle satisfying (I), (II), and (II'). If we denote $\tau(x, s x)=|T(x)-T(s x)|$, then $\tau$ describes the growth of the process, and

$$
\tau(x, s x) \circ \theta_{x} \sim \tau(e, s) \quad \text { while } \quad \tau(x, s x) \nsim \tau(e, s) .
$$

The results and properties highlighted above will be crucial in the study of the asymptotic shape and its applications in the subsequent discussions.

## 3. The Limiting Shape

This section begins by introducing auxiliary results, offering essential tools to be employed in our subsequent analysis. Subsequently, our focus shifts to the proof of the two main theorems. The concluding subsection is dedicated to exploring a corollary specifically tailored for FPP models.
3.1. Approximation of admissible curves along polygonal paths. The proof strategy for the main theorem involves approximating geodesic curves with polygonal paths. Throughout the following discussion, we assume that $c$ is a subadditive cocycle, and $\Gamma$ is finitely generated by the symmetric set $S$ with polynomial growth rate $D \geq 1$. To set the stage, we begin by stating Proposition 3.1 from [8].

Proposition 3.1. Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow G_{\infty}$ be a Lipschitz curve and let $\hat{\varepsilon} \in(0,1)$. Then there exists $k_{0}=k_{0}(\gamma, \hat{\varepsilon})>0$ so that one can find, for all $k>k_{0}\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k} \subseteq \Gamma$, $p>0$ and $n_{0}>0$ such that, for all $n>n_{0}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n p} \cdot y_{j}^{n} y_{j-1}^{n} \ldots y_{1}^{n}, \gamma\left(\frac{j}{k}\right)\right)<\hat{\varepsilon}
$$

Moreover, for $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ a subadditive homogeneous function bi-Lipschitz with respect to $\|-\|$, one has that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p}\left(\phi\left(y_{k}^{a b}\right)+\cdots+\phi\left(y_{1}^{a b}\right)\right)-\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)\right|<\hat{\varepsilon}
$$

The approximation technique outlined in the upcoming proposition will be utilized in the subsequent subsections. It extends the guarantees of the subadditive ergodic theorem for the decomposition of polygonal paths under certain properties.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a torsion-free nilpotent finitely generated group with torsion-free abelianization. Consider $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ a subadditive cocycle associated with an ergodic group action $\vartheta$ satisfying (I). Then for all integer $j>1$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{j} \subseteq \Gamma$,

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} c\left(y_{j}^{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{j-1}^{n} \ldots y_{1}^{n}}=\phi\left(y_{j}^{a b}\right) \quad \mathbb{P}-a . s .
$$

In particular, if we let $\check{\varepsilon} \in(0,1)$, then there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., a random $M_{0}>0$ depending on $\check{\varepsilon}$ and on $\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|y_{i}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|$ such that, for all $n>M_{0}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n} c\left(y_{j}^{n}, y_{j-1}^{n} \ldots y_{1}^{n} \cdot \omega\right)-\phi\left(y_{j}^{a b}\right)\right|<\check{\varepsilon}
$$

Before proving Proposition 3.2 we show the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and consider a subadditive cocycle $c$ that satisfies condition (I). There exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{1}>0$ such that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences in $\Gamma$ satisfying, for a $n_{0}=n_{0}(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n>n_{0}$ :
(i) There exist elements $x, u \in G_{\infty}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{x, u}>0$ such that

$$
d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot x_{n}, x\right)<\varepsilon, \quad d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot u_{n}, u\right)<\varepsilon
$$

and $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{S},\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{S}<\mathrm{c}_{x, u} \cdot n$;
(ii) $d_{S}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \leq n \varepsilon \quad$ and $\quad d_{S}\left(x_{n} u_{n}, y_{n} v_{n}\right) \leq n \varepsilon$.

Then

$$
\left|c\left(x_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{u_{n}}-c\left(y_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}}\right|<2 \beta n \varepsilon
$$

for all $n>\max \left\{n_{0}, M_{1}, \exp \left(\left(2 \mathrm{c}_{x, u}+3\right)^{D}\right)\right\}$.
Proof. Fix $a_{n}:=v_{n} u_{n}^{-1}$ and $b_{n}:=y_{n} v_{n}\left(x_{n} u_{n}\right)^{-1}$. Then $\left\|a_{n}\right\|_{S}=\left\|a_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{S}=$ $d_{S}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ and $\left\|b_{n}\right\|_{S}=\left\|b_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{S}=d_{S}\left(x_{n} u_{n}, y_{n} v_{n}\right)$. Observe that $y_{n}=b_{n} x_{n} a_{n}^{-1}$ and $x_{n}=b_{n}^{-1} y_{n} a_{n}$. We thus obtain the $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely inequalities below:

$$
\begin{align*}
c\left(y_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}} & \leq c\left(b_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{n} u_{n}}+c\left(x_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{u_{n}}+c\left(a_{n}^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}}  \tag{3.1}\\
c\left(x_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{u_{n}} & \leq c\left(b_{n}^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{n} v_{n}}+c\left(y_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}}+c\left(a_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{u_{n}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe now that, by items (i) and (ii), for $n>n_{0}(\varepsilon)$,

$$
x_{n} u_{n}, y_{n} v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{n} \in B_{S}\left(e, 2 \mathbf{c}_{x, u} \cdot n+3 n \varepsilon\right) .
$$

Hence, by combining (3.1) and (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c\left(x_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{u_{v}}-c\left(y_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}}\right| \leq \sup _{\substack{\|y\|_{S} \leq n \varepsilon \\\|z\|_{S} \leq \sqrt[D]{\log (n)} n}}\left\{c(y) \circ \vartheta_{z}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n>\max \left\{n_{0}, \exp \left(\left(2 \mathrm{c}_{x, u}+3\right)^{D}\right)\right\}$. It follows from (I) that there exists $\mathrm{C}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{\substack{\|y\|_{S} \leq n \varepsilon \\\|z\|_{S} \leq \sqrt[D]{\log (n)} n}}\left\{c(y) \circ \vartheta_{z}\right\} \geq \beta n \varepsilon\right) \leq \mathrm{C} n^{2 D} \log (n) g(\beta \varepsilon n) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\log (n) / n^{\kappa}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n>\max \left\{n_{0}, \exp \left(\left(\|x\|_{\infty}+\|u\|_{\infty}+3\right)^{D}\right)\right\}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\log (n)}{n^{\kappa}}=-\zeta^{\prime}(\kappa)<+\infty$ for $\kappa>1$ where $\zeta^{\prime}$ is the derivative of the Riemann zeta function, the proof is completed by applying Borel-Cantelli Lemma to (3.3) and (3.4).
Remark 4. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ are such that $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \bullet x_{n}=x$ and $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot u_{n}=u$ in $\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\infty}\right)$, then item (i) of Lemma 3.3 is immediately satisfied (see Section 2.2).

Using the lemma above, the Proposition 3.2 becomes a straightforward extension of Theorem 3.3 of [8]. The result can be verified by replacing the Parallelogram inequality with Lemma 3.3. To be self-contained, let us first define, for each $E \in \mathscr{F}$, $\omega \in \Omega, x \in \Gamma, \xi>0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\mathbf{N}_{x, n}^{\xi}(E, \omega):=\#\left\{n^{\prime} \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lceil\xi n\rceil-1\}: \vartheta_{x^{n-n^{\prime}}}(\omega) \in E\right\} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Xi^{\star}(E, x, \xi):=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \liminf _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{N}_{x, n}^{\xi}(E, \omega)}{\xi n}>0\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \Xi_{m}^{\star}(E, x, \xi):=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \forall n \geq m\left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}_{x, n}^{\xi}(E, \omega)}{\xi n}>0\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now state Lemma 3.6 of [8] without proof before the proving Proposition 3.2.
Lemma 3.4. Let $x \in \Gamma, \xi>0$, and $E \in \mathscr{F}$. Then, for all $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, there is $m_{0}>0$ such that, for $m>m_{o}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\Xi^{\star}(E, x, \xi)\right) \geq \mathbb{P}(E) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\Xi_{m}^{\star}(E, x, \xi)\right)>\mathbb{P}\left(\Xi^{\star}(E, x, \xi)\right)-\varepsilon
$$

We proceed below with the proof of ergodic subadditive approximation via polygonal paths.
Proof of Proposition 3.2. Consider $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{j} \subseteq \Gamma$ fixed. Let $\xi>0$ and $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ be given by Lemma 2.3 for $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Set $\eta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 j}\right)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large so that, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, j\}$, one has by Proposition 2.4,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{i}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \forall n>m\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} c\left(y_{i}^{n}, \omega\right)-\phi\left(y_{i}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right|<\check{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}\right)>1-\eta
$$

Fix $\mathcal{Y}_{j}:=\mathcal{X}_{j}$ and define inductively $\mathcal{Y}_{i-1}:=\mathcal{X}_{i-1} \cap \Xi_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{i}, y_{i}, \xi\right)$ so that, for each $i \in\{2, \ldots, j\}, m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by Lemma 3.4 satisfying

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\Xi_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{i}, y_{i}, \xi\right)\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{i}\right)-\eta
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{1}\right)>\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{2}\right)-2 \eta>\cdots>\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{j}\right)-2(j-1) \eta>1-(2 j-1) \eta
$$

Let now $\check{m}:=\max \left\{m, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{j}\right\}$. Thence, for all $\varpi_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}_{i}$ and every $n>\check{m}$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, j-1\}$, if $n_{i}<\xi n$, then $\vartheta_{y^{n-n_{i}}}\left(\varpi_{i}\right)=y^{n-n_{i}} \cdot \varpi_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}_{i+1}$, and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n} c\left(y_{i+1}^{n}, \varpi_{i}\right)-\phi\left(y_{i+1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime}
$$

