

Amphion: An Open-Source Audio, Music and Speech Generation Toolkit

Xueyao Zhang^{*,1}, Liumeng Xue^{*,1}, Yicheng Gu^{*,1}, Yuancheng Wang^{*,1}, Haorui He³,
Chaoren Wang¹, Xi Chen¹, Zihao Fang¹, Haopeng Chen¹, Junan Zhang², Tze Ying Tang¹,
Lexiao Zou³, Mingxuan Wang¹, Jun Han¹, Kai Chen², Haizhou Li¹, Zhizheng Wu^{†,1,2,3}

¹School of Data Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen

²Shanghai AI Lab

³Shenzhen Research Institute of Big Data

Abstract

Amphion is an open-source toolkit for Audio, Music, and Speech Generation, targeting to ease the way for junior researchers and engineers into these fields. It presents a unified framework that is inclusive of diverse generation tasks and models, with the added bonus of being easily extendable for new incorporation. The toolkit is designed with beginner-friendly workflows and pre-trained models, allowing both beginners and seasoned researchers to kick-start their projects with relative ease. Additionally, it provides interactive visualizations and demonstrations of classic models for educational purposes. The initial release of Amphion v0.1 supports a range of tasks including Text to Speech (TTS), Text to Audio (TTA), and Singing Voice Conversion (SVC), supplemented by essential components like data pre-processing, state-of-the-art vocoders, and evaluation metrics. This paper presents a high-level overview of Amphion.

1 Introduction

The development of deep learning (LeCun et al., 2015) has greatly improved the performance of generative models (Hinton and Salakhutdinov, 2006; Kingma and Welling, 2014; Goodfellow et al., 2020; Ho et al., 2020; Vaswani et al., 2017). Leveraging these models has enabled researchers and practitioners to explore innovative possibilities, leading to notable progress and breakthroughs across various fields, including computer vision (Rombach et al., 2022) and natural language processing (Radford et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2019, 2020; Shen et al., 2018, 2024). The potential in tasks related to audio¹, music, and

^{*}Equal Contribution.

[†]Correspondence to <wuzhizheng@cuhk.edu.cn>.

¹The term “audio” encompasses two distinct interpretations. The first interpretation adopts a narrow view, specifically associating “audio” with sound effects, a definition frequently employed alongside music and speech throughout this




Figure 1: The north-star goal of the Amphion toolkit: Any to Audio.

speech generation has spurred the scientific community to actively publish new models and ideas (Liu et al., 2023a,b; Ren et al., 2019, 2020; Shen et al., 2018, 2024).

There is an increasing presence of both official and community-driven open-source repositories that replicate these models. *However, the quality of these repositories varies significantly* (Stamelos et al., 2002; Borges and Valente, 2018; Aghajani et al., 2020; Wolf et al., 2020), and *they are often scattered, focusing on specific papers*. These scattered repositories introduce several obstacles to junior researchers or engineers who are new to the research area. First, attempts to replicate an algorithm using different implementations or configurations can result in inconsistent model functionality or performance (Paszke et al., 2019; Wolf et al., 2020; Lhoest et al., 2021). Second, while many repositories focus on the model architectures, they often neglect crucial steps such as detailed data pre-processing, feature extraction, model training, and systematic evaluation. This lack of systematic guidance poses substantial challenges for beginners (Georgiou et al., 2022), who may have limited technical expertise and experience in training large-scale models. *In summary, the scattered nature of these repositories hampers efforts towards reproducible research and fair comparisons among models or algorithms.*

Motivated by that, we introduce Amphion, an

paper. The second interpretation presents a broader perspective, where “audio” collectively refers to sound effects, music, and speech, embracing all aspects of auditory content.

| Toolkit | Audio | Music/Singing | Speech | Visualization |
|----------------|-------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| Amphion | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| AudioCraft | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Bark | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Coqui TTS | | | ✓ | |
| EmotiVoice | | | ✓ | |
| ESPnet | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Festival | | | ✓ | |
| HTS | | | ✓ | |
| Merlin | | | ✓ | |
| Mocking Bird | | | ✓ | |
| Muskits | | ✓ | | |
| Muzic | | ✓ | | |
| OpenVoice | | | ✓ | |
| PaddleSpeech | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| SoftVC VITS | | ✓ | | |
| SpeechBrain | | | ✓ | |
| TANGO | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| TorToiSe | | | ✓ | |
| WeTTS | | | ✓ | |

Table 1: Representative open-source toolkits related to audio, music and speech generation currently available in the open-source community (sort alphabetically).

open-source platform dedicated to the north-star objective of “Any to Audio” (Figure 1). The initiative aims to facilitate the conversion of any input into an audible audio signal. Compared with the existing open-source toolkits as presented in Table 1, Amphion integrates audio, music, and speech generation into a unified framework that provides shared workflow across all models, including dataset processing, feature extraction, model training, inference, evaluation, and open-source pre-trained models. To aid junior engineers and researchers in understanding the internal mechanism of generative models, Amphion provides visualizations and interactive demonstrations of classic models. In a nutshell, Amphion, *with its foundation in education, holds four unique strengths that set it apart from current open-source tools or repositories*, in particular,

- **Unified Framework:** Amphion provides a unified framework for audio, music, and speech generation and evaluation. It is designed to be adaptable, flexible, and scalable, supporting the integration of new models.
- **Beginner-friendly End-to-End Workflow:** Amphion offers a beginner-friendly end-to-end workflow with straightforward documentation and instructions. It establishes itself as an accessible one-stop research platform suitable for both novices and experienced researchers, *including plenty of engineering know-how*.
- **Open Pre-trained Models:** To promote reproducible research, Amphion commits to strict

standards to the release of pre-trained models. In partner with industry, Amphion aims to make large-scale pre-trained models widely available for various applications.

- **Visualization and Interactivity:** Amphion provides visualization tools to interactively illustrate the internal processing mechanism of classic models. This provides an invaluable resource for educational purposes and for facilitating understandable research.

The Amphion v0.1 toolkit, now available under the MIT license,² has supported a diverse array of generation tasks. This paper presents a high-level overview of the Amphion v0.1 toolkit.

