

RATIONAL ELLIPTICITY OF G -MANIFOLDS FROM THEIR QUOTIENTS

ELAHE KHALILI SAMANI AND MARCO RADESCHI

ABSTRACT. We prove that if a compact, simply connected Riemannian G -manifold M has orbit space M/G isometric to some other quotient N/H with N having zero topological entropy, then M is rationally elliptic. This result, which generalizes most conditions on rational ellipticity, is a particular case of a more general result involving manifold submetrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

When studying Riemannian manifolds with lower sectional curvature bound, one important principle guiding the open problems in the area is that non-negative sectional curvature should somehow imply “simple topology”. One of the most precise and far-reaching versions of this principle is the Bott-Grove-Halperin conjecture, stating that a closed simply-connected Riemannian manifold with non-negative curvature is *rationally elliptic*, that is, the total rational homotopy $\pi_*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} \pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is finite dimensional. Since by [4] rational ellipticity is known to imply a number of important topological restrictions conjectured to hold in non-negative curvature, such as a sharp upper bound on the total Betti numbers or the non-negativity of the Euler characteristic (cf. for example [3], Ch. 32 and references therein), finding geometric conditions that imply rational ellipticity is particularly desirable.

On the one hand, in [18] Paternain and Petean proved that rational ellipticity follows from a dynamical concept called *zero topological entropy* (cf. Section 2.3 for the definition). On the other hand, a series of papers shows that a closed, simply connected Riemannian manifold M with an isometric action by a compact Lie group G is rationally elliptic, under either of the following conditions:

- $\dim M/G = 1$ (Grove-Halperin [6]).
- The G -action is *polar* (cf. Section 2.5) and the section is either flat or spherical (Grove-Ziller [8]).
- M is *almost non-negatively curved* and $\dim M/G = 2$ (Grove-Wilking-Yeager [7]).

The main result of this paper is a new geometric condition to rational ellipticity, which somehow merges the symmetry and topological entropy conditions into a unique framework:

Theorem A. *Let M and N be closed Riemannian manifolds which admit isometric actions by compact Lie groups G and H , respectively. Assume that the quotient spaces M/G and N/H are isometric. If M is simply connected and N has zero topological entropy, then M is rationally elliptic.*

Remark 1.1. Theorem A implies all the results mentioned above, since:

- (1) Applying the theorem with $M = N$ and $G = H = \{e\}$ implies the result of Paternain and Petean [18]. It should be mentioned, however, that the result of Paternain and Petean also applies when M is not simply connected.
- (2) If $\dim M/G = 1$, then applying the theorem with $N = S^1$, $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (acting on S^1 as a reflection) gives the result of Grove-Halperin [6].

- (3) If the G -action is *polar* and the section is flat or spherical, then letting N be a compact quotient of the section, and H the Weyl group acting on N , one gets the result of Grove-Ziller [8].
- (4) If M is *almost non-negatively curved* and $\dim M/G = 2$, then it was proved in [7] that up to changing the metric on M , the quotient M/G is isometric to either S^3/S^1 , S^2/Γ or T^2/Γ' for some discrete groups of isometries Γ, Γ' . In either case, there is an obvious choice of N and H in Theorem A which implies the result of Grove-Yeager-Wilking [7].

At the same time, however, our result uses in a fundamental way the results in the aforementioned papers, especially the approaches of Paternain-Petean and Grove-Yeager-Wilking.

Remark 1.2. By the result of [18], the manifold N in Theorem A is in particular rationally elliptic. We do not know whether it is possible to relax the assumption of Theorem A to N being rationally elliptic. If this was possible, it would mean that the rational ellipticity of a G -manifold M depends only on the geometry of the orbit space M/G .

Theorem A is a special case of the following more general result, in which quotients by isometric actions get replaced by *manifold submetries* (cf. Section 2.2):

Theorem B. *Let M, N be closed Riemannian manifolds, with M simply connected and N with zero topological entropy. Suppose $\pi_M : M \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_N : N \rightarrow X$ are manifold submetries. If π_M has rationally elliptic generic fibers, then M is rationally elliptic.*

Recall that an action of a Lie group on a Riemannian manifold is called *infinitesimally polar* if all of its isotropy representations are polar. By Lytchak-Thorbergsson [13], this is equivalent to the quotient space of the action being an orbifold. As an application of Theorem A, we prove the following:

Theorem C. *Suppose M is a closed, simply connected, non-negatively curved Riemannian manifold. If M admits an isometric, cohomogeneity three, infinitesimally polar action by a compact Lie group G , then M is rationally elliptic.*

We believe that Theorem C could also be proved using the techniques in Grove-Wilking-Yeager [7], although that would involve more of a case-by-case study of the possible quotient spaces. On the other hand, we believe Theorem A could be used in other situations as well, such as, proving rational ellipticity for non-negatively curved manifold of cohomogeneity 3 (without the infinitesimally polar condition), at least for positively curved manifolds. The project is currently at an advanced stage, and it will be the topic of a forthcoming paper.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we recall the basic facts about the concepts used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we prove Theorem B and Theorem A by generalizing a fundamental technical lemma of [18]. Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorem C.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Fred Wilhelm for his interest in the manuscript, and for pointing out imprecisions in an earlier version of the manuscript.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Alexandrov spaces. A metric space (X, d) is called a *length space* if for any pair of points x, y in X the distance between x and y is the infimum of the lengths of curves connecting x and y , and a *geodesic space* if this infimum is always achieved by some shortest curve. An *Alexandrov space* is a geodesic space with a lower curvature bound in the comparison

geometry sense (for more details, see [1] and [2]). Given an Alexandrov space X , a curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$ which locally minimizes the distance is called a *geodesic*. If γ_1 and γ_2 are two geodesics starting at $x \in X$, the angle between them is defined as the limit

$$\lim_{y,z \rightarrow x} \angle yxz,$$

where y and z are points on γ_1 and γ_2 , respectively. Two geodesics starting at x are called equivalent if the angle between them equals zero. Let $\Sigma'_x X$ denote the set of equivalence classes of geodesics starting at x . Then $\Sigma'_x X$ forms a metric space in which the distance is the angle between the geodesics. The metric completion of $\Sigma'_x X$, denoted by $\Sigma_x X$, is called the *space of directions* of X at x . The cone over $\Sigma_x X$, which is defined by

$$T_x X := (\Sigma_x X \times [0, \infty)) / (x_1, 0) \sim (x_2, 0),$$

is called the *tangent cone* of X at x .

