DeLTA-BIT: an open-source probabilistic tractography-based deep learning framework for thalamic targeting in functional neurological disorders

Mattia Romeo^{1,2,3,†}, Cesare Gagliardo^{4,5,†}, Giorgio Collura^{1,5}, Eleonora Bruno^{4,5}, Maria Cristina D'Oca^{1,2}, Massimo Midiri⁴, Francesca Lizzi⁶ Ian Postuma⁷, Alessandro Lascialfari^{7,8}, Alessandra Retico⁶, Maurizio Marrale^{1,2,*}

1 Department of Physics and Chemistry Emilio Segré, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, edificio 18, Palermo, 90128, Italy

2 National Institute for Nuclear Physics, Catania Division, Via Santa Sofia,64, Catania, 95123, Italy

3 Department of Biological, Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, edificio 16, Palermo, 90128, Italy

4 Department of Biomedicine, Neurosciences and Advanced Diagnostics - University of Palermo, Via del Vespro 129, Palermo, 90127, Italy

5 University-Hospital Paolo Giaccone of Palermo, Via del Vespro 129, Palermo, 90127, Italy

6 National Institute for Nuclear Physics, Pisa Division, Largo B. Pontecorvo, 3, Pisa, 56127, Italy

7 National Institute for Nuclear Physics, Pavia Division, Via Agostino Bassi, 6, Pavia, 27100, Italy

8 Department of Physics, University of Pavia, Via Agostino Bassi, 6, Pavia, 27100, Italy

*Corresponding email: maurizio.marrale@unipa.it † Co-first authors

Abstract

In the last years *in-vivo* tractography has assumed an important role in neurosciences, for both research and clinical applications such as non-invasive investigation of brain connectivity and presurgical planning in neurosurgery. In more recent years there has been a growing interest in the applications of diffusion tractography for target identification in neurofunctional disorders for an increasingly tailored approach. The growing diffusion of well-established neurosurgical procedures as deep brain stimulation (DBS), radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and stereotactic radiosurgery (STR), or more recently introduced methods as trans-cranial Magnetic Resonance-guided Focused Ultrasound (tcMRgFUS) and MR-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy (MRgLITT), favored this trend. Tractography can indeed provide more accurate, patient-specific, information about the targeted region if compared to stereotactic atlases. On the other hand, this tractography-based approachs is not very physician-friendly, and its heavily time consuming since needs several hours for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data processing. In this study we propose a novel open-source deep learning framework called DeLTA-BIT (acronym of Deep-learning Local TrActography for BraIn Targeting) for reconstructing thalamic probabilistic tractography maps enabling a personalized (patient-specific) target identification for functional neurological disorders which may facilitate the resolution of these limitations for clinical applications outside of the research and academic scenarios. The proposed framework exploits two convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to segment the thalamus and reconstruct the connectivity map between each voxel inside the thalamus and any user-specified target cortical or subcortical masks. These CNNs were trained, validated, and tested on the datasets from the Human Connectome Project (HCP) database. Our results are comparable with the state-of-the-art

data-driven segmentation and probabilistic tractography and demonstrate the high performance of proposed networks for personalized thalamic target identification with a Dice coefficient of 0.93 ± 0.01 for thalamus segmentation and a Dice Similarity Coefficient for the projection to the precentral gyrus from the thalamus of 0.80 ± 0.06 . The code of these models is freely available on GitHub.

Keywords: Deep Learning; Targeting; transcranial magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound surgery; Deep brain stimulation, probabilistic tractography, Thalamus

Introduction

The growing potential of non-invasive techniques to study central nervous system connectivity has opened new perspectives in the neuroscience field. In the last years in-vivo techniques used to visually represent fiber bundles (i.e. tractography) by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has taken an increasingly crucial role for both research and clinical applications such as investigation of brain connectivity or presurgical planning in the neuro-oncology field [1]. In recent years there has also been a growing interest in the applications of diffusion tractography for target identification in neurofunctional disorders for an increasingly tailored approach. The growing diffusion of well-established neurosurgical procedures as deep brain stimulation (DBS) [2–6], radiofrequency ablation (RFA) [7–10], and stereotactic radiosurgery (STR) [11–15], or more recently introduced methods as trans-cranial Magnetic Resonance-guided Focused Ultrasound (tcMRgFUS) [16–21], and MR-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy (MRgLITT) [22–25], favored this trend as they introduced new standards of precision-terapy. The use of tractography can indeed provide more accurate, patient-specific, information about the targeted region if compared to stereotactic atlases [26–33]. The most common functional neurological disorder, actually the most common movement disorder in adults, is essential tremor (ET). This condition affects abilities to perform daily activities for many millions of patients worldwide because of rhythmic trembling of the hands, head, voice, legs or trunk, with psychosocial effects that compromise quality of life. [34–36] A possible cause of ET is an abnormal activity of central tremor network [37,38] even though further investigations are needed to define accurate pathogenesis [36, 39]. ET treatment depends on the severity of tremor, the body part affected, the occupation of the patients and the degree of social disability. Thus, not all patients with ET need treatment. When a treatment is needed there are several options ranging from behavioral techniques and physical therapy to medications, up to surgical ones [40]. In cases with medication-refractory symptoms significantly affecting patient's quality of life, the surgical option is contemplated. In these cases, the tremor can be reduced or even suppressed by lesioning or stimulating a relay nucleus of the thalamus, so called ventral intermediate nucleus (VIM) [41,42] even if other targets may be considered too. Nowadays, the surgical gold standard procedure for many neurofunctional disorders as ET is DBS which involves the implantation of electrodes within the VIM [4,43]. In the last years the neurosurgical treatments of ET are taking advantage of the use of transcranial Magnetic Resonance-guided Focused UltraSound (tcMRgFUS) which offers an incisionless and tailored approach without the need to open the skull. This technique allows non-invasive brain thermal lesioning by focusing multiple high energy focused ultrasound beams on the target under guidance of MRI for both procedure planning and thermal monitoring. Nowadays, tcMRgFUS has successfully exploited for treatments of ET patients worldwide [16-21, 44-46, 46-54]. It has been demonstrated that probabilistic tractography can be used to label thalamus in its subregions, each projecting bundles of fibers that connect the thalamus to various cortical brain regions, providing valuable non-invasive in-vivo thalamus parcellation [55–57]. The VIM in particular, receives fibers from cerebellum and is connected mainly to the primary motor cortex [41, 58, 59]. The success for a safe and effective ET surgical treatment relies on an accurate targeting of VIM (whose size are approximately $4 \times 4 \times 6 \text{ mm}^3$ [30]). The most common current methods to identify the VIM are based on the use of a thalamic stereotactic atlas overlaid on the structural MR images of patients or on adoption of stereotactic coordinates defined in relation to the anterior commissure–posterior commissure (AC-PC) references [60]). This indirect atlas-based targeting suffers from several limitations because it does not take into account the anatomical variability between subjects (since the atlases are constructed on a

limited number of subjects) and thus is not patient-specific. In order to overcome these limits recently many efforts are carried out to develop targeting methods based on imaging. Since standard structural T_1 -weighted images lack the contrast and resolution needed for direct targeting, advanced MRI techniques have been exploited for targeting the VIM such as susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI), fast grey matter acquisition T_1 inversion recovery (FGATIR), and diffusion tractography [61]. This last is the most promising and effective method for non-invasive *in-vivo* VIM targeting, and it is based on analysis of water diffusion through diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) acquisitions to trace white matter fibers. In particular, probabilistic tractography incorporates the inherent uncertainty in the diffusion-weighted MRI data to map white matter pathways without oversimplifying the complexity of brain structure (it does not assume a single fiber direction for each voxel as done by determinist tractography). Probabilistic tractography models the distribution of possible orientations for each voxel estimating the probability of a connection between two brain regions resulting in a more realistic representation of the uncertainty in the diffusion-weighted MR data and allowing the exploration of multiple possible pathways rather than a single deterministic reconstruction that is particularly useful in regions with complex fiber configurations [62–67]. The probability maps for tracts connecting the treated thalamus, the hand-knob region of the ipsilateral motor cortex, and the contralateral dentate nucleus have been already investigated for improved targeting in tcMRgFUS procedures ([57]). So far, on the basis of the studies performed retrospectively or prospectively the VIM targeting through tractography is safe with proved satisfactory correlation with clinical outcomes ([33, 55–57]). Various advantages can be achieved through these techniques such as identifying the best target for surgery, accomplishing patient specificity, avoiding damaging other brain areas that the desidered target, potentially minimizing procedural time and reducing the risk of adverse effects. However, probabilistic tractography approach is very time-consuming requiring computational analysis times of many hours per patient and the analysis pipeline is definitely not physician friendly. Nowadays, use of machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques could help to tackle complex problems, also in medical field [68], exploiting a data-driven approach rather than model-based algorithms. Recently, white matter (WM) tractography tasks were performed through DL approaches using DTI scans as input and directly providing WM tractography streamlines as output. First applications were aimed at finding deterministic tractography maps. In particular, Neher et al. adopted a random forest (RF) classifier that using raw diffusion data was able to provide direction proposals for streamline tracking [69]. Successively, DL models for fiber tractography making use of a fully-connected (FC) and a recurrent neural network (RNN) architectures [70] or of a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) network [71] were developed as a regression task to carry out deterministic tractography providing the streamline directions in each tracking step. Another approach followed is the estimation of the fiber orientation distribution functions (fODFs) by means of DL networks and using these results to reconstruct both deterministic and probabilistic tractograms. Deep convolutional neural networks were used for obtaining discrete fODFs [72] as well as for predicting spherical harmonics coefficients for continuous fODF estimation [73]. Local fiber orientations along tractography streamlines were also predicted by means of recurrent neural networks by solving a multi-class classification problem instead of the conventional regression approach. [74]. Other DL models (including CNN, encoder-decoder CNN or CNN + Transformer, etc) developed for fiber tracking are reported in literature [75–80]. Recently, a general framework for tractography with deep reinforcement learning was proposed [77].

