

Leveraging Open-Vocabulary Diffusion to Camouflaged Instance Segmentation

Tuan-Anh Vu^{1,2,3*}, Duc Thanh Nguyen⁴, Qing Guo^{2,3*}, Binh-Son Hua⁵,
Nhat Minh Chung^{2,3,6}, Ivor W. Tsang^{2,3}, Sai-Kit Yeung¹

¹The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong.

²Centre for Frontier AI Research (CFAR), A*STAR, Singapore.

³Institute of High Performance Computing (IHPC), A*STAR, Singapore.

⁴Deakin University, Australia.

⁵Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

⁶Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): tavu@connect.ust.hk; guo.qing@cfar.a-star.edu.sg;

Contributing authors: duc.nguyen@deakin.edu.au; binhson.hua@tcd.ie;
nhatcm25@mp.hcmiu.edu.vn; ivor_tsang@cfar.a-star.edu.sg; saikit@ust.hk;

Abstract

Text-to-image diffusion techniques have shown exceptional capability of producing high-quality images from text descriptions. This indicates that there exists a strong correlation between the visual and textual domains. In addition, text-image discriminative models such as CLIP excel in image labelling from text prompts, thanks to the rich and diverse information available from open concepts. In this paper, we leverage these technical advances to solve a challenging problem in computer vision: camouflaged instance segmentation. Specifically, we propose a method built upon a state-of-the-art diffusion model, empowered by open-vocabulary to learn multi-scale textual-visual features for camouflaged object representations. Such cross-domain representations are desirable in segmenting camouflaged objects where visual cues are subtle to distinguish the objects from the background, especially in segmenting novel objects which are not seen in training. We also develop technically supportive components to effectively fuse cross-domain features and engage relevant features towards respective foreground objects. We validate our method and compare it with existing ones on several benchmark datasets of camouflaged instance segmentation and generic open-vocabulary instance segmentation. Experimental results confirm the advances of our method over existing ones. We will publish our code and pre-trained models to support future research.

Keywords: Camouflaged object, text-to-image diffusion, open vocabulary, instance segmentation

1 Introduction

Camouflage is a powerful biological mechanism for avoiding detection and identification. In nature, camouflage tactics are employed to deceive the sensory and cognitive processes of both preys and predators. Wild animals utilise these tactics in various ways,

ranging from blending themselves into surrounding environment to employing disruptive patterns and colouration (Nguyen et al., 2023). Identifying camouflage is thus pivotal in many wildlife surveillance applications (Fleming et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2021).

Camouflaged object detection (COD) is an important research topic in computer vision (Fan et al., 2020;




Fig. 1: Illustration of textual-visual features learnt by our method using text-to-image diffusion with open-vocabulary for CIS. Given an input image (1st and 3rd column), textual-visual features are extracted and clustered using a K -means clustering algorithm (2nd and 4th column). As shown, camouflaged animals (highlighted in red) can be localised from the clustering results. We leverage these rich features to perform instance segmentation of camouflaged objects. This figure is best viewed in colour.

C. He et al., 2023). The main challenge of COD lies in the need to learn discriminative features that can be used to discern camouflaged target objects from their surroundings. Existing COD techniques can be utilised to roughly identify camouflaged objects at regional scales (via bounding boxes), but they lack an ability to distinguish individual instances at finer scales.

Camouflaged Instance Segmentation (CIS) extends beyond COD by offering detailed insights including semantic categorisation and object masks for the target objects. In more detail, CIS operates under the conditions where object features closely resemble each other, resulting in class-independent segmentation masks (Pei et al., 2022). However, the diversity of camouflage strategies within a single scene can lead to complex intertwining patterns, making the task even more challenging. In addition, severe environmental conditions, such as terrestrial and aquatic environments, bring in additional challenges for both human and computer vision algorithms, e.g., occlusions, image blurriness due to fast motions, and low-light conditions, especially in underwater-applications. These challenges also hinder the collection and annotation processes

for high-quality data that can be used for training and testing of CIS algorithms.

To overcome the aforementioned hurdles, we propose in this paper a method that leverages text-to-image diffusion and text-image transfer with open-vocabulary to address the problem of CIS. Our method is inspired by the advanced representation learning ability of diffusion techniques and language-vision transferability of text-image models. Text-to-image diffusion models, e.g., the stable diffusion model by (Robin et al., 2022), are designed to learn essential object features against noise. Those models thus can be useful to extract features relevant to the target objects in noisy and cluttered backgrounds. However, we observed that features learnt solely from the visual domain are weak to distinguish camouflaged objects from their surroundings. Fortunately, features learnt by text-image discriminative models, e.g., CLIP (Radford et al., 2021), contain rich information about the real-world thanks to the variety of concepts in open-vocabulary training data. We hypothesise that an effective combination of features learnt from both the textual and visual domains would benefit to representation learning

of camouflaged objects. We illustrate the effectiveness of textual-visual representations for CIS in Fig. 1. To the best of our knowledge, such a cross-domain combination with open-vocabulary for CIS is novel.

To effectively learn textual-visual representations of camouflaged objects, our method assimilates an input image and a text prompt about the objects included in the input image. The input image and its implicit caption (generated by a captioner) are integrated into a text-to-image diffusion model to extract visual features. These features are processed at multiple scales and fused into a visual feature map, which is then used to generate object masks. Simultaneously, textual features are extracted from the text prompt using a text encoder. These textual features are enriched from open-vocabulary category labels and proven to improve the discriminative power of camouflaged objects' representations against the background. The textual and visual features are aggregated in a mask-out manner to create textual-visual representations that are used to recognise the masks of the target objects, producing final instance segmentation results. Note that the diffusion model utilises cross-attention mechanism to link textual features with visual features and condition the feature learning process. This design suggests that the features learnt by the diffusion model are likely to be distinct and connected to high/mid-level semantic notions that may be expressed in the language part.

Our method shares a similar pipeline with the works by (Xu et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023), which consists of a text encoder, a text-to-image diffusion model, and a task-tailored decoder. However, we make our pipeline specialised to CIS by designing camouflage-specialised modules. In summary, we make the following contributions in our work.

- We propose a first method for CIS, built upon text-to-image diffusion and text-image transfer techniques with open-vocabulary utilisation.
- We develop an open-vocabulary-based object representation learning paradigm that combines both textual and visual features from an open-vocabulary set of object categories. The object representation learning is customised to fit with CIS by specialised modules for feature enhancement (feature fusion and textual-visual feature aggregation) and camouflaged instance normalisation.
- We conduct extensive experiments and ablation studies that underscore the advances of our method over existing works.

2 Related work

We start our review of related work with an overview of deep learning-based advances for camouflaged object understanding. Following it, we delve into contemporary research in text-to-image diffusion, thereby discussing their role in enhancing general computer vision tasks, and particularly in interpreting complex visual data. Then, we review prior research on generative models and their applications to visual segmentation. Our discussion extends to the notion of open-vocabulary recently emerging into the field of computer vision by the potential in making object representation learning at scale.