It follows that, for all $n>\check{m}$, there exist non negative integers $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{j-1}<\xi n$ such that, for all $\omega \in \mathcal{Y}_{1}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n} c\left(y_{j}^{n}, y_{j-1}^{n-n_{j-1}} \cdots y_{1}^{n-n_{1}} \cdot \omega\right)-\phi\left(y_{j}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, for each $i \in\{2, \ldots, j\}$ and every $n>\bar{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{S}\left(y_{i-1}^{n} \cdots y_{1}^{n}, y_{i-1}^{n-n_{i-1}} \cdots y_{1}^{n-n_{1}}\right)<n \varepsilon^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \\
& d_{S}\left(y_{i}^{n} y_{i-1}^{n} \cdots y_{1}^{n}, y_{i}^{n} y_{i-1}^{n-n_{i-1}} \cdots y_{1}^{n-n_{1}}\right)<n \varepsilon^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemmas 2.2 and 3.3, there exists $\Omega_{j} \in \mathscr{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)=1$, and a random $\bar{M}_{i} \geq \bar{n}$ depending on $\varepsilon^{\prime}$, and $\left\|y_{i}^{\mathrm{ab}} y_{i-1}^{\mathrm{ab}} \ldots y_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|_{\infty} \vee\left\|y_{i-1}^{\mathrm{ab}} \ldots y_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|_{\infty}$ such that for all $n>\bar{M}_{i}$ and all $\omega \in \Omega_{j}$,

$$
\left|c\left(y_{i}^{n}, y_{i-1}^{n} \cdots y_{1}^{n} \cdot \omega\right)-c\left(y_{i}^{n}, y_{i-1}^{n-n_{i-1}} \cdots y_{1}^{n-n_{1}} \cdot \omega\right)\right|<2 \beta n \varepsilon^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, for all $\omega \in \Omega_{j} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{1}$, every $n>\check{m} \vee \bar{M}_{i}$ and all $i \in\{2, \ldots, j\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} c\left(y_{i}^{n}, y_{i-1}^{n} \cdots y_{1}^{n} \cdot \omega\right)-\phi\left(y_{i}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right|<(2 \beta+1) \check{\varepsilon} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{j} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{1}\right)>1-(2 j-1) \eta$. It suffices to consider $\eta_{n} \downarrow 0$ replacing $\eta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 j}\right)$ with $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \eta_{n}<+\infty$, then there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{0} \geq \check{m} \vee \bar{M}_{i}$ by Borel-Cantelli Lemma such that (3.5) is satisfied for all $n>M_{0}$, which is our assertion with $i=j$ and $\check{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \beta+1} \varepsilon^{\prime}$.
3.2. Proof of the first theorem. This subsection is dedicated to proving Theorem 1.1. Therefore, consider all conditions and notations established in the first main theorem for the subsequent results. For instance, here $\Gamma$ is torsionfree nilpotent with torsion-free abelianization. Before turning to the proof of the theorem, let us refine the techniques of approximation as outlined in the upcoming propositions and lemmas.

Proposition 3.5. Let $g \in G_{\infty}$ and $\epsilon \in(0,1)$. Consider $\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k} \subseteq \Gamma$ and $p>0$ given by Proposition 3.1 for a $d_{\infty}$-geodesic curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow G_{\infty}$ from e to $g$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}=\epsilon / 2$.

If conditions (I), (II), and (III) are satisfied, then there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{2}>0$ depending on $g$, $\epsilon$, and $\omega \in \Omega$, such that, for all $n>M_{2}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p n} c\left(y_{k}^{n} \cdots y_{1}^{n}\right)-\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)\right|<\epsilon .
$$

Proof. Let us write $\mathbf{y}_{n}:=y_{k}^{n} \ldots y_{1}^{n}$ and consider $n_{0}>0$ for $\hat{\varepsilon}=\epsilon / 2$ given by Proposition 3.1. It follows from subadditivity that

$$
c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} c\left(y_{j}^{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{j-1}^{n} \ldots y_{1}^{n}} \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. }
$$

Then, one has by Proposition 3.2 with $\check{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{p}{2 k} \epsilon$ that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $n>M_{0} \vee n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p n} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi\left(y_{n}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}<\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)+\epsilon \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ to be defined later and apply condition (III) to obtain

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k_{n}} c_{n, j} \leq(1+\varepsilon) \frac{1}{p n} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}\right)
$$

where $c_{n, j}=\frac{1}{p n} c\left(z_{n, j}, z_{n, j-1} \ldots z_{n, 1} \cdot \omega\right)$ with $z_{m, i} \in F(\varepsilon)$. Define a sequence of piecewise $d_{\infty}$-geodesic curves $\zeta_{n}$ between each $\frac{1}{p n} \bullet z_{n, j} \ldots z_{n, 1}$ and $\frac{1}{p n} \cdot z_{n, j-1} \ldots z_{n, 1}$ for $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$ such that $z_{n, 0}=e$ and

$$
\zeta_{n}\left(\tau_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{p n} \cdot z_{n, j} \ldots z_{n, 1} \quad \text { for } \tau_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} c_{n, i} / \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} c_{n, i}
$$

Set $\mathrm{m}_{\varepsilon}:=\min _{z \in F(\varepsilon)}\left\|z^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\|>0$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\mathbb{E}\left[c_{n, j}\right] \geq a^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{p n}$ and, due the the $L^{1}$ convergence in Proposition 2.4, there exists $n_{1}>n_{0}$ such that, for all $n>M_{0} \vee n_{1}$,

$$
a^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{p n} \mathbb{E}\left[k_{n}\right] \leq(1+\varepsilon) \ell_{\infty}(\gamma)+k \varepsilon
$$

Fix $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}>\frac{2 p}{a^{\prime} \mathrm{m}_{\varepsilon}}\left((1+\varepsilon) \ell_{\infty}(\gamma)+k \varepsilon\right)$ so that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E}\left[k_{n}\right] \leq \mathrm{C}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} n / 2$. By Chernoff bound, $\mathbb{P}\left(k_{n} \geq \mathrm{C}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} n\right) \leq \exp (-2 n)$. It then follows from an application of Borel-Cantelli Lemma that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., there exists $M_{0}^{\prime} \geq M_{0}$ such that, for every $n>M_{0}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n} \leq \mathrm{C}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} n \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{\varepsilon}:=\max _{z \in F(\varepsilon)}\|1 \bullet z\|_{\infty}$ Observe now that, for every $t, t^{\prime} \in[0,1], \mathbb{P}$-a.s., for $n>M_{0}^{\prime}$,

$$
d_{\infty}\left(\zeta_{n}(t), \zeta_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \frac{k_{n}}{p n} \mathrm{M}_{\varepsilon}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{1}{p} \mathrm{C}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} \mathrm{M}_{\varepsilon}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Hence, one has by Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem that a subsequence of $\zeta_{n}$ converges uniformly to a Lipschitz curve $\zeta:[0,1] \rightarrow G_{\infty}$ such that $\zeta(0)=e$ and $\zeta(1)=g$.

We apply Proposition 3.1 once again fot the curve $\zeta$ with $\hat{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon / 2$ to obtain $p^{\prime}>0,\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} \subseteq \Gamma, t_{n}=\left\lfloor n p / p^{\prime}\right\rfloor$, and $n_{2}>0$ such that, for all $n>n_{2}$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{p^{\prime} t_{n}} \cdot w_{i}^{t_{n}} \ldots w_{1}^{t_{n}}, \zeta\left(\frac{i}{k^{\prime}}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Recall that $F(\varepsilon)$ is a generating set of $\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, F(\varepsilon))$ shares the polynomial growth rate of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$. Then there exists $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}>0$ such that, for a given $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{z \in F(\varepsilon)}\left\{c(z) \circ \vartheta_{z^{\prime}}: z^{\prime} \in B_{F(\varepsilon)}\left(e, \mathrm{C}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} n\right)\right\} \geq \varepsilon^{\prime} n\right) \leq \mathrm{C}^{\prime}|F(\varepsilon)| n^{D} g\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} n\right) \\
\in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left(1 / n^{\mathrm{K}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

as $n \uparrow+\infty$.
It thus follows by an application of Borel-Cantelli Lemma and by (3.7) that for all $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in(0,1)$, there exist, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{0}^{\prime \prime} \geq M_{0}^{\prime}$ and a subdivision function $\mathrm{d}_{n}:\left\{0,1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{0,1, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$ with $\mathrm{d}_{n}(0)=0<\mathrm{d}_{n}(1)<\cdots<\mathrm{d}_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)=k_{n}$ such that, for all $n>M_{0}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}-\sum_{i=\mathrm{d}_{n}(j-1)}^{\mathrm{d}_{n}(j)-1} c_{n, i+1} / \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} c_{n, i}\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime} .
$$

Let

$$
g_{n, j}:=\frac{1}{p^{\prime} t_{n}} \bullet z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)} z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)-1} \ldots z_{n, 1} \quad \text { for } j \in\left\{1, \ldots, k^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Then there exist $n_{3} \geq n_{2}$ and, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{2}^{\prime}>M_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ such that, for all $n>M_{2}^{\prime} \vee n_{3}$, $\left\|g_{n, j}-\zeta\left(j / k^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Hence, for $n>M_{2}^{\prime} \vee n_{3}, \sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{p^{\prime} t_{n}} \cdot w_{j}^{t_{n}} \ldots w_{1}^{t_{n}}, g_{n, j}\right)<$ $\varepsilon$.

