

# RADICAL SUBGROUPS OF FINITE REDUCTIVE GROUPS

ZHICHENG FENG, JUN YU, AND JIPING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Radical subgroups play an important role in both finite group theory and representation theory. This is the first of a series of papers of ours in classifying radical  $p$ -subgroups of finite reductive groups and in verifying the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for them, contributing to the program of proving the Alperin weight conjecture by verifying its inductive condition for finite simple groups. In this paper we present a uniform method of classifying radical subgroups of finite reductive groups. As applications, we investigate the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for classical groups, as well as groups of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
2.I. The Alperin weight conjecture	5
2.II. Properties of radical subgroups and weight subgroups	6
3. Radical $p$ -subgroups of classical groups	9
3.I. Radical $p$ -subgroups of general linear and unitary groups	10
3.II. Radical $p$ -subgroups of orthogonal and symplectic groups	19
3.III. Weight subgroups	33
3.IV. Parity	37
3.V. Principal weights	39
4. Radical 2-subgroups of $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$	41
4.I. The case of $r = 0$	41
4.II. The case of $r = 1$	44
4.III. The case of $r = 2$	45
5. The inductive BAW condition for groups of types $\mathbf{B}_n$ , $\mathbf{D}_n$ , ${}^2\mathbf{D}_n$ and $\mathbf{F}_4$	49
5.I. Groups of type $\mathbf{B}_n$ , $\mathbf{D}_n$ and ${}^2\mathbf{D}_n$	49
5.II. The principal 2-block of $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$	59
5.III. Non-principal quasi-isolated 2-blocks of $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$	61
Acknowledgements	73
References	73

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime. As usual, let  $O_p(G)$  denote the largest normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . A *radical  $p$ -subgroup* (or  *$p$ -radical subgroup*) of  $G$  is a subgroup  $Q \leq G$

---

*Date:* May 22, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G40, 20C20, 20C33, 20D06, 20G41.

*Key words and phrases.* Radical subgroups, finite reductive group, Alperin weight conjecture, inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition, classical groups, Chevalley groups of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ .

Zhicheng Feng's research is partially supported by the NSFC Grant 11901028. Jun Yu's research is partially supported by the NSFC Grant 11971036. Jiping Zhang's research is partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFE0204200).

with  $Q = O_p(N_G(Q))$ . Radical subgroups are essential in both group theory and representation theory of finite groups; for example, in the study of Brown's complex, Quillen's conjecture, Alperin weight conjecture, and Dade's conjectures, etc.

**Brown's complex and Quillen's conjecture.** For the poset of non-identity  $p$ -subgroups of a finite group  $G$  ordered by inclusion, we can associate a simplicial complex, which was first introduced by Brown [31] in his work on Euler characteristics and cohomology for discrete groups and is called Brown's complex. Quillen's conjecture [76] asserts that Brown's complex is contractible if and only if  $O_p(G) > 1$ . This conjecture was proved by Quillen for solvable groups, but in general it is still open so far. In 1984, Bouc [25, 26] considered the poset involving only non-identity radical  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , and proved that its associated simplicial complex is homotopy equivalent to Brown's complex. Thus, the classification of radical  $p$ -subgroups are closely connected with  $p$ -local geometries (see also Yoshiara [90]). Starting with the work of Knörr and Robinson [63], the above simplicial complexes are widely used in the study of local-global conjectures.

**Local-global conjectures.** In the representation theory of finite groups, local-global conjectures relate the representation theory of finite groups with that of their local subgroups. For example, the McKay conjecture, the Alperin weight conjecture and Dade's conjectures, etc., are deep local-global conjectures. They are central to the representation theory of finite groups. Radical groups are essential for the investigation of the Alperin weight conjecture ([1]) and Dade's conjectures ([36, 37]): weights are provided by radical subgroups, and chains of radical subgroups are involved in Dade's conjectures.

Starting with the reduction of the McKay conjecture by Isaacs–Malle–Navarro [59] in 2007, many important local-global conjectures have been reduced to questions on finite simple groups. Then, the representation theory of quasi-simple groups, especially finite reductive groups, turns more and more into focus. The classification of radical subgroups of finite reductive groups is thus essential for the study some local-global conjectures, such as the Alperin weight conjecture and Dade's conjectures.

**Radical subgroups.** By the work of Borel and Tits [24], radical subgroups of finite reductive groups in defining characteristic are known: they are just the unipotent radicals of parabolic subgroups. In the non-defining characteristic situation, the classification of radical subgroups of finite reductive groups was started with the work of Alperin–Fong [3] for general linear groups, and then developed by An and collaborators (see, for instance [4–11, 13–15, 18]) for various families of groups. However, a general classification of radical subgroups and weights is still unavailable to the present day, especially for groups of exceptional Lie type. In their survey paper [61, §4], Kessar and Malle pointed out that “for exceptional groups of larger rank that seems quite challenging at the moment”.

In 1989, Alperin [2] presented an analogy between finite group theory and Lie group theory and speculated a Lie approach to finite groups. Alperin called the normalizer of a  $p$ -radical subgroup a  $p$ -parabolic subgroup. The notions of  $p$ -parabolic subgroups and Brown's complexes for arbitrary finite groups are analogies of parabolic subgroups and buildings in Lie theory. Note that radical subgroups of complex reductive groups are connected to the combinatorial structure of the root datum of a complex reductive group, and they play essential roles in representation theory via induced representations, etc. With the method in this paper, it comes the great hope of classifying radical subgroups of finite reductive groups in general. We would like to mention a question: do radical subgroups of finite reductive groups have similar structural and representation theoretical meaning, just as radical subgroups of complex reductive groups?

**Method of classification.** In this paper, we present a uniform method of classifying radical subgroups of finite reductive groups in non-defining characteristic. Let's explain our

method of classification. Let  $1 \neq R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup in a finite reductive group  $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with  $q$  a prime power coprime to  $p$ . For simplicity, assume that  $G$  is simple (otherwise we consider the adjoint quotient of it instead). Then,  $A(R) := \Omega_1(Z(R))$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup. By viewing  $A(R)$  as a subgroup of  $G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  and considering all  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms of it, we understand the conjugacy class of  $A(R)$  in  $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . This is an important ingredient in our method: classifying elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of finite reductive groups via that of linear reductive groups. By the “process of reduction modulo  $\ell$ ” (cf. [54, Thm. A.12], due to Larsen), it is sufficient to classify elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of complex linear groups  $G(\mathbb{C})$ . It is also well-known that elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of a complex reductive group and that of its compact form are equivalent objects. Studying elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups has a long history (they might be first noticed by Borel [23]), and it has applications in many subjects (cf. Serre’s lecture notes [81] for a brief account). In [53], Griess made an advance of classifying maximal elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of complex simple linear groups. Non-toral elementary abelian  $p$  subgroups for odd primes  $p$  were classified in [19]. In [91], J. Yu classified elementary abelian 2-subgroups of exceptional simple compact Lie groups up to conjugacy: elementary abelian 2-subgroups in a give compact simple Lie group are divided into several families, and there are numerical invariants to parametrize conjugacy classes of subgroups in each family.

We observe that  $R$  is also a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(A(R))$ . Since  $Z_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(A(R))$  is always smaller than  $G$ , this gives a way of reduction for the classification of radical  $p$ -subgroups. However, the structure of  $Z_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(A(R))$  could be complicated. We also observe that: for certain subgroup  $B$  of  $A(R)$ ,  $R$  is also a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(B)$ . This brings great advantage in the reduction: the conjugacy class of  $B$  has few possibilities and the structure of  $Z_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(B)$  is much simpler.

Along the way, we give a new proof of the classification of radical  $p$ -subgroups of classical groups, which was first done in a series of papers of Alperin–Fong and An [3–6, 8] in the 1990s. Compared to their method, we avoid many case by case analyses and the results of classification read off from the proof of classification. The classification of radical subgroups of classical groups in characteristic 2 is missing in literatures, and our methods can treat those groups uniformly. In this way, we complete the classification of radical subgroups of classical groups. We also classify radical 2-subgroups of the Chevalley groups  $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$ . The classification of radical  $p$ -subgroups (for all primes  $p$ ) of exceptional groups of types  $\mathbf{E}_6$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_7$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_8$  will be pursued in our ongoing works, along with our investigation of verifying the blockwise Alperin weight condition for them.

We shall mention An–Dietrich–Litterick’s paper [16] which makes progress in classifying elementary abelian 2 subgroups of finite exceptional groups of types  $\mathbf{F}_4$  and  $\mathbf{E}_6$ – $\mathbf{E}_8$ . Their method is also based on the second author’s classification of elementary abelian 2-subgroups [91].

**Alperin weight conjecture.** The Alperin weight conjecture, which was proposed by Jonathan L. Alperin [1] in the 1980s, predicts that the number of modular irreducible representations (up to isomorphism) should equal the number of weights (up to conjugacy). Moreover, this equality should hold blockwisely. The conjecture has created great interest and its truth would have significant consequences. The motivation for the concept of weights of finite groups comes from connections and analogies with the theory of Lie groups. On the other hand, the investigations of weights of finite reductive groups point towards some hidden theories in the local representation theory of finite groups. For example, the classification of weights of symmetric groups and general linear groups by Alperin–Fong [3] highlights a well-known analogy between the representation theory of symmetric and general linear groups.

The Alperin weight conjecture was reduced to a verification in finite simple groups by Navarro and Tiep [74] for the non-blockwise version in 2011, and by Späth [82] for the blockwise version in 2013. They proved that if all non-abelian simple groups satisfy the inductive blockwise Alperin weight (BAW) condition, then the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for all finite groups. So far, the inductive BAW condition has been verified for certain families of simple groups; for the recent developments we refer to the survey papers of Kessar–Malle [61] and Feng–Zhang [49]. However, the inductive BAW condition is quite complicated. It is still challenging to establish it for finite reductive groups, especially for spin groups and for groups of exceptional type.

In this paper, we consider the spin groups at the prime 2 and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that Assumption 5.1 holds for types  $\mathbf{B}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_n$  and  ${}^2\mathbf{D}_n$  at the prime  $p = 2$ . Then the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition holds for every 2-block of every simple group of Lie type  $\mathbf{B}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_n$  or  ${}^2\mathbf{D}_n$ .*

Since the verification of the inductive BAW condition for groups of Lie type in non-defining characteristic was reduced to quasi-isolated blocks by Li and two of the authors [46], we only need to treat the principal 2-blocks of the spin groups here. Assumption 5.1 comes from the reduction theorem to quasi-isolated blocks in [46], and by a recent work of Späth [83] it can be established by the existence of unitriangular basic sets of spin groups. For unipotent blocks, the unitriangularity is known by the work of Brunat–Dudas–Taylor [21] for good primes, and by the works of Chaneb [35] for classical groups for bad primes when the component groups of unipotent elements are abelian. But for spin groups and the prime 2, this is still open now.

The determination of radical subgroups is a crucial ingredient in the verification of the inductive BAW condition. For example, in the works [17], [43], [47] and [80], groups of types  $\mathbf{A}_n$ ,  ${}^2\mathbf{A}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_4$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_2$  and  ${}^3\mathbf{D}_4$  are considered and the inductive BAW condition is established except for groups of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$  at the prime 2. Also, there is still no breakthrough for exceptional groups of types  $\mathbf{E}_6$ – $\mathbf{E}_8$  so far. What prevents people from extending to those situations? The missing classification of the radical subgroups!

**Theorem 2.** *The inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition holds for the Chevalley groups  $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$  (with odd  $q$ ) and the prime 2.*

This completes the proof of the inductive BAW condition for simple groups of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ , since the odd primes situation has been dealt with by An–Hiss–Lübeck [17]. As a further important ingredient, we obtain a Jordan decomposition for the weights of quasi-isolated 2-blocks of the groups  $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$  along the way; see Remark 5.60. It will be clear to the experts that many arguments in classifying weights for quasi-isolated blocks can be given variants in other exceptional types. This will be explained in our ongoing works.

Of course, even only for the prime 2, verifying the inductive BAW condition for groups of types  $\mathbf{E}_6$ – $\mathbf{E}_8$  remains a challenge, but we are confident that with our methods this could be accomplished soon.

**Structure of this paper.** The organization of this paper is as follows. After collecting some preliminary results in Section 2, we give a new proof of the classification of radical 2-subgroups of classical groups in Section 3. In particular, we correct errors in the list of radical 2-subgroups in [5], list the radical subgroups providing 2-weights, as well as determine the action of field automorphisms. Section 4 is devoted to classifying radical 2-subgroups of the Chevalley group  $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$ . The process in Sections 3 and 4 indicates how our strategy works for general finite reductive groups. Finally, we investigate the inductive BAW condition for 2-blocks of classical groups, determine the weights of quasi-isolated 2-blocks of the groups  $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$ , and prove Theorem 1 and 2 in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

**Notation.** Let  $G$  be a group. We denote by  $\text{Aut}(G)$  the automorphism group of  $G$ , and by  $\text{Aut}^0(G)$  the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  acting trivially on  $Z(G)$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . We denote by  $N_G(H)$  (resp.  $Z_G(H)$ ) the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of  $H$  in  $G$ . For a finite abelian group  $G$  and a prime  $p$ , write  $G_p$  for the unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

Denote by  $v_p$  the exponential valuation associated to the prime  $p$ , normalized so that  $v_p(p) = 1$ . For a non-zero integer  $n$ , we write  $n_p = p^{v_p(n)}$ .

**2.1. The Alperin weight conjecture.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime. If  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , sometimes we identify characters of  $G$  with kernel containing  $N$  with characters of  $G/N$ . Let  $\text{dz}(G)$  denote the set of irreducible characters  $\chi$  of  $G$  that are of  $p$ -defect zero (i.e.,  $v_p(\chi(1)) = v_p(|G|)$ ).

For  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ , we set

$$\text{rdz}(G \mid \theta) = \{ \chi \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \theta) \mid v_p(\chi(1)/\theta(1)) = v_p(|G/N|) \}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  be finite groups, and let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ .*

- (1) *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$ . If  $\chi \in \text{rdz}(G \mid \theta)$  and  $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(H \mid \chi)$ , then  $\vartheta \in \text{rdz}(H \mid \theta)$ .*
- (2) *If  $O_p(G_\theta/N) \neq 1$ , then  $\text{rdz}(G \mid \theta) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By Clifford theory, one has that  $\chi(1)/\vartheta(1)$  divides the order of  $G/H$ , and thus (1) holds. For (2) we note that  $\text{rdz}(G \mid \theta) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{rdz}(G_\theta \mid \theta) = \emptyset$ , and thus we may assume that  $G = G_\theta$ . Suppose  $O_p(G/N) \neq 1$  and let  $M \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $N \subset M$  and  $M/N = O_p(G/N)$ . For any  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(M \mid \theta)$ , we get that  $(\psi(1)/\theta(1))^2 \leq |M/N|$  from Clifford theory (see, e.g., [58, Thm. 19.3]). So  $\text{rdz}(M \mid \theta) = \emptyset$  which implies that  $\text{rdz}(G \mid \theta) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

A consequence of Lemma 2.1 is that  $\text{dz}(G) \neq \emptyset$  occurs only when  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

A ( $p$ -)weight of a finite group  $G$  means a pair  $(R, \varphi)$  where  $R$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/R)$  (i.e.,  $\varphi \in \text{rdz}(N_G(R) \mid 1_R)$ ). We often identify characters in  $\text{Irr}(N_G(R)/R)$  with their inflations to  $N_G(R)$ . Denote the conjugacy class containing  $(R, \varphi)$  by  $\overline{(R, \varphi)}$ . For a  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  of  $G$ , we call  $R$  a *weight subgroup* of  $G$  if  $R$  provides a weight  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $G$ . By Lemma 2.1, weight subgroups are necessarily radical subgroups.

Each weight may be assigned to a unique block. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$ . A weight  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $G$  is called a *B-weight* if  $b^G = B$ , where  $b$  is the block of  $N_G(R)$  containing the character  $\varphi$  and  $b^G$  denotes the Brauer induced block of  $b$ . If a  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  provides a  $B$ -weight of  $G$ , then  $R$  is called a *B-weight subgroup* of  $G$ . Denote the set of conjugacy classes of  $B$ -weights of  $G$  by  $\text{Alp}(B)$ . The blockwise version of Alperin weight conjecture (cf. [1]) then reads as follows.

$$|\text{IBr}(B)| = |\text{Alp}(B)| \text{ for every } p\text{-block } B \text{ of every finite group.}$$

Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  be finite groups. In [29, §2], Brough and Späth defined a relationship “cover” for the weights between  $G$  and  $N$  and established Clifford theory for weights. Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a weight of  $G$  and  $(S, \psi)$  a weight of  $N$ , then we say  $\overline{(R, \varphi)}$  covers  $\overline{(S, \psi)}$  if  $(R, \varphi)$  covers  $(S, \psi)^g$  for some  $g \in G$ . Denote by  $\text{Alp}(N \mid \overline{(R, \varphi)})$  the elements of  $\text{Alp}(N)$  covered by  $\overline{(R, \varphi)}$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite group. A *Brauer pair* of  $G$  is a pair  $(R, b)$  where  $R$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $b$  is a block of  $Z_G(R)$ . A Brauer pair  $(R, b)$  is called *self-centralizing* if  $Z(R)$  is the defect group of the block  $b$ . The set of Brauer pairs of  $G$  is partially ordered; we can define the inclusion relation “ $\leq$ ” on the set of Brauer pairs (see, for example, [88, §18 and §41]). Let  $B$  be a block of  $G$ . Then a Brauer pair  $(R, b)$  is called a *B-Brauer pair* of  $G$  if  $(1, B) \leq (R, b)$ .

By Clifford theory, weights can be constructed in the following manner (see for instance [1, p. 373–374] or [3, p. 3]).

**Construction 2.2.** Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of a finite group  $G$ . For a radical  $p$ -subgroup  $R$  of  $G$  and a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b)$ , we denote by  $\theta$  the canonical character of  $b$ . As  $\theta$  can be regarded as a character of  $Z_G(R)/Z(R) \cong RZ_G(R)/R$ , we denote the inflation of  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(RZ_G(R)/R)$  to  $RZ_G(R)$  by  $\vartheta$ . Then for every  $\phi \in \text{rdz}(N_G(R, b) \mid \vartheta)$ , the pair  $(R, \text{Ind}_{N_G(R, b)}^{N_G(R)}(\phi))$  is a  $B$ -weight of  $G$ . As a consequence of the Clifford correspondence, distinct characters  $\phi$  yield distinct weights. A complete set of representatives of the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $B$ -weights of  $G$  are then obtained by letting  $(R, b)$  run through a complete set of representatives of the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pairs of  $G$  such that  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and for each such  $(R, b)$  letting  $\phi$  run through  $\text{rdz}(N_G(R, b) \mid \vartheta)$ .

Let  $B_0(G)$  denote the principal  $p$ -block of  $G$ . A  $B_0(G)$ -weight of  $G$  is also called a *principal  $p$ -weight* of  $G$ , while a  $B_0(G)$ -weight subgroup of  $G$  is called a *principal  $p$ -weight subgroup* of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $R$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(N_G(R))$ . Then  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $G$  if and only if  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_p(Z_G(R))$ ,  $RZ_G(R) \subset \ker(\varphi)$  and  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/RZ_G(R))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(Z_G(R) \mid \varphi)$  and  $b$  be the  $p$ -block of  $Z_G(R)$  containing  $\theta$ .