In this study, we propose DeLTA-BIT (acronym of Deep-learning Local TrActography for BraIn Targeting), a novel open-source DL framework aimed at both reconstructing probabilistic tractography maps inside the thalamus and realizing thalamic parcellation starting from diffusion-weighted MR data. This model is able to provide the connectivity map (as that obtainable using PROBTRACKX tool from the FMRIB Software Library [62]) between each voxel inside the thalamus and any user-specified cortical or subcortical masks. This model is characterized on a encoder-decoder CNN able to accept as input bounding box surrounding the thalamus with arbitrary size. Our models were trained for different cortical regions and more than 1,000 subject from HCP database were considered for training.

Materials and Methods

Dataset

The working dataset for designing the DL models should be composed of a large set of DWI acquisitions which DTI images can be extracted from. In addiction, also T_1 images are needed to extract binary masks for optimal identification and segmentation of the different brain regions of interest. Moreover, since this task is supervised, the probabilistic tractography maps should be used as labelled images for ground truth of the model. The dataset chosen that satisfies some of these requests is the HCP dataset ([81-84]), with approximately 1200 young adult subjects. For each subject considered in this paper only T₁ structural images, DTI images as well as results of BEDPOST analyses were downloaded. Each subject image subset underwent the same pipeline to carry out probabilistic tractography (more details are provided in the next subsection). The probabilistic tractographies were obtained using the binary mask of the left thalamus as seed points and several brain areas as target regions. The software used runs several streamlines, whose each starts from the seed region and moves randomly, based on the diffusion information, until a target region is reached. So, for each voxel ROI connectivity can be assessed keeping track of the several paths and counting the number of times that voxel is included in a streamline. This produces a number of outputs equal to the number of target region, where a function F(x, y, z) is defined within the thalamus for each of them. If F(x, y, z) is normalized according to the relation:

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{F(x, y, z)}{\int_T F(x', y', z') \, dx' dy' dz'},\tag{1}$$

where T is the left side thalamus area; the quantity f(x, y, z) dxdydz represents the probability of finding bundles of nerves connecting the seed region (the left hemisphere thalamus) with the cortex area of interest. Considering the discrete nature of MR images, the integral in equation 1 should be replaced by the summation over the voxels of interest. Since all images of the HCP dataset are acquired at a resolution of $1mm \times 1mm$, equation 1 becomes:

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{F(x, y, z)}{\sum_{i \in T} F(x_i, y_i, z_i)}.$$
(2)

The HCP dataset is not homogeneous across all subjects: indeed, some images are absent or have been acquired with a different protocol, so we had to discard some subjects. The final size of the dataset is 1064 subjects, whose 800 were included in the training set and 264 in the test set.

Preprocessing

The above-mentioned probabilistic tractography needs several preprocessing steps performed through the *FreeSurfer* ([85]) and *FSL* ([86–88]) tools and making use of python code developed using the numpy, nibabel and scikit-learn libraries [89–91]. MRI data were initially converted into NIFTI format, and then our preprocessing pipeline, which includes T_1 image preprocessing and DTI image preprocessing, was applied.

\mathbf{T}_1 preprocessing

T1 images are needed to get several binary masks of the brain regions to use for probabilistic tractography. Preprocessing to correct images for noise and artifacts before brain region extraction was performed through the *Freesurfer* software. Using the command recon-all the complete pipeline including noise and motion correction intensity normalization, labeling of the cortex and subcortex brain regions, was carried out. The HCP dataset already has available data preprocessed by recon-all command. After this preprocessing binary masks of both the cortex regions and the subcortex regions were obtained. The first one can be done using the Freesurfer commands mri_annotation2label and

Processing pipeline



Figure 1. Scheme of the pipeline for probabilistic tractography.

mri_label2vol, the second can be done making use of the Freesurfer command mri_binarize, which takes the segmentation files provided by recon-all and creates binary masks. Lastly, since Freesurfer use a own representation to elaborate data, the last step was the reorientation of the data through the FSL command fslreorient2std.

DTI preprocessing

DTI images also underwent preprocessing, since they are more prone to noise and artifacts, and this is needed to extract DTI images. FSL tools were chosen for motion, DWI noise and eddy current correction using the commands topup, which performs susceptibility-induced distortion correction and fieldmap estimation, and eddy, which uses topup outputs to perform eddy current and patient motion correction. After these first steps, in order to achieve probabilistic tractography maps the distributions on diffusion parameters at each voxel, i.e. Bayesian Estimation of Diffusion Parameters Obtained using Sampling Techniques (BEDPOSTX, where X stands for modelling Crossing Fibres) should be estimated [62]. Final probabilistic tractography maps can be obtained using FSL command probtrackx2_GPU (the GPU version of probtracx, [64,92]). The PROBTRACKX tool produces sample streamlines, drawing an orientation from the voxel-wise bedpostX distributions and taking a step in this direction. The process starts from a seed region and ends when a termination criterion is reached. These sample streamlines can then be used to build up a histogram of how many streamlines passed through each voxel or the number of streamlines connecting specific brain regions, so applying the normalization in equation 1 provides the desired probabilistic tractography. PROBTRACKX can be run including seed regions (ensemble of voxels from which the streamlines begin), target regions (ensemble of voxels in which the streamilines end), exclusion regions (ensemble of voxels which should be avoided). PROBTRACKX assumes that all given regions come from the same representation space. If it is not true, the transformation matrix should be specified, e.g. from structural space (of the seed and target region) to diffusion space (space of the diffusion data). For this reason a registration between structural and diffusion data is carried out and this can be done with FSL command flirt.

The cortex regions considered in this work are frontal lobe, temporal lobe, occipital lobe, parietal lobe, postcentral gyrus and precentral gyrus, so six different probabilistic tractographies were reconstructed which became the label images to train CNN.

DTI images were also registered on T_1 images. FSL was used with with dtifit and flirt commands to first extract DTI images and then use the FA image to register the other images on T_1 image.

Also in this case, some of the above operations have already been done on the HCP datasets and only the output files were dowloaded (among these the outputs of the motion and eddy corrections and BEDPOSTX tool).

Bounding box

Before training the CNN an analysis about computational complexity was performed. A statistical study about thalamus physical features, such as volume and surface, showed that the thalamus of a hemisphere has a mean volume of about 8600 mm^3 , i.e. approximately 0.05% of a standard MRI image (typically in size $256 \times 256 \times 256 \ mm^3$). For this reason a bounding box was chosen. Since all HCP images were registered on the MNI152 standard, they share an equal frame of reference. To find the voxel coordinates of the bounding box, an hundred of subjects were randomly chosen and their thalamus binary masks were loaded; after this the smallest box which contains the largest thalamus among all chosen subjects was selected. Ten voxels of tolerance for each directions were added and then it was checked that all thalamus data lie inside the box. A bounding box of $38 \times 60 \times 48$ voxels (Figure 2) was identified and it is much smaller than a standard MRI image and can easily be used to train a CNN.



Figure 2. Bounding box containing the left side hemisphere thalamus of all dataset subjects. This allows to work with input size of $38 \times 60 \times 48$ voxels.

AI models

In order to reduce the time required for probabilistic tractography, we developed two types of CNNs. Looking at figure 1, the idea is to use a CNN for brain segmentation and several CNN for for direct tractography prediction. In the following we refer to the CNN which works on brain segmentations as the segmentation model, whereas the models which predict tractographies are referred to as the regression models. We have trained one segmentation model for thalamus segmentation, and six regression models (one for each cortical region considered). In this way the computational time required for tractography evaluation can be strongly reduced. However, a minimal preprocessing is still necessary: registration between structural and DWI images and DWI artifacts corrections are needed.

The network architecture used for each model is a 3D U-Net, i.e. a 3D fully convolutional network (Figure 3), the truly difference between them depend only on the input and output layers. The U-Net is actually the state of the art for segmentation task and, in the last years, it is used for regression task as well as for GAN models in image translation ([93,94]). In addiction, U-Net works as *encoder-decoder* model, but with skip connections which avoid the vanishing-gradient.

The network input comes projected through the network to produce the output. The network is subdivided in levels, for each of them our model has two convolutional blocks. A convolutional block is made by a 3D convolutional layer with kernel size equal to 3, a LeakyRelu activation layer ($\alpha = 0.01$) and a Batch Normalization layer.

In the network coding part (left side of the network in figure 3), at every level except the first, convolutional blocks reduce the spatial size of the layer inputs and add new feature maps. In a typical U-Net the rule is: at each level halve the input spatial sizes and add twice as many feature maps. This goes on until the fourth level, where the layer input becomes really small in spatial size, $5 \times 8 \times 5$ voxels, but it has a large number of feature maps, 128. At this stage the network has done the encoding process and now is ready for decoding (right side of the network in figure 3). In the decoding process the network does exactly the opposite: it increases the layer input in spatial size and reduces the number of feature maps by *3D Transposed convolutional layers*, until the layer input gets the same spatial dimensions as the network input. This is the reason why in the the first level we add feature maps but we keep the convolutional stride of the first block equal to 1.

When a convolutional layer, with kernel size equal to K, padding equal to P and stride equal to S, is applied to an input, with size equal to W the output has size O is given by:

$$O = \left\lfloor \frac{W - K + 2P}{S} \right\rfloor + 1, \tag{3}$$

where $\lfloor \rfloor$ refers to the *floor function*. A typical choice is to set P = (K - 1)/2 when S = 1, in this way we get an output with the same size of the input. Most of DL libraries allow to do it by simply entering the command padding='same'. When we do that if we use a convolutional stride equal to 2 we get an output in size:

$$O = \begin{cases} W/2, & \text{if } W \text{ even} \\ (W+1)/2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

This rule can cause size matching problem in the decoding part of the network when input has not standard size, such as powers of 2. We implemented the network using Python's library *Keras* [95] and this problem can be avoided setting the output_pad command inside the *3D transposed convolutional layer*. In this way we are able to adapt our network to all input sizes.

Training models

The segmentation model was trained using the native T_1 images as inputs and the binary masks produced by *FreeSurfer* as targets. The images were cut into the above defined bounding box.