It follows that there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. $M_{2}^{\prime \prime}>M_{2}^{\prime} \vee n_{3}$ so that, for every $n>M_{2}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} \frac{1}{p^{\prime} t_{n}} d_{S}\left(w_{j}^{t_{n}} \ldots w_{1}^{t_{n}}, z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)} z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)-1} \ldots z_{n, 1}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

We thus get from Lemma 3.3 that there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{1}^{\prime}>M_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, such that, for each $n>M_{1}^{\prime}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}}\left|c\left(w_{j}^{t_{n}}, w_{j-1}^{t_{n}} \ldots w_{1}^{t_{n}} \cdot \omega\right)-c\left(z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)}, z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)-1} \ldots z_{n, 1} \cdot \omega\right)\right|<4 \beta p^{\prime} t_{n} \varepsilon
$$

Set $M_{2} \geq M_{1}^{\prime} \vee \frac{p^{\prime}}{p \varepsilon^{2}}$. Therefore, one has, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $n>M_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p n} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}, \omega\right) & >\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon) p n} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} \sum_{i=d_{n}(j-1)}^{d_{n}(j)} c\left(z_{n, i}, z_{n, i-1} \ldots z_{n, 1} \cdot \omega\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon) p n} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} c\left(z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)}, z_{n, \mathrm{~d}_{n}(j)-1} \ldots z_{n, 1} \cdot \omega\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{p^{\prime} t_{n}}{p n}\left(\frac{1}{p^{\prime} t_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} c\left(w_{j}^{t_{n}}, w_{j-1}^{t_{n}} \ldots w_{1}^{t_{n}} \cdot \omega\right)-4 \beta \varepsilon\right) \\
& >(1-\varepsilon)\left(\ell_{\phi}(\zeta)-(4 \beta+1) \varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2\left(\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)+4 \beta+1\right)} \epsilon$. Hence, since $\ell_{\phi}(\zeta) \geq \ell_{\phi}(\gamma)-\epsilon / 2$, one has, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $n>M_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p n} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}, \omega\right)>\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)-\epsilon . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We complete the proof by combining (3.6) and (3.8).
Lemma 3.6. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\Gamma$ and let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{n}=g \in G_{\infty}$.

Consider a subadditive cocycle $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying conditions (I) and (II). If condition (III) is satisfied or if $\Gamma$ is abelian, then, for all $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., a random $M=M(g, \epsilon)>0$ such that, for $t_{n}>M$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}} c\left(x_{n}\right)-\Phi(g)\right|<\epsilon
$$

Proof. Set $\varepsilon>0$ to be defined later. Consider $y_{k}, \ldots, y_{1} \in \Gamma$ and $p$ given by Proposition 3.1 for $\hat{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon / 2$ and a $d_{\infty}$-geodesic curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow G_{\infty}$ from $e$ to $g$. Let $t_{n}^{\prime}:=\left\lfloor t_{n} / p\right\rfloor$. Since $\frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{i}$ converges to $g$. It follows from the Borel-Cantelli Lemma applied to (I) that there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M^{\prime}>0$ so that, for every $t_{n}>M^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{t_{n}}\right) c\left(x_{n}\right)<\frac{p-1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}} \beta \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{S}}{t_{n}}<\frac{\epsilon}{4} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write $\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}:=y_{k}^{t_{n}^{\prime}} \ldots y_{1}^{t_{n}^{\prime}}$. Since $\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}, g\right)=0$, one can easily see that there exists $n_{1}^{\prime}>0$ such that, for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>n_{1}^{\prime}$,

$$
\frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}} d_{\infty}\left(x_{n}, \mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

Then there exists $n_{2}^{\prime} \geq n_{1}^{\prime}$ such that, for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>n_{2}^{\prime}$, one has $\left\|x_{n}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{S}<p t_{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon$.
Let now $t=\beta p t_{n}^{\prime} \varepsilon$ in (I). Since $c(x)$ is identically distributed to $c(x) \circ \vartheta_{y}$, we have by Borel-Cantelli Lemma that there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M^{\prime \prime} \geq M^{\prime} \vee n_{2}^{\prime}$ such that, for $t_{n}>M^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}}\left|c\left(x_{n}\right)-c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}} \max \left\{c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime} x_{n}^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{n}}, c\left(x_{n}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}}\right\} \\
& <2 \beta \varepsilon \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8 \beta}$ and combine (3.9) and (3.10). We thus obtain that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $t_{n}>M^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}} c\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\Gamma$ abelian, then $\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}=\left(y_{k} \ldots y_{1}\right)^{t_{n}^{\prime}}$. In fact, it is straightfoward that $k=1$ by the standard approach for commutative groups. Then by Proposition 2.4, there is, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M^{*} \geq M^{\prime \prime}$ such that, for all $t_{n}>M^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\phi\left(y_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have $\phi\left(y_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) / p=\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)=\Phi(g)$. Combining the two previous inequalities with (3.11), we can establish the result for the commutative case with $M=M^{*}$. Now, let's consider the non-abelian case, assuming that (III) holds true. Notably, by Proposition 3.5 with $\epsilon / 2$ and $M=M^{\prime \prime} \vee M_{2}$, for all $t_{n}>M$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\ell_{\phi}(\gamma)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

This result, when combined with (3.11), completes the proof.
We now proceed to demonstrate the proof of the first main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin by proving the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. asymptotic equivalence given, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|x\| \uparrow+\infty} \frac{|c(x)-\Phi(1 \bullet x)|}{\|x\|_{S}}=0 \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. } \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that (3.13) is not true. Consider $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ to be such that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{S} \uparrow+\infty$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{r}$ stand for $\overline{B_{\infty}(e, r)}$, the closure of the $d_{\infty}$-ball or radius $r>0$ in $G_{\infty}$. Due to the compactness of $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ with respect to $d_{\infty}$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that, for $t_{n}:=\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{S}$

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot y_{n}=h \in \mathcal{S}_{1} .
$$

By construction, $\Delta:=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot \Gamma\right)$ is a countable dense subset of $G_{\infty}$. Fix, for each $g \in \Delta, \sigma(g)=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} \bullet x_{n}$ converges to $g$ under $d_{\infty}$ (see Lemma 2.2). Let $\Omega_{g} \in \mathscr{F}$ be the event with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=1$ given by Lemma 3.6 for $\sigma(g)$. Hence, $\Omega_{\Delta}:=\bigcap_{g \in \Delta} \Omega_{g}$ is such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{\Delta}\right)=1$.

The compactness of $\mathcal{S}_{r}$ implies the existence of a finite $\Delta_{r, \varepsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{r} \cap \Delta$ such that $\bigcup_{g \in \Delta_{r, \varepsilon}} B_{\infty}(g, \varepsilon)$ covers $\mathcal{S}_{r}$. Thus there exists $g \in \Delta_{1, \varepsilon}$ so that $h \in B_{\infty}(g, \varepsilon)$. Consider $\sigma(g)=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as defined above and let $\varepsilon>0$ to be determined later. Then, there exists $m(\varepsilon)>0$ so that, for all $t_{n}>m(\varepsilon)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{t_{n}}, \frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot y_{n}\right) & \leq d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot x_{t_{n}}, g\right)+d_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot y_{n}, h\right)+d_{\infty}(g, h) \\
& \leq 3 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

and $d_{S}\left(x_{t_{n}}, y_{n}\right)<7 \varepsilon=: \eta_{\varepsilon}$.
Let $M_{1}\left(g, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right)>0$ be given by Lemma 3.3 on $\Theta_{g} \in \mathscr{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Theta_{g}\right)=1$ satisfying, for all $t_{n}>M_{1}\left(g, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $u_{n} \in B_{S}\left(x_{t_{n}}, t_{n} \eta_{\varepsilon}\right)$,

$$
\left|c\left(x_{t_{n}}\right)-c\left(u_{n}\right)\right|<14\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{S} \beta \varepsilon
$$

Fix, for $M(g, \varepsilon)$ given by Lemma 3.6,

$$
\widehat{M}(\varepsilon):=\max _{g \in \Delta_{s_{1}, \varepsilon}}\left\{M(g, \varepsilon), M_{1}\left(g, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\}
$$

which is finite on $\Theta_{\Delta}:=\bigcap_{g \in \Delta}\left(\Omega_{\Delta} \cap \Theta_{g}\right)$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Theta_{\Delta}\right)=1$.
Set $\hat{m}(\varepsilon)>m(\varepsilon)$ to be such that $\left|\Phi\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \bullet y_{n}\right)-\Phi(h)\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $n>\hat{m}(\varepsilon)$. Hence, for all $t_{n}>\widehat{M}(\varepsilon) \vee \hat{m}(\varepsilon)$ on $\Theta_{\Delta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|c\left(y_{n}\right)-\Phi\left(1 \cdot y_{n}\right)\right|}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{S}} \leq \frac{1}{t_{n}}\left|c\left(y_{n}\right)-c\left(x_{t_{n}}\right)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}} c\left(x_{n}\right)-\Phi(g)\right| \\
&+|\Phi(g)-\Phi(h)|+\left|\Phi(h)-\Phi\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}} \cdot y_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \leq(14 \beta+3) \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the above assumption proving that (3.13) holds true.
It remains to show how $\frac{1}{n} d_{\omega}$ converges to $d_{\phi}$ in the asymptotic cone. Recall that $d_{\omega}(x, y)=\left(c\left(y x^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x}\right)(\omega)$. Consider now any given $h, h^{\prime} \in G_{\infty}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence with $\left\{t_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_{n}^{\prime} \uparrow+\infty$ and $\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} \cdot u_{n} \rightarrow h^{\prime} h^{-1}$. Then $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{S} / t_{n}^{\prime}$ converges to $d_{\infty}\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{S}} \bullet u_{n}$ converges as above. In particular, one can fix any $r^{\prime}>d_{\infty}\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$ to find $\mathbf{k}_{r^{\prime}}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{S} / t_{n}^{\prime}<r^{\prime}$ for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>\mathrm{k}_{r^{\prime}}$.