2.2. Manifold submetries. Let M be a Riemannian manifold, and let X be a metric space. A manifold submetry from M to X is a continuous map $\sigma : M \rightarrow X$ such that the fibers of σ are submanifolds of M , and moreover, σ sends metric balls to metric balls of the same radius. Given a compact Riemannian manifold M and a manifold submetry $\sigma : M \rightarrow X$, the fibers of σ are equidistant. If M has a lower bound κ on its sectional curvature, then X is an Alexandrov space with the same lower curvature bound κ .

Remark 2.1. It is known that, given a manifold submetry $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ and a vector $x \in TM$ perpendicular to the π -fiber through that point, the geodesic $\exp(tx)$ is perpendicular to all the π -fibers it meets (cf. for example [12], Proposition 12.5). Such a geodesic is called a *horizontal geodesic*.

One important observation made in [16, Lemma 12], which will be useful to us, is that given a manifold submetry $\pi : M \rightarrow X$, the projections on X of horizontal geodesics in M can be defined metrically purely in terms of X - such projections are called *quotient geodesics*. As a consequence, given manifold submetries $\pi : M \rightarrow X$ and $\pi' : M' \rightarrow X$ over the same base X , the π -projections of π -horizontal geodesics in M coincide with the π' -projections of π' -horizontal geodesics in M' , which coincide with the quotient geodesics of X .

2.3. The topological entropy. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and let $\phi_t : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous flow, that is, a continuous map satisfying $\phi_{t+s} = \phi_t \circ \phi_s$. For any $T > 0$, define a new metric d_T on X by

$$d_T(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} d(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)).$$

Let N_T^ϵ denote the minimum number of ϵ -balls in the metric d_T that are needed to cover X . The topological entropy of the flow ϕ_t is defined by

$$h_{\text{top}}(\phi_t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log(N_T^\epsilon).$$

For a closed Riemannian manifold M with tangent bundle TM , the geodesic flow $\phi_t : TM \rightarrow TM$ of M is defined by $\phi_t(x, v) = (\gamma_v(t), \gamma'_v(t))$, where $\gamma_v(t)$ is the unique geodesic satisfying $\gamma_v(0) = x$ and $\gamma'_v(0) = v$. The geodesic flow leaves invariant the unit tangent bundle SM of M and it thus induces a geodesic flow $\phi_t : SM \rightarrow SM$. The topological entropy of M , denoted by $h_{\text{top}}(M)$, is by definition the topological entropy of the geodesic flow $\phi_t : SM \rightarrow SM$.

2.4. Simplicial approximation. Let X and K be finite simplicial complexes, and let $\Phi : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ be a continuous map. Here, we recall the construction of simplicial approximation of Φ as introduced in [18].

Given a positive integer k , we define a simplicial structure on $[0, 1]$ by dividing the interval into k sub-intervals of equal length. This, together with the simplicial structure on X , gives a cellular decomposition on $X \times [0, 1]$. A map $R : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is called *simplicial* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For every $0 \leq j \leq k$, the restriction $R|_{X \times \{j/k\}}$ is a simplicial map.
- (2) For $x \in X$ and $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, $R(x, j/k)$ and $R(x, (j+1)/k)$ belong to the same simplex of K , and moreover, the restriction of R to the vertical segment $\{x\} \times [j/k, (j+1)/k]$ is linear.

A simplicial approximation of Φ is by definition a simplicial map $R : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ homotopic to Φ . Given a map Φ we will consider a simplicial approximation R defined so that for every $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, one has $R(x, t) \in \text{Carrier}(\Phi(x, t))$, where $\text{Carrier}(\Phi(x, t))$ is the smallest simplex of K containing $\Phi(x, t)$.

We refer the reader to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [18] for verification that the map $R : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ defined as follows indeed satisfies the condition $R(x, t) \in \text{Carrier}(\Phi(x, t))$ above. Given a vertex $(v, j/k)$ of $X \times [0, 1]$, the open star of $(v, j/k)$, denoted by $\text{Star}(v, j/k)$, is defined by $\text{Star}(v, j/k) := \text{Star}(v) \times ((j-1)/k, (j+1)/k)$, where $\text{Star}(v)$ is the union of the interior of all the simplices of X that contain v . After possibly subdividing simplices of X and taking k large enough, we may assume that $\text{Star}(v, j/k) \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\text{Star}(w))$ for some $w \in K$. We define $R(v, j/k) = w$ and extend R to each $X \times \{j/k\}$ as a simplicial approximation of $\Phi|_{X \times \{j/k\}}$.

We now prove that given $x \in X$ and $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, $R(x, j/k)$ and $R(x, (j+1)/k)$ belong to the same simplex of K , and thus one can extend R as a simplicial map on $X \times [0, 1]$. Let $\sigma := \text{carrier}(x)$, and let v_1, \dots, v_l be the vertices of σ . For $1 \leq i \leq l$, set $w_i := R(v_i, j/k)$ and $w_{i+l} := R(v_i, (j+1)/k)$. By definition of the open star of a vertex of $X \times [0, 1]$, it follows that

$$\text{interior}(\sigma) \times (j/k, (j+1)/k) \subseteq \text{Star}(v_i, m/k), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ and } m = j, j+1.$$

Therefore,

$$(1) \quad \Phi(\text{interior}(\sigma) \times (j/k, (j+1)/k)) \subseteq \Phi(\text{Star}(v_i, m/k)), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ and } m = j, j+1.$$

Furthermore, since $w_i = R(v_i, j/k)$ and $w_{i+l} = R(v_i, (j+1)/k)$, we have:

$$(2) \quad \Phi(\text{Star}(v_i, j/k)) \subseteq \text{Star}(w_i), \quad \Phi(\text{Star}(v_i, (j+1)/k)) \subseteq \text{Star}(w_{i+l}).$$

Equations (1) and (2) imply that the set $\text{Star}(w_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{Star}(w_{2l})$ is non-empty. Hence by Lemma 2C.2 in [9], w_1, \dots, w_{2l} are vertices of a simplex in K . In particular, $R(x, j/k)$ and $R(x, (j+1)/k)$ belong to the same simplex of K .