2 Unified Framework

The north-star goal of Amphion is to unify various audible waveform generation tasks. *To make the framework adaptable and flexible to varied forms of tasks, from the perspective of input, we formulate audio generation tasks into three categories,*

1. **Text to Waveform:** The input consists of *discrete* textual tokens, which *strictly constrain* the content of the output waveform. The representative tasks include Text to Speech (TTS) (Tan et al., 2021) and Singing Voice Synthesis (SVS)³ (Liu et al., 2022a).
2. **Descriptive Text to Waveform:** The input consists of *discrete* textual tokens, which *generally guide* the content or style of the output waveform. The representative tasks include Text to Audio (TTA) (Kreuk et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023) and Text to Music (TTM) (Copet et al., 2023).
3. **Waveform to Waveform:** Both the input and output are *continuous* waveform signals. The representative tasks include Voice Conversion (VC) (Sisman et al., 2021), Singing Voice Conversion (SVC) (Liu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023), Emotion Conversion (EC) (Zhou et al., 2022), Accent Conversion (AC) (Felps et al., 2009), and Speech Translation (ST) (Song et al., 2023a).

This section will introduce the system architecture design and multiple classic generation tasks that are released in v0.1.

²<https://github.com/open-mmlab/Amphion>

³In addition to textual tokens, the input of SVS also includes musical tokens such as MIDI notes (Liu et al., 2022a). However, these inputs in SVS are also discrete and strictly constrain the content (both linguistic and musical content) like TTS. Therefore, we categorize SVS under the Text to Waveform classification.

2.1 System Architecture Design

To achieve the north-star objective, the Amphion architecture is designed with the following three principles,

- **To establish a fair comparison platform for a multitude of models.** In particular, Amphion provides consistent data preprocessing, unified underlying training framework, and unified vocoders to create a fair experimental environment for comparison.
- **To save practitioners from the tedious and repetitive task of “reinventing the wheel”.** Amphion abstracts various classic components, such as feature extraction, neural network modules, and batch sampling, into a unified infrastructure.
- **To facilitate the unification of research across the entire audio domain.** In the context of today’s era of big data and large-scale pre-trained models, a unified data preprocessing, model extraction, and training framework can facilitate the development of the entire audio field.




Figure 2: System architecture design of Amphion.

In particular, the system architecture design is presented in Figure 2. From the bottom up,

1. We unify the data processing (*Dataset*, *Feature Extractor*, *Sampler*, and *DataLoader*), the optimization algorithms (*Optimizer*, *Scheduler*, and *Trainer*), and the common network modules (*Module*) as the infrastructure for all the audio generation tasks.
2. For each specific generation task, we unify its data/feature usage (*TaskLoader*), task frame-

work (*TaskFramework*), and training pipeline (*TaskTrainer*).

3. Under each generation task, for every specific model, we specify its architecture (*ModelArchitecture*) and training pipeline (*ModelTrainer*).
4. Finally, we provide a textitrecipe of each model for users. We unify the recipe format across all models, ensuring it is as self-contained and novice-friendly as possible. Besides, we provide the visualizations to demonstrate the internal mechanisms of some typical models. On top of pre-trained models, we also offer interactive demos for users to explore.

2.2 Classic Audio Generation Tasks

Amphion v0.1 toolkit includes a representative from each of the three generation task categories (namely TTS, TTA, and SVC) for integration. This ensures that Amphion’s framework can be conveniently adaptable to other audio generation tasks during future development. Notably, most audio generation models usually adopt a two-stage generation process, where they generate some intermediate acoustic features (e.g. Mel Spectrogram) in the first stage, and then generate the final audible waveform using a *Vocoder* in the second stage (Figure 3d). Motivated by that, Amphion v0.1 also integrates a variety of vocoder models.

Specifically, the pipelines of these classic audio tasks are designed as follows:

- **Text to Speech:** TTS aims to convert written text into spoken speech (Tan et al., 2021). The traditional TTS considers only textual tokens as input (Wang et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). Recently, *zero-shot TTS* attracts more attentions from the research community. In addition to text, zero-shot TTS requires a reference audio as a prompt (Figure 3a). By utilizing in-context learning techniques, it can imitate the timbre and speaking style of the reference audio (Shen et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2023a).
- **Text to Audio:** TTA aims to generate sounds that are semantically in line with descriptions (Kreuk et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023). It usually requires a pre-trained text encoder like T5 (Kale and Rastogi, 2020) to capture the global information of the input descriptive text first, and then utilizes an acoustic model, such as diffusion model (Huang et al., 2023a; Liu et al., 2023a; Wang et al., 2023b), to synthesize the acoustic features (Figure 3b).




Figure 3: Pipelines of the classic audio generation tasks in Amphion.

- **Singing Voice Conversion:** SVC aims to transform the voice of a singing signal into the voice of a target singer while preserving the lyrics and melody (Huang et al., 2023b). To empower the reference speaker to sing the source audio, the main idea is to extract the speaker-specific representations from the reference, extract the speaker-agnostic representations (including semantic and prosody features) from the source, and then synthesize the converted features using acoustic models (Zhang et al., 2023) (Figure 3c).

Current supported tasks, models and algorithms of Amphion v0.1 are presented in Table 2.

3 Beginner-Friendly End-to-End Workflow

Amphion provides a beginner-friendly, end-to-end workflow structured into five stages: *data preprocessing*, *feature extraction*, *model training*, *model inference and evaluation*, and *visualization*. Notably, Amphion workflow incorporates plenty of engineering know-how for training models on large-scale datasets such as Libri-light (Kahn et al., 2020) and MLS (Pratap et al., 2020). This enables novice researchers to replicate advanced, proprietary systems. Figure 4 presents the primary workflow of Amphion, outlined briefly as follows,




Figure 4: An overview of Amphion workflow.

- **Data Preprocessing:** Amphion offers pre-implemented, dataset-specific preprocessing scripts for a wide range of academic datasets in audio, music, and speech generation research (Table 2), enabling a seamless transition from dataset-specific structures to Amphion’s unified data format.
- **Feature Extraction:** After preprocessing, Amphion allows for both *offline* and *on-the-fly* feature extraction. Offline extraction, which occurs before model training, saves extracted features locally for later use in training. However, for large-scale datasets, it is infeasible to perform the lengthy offline extraction. Motivated by that, Amphion offers an on-the-fly extraction that processes raw waveforms in batches and utilizes GPU as much as possible to accelerate feature extraction during model training.
- **Model Training:** Amphion enhances training efficiency by supporting multi-GPU training with a Distributed Data Parallel (DDP) trainer (Gugger et al., 2022), dynamic batch sizes, and other user-friendly training infrastructures, simplifying the replication of state-of-the-art models.
- **Model Inference and Evaluation:** Amphion provides various pre-trained model checkpoints of top-performing vocoders, one-click evaluation scripts, and interactive demonstrations, enabling high-quality generation and easy experimentation. The supported evaluation metrics can be seen in Table 2.
- **Visualization:** Lastly, Amphion introduces a unique interactive visual analysis of some classical models for educational purposes, helping newcomers understand their inner workings.