Let us define $\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}}=(14 \beta+3) r^{\prime}$ and $m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)=\hat{m}(\varepsilon) \vee \mathrm{k}_{r^{\prime}}$. The asymptotic equivalence (3.13) implies the existence of a random $\widehat{M}(\varepsilon)>0$ for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{14 \beta+3}\right)$ such that, for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>\widehat{M}(\varepsilon) \vee m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$ on $\Theta_{\Delta}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)\right|<\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}} \varepsilon .
$$

Due to the fact that $\vartheta$ is a p.m.p. group action, one can repeat all arguments above also in Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 to obtain $\widehat{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))$ and $\Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g))$ for each $\sigma(g)=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $g \in G_{\infty}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\Theta_{\Delta}(g)\right)=1$ so that, for all converging $\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} \cdot u_{n}$ as above and every $t_{n}^{\prime}>\widehat{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g)) \vee m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$ on $\Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g))$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(u_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{t_{n}^{\prime}}}-\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)\right|<\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}} \varepsilon \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that $\frac{1}{n} \bullet v_{n} \rightarrow h$ and choose $r \geq d_{\infty}(e, h)$. Fix $g \in \Delta_{r, \varepsilon}$ so that $g \in B_{\infty}(h, \varepsilon)$. By Lemma 3.3, one can find a random $\bar{M}_{r, r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))>0$ and $\Xi_{\sigma(g)}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Xi_{\sigma(g)}\right)=1$ such that, for all $n>\bar{M}_{r, r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))$ on $\Xi_{\sigma(g)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c\left(w_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{n}}-c\left(w_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}}\right|<2 \beta n \varepsilon, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any convergent sequence $\frac{1}{n} \bullet w_{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{w} \in B_{\infty}\left(e, r^{\prime}\right)$. Let us fix

$$
\Xi_{\Delta}:=\bigcap_{g \in \Delta}\left(\Xi_{\sigma(g)} \cap \Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g))\right),
$$

and set

$$
M_{r, r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon):=\max _{g \in \Delta_{r, \varepsilon}}\left\{\bar{M}_{r, r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g)), \widehat{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))\right\}
$$

Then $M_{r, r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$ is finite on $\Xi_{\Delta}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\Xi_{\Delta}\right)=1$. It follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that, for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>M_{r, r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon) \vee m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$ on $\Xi_{\Delta}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(u_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{t_{n}^{\prime}}}-\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)\right|<\left(\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}}+2 \beta\right) \varepsilon .
$$

This establishes the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. convergence of $\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} d_{\omega}\left(v_{t_{n}^{\prime}}, u_{n} v_{t_{n}^{\prime}}\right)$ to $\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)=d_{\phi}\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$ for $\omega \in \Xi_{\Delta}$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$. Observe that the bi-Lipschitz equivalence is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.5, and this completes the proof.
3.3. Proof of the second theorem. With the first main theorem now established, we have determined the asymptotic shape for finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups. The objective of this subsection is to extend this result to a finitely generated virtually nilpotent group $\Gamma$.

Recall that the nilpotent subgroup $N \unlhd \Gamma$ has a finite index $\kappa=[\Gamma: N]$, and for each coset $N_{(j)}=z_{(j)} N \in \Gamma / N$, we designate a representative $z_{(j)} \in N_{(j)}$. Also, define $\pi_{N}(x)=z_{(j)}^{-1} x$ for all $x \in N_{(j)}$ and $j \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$.

We commence by presenting results concerning the properties of p.m.p. ergodic group actions of $\Gamma$ with respect to $N$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$. We adopt the notation $\cup \mathcal{A}:=\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group $\Gamma$ and $N \unlhd \Gamma$ a finite normal subgroup with finite index $[\Gamma: N]=\kappa$. Consider that $\vartheta: \Gamma \curvearrowright(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a p.m.p. ergodic group action. Then there exists a finite $\mathfrak{B}_{N} \subseteq \mathscr{F}$ such that, for all $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$, $\mathbb{P}(B) \geq 1 / \kappa$ and $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}$, the restriction of $\vartheta$ on $N$, induces a p.m.p. ergodic group action on $(B, \mathscr{F} \cap B, \mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid B))$. Furthermore, $\left|\mathfrak{B}_{N}\right| \leq \kappa$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\cup \mathfrak{B}_{N}\right)=1$.

Proof. Set $\mathfrak{A}_{N} \subseteq \mathscr{F}$ to be the family of all non-empty $N$-invariant events under $\vartheta$. Then, for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{N}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\kappa} z_{(j)} \cdot A\right)=1
$$

which implies $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1 / \kappa$. Observe that $\mathfrak{A}_{N}$ is closed under countable unions and non-empty countable intersections. Let us fix $A_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}_{N}$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{0}\right)=$ $\inf _{A \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathbb{P}(A)$. Define $\mathfrak{B}_{N}=\left\{z_{(j)} \cdot A_{0}\right\}_{j=1}^{\kappa}$.

Since $N$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma, N$ acts ergodically on $(B, \mathscr{F} \cap B, \mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid B))$ for all $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$ and it inherits the measure preserving property.

We use Lemma 3.7 to write $\left(B, \mathscr{F}_{B}, \mathbb{P}_{B}\right)$ with $\mathscr{F}_{B}:=\mathscr{F}_{\cap B}=\{E \cap B: E \in \mathscr{F}\}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{B}(E):=\mathbb{P}(E \mid B)$ for each $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$. Let us denote by $[\omega]=$ tor $N \cdot \omega$, the orbit of $\omega \in B$ under the action on tor $N$. Set

$$
\left([B], \mathscr{F}_{B}^{\prime}, \mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}\right):=\left(B, \mathscr{F}_{B}, \mathbb{P}_{B}\right) / \text { tor } N
$$

where $\mathscr{F}_{B}^{\prime}=\left\{[E]: E \in \mathscr{F}_{B}\right\}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}([E])$ is the induced probability measure $(\text { tor } N)_{*} \mathbb{P}_{B}(E)=\mathbb{P}_{B}(\cup[E\rfloor)$. Let us fix $v_{x}=v_{\llbracket x \rrbracket} \in \llbracket x \rrbracket$ for each $\llbracket x \rrbracket \in \Gamma^{\prime}$. Define $\theta: \Gamma^{\prime} \curvearrowright\left([B], \mathscr{F}_{B}^{\prime}, \mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}\right)$ so that

$$
\theta_{\llbracket x \rrbracket}([\omega])=\left[\vartheta_{v_{x}}(\omega)\right]
$$

Lemma 3.8. Let $\mathfrak{B}_{N}$ be the set obtained in Lemma 3.7. Then, for each $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$, $\theta: \Gamma^{\prime} \curvearrowright\left([B], \mathscr{F}_{B}^{\prime}, \mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is a p.m.p. ergodic group action.
Proof. The measure preserving property is immediately inherited from $\vartheta$. Let $\vartheta_{v}(\omega)=v \cdot \omega$. Due to the normality of tor $N \unlhd N$, for all $A \in \mathscr{F}_{B}$ and each $v^{\prime} \in v$. tor $N$,

$$
\cup[v \cdot A]=v^{\prime} \cdot(\cup[A])
$$

Hence, if for all $v$. tor $N \in \Gamma^{\prime}$, one has $[v \cdot A]=[A]$. Then, for all $x \in N$

$$
x \cdot(\cup[A])=\cup[A] .
$$

It follows from the ergodicity of $\vartheta: N \curvearrowright\left(B, \mathscr{F}_{B}, \mathbb{P}_{B}\right)$ that $\mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}([A]) \in\{0,1\}$, which is the desired conclusion.

Remark 5. Recall that definition (1.4) determines

$$
c^{\prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket):=\max _{\substack{y \in \llbracket x \rrbracket \\ z \in \operatorname{tor} N}} c(y) \circ \vartheta_{z}
$$

It is straightforward to see that $c^{\prime}$ is compatible with the probability space $\left([B], \mathscr{F}_{b}^{\prime}, \mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}\right)$ for each $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$. Futhermore, it is a subadditive cocycle associated with $\theta$. Additionally, $c^{\prime}$ is well defined on $\left(B, \mathscr{F}_{B}, \mathbb{P}_{B}\right)$. Let $\Omega^{\prime}:=\cup \mathfrak{B}_{N}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)=1$. Consequently, one can investigate $c^{\prime}$ on $\left([B], \mathscr{F}_{B}^{\prime}, \mathbb{P}_{B}^{\prime}\right)$, and the results can be naturally extended $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. to $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

In preparation for the asymptotic comparison between cocycles $c$ and $c^{\prime}$, the following lemmas provide essential insights into their respective properties and relationships.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\varepsilon, r>0$ and consider a subadditive cocycle $c$ that satisfies condition (I). Then there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{N}=M_{N}(\varepsilon, r)>0$ such that, for all $n>M_{N}$ and every $x \in B_{S}(e, r n)$,

$$
\left|c(x)-c\left(\pi_{N}(x)\right)\right|<\varepsilon n
$$

Proof. It follows from subadditivity that, for $x \in N_{(j)}$,

$$
\left|c(x)-c\left(z_{(j)}^{-1} x\right)\right| \leq \max \left\{c\left(z_{(j)}\right) \circ \vartheta_{z_{(j)}^{-1} x}, c\left(z_{(j)}^{-1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x}\right\} \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. }
$$

for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$. Let $\mathrm{m}_{\kappa}=\max \left\{\left\|z_{(j)}\right\|_{S}: 1 \leq j \leq \kappa\right\}$. Hence, one has by (I) and a C $>0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{x \in B_{S}(e, r n)}\left\{\left|c(x)-c\left(\pi_{N}(x)\right)\right|\right\} \geq \varepsilon n\right) & \leq\left|B_{S}(e, r n)\right| \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \mathbb{P}\left(c\left(z_{(j)}^{ \pm 1}\right) \geq \varepsilon n\right) \\
& \leq \mathrm{C} r^{D} n^{D} g(n \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon, r}\left(1 / n^{D+\kappa}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n>\beta \mathrm{m}_{\kappa} / \varepsilon$. The result is derived through the application of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\varepsilon, r>0$ and consider a subadditive cocycle $c$ that satisfies condition (I). Then there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M_{q}=M_{q}(\varepsilon, r)>0$ such that, for all $n>M_{q}$ and every $x \in B_{S}(e, r n)$,

$$
\left|c\left(x_{1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{1}}-c\left(x_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{2}}\right|<\varepsilon n
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \llbracket x \rrbracket$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \operatorname{tor} N$.
Proof. Since tor $N$ is a normal subgroup of $N$, the exists $v_{2} \in \operatorname{tor} N$ such that $x_{1}=v_{2} x_{2} y_{3}$ with $y_{3}=y_{2} y_{1}^{-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(x_{1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{1}} & \leq c\left(y_{3}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{1}}+c\left(v_{2} x_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{2}} \\
& \leq c\left(y_{3}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{1}}+c\left(x_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{2}}+c\left(v_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{2} y_{2}} \mathbb{P}-\text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply the same reasoning for $c\left(x_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{2}}$ obtaining that

$$
\left|c\left(x_{1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{1}}-c\left(x_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{2}}\right| \leq \max _{y, z \in \text { tor } N}\left\{c(y) \circ \vartheta_{z}\right\}+\max _{y, z \in \text { tor } N}\left\{c(y) \circ \vartheta_{x_{1} z}\right\} \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. }
$$