2.5. Polar actions. An isometric action of a Lie group G on a closed Riemannian manifold M is called polar if there exists a closed, connected, immersed submanifold Σ of M , called a section, that meets all the G -orbits orthogonally. Given a polar G -manifold M with a section Σ , the normalizer $N(\Sigma)$ and the centralizer $Z(\Sigma)$ of Σ are the subgroups of G defined by $N(\Sigma) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot \Sigma = \Sigma\}$ and $Z(\Sigma) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot p = p, \forall p \in \Sigma\}$. Note that $N(\Sigma)$ acts on Σ and the kernel of this action equals $Z(\Sigma)$. Hence there is an effective action of $N(\Sigma)/Z(\Sigma)$ on Σ . The quotient group $W(\Sigma) := N(\Sigma)/Z(\Sigma)$ is called the generalized Weyl group of the action. It is a well-known fact that the inclusion $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ induces an isometry $\Sigma/W(\Sigma) \rightarrow M/G$ (see, for example, [5, Proposition 1.3.2]).

3. G -MANIFOLDS

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem B. One of the key tools here is a generalization of Lemma 2.1 in [18].

Suppose that M is a compact Riemannian manifold. Given $p \in M$ and a closed submanifold L of M , we denote by $\Omega(L, p)$ the space of piecewise smooth paths starting from some point in L and ending at p . Moreover, for any real number r , $\Omega^r(L, p)$ denotes the subset of $\Omega(L, p)$ consisting of paths of length at most r . Similarly, given a CW complex K with a length space structure, a subcomplex K' of K , and a point $q \in K$, we can define the spaces $\Omega(K', q)$ and $\Omega^r(K', q)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that M is a compact Riemannian manifold and L is a closed submanifold of M . Suppose moreover that there exists a continuous map $f : (K, K') \rightarrow (M, L)$, where K is a finite, simply connected simplicial complex and K' is a subcomplex of K . Let q be a vertex of K and let $\Omega(f) : \Omega(K', q) \rightarrow \Omega(L, f(q))$ be the map induced by f . Then there exists a constant C such that for any $\beta \in H_i(\Omega(K', q))$, the class $\Omega(f)_*(\beta)$ can be represented by a cycle whose image lies in $\Omega^{Ci}(L, f(q))$.*

Proof. Note that we may consider K as a subsimplex of a standard simplex Δ^N and restrict the standard metric on Δ^N to get a metric on K . The restriction of f to each simplex of K is homotopic to a smooth, and hence Lipschitz, map with respect to the induced metric. Therefore, f is homotopic to a map which is Lipschitz and thus can be viewed as a Lipschitz map itself.

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \Omega(K', q)$ be a map from an i -dimensional simplicial complex X . Then ϕ induces a map $\Phi : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ given by $\Phi(x, t) = (\phi(x))(t)$.

Let $R : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ be the simplicial approximation of Φ discussed in Section 2.4. First, we claim R can be chosen so that $R(x, 1) = q$ for all $x \in X$. In order to see this, consider a vertex $(v, 1)$ of $X \times \{1\}$. By construction, $R(v, 1)$ is a vertex of K satisfying $\Phi(\text{Star}(v) \times ((k-1)/k, 1]) \subseteq \text{Star}(R(v, 1))$. Moreover, since Φ is continuous, by subdividing simplices of X and choosing k large enough, we may assume that $\Phi(\text{Star}(v) \times ((k-1)/k, 1])$ is a subset of the union of all simplices containing $\Phi(\text{Star}(v) \times \{1\}) = q$. In other words, $\Phi(\text{Star}(v) \times ((k-1)/k, 1]) \subseteq \text{Star}(q)$. Therefore, we can choose $R(v, 1) = q$ for every vertex v of X . Since $R|_{X \times \{1\}}$ is the simplicial approximation of $\Phi|_{X \times \{1\}}$, the claim follows.

Next, we prove R can be chosen so that $R(x, 0) \in K'$ for all $x \in X$. Consider a vertex $(v, 0)$ of $X \times \{0\}$. Since $\Phi(v, 0) \in K'$, there exists a vertex w in K' such that $\Phi(v, 0) \in \text{Star}(w)$. Note that $\text{Star}(\Phi(v, 0)) \subseteq \text{Star}(w)$. Indeed, if σ is an open simplex of K whose closure contains $\Phi(v, 0)$, then $\bar{\sigma}$ must contain $\text{Carrier}(\Phi(v, 0))$ and hence $\overline{\text{Carrier}(\Phi(v, 0))}$. Since $\Phi(v, 0) \in \text{Star}(w)$, we have $w \in \overline{\text{Carrier}(\Phi(v, 0))}$. Therefore, $w \in \bar{\sigma}$. This proves that

$$\text{Star}(\Phi(v, 0)) = \bigcup_{\Phi(v, 0) \in \bar{\sigma}} \sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in \bar{\sigma}} \sigma = \text{Star}(w),$$