2.1 Camouflaged object understanding

There exist research works addressing various tasks of camouflaged object understanding from images. For instance, (Sun et al., 2023) proposed a method for counting objects blending seamlessly into complex background. Following closely, (Lyu et al., 2021) identified salient image regions of hidden objects that align with the nuances of human perception.

Camouflaged object detection (COD) was studied by (C. He et al., 2023), in which the authors decomposed learnt features into different frequency bands using learnable wavelets to identify the most informative features to differentiate target objects and background. In addition, an auxiliary edge reconstruction network was built to further boost up the discriminative power of the foreground's features against the background's ones. In the work by (Fan et al., 2022), a method for segmenting camouflaged objects was proposed. This method was designed to segment obscured objects without necessity to pinpoint specific categories for the objects.

Camouflaged instance segmentation (CIS) was brought forth by (Pei et al., 2022). It is important to highlight the difference between general instance segmentation (Xie et al., 2021) and CIS. While the former focuses on learning of object representations to maximise inter-object distances (of the same object type), the latter emphasises learning of object-vs-background-discriminative representations.

The main aim of camouflaged object understanding lies in learning of object representations that can dissimilate their background. Although this goal is common in existing camouflaged object understanding methods and various attempts have been devoted for

this purpose in the literature, learning of such representations from solely imagery data is challenging as it is the nature of visual camouflage. In this paper, we explore the potential and richness of information from textual data as an additional clue to drive the object representation learning process. Thanks to the variety of concepts from open-vocabulary, textual features learnt from text prompts about the objects included in an input image can help to localise relevant visual features. In addition, an effective combination of both textual and visual features would further enhance the robustness of object representations in camouflage. Utilising of features learnt from open-vocabulary would make object representations adaptive to novel objects that are never seen in training.

2.2 Text-to-image diffusion

Significant progress has been made in Artificial Intelligence (AI)-empowered picture creation with recent advances in large-scale text-to-image models, including Stable Diffusion (Robin et al., 2022), DALL-E 2 (Ramesh et al., 2022), and Imagen (Saharia et al., 2022). These models have demonstrated photo-realistic quality image generation by being trained on text-image datasets of substantial scale sourced from the Internet. They also have shown the ability to be conditioned on unrestricted text prompts in order to produce visuals that closely resemble real-life photographs.

The utilisation of text-to-image diffusion models has facilitated the creation and manipulation of visual contents in an ever easy and convenient manner via language-based interactions (e.g., text prompts). This has enabled a wide spectrum of applications such as content-personalised customisation (Kumari et al., 2023), zero-shot translation (Parmar et al., 2023), content editing (Hertz et al., 2023), and image generation (Gal et al., 2023).

In this paper, we do not utilise the text-to-image diffusion technique for image creation and/or manipulation. Rather we explore its capability of cross-domain feature learning. In particular, we devise a feature fusion strategy based on a state-of-the-art text-to-image diffusion architecture to fuse image features with implicit caption features at multiple scales. Our experiments show that such a fusion facilitates the learning of object-vs-background discriminative features, which are crucial for CIS.

2.3 Generative models for segmentation

There are studies related to our work in terms of applying image generative models, such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) (Esser et al., 2021; Karras et al., 2020) or diffusion models (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Ho et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021), to semantic segmentation (Baranchuk et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022; Rewatbowornwong et al., 2023). For the use of GANs, a straightforward approach is to synthesise images and their corresponding semantic maps to train a segmentation network (Li et al., 2022). For the diffusion-based approach (Rewatbowornwong et al., 2023), the segmentation is proceeded by training of a generative model on datasets with limited vocabulary. A small number of hand-annotated examples per category are then utilised to classify learnt representations into semantic regions.

A generative model itself can also be employed for segmentation. For instance, the DDPMseg, proposed by (Baranchuk et al., 2022), was built upon the denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) (Ho et al., 2020) to learn a feature map for an input image. This feature map was then passed to a pixel classifier to perform semantic/part segmentation. Recently, (Xu et al., 2023) utilised intermediate representations of a diffusion model as input for a segmentation model. These intermediate representations contain substantial semantic information about both pre-defined object categories and possibly new ones, given that the text encoder used to generate implicit captions had been trained with open-vocabulary. This approach has made a new capacity for generative models, i.e., image generation-driven representation learning. In our work, intermediate representations of a generative model are learnt to correlate with high-level semantic concepts (e.g., the presence of an object in an input image).

2.4 Open-vocabulary detection and segmentation

Numerous research studies have been proposed to incorporate vision-language models (VLMs) into open-vocabulary detection and segmentation (Du et al., 2022; Gao et al., 2022; Ghiasi et al., 2022; Kuo et al., 2023; Minderer et al., 2022; Rasheed et al., 2022; Zang et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2022). This has enabled detection and classification of novel objects from a vast conceptual domain with help of pre-trained VLMs (J. Wu et al., 2023; J. Zhang et al., 2023). OVR-CNN was the first open-vocabulary object detection introduced

by (Zareian et al., 2021). The OVR-CNN model underwent pre-training with image-caption data in order to learn and identify unknown objects, followed by fine-tuning for zero-shot detection.

Following recent advances in VLMs (Jia et al., 2021; Radford et al., 2021), ViLD (Gu et al., 2022) pioneered the incorporation of extensive representations of pre-trained CLIP (Radford et al., 2021) into an object detector. (Du et al., 2022) proposed DetPro, a sophisticated automated prompt learning method, to learn the presence of an object into a background via prompt training. F-VLM (Kuo et al., 2023) adopted a frozen VLM to generate new object categories based on cropped CLIP features. (X. Zhou et al., 2022) extended the ability of the well-known object detector, Faster R-CNN (Ren et al., 2015) to newly introduced object categories by replacing the classification weights (in the classification head) by fixed language embeddings learnt from open-vocabulary.

Despite the successes achieved, existing methods have limited ability to detect/segment camouflaged objects due to the utilisation of small closed vocabularies and/or the incorporation of VLMs for generic object classes which are often distinguishable from the background. While exploiting insights and advantages from prior studies, our work stands out in a specifically focused direction: tackling the challenge of open-vocabulary instance segmentation for camouflaged targets. In particular, our proposed method aims to segment novel object categories with concealed appearance in the natural environment with support from an open-vocabulary set.

3 Proposed method

3.1 Problem definition

We aim to build and train an instance segmentation model with a set of pre-defined object categories, referred to as C_{train} . The instance segmentation model can work on a new domain with C_{test} object categories, where C_{test} and C_{train} may or may not share common object categories. In other words, C_{test} may include object categories previously unseen during the training of the instance segmentation model.

Throughout the training process, it is presumed that binary mask annotations for target objects in each training image are available. Moreover, each mask is either associated with a category name or a caption presented in the text form. During the testing phase, however, neither the category label nor the caption is

accessible for any test image. Only the names of the test categories in C_{test} are provided.

3.2 Overview

3.2.1 Preliminaries

We build our method upon two technical advances: text-to-image diffusion and text-image transfer. We first briefly summarise those techniques, then we describe how they can be applied to our method.

