

A SHORT PROOF OF THE FINITENESS OF DYNKIN FRIEZES

GREG MULLER

ABSTRACT. We give a short and elementary proof that every Dynkin diagram admits finitely many (positive integral) friezes. This was originally proven in [GM22] using the geometry of cluster algebras. The proof here provides an explicit (albeit inefficient) bound on values.

1. RECOLLECTIONS

Let Γ be a (finite-type) Dynkin diagram with vertices indexed from 1 to n , and let C be the Cartan matrix of Γ . A **(positive integral) frieze of type Γ** is a function¹

$$F : \mathbb{Z}_{[1,n]} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$$

such that the **mesh relations** hold; that is, for each $(i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{[1,n]} \times \mathbb{Z}$,

$$F_{i,k} F_{i,k+1} = 1 + \prod_{j < i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j > i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}}$$

A frieze can be visualized as values on the vertices of the associated **repetition quiver**. This is the infinite quiver with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,n]} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and arrows $(i, k) \rightarrow (j, k) \rightarrow (i, k + 1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $i < j$ and $-C_{i,j} < 0$. For simply-laced Γ , the mesh relations are equivalent to requiring that adjacent values in the same row have product equal to 1 plus the product of the intermediate values (see Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. A positive integral frieze of type E_8

¹Here and throughout, $\mathbb{Z}_{[1,n]} := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Remark 1.1. This construction implicitly orients the edges in Γ via the ordering of the indices to avoid a choice of orientation used in other definitions (which is ultimately irrelevant). ■

A thoughtful discussion of the motivations and history of Dynkin friezes can be found in [MG15], so we will skip this. We will need the following fundamental result.

Theorem 1.2 ([MG15, Theorem 2.7]). *For each Dynkin diagram Γ , there is an integer p such that every frieze of type Γ is p -periodic; that is, $F_{i,k} = F_{i,k+p}$.*

Friezes of type A_n were introduced by Coxeter and Conway in [CC73], who showed they were in bijection with triangulations of an $(n + 3)$ -gon, and are therefore counted by the Catalan numbers. This suggests that counts of friezes in other Dynkin types should be meaningful; however, the finiteness of such friezes was open until recently.

Theorem 1.3. [GM22] *For each Dynkin diagram Γ , there are finitely many friezes.*

In [GM22], finiteness was proven non-constructively using the geometry of cluster algebras; specifically, by showing they form a closed and discrete subset of a compact set (the *superunitary region* of the associated cluster algebra). In this note, we give an elementary proof of finiteness by explicitly bounding the size of the entries of a frieze.

2. FINITENESS

2.1. Average logarithms. Consider a positive integral frieze F of type Γ with period p . For each vertex i of Γ , let $a_i(F)$ denote the average of the base 2 logarithms of the entries in the i th row of F ; that is,

$$a_i(F) := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p \log_2(F_{i,k}) = \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p F_{i,k} \right)$$

By periodicity, the sum could equivalently be taken over any sequence of p -many consecutive entries in the i th row of F . Let $\vec{\mathbf{a}}(F)$ denote the n -vector whose i th coordinate is $a_i(F)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Each entry of the product $\mathbf{C}\vec{\mathbf{a}}(F)$ lies in the half-open interval $(0, 1]$.*

Proof. For each $i \in \Gamma_0$, $2a_i(F)$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p F_{i,k}^2 \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p F_{i,k} F_{i,k+1} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p \left(1 + \prod_{j<i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j>i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}} \right) \right)$$

Since each $F_{i,k} \geq 1$, we have bounds

$$\prod_{j<i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j>i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}} < 1 + \prod_{j<i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j>i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}} \leq 2 \prod_{j<i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j>i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}}$$

Plugging the lower bound into the formula for $2a_i(F)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_i(F) &> \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p \left(\prod_{j<i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j>i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p \left(\prod_{j \neq i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq i} -C_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p F_{j,k} \right) \right) = - \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} a_j(F) \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the upper bound into the formula for $2a_i(F)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_i(F) &\leq \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p \left(2 \prod_{j<i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \prod_{j>i} F_{j,k+1}^{-C_{i,j}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(2^p \prod_{k=1}^p \left(\prod_{j \neq i} F_{j,k}^{-C_{i,j}} \right) \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} -C_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{p} \log_2 \left(\prod_{k=1}^p F_{j,k} \right) \right) = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} a_j(F) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $i \in \Gamma_0$, we have bounds

$$0 < 2a_i(F) + \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} a_j(F) \leq 1$$

Since the i th entry of $\mathbf{C}\vec{a}(F)$ is equal to $2a_i(F) + \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} a_j(F)$, this completes the proof. \square

Example 2.2. Consider $\Gamma = E_8$, with Cartan matrix \mathbf{C} and its inverse below.

$$\mathbf{C} := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{C}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & 7 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 8 & 10 & 15 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 7 & 10 & 14 & 20 & 16 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 10 & 15 & 20 & 30 & 24 & 18 & 12 & 6 \\ 8 & 12 & 16 & 24 & 20 & 15 & 10 & 5 \\ 6 & 9 & 12 & 18 & 15 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 & 8 & 12 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that this implicitly orders the vertices of Γ . For the frieze F in Figure 1,

$$\vec{a}(F) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.79248 \\ 2.57480 \\ 3.45644 \\ 5.10777 \\ 4.18304 \\ 3.25390 \\ 2.30720 \\ 1.29248 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{C}\vec{a}(F) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.12852 \\ 0.04184 \\ 0.01263 \\ 0.00125 \\ 0.00442 \\ 0.01755 \\ 0.06803 \\ 0.27776 \end{bmatrix} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.2. **Bounds.** Since Γ is Dynkin, its Cartan matrix C is invertible with positive integer entries (e.g. [OV90, Table 2]). Let b_i denote the sum of the i th row of C^{-1} ; that is,

$$b_i := \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}^{-1}$$

Proposition 2.3. *For all i and n , $a_i(F) \leq b_i$ and $F_{i,k} \leq 2^{pb_i}$.*