as claimed. Furthermore, if $u \in \text{Star}(v, 0)$, then there exists an open cell τ of $X \times [0, 1]$ such that $u \in \tau$ and $(v, 0) \in \bar{\tau}$. By subdividing simplices of X and taking k large enough, we may assume that $\Phi(\tau) \subseteq \tau'$ for some open simplex τ' of K . Now, $\Phi(u) \in \tau'$ and $\Phi(v, 0) \in \Phi(\bar{\tau}) \subseteq \overline{\Phi(\tau)} \subseteq \bar{\tau}'$. This proves that $\Phi(\text{Star}(v, 0)) \subseteq \text{Star}(\Phi(v, 0))$. Altogether, we get $\Phi(\text{Star}(v, 0)) \subseteq \text{Star}(w)$. Hence we may choose $R(v, 0)$ to be w . Therefore, $R(v, 0) \in K'$ for every vertex v of X . Now, let $x \in X$ be arbitrary and let v_1, \dots, v_n be the vertices of $\text{Carrier}(x)$. Since $R|_{X \times \{0\}}$ is simplicial, $R(\text{Carrier}(x) \times \{0\})$ is a simplex σ_0 of K . Moreover, the vertices of σ_0 are $R(v_1, 0), \dots, R(v_n, 0)$ which are contained in K' . After barycentric subdivision of simplices

of K , if necessary, we may assume that any simplex of K whose vertices lie in K' is completely contained in K' . Therefore, $\sigma_0 \subseteq K'$ without loss of generality. Since $R(x, 0) \in \sigma_0$, it follows that $R(x, 0) \in K'$.

By the discussion above, R can be viewed as a map $r : X \rightarrow \Omega(K', q)$. Let $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$ denote the space of paths that are linear on each segment $[j/k, (j+1)/k]$, where for a path in K to be linear means that it is linear when viewed as a path in the standard simplex Δ^N containing K . By construction, the image of r is contained in $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$. Furthermore, every element of $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$ corresponds to a point in $K' \times K^{k-1} \subseteq K^k$. Hence we may assume that $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$ is a subset of K^k . Therefore, the simplicial structure on K^k induces a cellular decomposition on $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$ as follows. To every path c in $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q) \subseteq K^k$, we assign the sequence $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ of simplices in K such that $c(j/k) \in \sigma_{j+1}$ for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. In this way, $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$ can be viewed as the union of simplices $\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_k$ such that σ_j and σ_{j+1} are faces of the same simplex of K for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, and moreover, σ_k and q are contained in the same simplex.

After possibly another homotopy, we may assume that the image of r lies in the i -th skeleton of $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$. Now, consider a path c in the i -th skeleton of $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$. Then c is contained in a cell $\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_k$ of $\Omega_{pl,k}(K', q)$ such that $\sum_j \dim(\sigma_j) \leq i$. Since $\sum_j \dim(\sigma_j) \leq i$, the number of the simplices σ_j such that σ_j is not a vertex of K is at most i . By the way we defined the simplices σ_j in the previous paragraph, it follows that $c(j/k)$ is not a vertex of K for at most i of the values $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. But this implies that there are at most $2i$ segments of c at least one of whose endpoints is not a vertex. Altogether, we get that c consists of segments joining a pair of vertices of K , and at most $2i$ segments in which at least one of the endpoints is not a vertex. Since K is simply connected, there exists a map $g : K \rightarrow K$ homotopic to the identity that maps the 1-skeleton of K to a point. The segments of c that join a pair of vertices of K are sent by g to a point, while the other segments of c are sent to paths of length bounded by a constant C' depending only on g . Since the number of the latter segments was at most $2i$, the length of $g \circ c$ is bounded by $2C'i$.

We can finally prove the Lemma. Given $\beta \in H_i(\Omega(K', q))$, we can represent β by a map $F : K'' \rightarrow \Omega(K', q)$ from some simplicial complex K'' . By the argument in the previous paragraphs, F can be homotoped to a map $F' : K'' \rightarrow \Omega(K', q)$ with image in $\Omega^{2C'i}(K', q)$, which still represents β . Since f is Lipschitz, $\Omega(f)_*(\beta)$ can be represented by a cycle whose image is contained in $\Omega^{2C'i\ell}(L, f(q))$, where ℓ is the Lipschitz constant of f . Letting $C = 2C'i\ell$, the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that M is a compact, simply connected Riemannian manifold. Let $p \in M$ and let L be a closed submanifold of M . Then there exists a constant C such that every $\beta \in H_i(\Omega(L, p))$ can be represented by a cycle whose image lies in $\Omega^{C^i}(L, p)$.*

Proof. By the argument used in the proof of Lemma 4 in [10], there exists a homotopy equivalence $f : (K, K') \rightarrow (M, L)$, where K is a simplicial complex and K' is a subcomplex of K . Moreover, since M is simply connected, K is simply connected as well. Now, for $q \in K$, define the map $\psi : \pi_{i-1}(\Omega(K', q)) \rightarrow \pi_i(K, K')$ as follows. Given $[\gamma] \in \pi_{i-1}(\Omega(K', q))$, consider the map $\Gamma : S^{i-1} \times I \rightarrow K$ defined by $\Gamma(x, t) = (\gamma(x))(t)$. Then $\Gamma(x, 0) \in K'$ and $\Gamma(x, 1) = q$ for all $x \in S^{i-1}$. Therefore, Γ induces a map

$$\bar{\Gamma} : D^i \simeq (S^{i-1} \times I) / (S^{i-1} \times \{1\}) \rightarrow K$$

satisfying $\bar{\Gamma}([x, 0]) \in K'$ for all $x \in S^{i-1}$. In other words, $\bar{\Gamma}(\partial D^i) \in K'$ and hence $[\bar{\Gamma}]$ is an element of $\pi_i(K, K')$. Set $\psi([\gamma]) = [\bar{\Gamma}]$. Similarly, define $\psi' : \pi_{i-1}(\Omega(L, f(q))) \rightarrow \pi_i(M, L)$.