Text-to-image diffusion facilitates the creation of high-quality images guided by text prompts. A text-to-image diffusion model is trained on a massive corpus of image-text pairs amassed through web crawling, as indicated in the literature (Nichol et al., 2022; Saharia et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2023). Text inputs are encoded into embeddings using an established text encoder, e.g., T5 (Raffel et al., 2020). An image is initially perturbed by introducing Gaussian noise at a controlled intensity before being fed into the diffusion network. The network is fine-tuned to reverse the noise application, utilising noisy images and associated text embeddings to diminish the distortion. In the inference phase, the model synthesises an image from inputs including pure Gaussian noise shaped to the image’s dimensions and a user-provided description’s text embedding. Through successive inference iterations, the model iteratively denoises the input and finally results in a photo-realistic image of the user-provided text description.

In our work, we adopt the Stable Diffusion (SD) model developed by (Robin et al., 2022). The SD model is pre-trained on the LAION-5B dataset (Schuhmann et al., 2022) and performs diffusion in a latent space. Specifically, the SD model is composed of a trio of elements: a captioner (realised by a pre-trained text encoder) that generates a text embedding (implicit caption) for an input image; a pre-trained variational auto-encoder for learning of image representations; and a denoising time-conditional U-Net $\epsilon_{\theta}(\cdot)$, which applies progressive convolution operations to down-sample and upsample feature maps of an input image with skip connections. Within the U-Net, textual-visual interactions are enabled by cross-attention. In detail, the captioner projects a text input y into an embedding, which is then transformed into `Key` and `Value` pairs. At the same time, a feature map of a noisy image undergoes a linear projection to form a `Query`. This design allows for iterative updates of input images conditioned on accompanying text descriptions.




Fig. 2: Pipeline of our proposed method for Camouflaged Instance Segmentation (CIS). Inputs include an image and a text prompt about target objects in the input image. Outputs include instance masks of the target objects. The target objects can be novel and have never been seen in the training data. We leverage state-of-the-art text-to-image diffusion and text-image transfer techniques to learn textual-visual features that facilitate the object representation learning for segmenting camouflaged objects.

Training of the SD model is outlined as follows. For a given pair (\mathcal{I}, y) in a training dataset, the image \mathcal{I} is encoded into a latent representation z and then subjected to noise, resulting in a noised vector $z^t := \alpha^t z + \sigma^t \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ is a noise variable, and α^t, σ^t are parameters that manage the noise level and the fidelity of each sample. The training aims to fine-tune the time-conditional U-Net $\epsilon_\theta(\cdot)$ to anticipate the noise vector ϵ and to accurately reconstruct the initial latent vector z , while being conditioned on the text input y . The fine-tuning is performed by using a loss function that minimises the mean squared error of noise prediction as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{diffusion}} = \mathbb{E}_{z, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), t, y} [\|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(z^t, t, y)\|_2^2] \quad (1)$$

where the time variable t is randomly selected from the set $\{1, \dots, T\}$.

During the inference phase, the SD model synthesises an image by sequentially refining a latent vector $z^T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, with the process being contingent on a text input y . Specifically, for each time step $t = 1, \dots, T$ of the denoising sequence, z^{t-1} is derived from the current z^t and the U-Net’s noise prediction, which in turn takes z^t and the text prompt y as

inputs. Upon completion of the final denoising stage, the latent vector z^0 is transformed back to produce a final output image \mathcal{I}' .

Text-image transfer originally aims to learn directly from raw text about images. This technique leverages rich textual representations learnt from the textual domain to scale up representation learning in the visual domain. As shown in the literature, natural language can be used to supervise a wide set of visual concepts through its generality (Desai & Johnson, 2021; Sariyildiz et al., 2020; Y. Zhang et al., 2022). Recently, CLIP proposed by (Radford et al., 2021) offers the text-image transferability in both directions, i.e., text-to-image and image-to-text.

In our work, we adopt a CLIP model, pre-trained on 400 million image-text pairs crawled from the Internet. This model is used to generate text embeddings for implicit captions of input images and text embeddings for text prompts associated with input images. We observed that these text embeddings, due to being learnt from large-scale and diverse training data, provide considerable aids to improve the representation of camouflaged objects.

3.2.2 Pipeline

Fig. 2 illustrates the pipeline of our method. At an abstract level, our method takes an image and a text prompt about target objects as inputs and produces instance masks with object categories for the target objects as outputs.

The input image is first passed to the SD model, which is pre-trained and frozen, to extract latent features. The input image is also fed to the pre-trained and frozen CLIP model to calculate its implicit caption embedding. The caption embedding is inserted into the SD model at various scales (layers) and fused with the SD model’s last layer to form image-guided features. We call these features “image-guided features” though they somewhat include textual information. This is because the textual features from the implicit caption embedding are driven by the input image. The image-guided features, coupled with annotated training masks, serve as inputs to train a mask generator capable of producing instance masks for all potential categories within the input image. The instance masks are then used to locate object-relevant features in a mask-out manner. This step results in mask embeddings (i.e., features extracted within masked regions).

The input text prompt is concurrently processed by the CLIP, independently of the input image, and its corresponding text embeddings are calculated. These text embeddings are transferable to visual features yet extracted from the textual input, hence considered as “text-guided features”. The text embeddings (text-guided features) and mask embeddings (image-guided features) are aggregated by a textual-visual aggregation module, which aims to emphasise the learnt features towards foreground objects defined in the input text prompt. This module results in a textual-visual representation for the input image and text prompt.

Next, the textual-visual representation is normalised regarding to the instance masks segmented by the mask generator, and finally classified by a mask classifier into object categories.

The entire pipeline is trained with object categories in C_{train} . Note that, since the SD and CLIP models have been pre-trained and frozen, the training of the entire pipeline is equivalent to learning of parameters in modules specialised for camouflage instance segmentation (multi-scale feature fusion, mask generator, textual-visual aggregation, camouflaged instance normalisation). Once the training is completed, the inference process carries out open-vocabulary instance




Fig. 3: Architecture of the multi-scale features fusion (MSFF) module.

segmentation, i.e., the pipeline can perform instance segmentation of object categories in C_{test} .

To make our pipeline specialised to CIS, we develop several technical components to facilitate camouflaged object representation learning (see Section 3.3) and camouflaged instance normalisation (see Section 3.4).

3.3 Camouflaged object representation learning

Given the features learnt by the SD model from the input image and the text embeddings produced by the CLIP from the input text prompt, we perform camouflaged object representation learning via three modules: multi-scale feature fusion, mask generator, and textual-visual aggregation. These modules are described below.

3.3.1 Multi-scale features fusion (MSFF)

The MSFF module fuses the multi-scale features from the encoder part of the SD model and the features from the last layer of the decoder part of the SD model. We present the architecture of the MSFF module in Fig. 3.

The fusion process is realised via a series of operations including concatenation (of the SD encoder’s features at multiple scales), 1×1 convolution (of the concatenated features), element-wise multiplication (between of the output of the convolution and the concatenated features) and element-wise addition (between the output of the element-wise multiplication and the SD decoder’s features).