4 Open Pre-trained Models

Pre-trained checkpoints can empower practitioners to reproduce experimental results and build appli-

| Datasets | Tasks, Models and Algorithms | Evaluation Metrics |
|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Academic Datasets: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ AudioCaps (Kim et al., 2019) ◦ CSD (Choi et al., 2020) ◦ KiSing ◦ Libri-light (Kahn et al., 2020) ◦ LibriTTS (Zen et al., 2019) ◦ LJSpeech ◦ M4Singer (Zhang et al., 2022) ◦ MLS (Pratap et al., 2020) ◦ NUS-48E (Duan et al., 2013) ◦ Opencpop (Wang et al., 2022) ◦ OpenSinger (Huang et al., 2021) ◦ Opera (Black et al., 2014) ◦ PopBuTFY (Liu et al., 2022b) ◦ PopCS (Liu et al., 2022a) ◦ PJS (Koguchi et al., 2020) ◦ SVCC (Huang et al., 2023b) ◦ VCTK (Yamagishi et al., 2019) <p>Amphion also provides a unified data format for user custom dataset</p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Text to Speech</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transformer-based: FastSpeech 2 (Ren et al., 2020) • Flow-based: VITS (Kim et al., 2021) • Diffusion-based: NaturalSpeech 2 (Shen et al., 2024) • Autoregressive-based: VALL-E (Wang et al., 2023a) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Singing Voice Conversion</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Features Extraction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Semantic Features: WeNet (Yao et al., 2021), Whisper (Radford et al., 2023), HuBERT (Hsu et al., 2021), ContentVec (Qian et al., 2022) ◦ Prosody Features: F0 extracted by Parselmouth (Jadoul et al., 2018), Energy calculated based on STFT spectrograms (Ren et al., 2020) • Acoustic Models: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Diffusion-based: DiffWaveNetSVC (Zhang et al., 2023), DiffComoSVC (Song et al., 2023b; Lu et al., 2024) ◦ Flow-based: VitsSVC (Kim et al., 2021; SVC-Develop-Team, 2023) ◦ Transformer-based: TransformerSVC (Vaswani et al., 2017) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Text to Audio</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Text Encoder: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ T5 (Kale and Rastogi, 2020) • Acoustic Models: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Diffusion-based: AudioLDM (Liu et al., 2023a; Wang et al., 2023b) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 10px;">Vocoder</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Autoregressive-based: WaveNet (van den Oord et al., 2016), WaveRNN (Kalchbrenner et al., 2018) • Diffusion-based: DiffWave (Kong et al., 2021) • Flow-based: WaveGlow (Prenger et al., 2019) • GAN-based: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Generators: MelGAN (Kumar et al., 2019), HiFi-GAN (Su et al., 2020), NSF-HiFiGAN (Yoneyama et al., 2023), BigVGAN (Lee et al., 2023), APNet (Ai and Ling, 2023) ◦ Discriminators: MSD (Kumar et al., 2019), MPD (Su et al., 2020), MRD (Jang et al., 2020), MS-STFTD (Défossez et al., 2022), MS-SB-CQTD (Gu et al., 2024) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F0 Modeling: F0 Pearson Coefficients (FPC), F0 Periodicity Root Mean Square Error (Periodicity) (Morrison et al., 2022), F0 Root Mean Square Error (F0RMSE), Voiced/Unvoiced F1 Score (V/UV F1). • Energy Modeling: Energy Root Mean Square Error (EnergyRMSE), Energy Pearson Coefficients (EnergyPC). • Spectrogram Distortion: PESQ (Rix et al., 2001), STOI (Taal et al., 2010), FAD (Kilgour et al., 2019), MCD (Kubichek, 1993), SI-SNR (Luo and Mesgarani, 2018), SI-SDR (Roux et al., 2019) • Intelligibility: Word Error Rate (WER) and Character Error Rate (CER) based on Whisper (Radford et al., 2023) • Speaker Similarity: Cosine similarity based on RawNet3 (Jung et al., 2022), Resemblyzer, and WavLM (Chen et al., 2022). |

Table 2: Supported datasets, models, and metrics of Amphion v0.1.

cations. Public pre-trained models could facilitate innovation and learning for practitioners. For that purpose, Amphion has released a variety of models pertaining to Audio, Music, and Speech Generation, such as TTS, TTA, SVC, and Vocoder. Our standards for releasing pre-trained models are designed to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and usability for the community. Each model is released with a model card that includes not only the checkpoint but also detailed descriptions of the following criteria:

- **Model Metadata:** Detail the model architecture and the number of parameters.
- **Training Datasets:** List all the training corpus and their sources.
- **Training Configuration:** Detail the training hyperparameters (like batch size, learning rate, and number of training steps) and the computational platform.
- **Evaluation Results:** Display the evaluation results and the performance comparison to other typical baselines.
- **Usage Instructions:** Instruct how to inference and fine-tune based on the pre-trained model.

- **Interactive Demo:** Provide an online interactive demo for users to explore.
- **License:** Clear the licensing details including how the model can be utilized, shared, and modified.
- **Ethical Considerations:** Address ethical considerations related to the model’s application, focusing on privacy, consent, and bias, to encourage responsible usage.

As of now, the released pre-trained models of Amphion v0.1 are listed in Table 3. We are partnering with industry for larger models on larger datasets.

5 Visualization and Interactivity

Aiming to serve as a platform for exploring and understanding generative models with educational purposes, Amphion provides intuitive and engaging visualization tools for classic models or algorithms.

Visualizations act as a bridge between abstract theoretical concepts and their practical applications, enabling users to see the “how” and “why” behind model outputs (Hohman et al., 2018). By providing a visual representation of model architectures,

| Task | Application Scenario | Model Architecture | #Parameters | Training Datasets |
|---------|-----------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| TTS | Multi-speaker TTS | VITS (Kim et al., 2021) | 30M | Hi-Fi TTS (Bakhturina et al., 2021) |
| | Zero-shot TTS | NaturalSpeech2 (Shen et al., 2024) | 201M | Libri-light (Kahn et al., 2020) |
| TTA | Sound effects synthesis | AudioLDM (Wang et al., 2023b) | 710M | AudioCaps (Kim et al., 2019) |
| SVC | Vocalist singing conversion | DiffWaveNetSVC (Zhang et al., 2023) | 31M | Vocalist Dataset (private) |
| Vocoder | Speech synthesis | HiFi-GAN (Su et al., 2020) | 24M | LJSpeech, VCTK (Yamagishi et al., 2019), LibriTTS (Zen et al., 2019) |
| | Singing synthesis | BigVGAN (Lee et al., 2023) | 112M | Opencpop (Wang et al., 2022), M4Singer (Zhang et al., 2022), PJS (Koguchi et al., 2020), CSD (Choi et al., 2020), OpenSinger (Huang et al., 2021), PopBuTFy (Liu et al., 2022b), Opera (Black et al., 2014), NUS-48E (Duan et al., 2013), KiSing, PopCS (Liu et al., 2022a) |