By (I) and the finitness of tor $N$, there exists a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{\substack{x \in B_{S}(e, r n) \\
x_{1}, x_{2} \in \llbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \\
y_{1}, y_{2} \in \operatorname{tor} N}}\left|c\left(x_{1}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{1}}-c\left(x_{2}\right) \circ \vartheta_{y_{2}}\right| \geq \varepsilon n\right) \leq 2 \mid \text { tor }\left.N\right|^{4}\left|B_{S}(e, r n)\right|^{2} g(\varepsilon n) \\
& \leq C^{\prime}(r n)^{2 D} g(\varepsilon n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon, r}\left(1 / n^{\kappa}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n>\beta \max \left\{\|z\|_{S}: z \in\right.$ tor $\left.N\right\} / \varepsilon$. The desired conclusion follows from an application of Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

Let us define, for all $\llbracket x \rrbracket \in \Gamma^{\prime}$,

$$
|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\mathrm{inf}}:=\min _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \min _{y \in\left(z_{(j)} \cdot \llbracket x \rrbracket \cdot z_{(i)}^{-1}\right)}\|y\|_{S},
$$

and

$$
|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\text {sup }}:=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \max _{y \in\left(z_{(j)} \cdot \llbracket x \rrbracket \cdot z_{(i)}^{-1}\right)}\|y\|_{S}
$$

Set

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\kappa, q}:=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \max _{z \in\left(z_{(j)} \cdot \llbracket e \rrbracket \cdot z_{(i)}^{-1}\right)}\|z\|_{S}
$$

Thus, one has, for all $y \in z_{(j)} . \llbracket x \rrbracket$ with $j \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\inf } \leq\|y\|_{S} \leq|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\text {sup }} \leq|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\inf }+2 \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{\kappa, q} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same arguments employed in Section 2.4, the discrete norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}:=\inf _{\llbracket y \rrbracket \in\left(\llbracket x \rrbracket \cdot\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]\right)}|\llbracket y \rrbracket|_{S}^{\mathrm{inf}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

exhibits the same properties as $\|-\|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ when $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is a generating set of $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
Consider $\sigma(g)=\left\{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma^{\prime}$ to be the sequences fixed for each $g \in G_{\infty}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Set $x_{n}:=v_{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{n}}$ with $v$ defined by the group action $\theta$. Then

$$
\llbracket x_{n} \rrbracket=\llbracket x \rrbracket_{n}
$$

when $\sigma(g)$ is given. Let us write $v_{\sigma}(g)=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for each $\sigma(g)=\left\{\llbracket x \rrbracket_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma^{\prime}$. Also, one can easily verify that

$$
\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{S}}{n}=\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{\left|\llbracket x_{n} \rrbracket\right|_{S}^{\mathrm{inf}}}{n}=\lim _{n \uparrow+\infty} \frac{\left|\llbracket x_{n} \rrbracket\right|_{S}^{\mathrm{sup}}}{n}=d_{\infty}(e, g)
$$

The proposition below shows us that $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ share the same linear asymptotic behaviour.

Proposition 3.11. Let $\Gamma$ be a virtually nilpotent group, and let $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a subadditive cocycle associated with $\vartheta$.

If condition (I) is satisfied, then $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are asymptotically equivalent, i.e., there exists, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $M^{\prime}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$ with $\|x\|_{S}>M^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c(x)-c^{\prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket)\right|<\varepsilon\|x\|_{S} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, (I) implies the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. existence of $M^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, r, v_{\sigma}(g)\right)>0$ so that, for all $n>M^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, r, v_{\sigma}(g)\right)$ and every $y \in B_{S}(e, r n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c(y)-c^{\prime}(\llbracket y \rrbracket)\right| \circ \vartheta_{x_{n}}<n \varepsilon \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, we can deduce that, for every $\varepsilon>0$, one can fix $M^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=M_{N}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1\right) \vee M_{q}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 1\right)$ so that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $n>M^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ and every $x \in B_{S}(e, n+1) \backslash B_{S}(e, n)$,

$$
\frac{\left|c(x)-c^{\prime}(x)\right|}{\|x\|_{S}}<\frac{|c(x)-c(\pi(x))|}{n}+\frac{\left|c(\pi(x))-c^{\prime}(x)\right|}{n}<\varepsilon .
$$

The inequality above implies the asymptotic equivalence of $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ on $\Gamma$.
Since $\vartheta$ is p.m.p. group action, one can obtain from Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 the random variables $M_{N}>0$ and $M_{q}>0$ depending on $\left.v_{\sigma}(g)\right)>0$ determining

$$
M^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, r, v_{\sigma}(g)\right)=M_{N}\left(\varepsilon / 2, r, v_{\sigma}(g)\right) \vee M_{q}\left(\varepsilon / 2, r, v_{\sigma}(g)\right)
$$

so that (3.19) holds true.
The following result extends the subadditive ergodic theorem to $c^{\prime}$ with respect to $|-|_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$.
Lemma 3.12. Consider $\Gamma$ to be a virtually nilpotent group generated by a finite symmetric set $S \subseteq \Gamma$ with $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ a generating set of $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

If the subadditive cocycle $c$ satisfies (I) and ( $\mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ) with respect to the word norm $\|-\|_{S}$, then $c^{\prime}$ satisfies (I) and (II) with respect to $|-|_{S}^{\inf }$. In particular, Lemma 2.5 is still valid with $x^{\mathrm{ab}}=\llbracket x \rrbracket^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and

$$
\phi\left(x^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[c^{\prime}\left(\llbracket x \rrbracket^{n}\right)\right]}{n} .
$$

Proof. First, observe that (I) and (II') imply, for all $x \in \Gamma$ and

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(c^{\prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket) \geq t\right) \leq \kappa \mid \text { tor } N \mid g(t), \quad \text { for all } t>\beta|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\text {sup }}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[c^{\prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket)\right] \geq a|\llbracket x \rrbracket|_{S}^{\inf }
$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.16) that $c^{\prime}$ satisfy (I) and (iI) with respect to $|-|{ }_{S}^{\inf }$ for a new $g^{\prime}(t) \in \mathcal{O}\left(t^{2 D+\kappa}\right)$ and $\beta^{\prime}>0$. The proof is complete by replacing $\|-\|_{S}$ with $|-|_{S}^{\inf }$ and applying (3.17) in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Having established the aforementioned results, we now move forward to prove the second theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Observe that it follows from Lemmas 3.7, 3.8 and 3.12 and Remark 5 that, for each $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$, Theorem 1.1 holds true for $c^{\prime}$ on $\left(B, \mathscr{F}_{B}, \mathbb{P}_{B}\right)$. Therefore, it suffices to extend the results to $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and compare $c$ with $c^{\prime}$.

The asymptotic equivalence is an immediate consequence of (3.13) and (3.18), we focus on the second part of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall de definition of $\Delta$ as a dense subset of $G_{\infty}$, the finite $\Delta_{r, \varepsilon}$. Similarly, we consider $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\left\{t_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $t_{n} \uparrow+\infty$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$ and $\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} \cdot u_{n} \rightarrow h^{\prime} h^{-1}$. Note that we may regard $\llbracket u \rrbracket_{n}=\llbracket u_{n} \rrbracket$ to replace the orifinal sequence in the proof of Thm. 1.1 and let $\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}}$ and $m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$ be defined as before with $r^{\prime}>d_{\infty}\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$.