First assume that  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_p(Z_G(R))$ ,  $RZ_G(R) \subset \ker(\varphi)$  and  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/RZ_G(R))$ . Then  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/R)$ ,  $\theta = 1_{Z_G(R)}$  and  $b$  is the principal  $p$ -block of  $Z_G(R)$ . So  $(R, b)$  is a  $B_0(G)$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  by Brauer's Third Main Theorem (see e.g. [71, Thm. (6.7)]), which implies that  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $G$ .

Conversely, we suppose that  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $G$ . Then  $(R, b)$  is a self-centralizing  $B_0(G)$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  and  $\theta$  is the canonical character of  $b$ . In addition, Brauer's Third Main Theorem implies that  $b$  is the principal block of  $Z_G(R)$ . Therefore,  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_p(Z_G(R))$ ,  $\theta = 1_{Z_G(R)}$ , and thus  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/RZ_G(R))$ .  $\square$

**2.II. Properties of radical subgroups and weight subgroups.** For a finite group  $G$  and a prime  $p$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_p(G)$  the set of radical  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , and by  $\mathfrak{R}_w(G)$  the set of  $p$ -weight subgroups of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be finite groups. If  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then it is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  whenever  $H \supset N_G(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N_G(R) \subset H \subset G$ , we have  $R \subset N_H(R) = N_G(R)$ . Then,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  as well.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** ([75, Lemma 2.1]). *If  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$ , then  $O_p(G) \subset R$ .*

**Lemma 2.6** ([75, Lemma 2.1], [42, Lemma 2.2] and [29, Corollary 2.12]). *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the map*

$$R \mapsto R \cap H$$

*gives a surjection  $\mathfrak{R}_p(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_p(H)$ . Moreover, this also gives a surjection  $\mathfrak{R}_w(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_w(H)$ .*

**Lemma 2.7** ([75, Lemma 2.2] and [74, Lemma 2.3]). *If  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ , then the map  $(R_1, R_2) \mapsto R_1 \times R_2$  gives a bijection  $\mathfrak{R}_p(G_1) \times \mathfrak{R}_p(G_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_p(G)$ .*

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose that  $N$  is a nilpotent normal subgroup of a finite group  $G$  such that  $O_{p'}(N) \leq Z(G)$ . Then the map  $R \mapsto RN/N$  gives a bijection  $\mathfrak{R}_p(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_p(G/N)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $N_p$  of  $N$ . Since  $N$  is nilpotent, then  $N_p$  is a normal subgroup of  $N$ . By considering the surjections  $G \rightarrow G/N_p$  and  $G/N_p \rightarrow G/N$  separately, we may assume that  $N$  is a  $p$ -subgroup or a central  $p'$ -subgroup.

Suppose that  $N$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By Lemma 2.5, all  $R \in \mathfrak{K}_p(G)$  contain  $N$ . Then, the conclusion is clear.

Suppose that  $N$  is a central  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then, the map  $R \mapsto RN/N$  gives a bijection between the set of  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  and the set of  $p$ -subgroups of  $G/N$ . Now let  $R$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and put  $R' = RN/N \subset G/N$ . It suffices to show that:

$$R \in \mathfrak{K}_p(G) \Leftrightarrow R' \in \mathfrak{K}_p(G/N).$$

This follows from the statement  $N_G(RN) = N_G(R)$ .  $\square$

We mention that Lemma 2.8 is also proved in [37, Prop. 2.7] when  $N$  is a  $p$ -group, and prove in [74, Lemma 2.3 (c)] when  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . If  $A$  is a subgroup of  $Z(R)$  which is stable under the conjugation action of all elements  $n \in N_G(R)$ , then  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of both  $N_G(A)$  and  $Z_G(A)$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have  $N_G(R) \subset N_G(A)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(A)$ . We have  $R \subset Z_G(A)$  and  $Z_G(A)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_G(A)$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $R$  is also a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z_G(A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $R$  and is normalized by  $N_G(R)$ . Then,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N_G(R)$  normalizes  $H$  and  $R$ , then it normalizes  $N_H(R)$ . Thus,  $O_p(N_H(R))$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_G(R)$ . Hence,  $O_p(N_H(R)) \subset O_p(N_G(R))$ . As  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , we have  $O_p(N_G(R)) = R$ . Therefore,

$$R \subset O_p(N_H(R)) \subset O_p(N_G(R)) = R.$$

Hence,  $O_p(N_H(R)) = R$  and  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ .  $\square$

Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}_{2^c}$  has an elementary abelian 2-subgroup  $A_c$  generated by the permutations

$$x \mapsto x + 2^{i-1} \pmod{2^c}, \quad 1 \leq x \leq 2^c$$

( $1 \leq i \leq c$ ).

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $G = H^{2^c} \rtimes A_c = H \wr A_c$  and  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  meeting with all cosets of  $H^{2^c}$  in  $G$ . Then  $R$  is conjugate to some  $R'^{2^c} \rtimes A_c$ , where  $R' \in \mathfrak{K}_2(H)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.6,  $R \cap H^{2^c} \in \mathfrak{K}_2(H^{2^c})$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $R \cap (H^{2^c}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 2^c} R_i$ , where each  $R_i \in \mathfrak{K}_2(H)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 2^c$ ). As it is assumed that  $R$  intersects with each coset of  $H^{2^c}$  in  $G$ , such  $R_i$  must be pari-wise conjugate. Replacing  $R$  by a conjugate one if necessary, we assume that all  $R_i = R'$  where  $R' \in \mathfrak{K}_2(H)$ . Then,

$$R \subset N_G(R'^{2^c}) = (N_H(R')^{2^c}) \rtimes A_c,$$

$R \cap N_H(R')^{2^c} = R'^{2^c}$  and  $R/R'^{2^c} \cong A_c$ . Considering  $R/R'^{2^c}$  as a subgroup of  $((N_H(R')/R')^{2^c}) \rtimes A_c$ , one can show that it is conjugate to  $A_c$ . Then,  $R$  is conjugate to  $R'^{2^c} \rtimes A_c$ .  $\square$

The above lemma still holds while  $A_c$  is substituted by any other transitive abelian 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_{2^c}$ . If we consider radical  $p$ -subgroups, it holds true if  $2^c$  is substituted by  $p^c$  and  $A_c$  is substituted by a transitive abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_{p^c}$ . The same proof is valid for these slight generalization.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Put  $D = Z_G(R)$ . Then,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z_G(D)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $N_G(R) \subset N_G(D)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(D)$ . We have  $R \subset Z_G(D)$  and  $Z_G(D)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_G(D)$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z_G(D)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $R$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  with  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_p(Z_G(R))$ . If  $O_p(N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)) = 1$ , then  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is not radical, which means  $R \subsetneq O_p(N_G(R))$ . By  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_p(Z_G(R))$ , one has  $RZ_G(R) \subsetneq O_p(N_G(R))Z_G(R)$ , that implies that  $O_p(N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)) \neq 1$ . This is a contradiction and thus  $R$  must be radical.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $(R, \varphi)$  is a  $p$ -weight of  $G$ , then  $N \subset R$ . The character  $\varphi$  gives naturally a character  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $N_G(R)/N$ . Then the map  $(R, \varphi) \rightarrow (R/N, \bar{\varphi})$  induces a bijection  $\text{Alp}(G) \rightarrow \text{Alp}(G/N)$ .*

*In particular, if  $N$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z(G)$ , then this bijection also preserves blocks.*

*Proof.* This follows by Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $B$  a block of  $G$ . If  $R$  is a  $B$ -weight subgroup of  $G$ , then there exists a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  such that  $Z(D) \subset Z(R) \subset R \subset D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight of  $G$ ,  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(Z_G(R) \mid \varphi)$  and  $b$  be the block of  $Z_G(R)$  containing  $\theta$ . Then  $b$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$ . Following [71, Thm. (9.24)], one sees that there exists a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  such that  $Z(D) \subset Z(R) \subset R \subset D$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.16.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $R$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Suppose that  $N_H(R) \trianglelefteq N_G(R)$ . If  $R$  is a  $p$ -weight subgroup of  $G$ , then it is also a  $p$ -weight subgroup of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.17.** Keep the hypothesis and setup of Lemma 2.16. If, moreover,  $R$  is a principal weight subgroup of  $G$ , then it is also a principal weight subgroup of  $H$ . In fact, in the proof of Lemma 2.16, we have that  $\varphi$  lie in the principal block of  $N_G(R)$  by Brauer's Third Main Theorem. From this  $\phi$  lies in the principal block of  $N_H(R)$ , which implies that  $(R, \phi)$  is a principal weight of  $H$ .

**Remark 2.18.** Let  $R$  be a principal weight subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that  $B$  is a subgroup of  $Z(R)$  such that  $B \trianglelefteq N_G(Z(R))$ . Write  $H = Z_G(B)$ . Then  $N_H(R) \trianglelefteq N_G(R)$ , and by Remark 2.17,  $R$  is also a principal weight subgroup of  $H$ .

**Remark 2.19.** Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a finite group, and  $G$  be a normal subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $\tilde{G}/G$  is solvable. If  $R$  is a principal weight subgroup of  $G$ , then there exists a principal weight subgroup  $\tilde{R}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $\tilde{R} \cap G = R$ . In fact, by induction we may assume that  $\tilde{G}/G$  is cyclic. Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a principal weight of  $G$  and let  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  be a  $p$ -weight of  $\tilde{G}$  covering  $(R, \varphi)$ . Suppose that  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is a  $\tilde{B}$ -weight of  $\tilde{G}$ , where  $\tilde{B}$  is a block of  $\tilde{G}$ . Then by [64, Lemma 2.3],  $\tilde{B}$  covers  $B_0(G)$ . So  $B_0(\tilde{G}) = \lambda \otimes \tilde{B}$ , where  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{G}/G)$  is of  $p'$ -order, and thus  $(\tilde{R}, \text{Res}_{N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})}^{\tilde{G}}(\lambda)\tilde{\varphi})$  is a principal weight of  $\tilde{G}$  covering  $(R, \varphi)$  by [29, Lemma 2.15]. Thus the map  $\tilde{R} \mapsto \tilde{R} \cap G$  gives a surjection from the principal weight subgroups of  $\tilde{G}$  onto the principal weight subgroups of  $G$ .

For arbitrary group  $X$  and a subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $[X/Y]$  a complete set of representatives of left  $Y$ -cosets in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.20.** *Suppose that  $G \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}$  are finite groups, and  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is a  $p$ -weight of  $\tilde{G}$ . Let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{G})$  such that  $G$  is  $A$ -stable and  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is  $A$ -invariant. Assume further*

that there exists an  $A$ -invariant element in  $\text{Irr}(N_G(\tilde{R}) \mid \tilde{\varphi})$ . Then there exists an  $A$ -invariant element in  $\text{Alp}(G \mid \overline{(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})})$ .

If moreover  $A$  acts trivially on  $\tilde{G}/G$ , then every element of  $\text{Alp}(G \mid \overline{(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})})$  is  $A$ -invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $(R, \varphi_0)$  be a weight of  $G$  covered by  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$ . The Dade–Glauberman–Nagao correspondence (cf. [73, §5]) induces a bijection

$$\Phi: \{\varphi_0^g \mid g \in [N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})N_G(R)/N_{\tilde{G}}(R)_{\varphi_0}]\} \rightarrow \text{Irr}(N_G(\tilde{R}) \mid \tilde{\varphi}).$$

By the equivariance of the Dade–Glauberman–Nagao correspondence, there exists an  $A$ -invariant element  $\varphi$  of the  $N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})$ -orbit containing  $\varphi_0$ . Thus  $(R, \varphi)$  is an  $A$ -invariant weight of  $G$  covered by  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$ . By [29, Lemma 2.7], the elements in  $\text{Alp}(G \mid \overline{(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})})$  form a  $\tilde{G}$ -orbit. If  $A$  acts trivially on  $\tilde{G}/G$ , then every element of  $\text{Alp}(G \mid \overline{(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})})$  is  $A$ -invariant.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.21.** *Suppose that  $G \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}$  are finite groups such that  $\tilde{G}/G$  is a nilpotent group. Let  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  be a weight of  $\tilde{G}$ , and let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a weight of  $G$  covered by  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  so that  $R = \tilde{R} \cap G$ . Then  $N_G(R)\tilde{R} \trianglelefteq N_{\tilde{G}}(R)_{\varphi}$ , and  $N_{\tilde{G}}(R)_{\varphi}/N_G(R)\tilde{R}$  is a  $p'$ -group.*

*Proof.* Write  $N = N_G(R)$ ,  $\tilde{N} = N_{\tilde{G}}(R)_{\varphi}$  and  $M = \tilde{R}N$ . Then  $M \subset \tilde{N}$ , and  $\tilde{R}/R$  is a defect group of the unique block of  $M/R$  covering the block of  $N/R$  containing  $\varphi$ . Suppose that  $\varphi' \in \text{Irr}(N_{N/R}(\tilde{R}/R))$  is the Dade–Glauberman–Nagao correspondent of  $\varphi$ . By [82, Thm. 3.8] or [29, Thm. 2.10], there exists an  $N_G(M)$ -invariant extension  $\hat{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  to  $M/R$  and a bijection

$$\text{rdz}(N_{\tilde{N}}(M) \mid \hat{\varphi}) \rightarrow \text{rdz}(N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})/\tilde{R} \mid \varphi').$$

Note that  $\text{rdz}(N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})/\tilde{R} \mid \varphi') \subset \text{dz}(N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})/\tilde{R})$ . Since  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  covers  $(R, \varphi)$ , we have  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{rdz}(N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{R})/\tilde{R} \mid \varphi')$  by construction, which implies that  $\text{rdz}(N_{\tilde{N}}(M) \mid \hat{\varphi}) \neq \emptyset$ . According to Lemma 2.1, we see  $O_p(N_{\tilde{N}}(M)/M) = 1$ . If  $p$  divides the order of  $N_{\tilde{N}}(M)/M$ , then  $O_p(N_{\tilde{N}}(M)/M) \neq 1$  since  $\tilde{G}/G$  is nilpotent. So

$$N_{\tilde{N}}(M)/M \cong N_{\tilde{N}/N}(M/N)/(M/N)$$

is a  $p'$ -group, that is,  $M/N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_{\tilde{N}/N}(M/N)$ . However, this forces that  $M/N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\tilde{N}/N$ . Hence by the nilpotency again,  $M/N \trianglelefteq \tilde{N}/N$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.22.** *Suppose that  $G \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}$  are finite groups such that  $\tilde{G}/G$  is a  $p$ -group. Let  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$  be a  $p$ -weight of  $\tilde{G}$ , and let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a weight of  $G$  covered by  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})$ .*

- (1)  $N_{\tilde{G}}(R)_{\varphi} = N_G(R)\tilde{R}$  and  $\varphi$  extends to  $N_{\tilde{G}}(R)_{\varphi}$ .
- (2)  $|\text{Alp}(G \mid \overline{(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})})| = |\tilde{G}|/|\tilde{R}G|$ . Precisely,

$$\text{Alp}(G \mid \overline{(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\varphi})}) = \{ \overline{(R, \varphi^x)^g} \mid x \in [N_{\tilde{G}}(R)/N_G(R)\tilde{R}], g \in [\tilde{G}/N_{\tilde{G}}(R)G] \}.$$

*Proof.* This follows by Lemma 2.21 and [29, Lemma 2.7 and Thm. 2.10].  $\square$

### 3. RADICAL $p$ -SUBGROUPS OF CLASSICAL GROUPS

Radical subgroups of most of classical groups were classified by Alperin–Fong and An ([3–6, 8]) in the early 1990s. Using our strategy, we give a uniform proof of this classification in this section. As there are errors in the list of radical 2-subgroups and weight 2-subgroups in [5], our proof here also provides some corrections to literatures (see Remark 3.36, Proposition 3.48 and its proof, and Remark 3.49).

When  $p$  is odd and  $q$  is a power of 2, the classification of radical  $p$ -subgroups of classical groups over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is missing in literatures; the results of [8] are all under the assumption that  $q$  is even. In §3.II.4, our result (Theorem 3.40) contains this case, and in this way, we complete the classification of radical subgroups for classical groups.

In this section, we classify radical  $p$ -subgroups of classical groups for all primes  $p$ . We emphasize more on the case of  $p = 2$  for two reasons: first, the method of classification while  $p = 2$  can be adapted to treat all primes, and odd primes case is even easier than the prime 2 case; second, in later sections of the paper which verify the iBAW condition for groups of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_n$ ,  ${}^2\mathbf{D}_n$  and the prime 2, it requires detailed knowledge of radical 2-subgroups.

**3.I. Radical  $p$ -subgroups of general linear and unitary groups.** First we give some notation for the case  $p = 2$  and consider the radical 2-subgroups of general linear and unitary groups.

**Notation.** Let  $D_8$  (resp.  $Q_8$ ) be the dihedral group (resp. quaternion group) of order 8. Let  $\gamma \geq 1$  be an integer. Denote by  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  the extraspecial 2-group of order  $2^{2\gamma+1}$  with type  $\eta \in \{+, -\}$ . Note that  $E_+^{2\gamma+1} \cong D_8 \circ_2 D_8 \circ_2 \cdots \circ_2 D_8$  is a central product of  $D_8$  ( $\gamma$  times), while  $E_-^{2\gamma+1} \cong D_8 \circ_2 D_8 \circ_2 \cdots \circ_2 D_8 \circ_2 Q_8$  is a central product of  $D_8$  ( $\gamma - 1$  times) and  $Q_8$  (once). Define the semidihedral group  $S_{2^\beta}$  (with  $\beta \geq 4$ ), dihedral group  $D_{2^\beta}$  (with  $\beta \geq 3$ ) and generalized quaternion group  $Q_{2^\beta}$  (with  $\beta \geq 3$ ) by generator relations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2^\beta} &= \langle x, y : x^{2^{\beta-1}} = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{2^{\beta-2}-1}, y^2 = 1 \rangle; \\ D_{2^\beta} &= \langle x, y : x^{2^{\beta-1}} = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}, y^2 = 1 \rangle; \\ Q_{2^\beta} &= \langle x, y : x^{2^{\beta-1}} = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}, y^2 = x^{2^{\beta-2}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p = 2$  and fix an odd prime power  $q$ . Write

$$\varepsilon = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

Then,  $4|q - \varepsilon$ . Put

$$a = v_2(q - \varepsilon) = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 \geq 2.$$

Many groups considered in this paper are finite groups of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For this reason, we often omit the coefficients field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . That is to say, when no coefficients field is written,  $\mathbf{F}_4$ ,  $\mathbf{Spin}_{8,+}$ ,  $\mathbf{O}_{8,+}$ ,  $\mathbf{\Omega}_{8,+}$ , etc mean  $\mathbf{F}_4(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $\mathbf{Spin}_{8,+}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $\mathbf{O}_{8,+}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $\mathbf{\Omega}_{8,+}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  respectively.

For a matrix  $X \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q^s)$ , write  $F_q(X) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q^s)$  for the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is  $q$ -th power of the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $X$ . We know that

$$\underbrace{F_q \circ \cdots \circ F_q}_s(X) = X, \quad \forall X \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q^s).$$

Let  $X^{tr}$  denote the transposition of a matrix  $X$ . Let  $I_n$  denote the identity matrix of degree  $n$ . Let

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & \\ & I_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_n = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{pmatrix}, \quad J'_n = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ I_n & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)$  with  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Here  $\mathrm{GL}_n(-q)$  denotes the general unitary group

$$\mathrm{GU}_n(q) = \{M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q^2) \mid F_q(M) = (M^{-1})^{tr}\}.$$

Write  $\bar{G} = G/Z(G)$  and let

$$\pi : G \rightarrow \bar{G}$$

be the projection map.