Table 3: Supported pre-trained models of Amphion v0.1.

data flow, and parameter effects, Amphion demystifies the inner workings of generative models, making the technology more approachable. Amphion v0.1 has developed the visualization system for diffusion model under SVC task, namely SingVisio⁴ (Xue et al., 2024). The interface of the interactive visualization is shown in the appendix. This tool offers a dynamic and interactive visualization of the generation process in diffusion models, illustrating the gradual denoising of the noisy spectrum and its evolution into a clear spectrum that embodies the target singer’s timbre.

Amphion also utilizes online platforms like Gradio⁵ to support a series of interactive demos. With these interactive demos, users can input text or select predefined prompts, and the underlying models will generate corresponding audio outputs instantly. The interactive interface provides users with immediate feedback and allows them to modify input parameters, select options, or make choices using drop-down menus, check-boxes, or sliders, depending on the specific task and model being utilized. We provide an interactive demo example in the appendix.

Amphion’s visualization capabilities stand out as one of its most unique features, designed to demystify complex generative models for those at the beginning of their research journey. By merging the capabilities of Gradio with the visualization features of Amphion, the toolkit provides a seamless and interactive experience for users to explore and comprehend the inner workings of generative models. This combination of visualizations and interactivity empowers researchers, engineers, and

enthusiasts to experiment, iterate, and gain insights into the complex world of audio generation.

6 Experiments

We compare the performance of Amphion v0.1 on three tasks, namely TTS, TTA and SVC, and the vocoder with public open repositories.

We use both objective and subjective evaluations to evaluate different tasks. The objective evaluation metrics are presented in Table 2. Regarding the Mean Opinion Score (MOS) and the Similarity Mean Opinion Score (SMOS) tests, listeners are required to grade ranging from 1 (“Bad”) to 5 (“Excellent”) and from 1 (“Different speaker, sure”) to 5 (“Same speaker, sure”) individually with an interval of 1 to evaluate the generated audio’s overall quality and the similarity to the reference speaker; regarding the Naturalness and Similarity tests, listeners are required to grade ranging from 1 (“Bad”) to 5 (“Excellent”) and from 1 (“Different speaker, sure”) to 4 (“Same speaker, sure”) individually with an interval of 1 to evaluate the conversed singing utterance’s naturalness and the similarity to the reference singer. All the subjective evaluation score listed are within a 95% Confidence Interval.

6.1 Text to Speech

6.1.1 Results of Classic TTS

We evaluate the performance of Amphion v0.1 TTS following the evaluation pipeline in Amphion v0.1. Specifically, we construct testing sets with 100 text transcriptions and then generate the corresponding speech using Amphion v0.1 VITS and other four popular open-source speech synthesis toolk-

⁴<https://dsvc.openmmlab.org/cn/>

⁵<https://www.gradio.app/>

| Systems | CER ↓ | WER ↓ | FAD ↓ | MOS ↑ |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Coqui TTS (VITS) | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 3.69 ± 0.10 |
| SpeechBrain (FastSpeech 2) | 0.06 | 0.11 | 1.71 | 3.54 ± 0.11 |
| TorToiSe (Diffusion-based model) | 0.05 | 0.09 | 1.90 | 3.61 ± 0.11 |
| ESPnet (VITS) | 0.07 | 0.11 | 1.28 | 3.57 ± 0.11 |
| Amphion v0.1 (VITS) | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.84 | 3.61 ± 0.10 |

Table 4: Evaluation results of classic TTS in Amphion v0.1.

| Systems | Training Dataset | MOS ↑ | SMOS ↑ |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Ground Truth | - | 4.72 ± 0.10 | 4.15 ± 0.26 |
| YourTTS | LibriTTS | 3.11 ± 0.24 | 3.03 ± 0.19 |
| VALL-E | Self-collected | 3.88 ± 0.23 | 3.45 ± 0.27 |
| Amphion v0.1 (NaturalSpeech2) | Libri-light | 3.56 ± 0.18 | 3.37 ± 0.19 |

Table 5: Evaluation results of zero-shot TTS in Amphion v0.1.

| Systems | Dataset | FAD ↓ | IS ↑ | KL ↓ |
|-------------------------|------------|-------|------|------|
| Diffsound | AudioSet | 47.68 | 4.01 | 2.52 |
| AudioGen | AudioCaps | - | - | 2.09 |
| | AudioSet | - | - | - |
| Make-an-Audio | AudioCaps | - | - | 2.79 |
| | 13 others | - | - | - |
| AudioLDM-L | AudioCaps | 27.12 | 7.51 | 1.86 |
| | AudioSet | - | - | - |
| AudioLDM-L-Full | AudioCaps | 23.31 | 8.13 | 1.59 |
| | 2 others | - | - | - |
| AUDIT | AudioSet96 | 20.19 | 9.23 | 1.32 |
| | 2 others | - | - | - |
| Amphion v0.1 (AudioLDM) | AudioCaps | 20.47 | 8.78 | 1.44 |

Table 6: Evaluation results of Text to Audio in Amphion v0.1. “# others” indicates the use of additional # datasets from different sources. More information is available in Huang et al. (2023a); Liu et al. (2023a).

its, including Coqui TTS⁶ (VITS is used in this system), SpeechBrain⁷ (FastSpeech 2 is used because FastSpeech 2 is the most advanced model in this system), TorToiSe⁸ (an autoregressive and diffusion-based model), and ESPnet⁹ (VITS is used in this system). The evaluation results are shown in Table 4. The evaluation results show that the performance of Amphion v0.1 VITS is comparable to existing open-source systems.

⁶<https://github.com/coqui-ai/TTS> accessed in Dec 2023

⁷<https://github.com/speechbrain/speechbrain> accessed in Dec 2023

⁸<https://github.com/neonbjb/tortoise-tts> accessed in Dec 2023

⁹<https://github.com/espnet/espnet> accessed in Dec 2023

6.1.2 Results of Zero-shot TTS

We also evaluate the speech quality and speaker similarity of Amphion v0.1 zero-shot TTS systems alongside other open-source zero-shot TTS models. We use the samples from NaturalSpeech2 (Shen et al., 2024) demo page¹⁰ as our test set. We compare our Amphion v0.1 NaturalSpeech2 with YourTTS (Casanova et al., 2022), and VALL-E (Wang et al., 2023a) from the reproduced VALL-E repository¹¹. The results are shown in Table 5. The evaluation results show that Amphion v0.1 NaturalSpeech2 is significantly better than YourTTS in terms of speech quality and speaker similarity. Compared with the open-source reproduced VALL-E, we have comparable speaker similarity, and the difference in speech quality may come from the difference in training data.