The regression models have been trained using same inputs but different tractographies as target. This choice is justified by assumption that the tractographies are indipendent each other. The inputs of the regression models consist of 11 3D volumes arranged to form a 4D array of size $38 \times 60 \times 48 \times 11$, where each volume has the size of the bounding box. The first volume is the binary mask of the left side hemisphere thalamus and the second one is FA image. The other volumes are obtained multiplying each components of eigenvector of the diffusion tensor by its eigenvalue; so, since eigenvectors have 3 components, 9 3D volumes were obtained. This choice is based on the physical consideration that the most of the information about probabilistic tractography derives from the values of diffusion tensor inside thalamus, i.e. from its eigenvectors and eigenvalues in this region. Of course, under this assumption an approximate version of the probabilistic tractography can be obtained.

In general, each of the developed network has a total number of parameters equal to 1, 466, 433, of which 1, 465, 025 are trainable and randomly initialized (using a normal distribution). They have been trained using *Adam algorithm* as optimizer. The loss functions we use are the *combo loss* (the sum of the crossentropy and the dice loss) for the segmentation task, and the *Mean squared error* (MSE) for regression models. Since an enough number of subjects to train the network was available, a single validation split was carried out to monitor the training of each models, so for all of them the training set became of 704 subjects in size and a validation set of 96 subjects (randomly selected) was used. In

addiction, as the training set is still large in size and requires a very large amount of memory, we used a data generator that provides the networks with input batch of 16 subjects (batch size) for regression models and 64 for the segmentation one. The data generator does also other operations: indeed, in this case it was adopted for implementing data normalization, online data augmentation and random shuffling at the end of each epoch. The network needs data normalization to have inputs taking values between 0 and 1. This is typical procedure in DL because it facilitates the training process. Data augmentation strategy was chosen to avoid the model overfitting or to get more data when the training set is small in size and to guarantee that a model is independent for some data transformation. The transformations we used for data augmentation are: small angle rotation, translation, flipping, scaling and adding of random Gaussian noise. When an input is loaded a transformation is chosen randomly (transformation and its parameters) and is applied to it, so at each epoch the network sees different data. This type of data augmentation is called *online data augmentation*. Lastly, at the end of each epoch the data generator applies a random shuffling on the data list, to avoid that the network always sees the same sequence of data which can cause overfitting or underfitting problems.

During the training phase a model checkpoint was exploited and this saves a copy of the model for each iteration the model with the best performances on the validation set. Analysis of the training curve allows to select the best model being careful not to choose an overfitted model.

The hardware we used to train the network is a NVIDIA RTX A5000 GPU with 24GB of memory. We set 2000 epochs for each tractograpy, which takes about of 50 hours to complete the training of one regression model and 11 to train the segmentation model.

The AI pipeline

The tractography pipeline which uses the previous models is different from the original one. In order to obtain a set of tractographies we need first to register structural and DWI images on the MNI152 standard. Then the thalamus mask is predicted using the segmentation model and 11-volume inputs are constructed for tractographies predictions. The time required is about of 3-4 minutes.

The code of these models is freely available on Github at the link https://github.com/mromeo1992/delta-BIT.

Evaluation methods

Segmentation model

The predition ability of the segmentation model have been evaluated on the test set. The metric we used is the Similarity Dice Coefficient (DSC), defined as :

$$DSC = \frac{2|X \cap Y|}{|X| + |Y|} \tag{5}$$

where X and Y are two ROIs to compare and |X| is the size of the ROI X.

Regression models

MSE was chosen to train the network and, although it has many advantages, it should be used carefully for a proper evaluation. Indeed, probabilistic tractographies have many features and a single value, such as MSE, is not able to summarize them. When the MSE is calculated, first the absolute error for each voxel is evaluated, and then it is averaged over the whole image and at the end averaged over all subjects. In such a way the information about where the model is mismatching the desired output is lost. Furthermore, the DL model for reconstructing probabilistic tractography maps accept as inputs images related to the thalamus and should extract information about the connections of the thalamus with other regions outside the thalamus. Therefore, the predicted tractography maps are an approximation of the real ones and one aim of this work is to reconstruct maps able to provide information and features that are closely related to those of the real tractography. In particular, the predicted tractography should have the same center of gravity as the real one, which has physical significance, and a good overlap with real map, which has practical significance. These are the reasons why we chose our evaluation methods and we think that our work can be useful in TcMRgFUS or DBS treatments.

For this reason the following two different approaches were exploited to evaluate the models. The first one is based on the distance between the centres of gravity calculated from the true tractography and the predicted one. Since probabilistic tractography assumes real values, one way to evaluate a prediction is to measure the distance between the centres of gravity of the true tractography and the predicted one. The coordinates of the centre of gravity can be found using the relations:

$$\begin{cases} \langle x \rangle = \sum_{i \in T} x_i f(x_i, y_i, z_i) \\ \langle y \rangle = \sum_{i \in T} y_i f(x_i, y_i, z_i) \\ \langle z \rangle = \sum_{i \in T} z_i f(x_i, y_i, z_i). \end{cases}$$
(6)

In this way the absolute distance for each direction (to check for bias along one or more directions) and the euclidean distance as well can be calculated.

The second method is based on the fact that probabilistic tractographies have a bimodal distribution of gray levels (see Figure 4). From this observation threshold algorithms can be used to find ROIs, whose all discrete metrics can be estimated. In TcMRgFUS, when a treatment has to be planned, the neuroradiologist usually applies a threshold to the tractography to find a region of interest (ROI). Inspired by this method and keeping in mind that each probabilistic tractographies show bimodal intensity distributions, background or foreground voxels can be classified according to their intensity values. This method requires a threshold value which was found using Otsu's algorithm ([96]). Once ROIs were identified, discrete metrics, such as DSC, can be calculated.

Results

In this section the results obtained through the developed networks are presented and the prediction abilities of these models are quantified and discussed. First, the prediction abilities of the segmentation model and then the precentral cortex region model are illustated. An example of the comparison of the tractography maps obtained through FSL tools and the ones predicted by this model is shown in Figure ??.

Even though some differences can be noted, the predictions are similar to the true tractographies. However, in a 3D regression task it is not straightforward to quantify how much two data are similar to each other. In the next sections we report the results of the above-described evaluation methods used. Analogous models were developed and trained for other cortical regions, *i.e.* frontal cortex, occipital cortex, parietal cortex, postcentral gyrus, and temporal cortex, and a graphical representation is reported in Figure ??. As last step of these analyses, the thalamus can be parcelled out by using an ensemble of models.

The segmentation model

The segmentation model has to find the binary mask of the thalamus from T_1 images. This is the first step of AI pipeline and, consequently, all successive results depend on its prediction abilities. This model is able to reach a mean DSC about of 0.93 on the test set, with a standard deviation of about 0.01 (figure 7), which represents a very good performance with small data dispersion.

Precentral gyrus model

Even if the pathophysiology of essential tremor is nowadays only partially understood, the presumed neuronal pathways involved are not the subject of controversy and two main circuits implicated in tremor generation ("tremor networks") are recognised: the cortico-ponto-cerebello-thalamo-cortical loop and the Guillain–Mollaret triangle (dentate nucleus to red nucleus to inferior olivary nucleus to dentate nucleus circuit) [97,98]. For this reason the VIM nucleus is today considered one of the possible targets to interrupt the cortical hyperexcitable state that results from a reduction in the cerbellar GABAergic tone. By the use of probabilistic tractography is it possibile to identify the area of the thalamus that is mostly connected with the precentral gyrus (i.e. primary motor cortex) of the frontal lobe thus the presumed anatomical location of the VIM. So, we focus in this tractography map due to its importance for treatment planning for neurofunctional disorders as ET.

0.0.1 Centre of gravity

Evaluation of a general spatial probabilistic distribution function (PDF) involves extraction of information from the expected values. The expected position of the PDF, *i.e.* the center of gravity, has physical significance, *e.g.* when the PDF is the density of a rigid body it can be used to describe the whole translational dynamics. In the case of probabilistic tractography distributions the centre of mass can be used to indicate the point around which there is the greatest probability of finding the bundle of nerves we are looking for.

Our model was evaluated by examining its ability to predict the centre of gravity of probabilistic tractography maps. In figure 8 the coordinates of the predicted center of gravity are plotted as a function of the real ones. It is evident that the most of the scatter points stay within the region delineated by straight lines with slope equal to 1 and with known term equal to ± 1 . This result means that if the predicted distributions were used rather than the real ones, the error would be equal to ± 1 mm (which is equal to the image resolution). In fact, by calculating the average euclidean distance between the two centers of gravity, we have obtained 0.3 ± 0.5 mm. We calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficient for each coordinates and we found 0.92 along the x-direction, 0.94 along the y-direction and 0.99 along the z-direction.

Another way to evaluate the distances between the center of gravity for the true and predicted distributions is shown in Figure 9. The distances along the three axes are centered around a zero-value with a standard deviation smaller than 1 mm.

Dice Similarity Coefficient

The probabilistic tractography obtained by the precentral gyrus can be used in tcMRgFUS treatment planning, and usually a threshold is applied to it to find a suitable ROI. So, the goodness of the developed DL models can be evaluated from investigation of the ability of providing predicted tractographies whose ROIs are significantly similar to those obtained from the true tractographies. On the other hand, the application of an arbitrary threshold could result in a fragmented ROI; for this reason an algorithm to keep only the largest connected component is preferable. This does not affect much the ROI volume but it removes the single points or small spots which are related to the fluctuations. Therefore, in order to evaluate tractography maps differences the segmentation of ROI inside tractography distributions were performed using the Otsu threshold algorithm. For each subject a ROI from the true tractography and a ROI from the predicted tractography, after this we calculated the DSC between them using equation 5. The results are summarized in fig 10.

The high value of DSI (*i.e* 0.80 ± 0.06) highlights that on average for each subject the region with high probability of the predicted tractography maps significantly overlaps the corresponding region of the true tractography maps. In addiction, as in tcMRgFUS treatments the neuroradiologist is more interested in the higher probability ROI rather than the whole tractography, the DSI score can assess how reliable the model is.