Set $\widehat{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g), B)$ and $\Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g), B)$ to be defined by (3.14) for each $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$ with $\left.\mathbb{P}\left(\Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g)), B\right) \mid B\right)=1$ so that, for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>\widehat{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g), B) \vee m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\left(\llbracket u_{n} \rrbracket\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{t_{n}}}-\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)\right|<\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}} \varepsilon \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left.\Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g)), B\right)$ with $v_{\sigma}(g)=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Fix

$$
\widehat{M}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g)):=\sum_{B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}} \widehat{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g), B) \mathbb{1}_{B}+\mathbb{1}_{\Omega} \backslash\left(\cup \mathfrak{B}_{N}\right)
$$

Consider $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{S} / n<r^{\prime}$ for every $n>m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$. Then Proposition 3.11 ensures the existence of $M^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, r^{\prime}, v_{\sigma}(g)\right)>0$ and $\Lambda_{\sigma(g)} \in \mathscr{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda_{\sigma(g)}\right)=1$ so that, for all $n>M^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, r^{\prime}, v_{\sigma}(g)\right)$ on $\Lambda_{\sigma(g)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}\left|c\left(y_{n}\right)-c^{\prime}\left(\llbracket y_{n} \rrbracket\right)\right| \circ \vartheta_{x_{n}}<\varepsilon \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ be a sequence such that $\frac{1}{n} \bullet v_{n} \rightarrow h$ and choose $r \geq d_{\infty}(e, h)$. Fix $g \in \Delta_{r, \varepsilon}$ so that $g \in B_{\infty}(h, \varepsilon)$. Observe that (3.15) is still valid for $c$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, one can find $\bar{M}_{r, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))>0$ and $\Xi_{\sigma(g)}^{\prime}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(\Xi_{\sigma(g)}^{\prime}\right)=1$ such that, for all $n>\bar{M}_{r, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))$ on $\Xi_{\sigma(g)}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c\left(w_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{x_{n}}-c\left(w_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{n}}\right|<2 \beta n \varepsilon \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ is any convergent sequence $\frac{1}{n} \bullet w_{n} \rightarrow w \in B_{\infty}\left(e, r^{\prime}\right)$. Let us fix

$$
\Lambda_{\Delta}:=\bigcap_{g \in \Delta}\left(\Lambda_{\sigma(g)} \cap \Xi_{\sigma(g)} \cap\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}} \Theta_{\Delta}(\sigma(g), B)\right)\right)
$$

and set

$$
M_{r, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\varepsilon):=\max _{g \in \Delta_{r, \varepsilon}}\left\{M^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon, r^{\prime}, v_{\sigma}(g)\right), \bar{M}_{r, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g)), \widehat{M}^{\prime}(\varepsilon, \sigma(g))\right\}
$$

Then $M_{r, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ is finite on $\Lambda_{\Delta}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda_{\Delta}\right)=1$. It follows from (3.20), (3.21), and (3.22) with $u_{n}=w_{t_{n}^{\prime}}=y_{t_{n}^{\prime}}$ that, for all $t_{n}^{\prime}>M_{r, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \vee m_{r^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)$ on $\Lambda_{\Delta}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} c\left(u_{n}\right) \circ \vartheta_{v_{t_{n}^{\prime}}}-\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)\right|<\left(\mathrm{K}_{r^{\prime}}+2 \beta+1\right) \varepsilon
$$

This establishes the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. convergence of $\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\prime}} d_{\omega}\left(v_{t_{n}^{\prime}}, u_{n} v_{t_{n}^{\prime}}\right)$ to $\Phi\left(h^{\prime} h^{-1}\right)=d_{\phi}\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda_{\Delta}$ as $n \uparrow+\infty$.
3.4. An additional result for FPP models. In the preceding sections, we delved into the asymptotic behavior of $c$ and $c^{\prime}$. The definition of $c^{\prime}$ depends only on the action of $\vartheta$ restricted to $N \unlhd \Gamma$, ensuring that we can systematically investigate the group action of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ within a fixed $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$.

To broaden the scope of our findings and establish the validity of (III') for FPP models on virtually nilpotent groups, we will introduce a new random variable induced by a graph homomorphism. Let us now define, for all $\llbracket x \rrbracket \in \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\{\llbracket e \rrbracket\}$,

$$
c^{\prime \prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket):=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \max _{\substack{y \in z_{(j)} \cdot \llbracket x \rrbracket \\ z \in z_{(i)} \cdot \operatorname{tor} N}} c(y) \circ \vartheta_{z}
$$

and consider $c^{\prime \prime}(\llbracket e \rrbracket):=0$. Note that $c^{\prime \prime}$ restricted to $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$ is not well-defined when there exists another set $B^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{B}_{N}$ distinct from $B$. This inherent limitation prompts the necessity for specific conditions in the subsequent result.

The following lemma outlines the criteria under which $c^{\prime \prime}$ inherits the FPP property from $c$. Before presenting this result, we establish the notation:

$$
\llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm}:=\left\{\llbracket s \rrbracket^{ \pm 1}: s \in S\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.13. Let $(\Gamma,$.$) be a virtually nilpotent group generated by a finite$ symmetric set $S \subseteq \Gamma$ with $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ a generating set of $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Consider a subadditive cocycle $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ determining a FPP model on $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ which satisfies (I). Suppose that the restriction $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}: N \curvearrowright(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a p.m.p. ergodic group action.

If, for all $s \in S, \llbracket s^{-1} \rrbracket=\llbracket s \rrbracket^{-1}$, then $c^{\prime \prime}$ determines a FPP model on $\mathcal{C}\left(\Gamma, \llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm}\right)$ and condition (III') is satisfied when $\llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm} \subseteq \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$.

Proof. Define, for each $x \in \Gamma$ and every $\llbracket s \rrbracket \in \llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm}$,

$$
\tau(\llbracket x \rrbracket, \llbracket s \rrbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket):=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \max _{\substack{y \in z_{(j)} \llbracket x \rrbracket \\ h \in z_{(i)} . \llbracket s \rrbracket}} \tau(y, h y)
$$

and note that $\tau$ preserves the symmetry

$$
\tau(\llbracket x \rrbracket, \llbracket s \rrbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket)=\tau\left(\llbracket s \rrbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket, \llbracket s \rrbracket^{-1}(\llbracket s \rrbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket)\right)=\tau(\llbracket s \rrbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket, \llbracket x \rrbracket) .
$$

Condition (I) imply that $c^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. finite and there exists of a (finite) geodesic path. Observe that $\llbracket s^{-1} \rrbracket=\llbracket s \rrbracket^{-1}$ for all $s \in S$ induces a graph homomorphism of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ and $\mathcal{C}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm}\right)$. In other words, for all $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \llbracket w \rrbracket$ and $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$, $z_{(j)} . w_{1} \nsim z_{(i)} . w_{2}$ and if $x \sim y$ in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$, then $\llbracket x \rrbracket \sim \llbracket y \rrbracket$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm}\right)$. Hence, one can easily verify by the minimax property that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{\prime \prime}(\llbracket x \rrbracket): & =\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \max _{\substack{y \in z_{(j)} . \llbracket x \rrbracket \\
z \in z_{(i)} \cdot \operatorname{tor} N}}\left(\inf _{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(e, y)} \sum_{\{u, v\} \in \gamma} \tau(u, v)\right) \circ \vartheta_{z} \\
& =\inf _{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(\llbracket e \rrbracket, \llbracket x \rrbracket)}\left(\sum_{\{\llbracket u \rrbracket, \llbracket v \rrbracket\} \in \gamma} \max _{1 \leq i, j \leq \kappa} \max _{\substack{u^{\prime} \in z_{(j)} \cdot \llbracket u \rrbracket \\
s^{\prime} \in z_{(i)} . \llbracket v u^{-1} \rrbracket}} \tau\left(u^{\prime}, s^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a direct consequence of the graph homomorphism. Property ( $1 I^{\prime}$ ) arises naturally from the given definition when $\llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm} \subseteq \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$.

Proposition 3.14. Under the same hypotheses stated in Lemma 3.13, it follows that the results in Lemmas 3.9, 3.10 and 3.12, Proposition 3.11, and Remark 5 also hold when replacing $c^{\prime}$ with $c^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Notice that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{x \in B_{S}(e, n)} \max _{y \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\kappa} z_{(j)} \cdot \operatorname{tor} N} c(y) \circ \vartheta_{x}>\sqrt{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(1 / n^{\kappa}\right) .
$$

Consequently, $\max _{x \in B_{S}(e, n)} \max _{y \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\kappa} z_{(j)} \text {. tor } N} c(y) \circ \vartheta_{x} \in o(n), \mathbb{P}$-a.s., as $n \uparrow+\infty$. Therefore, defining $c^{\prime \prime}(\llbracket e \rrbracket)=0$ is a suitable choice for investigating the asymptotic cone of $c^{\prime \prime}$ in comparison to $c$.

The arguments in the proofs of Lemmas 3.9, 3.10 and 3.12 and Proposition 3.11 can be repeated for $c^{\prime \prime}$, yielding the same properties up to a constant factor.

Corollary 3.15. Let $(\Gamma,$.$) be a finitely generated group with polynomial growth rate$ $D \geq 1$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} /\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ torsion-free. Consider $c: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to be a subadditive cocycle associated with $d_{\omega}$ and a p.m.p. ergodic group action $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}: N \curvearrowright(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Suppose that c describes a FPP model which satisfies conditions (I) and (II') for a finite symmetric generating set $S \subseteq \Gamma$ so that
(i) For all $s \in S, \llbracket s^{-1} \rrbracket=\llbracket s \rrbracket^{-1}$, and
(ii) $\llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm} \subseteq \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash\left[\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}\right]$ generates $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

Then

$$
\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{n} d_{\omega}, e\right) \xrightarrow{G H}\left(G_{\infty}, d_{\phi}, e\right) \quad \mathbb{P} \text {-a.s. }
$$

where $G_{\infty}$ is a simply connected graded Lie group, and $d_{\phi}$ is a quasimetric homogeneous with respect to a family of homotheties $\left\{\delta_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$. Moreover, $d_{\phi}$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $d_{\infty}$ on $G_{\infty}$.

Proof. First, according to Proposition 3.14, the random variables $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$ share similar properties. Observe that $\left|\mathfrak{B}_{N}\right|=1$, ensuring that $c^{\prime \prime}$ well-defined and a suitable replacement of $c^{\prime}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.2, which establishes the result.

The next example highlights a case where $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}$ acts ergodically on the probability space followed by an example of virtually nilpotent group with generating set satisfying items (i) and (ii) of Corollary 3.15.