We first classify radical 2-subgroups. Assume that  $q$  is coprime to 2. Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Define

$$A(R) = R \cap \pi^{-1}(\Omega_1(Z(\pi(R)))).$$

It is clear that

$$A(R)/(A(R) \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q))) = \Omega_1(Z(\pi(R)))$$

and

$$A(R) \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)) = R \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)) = Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q))_2 = \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 I_n.$$

Note that  $\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$  is a cyclic group of order  $2^{v_2(q-\epsilon)}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *An element  $X$  of  $R$  is contained in  $A(R)$  if and only if*

$$YXY^{-1} = \pm X, \quad \forall Y \in R$$

and  $X^2 \in \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$ .

*Proof.* Necessity. Assume that  $X \in A(R)$ . Since  $\pi(X) \in \Omega_1(Z(\pi(R)))$ , we have  $\pi(X)^2 = 1$  and  $\pi(X) \in Z(\pi(R))$ . Thus,  $X^2 = t'I$  for some  $t' \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ ; for any  $Y \in R$ ,  $YXY^{-1} = tX$  for some  $t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ . Since  $X \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$ , we must have  $t' \in \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ . Moreover, as the order of  $X$  is a power of 2, we have  $t' \in \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$ . From

$$t^2 t' I = (tX)^2 = (YXY^{-1})^2 = YX^2 Y^{-2} = t' I,$$

we get  $t = \pm 1$ .

The sufficiency is clear. □

By Lemma 3.1, define a pairing  $m : A(R) \times R \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$m(X, Y) = t, \quad \forall (X, Y) \in A(R) \times R,$$

where  $YXY^{-1}X^{-1} = tI$ . The following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any  $X, X' \in A(R)$  and  $Y, Y' \in R$ , we have*

$$m(XX', Y) = m(X, Y)m(X', Y)$$

and

$$m(X, YY') = m(X, Y)m(X, Y').$$

By Lemma 3.1 again, define a map  $\mu : A(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$\mu(X) = t'^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}}, \quad \forall X \in A(R),$$

where  $X^2 = t'I$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any  $X, X' \in A(R)$ , we have*

$$\mu(XX') = \mu(X)\mu(X')m(X, X')^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $X^2 = tI$ ,  $X'^2 = t'I$ ,  $XX'X^{-1}X'^{-1} = \lambda I$ , where  $t, t' \in \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$  and  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . Then,

$$(XX')^2 = X^2 X'^2 (X' X X'^{-1} X^{-1}) = tt' \lambda I.$$

Thus,

$$\mu(XX') = (tt' \lambda)^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}} = t^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}} t'^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}} = \mu(X)\mu(X')m(X, X')^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{2}}.$$

□

Define

$$A_1(R) = \{X \in A(R) : m(X, Y) = 1, \forall Y \in R\} = A(R) \cap Z(R)$$

and

$$A_2(R) = \{X \in A(R) : m(X, Y) = 1, \forall Y \in A\} = Z(A(R)).$$

Note that  $\mu : A_2(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a group homomorphism. Define

$$A'_i(R) = \{X \in A_i(R) : \mu(X) = 1\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We also note that  $m$  descends to a non-degenerate pairing

$$m : A(R)/A_2(R) \times A(R)/A_2(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

### 3.I.1. The $A'_1$ reduction: direct product.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$ .*

- (1) *The subgroup  $A'_1(R)$  is diagonalizable and any element  $X \in A'_1(R)$  is conjugate to some  $tI_{p,n-p}$ , where  $t \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$  and  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $A'_1 = A'_1(R)$  is diagonal. Then,*

$$Z_G(A'_1) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q),$$

where  $(n_1, \dots, n_s)$  is a partition of  $n$ .

- (3)  *$R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A'_1)$ . Write  $R_i$  for the intersection of  $R$  with the  $i$ -th factor  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q)$ . Then,*

$$R = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} R_i$$

with each  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q)$ .

- (4) *For each  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ), we have  $A'_1(R_i) \subset Z(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q))$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By the definition of  $A'_1(R)$ , we have  $X^2 = t'I$  where  $t' \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$ . Choose  $t \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$  such that  $t^2 = t'$ . Then,  $(t^{-1}X)^2 = I$ . Thus,  $t^{-1}X \sim I_{p,n-p}$  where  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Moreover,  $A'_1(R)$  is an abelian subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$ . Thus, it is diagonalizable.

(2) It follows from (1) trivially.

(3) By the definition of  $A'_1$ , it follows that  $A'_1 \subset Z(R)$  and it is stable under the adjoint action of  $N_G(R)$ . Then,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A'_1)$  by Lemma 2.9. By Lemma 2.7, each  $R_i$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q)$  and  $R = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} R_i$ .

(4) Suppose that  $A'_1(R_i) \not\subset Z(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q))$ . Then,  $A'_1(R_i)$  contains an element conjugate to  $I_{p,n_i-p}$  where  $1 \leq p \leq n_i - 1$ . By this,  $A_1(R)$  contains an element conjugate to  $I_{p,n-p}$ . This contradicts with the given form of  $Z_G(A'_1)$  in (2).  $\square$

By Lemma 3.4, consider  $R_i \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\epsilon q)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) instead. It reduces to classify radical 2-subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  such that  $A'_1(R) \subset Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q))$ .

### 3.I.2. The $A'_2$ reduction: wreath product. Let

$$Z_G(A'_2/A'_1) = Z_G(A'_1) \cap \{Y \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X, \forall X \in A'_2(R)\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  such that  $A'_1(R) \subset Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q))$ .*

- (1) *The subgroup  $A'_2(R)$  is diagonalizable and any coset in  $A'_2(R)/A'_1(R)$  contains an element conjugate to  $I_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $A'_2(R)$  is diagonal and write  $c = \log_2 |A'_2/A'_1|$ . Then,*

$$Z_G(A'_2/A'_1) = \mathrm{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q)^{2^c} \rtimes (Z_2)^c,$$

where  $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q)^{2^c} = Z_G(A'_2)$  and  $(Z_2)^c$  acts on  $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q)^{2^c}$  by permutations.

- (3)  *$R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A'_2/A'_1)$  and  $R$  is conjugate to some*

$$R' \wr (Z_2)^c = R'^{2^c} \rtimes (Z_2)^c,$$

where  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q)$ .

- (4) *We have  $A'_1(R') \subset Z(\mathrm{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q))$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Any coset in  $A'_2/A'_1$  contains an element  $X$  with  $X^2 = I$  and  $YXY^{-1} = -X$  for some  $Y \in R$ . Then,  $X \sim I_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ . By the definition of  $m$  and  $A'_2$ , the subgroup  $A'_2$  is abelian. Thus,  $A'_2$  is diagonalizable.

(2) It follows from (1) trivially.

(3) Since  $N_G(R)$  normalizes  $A'_1$  and  $A'_2$ , then it normalizes  $Z_G(A'_2/A'_1)$ . By Lemma 2.10,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A'_2/A'_1)$ . For any element  $X \in A'_2 - A'_1$ , there must exist  $Y \in R$

such that  $m(X, Y) = -1$ . Then,  $R$  intersects with each coset of  $Z_G(A'_2)$  in  $Z_G(A'_2/A'_1)$ . By Lemma 2.11,  $R$  is conjugate to  $R' \wr (Z_2)^c$ , where  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q)$ .

(4) It is clear that  $\Delta(A'_1(R')) \subset A'_1(R)$ , where  $\Delta : \text{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  is the diagonal map. Since it is assumed that  $A'_1(R) \subset Z(\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q))$ , we get  $A'_1(R') \subset Z(\text{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q))$ .  $\square$

However, it is not necessarily that  $A'_2(R') \subset Z(\text{GL}_{n/2^c}(\epsilon q))$  in the above conclusion. We need to take a successive process of wreath products  $R_0 \wr (Z_2)^{c_1} \wr \cdots \wr (Z_2)^{c_1}$  so as to reach a radical 2-subgroup  $R_0$  of  $\text{GL}_{n/2^{c_1+\cdots+c_1}}(\epsilon q)$  with  $A'_2(R_0) \subset Z(\text{GL}_{n/2^{c_1+\cdots+c_1}}(\epsilon q))$ . By Lemma 3.5, it reduces to classify radical 2-subgroups of  $\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  such that  $A'_2(R) \subset Z(\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q))$ .

**3.I.3. Radical 2-subgroups with trivial  $A'_2$ .** Suppose that  $A'_1(R) = A'_2(R) = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$ . Then, there are three possibilities for  $A_1 = A_1(R)$  and  $A_2 = A_2(R)$ :

- (1)  $A_1/A'_1 = A_2/A'_2 = 1$ ;
- (2)  $A_1/A'_1 = 1$  and  $A_2/A'_2 \cong Z_2$ ;
- (3)  $A_1/A'_1 = A_2/A'_2 \cong Z_2$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $n$  be an even positive integer and  $\delta \in \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$  be a given element. Let  $X, Y \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  be two matrices such that  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = -I$ ,  $X^2 = I$ ,  $Y^2 = \delta I$ .*

(1) We have

$$(X, Y) \sim \left( \begin{pmatrix} -I_{n/2} & \\ & I_{n/2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ \delta I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

(2) We have

$$Z_{\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)}(\{X, Y\}) \cong \text{GL}_{n/2}(\epsilon q).$$

*Proof.* (1) Since  $X^2 = I$ , then  $X \sim \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & \\ & I_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $-X = YXY^{-1} \sim X$ , we must have  $p = \frac{n}{2}$ . Assume that  $X = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & \\ & I_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$  for simplicity. Since  $YXY^{-1} = -X$ , we have

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} & Y_1 \\ Y_2 & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \text{GL}_{n/2}(\epsilon q)$ . Taking conjugation if necessary, we may assume that  $Y_1 = I$ . Then,  $\delta I = Y^2 = \text{diag}\{Y_2, Y_2\}$ . Thus,  $Y_2 = \delta I_{n/2}$ .

(2) This is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $q'$  be a prime power,  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ . Then there exist elements  $b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_{q'^2}$  such that  $b^2 + b'^2 = \lambda$ ,  $b^{q'} = \epsilon b$  and  $b'^{q'} = \epsilon b'$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\epsilon = -1$  and  $q'$  is odd, as it is well-known when  $\epsilon = 1$  or  $q'$  is even. Let  $Q = \{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{q'^2}, x^{q'} = -x\}$ . Then  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ , and for any  $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q'}$  one has that  $y \in Q$  if and only if  $y = 0$  or  $y$  is a non-square. Thus  $|Q| = \frac{q'+1}{2}$ , and so  $Q$  and  $\{\lambda - y \mid y \in Q\}$  intersect. This clearly forces that there exist elements  $b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_{q'^2}$  such that  $b^2 + b'^2 = \lambda$ ,  $b^{q'} = -b$  and  $b'^{q'} = -b'$ .  $\square$

Choose  $b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_{q'^2}$  such that  $b^2 + b'^2 = -1$ ,  $b^{q'} = \epsilon b$ ,  $b'^{q'} = \epsilon b$  and  $b \neq 0$ . In particular, if  $\epsilon = 1$ , then  $b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_{q'}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose that  $4 \mid q + \epsilon$ . Let  $n$  be an even positive integer. Let  $X, Y \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  be two matrices such that  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = -I$ ,  $X^2 = Y^2 = -I$ .*

(1) We have

$$(X, Y) \sim \left( \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} bI_{n/2} & b'I_{n/2} \\ b'I_{n/2} & -bI_{n/2} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

(2) We have

$$Z_{\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)}(\{X, Y\}) \cong \text{GL}_{n/2}(\epsilon q).$$

*Proof.* Write

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} bI_{n/2} & b'I_{n/2} \\ b'I_{n/2} & -bI_{n/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,  $X_1, Y_1 \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$ ,  $X_1 Y_1 X_1^{-1} Y_1^{-1} = -I$  and  $X_1^2 = Y_1^2 = -I$ . Embed  $\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  into  $\text{GL}_n(q^2)$ . Choose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $\lambda^2 = -1$ . Then,  $(\lambda X)^2 = (\lambda Y)^2 = I$ . By Lemma 3.6, there exists a unique conjugacy class of such pairs  $(\lambda X, \lambda Y)$  in  $\text{GL}_n(q^2)$ , so does for such pairs  $(X, Y)$ . Then, there exists  $g \in \text{GL}_n(q^2)$  such that  $(X, Y) = (gX_1g^{-1}, gY_1g^{-1})$ . Write  $\sigma$  for the Frobenius automorphism on  $\text{GL}_n(q^2)$  with respect to the field extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$ . Define

$$\sigma'(X) = (\sigma(X)^t)^{-1}, \quad \forall X \in \text{GL}_n(q^2).$$

Then,  $\text{GL}_n(q)$  (resp.  $\text{GL}_n(-q)$ ) is the fixed element subgroup of  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\sigma'$ ). Write  $\tau = \sigma$  (or  $\sigma'$ ) for  $\text{GL}_n(q)$  (or  $\text{GL}_n(-q)$ ). Then,  $(X, Y) = (gX_1g^{-1}, gY_1g^{-1}) \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  is equivalent to:

$$g^{-1}\tau(g) \in Z_{\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)}(\{X_1, Y_1\}) = \Delta(\text{GL}_{n/2}(q^2)).$$

By the Lang–Steinberg theorem ([65], [85], see also [70, Thm. 21.7]), there exists  $h \in \Delta(\text{GL}_{n/2}(\mathbb{F}_q))$  such that

$$g^{-1}\tau(g) = h^{-1}\tau(h).$$

Put  $g' = gh^{-1}$ . Then,  $g' \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  and

$$(X, Y) = (gX_1g^{-1}, gY_1g^{-1}) = (g'X_1g'^{-1}, g'Y_1g'^{-1}).$$

This shows the conclusion of this lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  with*

$$A_1(R) = A_2(R) = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$$

*and write  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 |A(R)/A_2(R)|$ . Then  $R = A(R)$ . Moreover,*

- (i) *if  $4|q - \epsilon$  or  $\gamma \leq 1$ , then there exists a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups for given values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$  (with  $2^\gamma | n$ );*
- (ii) *if  $4|q + \epsilon$  and  $\gamma \geq 2$ , then there are two conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups for given values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$  (with  $2^\gamma | n$ ).*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\gamma = 0$ . Then,  $A(R) = A_1(R) = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$  and  $R/\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{PGL}_n(\epsilon q)$  with  $\Omega_1(R/\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I) = A(R)/\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I = 1$ . Thus,  $R = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$ .

Assume that  $4|q - \epsilon$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ . By Lemma 3.3, the map  $\mu : A(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a homomorphism. Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, one finds elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$  generating  $A(R)/A_2(R)$  and such that:

$$m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) = -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma,$$

$$\mu(x_{2\gamma}) = \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \dots = \mu(x_2) = 1, \quad \delta := \mu(x_1) = \pm 1.$$

Moreover, we could assume that  $x_i^2 = I$  ( $2 \leq i \leq 2\gamma$ ) and  $x_1^2 = \delta I$ . By Lemma 3.6, the conjugacy class of each  $(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})$  ( $2 \leq i \leq \gamma$ ) is determined and the conjugacy class of  $(x_1, x_2)$  is determined by  $\delta$ . Moreover, we always have

$$Z_{\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)}(\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}\}) \sim \Delta(\text{GL}_{n/2}(\epsilon q)).$$

By induction, one shows that  $2^\gamma | n$  and there is a unique conjugacy classes of  $A(R)$  for fixed values of  $n, \gamma, \delta$ . Moreover, we have

$$Z_G(A(R)/A_1(R)) = G' \cdot A(R),$$

where  $G' \cong \text{GL}_{n/2^\gamma}(\epsilon q)$ . Put  $R' = R \cap G'$ . By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.8,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $G'$ . It is easy to show that  $A(R') = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$ . Then, one shows  $R' = A(R') = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I$

by the same argument as in the first paragraph. Thus,  $R = A(R)$ . When  $\delta = -1$ , put  $B = \{x \in A(R) : \mu(x) = 1\}$ . Then,  $B$  is an index 2 subgroup of  $A(R)$  normalized by  $N_G(R)$ . Put

$$S = \{g \in N_G(R) : [g, Z_G(R)] = 1 \text{ and } [g, B] \subset \{\pm I\}\}.$$

Then,  $S$  is a normal 2-subgroup of  $N_G(R)$  containing  $R$  with  $S/R \cong Z_2$ . Thus,  $O_2(N_G(R)) \neq R$  and hence  $R$  is not a radical 2-subgroup. The  $\delta = 1$  case does give a radical 2-subgroup.

Assume that  $4|q + \epsilon$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, one finds elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$  generating  $A(R)/A_2(R)$  and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) &= m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j, \\ m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &= -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \\ \mu(x_{2\gamma}) &= \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \dots = \mu(x_3) = 1, \quad \delta := \mu(x_2) = \mu(x_1) = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, one shows that  $2^\gamma | n$  and there is a unique conjugacy class of  $A(R)$  for fixed values of  $n, \gamma, \delta$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the  $4|q - \epsilon$  case. When  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ , put  $B = \{x \in A(R) : \mu(x) = 1\}$ . Then,  $B$  is an index 2 subgroup of  $A(R)$  normalized by  $N_G(R)$ . Put

$$S = \{g \in N_G(R) : [g, Z_G(R)] = 1 \text{ and } [g, B] \subset \{\pm I\}\}.$$

Then,  $S$  is a normal 2-subgroup of  $N_G(R)$  containing  $R$  with  $S/R \cong Z_2$ . Thus,  $O_2(N_G(R)) \neq R$  and hence  $R$  is not a radical 2-subgroup. When  $\gamma \geq 2$  or  $\epsilon' = -1$ , the resulting subgroup is actually a radical 2-subgroup.  $\square$

Let

$$Z_G(A/A_1') = Z_G(A_1') \cap \{Y \in \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X, \forall X \in A(R)\}.$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  with*

$$A_1(R) = A_1'(R) = A_2'(R) = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(R)/A_2'(R) \cong Z_2$$

*and write  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 |A(R)/A_2(R)|$ . Then  $4|q + \epsilon$  and there is a unique conjugacy class of such  $R$  for fixed values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$  (with  $2^{\gamma+1} | n$ ).*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exists an element  $x_0 \in A_2(R)$  with  $\mu(x_0) = -1$ . Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, one finds elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$  generating  $A(R)/A_2(R)$  and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) &= m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j, \\ m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &= -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \\ \mu(x_{2\gamma}) &= \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \dots = \mu(x_2) = \mu(x_1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We must have

$$x_0 \sim \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ \delta_0 I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\delta_0$  is a generator of  $\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$ . By Lemma 3.6, one shows that  $2^{\gamma+1} | n$  and there is a unique conjugacy classes of  $A(R)$  for fixed values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$ . Moreover, calculating common centralizers of  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma}, y_{2\gamma}$  inductively we get

$$Z_{\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)}(A(R)/A_1'(R)) = G' \cdot D,$$

where

$$D = \langle x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma}, y_{2\gamma} \rangle \subset A(R)$$

and it generates  $A(R)/A_2(R)$ ,  $D \cap A_2(R) = \{\pm I\}$  and  $D/\{\pm I\} \cong (Z_2)^{2\gamma}$ . Moreover,

$$G' \cong \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(q^2) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$$

where  $\tau^2 = 1$  and

$$\tau X \tau^{-1} = \begin{cases} F_q(X) & \text{when } \epsilon = 1; \\ (F_q(X)^t)^{-1} & \text{when } \epsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

Put  $R'' = R \cap G'$ . By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.8,  $R''$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $G'$ . Put  $R' = R'' \cap \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(q^2)$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(q^2)$ . Considering the action of an element  $x \in R'' - R'$  on  $A(R')$ , one shows that  $A(R') = A_2(R')$  and  $A_1(R') = A'_1(R') = A'_2(R') = \text{GL}_1(q^2)I$ . Put  $R_0 = R$ ,  $R_1 = R'$ . Taking induction, we get a sequence of radical 2-subgroups  $R_0, R_1, \dots$ . There must exist  $k \geq 1$  such that  $A_1(R_{k-1})/A'_1(R_{k-1}) = 1$ ,  $A_2(R_{k-1})/A'_2(R_{k-1}) \cong Z_2$ , and  $A_1(R_k)/A'_1(R_k) = A_2(R_k)/A'_2(R_k) = 1$ .