3.3.2 Mask generator

We adopt the decoder in the mask-attention transformer, the core component in the Mask2Former architecture (Cheng et al., 2022a), to realise our mask generator.




Fig. 4: Architecture of the mask generator.

The mask generator receives input as a fused feature vector from the MSFF module and produces outputs including N class-agnostic binary masks $\{m_i^{pred}\}_{i=1}^N$ and their corresponding N mask embedding features $\{z_i^{pred}\}_{i=1}^N$ for all possible objects in the input image. We illustrate the mask generator in Fig. 4.

The mask generator employs a pixel decoder that progressively increases the resolution of the fused features from the MSFF module and generates per-pixel high-resolution embeddings. This pixel decoder is designed with meticulous attention to details, using multiple layers to capture fine-grained and broad contextual information. Following that, a Transformer’s decoder processes the intermediate feature maps in the pixel encoder to handle object queries which are initialised randomly but then learnt through training. To effectively process the intermediate feature maps in the pixel decoder, the mask generator guides each feature map at a scale to an individual layer in the Transformer’s decoder. Consequently, each layer in the Transformer’s decoder focuses on a feature map at a specific scale in the range $\{1/32, 1/16, 1/8\}$. We observed that this strategy significantly enhances the ability of the mask generator to adeptly handle objects in various sizes.

3.3.3 Textual-visual aggregation (TVA)

This module is designed to highlight object-relevant features to drive the object representation learning




Fig. 5: Architecture of the textual-visual aggregation (TVA) module.

towards foreground objects. Experimental results validated the effectiveness of this module. We show the architecture of the TVA module in Fig. 5.

The TVA module operates as follows. Like Mask R-CNN (K. He et al., 2017), for each object mask returned by the mask generator, we crop corresponding features from the MSFF module and perform mask pooling. This step results in mask embeddings (i.e., embeddings are determined by masks). We then compute the interactions between these mask embeddings and the text embeddings produced by the CLIP. Nevertheless, instead of directly using a dot product to calculate the interaction between two embeddings as in CLIP (Radford et al., 2021), we apply a softmax operator to the dot product of the embeddings to weight features, then apply mean-normalisation to remove irrelevant features prior to aggregating them by a channel-wise summation. Removing irrelevant features helps to mitigate the problem of noisy activations, making the learning process lean towards features relevant to the object categories specified in the input text prompt.

Fig. 1 visualises learnt textual-features by our method on several challenging cases. As shown, the learnt textual-visual features on camouflaged objects can be well identified and located, although the objects blend into cluttered backgrounds. This is evident for the ability of our method in learning of distinguished object-vs-background features.

3.4 Camouflaged instance normalisation (CIN)

Inspired by the adaptive instance selection network (X. Huang & Belongie, 2017; Pei et al., 2022), we develop a CIN module to achieve final masks for the target objects. We present the architecture of the CIN module in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6: Architecture of the camouflaged instance normalisation (CIN) module.

The CIN module takes inputs as a textual-visual feature map from the TVA module and an object mask from the mask generator. The textual-visual feature map is first projected into a higher-dimensional space by a linear layer. Next, affine weights and biases are attained by applying two subsequent linear layers to the result of the first linear layer. The affine weights and biases are then combined, together with the input mask from the mask generator, to predict a final instance mask for the object specified in the input mask. Since the CIS task is category-agnostic, we use a confidence score for the existence of a camouflaged object at each location, rather than a classification score in generic instance segmentation.

3.5 Training

We train the entire pipeline of our method by optimizing the loss functions used in the mask generator and the CIN module in a supervised fashion.

Specifically, we adopt a binary cross-entropy loss as our binary mask loss \mathcal{L}_{bce} and a dice loss \mathcal{L}_{dice} (Milletari et al., 2016) for supervising binary mask predictions in the mask generator. The dice loss is used to remedy class imbalance.

We carry out the training of the CIN module using the conventional close-vocabulary training approach. Suppose that we can access to the ground-truth category label for each object mask during the training phase. For each mask embedding z_i^{pred} produced by the mask generator, let $y_i^{cate} \in \mathbf{C}_{train}$ be the corresponding ground-truth category of z_i^{pred} . We invoke the text encoder \mathcal{T} in the pre-trained CLIP model to encode the names of all categories in \mathbf{C}_{train} . This results in a set of text embeddings $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{C}_{train}) = \{\mathcal{T}(c_1), \dots, \mathcal{T}(c_{|\mathbf{C}_{train}|})\}$ where $c_k \in \mathbf{C}_{train}$ represents a category name.

The loss for embedding classification (i.e., associating mask embeddings m_i^{pred} with their categories y_i^{cate}) is calculated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ce} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{CE} \left(\text{Softmax} \left(\frac{z_i^{pred} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{C}_{train})}{\tau} \right), y_i^{cate} \right) \quad (2)$$

where τ is a learnable temperature parameter and CE is the cross-entropy loss for the classification of each training embedding.

The total loss for the training of our pipeline is finally defined as,

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha \mathcal{L}_{bce} + \mathcal{L}_{dice} + \mathcal{L}_{ce} \quad (3)$$

where α is a hyper-parameter, empirically set to 0.4.

In line with work by (Cheng et al., 2022b), we apply the Hungarian matching algorithm (Kuhn, 1955) to match predicted masks with ground-truth masks and compute the loss between the matching pairs.

4 Experiments

4.1 Datasets

Following previous studies (Ding et al., 2023a; Xu et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2021), we used the instance segmentation part of the MS-COCO dataset (Lin et al., 2014) with 80 object categories to pre-train our model. We then fine-tuned the model on 3,040 images from the training set of the COD10K-v3 dataset (Fan et al., 2022). Pre-training the model on the MS-COCO dataset aims to learn general knowledge about objects in the wild, while fine-tuning the model on the COD10K-v3 dataset adapts the model to camouflaged objects. We empirically found that this strategy significantly boosts the performance of our method.

We tested our method on two benchmark camouflaged object datasets: the test set of the COD10K-v3 (including 2,026 images) and the NC4K (Lyu et al., 2021) (including 4,121 images). The NC4K dataset contains only test images. The training sets (for both pre-training and fine-tuning) and the test sets (for both the COD10K-v3 and NC4K) share only 6 common object categories (out of 80 and 69 object categories from the MS-COCO and COD10K-v3/NC4K, respectively). This setting, i.e., cross-dataset training-testing,

has been used widely in evaluation of the generalisation ability of CIS models. It reflects the practicality of CIS, thus ensures the reliability of evaluations.

We also evaluated our method on generic open-vocabulary datasets including the ADE20K (B. Zhou et al., 2019) and Cityscapes (Cordts et al., 2016). For the ADE20K dataset, we used the validation set of the short version (B. Zhou et al., 2017) covering 150 object categories and 2,000 images. The Cityscapes dataset contains a total of 19 classes, which are divided into 11 “stuff” and 8 “thing” classes. We conducted evaluations on the validation set of the Cityscapes, including 500 images. Note that we pre-trained our method on the MS-COCO dataset, then directly evaluated the method on these open-vocabulary datasets without fine-tuning.