One can easily check that the maps ψ and ψ' are isomorphisms and, moreover, that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{i-1}(\Omega(K', q)) & \xrightarrow{\Omega(f)_*} & \pi_{i-1}(\Omega(L, f(q))) \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi' \\ \pi_i(K, K') & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \pi_i(M, L) \end{array}$$

Since ψ , ψ' , and $f_* : \pi_i(K, K') \rightarrow \pi_i(M, L)$ are isomorphisms, it follows that the map $\Omega(f) : \Omega(K', q) \rightarrow \Omega(L, f(q))$ induces an isomorphism on the homotopy groups. Therefore, $\Omega(f)$ is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem. Furthermore, since for any $p, p' \in M$, the spaces $\Omega(L, p)$ and $\Omega(L, p')$ are homotopy equivalent, we may assume that $p = f(q)$ for some vertex q of K . The result now follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. Let X^{reg} denote the set of manifold points of X , and let $N^{\text{reg}} := \pi_N^{-1}(X^{\text{reg}})$. Fix $x \in X^{\text{reg}}$. By Theorem 3.27 in [17], we have:

$$(3) \quad h_{\text{top}}(N) \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_N n_T(\pi_N^{-1}(x), \bar{y}) d\bar{y} = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{N^{\text{reg}}} n_T(\pi_N^{-1}(x), \bar{y}) d\bar{y},$$

where $n_T(\pi_N^{-1}(x), \bar{y})$ denotes the number of horizontal geodesics of length at most T between $\pi_N^{-1}(x)$ and \bar{y} . Since $\pi_N|_{N^{\text{reg}}} : N^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow X^{\text{reg}}$ is a Riemannian submersion, we can apply Fubini's Theorem to Equation (3):

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(N) &\geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{N^{\text{reg}}} n_T(\pi_N^{-1}(x), \bar{y}) d\bar{y} \\ &= \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{X^{\text{reg}}} \left(\int_{\pi_N^{-1}(y)} n_T(\pi_N^{-1}(x), \bar{y}) d\bar{y} \Big|_{\pi_N^{-1}(y)} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, choose $y \in X^{\text{reg}}$ and $\bar{y} \in \pi_N^{-1}(y)$. As discussed in Section 2.2, every quotient geodesic in X is the image under π_N of a horizontal geodesic in N . Since y is a manifold point of X , every quotient geodesic from x to y is the image of a unique horizontal geodesic between $\pi_N^{-1}(x)$ and \bar{y} . Therefore, letting $n_T(x, y)$ denote the number of quotient geodesics between x and y , we get $n_T(\pi_N^{-1}(x), \bar{y}) = n_T(x, y)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(N) &\geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{X^{\text{reg}}} \left(\int_{\pi_N^{-1}(y)} n_T(x, y) d\bar{y} \Big|_{\pi_N^{-1}(y)} \right) dy \\ &= \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{X^{\text{reg}}} n_T(x, y) \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, for $y' \in \pi_M^{-1}(y)$, one has $n_T(x, y) = n_T(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y')$. Altogether, we get that

$$(4) \quad h_{\text{top}}(N) \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{X^{\text{reg}}} n_T(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y') \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy.$$

Note that the horizontal geodesics of length at most T between $\pi_M^{-1}(x)$ and y' correspond to the critical points of the energy functional $E : \Omega^T(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since a generic y' is

not a focal point of the fiber $\pi_M^{-1}(x)$, the energy functional is a Morse function and, by the Morse inequality,

$$n_T(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y') \geq \sum_j b_j(\Omega^T(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y')).$$

But for any fiber L of π_M , the spaces $\Omega(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y')$ and $\Omega(L, y')$ are homotopy equivalent: any choice of a path γ in X^{reg} between x and $\pi(L)$ induces a map $\Omega(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y') \rightarrow \Omega(L, y')$ sending a curve c to $c \star \bar{\gamma}_c$, where $\bar{\gamma}_c$ is the unique horizontal lift of γ starting at $c(1)$. It is easy to check that this map is a homotopy equivalence. Hence,

$$(5) \quad n_T(\pi_M^{-1}(x), y') \geq \sum_j b_j(\Omega^T(L, y')),$$

where L is a fixed fiber of π_M . Putting together Equations (4) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(N) &\geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{B_T(x) \cap X^{\text{reg}}} \left(\sum_j b_j(\Omega^T(L, y')) \right) \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{C^i} \log \int_{B_{C^i}(x) \cap X^{\text{reg}}} \left(\sum_j b_j(\Omega^{C^i}(L, y')) \right) \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where C is the constant discussed in Corollary 3.2 satisfying $H_i(\Omega(L, y')) \subseteq \iota_* H_i(\Omega^{C^i}(L, y'))$ for every i , where $\iota : \Omega^{C^i}(L, y') \rightarrow \Omega(L, y')$ denotes the inclusion. Since $H_i(\Omega(L, y')) \subseteq \iota_* H_i(\Omega^{C^i}(L, y'))$, we have $b_j(\Omega^{C^i}(L, y')) \geq b_j(\Omega(L, y'))$ for all $j \leq i$, and hence

$$\sum_j b_j(\Omega^{C^i}(L, y')) \geq \sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')).$$

Therefore, taking i_0 to be large enough such that $B_{C^{i_0}}(x) \supseteq X^{\text{reg}}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(N) &\geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{C^i} \log \int_{B_{C^i}(x) \cap X^{\text{reg}}} \left(\sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')) \right) \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy \\ &= \limsup_{\substack{i \rightarrow \infty \\ i \geq i_0}} \frac{1}{C^i} \log \left(\sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')) \int_{B_{C^i}(x) \cap X^{\text{reg}}} \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy \right) \\ &= \limsup_{\substack{i \rightarrow \infty \\ i \geq i_0}} \frac{1}{C^i} \log \left(\sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')) \int_{X^{\text{reg}}} \text{Vol}(\pi_N^{-1}(y)) dy \right) \\ &= \limsup_{\substack{i \rightarrow \infty \\ i \geq i_0}} \frac{1}{C^i} \log \left(\sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')) \text{Vol}(N) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{\substack{i \rightarrow \infty \\ i \geq i_0}} \frac{1}{C^i} \left(\log \sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')) + \log \text{Vol}(N) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{C^i} \log \sum_{j \leq i} b_j(\Omega(L, y')). \end{aligned}$$

The above equation, together with the assumption that the topological entropy of N is zero, implies that the sequence of the Betti numbers of $\Omega(L, y')$ has sub-exponential growth.