Other cortex regions

DL models, analogous to the one for precental gyrus, were developed, trained and validated for the other cortical regions whose results are plotted in Figure ??, *i.e.* frontal cortex, occipital cortex, parietal cortex, postcentral gyrus, and temporal cortex. The results of the analyses performed for these

regions are summarized in table 1. All these models provide predicted tractography mapsare characterized by centers of gravity which, on average, are distant from the real ones less than 1 mm (and the S.D. is smaller than or comparable with spatial resolution of the images). Also the DSI waas found to be high (larger than or equal to 0.70) for many regions. The region with smaller DSI value (*i.e.* 0.5) is connected to temporal cortex and this result can be justified considering that the temporal tractography map has a ROI which is much smaller than the others tractographies. Therefore, fluctuations of a small number of voxels could significantly affect the overlap regions.

| Brain Region | $\Delta x(\text{mm})$ | $\Delta y \ (\mathrm{mm})$ | $\Delta z \ (\mathrm{mm})$ | $\Delta r(\text{mm})$ | DSI |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Frontal | 0.4 ± 0.5 | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 0.2 ± 0.2 | 0.6 ± 0.8 | 0.85 ± 0.03 |
| Occipital | -0.1 ± 0.7 | 0.3 ± 1.0 | 0.02 ± 0.7 | 0.3 ± 1.2 | 0.70 ± 0.09 |
| Parietal | -0.2 ± 0.4 | 0.6 ± 0.8 | -0.1 ± 0.4 | 0.5 ± 0.9 | 0.81 ± 0.05 |
| Postcentral | -0.4 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.6 | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 0.6 ± 0.9 | 0.77 ± 0.06 |
| Precentral | -0.2 ± 0.5 | -0.07 ± 0.7 | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 0.3 ± 0.8 | 0.80 ± 0.06 |
| Temporal | -0.06 ± 0.800 | 0.4 ± 1.0 | 0.3 ± 0.5 | 0.5 ± 1.2 | 0.5 ± 0.2 |

Table 1. Models performance over several metrics.

Thalamus parcellation

Thalamus parcellation is the process of splitting the thalamus in subareas based on a structural or functional properties. This can be achieved with different methods and nowadays a gold standard does not exist. Many studies have shown that thalamus parcellation can be performed making use of probabilistic tractographies, because these maps are related to the structural connection of the thalamus with different cortical regions. When different tractography maps are available from probabilistic tractography obtained using different brain regions, thalamus parcellation can be accomplished by labelling voxels on the basis of the highest value among the various tractography maps. The here developed DL models were also tested by comparing parcellation obtained from the true and the predicted tractographies and measuring the Dice Similarity Index (DSI) between them. Results are summarized in the table 2.

| 1 | | Frontal | Occipital | Parietal | Postcentral | Precentral | Temporal |
|---|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | DSI | 0.90 ± 0.03 | 0.70 ± 0.06 | 0.80 ± 0.05 | 0.60 ± 0.15 | 0.70 ± 0.1 | 0.60 ± 0.08 |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 2. Dice Similarity Index (DSI) of different thalamus areas.

Apart from frontal area (which is the largest part and occupy a large fraction of the total thalamus volume), the other areas show smaller DSC values than those obtained when the comparisons are carried out for each area singularly (after Otsu's thresholding).

Discussion

A deep learning approach has been developed to help targeting the VIM in neurofunctonal disorders as ET neurosurgical treatments. This is achieved by reconstructing the 3D maps related to probabilistic tractography for finding fibers connecting specific regions of the cerebral cortex (such as precentral gyrus and post-central gyrus) to the thalamus. Two different CNNs (one for thalamus segmentation and another for probabilistic tractography reconstruction) were trained and validated on the large dataset of the HCP exploiting structural and diffusion-weighted acquisition of more that 1,000 subjects. The first convolutional neural network here developed for thalamus segmentation based on T_1 -weighted structural images achieved a Dice coefficient of approximately 0.91, which is comparable with the state-of-the-art in thalamus segmentation [99, 100]. Our results show that both the CNN-based segmentation and tractography maps reconstruction can provide fast targeting on the ventral intermediate nucleus (VIM) on T_1 -weighted and DTI images.

Recent literature reports that tractography-based targeting has important benefit for tcMRgFUS and DBS treatment planning and treatment [56]. First, this is a personalized method as it takes into account the individual variability rather than uses atlas-based indirect targeting, which, although standardized, has evident weakness. The choice of targeting through probabilistic tractography approach allows to consider the inherent variability in brain anatomy across patients and to improve outcomes of thalamotomy [55,56]. The pipeline here defined (analogous to the one reported in literature [55]) for probabilistic tractography exploit open-source softwares (such as *FreeSurfer* and FSL), does not need manual operations such as ROI segmentation or mask or seed contourning and can be automated. This approach adopts probabilistic tractography which shows reduced uncertainty in dense areas with crossing fibers and is less prone to sampling limitations with respect to deterministic tractography. However, probabilistic approach is much more computational demanding and time comsuming [55,64]. The CNN here developed allows to overcome these limitations as it provides the predicted tractography map in a few seconds. Moreover, it could be trained using any probabilistic tractography map connecting arbitrary seed and target cortical and subcortical regions.

Second, one of the major advantage of DTI-targeting is an immediate tremor response, which allows for reducing the number of target repositioning necessary in case of adverse effects or no tremor response [55, 57]. Furthermore, this targeting method may notably reduce the total treatment time and the effectiveness of the treatment by enabling personalized, tailored target identification. Lastly, sensory and motor adverse effects during and after treatment were diminished by the use tractography-based targeting [56]. The model here presented would facilitate this replanning in a few minutes optimizing the results and minimizing the procedures. This AI model may also help treatments in case of patients with low skull density ratio (SDR) for which the acoustic waves propagation and their focalization on target are less effective hindering a thermal dose sufficient for ablation. In these patients, once clinical validated, the presented method may be used to reduce the number of sonication thus reducing the risk of loss of compliance by the patient, and of energy transfer through the skull due to multiple, repeated sonications for target optimizzation.

Additionally as shown in Figure 11 these DL models can be trained for a fast map reconstruction of thalamic nuclei other than VIM. As a matter of facts, once a brain cortical area is segmented and used as seed, a probabilistic tractography maps of its connecton from/to the thalamus may be easily reconstructed with the presented method. Once this is done for each brain area of interest, a tractography-based thalamic parcellation can be realized. This a patient-specific procedure because it consider the neurons' fibers as reconstructed via probabilistic tractography from dti datasets of the subject.. Nowadays, thalamic parcellation is carried out on suitable structural white matter-nulled MPRAGE (WMn-MPRAGE) images by manual annotation, that, even though is the gold-standard, is heavily time-consuming. Recently, Bayesian probabilistic atlas-based thalamus segmentation were developed and can be performed on MPRAGE images in the *FreeSurfer* framework but this takes from about fifteen minutes (for THOMAS toolkit) or several hours (for probabilistic atlas-based schemes) to complete [101]. This last algorithm which performs an atlas-based segmentation on structural MR images requires more computational time because of non-linear registration process necessary for passing from thalamic atlas space to native space and is prone to possible uncertainties or even failure of the registration process. The CNN-thalamic parcellation model here proposed does not require registration to a standard thalamic atlas, is fully automated, fast and allows to choose the cerebellar cortex regions the neuronal fibers (passing through the thalamus) are projected to. In the last years other CNNs were developed for thalamic nuclei segmentation. In particular, Majdi et al. proposed a multi-planar 2D CNN-based method on WMn-MPRAGE images [102]. Umapathy et al. have also proposed a fast, fully automated 3D convolutional neural network based framework for fast automatic segmentation of thalamic nuclei but their approach is different because they worked on MPRAGE images transformed to WMn-MPRAGE images (which present good intra-thalamic nuclear contrast) [103]. We also developed 3D convolutional neural networks (which show performances better than 2D CNNs) that does not segment on the structural contrast but exploit information from diffusion images and tractography maps. Furthermore, the training and validation processes were performed on more than 1,000 subjects of HCP database (and also data augmentation was employed).

Consequently, this approach could be used for targeting other thalamic and non-thalamic nuclei to treat different neurofunctional disorders (e.g. Parkinson Disease, neuropathic pain, Tourette Syndrome, obsessive-compulsive disorder, addiction, epilepsy [16, 18, 19, 104–115]) and to investigate virtually any known and future target of interest in the neuroscience field. Furthermore, since this method take into account individual patient-specific ultrastructural characteristics extracted from DTI datasets, is well suited to respond to the need for increasingly precise targeting, as per result of ever-increasing technological innovation which today offers physicians the possibility of modyfing the treatment area even with submillimetric precision [116]. One might point, as a limitation of our study, to the use of HCP dataset for CNNs training since these MRI datasets are from young adults while most functioal neurological disorders are more common in aged people in which the brain typically shows more evident signs of atrophy with larger subarchnoid and ventricular spaces. The enlarment of the third ventricle in particular may result in significant difference of thalamic targets location compared to AC-PC references. However, is it still possible to train our model with MRI dataset from aged subjects to easly crub this limit.

1 Conclusions

In this study, we present a novel end-to-end open-source DL framework aimed at reconstructing probabilistic tractography maps inside the thalamus for identifying the target of neurosurgical treatments such as the VIM, commonly used for surgical neurofunctonal disorders therapy. The CNNs developed allow to segment the thalamus from the other parts of the brain and provide the connectivity map between each voxel inside the thalamus and any user-specified target cortical and subcortical masks. The models were trained with a large dataset such as the HCP database. The thalamus segmentation network achieves the state-of-art of the performance of networks with this task. The CNN for tractography reconstruction is able to identify the center of gravity of the probabilistic tractography maps and therefore the target for the treatments with an accuracy comparable with the MR image resolution. Furthermore, the developments of the several models, one for each cortical region, could allow thalamus parcellation based on probabilistic tractography (and not on anatomical atlases which are not patient-specific). The proposed method is also promising for live targeting during MR-guided procedures since the computational times needed are of the order of a few minutes. Further studies are planned for the identification of different neurosurgical targets of interest other neurological diseases.