4.2 Implementation Details

We implemented our method in Pytorch and built it on the Detectron2 framework (Y. Wu et al., 2019). We trained our method for 90k iterations with a batch size of 64 on 4 NVIDIA A40 GPUs. All training images were resized to 512×512 -pixels. Random jitters in the range $[0.1, 2.0]$ were applied to the training images. We froze both the SD and CLIP models during training. We adopted the Adam optimiser (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2019) with the learning rate γ set to 10^{-4} and weight decay of 0.05. We used a step learning rate scheduler and reduced the learning rate by a factor of 10 at 81k and 86k iterations.

The training took 4.3 days to complete. Due to class imbalance in the COD10K-v3 dataset, we manually removed some extremely rare classes, e.g., classes with less than five instances. In addition, we applied the `RepeatFactorTrainingSampler` from the Detectron2 framework, to allow a sample to appear more times than others based on its repeat factor.

4.3 Results

We evaluated our method and existing CIS methods using the average precision (AP) values measured at different intersection-over-union (IOU) thresholds. In particular, we calculated the overall AP in the range $[50\%, 95\%]$ for the IOU thresholds (i.e., for a threshold within the above range, a predicted instance is considered as true positive if there exists a true instance in the ground-truth such that their IOU is equal or greater than that threshold). We also measured detailed AP for the IOU thresholds of 50% (AP50) and 75% (AP75).

4.3.1 On camouflaged object datasets

We report the performance of our method on camouflaged object datasets (the COD10K-v3 and NC4K) in Table 1 (last row). Recall that, following the conventional setting in CIS, e.g., (Ding et al., 2023a; Xu et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2021), we pre-trained our model on the MS-COCO dataset then fine-tuned it on the training set of the COD10K-v3 dataset. To show the effectiveness of this strategy, we experimented with a variant of our method by skipping the fine-tuning phase. In particular, we pre-trained our method on the MS-COCO dataset, then evaluated it directly on the test set of the COD10K-v3 and the NC4K datasets. We show the performance of this strategy in the second last row, denoted as “Ours”, in Table 1. Experimental results show that, fine-tuning the method on a camouflaged object dataset, denoted as “Ours (task-specific)”, significantly improves its performance on all evaluation metrics.

We compare our method with existing instance segmentation methods on the CIS task in Table 1. We group existing methods into two groups. We name the first group “closed-set supervised learning approach”. The methods of this approach follow the traditional fashion which supervises an instance segmentation model on a training set and tests the model on a test set. The training and test sets of this approach are in the same domain and include imagery data only. Most existing instance segmentation methods in the field can be customised to enable CIS using this approach. In our experiments, the methods of the first group are trained on the training set of the COD10K-v3 dataset. The second group, called “open-vocab text-to-image approach” includes methods using text-to-image diffusion techniques with open-vocabulary. Our method and ODISE proposed by (Xu et al., 2023) belong to this group.

As shown in Table 1, our method with full setting (pre-training and fine-tuning), denoted as “Ours (task-specific)” significantly outperforms ODISE on all evaluation metrics, making a new state-of-the-art for open-vocabulary CIS. Our method also performs on par with DCNet (Luo et al., 2023) (the best method of the “closed-set supervised learning approach”). Nevertheless, compared with DCNet, our method requires much fewer parameters (see the last column in Table 1). Table 1 also compares all the methods in terms of the number of parameters used.

In summary, with regard to both the segmentation accuracy and memory usage, our method is more advanced, compared with existing ones. Recall that

Method		COD10K-v3 Test			NC4K			Params (M)
		AP	AP50	AP75	AP	AP50	AP75	
closed-set supervised learning approach	Mask R-CNN (K. He et al., 2017)	25.0	55.5	20.4	27.7	58.6	22.7	43.9
	MS R-CNN (Z. Huang et al., 2019)	30.1	57.2	28.7	31	58.7	29.4	60.0
	Cascade R-CNN (Cai & Nuno, 2019)	25.3	56.1	21.3	29.5	60.8	24.8	71.7
	HTC (K. Chen et al., 2019)	28.1	56.3	25.1	29.8	59.0	26.6	76.9
	YOLOACT (Bolya et al., 2019)	24.3	53.3	19.7	32.1	65.3	27.9	35.3
	BlendMask (H. Chen et al., 2020)	28.2	56.4	25.2	27.7	56.7	24.2	35.8
	SOLOv2 (Wang et al., 2020)	32.5	63.2	29.9	34.4	65.9	31.9	46.2
	Condlnt (Tian et al., 2020)	30.6	63.6	26.1	33.4	67.4	29.4	34.1
	QueryInst (Fang et al., 2021)	28.5	60.1	23.1	33.0	66.7	29.4	172.5
	SOTR (Guo et al., 2021)	27.9	58.7	24.1	29.3	61.0	25.6	63.1
	MaskFormer (Cheng et al., 2021)	38.2	65.1	37.9	44.6	71.9	45.8	45.0
	Mask2Former (Cheng et al., 2022a)	39.4	67.7	38.5	45.8	73.6	47.5	43.9
	Mask Transfmer (Ke et al., 2022)	28.7	56.3	26.4	29.4	56.7	27.2	44.3
	OSFormer (Pei et al., 2022)	41.0	71.1	40.8	42.5	72.5	42.3	46.6
DCNet (Luo et al., 2023)	45.3	<u>70.7</u>	47.5	52.8	77.1	56.5	53.4	
open-vocab text-to-image approach	ODISE (Xu et al., 2023)	21.1	37.8	20.5	22.9	37.2	21.4	28.1
	Ours	23.4	43.8	22.6	24.3	43.7	23.5	28.7
	Ours (task-specific)	<u>44.9</u>	70.9	<u>47.2</u>	<u>52.7</u>	<u>76.6</u>	<u>55.8</u>	28.7

Table 1: Comparison of our method with existing instance segmentation methods on the test set of the COD10K-v3 and the NC4K datasets. We divide all the methods into two groups: general instance segmentation methods which apply closed-set supervised learning approach and open-vocabulary-based methods which combine both visual and textual information learnt from open-vocabulary. Methods of the “closed-set supervised learning approach” are trained on the training set of the COD10K-v3 dataset. Methods of the “open-vocab text-to-image approach” are pre-trained on the MS-COCO dataset. We denote “Ours” and “Ours (task-specific)” for two variants of our method without and with fine-tuning on the training set of the COD10K-v3 dataset. Params (M) denotes the number of *trainable* parameters. Best results are **bold** and second best results are underline.

Method	ADE20K	Cityscapes	Params (M)
MaskCLIP (Ding et al., 2023b)	6.1	-	354.1
ODISE (Xu et al., 2023)	13.9	-	28.1
X-Decoder (Zou et al., 2023)	13.1	24.9	38.3
OpenSeeD (H. Zhang et al., 2023)	15.0	33.2	116.2
Ours	<u>14.1</u>	<u>25.6</u>	28.7

Table 2: Comparison of our method with existing open-vocabulary instance segmentation methods on the ADE20K and Cityscapes datasets. We measure the segmentation accuracy using the AP. “-” denotes no-report performance. Best results are **bold** and second best results are underline. We also report the number of parameters used in the methods in the last column.

only 6 object categories are shared between the MS-COCO dataset (with 80 object categories) and the COD10K-v3/NC4K dataset (with 69 object categories). This challenge shows the ability of our method in handling open-vocabulary tasks.