6.2 Text to Audio

We use inception score (IS), Fréchet Distance (FD), and Kullback–Leibler Divergence (KL) to evaluate our text-to-audio generation model. FD measures the fidelity between the generated samples and target samples. IS measures the quality and diversity of the generated samples. KL measures the correlation between output samples and the target samples. FD, IS, and KL are based on the state-of-the-art audio classification model PANNs (Kong et al., 2020). We use the test set of AudioCaps as our test set. The evaluation results of Amphion v0.1 TTA are shown in Table 6. The results demonstrate that our

¹⁰<https://speechresearch.github.io/naturalspeech2> accessed in Jan 2024

¹¹<https://github.com/Plachtaa/VALL-E-X> accessed in Jan 2024

| Systems | Naturalness \uparrow | Similarity \uparrow |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground truth | 4.67 \pm 0.18 | 3.17 \pm 0.29 |
| SoftVC VITS | 2.98 \pm 0.31 | 2.74 \pm 0.31 |
| Amphion v0.1 (DiffWaveNetSVC) | 3.52 \pm 0.26 | 2.95 \pm 0.3 |

Table 7: Evaluation results of Singing Voice Conversion in Amphion v0.1. The full scores of naturalness and similarity are 5 and 4 respectively.

| Systems | PESQ \uparrow | M-STFT \downarrow | FORMSE \downarrow | FPC \uparrow |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| HiFi-GAN (UNIVERSAL_V1) | 3.43 | 1.98 | 177 | 0.88 |
| ESPnet (libritts_hifigan.v1) | 3.55 | 1.12 | 188 | 0.86 |
| Amphion v0.1 (HiFi-GAN) | 3.55 | 1.09 | 188 | 0.88 |

Table 8: Objective evaluation results of Vocoder in Amphion v0.1.

Amphion v0.1 AudioLDM system achieves similar results to state-of-the-art models.

6.3 Singing Voice Conversion

To evaluate the effectiveness of the SVC models of Amphion v0.1, we adopt the in-domain evaluation task of the Singing Voice Conversion Challenge (SVCC) 2023¹² (Huang et al., 2023b). Specifically, there are 48 evaluated singing utterances, with 24 from a male and 24 from a female. Our task is to convert them into two target singers (one male and one female). For the training data, we utilize five datasets: Opencpop (Wang et al., 2022), SVCC training data (Huang et al., 2023b), VCTK (Yamagishi et al., 2019), OpenSinger (Huang et al., 2021), and M4Singer (Zhang et al., 2022). There are 83.1 hours of speech and 87.2 hours of singing data in total. We compare the DiffWaveNetSVC (Zhang et al., 2023) of Amphion v0.1 with SoftVC VITS (SVC-Develop-Team, 2023), which is one of the most popular open-source project in the SVC area. The MOS evaluation results for Amphion v0.1 SVC are shown in Table 7. We can see the *DiffWaveNetSVC* model of Amphion v0.1 owns better performance in both naturalness and speaker similarity.

6.4 Vocoder

We compare the Amphion v0.1 Vocoder to the two widely used open-source HiFi-GAN checkpoints. One is the UNIVERSAL_V1 from the official HiFi-GAN repository¹³; the other is the libritts_hifigan.v1 used by the ESPnet¹⁴. All

¹²SVCC 2023: <http://vc-challenge.org/>

¹³<https://github.com/jik876/hifi-gan> accessed in Dec 2023

¹⁴<https://github.com/kan-bayashi/ParallelWaveGAN> accessed in Dec 2023

of the checkpoints are trained on around 600 hours of speech data. The whole evaluation set and the test set of LibriTTS are used for evaluation, with a total of 20,306 utterances. Objective evaluation is conducted with M-STFT, PESQ, FORMSE, and FPC. The results are illustrated in Table 8. With the assistance of additional guidance from Time-Frequency Representation-based Discriminators (Gu et al., 2024), the Amphion v0.1 HiFi-GAN achieves superior performance in spectrogram reconstruction and F0 modeling.

7 Conclusions

This paper presented Amphion, an open-source toolkit dedicated to audio, music, and speech generation. Amphion’s primary objective is to facilitate reproducible research and serve as a stepping stone for junior researchers and engineers entering the field of audio, music, and speech generation. Besides focusing on specific generation tasks, Amphion provides visualizations of classic models or architectures to empower users with a deeper understanding of the underlying processes.

Large-scale datasets and large-scale models have proven to achieve state-of-the-art performance in various tasks. In the future, Amphion plans to release a few large-scale dataset in the area of audio, music and speech generation area. Also, we plan to partner with industry for large-scale and production-oriented pre-trained models. Since the release of Amphion in Dec 2023, Amphion has received more than 3, 500 stars on GitHub and received a significant number of requests and feedback. We will actively work on Amphion to serve junior researchers and engineers.

Limitations

In its initial release, Amphion has certain limitations that call for further exploration and enhancement. While Amphion v0.1 has successfully incorporated Text to Speech, Text to Audio, Singing Voice Conversion, and a variety of Vocoders, there remains a multitude of audio generation tasks yet to be integrated. Moreover, the audio processing field is progressing at a rapid pace, with new models and techniques being developed on a continuous basis. Ensuring that Amphion stays up-to-date and seamlessly integrates these innovations will be an ongoing challenge that needs to be addressed in future iterations.

Ethical Considerations

In providing an open-source toolkit for audio, music, and speech generation, we strive to balance innovation with ethical responsibility. We acknowledge the ethical implications associated with our model's ability to generate a wide array of audio signals. Such capabilities, while powerful, carry potential risks of misuse, such as the production of misinformation, deepfake audio, or harmful content. We fervently advocate for the responsible use of our code and pre-trained models, emphasizing the imperative of adhering to regulatory standards. Our commitment to ethical considerations is not merely an afterthought, but rather a guiding principle in our pursuit of advancing the field of audio signal generation.