Now, consider the fibration $\Omega(L, y') \rightarrow L \rightarrow M$. By assumption, L is nilpotent and satisfies $\sum_{i \geq 2} \dim \pi_i(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q} < \infty$. Therefore, M is rationally elliptic by Theorem A.1 in [7]. \square

We end this section with the proof of the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem A. Fix $y' \in M$ and a principal orbit L of the action of G on M . As discussed in the proof of Theorem B, the sequence of the Betti numbers of $\Omega(L, y')$ has sub-exponential growth. Furthermore, L is a compact homogeneous space and hence by Proposition 3.11 in [17], $n(T)/T^n$ is uniformly bounded above, where $n = \dim L$, the metric on L is the normal homogeneous metric, and

$$n(T) = \int_{L \times L} n_T(p, q) dp dq.$$

Therefore, by Mañé's formula for the topological entropy (see [14]), it follows that

$$h_{\text{top}}(L) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \int_{L \times L} n_T(p, q) dp dq \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T^n}{T} = 0.$$

Hence L admits a metric with zero topological entropy. Moreover, L is nilpotent by Theorem A in [19]. Therefore, by applying the corollary in [18, p. 289], we get that $\sum_{i \geq 2} \dim \pi_i(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q} < \infty$. Again, Theorem A.1 in [7] implies that M is rationally elliptic. \square

4. NON-NEGATIVELY CURVED, COHOMOGENEITY THREE MANIFOLDS

In this section, we apply Theorem A to prove rational ellipticity of simply connected, non-negatively curved Riemannian manifolds which admit cohomogeneity three, infinitesimally polar actions. Before proceeding, we collect some lemmas required for the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose a Lie group G acts isometrically on a closed Riemannian manifold (M, \hat{g}) . Fix $p \in M$, and let L_p denote the orbit through p . Assume N is an open subset of $(T_p L_p)^{\perp_{\hat{g}}}$ that is invariant under the action of G_p . Assume, moreover, that the map $f : (G \times N)/G_p \rightarrow M$ sending $[h, w]$ to $\exp_{h \cdot p}(h_* w)$ (exponential taken with respect to the metric \hat{g}) is a diffeomorphism onto its image, and let B denote the image of f . Then for any G_p -invariant Riemannian metric \tilde{g} on N , there exists a G -invariant Riemannian metric g on B such that the exponential map $(N, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (B, g)$ induces an isometry between the quotient spaces N/G_p and B/G .*

Proof. Let $\pi : B \rightarrow L_p$ denote the closest-point projection map with respect to \hat{g} . By the assumptions of the Lemma, for $p' \in L_p$ and $v \in (T_{p'} L_p)^{\perp_{\hat{g}}}$ such that $\exp_{p'}(v) \in B$, we have $\pi(\exp_{p'}(v)) = p'$. Define distributions \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} of TB , as follows:

- $\mathcal{K}_q = \ker(d_q \pi)$.
- $\mathcal{V}_q = \{X_q^* \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}, X \perp \mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}\}$, where \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}$ denote the Lie algebras of G and $G_{\pi(q)}$, respectively, and where the orthogonality is with respect to some fixed bi-invariant metric on G .

Notice the following things about \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} :

- (1) **They are distributions:** on the one hand, it is clear that $\dim \mathcal{K}_q = \text{codim}(L_p)$ is independent of q and that \mathcal{K} is a distribution. As for \mathcal{V} , notice that, since the isotropy group G_q is contained in $G_{\pi(q)}$ for every $q \in B$, one has $\dim \mathcal{V}_q = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\pi(q)} = \dim G - \dim G_{\pi(q)} = \dim L_p$ for every $q \in B$. Hence the dimension is constant, and it is easy to see that \mathcal{V} is then a distribution as well.

- (2) **They are G -invariant:** On the one hand, since π is G -equivariant, we have $\pi(h \cdot q) = h \cdot \pi(q)$ for any $h \in G$, and thus $(d_{h \cdot q} \pi) \circ h_* = h_* \circ d_q \pi$, which implies that $h_*(\mathcal{K}_q) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{h \cdot q}$ and thus \mathcal{K} is G -invariant. Furthermore, given any $h \in G$ and letting $h : M \rightarrow M$ denote the corresponding smooth map, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{h \cdot q} &= \{X_{h \cdot q}^* \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}, X \perp \mathfrak{g}_{h \cdot \pi(q)}\} \\
&= \{X_{h \cdot q}^* \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}, X \perp \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}\} && \text{(since } \mathfrak{g}_{h \cdot \pi(q)} = \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}\text{)} \\
&= \{(\text{Ad}(h)Y)_{h \cdot q}^* \mid Y \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \perp \mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}\} && \text{(since } \text{Ad}(h) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \text{ is an isometry)} \\
&= \{h_*(Y_q^*) \mid Y \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \perp \mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}\} && \text{(since } h_*(Y_q^*) = (\text{Ad}(h)Y)_{h \cdot q}^*\text{)} \\
&= h_*\mathcal{V}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathcal{V} is G -invariant as well.

- (3) **They are complementary:**

- As observed above, $\dim \mathcal{V} + \dim \mathcal{K} = \dim B$,
- for all $q \in B$, $\mathcal{K} = \ker d_q \pi$ while $d_q \pi|_{\mathcal{V}_q} : \mathcal{V}_q \rightarrow T_{\pi(q)}L_p$ is injective, therefore $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{V} = 0$.

By the discussion above, every vector in TB can be written uniquely as $x + v$ where $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Now, define the metric g on B as follows: given $q = f([h, w]) = \exp_{h \cdot p}(h_*w)$, let

$$g_q(x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2) = \hat{g}_q(v_1, v_2) + \tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}(h^{-1} \cdot q)}((\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_1, (\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_2).$$

This metric has the following properties:

- (1) g is well defined and a smooth metric: given a different representation $q = f([hm^{-1}, m_*w])$ for some $m \in G_p$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_q(x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2) &= \hat{g}_q(v_1, v_2) + \tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}(mh^{-1} \cdot q)}((\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ m_*((h^{-1})_* x_1), (\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ m_*((h^{-1})_* x_2)) \\
&= \hat{g}_q(v_1, v_2) + \tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}(mh^{-1} \cdot q)}((m \circ \exp_p^{-1})_*((h^{-1})_* x_1), (m \circ \exp_p^{-1})_*((h^{-1})_* x_2)) \\
&= \hat{g}_q(v_1, v_2) + \tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}(h^{-1} \cdot q)}((\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_1, (\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the G_p -equivariance of the exponential map and the third equality holds because \tilde{g} is G_p -invariant. This proves well-definedness. Since \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} are complementary, it follows that g is a metric. Finally, since \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} are smooth, the projections onto \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} are smooth, which implies that both terms in the definition of g are smooth.