Example 3.1 (Independent FPP models). The subadditive cocycle $c$ exhibits equivariance. Recall properties fiscussed in Section 2.5 for FPP models and notice that, for all $x, y \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$,

$$
\tau(x, s x) \sim \tau\left(y, s^{ \pm 1} y\right)
$$

Consider that the random weights are independent, but not necessarily identically distributed (see [4] for FPP with i.i.d. random variables). Let us define $S^{\prime}:=\left\{\left\{s, s^{-1}\right\}: s \in S\right\}$ and set $\varsigma\left(s^{\prime}\right):=s \in s^{\prime}$ for $s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$, i.e., the function $\varsigma$ fixes one element of each $s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$.

Suppose that, for all $s \in S, s^{2} \neq e$ and consider $\nu^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}$ to be the law of $\tau\left(x, \varsigma\left(s^{\prime}\right) x\right)$ with $x \in \Gamma$ and $s \in s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$. Thus, one can write

$$
\mathbb{P} \equiv\left(\bigotimes_{s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}} \nu^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\otimes \Gamma}=\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{\kappa} \bigotimes_{s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}} \nu^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\otimes N} \equiv \bigotimes_{x \in N} \nu^{(x)}
$$

where, for each $x \in N, \nu^{(x)} \equiv \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\kappa} \bigotimes_{s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}} \nu^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}$. Let $E \in \mathscr{F}$ be such that, for all $x \in N, \vartheta_{x}(E)=E$. Then, for all $x, y \in N$,

$$
\nu^{(x)}(E)=\nu^{(y)}(E)=: \mathrm{k}_{E} \in[0,1]
$$

The condition of polynomial growth rate $D \geq 1$ ensures that $N$ is countably infinite. Consequently,

$$
\mathbb{P}(E)=\prod_{x \in N} \mathrm{k}_{E} \in\{0,1\}
$$

Therefore, $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}$ as defined in Section 2.5 constitutes a probability measurepreserving (p.m.p.) ergodic group action for independent FPP models.

Example 3.2 (Direct product). Consider L a torsion-free nilpotent group with torsion-free abelianization and a symmetric finite generating set $S_{\mathrm{L}} \subseteq \mathrm{L} \backslash[\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{L}]$. Set $M$ to be a finite group. Recall the properties highlighted in Section 2.3. Let us define

$$
\Gamma=\mathrm{L} \times M, \quad \text { and } \quad S=S_{\mathrm{L}} \times M
$$

Then $S$ is a symmetric finite generating set of $\Gamma$. Fix $\pi_{N}(x, m)=(x, e)$ for all $x \in \mathrm{~L}$ and $m \in M$. One can easily see that $\Gamma^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{L}$ with $\llbracket S \rrbracket=\llbracket S \rrbracket^{ \pm} \cong S_{\mathrm{L}}$.

Furthermore, for any $(x, m) \in \Gamma$, the inverse $(x, m)^{-1}$ is given by $\left(x^{-1}, m^{-1}\right)$, leading to

$$
\llbracket(x, m)^{-1} \rrbracket \cong x^{-1} \cong \llbracket(x, m) \rrbracket^{-1}
$$

As a consequence, both items (i) and (ii) of Corollary 3.15 hold when $\Gamma$ is the direct product equipped with the generating set $S$ defined above.

## 4. Applications to random growth models

In this section, we delve into three distinct examples that serve as applications of the main results outlined in this article for a random growth on $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$. These examples have been deliberately chosen to address scenarios that fall outside the scope of previous works, thereby offering a nuanced examination of the versatility and robustness of our established theorems.

The first example considers a First-Passage Percolation (FPP) model with dependent random variables, challenging the assumption of $L^{\infty}$, since we allow random weights to be zero with a strict positive probability. Transitioning to the second example, we investigate a FPP model with independent random variables that are not identically distributed and also not $L^{\infty}$. The third example shifts focus to an interacting particle system that extends is not a FPP model. Notably, this model fails to meet the conditions found in the literature.

Example 4.1 (First-Passage Percolation for a Random Coloring of $\Gamma$ ). Let us now consider a dependent Bernoulli FPP model based on the random coloring studied by Fontes and Newman [12]. Set $\left\{X_{x}\right\}_{x \in \Gamma}$ to be a family of i.i.d. random variables taking values in a finite set of colors $\mathcal{F}$. The model generates color clusters by
assigning weight 0 to edges between sites with same color and weight 1 otherwise. We define for every edge $u \sim v$

$$
\tau(u, v)=\mathbb{1}\left(X_{u} \neq X_{v}\right)
$$

Set for each self-avoinding path $\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(x, y)$ the random length $T(\gamma)=\sum_{\mathrm{e} \in \gamma} \tau(\mathrm{e})$. The first-passage time is

$$
T(x, y):=\inf _{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(x, y)} T(\gamma)
$$

Let $p_{s}:=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{x}=s\right)$ then one can verify that $T(x, y)$ is a FPP model with dependent identically distributed passage times $\tau(x, y) \sim \operatorname{Ber}\left(1-\sum_{s \in \mathscr{F}} p_{s}^{2}\right)$. One can easily see that $c(x):=T(e, x)$ is a subadditive cocycle and the translations $\vartheta$ are ergodic due to the fact that $\left\{X_{x}\right\}_{x \in \Gamma}$ are i.i.d. random variables .

Observe that $c(x)$ is bounded above by the word norm $\|x\|_{S}$, items (I) and (iii) are immediately satisfied. Consider $p_{s} \in(0,1)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{F}$. Set

$$
p:=\max _{s \in \mathcal{F}} p_{s}, \quad q:=\max _{s \in \mathcal{F}}\left(1-p_{s}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad p^{\prime}:=\frac{p}{p+q} .
$$

The lemma below establishes a sufficient condition for (II) and (II').
Lemma 4.1. Consider the Random Coloring Model of $\Gamma$ on $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
p<\frac{1}{|S|-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (II) and (II') hold true.
Proof. Let $\gamma=\left(x_{0}=e, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ with $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ the set of all self-avoiding paths in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ of graph length $n$ starting at $e$. Fix $[n]:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(T(\gamma)=m) & \leq \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq[n] \\
|A|=m}} \prod_{i \in[n] \backslash A} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{x_{i}}=X_{x_{i-1}} \mid X_{x_{i-1}}\right) \prod_{j \in A} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{x_{i}} \neq X_{x_{i-1}} \mid X_{x_{i-1}}\right) \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{m} p^{n-m} q^{m}=(p+q)^{n} P(Y=m)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(n, 1-p^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $P$. Let us regard $\|x\|_{S}=n$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(c(x) \leq \alpha\|x\|_{S}\right) & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\exists \gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{n}: T(\gamma) \leq \alpha n\right) \\
& \leq\left|\mathscr{P}_{n}\right|(p+q)^{n} \cdot P(Y \leq \alpha n)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a well-known fact that $\left|\mathscr{P}_{n}\right| \leq|S|(|S|-1)^{n-1}$. Therefore, there exists C $>0$ such that $\left|\mathscr{P}_{n}\right| \leq \mathrm{C}(|S|-1)^{n}$. By Chernoff bound, one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P(Y \leq \alpha n) & \left.\leq \exp \left(n(\alpha-1) \log \frac{1-\alpha}{1-p^{\prime}}-\alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{p^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1-p^{\prime}\right)^{(1-\alpha)} \alpha^{-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{\alpha-1}\right)^{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that the base of (4.2) converges to $p^{\prime}$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. Hence, there exist $\alpha, p^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that $P(Y \leq \alpha n) \leq\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)^{n}$ with $p^{\prime}<p^{\prime \prime}<1 /((p+1)(|S|-1))$ when $p$ satisfies (4.1). It then follows that there exists $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(c(x) \leq \alpha\|x\|_{S}\right) \leq \mathrm{C}\left(p^{\prime \prime}(p+q)(|S|-1)\right)^{n}=\mathrm{C} \exp \left(-\mathrm{C}^{\prime} n\right)
$$

Let now $a:=\alpha / 2$ and choose $\|x\|_{S} \gg 1$ so that $\mathbb{P}\left(c(x) \leq \alpha\|x\|_{S}\right) \leq 1 / 2$, then $a\|x\|_{S} \leq \mathbb{E}[c(x)]$, which yields (II) and (II') as a consequence.

Similarly to Example 3.1, let $\nu$ be the law of the random coloring of a vertex. Then

$$
\mathbb{P} \equiv \nu^{\otimes \Gamma}=\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{\kappa} \nu\right)^{\otimes N} \equiv \bigotimes_{x \in N} \nu^{(x)}
$$

with $\nu^{(x)} \equiv \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\kappa} \nu$. By the same reasoning employed for $\nu^{(x)}$ in Example 3.1, we verify that $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}$ acts ergodically on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Hence, under the assumption of (4.1) and based on the aforementioned results, the Shape Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are applicable to the random coloring of $\Gamma=N$ nilpotent with a finite generating set $S \subseteq N \backslash([N, N] \cup$ tor $N)$ or in the case where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is abelian. Moreover, under the fulfillment of conditions (i) and (ii) in Corollary 3.15, the existence of the limiting shape is also guaranteed when $\Gamma$ is virtually nilpotent.

Remark 6. Observe that (4.1) provides a lower bound for the critical probability of site percolation on $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ (see for instance [14]). To verify that, fix a color $s \in \mathcal{F}$ and we say that a site $x \in \Gamma$ is open when $X_{x}=s$. Therefore, one can write

$$
\tau_{\text {site }}^{(s)}(x, y)=\mathbb{1}\left(X_{x} \neq s \text { or } X_{y} \neq s\right) .
$$

Note that it stochastically dominates with $\tau \leq \tau_{\text {site }}^{(s)} \mathbb{P}$-a.s. The open edges are the new edges of length zero. By Lemma 4.1, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., there is no infinite open cluster in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ when $p_{s}<\frac{1}{|S|-1}$.
Example 4.2 (Richardson's Growth Model in a Translation Invariant Random Environment). In this example, we define a variant of the Richardson's Growth Model which is commonly employed to describe the spread of infectious diseases. This version of the model involves independent random variables that are not identically distributed (see [13, 18] for similar models). Specifically, we consider that the transmission rate of the disease between neighboring sites is randomly chosen. The distribution of this variable will vary depending on the directions of the Cayley graph.