First, suppose that  $k = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0$  for simplicity. We must have  $R' = \text{GL}_1(q^2)_2 I$  and  $R/R' \cong Z_2$ . When  $4|q + \epsilon$ , we have:  $R = \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $R' = \langle x \rangle$ , where  $x^{2^a} = -I$ ,  $yxy^{-1} = x^{\epsilon q} = x^{2^a-1}$ ,  $y^2 = I$ . Embed  $\text{GL}_{n/2}(q^2) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  into  $\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  and identify  $x$  with some matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} aI & bI \\ -bI & aI \end{pmatrix}$ . Using Lemma 3.6 one shows that  $y \sim \tau$ . Such an  $R$  is conjugate to some  $\Delta(\tilde{R})$ , where  $\tilde{R}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ . It is actually a radical 2-subgroup. When  $4|q - \epsilon$ , we have:  $R = \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $R' = \langle x \rangle$ , where  $x^{2^a} = -I$ ,  $yxy^{-1} = x^{\epsilon q} = x^{2^a+1}$ ,  $y^2 = I$ . Again, we have  $y \sim \tau$ . One shows that  $N_G(R)/Z_G(R)R \cong Z_2$  and  $O_2(N_G(R)/R) \cong Z_2$ . Hence,  $R$  is not a 2-radical subgroup.

In general, note that we always have  $4|q^2 - 1$ . By considering  $R_{k-1}$  and  $R_k$ , the above argument shows that  $4|q + \epsilon$  and  $k = 1$ . Moreover, the above argument leads to a unique conjugacy class of radical 2-subgroups with a representative  $R = (\Delta(\tilde{R})) \cdot D$ , where  $\tilde{R}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$  and  $D$  is as in the first paragraph.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$  such that*

$$A'_1(R) = A'_2(R) = \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I \quad \text{and} \quad A_1(R)/A'_1(R) = A_2(R)/A'_2(R) \cong Z_2.$$

*Write  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 |A(R)/A_2(R)|$  and  $|R| = 2^{2\gamma+\alpha+a}$ . Then, there is a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups for given values of  $n, \gamma, \alpha$  (with  $2^{\gamma+\alpha}|n$ ).*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exists an element  $x_0 \in A_1(R)$  with  $\mu(x_0) = -1$ . Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, one finds elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$  generating  $A(R)/A_1(R)$  and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) &= m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j, \\ m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &= -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \\ \mu(x_{2\gamma}) &= \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \dots = \mu(x_2) = \mu(x_1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We must have

$$x_0 \sim \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ \delta_0 I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\delta_0$  is a generator of  $\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2$ . By Lemma 3.6, one shows that  $2^{\gamma+1}|n$  and there is a unique conjugacy classes of  $A(R)$  for fixed values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$ . Moreover, we have

$$Z_{\text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)}(A(R)/A_1(R)) = G' \cdot A(R),$$

where  $G' \cong \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(q^2)$ . Put  $R' = R \cap G'$ . By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.8,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $G'$ . One can show easily that  $A(R') = A_2(R')$  and  $A'_1(R') = A'_2(R') = \text{GL}_1(q^2)_2 I$ . Then,

$$(A_1(R')/A'_1(R'), A_2(R')/A'_2(R')) \cong (1, 1), (1, Z_2) \text{ or } (Z_2, Z_2).$$

In the  $(1, 1)$  case, it reduces to Proposition 3.9. Due to  $4|q^2 - 1$ , by Proposition 3.10 the  $(1, Z_2)$  case can not happen. In the  $(Z_2, Z_2)$  case, one takes further induction. Finally, we obtain a unique conjugacy class of radical 2-subgroups for any given values of  $n, \gamma, \alpha$ .  $\square$

Now we summarize the above construction of radical 2-subgroups of general linear and unitary groups. First, we give embeddings of the groups  $D_8$  and  $Q_8$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$  as follows. The group  $D_8$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ , generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , while the group  $Q_8$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\epsilon q)$ , generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} b & b' \\ b' & -b \end{pmatrix}$ . By taking tensor product, the extraspecial 2-group  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\epsilon q)$  such that its center is embedded into  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\epsilon q))$ . For convenience, we also allow  $\gamma = 0$ . In this case, we interpret  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  as the group of order 2.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . First suppose that  $4 \mid (q - \epsilon)$  or  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Let  $Z_\alpha$  be a cyclic group of order  $2^{a+\alpha}$ . Then  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1} \cong Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_-^{2\gamma+1}$ . Thus we assume that  $\eta = +$ . The group  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}((\epsilon q)^{2^\alpha})$  such that  $Z_\alpha$  is identified with  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}((\epsilon q)^{2^\alpha}))_2$ . Let  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^1$  be the image of  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  under the embedding

$$(3.12) \quad \mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}((\epsilon q)^{2^\alpha}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2^{\alpha+\gamma}}(\epsilon q).$$

Now suppose that  $4 \mid (q + \epsilon)$  and  $\alpha = 0$ .

- (i) Set  $R_{0,0}^1 = \{\pm 1\}$ , the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ .
- (ii) Write  $R_{0,\gamma}^1$  for the image of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\epsilon q)$ . Here we let  $\gamma \geq 2$  for  $\eta = +$  while let  $\gamma \geq 1$  for  $\eta = -$ . Note that here  $R_{0,\gamma}^1$  stands for two different groups since  $\eta = +, -$ . Sometimes we also write it as  $R_{0,\gamma}^{1,\eta}$  to indicate  $\eta$ .
- (iii) We consider the embedding  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\epsilon q)$ ,  $M \mapsto I_{2^{\gamma-1}} \otimes M$ , and denote the image of the Sylow 2-subgroup  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$  under this embedding by  $S_\gamma$  (then  $S_\gamma$  is a semidihedral group of order  $2^{a+2}$ ). Here we let  $\gamma \geq 1$ . From this,  $S_\gamma \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma-1} \cong S_\gamma \circ_2 E_-^{2\gamma-1}$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\epsilon q)$ , and its image is denoted by  $R_{0,\gamma}^2$ .

As above, we have defined  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^i$  with  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Note that  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^2$  is defined only when  $4 \mid (q + \epsilon)$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer. We consider the  $m$ -fold diagonal embedding

$$(3.13) \quad \mathrm{GL}_{2^{\alpha+\gamma}}(\epsilon q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m2^{\alpha+\gamma}}(\epsilon q), \quad g \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(g, g, \dots, g),$$

and write  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i$  for the image of  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^i$  under this embedding.

With above notation, radical 2-subgroups obtained in Proposition 3.9 are as follows ( $m = \frac{n}{2^\gamma}$  below):

- (1) when  $\gamma = 0$ , they are  $R_{m,0,0}^1$ ;
- (2) when  $4 \mid q - \epsilon$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , they are  $R_{m,0,\gamma}^1$ ;
- (3) when  $4 \mid q + \epsilon$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , they are  $R_{m,0,\gamma}^{1,-}$ ;
- (4) when  $4 \mid q + \epsilon$  and  $\gamma \geq 2$ , they are  $R_{m,0,\gamma}^{1,\pm}$ .

Radical 2-subgroup obtained in Proposition 3.10 are  $R_{m,0,\gamma+1}^2$ , where  $m = \frac{n}{2^{\gamma+1}}$ . Radical 2-subgroup obtained in Proposition 3.11 are  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^1$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $m = \frac{n}{2^{\gamma+\alpha}}$ .

Let  $c \geq 0$  be an integer. Denote by  $A_c$  the elementary abelian 2-subgroup of order  $2^c$  in its left regular representation. For a sequence of positive integer  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_t)$ , we define  $A_{\mathbf{c}} = A_{c_1} \wr A_{c_2} \wr \dots \wr A_{c_t}$ . Then  $A_{\mathbf{c}} \leq \mathfrak{S}_{2^{|\mathbf{c}|}}$ , where  $|\mathbf{c}| := c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_t$ . For convenience, we also allow  $\mathbf{c}$  to be  $\emptyset$ .

Put  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i \wr A_{\mathbf{c}}$ . We say that these groups  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i$  are *basic subgroups* of  $\mathrm{GL}_{m2^{\alpha+\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|}}(\epsilon q)$  except when  $4 \mid (q + \epsilon)$ ,  $i = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \gamma = 0$  and  $c_1 = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q) = \mathrm{GL}(V)$  or  $\mathrm{GU}(V)$  with odd  $q$ . If  $R$  is a 2-radical subgroup of  $G$ , then there exists a corresponding decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_u \text{ (for } \epsilon = 1) \text{ or } V = V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_u \text{ (for } \epsilon = -1), \\ R &= R_1 \times \cdots \times R_u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq u$ ) are basic subgroups of  $G_i$ , for  $G_i = \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$  or  $\mathrm{GU}(V_i)$ .

*Proof.* This follows by Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and Propositions 3.9, 3.10, 3.11.  $\square$

3.I.4. *Radical  $p$  ( $> 2$ ) subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$ .* Now we consider radical  $p$  ( $> 2$ ) subgroups. Assume that  $q$  is coprime to 2. Let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Define

$$A(R) = R \cap \pi^{-1}(\Omega_1(Z(\pi(R)))).$$

When  $p \nmid q - \epsilon$ , the map  $R \rightarrow \pi(R)$  is an isomorphism. Then,  $A(R) = \Omega_1(Z(R))$ . Let  $e$  be the order of  $\epsilon q \pmod{p}$ . Then,

$$Z_G(A(R)) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}((\epsilon q)^e) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_f}((\epsilon q)^e) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_0}(\epsilon q),$$

where  $f = \frac{p-1}{e}$  and  $n_0 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq f} en_i = n$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z_G(A(R))$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_f \times R_0$  with  $R_i$  a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}((\epsilon q)^e)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq f$ ) and  $R_0$  a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_0}(\epsilon q)$ . We must have  $R_0 = 1$ . For each  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq f$ ), it reduces to the case that  $p \mid q - \epsilon$ .

When  $p \mid q - \epsilon$ , the treatment is similar to the case of  $p = 2$ . Let

$$Z_0 = \{\lambda \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q) : \lambda^p = 1\}.$$

Define a pairing  $m : A(R) \times R \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$m(X, Y) = t, \quad \forall (X, Y) \in A(R) \times R,$$

where  $YXY^{-1}X^{-1} = tI$ . Define a map  $\mu : A(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$\mu(X) = t^{\frac{q-\epsilon}{p}}, \quad \forall X \in A(R),$$

where  $X^p = t'I$ . Define

$$A_1(R) = \{X \in A(R) : m(X, Y) = 1, \forall Y \in R\} = A(R) \cap Z(R)$$

and

$$A_2(R) = \{X \in A(R) : m(X, Y) = 1, \forall Y \in A\} = Z(A(R)).$$

Note that  $\mu : A_2(R) \rightarrow Z_0$  is a group homomorphism. Define

$$A'_i(R) = \{X \in A_i(R) : \mu(X) = 1\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then,  $m$  descends to a non-degenerate pairing

$$m : A(R)/A_2(R) \times A(R)/A_2(R) \rightarrow Z_0.$$

The  $A'_1$ -reduction and  $A'_2$ -reduction are the same as in the case of  $p = 2$ . After that, it reduces to the case that  $A'_1(R) = A'_2(R) = 1$ . Then, we have similar statement as Propositions 3.9 and 3.11. However, the radical 2-subgroups occurring in Proposition 3.10 have no analogue while  $p > 2$ . A bit different with the proof of Proposition 3.10, the group  $G'$  takes the form

$$G' \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n/p\gamma+1}(\epsilon q^p) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$$

where  $\tau^p = 1$  and  $\tau X \tau^{-1} = F_q(X)$ . In the argument as there, the subgroup  $R_{k-1}$  could not be a radical subgroup while  $p > 2$ .

Radical  $p$ -subgroups could be constructed as follows. Let  $M$  be a group of order  $p^3$  and exponent  $p$ , that is

$$M = \langle x, y, z \mid x^p = y^p = z^p = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1, [x, y] = z \rangle.$$

Then  $M$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_p(\eta q')$  for a sign  $\eta \in \{\pm 1\}$  and any prime power  $q'$  with  $p \mid (q' - \eta)$  by letting  $x = \mathrm{diag}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{p-1})$  and  $y = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ I_{p-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}$ . For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we denote by  $E^{2\gamma+1}$  the extraspecial  $p$ -group of order  $p^{2\gamma+1}$  and exponent  $p$ , that is,  $E^{2\gamma+1} = M \circ_p M \circ \dots \circ_p M$  is a central product of  $M$  ( $\gamma$  times). For convenience, we also allow  $\gamma = 0$ , and in this case we interpret  $E^{2\gamma+1}$  as the group of order  $p$ . By taking tensor product, the group  $E^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_{p^\gamma}(\eta q')$  such that its center is embedded into  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_{p^\gamma}(\eta q'))$ .

Let  $e$  be the multiplicative order of  $\epsilon q$  modulo  $p$ , and let  $a = v_p((\epsilon q)^e - 1)$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $Z_\alpha$  denote the cyclic group of order  $p^{a+\alpha}$ . Then the group  $Z_\alpha \circ_p E^{2\gamma+1}$ , the central product of  $Z_\alpha$  and  $E^{2\gamma+1}$  over  $\Omega_1(Z_\alpha) = Z(E^{2\gamma+1})$ , can be embedded into  $\mathrm{GL}_{p^\gamma}((\epsilon q)^{e p^\alpha})$  such that  $Z_\alpha$  is identical to  $Z(\mathrm{GL}_{p^\gamma}((\epsilon q)^{e p^\alpha}))_p$ . Let  $R_{\alpha, \gamma}$  be the image of  $Z_\alpha \circ_p E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  under the embedding  $\mathrm{GL}_{p^\gamma}((\epsilon q)^{e p^\alpha}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{e p^{a+\gamma}}(\epsilon q)$ . Entirely analogously as the case  $p = 2$ , we can define the *basic subgroups*  $R_{m, \alpha, \gamma, \epsilon}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{m e p^{a+\gamma+|\epsilon|}}(\epsilon q)$ . It follows that the definition and structure of the above group  $R_{m, \alpha, \gamma, \epsilon}$  is just similar as those of the basic 2-subgroup  $R_{m, \alpha, \gamma, \epsilon}^1$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{m 2^{a+\gamma+|\epsilon|}}(\epsilon q)$  for the case  $p = 2$  and  $4 \mid q - \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\epsilon q) = \mathrm{GL}(V)$  or  $\mathrm{GU}(V)$  with  $p \nmid q$ . If  $R$  is a  $p$ -radical subgroup of  $G$ , then there exists a corresponding decomposition*

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_u \text{ (for } \epsilon = 1) \text{ or } V = V_0 \perp V_1 \perp \dots \perp V_u \text{ (for } \epsilon = -1),$$

$$R = R_0 \times R_1 \times \dots \times R_u,$$

where  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq u$ ) are basic subgroups of  $G_i$ , for  $G_i = \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$  or  $\mathrm{GU}(V_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ , and  $R_0$  is the trivial subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V_0)$  or  $\mathrm{GU}(V_0)$ . In particular,  $i = 0$  occurs only when  $p \nmid (q - \epsilon)$ .

**3.II. Radical  $p$ -subgroups of orthogonal and symplectic groups.** Let  $(V, f)$  be a non-degenerate finite dimensional symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (with odd  $q$ ), where  $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  is a non-degenerate anti-symmetric (or symmetric) bilinear 2-form. Write  $I(V)$  for the group of isometries of  $V$ .

In the symplectic case,  $f$  has a unique isomorphism class. We take  $f$  with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix}$$

under a standard basis of  $V$  and write  $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}_n(q)$  for the corresponding symplectic group, where  $n = \dim V$ .

In the orthogonal case, there are two isomorphism classes distinguished by an invariant  $\mathrm{ty}(V) \in \{\pm 1\}$  (type) or  $\mathrm{disc}(V) \in \{\pm 1\}$  (discriminant). We have  $f \sim \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i x_i^2$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , then  $\mathrm{disc}(V) := (a_1 \cdots a_n)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . When  $\dim V = n = 2m$  is even, we have

$$f \sim \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m-1} (x_{2i-1}^2 - x_{2i}^2) + (x_{2m-1}^2 - \lambda x_{2m}^2),$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then,  $\mathrm{ty}(V) := \lambda^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$  and  $\mathrm{disc}(V) = ((-1)^m \lambda)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . When  $\dim V = n = 2m + 1$  is odd, we have

$$f \sim \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} (x_{2i-1}^2 - x_{2i}^2) + \lambda x_{2m+1}^2,$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then,  $\mathrm{ty}(V) := \lambda^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$  and  $\mathrm{disc}(V) = ((-1)^m \lambda)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . Hence, it always holds true that

$$\mathrm{disc}(V) = \mathrm{ty}(V)(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \frac{q-1}{2}} = \mathrm{ty}(V) \epsilon^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Take  $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^2$  and write  $O_{n,+}(q)$  for the corresponding orthogonal group. Take  $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} x_i^2 + \delta_0 x_n^2$  with  $\delta_0$  a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  and write  $O_{n,-}(q)$  for the corresponding orthogonal group. Moreover, we also write  $O_n^+(q)$  (resp.  $O_n^-(q)$ ) for the corresponding orthogonal groups  $O(V)$  when  $\text{ty}(V) = +$  (resp.  $-$ ).

Let  $G = I(V)$ , where  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with a symmetric or anti-symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form  $f$ . Write  $\bar{G} = G/Z(G)$  and let  $\pi : G \rightarrow \bar{G}$  be the projection map. Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Define

$$A = A(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Omega_1(Z(\pi(R)))).$$

It is clear that  $A(R) \subset R$  and  $A(R)/\{\pm I\} = \Omega_1(Z(\pi(R)))$ . The subgroup  $A(R)$  has the following characterization.

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $X$  be an element of  $R$ . Then  $X \in A(R)$  if and only if*

$$YXY^{-1} = \pm X, \quad \forall Y \in R$$

and  $X^2 = \pm I$ .

By Lemma 3.16, define a pairing  $m : A(R) \times R \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$m(X, Y) = \lambda, \quad \forall (X, Y) \in A(R) \times R,$$

where  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = \lambda I$ .