- (2) g is G -invariant: given $z_i = x_i + v_i \in T_q B$, $i = 1, 2$, with $q = f([h, w])$, and given $m \in G$, it follows from G -invariance of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} that $m_*z_i = m_*x_i + m_*v_i$ is the decomposition of m_*z_i into \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} -components. Furthermore, since $m \cdot q = f([mh, w])$, the second term in the expression for $g_{m \cdot q}(m_*x_1 + m_*v_1, m_*x_2 + m_*v_2)$ equals

$$\tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}((mh)^{-1} \cdot (m \cdot q))}((\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (mh)_*^{-1}(m_*x_1), (\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (mh)_*^{-1}(m_*x_2)).$$

Altogether, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{m \cdot q}(m_*z_1, m_*z_2) &= g_{m \cdot q}(m_*x_1 + m_*v_1, m_*x_2 + m_*v_2) \\
&= \hat{g}_{m \cdot q}(m_*v_1, m_*v_2) + \tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}(h^{-1} \cdot q)}((\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_1, (\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_2) \\
&= \hat{g}_q(v_1, v_2) + \tilde{g}_{\exp_p^{-1}(h^{-1} \cdot q)}((\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_1, (\exp_p^{-1})_* \circ (h^{-1})_* x_2) \\
&= g_q(x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2) \\
&= g_q(z_1, z_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We are left to prove that the exponential map $(N, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (B, g)$ induces an isometry between the orbit spaces N/G_p and B/G . It is enough to check this on the regular part, so let $y_* \in T_{w_*}(N/G_p)^{\text{reg}}$, and let $y \in T_w N$ denote a \tilde{g} -horizontal lift of y_* via the canonical projection $N \rightarrow N/G_p$. Define $q := \exp_p w$, and notice $x := (\exp_p)_*(y) \in \mathcal{K}_q$. In particular, x is g -perpendicular to \mathcal{V} (since \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{K} are g -orthogonal by the definition of g) and x is g -perpendicular to all action fields X^* with $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pi(q)}$ (because the restriction of g to \mathcal{K} is equal to \tilde{g} and y is \tilde{g} -horizontal). By the definition of \mathcal{V} , it then turns out that x is g -perpendicular to all action fields X^* with $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, or equivalently, x is g -horizontal. Let q_* denote the image of q in B/G , and let $x_* \in T_{q_*}(B/G)$ denote the projection of x . We conclude that

$$\|x_*\|_{B/G} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \|x\|_g \stackrel{(2)}{=} \|y\|_{\tilde{g}} = \|y_*\|_{N/G_p},$$

where equality (1) follows from the fact that $(B, g) \rightarrow B/G$ is a Riemannian submersion on the regular part and x is a g -horizontal lift of x_* , and (2) follows from the definition of g . This proves the lemma. \square

The following result is briefly discussed in a Remark in [7]. We provide a more elaborate proof here.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose (M, \hat{g}) is a closed Riemannian manifold which admits an isometric action by a Lie group G such that the quotient space M/G is an orbifold. Then given an orbifold metric g_0 on M/G , there exists a G -invariant Riemannian metric g on M such that the projection $\Pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (M/G, g_0)$ is an orbifold Riemannian submersion.*

Proof. For $p \in M$, let L_p denote the orbit through p and let $\nu_p L_p = (T_p L_p)^\perp$. Since M/G is an orbifold, the result of Lytchak-Thorbergsson [13] implies that for $p \in M$, the action of G_p on $\nu_p L_p$ is polar. In particular, there is a totally geodesic section $\Sigma_p \subseteq \nu_p L_p$ and an isometric action of the Weyl group W_p on Σ_p (cf. Section 2.5), so that the inclusion $\Sigma_p \hookrightarrow \nu_p L_p$ induces a homeomorphism $\Sigma_p/W_p \rightarrow \nu_p L_p/G_p$.

Now, cover the quotient space M/G with open balls $U_i := B_\epsilon((p_i)_*)$ in the metric g_0 . For each i , choose $p_i \in \Pi^{-1}((p_i)_*)$ and let $W_i := W_{p_i}$. By Theorem 1.4 in [13], there is a neighborhood $\bar{U}_i \subseteq \Sigma_{p_i}$ of the origin so that the composition $\bar{U}_i \rightarrow \bar{U}_i/W_i \rightarrow U_i$ is an orbifold chart for M/G . In particular, there is a W_i -invariant metric \bar{g}_i on \bar{U}_i so that the map $\varphi_i : \bar{U}_i/W_i \rightarrow U_i$ induced by the map above becomes an isometry.

Note that $N_i := \cup_{h \in G_{p_i}} h \cdot \bar{U}_i$ is a G_{p_i} -invariant neighborhood of the origin in $\nu_{p_i} L_{p_i}$, and the action of G_{p_i} on N_i is polar with section \bar{U}_i and generalized Weyl group W_i . Therefore, Theorem 1.2 in [15] implies existence of a G_{p_i} -invariant metric \tilde{g}_i on N_i that restricts to \bar{g}_i . For each i , let B_i denote the image of $(G \times N_i)/G_{p_i}$ under the map $f : (G \times N_i)/G_{p_i} \rightarrow M$ sending $[h, w]$ to $\exp_{h \cdot p_i}(h_* w)$, where exponential is taken with respect to the metric \hat{g} . By Lemma 4.1, for each i , there exists a G -invariant metric g_i on B_i such that the exponential map $(N_i, \tilde{g}_i) \rightarrow (B_i, g_i)$ induces an isometry between the quotient spaces N_i/G_{p_i} and B_i/G . The above discussion implies that the metric induced by g_i on B_i/G is isometric to $g_0|_{B_i/G}$.