Consider that the infection rates between neighbors are determined by a random environment taking values in $\Lambda:=(0,+\infty)^{E}$. Let $S^{\prime}:=\left\{\left\{s, s^{-1}\right\}: s \in S\right\}$ be the set of directions of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$. Consider $\left\{\lambda_{s^{\prime}}\right\}_{s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}}$ a set of strictly positive random variables that are independent over a probability measure $\nu$. Set $(\lambda(\mathrm{e}))_{\mathrm{e} \in E}$ to be a collection of independent random variables over $\nu$ such that

$$
\lambda(x, s x) \sim \lambda_{s^{\prime}} \quad \text { with } s^{\prime}=\left\{s^{ \pm 1}\right\} .
$$

Let us regard $\lambda \in \Lambda$ as a fixed realization of the random environment. The growth process is defined by the family of independent random variables $\{\tau(x, s x): x \in \Gamma, s \in S\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x, s x) \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda(x, s x)) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}$ to be the quenched probability law of (4.3). We write, for each path $\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(x, y)$ with $x, y \in \Gamma$, its random length $T(\gamma):=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \gamma} \tau(\mathrm{e})$.

The first-passage time is

$$
c(x):=\inf _{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(e, x)} T(\gamma) .
$$

It is straightforward to see that $c(x)$ is subadditive. However, the group action $\vartheta$ is not ergodic over $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}$ for a given $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)=\int_{\Lambda} \mathbb{P}_{\gamma}(\cdot) d \nu(\lambda)$ be the annealed probability. It then follows that $\vartheta$ preserves the measure $\mathbb{P}$ and it is ergodic.

Note that $c(x)$ defines a First-Passage Percolation (FPP) model, which we refer to as Richardson's Growth Model in a Translation Random Environment (RGTRE). In the following, we establish that conditions (I), (II), and (II') are met.

Lemma 4.2. Consider the RGTRE defined as above. Then there exist $\beta, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}>0$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(c(x) \geq t) \leq \mathrm{C} \exp \left(-\mathrm{C}^{\prime} t\right)
$$

for all $t \geq \beta\|x\|_{S}$.
Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(e, x)$ be a $d_{S}$-geodesic with $\|x\|_{S}=n$. Then one has by Chernoff bound and the independence of $\{\tau(\mathrm{e})\}_{\mathrm{e} \in E}$ that

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(c(x) \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(T(\gamma) \geq t) \leq \frac{\prod_{\mathrm{e} \in \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[e^{\alpha \tau(\mathrm{e})}\right]}{e^{\alpha t}}
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[e^{\alpha \tau(\mathrm{e})}\right]=\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda(e)}\right)^{m}
$$

Let $\bar{\lambda}_{\text {min }}:=\min _{s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left[\lambda_{s^{\prime}}\right]$ and set $\alpha=\bar{\lambda}_{\min } / 2$. Thus, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(c(x) \geq t) \leq \frac{2^{n}}{e^{\bar{\lambda}_{\min } t / 2}}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to choose $\beta>2 \log (2) / \bar{\lambda}_{\min }$ to complete the proof.
Lemma 4.3. Consider the RGTRE defined as above. The there exists a>0 such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$,

$$
a\|x\|_{S} \leq \mathbb{E}[c(x)]
$$

Proof. It is a well-known fact that, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every e $\in E$, that $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(\tau(\mathrm{e})=0)=0$ and, therefore, $\mathbb{P}(\tau(\mathrm{e})=0)=0$. By the right continuity of the cumulative distribution function, one can find $\delta>0$ and $p \geq 0$ such that, for every e $\in E$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau(\mathrm{e})<\delta)=p<\frac{1}{|S|-1}
$$

We use similar arguments as those employed in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we may consider $Y \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n, 1-p)$ over $P$. Then there exists $\alpha>0$ such that, for any $\gamma \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(T(\gamma) \leq \alpha n) \leq P(Y \leq \alpha n / \delta) \leq p^{n}
$$

It follows that there exists $C>0$ such that, for $\|x\|_{S}=n$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(c(x) \leq \alpha\|x\|_{S}\right) \leq\left|\mathscr{P}_{n}\right| \cdot P(Y \leq \alpha n / \delta) \leq C((|S|-1) p)^{n}
$$

Since $(|S|-1) p<1$, we can complete the proof by following the same steps as in Lemma 4.1.

It follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 that conditions (I), (II), and (II') are satisfied. Observe that $\left.\vartheta\right|_{N}$ acts ergodically on the probability space (see Example 3.1).

Therefore, building upon the preceding results, the Limiting Shape Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 apply to the RGTRE with $\Gamma=N$ nilpotent with a finite generating set $S \subseteq N \backslash([N, N] \cup$ tor $N)$ or in scenarios where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is abelian. Additionally, when $\Gamma$ is virtually nilpotent and conditions (i) and (ii) from Corollary 3.15 are satisfied, the existence of the limiting shape is also assured.
Example 4.3 (The Frog Model). The Frog Model, originally introduced by Alves et al. [1] and previously featured as an example in [19], is a discrete-time interacting particle system determined by the intersection of random walks on a graph. In this model, particles, often representing individuals, are distributed across the vertices and they can be in either active (awake) or inactive (sleeping) states. At discrete time steps, active particles perform simple random walks, while inactive ones remain stationary. The activation of an inactive particle occurs when its vertex is visited by an active counterpart, thereby characterizing an awakening process. This straightforward yet potent model serves as a valuable tool for analyzing diverse dynamic processes, such as the spread of information and disease transmission.

In our previous study Coletti and de Lima [9], we investigated the Frog Model on finitely generated groups. We can now extend our findings to virtually nilpotent groups as a consequence of Theorem 1.2. Let us define the model in detail. The initial configuration of the process at time zero begins with one particle at each vertex and the only active particle lies on the origin $e \in \Gamma$.

Set $S_{n}^{x}$ to be the simple random walk on $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ of a particle originally placed at $x \in \Gamma$ and let $t(x, y)$ be the first time the random walk $S_{n}^{x}$ visits $y \in \Gamma$, i.e., it defined the random variable $t(x, y)=\inf \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: S_{n}^{x}=y\right\}$. Note that $t(x, y)=+\infty$ with strictly positive probability.

The activation time of the particle originally positioned at $x$ is given by the random variable

$$
T(x)=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} t\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right): m \in \mathbb{N},\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m} \subseteq \Gamma, x_{0}=e\right\}
$$

Observe that $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ are not necessarily neighbours. We proved in [9] that $c(x)=T(x)$ is a subadditive cocycle with respect to the translation $\vartheta$, which is p.m.p. and an ergodic group action. Futhermore, $\tau^{(e)}(x, s x)=|T(x)-T(s x)|$ is not identically distributes as in the FPP models (see Section 2.5).

Due to the discrete time random walks, $T(x) \geq\|x\|_{S}$ and therefore ( $\mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ) is immediately satisfied. The at least linear growth in virtually nilpotent group was already investigated in [9]. Hence, condition (I) is a consequence of the following result.

Lemma 4.4 (Prop. 2.10 of [9]). Let $\Gamma$ be a group of polynomial growth rate $D \geq 3$ with a symmetric finite generating set $S \subseteq \Gamma \backslash\{e\}$. Then there exists $\mathrm{C}, \varkappa>0$ and $\beta>1$ such that, for all $x \in \Gamma$ and every $t>\beta\|x\|_{S}$, one has

$$
\mathbb{P}(T(x) \geq t) \leq \mathrm{C} \exp \left(-t^{\varkappa}\right)
$$

Consider now $\Gamma$ as a group with polynomial growth rate $D \geq 3$ generated by a symmetric finite set $S \subseteq \Gamma \backslash\{e\}$. According to Theorem 1.2 and the preceding results, it can be inferred that the Frog Model on $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, S)$ exhibits a limiting shape when $\langle\llbracket S \rrbracket\rangle$ generates an abelian group $\Gamma^{\prime}$. This phenomenon can be exemplified by
the generalized dihedral group $\Gamma=\operatorname{Dih}(N)$ when $N$ is a finitely generated abelian group with polynomial growth rate $D \geq 3$ (see Example 2.3).

## 5. Final Remarks

In this work, we have successfully established the Asymptotic Shape Theorem for random subadditive processes on both nilpotent and virtually nilpotent groups. By extending existing results in the literature, we have achieved a comprehensive understanding of the behavior of these processes under more relaxed growth conditions-both at least and at most linear growth. This broadening of applicability enhances the utility of our results in diverse mathematical contexts.

A noteworthy contribution of our work lies in the exploration of FPP models, a crucial class of processes meeting the considered conditions, especially the innerness property. Leveraging this, we were able to derive a corollary for the limiting shape in FPP models, thereby extending the reach of our results to encompass this important and widely studied class of random processes.

Moreover, our presentation of examples generalizes previously known results in shape theorems. These examples illustrate scenarios where the strong restriction of $L^{\infty}$ cocycles is alleviated, emphasizing the versatility of our established theorems in capturing a broader range of applications.

Looking forward, possible future research may involve the exploration of other types of random variables exhibiting almost subadditive behavior. Additionally, considering point processes on nilpotent Lie groups to define random graphs opens up intriguing possibilities for further investigation. An interesting direction for future works could involve refining our theorems based on the generating set, recognizing the crucial role it plays in certain key aspects. Such refinements could leverage quasi-isometric properties, offering a more nuanced understanding of the interplay between the generating set and the behavior of random subadditive processes.
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