We visualise several results of our methods and existing ones in Fig. 7. As shown, our method excels at pixel-level segmentation, accurately delineating camouflaged objects along their blurry boundaries in cluttered backgrounds. The results also exhibit the proficiency of our method in segmenting multiple instances.

Fig. 8 illustrates failure cases of our method. We found that our method would be ineffective in distinguishing and separating an object that shares very similar characteristics with others or consists of fragmented parts. However, such circumstances would also be challenging for human beings as well.

4.3.2 On generic open-vocabulary datasets

To showcase the versatility and generality of our method in various application domains (other than camouflaged objects), we evaluated our method on the ADE20K (B. Zhou et al., 2019) and Cityscapes datasets (Cordts et al., 2016), two widely used open-vocabulary benchmark datasets. Note that these datasets are not designed for camouflage detection and segmentation. We summarise the performance of our method and existing open-vocabulary instance segmentation methods on these two datasets in Table 2.

Our method ranks second on both the ADE20K and Cityscapes datasets. Nevertheless, compared with the first ranked method, i.e., OpenSeeD (H. Zhang et al., 2023), our method uses approximately 4 times fewer parameters than OpenSeeD, while sacrificing less than 1% and 8% of the overall AP on the ADE20K and Cityscapes datasets, respectively.

4.4 Ablation studies

In this section, we present ablation studies to validate different aspects of the design and implementation of our method. First, we investigated the impact of prompt engineering to open-vocabulary CIS. Second, we validated the technical modules developed in our method to make it specialised to CIS.

4.4.1 Prompt engineering for open-vocabulary CIS

For open-vocabulary-based studies, an object category can be specified by multiple alternative text descriptions. For instance, the “cat” category can be described as “cat”, “cats”, “kitty”, or “kitties”. To improve the diversity of open-vocabulary in text prompts, we applied the identical prompt engineering method introduced by (Ghiasi et al., 2022) to assemble a list of synonyms, subcategories and plurals for the categories. Given a text prompt, the category is chosen as the one with the highest probability from an ensembling list of multiple alternative queries. We observed that the prompt engineering technique is simple yet effective to improve the segmentation accuracy of our

Prompt	AP	AP50	AP75
✗	22.8	43.1	22.1
✓	23.4 +0.6	43.8 +0.7	22.6 +0.5

Table 3: Ablation study on applying prompt engineering to improve open-vocabulary CIS. Results are generated on the COD10K-v3 dataset.

method. Table 3 shows the impact of applying prompt engineering to CIS.

4.4.2 CIS-specialised modules

We develop several technical modules in our method to make it specialised to CIS. We refer the reader to Fig. 2 for a recall on how the modules are configured in our pipeline. To confirm the importance of those modules, we experimented with different variants of our method, each variant is made by alteration and/or omission of a module. We pre-trained the variants on the MS-COCO dataset for 30k iterations, then tested them on the test set of the COD10K-v3 dataset. We present the results of this ablation study in Table 4.

We first validated the importance of text descriptions in our model. This was implemented by setting text embeddings used in the model to zeros. We observed a significant drop in the performance of this variant, resulting in the lowest AP (12.2). This indicates that text embeddings play a crucial role in the model as they provide essential contextual or semantic information that helps to identify camouflage.

We propose the MSFF module to fuse image-guided features learnt by the diffusion model at multiple scales. We proved the necessity of this module by directly feeding the last layer of the diffusion U-Net to the mask generator. Experimental results show that this variant incurs a performance loss. However, compared with the full setting which fuses all the layers from both the encoder and decoder of the diffusion U-Net, the last layer of the diffusion U-Net seems to carry substantial information for the instance segmentation task.

We devise the TVA module to aggregate textual and visual features in an instance-oriented manner, i.e., textual and visual features are aggregated alongside instance masks and consolidated against the background via feature weighting. We visualise the impact of the TVA module in Fig. 9. To validate this module, we simplified its operation by applying an element-wise dot product on the input mask embeddings and text embeddings. We observed that, compared with




Fig. 7: Qualitative comparison of our method with existing methods on the COD10K-v3 and NC4K datasets. This figure is best viewed in colour.

other modules, the TVA module is less critical, evident by the least performance drop when the simplification is applied to its architecture.

We develop the CIN module to further enhance the representations of camouflaged objects for instance prediction and classification. To validate the CIN module, we removed it from our pipeline by directly passing

the output from the TVA module to mask prediction and classification. We found that, by omitting the CIN module, the AP of the pipeline decreases dramatically (from 19.3 to 17.6).




Fig. 8: Failure cases of our method on the COD10K-v3 dataset. In the first and second columns, our method fails to separate instances of nearby and similar objects, such as the yellow fish and two sea lions. Our method can detect and segment camouflaged objects in the third and fourth columns but with slightly less accurate boundaries. In the last column, our method struggles with the significant spatial separation of the black panther’s body parts, leading to misclassification of the entire object. This figure is best viewed in colour.

Variant	AP
no text (text embeddings = 0)	12.2 -7.1
skip the MSFF module (only the last layer of the diffusion U-Net is used)	18.4 -0.9
skip the CIN module (directly use the TVA’s output for instance classification)	17.6 -1.7
skip the TVA module (element-wise dot product of mask embedding and text embedding)	18.8 -0.5
Full setting	19.3

Table 4: Ablation study on the effectiveness of the proposed technical modules to CIS. Results are generated on the COD10K-v3 dataset.

5 Conclusion

This work advances the computer vision research for camouflaged instance segmentation (CIS) by leveraging text-to-image diffusion and text-image transfer techniques. To this end, we propose a method that effectively integrates textual information learnt from open-vocabulary into the visual domain to enrich the representations of camouflaged objects. We evaluate our method and compare it with existing methods in both CIS and generic open-vocabulary segmentation on benchmark datasets. Experimental results show the effectiveness and advantages of our method over existing baselines in both the tasks.

Despite proven strengths, the proposed method has limitations. While the learnt knowledge from natural language can be effective to distinguish an object from its background when visual cues are insufficient due to camouflage, it may not be helpful to separate touching/overlapping instances. Additionally, the method struggles with segmenting occluded objects. Under severe occlusions, a camouflaged object can be over segmented into non-semantic fragments, leading to misclassification of the object. Enhancing object representations with background-aware features from open-vocabulary (i.e., by using text prompts including both foreground and background information, e.g., “a lizard is on a tree”) may help to address the aforementioned issues. We consider this research direction as our future work.