The following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 3.17.** *For any  $X, X' \in A(R)$  and  $Y, Y' \in R$ , we have*

$$m(XX', Y) = m(X, Y)m(X', Y)$$

and

$$m(X, YY') = m(X, Y)m(X, Y').$$

By Lemma 3.16 again, define a map  $\mu : A(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$\mu(X) = t, \quad \forall X \in A(R),$$

where  $X^2 = tI$ .

**Lemma 3.18.** *For any  $X, X' \in A(R)$ , we have*

$$\mu(XX') = \mu(X)\mu(X')m(X, X').$$

Let

$$A_1 = A_1(R) = \{X \in A : m(X, Y) = 1, \forall Y \in R\} = A \cap Z(R)$$

and

$$A_2 = A_2(R) = \{X \in A : m(X, Y) = 1, \forall Y \in A\} = Z(A).$$

Note that  $\mu : A_2(R) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a group homomorphism. Define

$$A'_i = A'_i(R) = \{X \in A_i : \mu(X) = 1\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Note that  $m$  descends to a perfect pairing

$$m : A/A_2 \times A/A_2 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

3.II.1. *The  $A'_1$  reduction: direct product.* The  $A'_1$  reduction for orthogonal and symplectic groups is the same as for general linear groups. After this, it reduces to classify radical 2-subgroups with  $A'_1(R) = \{\pm I\}$ .

**Lemma 3.19.** *Assume that  $V$  is orthogonal. If there exists  $X \in I(V)$  such that  $X^2 = -I$ , then  $n$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in I(V)$  have  $X^2 = -I$ . For any  $v \in V$ , we have  $(v, Xv) = (Xv, X^2v) = (Xv, -v) = -(v, Xv)$ . Thus,  $(v, Xv) = 0$ . Choose an element  $v_1 \in V$  with  $(v_1, v_1) \neq 0$ . Put  $v_2 = Xv_1$ . Then,  $(v_1, v_2) = 0$  and  $(v_1, v_1) = (v_2, v_2) \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  is non-degenerate. Considering the orthogonal complement of  $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  and taking induction, we find a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2m}\}$  of  $V$  such that

$$(v_i, v_j) = 0, i \neq j; b_i := (v_{2i-1}, v_{2i-1}) = (v_{2i}, v_{2i}) \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then,  $n = 2m$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = (b_1^2 \cdots b_m^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (b_1 \cdots b_m)^{q-1} = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.20.** *Assume that  $V$  is orthogonal. If there exists  $X \in I(V)$  such that  $X^2 = I$  and  $X \sim -X$ , then  $n$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in I(V)$  have  $X^2 = I$  and  $X \sim -X$ . Then, there exists  $Y \in I(V)$  such that  $YXY^{-1} = -X$ . Put  $V_{\pm} = \{v \in V : Xv = \pm v\}$ . Then,  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$  and  $Y : X_+ \rightarrow X_-$  is an isomorphism. Thus,  $n$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.21.** *Assume that  $V$  is orthogonal. Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)$  with  $A'_1(R) = \{\pm I\}$ . If  $n = \dim V$  is odd or  $\text{disc}(V) = -1$ , then  $R = \{\pm I\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is odd or  $\text{disc}(V) = -1$ . By Lemmas 3.19 and 3.20, one shows that  $A(R) = A'_1(R) = \{\pm I\}$ . Then  $R/\{\pm I\}$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)/\{\pm I\}$  with  $\Omega_1(R/\{\pm I\}) = A(R)/\{\pm I\} = 1$ . Thus,  $R = \{\pm I\}$ .  $\square$

Under the assumption  $A'_1(R) = \{\pm I\}$ , Lemma 3.21 indicates that orthogonal groups with interesting radical 2-subgroups occur only when  $\dim V$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = 1$ .

3.II.2. *The  $A'_2$  reduction: wreath product.* The  $A'_2$  reduction for orthogonal and symplectic groups is similar to general linear groups. We take a successive process of wreath product and reduces it to classify radical 2-subgroups with  $A'_2(R) = \{\pm I\}$ .

3.II.3. *Radical 2-subgroups with trivial  $A'_2$ .* Assume that  $A'_1(R) = A'_2(R) = \{\pm I\}$ . There are three possibilities for  $A_1(R)$  and  $A_2(R)$ :

- (1)  $A_1(R)/A'_1(R) = A_2(R)/A'_2(R) = 1$ ;
- (2)  $A_1(R)/A'_1(R) = 1$  and  $A_2(R)/A'_2(R) \cong Z_2$ ;
- (3)  $A_1(R)/A'_1(R) = A_2(R)/A'_2(R) \cong Z_2$ .

**Lemma 3.22.** *(1) If  $X, Y \in I(V)$  satisfies  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = -I$  and  $X^2 = Y^2 = I$ , then there exists an orthogonal decomposition  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$  with  $V_{\pm}$  nondegenerate and  $\dim V_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \dim V$  such that:*

- (i)  $X|_{V_{\pm}} = \pm 1, Y(V_{\pm}) = V_{\mp}$ ;
  - (ii)  $Y : V_+ \rightarrow V_-$  and  $Y : V_- \rightarrow V_+$  are inverse to each other.
- (2)

$$Z_{I(V)}(\{X, Y\}) = \{\phi \in I(V) : \phi(V_{\pm}) = V_{\pm} \text{ and } \phi|_{V_-} = Y' \phi|_{V_+} Y'^{-1}\} \cong I(V_+),$$

where  $Y' := Y|_{V_+} : V_+ \rightarrow V_-$ .

(3) *The conjugacy class of the pair  $(X, Y)$  and the orbit  $I(V) \cdot V_+ \subset V$  determine each other. In the symplectic case, there exists a unique conjugacy class; in the orthogonal case, there are two conjugacy classes distinguished by  $\text{disc}(V_+)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Take  $V_{\pm}$  be the  $\pm 1$  eigenspace of  $X$ . The assertions in (1) follow from the conditions  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = -I$  and  $X^2 = Y^2 = I$ .

(2) This is clear,

(3) Apparently, the conjugacy class of the pair  $(X, Y)$  determines the orbit  $I(V) \cdot V_+ \subset V$ . On the other hand, given  $V_+$ , one constructs  $(X, Y)$  by taking the orthogonal complement  $V_-$  and an isometry  $\phi : V_+ \rightarrow V_-$ . Thus, the orbit  $I(V) \cdot V_+ \subset V$  also determines the conjugacy class of  $(X, Y)$ . In the symplectic case,  $\frac{1}{2} \dim V$ -dimensional non-degenerate subspaces form one  $I(V)$  orbit; in the orthogonal case,  $\frac{1}{2} \dim V$ -dimensional non-degenerate subspaces split into two  $I(V)$  orbits. Hence, we get the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.23.** *In Lemma 3.22, let  $V'_+$  the fixed point subspace of  $Y$ . Then*

$$\text{disc}(V'_+) = 2^{\frac{q-1}{2} \dim V_+} \text{disc}(V_+).$$

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$V'_+ = \{v + Yv : v \in V_+\}.$$

For any  $v \in V_+$ , we have

$$(v + Yv, v + Yv) = 2(v, Xv).$$

Then, it follows that  $\text{disc}(V'_+) = 2^{\frac{q-1}{2} \dim V_+} \text{disc}(V_+)$ .  $\square$

As in Lemma 3.7, choose  $b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $b^2 + b'^2 = -1$  and  $b \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 3.24.** *Assume that  $V$  is symplectic.*

(1) *If  $X, Y \in I(V)$  satisfies  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = -I$  and  $X^2 = Y^2 = -I$ , then there exists a decomposition  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$  with  $V_{\pm}$  totally isotropic such that:*

(i)  $X(V_{\pm}) = V_{\mp}$ ;

(ii)  $X|_{V_-} = -\phi^{-1}$ ,  $Y|_{V_+} = bI + b'\phi$ ,  $Y|_{V_-} = -bI + b'\phi^{-1}$ , where  $\phi := X|_{V_+} : V_+ \rightarrow V_-$ .

(2)  $g(u, v) := f(u, Xv)$  defines a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear 2-form on  $V_+$  and we have

$$Z_{I(V)}(\{X, Y\}) = \{\psi \in I(V) : \psi(V_{\pm}) = V_{\pm}, \psi|_{V_-} = \phi\psi|_{V_+}\phi^{-1}\} \cong I(V_+, g).$$

(3) *There are two conjugacy classes of such pairs  $(X, Y)$  distinguished by  $\text{disc}(V_+, g)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Put  $Z_{\pm} = \frac{1}{b}(Y \mp b'X)$ . Then,  $Z_{\pm}^2 = I$ . Thus,

$$V = (bI + Y)(V) = (I + Z_+)(V) + (I + Z_-)(V) = V_+ + V_-.$$

It is easy to show that  $V_+ \cap V_- = 0$ . Thus,  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$ . We have  $X^{-1}Z_{\pm}X = -Z_{\mp}$ , which implies that  $X(V_{\pm}) = V_{\mp}$ . For any  $u, v \in V_+$ , we have

$$(Xu, Yv) + (Yu, Xv) = (X^2u, XYv) + (Y^2u, YXv) = (-u, (XY + YX)v) = 0.$$

Then,

$$(bu, bv) = ((Y - b'X)u, (Y - b'X)v) = (Yu, Yv) + b'^2(Xu, Xv) = (1 + b'^2)(u, v).$$

Thus,  $(u, v) = 0$  and hence  $V_+$  is totally isotropic. Similarly, one show that  $V_-$  is totally isotropic. (ii) is clear.

(2)  $u, v \in V_+$ , we have

$$(u, Xv) = (Xu, X^2v) = (Xu, -v) = (v, Xu).$$

Thus,  $g$  is symmetric. Due to  $X(V_+) = V_-$ , one shows that  $g$  is non-degenerate on  $V_+$ . Other assertions in (2) are clear.

(3) It is clear that the conjugacy class of the pair  $(X, Y)$  determines the isomorphism class of the orthogonal space  $(V_+, g)$ , as well as the discriminant of  $(V_+, g)$ . On the other hand, given the discriminant of  $(V_+, g)$ , one determines the triple  $(V_+, V_-, \phi)$  giving the orthogonal space  $(V_+, g)$  up to conjugacy. From that, the pair  $(X, Y)$  is determined.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.25.** *In Lemma 3.24, let  $(V'_+, g')$  the orthogonal space associated to the pair  $(Y, X)$ . Then*

$$\text{disc}(V'_+, g') = 2^{\frac{q-1}{2} \dim V_+} \text{disc}(V_+, g).$$

*Proof.* As in Lemma 3.24, we have a decomposition  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$ . From the forms of  $X$  and  $Y$  there, one shows that the fixed point subspace  $V'_+$  of  $\frac{1}{b}(X - b'Y)$  is equal to

$$\{bv + (b' + 1)Xv : v \in V_+\}.$$

For any  $v \in V_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (bv + (b' + 1)Xv, Y(bv + (b' + 1)Xv)) \\ &= (bv + (b' + 1)Xv, b(bv + b'Xv) + (b' + 1)(b'v - bXv)) \\ &= (bv + (b' + 1)Xv, (b' - 1)v - bXv) \\ &= (-b^2 - (b' + 1)(b' - 1))(v, Xv) \\ &= 2(v, Xv). \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows that  $\text{disc}(V'_+, g') = 2^{\frac{q-1}{2} \dim V_+} \text{disc}(V_+, g)$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.24. The proof is similar.

**Lemma 3.26.** *Assume that  $V$  is orthogonal.*

(1) *If  $X, Y \in I(V)$  satisfies  $XYX^{-1}Y^{-1} = -I$  and  $X^2 = Y^2 = -I$ , then there exists a decomposition  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$  with  $V_{\pm}$  totally isotropic such that:*

- (i)  $X(V_{\pm}) = V_{\mp}$ ;
- (ii)  $X|_{V_-} = -\phi^{-1}$ ,  $Y|_{V_+} = bI + b'\phi$ ,  $Y|_{V_-} = -bI + b'\phi^{-1}$ , where  $\phi := X|_{V_+} : V_+ \rightarrow V_-$ .

(2)  $g(u, v) := f(u, Xv)$  defines a non-degenerate anti-symmetric bilinear 2-form on  $V_+$  and we have

$$Z_{I(V)}(\{X, Y\}) = \{\psi \in I(V) : \psi(V_{\pm}) = V_{\pm}, \psi|_{V_-} = \phi\psi|_{V_+}\phi^{-1}\} \cong I(V_+, g).$$

(3) *There is a unique conjugacy class of such pairs  $(X, Y)$ .*

In [91, §2], we defined three groups  $\text{Sp}(s; \epsilon, \delta)$  as the automorphism group of a symplectic metric space  $(\mathbb{F}_2^{\epsilon+2(s+\delta)}, m, \mu)$ . Here we remark that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}(s; 1, 0) &\cong \text{Sp}_{2s}(2) \cong \text{SO}_{2s+1}(2); \\ \text{Sp}(s; 0, 0) &\cong \text{O}_{2s}^+(2); \\ \text{Sp}(s; 0, 1) &\cong \text{O}_{2s+2}^-(2). \end{aligned}$$

We also recall that the derived subgroup of  $\text{O}_{2s}^+(2)$  (resp.  $\text{O}_{2s}^-(2)$ ) is  $\text{SO}_{2s}^+(2)$  (resp.  $\text{SO}_{2s}^-(2)$ ), which is an index 2 subgroup.

**Proposition 3.27.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)$  such that*

$$A_1(R) = A_2(R) = \{\pm I\}.$$

*Write  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 |A(R)/A_2(R)|$ . Then,  $R = A(R)$  and  $2^\gamma |n$ . Moreover, there exist one or two conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups for any given values of  $n, q$  and  $\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* When  $\gamma = 0$ , we have  $A(R) = A_2(R) = \{\pm I\}$ . Then,  $R/\{\pm I\}$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)/\{\pm I\}$  with  $\Omega_1(R/\{\pm I\}) = A(R)/\{\pm I\} = 1$ . Thus,  $R = \{\pm I\}$ .

Assume that  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Using Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18, one finds elements

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$$

generating  $A(R)/A_2(R)$  and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) &= m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j, \\ m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &= -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu(x_{2\gamma}) = \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \cdots = 1, \quad \delta := \mu(x_2) = \mu(x_1) = \pm 1.$$

By Lemmas 3.22, 3.24 and 3.26, one shows that  $2^\gamma|n$  and identify the conjugacy class of the tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2\gamma})$  according to the value of  $\delta$  and the discriminant of an orthogonal space  $(V_0, g)$  while  $V$  is symplectic and  $\delta = -1$  or  $V$  is orthogonal and  $\delta = 1$ . When  $V$  is symplectic and  $\delta = -1$ ,  $(V_0, g)$  is constructed in Lemma 3.24 from the action of the ordered pair  $(x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma})$  on the common fixed point subspace  $V_1$  of  $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\gamma-3}$ ; when  $V$  is orthogonal and  $\delta = 1$ ,  $(V_0)$  is equal to the common fixed point subspace of  $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}$  and  $g = f|_{V_0}$ . Note that

$$Z_G(A(R)/A_1(R)) = I(V') \cdot A(R),$$

where  $V'$  is an  $\frac{n}{2^\gamma}$ -dimensional symplectic or orthogonal space. Put  $R' = R \cap I(V')$ . By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.8,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V')$ . It is easy to show that  $A(R') = \{\pm I\}$ . Then,  $R' = \{\pm I\}$  and hence  $R = A(R)$ .

(D1) When  $V$  is orthogonal and  $\delta = -1$ , we have  $2^{\gamma+1}|n$  and there exists a unique conjugacy class of ordered tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2\gamma})$ . Then, there exists a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups  $R$  (while  $2^{\gamma+1}|n$ ) and  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$  is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of  $R/\{\pm I\}$  preserving the form  $m$  and the function  $\mu$ . That is to say (cf. [91, §2]),

$$N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \cong \text{Sp}(\gamma - 1; 0, 1) \cong \text{O}_{2\gamma}^-(2).$$

(D2) When  $V$  is orthogonal and  $\delta = 1$ , we have  $2^\gamma|n$  and there exist two conjugacy classes of ordered tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2\gamma})$  distinguished by the discriminant of the common fixed point subspace  $V_0$  of  $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}$ . Then, there is a natural inclusion  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \subset \text{Sp}(\gamma; 0, 0) \cong \text{O}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$  with image a subgroup of index 1 or 2. If the above inclusion has full image, then there are two conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups; if the above inclusion has image of index 2, then there is a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups. Write  $s \in \text{Sp}(\gamma; 0, 0) \cong \text{O}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$  for an automorphism of  $R/\{\pm I\}$  defined by

$$s(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \leq 2\gamma - 2; \\ x_{2\gamma} & \text{if } i = 2\gamma - 1; \\ x_{2\gamma-1} & \text{if } i = 2\gamma. \end{cases}$$

Note that, the conjugates of  $s$  generate  $\text{O}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$  and  $ss'$  ( $s'$  run over all conjugates of  $s$ ) generate  $\text{SO}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$ . Therefore, if  $s \in N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{O}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$ ; if  $s \notin N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{SO}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$ . Write  $V'_0$  for the common fixed point subspace of  $s \cdot x_1, s \cdot x_3, \dots, s \cdot x_{2\gamma-1}$ . By Lemma 3.23, we have

$$\text{disc}(V'_0) = 2^{(\frac{n}{2^\gamma})\frac{q^2-1}{2}} \text{disc}(V_0) = (-1)^{(\frac{n}{2^\gamma})\frac{q^2-1}{8}} \text{disc}(V_0).$$

Thus,

$$s \in N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \Leftrightarrow \text{disc}(V'_0) = \text{disc}(V_0) \Leftrightarrow (-1)^{(\frac{n}{2^\gamma})\frac{q^2-1}{8}} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{2^\gamma} \frac{q^2-1}{8} \text{ is even.}$$

The last condition of  $\frac{n}{2^\gamma} \frac{q^2-1}{8}$  being even happens when  $\frac{n}{2^\gamma}$  is even or  $a = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 > 2$ . Finally, we have:

- if  $\frac{n}{2^\gamma}$  is even or  $a = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 > 2$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{O}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$  and there are two conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups;
- if  $\frac{n}{2^\gamma}$  is odd and  $a = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 = 2$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{SO}_{2\gamma}^+(2)$  and there is a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups.