References

- Emad Aghajani, Csaba Nagy, Mario Linares-Vásquez, Laura Moreno, Gabriele Bavota, Michele Lanza, and David C. Shepherd. 2020. Software documentation: The practitioners' perspective. In *ICSE*, page 590–601.
- Yang Ai and Zhen-Hua Ling. 2023. APNet: An all-frame-level neural vocoder incorporating direct prediction of amplitude and phase spectra. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 31:2145–2157.
- Evelina Bakhturina, Vitaly Lavrukhin, Boris Ginsburg, and Yang Zhang. 2021. Hi-Fi Multi-Speaker English TTS Dataset. *INTERSPEECH*, pages 2776–2780.
- Dawn AA Black, Ma Li, and Mi Tian. 2014. Automatic identification of emotional cues in chinese opera singing. In *ICMPC*.
- Hudson Borges and Marco Tulio Valente. 2018. What's in a github star? understanding repository starring practices in a social coding platform. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 146:112–129.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. In *NIPS*, pages 1877–1901.
- Edresson Casanova, Julian Weber, Christopher D Shulby, Arnaldo Candido Junior, Eren Gölge, and Moacir A Ponti. 2022. Yourtts: Towards zero-shot multi-speaker tts and zero-shot voice conversion for everyone. In *ICML*, pages 2709–2720. PMLR.
- Sanyuan Chen, Chengyi Wang, Zhengyang Chen, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Jinyu Li, Naoyuki Kanda, Takuya Yoshioka, Xiong Xiao, Jian Wu, Long Zhou, Shuo Ren, Yanmin Qian, Yao Qian, Jian Wu, Michael Zeng, Xiangzhan Yu, and Furu Wei. 2022. Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.*, 16(6):1505–1518.
- Soonbeom Choi, Wonil Kim, Saebyul Park, Sangeon Yong, and Juhan Nam. 2020. Children's song dataset for singing voice research. In *ISMIR*.
- Jade Copet, Felix Kreuk, Itai Gat, Tal Remez, David Kant, Gabriel Synnaeve, Yossi Adi, and Alexandre Défossez. 2023. Simple and controllable music generation. In *NeurIPS*.
- Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Yossi Adi. 2022. High fidelity neural audio compression. *arXiv*, abs/2210.13438.
- Zhiyan Duan, Haotian Fang, Bo Li, Khe Chai Sim, and Ye Wang. 2013. The NUS sung and spoken lyrics corpus: A quantitative comparison of singing and speech. In *APSIPA*, pages 1–9.
- Daniel Felps, Heather Bortfeld, and Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna. 2009. Foreign accent conversion in computer assisted pronunciation training. *Speech Commun.*, 51(10):920–932.
- Stefanos Georgiou, Maria Kechagia, Tushar Sharma, Federica Sarro, and Ying Zou. 2022. Green ai: Do deep learning frameworks have different costs? In *ICSE*, pages 1082–1094.
- Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11):139–144.
- Yicheng Gu, Xueyao Zhang, Liumeng Xue, and Zhizheng Wu. 2024. Multi-scale sub-band constant-q transform discriminator for high-fidelity vocoder. In *ICASSP*.
- Sylvain Gugger, Lysandre Debut, Thomas Wolf, Philipp Schmid, Zachary Mueller, Sourab Mangrulkar, Marc

- Sun, and Benjamin Bossan. 2022. Accelerate: Training and inference at scale made simple, efficient and adaptable. <https://github.com/huggingface/accelerate>.
- G. E. Hinton and R. R. Salakhutdinov. 2006. Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks. *Science*, 313(5786):504–507.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *NIPS*, pages 6840–6851.
- Fred Hohman, Minsuk Kahng, Robert Pienta, and Duen Horng Chau. 2018. Visual analytics in deep learning: An interrogative survey for the next frontiers. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 25(8):2674–2693.
- Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Kushal Lakhotia, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdelrahman Mohamed. 2021. Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 29:3451–3460.
- Rongjie Huang, Feiyang Chen, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Chenye Cui, and Zhou Zhao. 2021. Multi-singer: Fast multi-singer singing voice vocoder with A large-scale corpus. In *ACM Multimedia*, pages 3945–3954.
- Rongjie Huang, Jiawei Huang, Dongchao Yang, Yi Ren, Luping Liu, Mingze Li, Zhenhui Ye, Jinglin Liu, Xiang Yin, and Zhou Zhao. 2023a. Make-an-audio: Text-to-audio generation with prompt-enhanced diffusion models. In *ICML*, volume 202, pages 13916–13932. PMLR.
- Wen-Chin Huang, Lester Phillip Violeta, Songxiang Liu, Jiatong Shi, Yusuke Yasuda, and Tomoki Toda. 2023b. The singing voice conversion challenge 2023. In *ASRU*. IEEE.
- Yannick Jadoul, Bill Thompson, and Bart de Boer. 2018. Introducing Parselmouth: A Python interface to Praat. *Journal of Phonetics*, 71:1–15.
- Won Jang, Dan Lim, and Jaesam Yoon. 2020. Universal MelGAN: A robust neural vocoder for high-fidelity waveform generation in multiple domains. *arXiv*, abs/2011.09631.
- Jee-weon Jung, Youjin Kim, Hee-Soo Heo, Bong-Jin Lee, Youngki Kwon, and Joon Son Chung. 2022. Pushing the limits of raw waveform speaker recognition. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 2228–2232.
- Jacob Kahn, Morgane Rivi re, Weiyi Zheng, Evgeny Kharitonov, Qiantong Xu, Pierre-Emmanuel Mazar , Julien Karadayi, Vitaliy Liptchinsky, Ronan Collobert, Christian Fuegen, Tatiana Likhomanenko, Gabriel Synnaeve, Armand Joulin, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Emmanuel Dupoux. 2020. Libri-light: A benchmark for ASR with limited or no supervision. In *ICASSP*, pages 7669–7673. IEEE.
- Nal Kalchbrenner, Erich Elsen, Karen Simonyan, Seb Noury, Norman Casagrande, Edward Lockhart, Florian Stimberg, A ron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2018. Efficient neural audio synthesis. In *ICML*, volume 80, pages 2415–2424.
- Mihir Kale and Abhinav Rastogi. 2020. Text-to-text pre-training for data-to-text tasks. In *INLG*, pages 97–102.
- Kevin Kilgour, Mauricio Zuluaga, Dominik Roblek, and Matthew Sharifi. 2019. Fr chet Audio Distance: A reference-free metric for evaluating music enhancement algorithms. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 2350–2354.
- Chris Dongjoo Kim, Byeongchang Kim, Hyunmin Lee, and Gunhee Kim. 2019. AudioCaps: Generating captions for audios in the wild. In *NAACL-HLT*, pages 119–132.
- Jaehyeon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Juhee Son. 2021. Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech. In *ICML*, volume 139, pages 5530–5540.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Max Welling. 2014. Auto-encoding variational bayes. In *ICLR*.
- Junya Koguchi, Shinnosuke Takamichi, and Masanori Morise. 2020. PJS: phoneme-balanced japanese singing-voice corpus. In *APSIPA*, pages 487–491.
- Qiuqiang Kong, Yin Cao, Turab Iqbal, Yuxuan Wang, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. 2020. PANNs: Large-scale pretrained audio neural networks for audio pattern recognition. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 28:2880–2894.
- Zhifeng Kong, Wei Ping, Jiaji Huang, Kexin Zhao, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2021. DiffWave: A versatile diffusion model for audio synthesis. In *ICLR*.
- Felix Kreuk, Gabriel Synnaeve, Adam Polyak, Uriel Singer, Alexandre D fossez, Jade Copet, Devi Parikh, Yaniv Taigman, and Yossi Adi. 2022. AudioGen: Textually guided audio generation. In *ICLR*.
- Robert Kubichek. 1993. Mel-cepstral distance measure for objective speech quality assessment. In *Proceedings of IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Communications Computers and Signal Processing*, volume 1, pages 125–128.
- Kundan Kumar, Rithesh Kumar, Thibault de Boissiere, Lucas Gestin, Wei Zhen Teoh, Jose Sotelo, Alexandre de Br bisson, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron C. Courville. 2019. MelGAN: Generative adversarial networks for conditional waveform synthesis. In *NeurIPS*, pages 14881–14892.
- Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. 2015. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444.