While open-vocabulary recognition has recently attracted considerable attention in the computer vision community, to the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to provide a framework for localising camouflaged object instances based on open-vocabulary. We believe the proposed framework will open an avenue for new research and developments in surveillance, wildlife monitoring, and military reconnaissance. Nevertheless, it is always challenging to learn general knowledge across a diverse array of concealed targets from open-vocabulary.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by an internal grant from HKUST (R9429), the National Research Foundation, Singapore, DSO National Laboratories under the AI Singapore Programme (AISG Award No: AISG2-GC-2023-008), and an MAAP Discovery funding (2022-2025) from Deakin University. This work is partially done during Tuan-Anh Vu’s internship at CFAR, A*STAR, Singapore.

References

- Baranchuk, D., Voynov, A., Rubachev, I., Khrlukov, V., Babenko, A. (2022). Label-efficient semantic segmentation with diffusion models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Bolya, D., Zhou, C., Xiao, F., Lee, Y.J. (2019). Yolact: Real-time instance segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 9157–9166).
- Cai, Z., & Nuno, V. (2019). Cascade r-cnn: High quality object detection and instance segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 43(5), 1483–1498,
- Chen, H., Sun, K., Tian, Z., Shen, C., Huang, Y., Yan, Y. (2020). Blendmask: Top-down meets bottom-up for instance segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 8573–8581).
- Chen, K., Pang, J., Wang, J., Xiong, Y., Li, X., Sun, S., ... others (2019). Hybrid task cascade for instance segmentation. *Proceedings of the*




Fig. 9: Visualisation of interim and final results of the TVA module. Raw attention map (interim result) is a heat map of an object instance where foreground pixels are highlighted in red and background pixels are represented in blue. The raw attention map is achieved by applying an element-wise dot product of a text embedding and a mask embeddings. Text embeddings are returned by a pre-trained CLIP model. Mask embeddings are features located within foreground areas (camouflaged objects). Filtered attention map is the final result of the TVA module. The raw attention map often misidentifies foreground elements as the background. Additionally, many disruptive activations are presented in the background areas. The application of our TVA module addresses these inaccuracies, yielding more accurate camouflaged object representations. This figure is best viewed in colour.

IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 4974–4983).

- Cheng, B., Misra, I., Schwing, A.G., Kirillov, A., Girdhar, R. (2022a). Masked-attention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 1290–1299).

- Cheng, B., Misra, I., Schwing, A.G., Kirillov, A., Girdhar, R. (2022b). Masked-attention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 1280–1289).

- Cheng, B., Schwing, A., Kirillov, A. (2021). Per-pixel classification is not all you need for semantic

- segmentation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34, 17864–17875,
- Cordts, M., Omran, M., Ramos, S., Rehfeld, T., Enzweiler, M., Benenson, R., ... Schiele, B. (2016). The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 3213–3223).
- Desai, K., & Johnson, J. (2021). VirTex: Learning visual representations from textual annotations. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 11162–11173).
- Dhariwal, P., & Nichol, A.Q. (2021). Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 8780–8794).
- Ding, Z., Wang, J., Tu, Z. (2023a). Open-vocabulary universal image segmentation with MaskCLIP. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 8090–8102).
- Ding, Z., Wang, J., Tu, Z. (2023b). Open-vocabulary universal image segmentation with maskclip. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Du, Y., Wei, F., Zhang, Z., Shi, M., Gao, Y., Li, G. (2022). Learning to prompt for open-vocabulary object detection with vision-language model. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 14064–14073).
- Esser, P., Rombach, R., Ommer, B. (2021). Taming transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 12873–12883).
- Fan, D.-P., Ji, G.-P., Cheng, M.-M., Shao, L. (2022). Concealed object detection. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 6024–6042,
- Fan, D.-P., Ji, G.-P., Sun, G., Cheng, M.-M., Shen, J., Shao, L. (2020). Camouflaged object detection. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 2777–2787).
- Fang, Y., Yang, S., Wang, X., Li, Y., Fang, C., Shan, Y., ... Liu, W. (2021). Instances as queries. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 6910–6919).
- Fleming, P.J.S., Meek, P.D., Ballard, G., Banks, P.B., Claridge, A.W., Sanderson, J.G., Swann, D.E. (2014). *Camera trapping: Wildlife management and research*. CSIRO Publishing.
- Gal, R., Arar, M., Atzmon, Y., Bermano, A.H., Chechik, G., Cohen-Or, D. (2023). Encoder-based domain tuning for fast personalization of text-to-image models. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 42(4), 1–13,
- Gao, M., Xing, C., Niebles, J.C., Li, J., Xu, R., Liu, W., Xiong, C. (2022). Open vocabulary object detection with pseudo bounding-box labels. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 266–282).
- Ghiasi, G., Gu, X., Cui, Y., Lin, T. (2022). Scaling open-vocabulary image segmentation with image-level labels. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 540–557).
- Gu, X., Lin, T., Kuo, W., Cui, Y. (2022). Open-vocabulary object detection via vision and language knowledge distillation. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Guo, R., Niu, D., Qu, L., Li, Z. (2021). Sotr: Segmenting objects with transformers. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 7157–7166).
- He, C., Li, K., Zhang, Y., Tang, L., Zhang, Y., Guo, Z., Li, X. (2023). Camouflaged object detection with feature decomposition and edge reconstruction. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 22046–22055).

- He, K., Gkioxari, G., Dollár, P., Girshick, R.B. (2017). Mask R-CNN. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 2980–2988).
- Hertz, A., Mokady, R., Tenenbaum, J., Aberman, K., Pritch, Y., Cohen-Or, D. (2023). Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Ho, J., Jain, A., Abbeel, P. (2020). Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 6840–6851).
- Huang, X., & Belongie, S.J. (2017). Arbitrary style transfer in real-time with adaptive instance normalization. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1510–1519).
- Huang, Z., Huang, L., Gong, Y., Huang, C., Wang, X. (2019). Mask scoring r-cnn. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 6409–6418).
- Jia, C., Yang, Y., Xia, Y., Chen, Y., Parekh, Z., Pham, H., ... Duerig, T. (2021). Scaling up visual and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 4904–4916).
- Karras, T., Laine, S., Aittala, M., Hellsten, J., Lehtinen, J., Aila, T. (2020). Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 8107–8116).
- Ke, L., Danelljan, M., Li, X., Tai, Y.-W., Tang, C.-K., Yu, F. (2022). Mask transfiner for high-quality instance segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 4412–4421).
- Kuhn, H.W. (1955, March). The Hungarian Method for the Assignment Problem. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 2(1), 83–97,
- Kumari, N., Zhang, B., Zhang, R., Shechtman, E., Zhu, J.-Y. (2023). Multi-concept customization of text-to-image diffusion. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 1931–1941).
- Kuo, W., Cui, Y., Gu, X., Piergiovanni, A., Angelova, A. (2023). F-vm: Open-vocabulary object detection upon frozen vision and language models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Li, D., Ling, H., Kim, S.W., Kreis, K., Fidler, S., Torralba, A. (2022). Bigdatasetgan: Synthesizing imagenet with pixel-wise annotations. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 21298–21308).
- Lin, T., Maire, M., Belongie, S.J., Hays, J., Perona, P., Ramanan, D., ... Zitnick, C.L. (2014). Microsoft COCO: common objects in context. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 740–755).
- Loshchilov, I., & Hutter, F. (2019). Decoupled weight decay regularization. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Luo, N., Pan, Y., Sun, R., Zhang, T., Xiong, Z., Wu, F. (2023). Camouflaged instance segmentation via explicit de-camouflaging. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 17918–17927).
- Lyu, Y., Zhang, J., Dai, Y., Li, A., Liu, B., Barnes, N., Fan, D.-P. (2021). Simultaneously localize, segment and rank the camouflaged objects. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 11586–11596).
- Milletari, F., Navab, N., Ahmadi, S.-A. (2016). V-Net: Fully convolutional neural networks for volumetric medical image segmentation. *Proceedings of the International Conference on 3D Vision* (pp. 565–571).
- Minderer, M., Gritsenko, A.A., Stone, A., Neumann, M., Weissenborn, D., Dosovitskiy, A., ... Houlsby, N. (2022). Simple open-vocabulary