Acknowledgments

Data were provided [in part] by the Human Connectome Project, WU-Minn Consortium (Principal Investigators: David Van Essen and Kamil Ugurbil; 1U54MH091657) funded by the 16 NIH Institutes and Centers that support the NIH Blueprint for Neuroscience Research; and by the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University. This work was received funding from the Italian Ministry of Health Ricerca Finalizzata 2016-Giovani Ricercatori call under grant agreement no. GR-2016-02364526, from the Italian National Institute of Nuclear Physics with the research project Artificial Intelligence in Medicine (next-AIM) and the Minitry of Research with the Project "SiciliAn MicronanOTecH Research And Innovation CEnter "SAMOTHRACE" (MUR, PNRR-M4C2, ECS_00000022), spoke 5 - Università degli Studi di Palermo "S2-COMMs - Micro and Nanotechnologies for Smart & Sustainable Communities". Research partly supported by PNRR - M4C2 - Investimento 1.3, Partenariato Esteso PE00000013 - "FAIR - Future Artificial Intelligence Research" - Spoke 8 "Pervasive AI", funded by the European Commission under the NextGeneration EU programme. Mattia Romeo acknowledge funding from UPMC Italy srl.

References

- 1. Denis Le Bihan and Mami Iima. Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging: what water tells us about biological tissues. *PLoS biology*, 13(7):e1002203, 2015.
- Jamir Pitton Rissardo, Nilofar Murtaza Vora, Irra Tariq, Amna Mujtaba, and Ana Letícia Fornari Caprara. Deep brain stimulation for the management of refractory neurological disorders: A comprehensive review. *Medicina*, 59(11):1991, 2023.
- 3. Sergiu Groppa, Gabriel Gonzalez-Escamilla, Gerd Tinkhauser, Halim Ibrahim Baqapuri, Bastian Sajonz, Christoph Wiest, Joana Pereira, Damian M Herz, Matthias R Dold, Manuel Bange, et al. Perspectives of implementation of closed-loop deep brain stimulation: From neurological to psychiatric disorders. *Stereotactic and functional neurosurgery*, pages 1–15, 2023.
- 4. Joshua K Wong, Helen S Mayberg, Doris D Wang, R Mark Richardson, Casey H Halpern, Lothar Krinke, Mattia Arlotti, Lorenzo Rossi, Alberto Priori, Sara Marceglia, et al. Proceedings of the 10th annual deep brain stimulation think tank: Advances in cutting edge technologies, artificial intelligence, neuromodulation, neuroethics, interventional psychiatry, and women in neuromodulation. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 16:1084782, 2023.
- Carlo Alberto Artusi, Domiziana Rinaldi, Roberta Balestrino, and Leonardo Lopiano. Deep brain stimulation for atypical parkinsonism: A systematic review on efficacy and safety. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, 96:109–118, 2022.
- Naomi I Kremer, Rik WJ Pauwels, Nicolò G Pozzi, Florian Lange, Jonas Roothans, Jens Volkmann, and Martin M Reich. Deep brain stimulation for tremor: Update on long-term outcomes, target considerations and future directions. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(16):3468, 2021.
- Takaomi Taira, Shiro Horisawa, Nobuhiko Takeda, and Prajakta Ghate. Stereotactic radiofrequency lesioning for movement disorders. *Current Concepts in Movement Disorder* Management, 33:107–119, 2018.
- 8. Pauline Sarah Münchenberg, Eileen M Joyce, Keith Matthews, David Christmas, and Ludvic Zrinzo. Stereotactic radiofrequency ablation for treatment-refractory depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain sciences*, 12(10):1379, 2022.
- 9. David W Lee, Scott Pritzlaff, Michael J Jung, Priyanka Ghosh, Jonathan M Hagedorn, Jordan Tate, Keith Scarfo, Natalie Strand, Krishnan Chakravarthy, Dawood Sayed, et al. Latest evidence-based application for radiofrequency neurotomy (learn): best practice guidelines from the american society of pain and neuroscience (aspn). *Journal of Pain Research*, pages 2807–2831, 2021.
- Robert Francis Dallapiazza, Darrin J Lee, Philippe De Vloo, Anton Fomenko, Clement Hamani, Mojgan Hodaie, Suneil K Kalia, Alfonso Fasano, and Andres M Lozano. Outcomes from stereotactic surgery for essential tremor. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 90(4):474–482, 2019.
- 11. Leslie D Cahan, Ronald F Young, and Francisco Li. Radiosurgical pallidotomy for parkinson's disease. Current Concepts in Movement Disorder Management, 33:149–157, 2018.
- Sudesh S Raju, Ajay Niranjan, Edward A Monaco, John C Flickinger, and L Dade Lunsford. Stereotactic radiosurgery for medically refractory multiple sclerosis-related tremor. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 128(4):1214–1221, 2018.

- 13. Satish Verma, Deepak Agrawal, Manmohan Singh, et al. Role of gamma knife radiosurgery in the management of functional disorders–a literature review. *Neurology India*, 71(7):49, 2023.
- 14. JR Pérez-Sánchez, R Martínez-Álvarez, NE Martínez Moreno, C Torres Diaz, G Rey, I Pareés, A Del Barrio, J Álvarez-Linera, and MM Kurtis. Gamma knife® stereotactic radiosurgery as a treatment for essential and parkinsonian tremor: Long-term experience. *Neurología (English Edition)*, 38(3):188–196, 2023.
- 15. Yoshinori Higuchi, Shinji Matsuda, and Toru Serizawa. Gamma knife radiosurgery in movement disorders: Indications and limitations. *Movement Disorders*, 32(1):28–35, 2017.
- 16. James Peters, Joel Maamary, Kain Kyle, Nick Olsen, Lyndsey Jones, Samuel Bolitho, Yael Barnett, Benjamin Jonker, and Stephen Tisch. Outcomes of focused ultrasound thalamotomy in tremor syndromes. *Movement Disorders*, 2023.
- 17. Nadia Scantlebury, Camryn R Rohringer, Jennifer S Rabin, Yana Yunusova, Yuexi Huang, Ryan M Jones, Ying Meng, Clement Hamani, Scotia McKinlay, Georgia Gopinath, et al. Safety of bilateral staged magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor. *Movement Disorders Clinical Practice*, 10(10):1559, 2023.
- 18. Melissa MJ Chua, Sarah E Blitz, Patrick R Ng, David J Segar, Nathan J McDannold, P Jason White, Sarah Christie, Michael T Hayes, John D Rolston, and G Rees Cosgrove. Focused ultrasound thalamotomy for tremor in parkinson's disease: Outcomes in a large, prospective cohort. *Movement Disorders*, 38(10):1962–1967, 2023.
- Georgios A Maragkos, Jacob Kosyakovsky, Patricia Zhao, Kathryn N Kearns, Shelly Rush-Evans, Shayan Moosa, and W Jeffrey Elias. Patient-reported outcomes after focused ultrasound thalamotomy for tremor-predominant parkinson's disease. *Neurosurgery*, 93(4):884–891, 2023.
- 20. Kazuaki Yamamoto, Can Sarica, Aaron Loh, Artur Vetkas, Nardin Samuel, Vanessa Milano, Ajmal Zemmar, Jürgen Germann, Cletus Cheyuo, Alexandre Boutet, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound for the treatment of tremor. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, 22(10):849–861, 2022.
- 21. G Rees Cosgrove, Nir Lipsman, Andres M Lozano, Jin Woo Chang, Casey Halpern, Pejman Ghanouni, Howard Eisenberg, Paul Fishman, Takaomi Taira, Michael L Schwartz, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor: 5-year follow-up results. *Journal of neurosurgery*, 1(aop):1–6, 2022.
- 22. Josue D Ordaz, Ramana Vishnubhotla, Anthony Alfonso, Hailey Budnick, Qiuting Wen, Rupa Radhakrishnan, and Jeffrey Raskin. Single-institution comparative study of magnetic resonance–guided laser interstitial thermal therapy and open corpus callosotomy. *World Neurosurgery*, 175:e326–e335, 2023.
- 23. Jia-Shu Chen, Audrey-Anne Lamoureux, Nathan A Shlobin, Lior M Elkaim, Andrew Wang, George M Ibrahim, Sami Obaid, Adil Harroud, Elena Guadagno, Evan Dimentberg, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy for drug-resistant epilepsy: A systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Epilepsia*, 2023.
- 24. Arka N Mallela, Jasmine L Hect, Hussam Abou-Al-Shaar, Emefa Akwayena, and Taylor J Abel. Stereotactic laser interstitial thermal therapy corpus callosotomy for the treatment of pediatric drug-resistant epilepsy. *Epilepsia Open*, 7(1):75–84, 2022.
- 25. Mickael Aubignat, Mélissa Tir, Martial Ouendo, Jean-Marc Constans, and Michel Lefranc. Stereotactic robot-assisted mri-guided laser interstitial thermal therapy thalamotomy for medically intractable parkinson's disease tremor: technical note and preliminary effects on 2 cases. Acta Neurochirurgica, pages 1–8, 2023.