- Sang-gil Lee, Wei Ping, Boris Ginsburg, Bryan Catanzaro, and Sungroh Yoon. 2023. Bigvgan: A universal neural vocoder with large-scale training. In *ICLR*.
- Quentin Lhoest, Albert Villanova del Moral, Yacine Jernite, Abhishek Thakur, Patrick von Platen, Suraj Patil, Julien Chaumond, Mariama Drame, Julien Plu, Lewis Tunstall, Joe Davison, Mario Šaško, Gunjan Chhablani, Bhavitvya Malik, Simon Brandeis, Teven Le Scao, Victor Sanh, Canwen Xu, Nicolas Patry, Angelina McMillan-Major, Philipp Schmid, Sylvain Gugger, Clément Delangue, Théo Matussière, Lysandre Debut, Stas Bekman, Pierric Cistac, Thibault Goehringer, Victor Mustar, François Lagunas, Alexander Rush, and Thomas Wolf. 2021. Datasets: A community library for natural language processing. In *EMNLP*, pages 175–184.
- Haohe Liu, Zehua Chen, Yi Yuan, Xinhao Mei, Xubo Liu, Danilo P. Mandic, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D. Plumbley. 2023a. Audioldm: Text-to-audio generation with latent diffusion models. In *ICML*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 21450–21474. PMLR.
- Haohe Liu, Qiao Tian, Yi Yuan, Xubo Liu, Xinhao Mei, Qiuqiang Kong, Yuping Wang, Wenwu Wang, Yuxuan Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. 2023b. AudioLDM 2: Learning holistic audio generation with self-supervised pretraining. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*.
- Jinglin Liu, Chengxi Li, Yi Ren, Feiyang Chen, and Zhou Zhao. 2022a. Diffsinger: Singing voice synthesis via shallow diffusion mechanism. In *AAAI*, pages 11020–11028. AAAI Press.
- Jinglin Liu, Chengxi Li, Yi Ren, Zhiying Zhu, and Zhou Zhao. 2022b. Learning the beauty in songs: Neural singing voice beautifier. In *ACL (1)*, pages 7970–7983. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Songxiang Liu, Yuewen Cao, Dan Su, and Helen Meng. 2021. DiffSVC: A diffusion probabilistic model for singing voice conversion. In *ASRU*, pages 741–748.
- Yiwen Lu, Zhen Ye, Wei Xue, Xu Tan, Qifeng Liu, and Yike Guo. 2024. Comosvc: Consistency model-based singing voice conversion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01792*.
- Yi Luo and Nima Mesgarani. 2018. TaSNet: Time-domain audio separation network for real-time, single-channel speech separation. In *ICASSP*, pages 696–700.
- Max Morrison, Rithesh Kumar, Kundan Kumar, Prem Seetharaman, Aaron C. Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2022. Chunked Autoregressive GAN for conditional waveform synthesis. In *ICLR*.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. 2019. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. In *NIPS*.
- Vineel Pratap, Qiantong Xu, Anuroop Sriram, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Ronan Collobert. 2020. MLS: A large-scale multilingual dataset for speech research. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 2757–2761. ISCA.
- Ryan Prenger, Rafael Valle, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2019. Waveglow: A flow-based generative network for speech synthesis. In *ICASSP*, pages 3617–3621.
- Kaizhi Qian, Yang Zhang, Heting Gao, Junrui Ni, Cheng-I Lai, David D. Cox, Mark Hasegawa-Johnson, and Shiyu Chang. 2022. ContentVec: An improved self-supervised speech representation by disentangling speakers. In *ICML*, volume 162, pages 18003–18017.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In *ICML*, volume 202, pages 28492–28518.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9.
- Yi Ren, Chenxu Hu, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2020. FastSpeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech. In *ICLR*.
- Yi Ren, Yangjun Ruan, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2019. FastSpeech: Fast, robust and controllable text to speech. In *NeurIPS*, volume 32.
- Antony W. Rix, John G. Beerends, Michael P. Hollier, and Andries P. Hekstra. 2001. Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ)-a new method for speech quality assessment of telephone networks and codecs. In *ICASSP*, pages 749–752.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pages 10684–10695.
- Jonathan Le Roux, Scott Wisdom, Hakan Erdogan, and John R. Hershey. 2019. SDR - half-baked or well done? In *ICASSP*, pages 626–630.
- Jonathan Shen, Ruoming Pang, Ron J Weiss, Mike Schuster, Navdeep Jaitly, Zongheng Yang, Zhifeng Chen, Yu Zhang, Yuxuan Wang, Rj Skerrv-Ryan, et al. 2018. Natural TTS synthesis by conditioning wavenet on mel spectrogram predictions. In *ICASSP*, pages 4779–4783.
- Kai Shen, Zeqian Ju, Xu Tan, Yanqing Liu, Yichong Leng, Lei He, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, and Jiang Bian. 2024. Naturalspeech 2: Latent diffusion models are natural and zero-shot speech and singing synthesizers. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net.