- object detection with vision transformers. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 728–755).
- Nguyen, T.T.T., Eichholtzer, A.C., Driscoll, D.A., Semianiw, N.I., Corva, D.M., Kouzani, A.Z., ... Nguyen, D.T. (2023). Sawit: A small-sized animal wild image dataset with annotations. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 1-26,
- Nichol, A.Q., Dhariwal, P., Ramesh, A., Shyam, P., Mishkin, P., McGrew, B., ... Chen, M. (2022). GLIDE: towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 16784–16804).
- Parmar, G., Kumar Singh, K., Zhang, R., Li, Y., Lu, J., Zhu, J.-Y. (2023). Zero-shot image-to-image translation. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH* (pp. 1–11).
- Pei, J., Cheng, T., Fan, D.-P., Tang, H., Chen, C., Van Gool, L. (2022). Osformer: One-stage camouflaged instance segmentation with transformers. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 19–37).
- Radford, A., Kim, J.W., Hallacy, C., Ramesh, A., Goh, G., Agarwal, S., ... Sutskever, I. (2021). Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 8748–8763).
- Raffel, C., Shazeer, N., Roberts, A., Lee, K., Narang, S., Matena, M., ... Liu, P.J. (2020). Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21, 1–67,
- Ramesh, A., Dhariwal, P., Nichol, A., Chu, C., Chen, M. (2022). Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1–27,
- Rasheed, H.A., Maaz, M., Khattak, M.U., Khan, S.H., Khan, F.S. (2022). Bridging the gap between object and image-level representations for open-vocabulary detection. *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 33781–33794).
- Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R.B., Sun, J. (2015). Faster R-CNN: towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 91–99).
- Rewatbowornwong, P., Tritrong, N., Suwajanakorn, S. (2023). Repurposing gans for one-shot semantic part segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(4), 5114–5125,
- Robin, R., Andreas, B., Dominik, L., Patrick, E., Björn, O. (2022). High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 10674–10685).
- Saharia, C., Chan, W., Saxena, S., Li, L., Whang, J., Denton, E., ... others (2022). Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 36479–36494).
- Sariyildiz, M.B., Perez, J., Larlus, D. (2020). Learning visual representations with caption annotations. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1–17).
- Schuhmann, C., Beaumont, R., Vencu, R., Gordon, C., Wightman, R., Cherti, M., ... others (2022). LAION-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 25278–25294,
- Song, J., Meng, C., Ermon, S. (2021). Denoising diffusion implicit models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Sun, G., An, Z., Liu, Y., Liu, C., Sakaridis, C., Fan, D.-P., Van Gool, L. (2023). Indiscernible object counting in underwater scenes. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 13791–13801).

- Tian, Z., Shen, C., Chen, H. (2020). Conditional convolutions for instance segmentation. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 282–298).
- Wang, X., Zhang, R., Kong, T., Li, L., Shen, C. (2020). Solov2: Dynamic and fast instance segmentation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 17721–17732,
- Wu, J., Li, X., Xu, S., Yuan, H., Ding, H., Yang, Y., ... Tao, D. (2023). Towards open vocabulary learning: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15880*, 1–22,
- Wu, Y., Kirillov, A., Massa, F., Lo, W.-Y., Girshick, R. (2019). *Detectron2*. <https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2>.
- Xie, E., Wang, W., Wang, W., Sun, P., Xu, H., Liang, D., Luo, P. (2021). Segmenting transparent objects in the wild with transformer. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 1194–1200).
- Xu, J., Liu, S., Vahdat, A., Byeon, W., Wang, X., Mello, S.D. (2023). Open-vocabulary panoptic segmentation with text-to-image diffusion models. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 2955–2966).
- Yan, J., Le, T., Nguyen, K., Tran, M., Do, T., Nguyen, T.V. (2021). Mirrornet: Bio-inspired camouflaged object segmentation. *IEEE Access*, 9, 43290–43300,
- Zang, Y., Li, W., Zhou, K., Huang, C., Loy, C.C. (2022). Open-vocabulary detr with conditional matching. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 106–122).
- Zareian, A., Rosa, K.D., Hu, D.H., Chang, S. (2021). Open-vocabulary object detection using captions. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 14393–14402).
- Zhang, H., Li, F., Zou, X., Liu, S., Li, C., Yang, J., Zhang, L. (2023, October). A simple framework for open-vocabulary segmentation and detection. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1020–1031).
- Zhang, J., Huang, J., Jin, S., Lu, S. (2023). Vision-language models for vision tasks: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00685*, 1–23,
- Zhang, Y., Jiang, H., Miura, Y., Manning, C.D., Langlotz, C.P. (2022). Contrastive learning of medical visual representations from paired images and text. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 182, 1–24,
- Zhao, W., Rao, Y., Liu, Z., Liu, B., Zhou, J., Lu, J. (2023). Unleashing text-to-image diffusion models for visual perception. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 5729–5739).
- Zheng, Y., Wu, J., Qin, Y., Zhang, F., Cui, L. (2021). Zero-shot instance segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 2593–2602).
- Zhong, Y., Yang, J., Zhang, P., Li, C., Codella, N., Li, L.H., ... Gao, J. (2022). Region-clip: Region-based language-image pretraining. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 16772–16782).
- Zhou, B., Zhao, H., Puig, X., Fidler, S., Barriuso, A., Torralba, A. (2017). Scene parsing through ade20k dataset. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 5122–5130).
- Zhou, B., Zhao, H., Puig, X., Xiao, T., Fidler, S., Barriuso, A., Torralba, A. (2019). Semantic understanding of scenes through the ade20k dataset. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 127(3), 302–321,
- Zhou, X., Girdhar, R., Joulin, A., Krähenbühl, P., Misra, I. (2022). Detecting twenty-thousand classes

using image-level supervision. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 350–368).

Zou, X., Dou, Z.-Y., Yang, J., Gan, Z., Li, L., Li, C., . . . Gao, J. (2023, June). Generalized decoding for pixel, image, and language. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 15116–15127).