(C1) When  $V$  is symplectic and  $\delta = 1$ , we have  $2^{\gamma+1}|n$  and there exists a unique conjugacy class of ordered tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2\gamma})$ . Then, there exists a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups  $R$  (while  $2^\gamma|n$ ) and  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$  is isomorphic to the group

of automorphisms of  $R/\{\pm I\}$  preserving the form  $m$  and the function  $\mu$ . That is to say (cf. [91, §2]),

$$N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \cong \text{Sp}(\gamma; 0, 0) \cong \text{O}_{2\gamma}^+(2).$$

(C2) When  $V$  is symplectic and  $\delta = -1$ , we have  $2^\gamma|n$  and there exist two conjugacy classes of ordered tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2\gamma})$  distinguished by the discriminant of an orthogonal space  $(V_0, g)$  associated to the ordered tuple  $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}$ , which is constructed from the action of the pair  $(x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma})$  on the common fixed point subspace  $V_1$  of  $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\gamma-3}$  as in Lemma 3.26. Then, there is a natural inclusion  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \subset \text{Sp}(\gamma-1; 0, 1) \cong \text{O}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$  with image a subgroup of index 1 or 2. If the above inclusion has full image, then there are two conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups; if the above inclusion has image of index 2, then there is a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups. Write  $s \in \text{Sp}(\gamma-1; 0, 1) \cong \text{O}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$  for an automorphism of  $R/\{\pm I\}$  defined by

$$s(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \leq 2\gamma - 2; \\ x_{2\gamma} & \text{if } i = 2\gamma - 1; \\ x_{2\gamma-1} & \text{if } i = 2\gamma. \end{cases}$$

Note that, the conjugates of  $s$  generate  $\text{O}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$  and  $ss'$  ( $s'$  run over all conjugates of  $s$ ) generate  $\text{SO}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$ . Therefore, if  $s \in N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{O}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$ ; if  $s \notin N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{SO}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$ . Write  $(V'_0, g')$  for the orthogonal space associated to the ordered tuple  $s \cdot x_1, s \cdot x_3, \dots, s \cdot x_{2\gamma-1}$ . By Lemma 3.25, we have

$$\text{disc}(V'_0, g') = 2^{\left(\frac{n}{2\gamma}\right)\frac{q^2-1}{2}} \text{disc}(V_0, g) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{n}{2\gamma}\right)\frac{q^2-1}{8}} \text{disc}(V_0, g).$$

Thus,

$$s \in N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \Leftrightarrow \text{disc}(V'_0, g') = \text{disc}(V_0, g) \Leftrightarrow (-1)^{\frac{n}{2\gamma}\frac{q^2-1}{8}} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{2\gamma}\frac{q^2-1}{8} \text{ is even.}$$

The last condition of  $\frac{n}{2\gamma}\frac{q^2-1}{8}$  being even happens when  $\frac{n}{2\gamma}$  is even or  $a = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 > 2$ . Finally, we have:

- if  $\frac{n}{2\gamma}$  is even or  $a = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 > 2$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{O}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$  and there are two conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups;
- if  $\frac{n}{2\gamma}$  is odd and  $a = v_2(q^2 - 1) - 1 = 2$ , then  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) = \text{SO}_{2\gamma}^-(2)$  and there is a unique conjugacy class of such radical 2-subgroups.

When  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\frac{n}{2\gamma}\frac{q^2-1}{8}$  is even, we have  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \cong Z_2$ . Put

$$S = \{g \in N_G(R) : [g, Z_G(R)] = 1\}.$$

Then,  $S$  is a normal 2-subgroup of  $N_G(R)$  containing  $R$  with  $S/R \cong Z_2$ . Thus,  $O_2(N_G(R)) \neq R$  and hence  $R$  is not a radical 2-subgroup. In any other case, the resulting subgroup is actually a radical 2-subgroup.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.28.** *Let  $X \in I(V)$  have  $X^2 = -I$ . Then,*

$$\{Y \in I(V) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X\} \cong \text{GL}_{n/2}(\varepsilon q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where  $\tau^2 = \varepsilon' I$  with  $\varepsilon' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V \text{ is orthogonal} \\ -1 & \text{if } V \text{ is symplectic,} \end{cases}$  and

$$\tau Y \tau^{-1} = (Y^t)^{-1}, \quad \forall Y \in \text{GL}_{n/2}(\varepsilon q).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $V$  is orthogonal. By Lemma 3.19,  $n = \dim V$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = 1$ .

Take  $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^2$ . We may assume that  $X = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix}$ . Take  $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n/2} & \\ & -I_{n/2} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then,  $\tau^2 = I$  and  $\tau X \tau^{-1} = -X$ . One calculates that

$$\{Y \in I(V) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X\} \cong \text{GL}_{n/2}(\varepsilon q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where  $\tau X \tau^{-1} = (X^t)^{-1}$  ( $\forall X \in \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(\varepsilon q)$ ).

Assume that  $V$  is symplectic. Take

$$f((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n/2} (x_i y_{i+n/2} - y_i x_{i+n/2}).$$

We may assume that  $X = \begin{pmatrix} & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & \end{pmatrix}$ . Take  $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} bI_{n/2} & b'I_{n/2} \\ b'I_{n/2} & -bI_{n/2} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $\tau^2 = -I$  and  $\tau X \tau^{-1} = -X$ . One calculates that

$$\{Y \in I(V) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X\} \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(\varepsilon q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where  $\tau X \tau^{-1} = (X^t)^{-1}$  ( $\forall X \in \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(\varepsilon q)$ ).  $\square$

Let  $q$  be a power of an odd prime and  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Consider the group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(q^{2^\alpha})$ . Define

$$\sigma(X) = (X^t)^{-1}, \quad \forall X \in \mathrm{GL}_n(p^{2^\alpha}).$$

For any  $Y \in \mathrm{GL}_n(p^{2^\alpha})$ , define

$$\mathrm{Ad}_Y(X) = YXY^{-1}, \quad \forall X \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q^{2^\alpha}).$$

**Lemma 3.29.** (1) Any involutive automorphism of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(q^{2^\alpha})$  is of the form

$$\mathrm{Ad}_Y \circ \sigma^k \circ F_{q^{2^{\alpha-1}}}^l,$$

where  $k, l \in \{0, 1\}$ .

(2) Let  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  be two involutive automorphisms of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(q^{2^\alpha})$ . If

$$\theta_1|_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)} = \theta_2|_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)} \text{ and } \theta_1|_{\mathrm{GL}_1(q^{2^\alpha})_2 I} = \theta_2|_{\mathrm{GL}_1(q^{2^\alpha})_2 I},$$

then  $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ .

*Proof.* (1) This is well-known.

(2) Put  $\theta = \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^{-1}$ . It suffices to show that  $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ . Choose a generator  $\delta_0$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2$ , which has order equal to  $2^\alpha$ . Then,  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q^{2^\alpha})_2$  has a generator  $\delta$  such that  $\delta^{2^\alpha} = \delta_0$ . By (1), there exists  $k, l \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}_Y \circ \sigma^k \circ F_{q^{2^{\alpha-1}}}^l$ . From

$$\delta I = \theta|_{\delta I} = (\mathrm{Ad}_Y \circ \sigma^k \circ F_{q^{2^{\alpha-1}}}^l)(\delta I) = \delta^{(-1)^k} q^{l2^{\alpha-1}},$$

we get  $2^{a+\alpha} |q|^{l2^{\alpha-1}} - (-1)^k$ . Note that  $a \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $v_2(q - \varepsilon) = a$ . We must have  $k = l = 0$ . Then,  $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}_Y$ . From  $\theta|_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)} = \mathrm{id}$ ,  $Y$  commutes with  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\varepsilon q)$ . Then,  $Y$  is a central element and  $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is well-known.

**Lemma 3.30.** Any involutive automorphism of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$  is conjugate to one of the following:

- (1)  $\mathrm{Ad}_{I_{p,n-p}}$ ;
- (2)  $\sigma$ ;
- (3)  $\mathrm{Ad}_{J_{n/2}}$ ;
- (4)  $\mathrm{Ad}_{J_{n/2}} \circ \sigma$ ;
- (5)  $F_{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ ;
- (6)  $\sigma \circ F_{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ .

Of course, involutions in items (3)-(4) occur only when  $n$  is even; that in items (5)-(6) occur only when  $q$  is a square.

Let

$$Z_{I(V)}(A/A_1) = Z_{I(V)}(A_1) \cap \{Y \in I(V) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X, \forall X \in A\}.$$

**Proposition 3.31.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)$  such that*

$$A'_1(R) = A'_2(R) = \{\pm I\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1(R)/A'_1(R) = A_2(R)/A'_2(R) \cong Z_2.$$

*Write  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 |A(R)/A_2(R)|$  and  $|R| = 2^{a+\alpha+1+2\gamma}$ . Then,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $2^{\alpha+\gamma+1}|n$ . There is a unique conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups for any given values of  $n, \gamma, \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exists an element  $x_0 \in A_1(R)$  with  $\mu(x_0) = -1$ . Using Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18, one finds elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$  generating  $A(R)/A_2(R)$  and such that:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) &= m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j, \\ m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &= -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \\ \mu(x_{2\gamma}) &= \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \dots = \mu(x_2) = \mu(x_1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.22 and 3.19, one shows that  $2^{\gamma+1}|n$  and there is a unique conjugacy classes of  $A(R)$  for fixed values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$ . Moreover, we have

$$Z_{I(V)}(A(R)/A_1(R)) = \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q) \cdot A(R).$$

Put

$$R' = R \cap \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q).$$

By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.8,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q)$ . One shows that  $A(R') = A_2(R')$ ,  $A'_1(R') = A'_2(R') = \text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 I$ . Due to  $4|q - \varepsilon$ , by Proposition 3.10 the case

$$(A_1(R')/A'_1(R'), A_2(R')/A'_2(R')) \cong (1, Z_2)$$

can not happen. By Propositions 3.9 and 3.11,  $R'$  is conjugate to the image of  $\text{GL}_1((\varepsilon q)^{2^\alpha})_2$  under the composition of homomorphisms

$$\text{GL}_1((\varepsilon q)^{2^\alpha}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2^\alpha}(\varepsilon q) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q),$$

where  $2^{\alpha+\gamma+1}|n$  and the second homomorphism above is diagonal map. Then, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Let

$$Z_{I(V)}(A/A'_1) = Z_{I(V)}(A'_1) \cap \{Y \in I(V) : YXY^{-1} = \pm X, \forall X \in A\}.$$

**Proposition 3.32.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)$  such that*

$$A_1(R) = A'_1(R) = A'_2(R) = \{\pm I\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(R)/A'_2(R) \cong Z_2.$$

*Write  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 |A(R)/A_2(R)|$  and  $|R| = 2^{a+\alpha+2+2\gamma}$ . Then,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $2^{\alpha+\gamma+1}|n$ . There are 1-3 conjugacy classes of such radical 2-subgroups for any given values of  $n, \gamma$  and  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exists a generator  $x_0$  of  $A_2(R)$  with  $\mu(x_0) = -1$ . Using Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18, one finds elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\gamma-1}, x_{2\gamma} \in A(R)$  generating  $A(R)/A_2(R)$  with:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j-1}) &= m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2j}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j-1}) = m(x_{2i}, x_{2j}) = 1, \quad i \neq j, \\ m(x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}) &= -1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \\ \mu(x_{2\gamma}) &= \mu(x_{2\gamma-1}) = \dots = \mu(x_2) = \mu(x_1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.22 and 3.19, one shows that  $2^{\gamma+1}|n$  and there is a unique conjugacy classes of  $A(R)$  for fixed values of  $n$  and  $\gamma$ . By Lemmas 3.22 and 3.28, we have

$$Z_{I(V)}(A(R)/A'_1(R)) = (\text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \cdot A(R),$$

where  $\tau^2 = \varepsilon' I$  and  $\tau X \tau^{-1} = (X^t)^{-1}$  ( $\forall X \in \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q)$ ),  $x_0 = \delta^{2^{\alpha-2}} I \in \text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q)$  with  $\delta$  a generator of  $\text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)$ . Put

$$R'' = R \cap (\text{GL}_{n/2^{\gamma+1}}(\varepsilon q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle).$$



When  $\alpha \geq 1$ , by Lemma 3.29 we get  $\tau' X \tau'^{-1} = (X')^{-1} (\forall X \in \text{GL}_{n/2^{\alpha+\gamma+1}}(\mathcal{E}^{2^\alpha} q))$ ; when  $\alpha = 0$ , it is clear. By Lemma 3.30, we get  $y \sim \delta^k \tau'$  or  $\delta^k J_{n/2^{\alpha+\gamma+2}} \tau'$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $R \sim \langle \delta, \tau' \rangle$  or  $\langle \delta, J_{n/2^{\alpha+\gamma+2}} \tau' \rangle$ .  $\square$

Now we summarize the above construction of radical 2-subgroups of general symplectic and orthogonal groups. Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  be the extraspecial group.

Suppose that  $V$  is symplectic. Assume that  $\dim V = 2^\gamma$  or  $2^{\gamma+1}$  according as  $\eta = -$  or  $+$ . Then,  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(V)$ . Moreover, if  $\dim V = 2^{\gamma+1}$ , then  $I(V)$  has one conjugacy class of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$ . If  $\dim V = 2^\gamma$ , then  $I(V)$  has one or two conjugacy classes of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  according as  $a = 2$  or  $a \geq 3$ .

Suppose that  $V$  is orthogonal and  $\text{disc}(V) = 1$ . Assume that  $\dim V = 2^\gamma$  or  $2^{\gamma+1}$  according as  $\eta = +$  or  $-$ . Then,  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(V)$ . Moreover, if  $\dim V = 2^{\gamma+1}$ , then  $I(V)$  has one conjugacy class of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$ . If  $\dim V = 2^\gamma$ , then  $I(V)$  has one or two conjugacy classes of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  according as  $a = 2$  or  $a \geq 3$ .

Let  $V_{0,\gamma}^0$  be the underlying space  $V$  in the above two paragraphs. If  $I(V_{0,\gamma}^0)$  has one class of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$ , then denote  $R_{0,\gamma}^0$  the image of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  in  $I(V_{0,\gamma}^0)$ . If  $I(V_{0,\gamma}^0)$  has two classes of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$ , then denote  $R_{0,\gamma}^0$  and  $R_{1,\gamma}^0$  the representatives of the two different classes of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  in  $I(V_{0,\gamma}^0)$ , and set  $V_{1,\gamma}^0 = V_{0,\gamma}^0$ . Note that  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^0$  stands for two different groups, since  $\eta = +, -$ . Sometimes we also write it as  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  to indicate  $\eta$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $Z_\alpha$  be a cyclic group of order  $2^{a+\alpha} (\geq 4)$  and  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  a central product of  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  and  $Z_\alpha$ . Here we suppose that  $\gamma \geq 0$  and in the case  $\gamma = 0$  we set  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  to be the group of order 2. Note that  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1} \cong Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_-^{2\gamma+1}$ . So we assume that  $\eta = +$ .

Recall that  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded into  $\text{GL}_{2^\gamma}((\varepsilon q)^{2^\alpha})$ . Let  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}^1$  be a symplectic or orthogonal space such that  $\dim V_{\alpha,\gamma}^1 = 2^{\alpha+\gamma+1}$  and  $\text{disc}(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^1) = 1$  if  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}^1$  is orthogonal. We denote by  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^1$  the image of  $Z_\alpha \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  under the embedding

$$(3.33) \quad \text{GL}_{2^\gamma}((\varepsilon q)^{2^\alpha}) \hookrightarrow I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^1).$$

Let  $M$  be an orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\dim M = 2^\gamma$  and  $\text{disc}(M) = 1$ . Then  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1} \leq I(M)$ . Here we suppose that  $\gamma \geq 0$  and in the case  $\gamma = 0$  we set  $E_\eta^{2\gamma+1}$  to be the group of order 2.

Recall that  $S_{2^\beta}$ ,  $D_{2^\beta}$  and  $Q_{2^\beta}$  denote respectively semidihedral, dihedral and generalized quaternion groups of order  $2^\beta$ . If  $\beta \geq 4$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2^\beta} \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1} &\cong S_{2^\beta} \circ_2 E_-^{2\gamma+1}, \\ D_{2^\beta} \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1} &\cong D_{2^\beta} \circ_2 E_-^{2\gamma+1}, \\ Q_{2^\beta} \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1} &\cong Q_{2^\beta} \circ_2 E_-^{2\gamma+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Let  $P = S_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$ . Let  $W$  be a symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\dim W = 2^{a+1}$  and  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$  if  $W$  is orthogonal. The group  $P$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(W)$ . Set  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}^2 = W \otimes M$ . Then the group  $P \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^2)$  by taking tensor product. Denote by  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^2$  its image.

Let  $P = D_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$  or  $Q_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$ . Let  $W$  a symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  according as  $P = D_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$  or  $Q_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$  such that  $\dim W = 2^{a+2}$  and  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$  if  $W$  is orthogonal. The group  $P$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(W)$ . Set  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}^3 = W \otimes M$ . Then the group  $P \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^3)$ . Denote by  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^3$  its image. If  $a = 2$ , then  $R_{0,\gamma}^3$  is identical to  $R_{0,\gamma+1}^{0,+}$  or  $R_{0,\gamma+1}^{0,-}$  according as  $W$  is symplectic or orthogonal.

Let  $P = Q_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$  or  $D_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$ . Let  $W$  a symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  according as  $P = Q_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$  or  $D_{2^{a+\alpha+1}}$  such that  $\dim W = 2^{a+1}$  and  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$  if  $W$  is orthogonal. The

group  $P$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(W)$ . Set  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}^4 = W \otimes M$ . Then the group  $P \circ_2 E_+^{2\gamma+1}$  can be embedded as a subgroup of  $I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^4)$ . Denote by  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^4$  its image. If  $a = 2$ , then  $R_{0,\gamma}^4$  is identical to  $R_{0,\gamma+1}^{0,+}$  or  $R_{0,\gamma+1}^{0,-}$  according as  $W$  is orthogonal or symplectic.

Thus, we have defined  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  as above. Note that if  $i = 0$ , then  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$  where  $\alpha = 1$  occurs only when  $\dim(I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^0)) = 2^\gamma$  and  $a \geq 3$ . For the case  $\dim(I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^0)) = 2^{\gamma+1}$  or  $a = 2$ , sometimes we also use the symbol  $R_{1,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  to denote  $R_{0,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  for convenience.

For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  let  $V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i = V_{\alpha,\gamma}^i \perp \cdots \perp V_{\alpha,\gamma}^i$  ( $m$  times) for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Denote by  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i$  the image of  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}^i$  under the  $m$ -fold diagonal mapping

$$(3.34) \quad I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^i) \hookrightarrow I(V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i), \quad g \mapsto \text{diag}(g, g, \dots, g)$$

for  $i \neq 0$  or  $\alpha = 0$  or  $m$  is odd.

Suppose that  $i = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $m$  is even. Set  $V_{m,1,\gamma}^0 = W \otimes V_{0,\gamma}^0$ , where  $V_{0,\gamma}^0$  has dimension  $2^\gamma$  and  $W$  is an  $m$ -dimensional orthogonal space with  $\text{disc}(W) = -1$ . We denote by  $R_{m,1,\gamma}^0$  the image of  $R_{0,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  under the embedding

$$(3.35) \quad I(V_{0,\gamma}^0) \rightarrow I(V_{m,1,\gamma}^0), \quad g \mapsto I_m \otimes g.$$

When  $i = 0$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , sometimes we also write  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0$  as  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  to indicate  $\eta$ .

Let  $i = \gamma = 0$ . For symplectic cases, we define  $V_{m,0,0}^0$  to be an  $m$ -dimensional symplectic and  $R_{m,0,0}^0 = \{\pm 1_{V_{m,0,0}^0}\}$ . For orthogonal cases, we define  $V_{m,0,0}^0$  (resp.  $V_{m,1,0}^0$ ) to be an  $m$ -dimensional orthogonal space with discriminant 1 (resp.  $-1$ ) and  $R_{m,\alpha,0}^0 = \{\pm 1_{V_{m,\alpha,0}^0}\}$  (with  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ ). Sometimes we also write  $R_{m,\alpha,0}^0$  as  $R_{m,\alpha,0}^{0,+}$  or  $R_{m,\alpha,0}^{0,-}$  for convenience.