Next, we use the metrics g_i to construct a global metric g on M . Take a partition of unity $\{f_i\}$ subordinate to $\{B_i\}$. Note that for every i , there exists a $(1, 1)$ -tensor $S_i : TB_i \rightarrow TB_i$ such that $g_i(X, Y) = \hat{g}(S_i X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in TB_i$. Now, define the $(1, 1)$ -tensor $S : TM \rightarrow TM$ by $S := (\sum_i f_i S_i^{-1})^{-1}$ and let $g(X, Y) := \hat{g}(SX, Y)$. Since \hat{g} is G -invariant, the same holds for g .

It remains to show that the projection $\Pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (M/G, g_0)$ is an orbifold Riemannian submersion. Again it is enough to check this locally, around a principal point $q \in M$, and in fact it is enough to check that $d_q \Pi : (T_q M, g_q) \rightarrow (T_{q_*}(M/G), (g_0)_{q_*})$ (where $q_* = \Pi(q)$)

is a (linear) Riemannian submersion. Choose bases for $T_q M$ and $T_{q^*}(M/G)$ so that $d_q \Pi$ gets identified with the standard projection map $\Pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. Letting $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard Euclidean metrics on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^k , we can write $\hat{g}_q = \langle Q \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $(g_0)_{q^*} = \langle P \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then we have $g_q = \langle QS \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and, for every i such that $q \in B_i$, we have $(g_i)_q = \langle QS_i \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

By Lemma 3.4 in [7] the projection $\Pi_1 : (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}, \langle A \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^k, \langle B \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Riemannian submersion if and only if A, B are related by

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1} & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since, by the discussion above, $\Pi_1 : (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}, \langle QS_i \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^k, \langle P \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Riemannian submersion with respect to all metrics QS_i , it follows that

$$(QS_i)^{-1} = S_i^{-1} Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$(QS)^{-1} = S^{-1} Q^{-1} = \sum_i f_i(q) S_i^{-1} Q^{-1} = \sum_i f_i(q) \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again applying Lemma 3.4 in [7], this implies that $\Pi_1 : (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}, \langle QS \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^k, \langle P \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, which modulo identification coincides with $d_q \Pi : (T_q M, g_q) \rightarrow (T_{q^*} M/G, (g_0)_{q^*})$, is a Riemannian submersion, which is what we wanted to prove. \square

With Proposition 4.2 in hand, we proceed to the proof of Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. Since the action of G on M is infinitesimally polar, the quotient space M/G is a non-negatively curved orbifold by result of Lytchak-Thorbergsson [13]. The classification of compact, non-negatively curved 3-orbifolds in [11], Proposition 5.7, thus implies existence of an orbifold diffeomorphism $M/G \rightarrow N/H$, where $N = S^3, T^3, S^1 \times S^2$, or $S^1 \times S^3$. In every case, N admits a normal homogeneous metric g_N which in particular has zero topological entropy. By pulling back the induced quotient metric on N/H via the diffeomorphism $M/G \rightarrow N/H$, one obtains an orbifold Riemannian metric g_0 on M/G . By Proposition 4.2, there exists a Riemannian metric g on M that induces g_0 on M/G . Furthermore, as discussed in the proof of Theorem A, (N, g_N) has zero topological entropy. Therefore, one can apply Theorem A to conclude that M is rationally elliptic. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Burago, Y. Burago, S. Ivanov, *A Course in Metric Geometry*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001.
- [2] Y. Burago, M. Gromov, G. Perel'man, *A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **2** (1992), no. 47, 1–58.
- [3] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Springer, 2001.
- [4] J. B. Friedlander, S. Halperin, *An Arithmetic Characterization of the Rational Homotopy Groups of Certain Spaces*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **53** (1979), 117–134.
- [5] C. Gorodski, *Topics in polar actions*, preprint, arXiv:2208.03577.
- [6] K. Grove, S. Halperin, *Dupin hypersurfaces, group actions and the double mapping cylinder*, *J. Differ. Geom.* **26** (1987), no. 3, 429–459.
- [7] K. Grove, B. Wilking, J. Yeager, *Almost non-negative curvature and rational ellipticity in cohomogeneity two*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **69** (2019), no. 7, 2921–2939.
- [8] K. Grove, W. Ziller, *Polar manifolds and actions*, *J. Fixed Point Theory App.* **11** (2012), 279–313.
- [9] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [10] M. W. Hirsch, *Smooth regular neighborhoods*, *Ann. of Math. Second Series* **76** (1962), no. 3, 524–530.

- [11] B. Kleiner, J. Lott, *Geometrization of three-dimensional orbifolds via Ricci flow*, Astérisque (2014), no. 365, 101–177.
- [12] A. Lytchak, V. Kapovich, *Structure of submetries*, Geom. Top. **26** (2022), no. 6, 2649–2711.
- [13] A. Lytchak, G. Thorbergsson, *Curvature explosion in quotients and applications*, J. Differ. Geom. **85** (2010), no. 1, 117–140.
- [14] R. Mañé, *On the topological entropy of geodesic flows*, J. Differ. Geom. **45** (1997), 74–93.
- [15] R. Mendes, *Extending tensors on polar manifolds*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), 1409–1424.
- [16] R. Mendes, M. Radeschi, *Laplacian algebras, manifolds submetries and their inverse invariant theory problem*, Geom. Func. Anal. **30** (2020), 536–573.
- [17] G. Paternain, *Geodesic Flows*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 180, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [18] G. Paternain, J. Petean, *Zero entropy and bounded topology*, Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), 287–304.
- [19] M. Radeschi, E. Khalili Samani, *On the topology of leaves of singular Riemannian foliations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. (2023).

(E. Khalili Samani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
CLARK UNIVERSITY,
WORCESTER, MA 01610, USA
Email address: `ekhalilisamani@clarku.edu`

(M. Radeschi) UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO,
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “G. PEANO”,
VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10,
10123 TORINO (TO), ITALY
Email address: `marco.radeschi@unito.it`