- 26. O Parras, P Dominguez, A Tomas-Biosca, and J Guridi. The role of tractography in the localisation of the vim nucleus of the thalamus and the dentatorubrothalamic tract for the treatment of tremor. *Neurología (English Edition)*, 37(8):691–699, 2022.
- 27. Hyeok Gyu Kwon, Ji Heon Hong, Cheol Pyo Hong, Dong Hoon Lee, Sang Ho Ahn, and Sung Ho Jang. Dentatorubrothalamic tract in human brain: diffusion tensor tractography study. *Neuroradiology*, 53:787–791, 2011.
- 28. Federico Bruno, Alessia Catalucci, Marco Varrassi, Francesco Arrigoni, Patrizia Sucapane, Davide Cerone, Francesca Pistoia, Silvia Torlone, Emanuele Tommasino, Luca De Santis, et al. Comparative evaluation of tractography-based direct targeting and atlas-based indirect targeting of the ventral intermediate (vim) nucleus in mrgfus thalamotomy. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1):13538, 2021.
- 29. Elena Najdenovska, Constantin Tuleasca, João Jorge, Philippe Maeder, José P Marques, Timo Roine, Daniel Gallichan, Jean-Philippe Thiran, Marc Levivier, and Meritxell Bach Cuadra. Comparison of mri-based automated segmentation methods and functional neurosurgery targeting with direct visualization of the ventro-intermediate thalamic nucleus at 7t. *Scientific reports*, 9(1):1119, 2019.
- Francesco Sammartino, Vibhor Krishna, Nicolas Kon Kam King, Andres M Lozano, Michael L Schwartz, Yuexi Huang, and Mojgan Hodaie. Tractography-based ventral intermediate nucleus targeting: Novel methodology and intraoperative validation. *Movement Disorders*, 31(8):1217–1225, 2016.
- 31. Vance T Lehman, Kendall H Lee, Bryan T Klassen, Daniel J Blezek, Abhinav Goyal, Bhavya R Shah, Krzysztof R Gorny, John Huston, and Timothy J Kaufmann. Mri and tractography techniques to localize the ventral intermediate nucleus and dentatorubrothalamic tract for deep brain stimulation and mr-guided focused ultrasound: a narrative review and update. Neurosurgical focus, 49(1):E8, 2020.
- 32. Atchar Sudhyadhom, Keith McGregor, Michael S Okun, Kelly D Foote, Jonathan Trinastic, Bruce Crosson, and Frank J Bova. Delineation of motor and somatosensory thalamic subregions utilizing probabilistic diffusion tractography and electrophysiology. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 37(3):600–609, 2013.
- 33. Vibhor Krishna, Francesco Sammartino, Punit Agrawal, Barbara K Changizi, Eric Bourekas, Michael V Knopp, and Ali Rezai. Prospective tractography-based targeting for improved safety of focused ultrasound thalamotomy. *Neurosurgery*, 84(1):160–168, 2019.
- 34. Elan D Louis and Joaquim J Ferreira. How common is the most common adult movement disorder? update on the worldwide prevalence of essential tremor. *Movement Disorders*, 25(5):534–541, 2010.
- 35. Vijay Chandran and Pramod Kumar Pal. Quality of life and its determinants in essential tremor. *Parkinsonism & related disorders*, 19(1):62–65, 2013.
- 36. Elan D Louis and Ruth Ottman. How many people in the usa have essential tremor? deriving a population estimate based on epidemiological data. Tremor and other hyperkinetic movements, 4, 2014.
- 37. John-Stuart Brittain, Hayriye Cagnan, Arpan R Mehta, Tabish A Saifee, Mark J Edwards, and Peter Brown. Distinguishing the central drive to tremor in parkinson's disease and essential tremor. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(2):795–806, 2015.
- Jan Raethjen and Günther Deuschl. The oscillating central network of essential tremor. Clinical neurophysiology, 123(1):61–64, 2012.

- 39. W Jeffrey Elias and Binit B Shah. Tremor. Jama, 311(9):948-954, 2014.
- 40. Dietrich Haubenberger and Mark Hallett. Essential tremor. New England Journal of Medicine, 378(19):1802–1810, 2018. PMID: 29742376.
- 41. Weidong Fang, Huiyue Chen, Hansheng Wang, Han Zhang, Munankami Puneet, Mengqi Liu, Fajin Lv, Tianyou Luo, Oumei Cheng, Xuefeng Wang, et al. Essential tremor is associated with disruption of functional connectivity in the ventral intermediate nucleus—motor cortex—cerebellum circuit. *Human brain mapping*, 37(1):165–178, 2016.
- 42. TA Zesiewicz, R Elble, ED Louis, RA Hauser, KL Sullivan, RB Dewey, William G Ondo, GS Gronseth, and WJ Weiner. Practice parameter: Therapies for essential tremor [retired]: Report of the quality standards subcommittee of the american academy of neurology. *Neurology*, 64(12):2008–2020, 2005.
- John Gardner. A history of deep brain stimulation: Technological innovation and the role of clinical assessment tools. Social studies of science, 43(5):707–728, 2013.
- 44. Cesare Gagliardo, Roberto Cannella, Costanza D'Angelo, Patrizia Toia, Giuseppe Salvaggio, Paola Feraco, Maurizio Marrale, Domenico Gerardo Iacopino, Marco D'Amelio, Giuseppe La Tona, et al. Transcranial magnetic resonance imaging-guided focused ultrasound with a 1.5 tesla scanner: a prospective intraindividual comparison study of intraoperative imaging. *Brain Sciences*, 11(1):46, 2021.
- 45. Cesare Gagliardo, Roberto Cannella, Cettina Quarrella, Marco D'Amelio, Alessandro Napoli, Tommaso Vincenzo Bartolotta, Carlo Catalano, Massimo Midiri, and Roberto Lagalla. Intraoperative imaging findings in transcranial mr imaging-guided focused ultrasound treatment at 1.5 t may accurately detect typical lesional findings correlated with sonication parameters. *European Radiology*, 30:5059–5070, 2020.
- 46. Cesare Gagliardo, Massimo Midiri, Roberto Cannella, Alessandro Napoli, Paul Wragg, Giorgio Collura, Maurizio Marrale, Tommaso Vincenzo Bartolotta, Carlo Catalano, and Roberto Lagalla. Transcranial magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound surgery at 1.5 t: a technical note. *The Neuroradiology Journal*, 32(2):132–138, 2019.
- 47. Antonella Giugno, Rosario Maugeri, Francesca Graziano, Cesare Gagliardo, Angelo Franzini, Carlo Catalano, Massimo Midiri, and Domenico Gerardo Iacopino. Restoring neurological physiology: the innovative role of high-energy mr-guided focused ultrasound (himrgfus). preliminary data from a new method of lesioning surgery. *Trends in Reconstructive Neurosurgery: Neurorehabilitation, Restoration and Reconstruction*, pages 55–59, 2017.
- 48. M Wintermark, J Druzgal, DS Huss, MA Khaled, S Monteith, P Raghavan, T Huerta, LC Schweickert, B Burkholder, JJ Loomba, et al. Imaging findings in mr imaging–guided focused ultrasound treatment for patients with essential tremor. *American journal of neuroradiology*, 35(5):891–896, 2014.
- 49. Max Wintermark, Diane S Huss, Binit B Shah, Nicholas Tustison, T Jason Druzgal, Neal Kassell, and W Jeff Elias. Thalamic connectivity in patients with essential tremor treated with mr imaging–guided focused ultrasound: in vivo fiber tracking by using diffusion-tensor mr imaging. *Radiology*, 272(1):202–209, 2014.
- 50. W Jeffrey Elias, Nir Lipsman, William G Ondo, Pejman Ghanouni, Young G Kim, Wonhee Lee, Michael Schwartz, Kullervo Hynynen, Andres M Lozano, Binit B Shah, et al. A randomized trial of focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor. New England Journal of Medicine, 375(8):730–739, 2016.

- 51. Sebastian R Schreglmann, Ronald Bauer, Stefan Hägele-Link, Kailash P Bhatia, Parashkev Natchev, Nikolas Wegener, Anita Lebeda, Beat Werner, Ernst Martin, and Georg Kägi. Unilateral cerebellothalamic tract ablation in essential tremor by mri-guided focused ultrasound. *Neurology*, 88(14):1329–1333, 2017.
- 52. Domenico Gerardo Iacopino, Cesare Gagliardo, Antonella Giugno, Giuseppe Roberto Giammalva, Alessandro Napoli, Rosario Maugeri, Francesca Graziano, Francesca Valentino, Giuseppe Cosentino, Marco D'Amelio, et al. Preliminary experience with a transcranial magnetic resonance–guided focused ultrasound surgery system integrated with a 1.5-t mri unit in a series of patients with essential tremor and parkinson's disease. *Neurosurgical Focus*, 44(2):E7, 2018.
- 53. Giuseppe Roberto Giammalva, Cesare Gagliardo, Salvatore Marrone, Federica Paolini, Rosa Maria Gerardi, Giuseppe Emmanuele Umana, Kaan Yağmurlu, Bipin Chaurasia, Gianluca Scalia, Federico Midiri, et al. Focused ultrasound in neuroscience. state of the art and future perspectives. *Brain sciences*, 11(1):84, 2021.
- 54. Fabiano Bini, Andrada Pica, Maurizio Marrale, Cesare Gagliardo, and Franco Marinozzi. A 2d-fem model of nonlinear ultrasound propagation in trans-cranial mrgfus technique. In Computer Methods, Imaging and Visualization in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering II: Selected Papers from the 17th International Symposium CMBBE and 5th Conference on Imaging and Visualization, September 7-9, 2021, pages 74–89. Springer, 2022.
- 55. Evangelia Tsolaki, Angela Downes, William Speier, W Jeff Elias, and Nader Pouratian. The potential value of probabilistic tractography-based for mr-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 17:1019–1027, 2018.
- 56. Fabricio S Feltrin, Rajiv Chopra, Nader Pouratian, Mazen Elkurd, Rasheda El-Nazer, Lauren Lanford, William Dauer, and Bhavya R Shah. Focused ultrasound using a novel targeting method four-tract tractography for magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound targeting. *Brain Communications*, 4(6):fcac273, 2022.
- 57. Qiyuan Tian, Max Wintermark, W Jeffrey Elias, Pejman Ghanouni, Casey H Halpern, Jaimie M Henderson, Diane S Huss, Maged Goubran, Christian Thaler, Raag Airan, et al. Diffusion mri tractography for improved transcranial mri-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy targeting for essential tremor. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 19:572–580, 2018.
- 58. Jonathan A Hyam, Sarah LF Owen, Morten L Kringelbach, Ned Jenkinson, John F Stein, Alexander L Green, and Tipu Z Aziz. Contrasting connectivity of the ventralis intermedius and ventralis oralis posterior nuclei of the motor thalamus demonstrated by probabilistic tractography. *Neurosurgery*, 70(1):162–169, 2012.
- 59. Igor A Ilinsky and Kristy Kultas-Ilinsky. Motor thalamic circuits in primates with emphasis on the area targeted in treatment of movement disorders. *Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society*, 17(S3):S9–S14, 2002.
- 60. Pejman Ghanouni, Kim Butts Pauly, W Jeff Elias, Jaimie Henderson, Jason Sheehan, Stephen Monteith, and Max Wintermark. Transcranial mr-guided focused ultrasound: a review of the technology and neuro applications. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology*, 205(1):150, 2015.
- 61. Bhavya R Shah, Vance T Lehman, Timothy J Kaufmann, Daniel Blezek, Jeff Waugh, Darren Imphean, Frank F Yu, Toral R Patel, Shilpa Chitnis, Richard B Dewey Jr, et al. Advanced mri techniques for transcranial high intensity focused ultrasound targeting. *Brain*, 143(9):2664–2672, 2020.