Proof. Since the entries of C^{-1} are positive, increasing any coordinate of a vector \vec{v} increases each coordinate of the product $C^{-1}\vec{v}$. Therefore, each coordinate attains its maximum value on $C^{-1}[0, 1]^n$ at $C^{-1}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The i th coordinate of this point is b_i , so $a_i(F) \leq b_i$. Raising both sides to the p , $\prod_{k=1}^p F_{i,k} \leq 2^{pb_i}$. Since each $F_{i,k} \geq 1$, this implies that $F_{i,k} \leq 2^{pb_i}$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *There are finitely many positive integral friezes of type Γ .*

Proof. Each $F_{i,k}$ must be an integer between 1 and 2^{pb_i} , and F is determined by the values $F_{i,k}$ with $1 \leq k \leq p$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. So, there are at most $2^{p^2 \sum_i b_i}$ -many friezes of type Γ . \square

3. ENUMERATION

After finiteness, the natural question is: how many friezes are there of each type?

- As previously mentioned, friezes of type A_n are counted by Catalan numbers.
- Types B_n, C_n, D_n , and G_2 were counted by Fontaine and Plamondon in [FP16].
- Fontaine and Plamondon also used a computer search to give conjectural counts in types E_6, E_7, E_8 , and F_4 [FP16]. The counts for E_6 and F_4 were confirmed in [BFG⁺21], but the counts of 4400 and 26952 in type E_7 and E_8 remain unconfirmed.

Unfortunately, while the techniques of this paper characterize finite spaces of possible friezes for the open types, these spaces are still impractically large to check with current computers.

Example 3.1. Consider $\Gamma = E_8$. Summing the rows of C^{-1} (see Example 2.2) gives

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8) = (46, 68, 91, 135, 110, 84, 57, 29)$$

Since every frieze of type E_8 has period $p = 16$, such a frieze is determined by the entries $F_{i,k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 16$. Choosing a value for each such $F_{i,k}$ between 1 and 2^{pb_i} gives

$$\prod_{i=1}^8 \prod_{k=1}^{16} 2^{16b_i} = 2^{16^2 \sum_i b_i} = 2^{158720}$$

possible friezes of type E_8 . Oof. There are a few optimizations available.

- A frieze of type E_8 is (almost) determined by its values on Row 8.²

²Choosing Row 8 determines Rows 7, 6, 5, and 4 by the mesh relations. The remaining rows require a choosing factorizations of some integers, but this adds a negligible number of cases to a computer search.

• Instead of bounding the individual entries, bound the product of the entries in a row. This reduces the problem to considering all 16-tuples $F_{8,1}, F_{8,2}, F_{8,3}, \dots, F_{8,16}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with

$$\prod_{k=1}^{16} F_{8,k} \leq 2^{16b_8} = 2^{16 \cdot 29} = 2^{464}$$

Unfortunately, 2^{464} is still too many possibilities for a brute force search.

By [GM22, Theorem C], Fontaine and Plamondon’s conjectural counts would be confirmed by showing there are 0 and 4 friezes in types E_7 and E_8 (respectively) whose entries are all at least 2. For such friezes F , the upper bound on the i th entry of $\mathbf{C}\bar{\mathbf{a}}(F)$ can be reduced to $\log_2(1 + 2^{-d_i})$, where $d_i := \sum_{j \neq i} -C_{i,j}$. This translates into bounds

$$a_i(F) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}^{-1} \log_2(1 + 2^{-d_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{k=1}^p F_{i,k} \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + 2^{-d_j})^{pC_{i,j}^{-1}}$$

For $\Gamma = E_8$ and $i = 8$, this gives a bound

$$\prod_{k=1}^{16} F_{8,k} \leq \left(\frac{151875}{16384} \right)^{16} \approx 2^{51}$$

This is approaching the realm of possibility, but further optimization is needed. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Emily Gunawan, whose extensive collaborations on [GM22] led to this idea.
- Pierre-Guy Plamondon, who pushed the author to bound entries of a frieze.
- Antoine de Saint Germain, for pushing the author to write this up.

REFERENCES

- [BFG⁺21] Karin Baur, Eleonore Faber, Sira Gratz, Khrystyna Serhiyenko, and Gordana Todorov. Friezes satisfying higher SL_k -determinants. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(1):29–68, 2021.
- [CC73] J. H. Conway and H. S. M. Coxeter. Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns. *Math. Gaz.*, 57(400):87–94, 1973.
- [FP16] Bruce Fontaine and Pierre-Guy Plamondon. Counting friezes in type D_n . *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 44(2):433–445, 2016.
- [GM22] Emily Gunawan and Greg Muller. Superunitary regions of cluster algebras. Preprint, arXiv 2208.14521, 08 2022.
- [MG15] Sophie Morier-Genoud. Coxeter’s frieze patterns at the crossroads of algebra, geometry and combinatorics. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 47(6):895–938, 2015.
- [OV90] A. L. Onishchik and È. B. Vinberg. *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.