The radical 2-subgroups obtained in Proposition 3.27 are  $R_{m,0,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  and  $R_{m,1,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$ . Moreover, we have

$$n = \begin{cases} m \cdot 2^\gamma & \text{when } V \text{ is orthogonal and } \eta = +; \\ m \cdot 2^{\gamma+1} & \text{when } V \text{ is orthogonal and } \eta = -; \\ m \cdot 2^{\gamma+1} & \text{when } V \text{ is symplectic and } \eta = +; \\ m \cdot 2^\gamma & \text{when } V \text{ is symplectic and } \eta = -. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.36.** Note that when  $m$  is even, the subgroups  $R_{m,1,\gamma}^0$  are missing in [5]. Moreover, for symplectic groups, the sign in the centralizer of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0$  in [5, (1J)(b)] is incorrect, and this will be corrected in the proof of Proposition 3.48.

Radical 2-subgroups obtained in the proof of Proposition 3.31 are  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^1$ .

Radical 2-subgroups obtained in the proof of Proposition 3.32 are  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i$  ( $i = 2, 3, 4$ ). Moreover,

- (1) when  $yxy^{-1} = -x^{-1}$ ,  $o(x) = 2^{a+\alpha}$ , these are  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^2$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $m = \frac{n}{2^{a+\gamma+1}}$ .
- (2) when  $yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}$ ,  $o(x) = 2^{a+\alpha}$ ,  $y^2 = -\epsilon'I$ , these are  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^3$ , where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $m = \frac{n}{2^{a+\gamma+2}}$ .
- (3) when  $yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}$ ,  $o(x) = 2^{a+\alpha}$  and  $y^2 = \epsilon'I$ , these are  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^4$ , where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $m = \frac{n}{2^{a+\gamma+1}}$ .

As before, for a sequence of positive integer  $\mathbf{c} = (c_t, \dots, c_1)$ , we define  $A_{\mathbf{c}} = A_{c_1} \wr A_{c_2} \wr \cdots \wr A_{c_t}$ . Then  $A_{\mathbf{c}} \leq \mathfrak{S}_{2^{|\mathbf{c}|}}$ , where  $|\mathbf{c}| := c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_t$ . For convenience, we also allow  $\mathbf{c}$  to be  $(0)$ . Let  $V_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i = V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i \perp \cdots \perp V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i$  ( $2^{|\mathbf{c}|}$  times) and put  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^i \wr A_{\mathbf{c}}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Note that  $\text{disc}(V_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i) = 1$  if  $i + \gamma + |\mathbf{c}| > 0$ . When  $i = 0$ , sometimes we also write  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^0$  as  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,\pm}$  if  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^0 = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\pm} \wr A_{\mathbf{c}}$ . We say that  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i$  is a *basic subgroups* of  $I(V_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i)$  except when  $i = \gamma = 0$  and  $c_1 = 1$ . In addition, we suppose that  $\gamma \neq 1$  if  $i = 0$  and  $\eta = +$ , and suppose that  $\alpha \geq 1$  if  $i = 2$ .

**Theorem 3.37.** *Let  $V$  be a non-degenerate finite dimensional symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (with odd  $q$ ) and let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V)$ . Then there exists a corresponding decomposition*

$$V = V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_t$$

and

$$R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_t$$

such that  $R_i$  are basic subgroups of  $I(V_i)$ .

*Proof.* This follows by arguments in §3.II.1, §3.II.2 and Propositions 3.27, 3.31, 3.32.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.38.** *Let  $V$  be an orthogonal space. We have the following assertions:*

- (1) *if  $m$  is even or  $a > 2$ , then  $R_{m,\alpha,0,(c',1)}^{0,+} \sim R_{m,\alpha,1,c'}^{0,+}$  are not radical 2-subgroups of  $I(V)$  ( $\alpha = 0$  or  $1$ );*
- (2) *if  $m$  is odd and  $a = 2$ ,*

$$R_{m,0,0,(c',1)}^{0,+} \sim R_{m,0,1,c'}^{0,+} \sim R_{m,1,0,(c',1)}^{0,+} \sim R_{m,1,1,c'}^{0,+}$$

*are radical 2-subgroups of  $I(V)$ .*

*Proof.* It reduces to the case that  $c' = \emptyset$ . From the construction of basic subgroups, we see that  $R_{m,\alpha,0,(1)}^{0,+} \sim R_{m,\alpha,1,\emptyset}^{0,+}$ . Thus, it suffices to consider  $R_{m,\alpha,1,\emptyset}^{0,+}$  ( $\alpha = 0$  or  $1$ ).

(1) Suppose that  $m$  is even or  $a > 2$ . In the proof of Proposition 3.27, we have shown that  $R_{m,0,1,\emptyset}^{0,+}$  and  $R_{m,1,1,\emptyset}^{0,+}$  are non-conjugate; and for each of them (denoted by  $R$ ), we have  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R) \cong O_2^+(2) \cong Z_2$ . Let

$$S = \{g \in N_G(R) : [g, Z_G(R)] = 1\}.$$

Then,  $S$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_G(R)$  and  $S/R \cong Z_2$ . Thus,  $R$  is not a radical 2-subgroup.

(2) Suppose that  $m$  is odd and  $a = 2$ . In the proof of Proposition 3.27, we have shown that  $R_{m,0,1,\emptyset}^{0,+} \sim R_{m,1,1,\emptyset}^{0,+}$ ; and for each of them (denoted by  $R$ ), we have  $N_G(R) = RZ_G(R)$ . Note that  $R = \langle I_{m,m}, J'_m \rangle \subset O_{2m,+}(q)$  and  $Z_G(R) = \Delta(O_{m,\pm}(q))$ . Then,  $N_G(R)/R \cong PO_{m,\pm}(q) \cong SO_{m,\pm}(q)$ . As  $O_2(SO_{m,\pm}(q)) = 1$ . Then,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup.  $\square$

By Propositions 3.27, 3.31, 3.32 and Lemma 3.38, we get the following Lemma 3.39.

**Lemma 3.39.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $O_{k,+}$  ( $k = 2, 4, 6, 8$ ) with  $A'_1(R) = \{\pm I\}$ .*

- (i) *When  $k = 2$ ,  $R$  is conjugate to one of the following:*

(a)  $R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^1$

(b)  $R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4$

- (ii) *When  $k = 4$ ,  $R$  is conjugate to one of the following:*

(a)  $R_{m,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  or  $R_{m,1,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  where  $(m, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of

$$(1, 2, \emptyset), (1, 0, (2)).$$

(b)  $R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^{0,-}$

(c)  $\{\pm I\}$ .

(d)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^1$  where  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of

$$(2, 0, 0, \emptyset), (1, 1, 0, \emptyset), (1, 0, 1, \emptyset), (1, 0, 0, (1)).$$

(e)  $R_{1,1,0,\emptyset}^2$

(f)  $R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^3$

(g)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^4$  where  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of

$$(2, 0, 0, \emptyset), (1, 1, 0, \emptyset), (1, 0, 1, \emptyset), (1, 0, 0, (1)).$$

- (iii) *When  $k = 6$ ,  $R$  is conjugate to one of the following:*

(a)  $\{\pm I\}$ .

- (b)  $R_{3,0,0,\emptyset}^1$   
(c)  $R_{3,0,0,\emptyset}^4$
- (iv) When  $k = 8$ ,  $R$  is conjugate to one of the following:
- (a)  $R_{m,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  or  $R_{m,1,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  where  $(m, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of  
 $(1, 3, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 2, (1))$ ,  $(1, 0, (3))$ ,  $(1, 0, (1, 2))$ ,  $(2, 2, \emptyset)$ ,  $(2, 0, (2))$ .
- (b)  $R_{m,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,-}$  where  $(m, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of  
 $(2, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 2, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 1, (1))$ .
- (c)  $\{\pm I\}$ .
- (d)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^1$  where  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of  
 $(4, 0, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(2, 1, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 2, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  
 $(2, 0, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 1, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 0, 2, \emptyset)$ ,  
 $(2, 0, 0, (1))$ ,  $(1, 1, 0, (1))$ ,  $(1, 0, 1, (1))$ ,  
 $(1, 0, 0, (1, 1))$ ,  $(1, 0, 0, (2))$ .
- (e)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^2$  where  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of  
 $(2, 1, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 2, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 1, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 1, 0, (1))$ .
- (f)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^3$  where  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of  
 $(2, 0, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 1, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 0, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 0, 0, (1))$ .
- (g)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^4$  where  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  is one of  
 $(4, 0, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(2, 1, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 2, 0, \emptyset)$ ,  
 $(2, 0, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 1, 1, \emptyset)$ ,  $(1, 0, 2, \emptyset)$ ,  
 $(2, 0, 0, (1))$ ,  $(1, 1, 0, (1))$ ,  $(1, 0, 1, (1))$ ,  
 $(1, 0, 0, (1, 1))$ ,  $(1, 0, 0, (2))$ .

*Proof.* Let's explain how we get all possible parameters  $(m, \alpha, \gamma, \mathbf{c})$  when  $k = 8$ . In item (a),  $m2^{\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|} = 8$ ,  $\gamma \neq 1$  and  $(\gamma, \mathbf{c}) \neq (0, (\mathbf{c}, 1))$ ; in item (b),  $m2^{\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|} = 4$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ ; in items (d),  $m2^{\alpha+\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|} = 4$ ; in item (e),  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $m2^{\alpha+\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|} = 4$ ; in item (f),  $m2^{\alpha+\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|} = 2$ ; in item (g),  $m2^{\alpha+\gamma+|\mathbf{c}|} = 4$ . The cases when  $k = 2, 4, 6$  are shown similarly.  $\square$

Let's explain the notations  $R_{m,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  or  $R_{m,1,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  in Lemma 3.39. For such a radical 2-subgroup, we first obtain a subspace  $V'$  and a radical 2-subgroup  $R'$  of  $I(V')$  such that

$$R = (\cdots (R' \wr Z_2^{c_t}) \cdots) \wr Z_2^{c_1}$$

with  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_t)$  and  $R'$  a radical 2-subgroup of  $I(V')$ . Then, we obtain a subspace  $V_0$  of  $V'$  as the common 1-eigenspace of a tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_\gamma)$  in  $R'$  acting on  $V'$  (cf. proof of Proposition 3.27). In particular, when  $\gamma = 0$ , we have  $V_0 = V'$ . Then, the associated subspace  $V_0$  of  $R_{m,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  (resp.  $R_{m,1,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$ ) has discriminant  $\text{disc}(V_0) = 1$  (resp.  $\text{disc}(V_0) = -1$ ).

3.II.4. *Radical  $p$  ( $> 2$ ) subgroups of orthogonal and symplectic groups.* Let  $G = I(V)$  where  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional linear space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $p > 2$  be a prime coprime to  $q$ , and  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Put

$$A(R) = \Omega_1(\pi(R)).$$

Let  $e$  be the order of  $q^2 \pmod{p}$ . Then

$$Z_G(A(R)) \cong \text{GL}_{n_1}(q^{2e}) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}_{n_f}(q^{2e}),$$

where  $f = \frac{p-1}{2e}$  and  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq f} 2en_i = n$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $Z_G(A(R))$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_f$  with  $R_i$  a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{n_i}(q^{2e})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq f$ ). It reduces to the case of  $\text{GL}_*(q)$ .

Let  $e$  be the multiplicative order of  $q^2$  modulo  $p$ . Let  $a$  be the positive integer and  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that  $p^a = (q^e - \varepsilon)_p$ . Let  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}$  be a symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\dim(V_{\alpha,\gamma}) = 2ep^{\alpha+\gamma}$ , and we assume further that  $\text{disc}(V_{\alpha,\gamma}) = 1$  or  $-1$  according as  $e$  is odd or even if  $V_{\alpha,\gamma}$  is orthogonal. As for general linear and unitary groups, we let  $E^{2\gamma+1}$  be the extraspecial  $p$ -group of order  $p^{2\gamma+1}$  and exponent  $p$  and  $Z_\alpha$  denote the cyclic group of order  $p^{a+\alpha}$  for  $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Define  $R_{\alpha,\gamma}$  to be the image of  $Z_\alpha \circ_p E^{2\gamma+1}$  under the embedding

$$Z_\alpha \circ_p E^{2\gamma+1} \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_{p^\gamma}(\varepsilon q^{ep^\alpha}) \hookrightarrow I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}).$$

Entirely analogously as the case  $p = 2$  and  $i = 1$ , we can define the *basic subgroups*  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\varepsilon}$ . It follows that the definition and structure of the above group  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\varepsilon}$  is just similar as those of the basic 2-subgroup  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\varepsilon}^1$  of symplectic and orthogonal groups for the case  $p = 2$  and  $i = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.40.** *Let  $V$  be a non-degenerate finite dimensional symplectic or orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (with  $p \nmid q$ ) and let  $R$  be a radical  $p$ -subgroup of  $I(V)$ . Then there exists a corresponding decomposition*

$$V = V_0 \perp V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_t$$

and

$$R = R_0 \times R_1 \times \cdots \times R_t$$

such that  $R_i$  are basic subgroups of  $I(V_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ , and  $R_0$  is the trivial subgroup of  $I(V_0)$ .

### 3.III. Weight subgroups.

**Proposition 3.41.** *Let  $G = \text{GL}_n(\varepsilon q) = \text{GL}(V)$  or  $\text{GU}(V)$  (with odd  $q$ ) and  $R$  be 2-weight subgroup  $R$  of  $G$ . Suppose that*

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_u \text{ (for } \varepsilon = 1) \text{ or } V = V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_u \text{ (for } \varepsilon = -1),$$

$$R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_u,$$

are corresponding decompositions in Theorem 3.14 such that  $R_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq u$ ) is a basic subgroup of  $G_i$  where  $G_i = \text{GL}(V_i)$  or  $\text{GU}(V_i)$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i \leq u$  we have the following.

- (1) If  $4 \mid (q - \varepsilon)$ , then  $R_i = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\varepsilon}^1$  with odd  $m$ .
- (2) If  $4 \mid (q + \varepsilon)$ , then one of the following holds.
  - (a)  $R_i = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\varepsilon}^1$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $m$  is odd.
  - (b)  $R_i = R_{m,0,0,\varepsilon}^1$ , where  $c_1 \neq 1$  and  $m$  is odd.
  - (c)  $R_i = R_{m,0,1,\varepsilon}^{1,-}$  with odd  $m$ .
  - (d)  $R_i = R_{m,0,\gamma,\varepsilon}^2$  with odd  $m$ .

*Proof.* This follows by [4, §3] and [6, §3]. □

**Remark 3.42.** Keep the hypothesis and setup of Proposition 3.41. Now we assume further that  $R$  is a principal 2-weight subgroup of  $G$ .

(1) We have  $m = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  by [4] and [6]. In particular, the situation (2.a) of Proposition 3.41 does not occur.

(2) Rewrite the decomposition in Proposition 3.41 as

$$R = R_1^{u_1} \times \cdots \times R_s^{u_s}$$

such that  $R_i$  and  $R_j$  are different basic subgroups if  $i \neq j$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , one has that  $u_i$  is a triangular number, i.e.,  $u_i = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ . In fact, by the proof of [4, (3D)] and [6, (3D)],  $\mathfrak{S}_{u_i}$  possesses a defect zero irreducible character, which implies that there is a 2-core partition of  $u_i$ .

**Lemma 3.43.** *The group  $G = \mathrm{SO}_{m,\epsilon}(q)$  (with  $m \geq 3$  and  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ ) has a 2-block with a defect group  $Z(G)$  only when  $m$  is even and  $\epsilon = -$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $G = \mathrm{SO}_{m,\epsilon}(q)$ . Let  $B$  be a 2-block of  $G$  with the defect group  $Z(G)$ . According to [33, Thm. 21.14], we have that  $B = \mathcal{E}_2(G, s)$ , where  $s$  is a semisimple 2'-element of  $G^*$ . Here  $G^* = \mathrm{Sp}_{m-1}(q)$  if  $m$  is odd, while  $G^* = G$  if  $m$  is even. Let  $s = 1$  so that  $B$  is the principal block of  $G$ . If  $Z(G)$  is a defect group of  $B$ , then  $Z(G)$  is the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ , which does not occur when  $m > 2$ . Now we assume that  $s \neq 1$  which implies that  $s$  is not quasi-isolated by [20, Prop. 4.11]. Let  $V$  be the underlying space of  $G^*$ , that is,  $V$  is symplectic and of dimension  $m - 1$  if  $m$  is odd, while  $V$  is orthogonal, of dimension  $m$  and of discriminant  $\epsilon$  if  $m$  is even.

We denote by  $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$  the set of all monic irreducible polynomials over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any  $\Delta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \setminus \{x\}$ , let  $\Delta^*$  denote the polynomial in  $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[x])$  whose roots are the inverses of the roots of  $\Delta$ . Following [50], we let

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{x - 1, x + 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\Delta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \mid \Delta \notin \mathcal{F}_0, \Delta \neq x, \Delta = \Delta^*\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\Delta\Delta^* \mid \Delta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_q[x]) \setminus \mathcal{F}_0, \Delta \neq x, \Delta \neq \Delta^*\},$$

and let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ . For  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ , denote by  $d_\Gamma$  its degree and by  $\delta_\Gamma := \frac{d_\Gamma}{2}$ . Since the polynomials in  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  have even degree,  $\delta_\Gamma$  is an integer. For  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ , we define  $\varepsilon_\Gamma = 1, -1$  according as  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_2$  or  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

For the semisimple element  $s \in G^*$ , we have unique orthogonal decompositions  $V = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} V_\Gamma(s)$  and  $s = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} s_\Gamma$ , where  $V_\Gamma(s)$  are non-degenerate subspaces of  $V$ ,  $s_\Gamma \in \mathrm{SO}(V_\Gamma(s))$ , and  $s_\Gamma$  has minimal polynomial  $\Gamma$ . Let  $m_\Gamma(s)$  be the multiplicity of  $\Gamma$  in  $s_\Gamma$ . Note that  $\Gamma \neq x + 1$  since  $s$  is of 2'-order. Write  $V_+ = V_{x-1}(s)$  and  $m_+ = \dim V_+$  for short. In addition,  $m_+$  is even. Since  $s \neq 1$ , we have  $m_+ < \dim V$ . Then

$$Z_{G^*}(s) \cong I_0(V_+) \times \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{GL}_{m_\Gamma(s)}(\varepsilon_\Gamma q^{\delta_\Gamma})$$

where  $I_0 = \mathrm{Sp}$  or  $\mathrm{SO}$  according as  $V$  is symplectic or orthogonal.

Let  $L$  be the subgroup of  $G$  which is in duality with  $Z_{G^*}(s)$ , then it is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SO}_{m_++1}(q) \times \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \mathrm{GL}_{m_\Gamma(s)}(\varepsilon_\Gamma q^{\delta_\Gamma})$  or  $\mathrm{SO}_{m_+,\pm}(q) \times \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}} \mathrm{GL}_{m_\Gamma(s)}(\varepsilon_\Gamma q^{\delta_\Gamma})$  according as  $m$  is odd or even. According to [21, Thm. 7.7], the block  $B$  is splendid Rickard equivalent to the block  $\mathcal{E}_2(L, s)$  of  $L$ . In particular, these two blocks share a common defect group. Since  $s$  is central in  $Z_{G^*}(s)$ , we have that the block  $\mathcal{E}_2(L, s)$  is isomorphic to the unipotent block (i.e., the principal block) of  $L$ . Thus  $Z(G)$  is the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $L$ , which forces that  $m$  is even,  $Z_{G^*}(s)$  has only one component and  $m_+ = 0$ .