- 62. Timothy EJ Behrens, Mark W Woolrich, Mark Jenkinson, Heidi Johansen-Berg, Rita G Nunes, Stuart Clare, Paul M Matthews, J Michael Brady, and Stephen M Smith. Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted mr imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine:* An Official Journal of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 50(5):1077–1088, 2003.
- 63. Timothy EJ Behrens, H Johansen-Berg, MW Woolrich, SM Smith, CAM Wheeler-Kingshott, PA Boulby, GJ Barker, EL Sillery, K Sheehan, O Ciccarelli, et al. Non-invasive mapping of connections between human thalamus and cortex using diffusion imaging. *Nature neuroscience*, 6(7):750–757, 2003.
- 64. Timothy EJ Behrens, H Johansen Berg, Saad Jbabdi, Matthew FS Rushworth, and Mark W Woolrich. Probabilistic diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain? *neuroimage*, 34(1):144–155, 2007.
- 65. Marco Catani and Michel Thiebaut de Schotten. A diffusion tensor imaging tractography atlas for virtual in vivo dissections. *Cortex*, 44(8):1105–1132, 2008. Special Issue on "Brain Hodology Revisiting disconnection approaches to disorders of cognitive function".
- 66. Klaus H. Maier-Hein, Peter F. Neher, Jean-Christophe Houde, Marc-Alexandre Côté, Eleftherios Garyfallidis, Jidan Zhong, Maxime Chamberland, Fang-Cheng Yeh, Ying-Chia Lin, Qing Ji, Wilburn E. Reddick, John O. Glass, David Qixiang Chen, Yuanjing Feng, Chengfeng Gao, Ye Wu, Jieyan Ma, Renjie He, Qiang Li, Carl-Fredrik Westin, Samuel Deslauriers-Gauthier, J. Omar Ocegueda González, Michael Paquette, Samuel St-Jean, Gabriel Girard, François Rheault, Jasmeen Sidhu, Chantal M. W. Tax, Fenghua Guo, Hamed Y. Mesri, Szabolcs Dávid, Martijn Froeling, Anneriet M. Heemskerk, Alexander Leemans, Arnaud Boré, Basile Pinsard, Christophe Bedetti, Matthieu Desrosiers, Simona Brambati, Julien Doyon, Alessia Sarica, Roberta Vasta, Antonio Cerasa, Aldo Quattrone, Jason Yeatman, Ali R. Khan, Wes Hodges, Simon Alexander, David Romascano, Muhamed Barakovic, Anna Auría, Oscar Esteban, Alia Lemkaddem, Jean-Philippe Thiran, H. Ertan Cetingul, Benjamin L. Odry, Boris Mailhe, Mariappan S. Nadar, Fabrizio Pizzagalli, Gautam Prasad, Julio E. Villalon-Reina, Justin Galvis, Paul M. Thompson, Francisco De Santiago Requejo, Pedro Luque Laguna, Luis Miguel Lacerda, Rachel Barrett, Flavio Dell'Acqua, Marco Catani, Laurent Petit, Emmanuel Caruyer, Alessandro Daducci, Tim B. Dyrby, Tim Holland-Letz, Claus C. Hilgetag, Bram Stieltjes, and Maxime Descoteaux. The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion tractography. Nature communications, 8(1):1349, 2017.
- 67. Rafael Neto Henriques, Marta M Correia, Maurizio Marrale, Elizabeth Huber, John Kruper, Serge Koudoro, Jason D Yeatman, Eleftherios Garyfallidis, and Ariel Rokem. Diffusional kurtosis imaging in the diffusion imaging in python project. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 15:675433, 2021.
- 68. Geert Litjens, Thijs Kooi, Babak Ehteshami Bejnordi, Arnaud Arindra Adiyoso Setio, Francesco Ciompi, Mohsen Ghafoorian, Jeroen Awm Van Der Laak, Bram Van Ginneken, and Clara I Sánchez. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. *Medical image analysis*, 42:60–88, 2017.
- 69. Peter F Neher, Michael Götz, Tobias Norajitra, Christian Weber, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. A machine learning based approach to fiber tractography using classifier voting. In Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part I 18, pages 45–52. Springer, 2015.
- 70. Philippe Poulin, Marc-Alexandre Côté, Jean-Christophe Houde, Laurent Petit, Peter F Neher, Klaus H Maier-Hein, Hugo Larochelle, and Maxime Descoteaux. Learn to track: deep learning for tractography. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention- MICCAI*

2017: 20th International Conference, Quebec City, QC, Canada, September 11-13, 2017, Proceedings, Part I 20, pages 540–547. Springer, 2017.

- Viktor Wegmayr, Giacomo Giuliari, Stefan Holdener, and Joachim Buhmann. Data-driven fiber tractography with neural networks. In 2018 IEEE 15th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI 2018), pages 1030–1033. IEEE, 2018.
- 72. Simon Koppers, Matthias Friedrichs, and Dorit Merhof. Reconstruction of diffusion anisotropies using 3d deep convolutional neural networks in diffusion imaging. In *Modeling, analysis, and visualization of anisotropy*, pages 393–404. Springer, 2017.
- 73. Simon Koppers and Dorit Merhof. Direct estimation of fiber orientations using deep learning in diffusion imaging. In Machine Learning in Medical Imaging: 7th International Workshop, MLMI 2016, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2016, Athens, Greece, October 17, 2016, Proceedings 7, pages 53–60. Springer, 2016.
- 74. Itay Benou and Tammy Riklin Raviv. Deeptract: A probabilistic deep learning framework for white matter fiber tractography. In Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2019: 22nd International Conference, Shenzhen, China, October 13–17, 2019, Proceedings, Part III 22, pages 626–635. Springer, 2019.
- 75. Jakob Wasserthal, Peter Neher, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. Tractseg-fast and accurate white matter tract segmentation. *NeuroImage*, 183:239–253, 2018.
- 76. Jakob Wasserthal, Peter F Neher, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. Tract orientation mapping for bundle-specific tractography. In Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2018: 21st International Conference, Granada, Spain, September 16-20, 2018, Proceedings, Part III 11, pages 36-44. Springer, 2018.
- 77. Antoine Théberge, Christian Desrosiers, Maxime Descoteaux, and Pierre-Marc Jodoin. Track-to-learn: A general framework for tractography with deep reinforcement learning. *Medical Image Analysis*, 72:102093, 2021.
- 78. Marco Reisert, Volker A Coenen, Christoph Kaller, Karl Egger, and Henrik Skibbe. Hamlet: hierarchical harmonic filters for learning tracts from diffusion mri. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.01068*, 2018.
- 79. Viktor Wegmayr and Joachim M Buhmann. Entrack: Probabilistic spherical regression with entropy regularization for fiber tractography. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129:656–680, 2021.
- SMH Hosseini, M Hassanpour, S Masoudnia, S Iraji, S Raminfard, and M Nazem-Zadeh. Cttrack: A cnn+ transformer-based framework for fiber orientation estimation & tractography. *Neuroscience Informatics*, 2(4):100099, 2022.
- David C Van Essen, Stephen M Smith, Deanna M Barch, Timothy EJ Behrens, Essa Yacoub, Kamil Ugurbil, Wu-Minn HCP Consortium, et al. The wu-minn human connectome project: an overview. *Neuroimage*, 80:62–79, 2013.
- 82. Stamatios N Sotiropoulos, Steen Moeller, Saad Jbabdi, Jungqian Xu, JL Andersson, Edward John Auerbach, Essa Yacoub, D Feinberg, Kawin Setsompop, Lawrence L Wald, et al. Effects of image reconstruction on fiber orientation mapping from multichannel diffusion mri: reducing the noise floor using sense. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, 70(6):1682–1689, 2013.
- Mikhail Milchenko and Daniel Marcus. Obscuring surface anatomy in volumetric imaging data. Neuroinformatics, 11:65–75, 2013.

- 84. Matthew F Glasser, Stamatios N Sotiropoulos, J Anthony Wilson, Timothy S Coalson, Bruce Fischl, Jesper L Andersson, Junqian Xu, Saad Jbabdi, Matthew Webster, Jonathan R Polimeni, et al. The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the human connectome project. *Neuroimage*, 80:105–124, 2013.
- 85. Bruce Fischl. Freesurfer. Neuroimage, 62(2):774-781, 2012.
- 86. Stephen M Smith, Mark Jenkinson, Mark W Woolrich, Christian F Beckmann, Timothy EJ Behrens, Heidi Johansen-Berg, Peter R Bannister, Marilena De Luca, Ivana Drobnjak, David E Flitney, et al. Advances in functional and structural mr image analysis and implementation as fsl. *Neuroimage*, 23:S208–S219, 2004.
- Mark W Woolrich, Saad Jbabdi, Brian Patenaude, Michael Chappell, Salima Makni, Timothy Behrens, Christian Beckmann, Mark Jenkinson, and Stephen M Smith. Bayesian analysis of neuroimaging data in fsl. *Neuroimage*, 45(1):S173–S186, 2009.
- 88. Mark Jenkinson, Christian F Beckmann, Timothy EJ Behrens, Mark W Woolrich, and Stephen M Smith. Fsl. *Neuroimage*, 62(2):782–790, 2012.
- 89. Charles R. Harris, K. Jarrod Millman, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Ralf Gommers, Pauli Virtanen, David Cournapeau, Eric Wieser, Julian Taylor, Sebastian Berg, Nathaniel J. Smith, Robert Kern, Matti Picus, Stephan Hoyer, Marten H. van Kerkwijk, Matthew Brett, Allan Haldane, Jaime Fernández del Río, Mark Wiebe, Pearu Peterson, Pierre Gérard-Marchant, Kevin Sheppard, Tyler Reddy, Warren Weckesser, Hameer Abbasi, Christoph Gohlke, and Travis E. Oliphant. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825):357–362, September 2020.
- 90. Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E. Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Matthew Brett, Joshua Wilson, K. Jarrod Millman, Nikolay Mayorov, Andrew R. J. Nelson, Eric Jones, Robert Kern, Eric Larson, C J Carey, İlhan Polat, Yu Feng, Eric W. Moore, Jake VanderPlas, Denis Laxalde, Josef Perktold, Robert Cimrman, Ian Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, Charles R. Harris, Anne M. Archibald, Antônio H. Ribeiro, Fabian Pedregosa, Paul van Mulbregt, and SciPy 1.0 Contributors. SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python. Nature Methods, 17:261–272, 2020.
- 91. Fabian Pedregosa, Gaël Varoquaux, Alexandre Gramfort, Vincent Michel, Bertrand Thirion, Olivier Grisel, Mathieu Blondel, Peter Prettenhofer, Ron Weiss, Vincent Dubourg, et al. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python. *Journal of machine learning research*, 12(Oct):2825–2830, 2011.
- 92. Moises Hernandez-Fernandez, Istvan Reguly, Saad Jbabdi, Mike Giles, Stephen Smith, and Stamatios N Sotiropoulos. Using gpus to accelerate computational diffusion mri: From microstructure estimation to tractography and connectomes. *Neuroimage*, 188:598–615, 2019.
- 93. Fabian Isensee, Paul F Jaeger, Simon AA Kohl, Jens Petersen, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. nnu-net: a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. *Nature methods*, 18(2):203–211, 2021.
- 94. Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision* and pattern recognition, pages 1125–1134, 2017.
- 95. François Chollet et al. Keras. https://keras.io, 2015.
- 96. Nobuyuki Otsu. A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. *IEEE transactions* on systems, man, and cybernetics, 9(1):62–66, 1979.