- Berrak Sisman, Junichi Yamagishi, Simon King, and Haizhou Li. 2021. An overview of voice conversion and its challenges: From statistical modeling to deep learning. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 29:132–157.
- Kun Song, Yi Ren, Yi Lei, Chunfeng Wang, Kun Wei, Lei Xie, Xiang Yin, and Zejun Ma. 2023a. Styles2st: Zero-shot style transfer for direct speech-to-speech translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.17732*.
- Yang Song, Prafulla Dhariwal, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023b. Consistency models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01469*.
- Ioannis Stamelos, Lefteris Angelis, Apostolos Oikonomou, and Georgios L Bleris. 2002. Code quality analysis in open source software development. *Information Systems Journal*, 12(1):43–60.
- Jiaqi Su, Zeyu Jin, and Adam Finkelstein. 2020. Hifi-gan: High-fidelity denoising and dereverberation based on speech deep features in adversarial networks. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 4506–4510.
- SVC-Develop-Team. 2023. SoftVC VITS Singing Voice Conversion. <https://github.com/svc-develop-team/so-vits-svc>.
- Cees H Taal, Richard C Hendriks, Richard Heusdens, and Jesper Jensen. 2010. A short-time objective intelligibility measure for time-frequency weighted noisy speech. In *ICASSP*, pages 4214–4217. IEEE.
- Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Frank Soong, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2021. A survey on neural speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.15561*.
- Aäron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, Heiga Zen, Karen Simonyan, Oriol Vinyals, Alex Graves, Nal Kalchbrenner, Andrew W. Senior, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2016. WaveNet: A generative model for raw audio. In *Speech Synthesis Workshop*, page 125.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *NIPS*, pages 5998–6008.
- Chengyi Wang, Sanyuan Chen, Yu Wu, Ziqiang Zhang, Long Zhou, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Yanqing Liu, Huaming Wang, Jinyu Li, et al. 2023a. Neural codec language models are zero-shot text to speech synthesizers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02111*.
- Yu Wang, Xinsheng Wang, Pengcheng Zhu, Jie Wu, Hanzhao Li, Heyang Xue, Yongmao Zhang, Lei Xie, and Mengxiao Bi. 2022. Opencpop: A high-quality open source chinese popular song corpus for singing voice synthesis. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 4242–4246. ISCA.
- Yuancheng Wang, Zeqian Ju, Xu Tan, Lei He, Zhizheng Wu, Jiang Bian, and Sheng Zhao. 2023b. AUDIT: Audio editing by following instructions with latent diffusion models. In *NeurIPS*.
- Yuxuan Wang, R. J. Skerry-Ryan, Daisy Stanton, Yonghui Wu, Ron J. Weiss, Navdeep Jaitly, Zongheng Yang, Ying Xiao, Zhifeng Chen, Samy Bengio, Quoc V. Le, Yannis Agiomyriannakis, Rob Clark, and Rif A. Saurous. 2017. Tacotron: Towards end-to-end speech synthesis. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 4006–4010. ISCA.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *EMNLP*, pages 38–45.
- Liumeng Xue, Chaoren Wang, Mingxuan Wang, Xueyao Zhang, Jun Han, and Zhizheng Wu. 2024. Singvisio: Visual analytics of diffusion model for singing voice conversion. *arXiv preprint 2402.12660*.
- Junichi Yamagishi, Christophe Veaux, Kirsten MacDonal, et al. 2019. CSTR VCTK Corpus: English multi-speaker corpus for cstr voice cloning toolkit (version 0.92). *University of Edinburgh. The Centre for Speech Technology Research (CSTR)*.
- Dongchao Yang, Jianwei Yu, Helin Wang, Wen Wang, Chao Weng, Yuexian Zou, and Dong Yu. 2023. Diffsound: Discrete diffusion model for text-to-sound generation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*.
- Zhuoyuan Yao, Di Wu, Xiong Wang, Binbin Zhang, Fan Yu, Chao Yang, Zhendong Peng, Xiaoyu Chen, Lei Xie, and Xin Lei. 2021. WeNet: Production oriented streaming and non-streaming end-to-end speech recognition toolkit. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 4054–4058.
- Reo Yoneyama, Yi-Chiao Wu, and Tomoki Toda. 2023. Source-filter hifi-gan: Fast and pitch controllable high-fidelity neural vocoder. In *ICASSP*, pages 1–5.
- Heiga Zen, Viet Dang, Rob Clark, Yu Zhang, Ron J. Weiss, Ye Jia, Zhifeng Chen, and Yonghui Wu. 2019. LibriTTS: A corpus derived from librispeech for text-to-speech. In *INTERSPEECH*, pages 1526–1530.
- Lichao Zhang, Ruiqi Li, Shoutong Wang, Liqun Deng, Jinglin Liu, Yi Ren, Jinzheng He, Rongjie Huang, Jieming Zhu, Xiao Chen, and Zhou Zhao. 2022. M4singer: A multi-style, multi-singer and musical score provided mandarin singing corpus. In *NeurIPS*.
- Xueyao Zhang, Yicheng Gu, Haopeng Chen, Zihao Fang, Lexiao Zou, Liumeng Xue, and Zhizheng Wu. 2023. Leveraging content-based features from multiple acoustic models for singing voice conversion. In *Machine Learning for Audio Workshop, NeurIPS 2023*.




Figure 5: Interactive visualization of diffusion model for singing voice conversion.




Figure 6: Online interactive demo for singing voice conversion.

Kun Zhou, Berrak Sisman, Rui Liu, and Haizhou Li. 2022. Emotional voice conversion: Theory, databases and ESD. *Speech Commun.*, 137:1–18.

A Visualization and Interactive Demo Cases in Amphion

A.1 Visualization for DiffWaveNetSVC

Amphion has provided a visualization tool called SingVisio¹⁵ (Xue et al., 2024) to demonstrate the internal mechanism of DiffWaveNetSVC (Zhang et al., 2023). Specifically, it can demystify the entire diffusion process by extracting and rendering the hidden features of the diffusion model for singing voice conversion. We present the screenshot of the visualization system in Figure 5.

A.2 Interactive Demo for DiffWaveNetSVC

Amphion has supported various online interactive demos for users to explore.¹⁶ For example, we pre-train a DiffWaveNetSVC (Zhang et al., 2023) model which can conduct the singing voice conversion among fifteen vocalists (as is shown in Table 3) and also provide the interactive demo¹⁷. The screenshot of it is presented in Figure 6.

¹⁵<https://dsvc.openmmlab.org.cn/>

¹⁶<https://huggingface.co/amphion>

¹⁷https://huggingface.co/spaces/amphion/singing_voice_conversion