- 97. Elan D Louis and Phyllis L Faust. Essential tremor pathology: neurodegeneration and reorganization of neuronal connections. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 16(2):69–83, 2020.
- Dietrich Haubenberger and Mark Hallett. Essential tremor. New England Journal of Medicine, 378(19):1802–1810, 2018.
- 99. Abhijit Guha Roy, Sailesh Conjeti, Nassir Navab, Christian Wachinger, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, et al. Quicknat: A fully convolutional network for quick and accurate segmentation of neuroanatomy. *NeuroImage*, 186:713–727, 2019.
- 100. Gustavo Retuci Pinheiro, Lorenza Brusini, Diedre Carmo, Renata Prôa, Thays Abreu, Simone Appenzeller, Gloria Menegaz, and Leticia Rittner. Thalamus segmentation using deep learning with diffusion mri data: An open benchmark. *Applied Sciences*, 13(9):5284, 2023.
- 101. Juan Eugenio Iglesias, Ricardo Insausti, Garikoitz Lerma-Usabiaga, Martina Bocchetta, Koen Van Leemput, Douglas N Greve, Andre Van der Kouwe, Bruce Fischl, César Caballero-Gaudes, Pedro M Paz-Alonso, et al. A probabilistic atlas of the human thalamic nuclei combining ex vivo mri and histology. *Neuroimage*, 183:314–326, 2018.
- 102. Mohammad S Majdi, Mahesh B Keerthivasan, Brian K Rutt, Natalie M Zahr, Jeffrey J Rodriguez, and Manojkumar Saranathan. Automated thalamic nuclei segmentation using multi-planar cascaded convolutional neural networks. *Magnetic resonance imaging*, 73:45–54, 2020.
- 103. Lavanya Umapathy, Mahesh Bharath Keerthivasan, Natalie M Zahr, Ali Bilgin, and Manojkumar Saranathan. Convolutional neural network based frameworks for fast automatic segmentation of thalamic nuclei from native and synthesized contrast structural mri. *Neuroinformatics*, pages 1–14, 2021.
- 104. Ali H Abusrair, Walaa Elsekaily, and Saeed Bohlega. Tremor in parkinson's disease: From pathophysiology to advanced therapies. *Tremor and Other Hyperkinetic Movements*, 12, 2022.
- 105. Naveed Malek et al. Deep brain stimulation in parkinson's disease. *Neurology India*, 67(4):968, 2019.
- 106. Linda Ackermans, Annelien Duits, Chris van der Linden, Marina Tijssen, Koen Schruers, Yasin Temel, Mariska Kleijer, Pieter Nederveen, Richard Bruggeman, Selma Tromp, et al. Double-blind clinical trial of thalamic stimulation in patients with tourette syndrome. *Brain*, 134(3):832–844, 2011.
- 107. Dan J Stein, Daniel LC Costa, Christine Lochner, Euripedes C Miguel, YC Janardhan Reddy, Roseli G Shavitt, Odile A van den Heuvel, and H Blair Simpson. Obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Nature reviews Disease primers*, 5(1):52, 2019.
- 108. Anthony Pinto, Maria C Mancebo, Jane L Eisen, Maria E Pagano, and Steve A Rasmussen. The brown longitudinal obsessive compulsive study: clinical features and symptoms of the sample at intake. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 67(5):703–711, 2006.
- 109. Kaoru Funaki, Hidenobu Fukunishi, Tsuyoshi Funaki, Katsuhiro Sawada, Yasushi Kaji, and Takeshi Maruo. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound surgery for uterine fibroids: relationship between the therapeutic effects and signal intensity of preexisting t2-weighted magnetic resonance images. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 196(2):184–e1, 2007.
- 110. Wayne K Goodman, Eric A Storch, and Sameer A Sheth. Harmonizing the neurobiology and treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 178(1):17–29, 2021.

- 111. Nicole CR McLaughlin, Darin D Dougherty, Emad Eskandar, Herbert Ward, Kelly D Foote, Donald A Malone, Andre Machado, William Wong, Mark Sedrak, Wayne Goodman, et al. Double blind randomized controlled trial of deep brain stimulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder: Clinical trial design. *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications*, 22:100785, 2021.
- 112. Nicole R Provenza, Sameer A Sheth, Evan M Dastin-van Rijn, Raissa K Mathura, Yaohan Ding, Gregory S Vogt, Michelle Avendano-Ortega, Nithya Ramakrishnan, Noam Peled, Luiz Fernando Fracassi Gelin, et al. Long-term ecological assessment of intracranial electrophysiology synchronized to behavioral markers in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Nature medicine*, 27(12):2154–2164, 2021.
- 113. Jason Yuen, Abbas Z Kouzani, Michael Berk, Susannah J Tye, Aaron E Rusheen, Charles D Blaha, Kevin E Bennet, Kendall H Lee, Hojin Shin, Jee Hyun Kim, et al. Deep brain stimulation for addictive disorders—where are we now? *Neurotherapeutics*, 19(4):1193–1215, 2022.
- 114. Joshua K Wong, Günther Deuschl, Robin Wolke, Hagai Bergman, Muthuraman Muthuraman, Sergiu Groppa, Sameer A Sheth, Helen M Bronte-Stewart, Kevin B Wilkins, Matthew N Petrucci, et al. Proceedings of the ninth annual deep brain stimulation think tank: advances in cutting edge technologies, artificial intelligence, neuromodulation, neuroethics, pain, interventional psychiatry, epilepsy, and traumatic brain injury. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 16:813387, 2022.
- 115. Marc N Gallay, Anouk E Magara, David Moser, Milek Kowalski, Mélanie Kaeser, and Daniel Jeanmonod. Magnetic resonance–guided focused ultrasound central lateral thalamotomy against chronic and therapy-resistant neuropathic pain: retrospective long-term follow-up analysis of 63 interventions. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 1(aop):1–10, 2023.
- 116. David Moser, Eyal Zadicario, Gilat Schiff, and Daniel Jeanmonod. Measurement of targeting accuracy in focused ultrasound functional neurosurgery. *Neurosurgical focus*, 32(1):E2, 2012.



Figure 3. Schematic representation of the segmentation network (a) and the regression network (b) and the new pipeline with AI models. The regression network is a four levels 3D U-Net architecture. The network has a symmetric shape and it can be seen as an encoder-decoder: on the left side there is the encoder part and on the right side there is the decoder part. The network is subdivided in levels, for each of them our model has two convolutional blocks. A convolutional block is made by a 3D convolutional layer with kernel size equal to 3, a LeakyRelu activation layer and a Batch Normalization layer. The numbers reported inside blocks, in parentheses, refer to the size of the block outputs: from bottom, the first three numbers refer to the spatial size and the last one to the number of feature maps. The numbers on bottom of blocks refer to the convolutional stride, when they are 1 the layer output maintain the same spatial size when they are 2 the layer output is spatially halved. For each level the first convolutional block has a convolutional stride equal to 2, except for the first one, this reduces the size of the input by half for all directions. The compaction is offset by a double number of feature maps. The output layer is made by a convolutional block with sigmoid activation function, this gives an output with values between 0 and 1.



Figure 4. Histogram of gray level of a tractography: a bimodal distribution is evident and the background and foreground voxels can be distinguished according to their intensity values.



Precentral gyrus

Figure 5. Comparison of predicted (DL approach) and true probabilistic tractography maps (from PROBTRACTX pipeline) representing thalamic connections to the precentral gyrus.



Figure 6. Comparison of predicted (DL approach) and true tractography maps (from PROBTRACTX pipeline) for various target brain regions: a) frontal lobe (without the precentral gyrus), b) postcentral gyrus, c) parietal lobe (without the postcentral gyrus), d) occipital lobe, e) temporal lobe.



Figure 7. Dice similarity coefficient between the predicted thalamus and the binary mask produced by *FreeSurfer*. Average score is 0.93 ± 0.01 .



Figure 8. Coordinates of the centre of gravity for the true and the predicted tractography maps.



Figure 9. Absolute distance, along each direction, between the centre of gravity of the true and the predicted tractography.



Figure 10. Dice similarity index between predicted and true ROIs. Average score is about of 0.80 with a standard deviation of 0.06.



Figure 11. Comparison between thalamic parcellation obtained from the true (PROBTRACKTX pipeline) and the predicted tractography (DL approach).