Now we assume that  $m$  is even. Then  $L \cong Z_{G^*}(s) \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon_\Gamma q^{\delta_\Gamma})$  for some  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $4 \nmid (q^{\delta_\Gamma} - \varepsilon_\Gamma)$ . Moreover, by [50, (1.12)], one has that  $\delta_\Gamma = \frac{m}{2}$  and  $\varepsilon_\Gamma = \mathrm{ty}(V) = \varepsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} \epsilon$ . In

particular,  $4 \mid (q^{\frac{m}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} \epsilon)$ . From this, one shows that  $\epsilon = -$  (using, for example, [43, Lemma 5.3 (b)]), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.44.** Let  $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  and let  $s$  be a semisimple  $2'$ -element of  $G^*$ . Similarly as the proof of Lemma 3.43, one shows that if the block  $B = \mathcal{E}_2(G, s)$  has the defect group  $Z(G)$ , then  $Z_{G^*}(s) \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon_\Gamma q^{\delta_\Gamma})$  for some  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $4 \nmid (q^{\delta_\Gamma} - \varepsilon_\Gamma)$ .

**Corollary 3.45.** *The orthogonal group  $O_{m,\epsilon}(q)$  (with  $m \geq 2$  and  $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ ) has a 2-block with a defect group  $\{\pm I_m\}$  only when  $m$  is even and  $\epsilon = -$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m = 2$ , then the group  $O_{2,\epsilon}(q)$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order  $2(q - \epsilon\epsilon)$ , and thus we can get this assertion directly. If  $m \geq 3$ , then this follows from Lemma 3.43 and [71, Thm. (9.26)] immediately.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.46.** *Let  $V$  be an orthogonal space with  $\dim V \geq 2$  and  $R$  a 2-weight subgroup of  $I(V)$ . Suppose that*

$$V = V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_t$$

and

$$R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_t$$

are corresponding decompositions in Theorem 3.37 such that  $R_i$  are basic subgroups of  $I(V_i)$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i \leq t$  we have the following.

- (1) If  $R_i = \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$ , then one of the following holds.
  - (a)  $\dim V_i = 1$ .
  - (b)  $\dim V_i$  is even and  $\mathrm{disc}(V_i) = -$ .
- (2) If  $R_i \neq \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$ , then  $\mathrm{disc}(V_i) = +$  and one of the following holds.
  - (a)  $R_i = R_{1,0,0,\mathbf{c}}^0$  or  $R_{1,1,0,\mathbf{c}'}^0$  where  $c_1 \geq 2$ .
  - (b)  $R_i = R_{m,0,0,\mathbf{c}}^0$  or  $R_{m,1,0,\mathbf{c}'}^0$  where  $m$  is even and  $c_1 \geq 2$ .
  - (c)  $R_i = R_{m,0,1,\mathbf{c}}^{0,-}$ .
  - (d)  $R_i = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^1$  or  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}'}^2$  where  $m$  is odd.
  - (e)  $R_i = R_{1,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}'}^4$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a weight subgroup, the group  $Z_{I(V)}(R)$  possesses a block with a defect group  $Z(R)$ . Note that  $Z_{I(V)}(R) = \prod_{i=1}^t Z_{I(V_i)}(R_i)$ . So  $Z_{I(V_i)}(R_i)$  possesses a block with a defect group  $Z(R_i)$ . If  $R_i = \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$  and  $\dim V_j > 1$ , then by Corollary 3.45, we get that  $\dim V_i$  is even and  $\mathrm{disc}(V_i) = -$ . For (2), we assume that  $R_i \neq \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$ . Then this assertion follows by [5, §4 and §6].  $\square$

**Remark 3.47.** Keep the hypothesis and setup of Proposition 3.46. Now we assume further that  $R$  is a principal 2-weight subgroup of  $I(V)$ .

(1) The situations (1.b), (2.b), (2.c), (2.d) of Proposition 3.46 do not occur. In fact, if  $R_i \neq \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$ , then this follows by [5] directly. If  $R_i = \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$ , then by Lemma 2.3,  $\{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $I(V_i)$ , which forces that  $\dim V_i = 1$ .

(2) We rewrite the decompositions in Proposition 3.46 as

$$V = V_1^{u_1} \perp \cdots \perp V_s^{u_s}$$

and

$$R = R_1^{u_1} \times \cdots \times R_s^{u_s}$$

such that  $R_i$  and  $R_j$  are different basic subgroups if  $i \neq j$ . Then similar as in Remark 3.42, one has that  $u_i$  is a triangular number for any  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

**Proposition 3.48.** *Let  $V$  be a symplectic space with  $\dim V \geq 2$  and  $R$  a 2-weight subgroup of  $I(V)$ . Suppose that*

$$V = V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_t$$

and

$$R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_t$$

are corresponding decompositions Theorem 3.37 such that  $R_i$  are basic subgroups of  $I(V_i)$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , one of the following holds.

- (1)  $R_i = \{\pm 1_{V_i}\}$ .
- (2)  $R_i = R_{m,0,0,c}^0$  with  $c_1 \geq 2$ .
- (3)  $R_i = R_{1,0,1,c}^{0,-}$  or  $R_{1,1,1,c}^{0,-}$  if  $a \geq 3$ .
- (4)  $R_i = R_{m,1,1,c}^{0,-}$  where  $m$  is even.
- (5)  $R_i = R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,c}^1$  or  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,c}^2$  where  $m$  is odd.
- (6)  $R_i = R_{1,0,\gamma,c}^4$ .

*Proof.* This assertion follows by [5, §4 and §6] and Corollary 3.45. However, as mentioned in Remark 3.36, the sign in the centralizer of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0$  in [5, (1J)(b)] is incorrect, and when  $m$  is even, the basic subgroups  $R_{m,1,\gamma,c}^0$  are missing in [5]. Using [5, §6], the list of weight subgroups can be corrected as soon as we determine the centralizer and normalizer of those basic subgroups.

By the proof of Proposition 3.27, we can give the structure of centralizer and normalizer of basic subgroups  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0$  as follows. Let  $\eta \in \{+, -\}$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Define  $C_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = Z_{I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^0)}(R_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta})$  and  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = Z_{N_{I(V_{\alpha,\gamma}^0)}(R_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta})}(C_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta})$ . Then  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/R_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong O_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$  if  $a \geq 3$ , while  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/R_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong SO_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$  if  $a = 2$ . Denote the image of  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  under the embedding (3.34) or (3.35) by  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$ . Let  $C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  and  $N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  be the centralizer and normalizer of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  in  $I(V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0)$  respectively.

Suppose that  $V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0$  is symplectic or orthogonal according as  $\eta = +$  or  $-$ . Then  $C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q) \otimes I_{2\gamma}$ . Moreover,  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = Z_{N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}}(C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta})$ ,  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cap C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = Z(G)$  and  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong O_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$  or  $SO_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$  according as  $a \geq 3$  or  $a = 2$ . In the former case  $N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  and in the latter case  $N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  is of order 2.

Suppose that  $V_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^0$  is symplectic or orthogonal according as  $\eta = -$  or  $+$ . Then  $C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong O_{m,+}(q) \otimes I_{2\gamma}$  if  $m$  is odd or  $\alpha = 0$ , while  $C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong O_{m,-}(q) \otimes I_{2\gamma}$  if  $m$  is even and  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover,  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = Z_{N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}}(C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta})$ ,  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cap C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} = Z(G)$  and  $H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong O_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$  or  $SO_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$  according as  $a \geq 3$  or  $a = 2$ . In addition,  $N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/H_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}$  is of order 2 or 1 according as  $a = 2$  and  $m$  is even or otherwise. In the former case,  $N_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta}/R_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} C_{m,\alpha,\gamma}^{0,\eta} \cong O_{2\gamma}^\eta(2)$ .

Therefore, using the above arguments, this assertion follows by [5, §4 and §6] when  $i \geq 1$ , and follows by Corollary 3.45 when  $i = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.49.** We consider symplectic groups. The group  $R_{\Gamma,\gamma,c} = E_{d_\Gamma,1,1} \wr A_{c'}$  in [5, p. 190] is the basic subgroup  $R_{d_\Gamma,0,1,c'}^{0,-}$  under our notation. However, by Proposition 3.48, this is not a weight subgroup. On the other hand, as mentioned in Remark 3.36, the basic subgroups  $R_{m,1,\gamma,c}^{0,\pm}$  are missing in [5].

From this, the groups  $R_{\Gamma,\gamma,c} = E_{d_\Gamma,1,1} \wr A_{c'}$  in [5, p. 190] and [48, p. 11] should be defined as  $R_{\Gamma,\gamma,c} = R_{d_\Gamma,1,1}^{0,-} \wr A_{c'}$ , and the arguments in [5] and [48] still apply.

**Remark 3.50.** Keep the hypothesis and setup of Proposition 3.48. Now we assume further that  $R$  is a principal 2-weight subgroup of  $I(V)$ . By a similar argument of Remark 3.47, one has that the situations (1), (2), (4), (5) of Proposition 3.48 do not occur. In addition, Remark 3.47 (2) also holds.

**3.IV. Parity.** Let  $V$  be an orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\dim V \geq 2$ . Choose two vector  $v, v' \in V$  with  $(v, v) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$  and  $(v', v') \notin (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ . Then,  $O(V)/\Omega(V) \cong (Z_2)^2$  and  $r_v^t r_{v'}^{t'}$  ( $t, t' \in \{0, 1\}$ ) represent all four cosets. We label the coset containing  $r_v^t r_{v'}^{t'}$  by a pair  $(t, t')$ .

**Definition 3.51.** (1) We call the  $\Omega(V)$  coset containing  $X \in O(V)$  the *parity* of  $X$ .  
 (2) We call parities of elements in a subgroup  $R$  of  $I(V)$  the *parity of  $R$* , which is regarded as a subgroup of  $(Z_2)^2$ .

It is clear that the parity of  $X \in O(V)$  does not depend on the choice of the vectors  $v$  and  $v'$ . If  $V'$  is an orthogonal space containing  $V$ , then the parity of any element  $X \in O(V)$  is the same while regarded as an element of  $O(V)$  or  $O(V')$ .

The following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 3.52.** Let  $W$  be an orthogonal subspace of  $V$ . Define  $-I_W$  to be an element  $X \in O(V)$  with  $X|_W = -I$  and  $X|_{W^\perp} = I$ . Then, the parity of  $-I_W$  is as follows:

- if  $\dim W$  is even and  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$ , it is equal to  $(0, 0)$ ;
- if  $\dim W$  is even and  $\text{disc}(W) = -1$ , it is equal to  $(1, 1)$ ;
- if  $\dim W$  is odd and  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$ , it is equal to  $(1, 0)$ ;
- if  $\dim W$  is odd and  $\text{disc}(W) = -1$ , it is equal to  $(0, 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.53.** In  $O_{2,+}(q)$ , the parity of an element  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$  is equal to  $(t, t)$ , where  $t \in \{0, 1\}$  is given by  $(a + b\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2}} = (-1)^t$ .

*Proof.* Write  $e_1 = (1, 0)$  and  $e_2 = (0, 1)$  for a standard orthogonal basis of  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^2$  with  $(e_1, e_1) = (e_2, e_2) = 1$ . Then,  $r_{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . There must exist a vector  $0 \neq v = ce_1 + de_2 \in V$  such that

$$r_v = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculating  $r_v(e_1)$ , we get  $a = \frac{d^2 - c^2}{c^2 + d^2}$  and  $b = \frac{-2cd}{c^2 + d^2}$ . Then, the parity of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = r_{e_2} r_v$$

is equal to  $(t, t)$ , where  $t \in \{0, 1\}$  is given by  $(-1)^t = (c^2 + d^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . It suffices to verify that:

$$\left( \frac{d^2 - c^2}{c^2 + d^2} + \frac{-2cd}{c^2 + d^2} \sqrt{-1} \right)^{\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2}} = (c^2 + d^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$(d - c\sqrt{-1})^{q-\varepsilon} = (c^2 + d^2)^{q-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

When  $\varepsilon = 1$ , both sides are equal to 1; When  $\varepsilon = -1$ , both sides are equal to  $c^2 + d^2$ .  $\square$

Assume that  $\dim W = 2m$  is even and  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$ . Let  $X_0 \in I(W)$  be an element with  $X_0^2 = -I$ . By Lemma 3.28, we know that

$$Z_{I(W)}(X_0) \cong \text{GL}_m(\varepsilon q).$$

With this identification, one obtains a homomorphism

$$\det : Z_{I(W)}(X_0) \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q).$$

**Lemma 3.54.** For any element  $Y \in Z_{I(W)}(X_0)$ , the parity of  $Y$  is equal to  $(t, t)$ , where  $t \in \{0, 1\}$  is determined by

$$(-1)^t = \det(Y)^{\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Choose a 2-dimensional  $X_0$ -stable orthogonal subspace  $W_0$  of  $W$  with  $\text{disc}(W_0) = 1$ . Note that the derived subgroup  $[Z_{I(W)}(X_0), Z_{I(W)}(X_0)] \cong \text{SL}_m(\varepsilon q)$  and  $[Z_{I(W)}(X_0), Z_{I(W)}(X_0)]$  together with  $Z_{I(W_0)}(X_0)$  generate  $Z_{I(W)}(X_0)$ . The parity of  $[Z_{I(W)}(X_0), Z_{I(W)}(X_0)]$  must be equal to  $(0, 0)$ . Then, the statement reduces to the case that  $\dim W = 2$ . When  $\dim W = 2$ , the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.53.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.55.** (1) *The parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) is the same as that of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\emptyset}^i$ .*

(2) *The parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,\pm}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1$ ) is the same as that of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\emptyset}^{0,\pm}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Write  $\mathbf{c} = (\gamma, \mathbf{c}')$ . Then, there is an even-dimensional orthogonal space  $V'$  with  $\text{disc}(V') = 1$  and a basic subgroup  $R' \sim R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}'}$  of  $I(V')$  such that

$$R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}} \sim R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}'} \wr (Z_2)^\gamma.$$

Since  $\dim V'$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V') = 1$ , the above  $(Z_2)^\gamma$  subgroup has parity equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ . Then the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i$  is equal to that of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}'}^i$ . Taking induction one obtains the conclusion.

(2) The proof for  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^{0,\pm}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1$ ) is similar to the above proof for  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.56.** *We have the following assertions:*

- (1) *when  $m$  is even and  $|\mathbf{c}| \neq 1$ , the parity of  $R_{m,0,0,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  (resp.  $R_{m,1,0,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0)$  (resp.  $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ );*
- (2) *when  $m$  is odd and  $|\mathbf{c}| \neq 1$ , the parity of  $R_{m,0,0,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$  (resp.  $R_{m,1,0,\mathbf{c}}^{0,+}$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0), (1, 0)$  (resp.  $\{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}$ );*
- (3) *The parity of  $R_{m,0,1,\emptyset}^{0,-}$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are clear; (3) follows from Lemma 3.54.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.57.** (1) *The parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ .*

(2) *The parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^2$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ .*

(3) *The parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^3$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .*

(4) *When  $\alpha \geq 1$ , the parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^4$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ ; the parity of  $R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$  contains an element  $X_0$  with  $X_0^2 = -I$  and it is a cyclic group generated by an element  $X \in Z_{I(W)}(X_0) \cong \text{GL}_{2\alpha}(\varepsilon q)$  with  $\det X$  a generator of  $\text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2$ . By Lemma 3.54, the parity of  $X$  is equal to  $(1, 1)$ . So is the parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$ .

(2) When  $i = 2$ , we have  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\dim W = 2^{\alpha+1}$ , and  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^2$  contains  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$  as an index 2 normal subgroup. We could choose an element  $Y \in R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^2 - R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$  of the form  $Y = (-I_W)Y'$ , where  $W$  is a  $2^\alpha$ -dimensional orthogonal subspace with  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$  and  $Y' \in Z_{I(W)}(X_0)$  with  $Y'^2 = -I$ . Then, the parities of  $-I_W$  and  $Y'$  are both equal to  $(0, 0)$ . Thus, the parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^2$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ .

(3) When  $i = 3$ , we have  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\dim W = 2^{\alpha+2}$ , and  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^3$  contains  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1 \otimes I_2$  as an index 2 normal subgroup. Note that the parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1 \otimes I_2$  is equal to  $(0, 0)$ . We could choose an element  $Y \in R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^3 - R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1 \otimes I_2$  of the form  $Y = (-I_W)Y'$ , where  $W$  is a  $2^{\alpha+1}$ -dimensional orthogonal subspace with  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$  and  $Y' \in Z_{I(W)}(X_0)$  with  $Y'^2 = -I$ . Then, the parities of  $-I_W$  and  $Y'$  are both equal to  $(0, 0)$ . Thus, the parity of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^3$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .

(4) When  $i = 4$ , we have  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\dim W = 2^{\alpha+1}$ , and  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^4$  contains  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$  as an index 2 normal subgroup. We could choose an element  $Y \in R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^4 - R_{1,\alpha,0,\emptyset}^1$  of the form  $Y = (-I_W)Y'$ , where  $W$  is a  $2^\alpha$ -dimensional orthogonal subspace with  $\text{disc}(W) = 1$  and  $Y' \in Z_{I(W)}(X_0)$ . If  $\alpha \geq 1$ , then the parities of  $-I_W$  is equal to  $(0, 0)$ ; if  $\alpha = 0$ , then the parities of  $-I_W$  is equal to  $(1, 0)$ . We could specify a choice of  $Y'$  with parity  $(0, 0)$ . Thus, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.58.** *When  $m$  is even or  $\gamma \geq 1$ , the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .*

*When  $m$  is odd, the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,0,\theta}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) is the same as that of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\theta}^i$ .*

*Proof.* There is an orthogonal space  $V'$  and a basic subgroup  $R' (\sim R_{1,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^i)$  of  $I(V')$  such that  $V = V'^{\oplus m}$  and  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^i \sim R' \otimes I_m$ . If  $m$  is even, this implies that the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ ; if  $m$  is odd, this implies that the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,0,\theta}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) is equal to that of  $R_{1,\alpha,0,\theta}^i$ .

Assume that  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Then, there are orthogonal spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and a basic subgroup  $R_1 \sim R_{m,\alpha,0,\theta}^i$  of  $I(V_1)$  (resp. a basic subgroup  $R_2 \sim R_{1,0,\gamma,\theta}^{0,+}$  of  $I(V_2)$ ) such that  $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$  and  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^i \sim R_1 \otimes R_2$ . Since  $\dim V_1, \dim V_2$  are both even and  $\text{disc}(V_1) = \text{disc}(V_2) = 1$ , it follows that the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.59.** *When  $\gamma \geq 2$ , the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^{0,\pm}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .*

*Proof.* There are orthogonal spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with  $\dim V_2 = 2$  and  $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$ , and a basic subgroup  $R_1 \sim R_{m,\alpha,\gamma-1,\theta}^{0,\pm}$  of  $I(V_1)$  (resp. a basic subgroup  $R_2 \sim R_{1,0,1,\theta}^{0,+}$  of  $I(V_2)$ ) such that  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^{0,\pm} \sim R_1 \otimes R_2$ . Since  $\dim V_1, \dim V_2$  are both even and  $\text{disc}(V_1) = \text{disc}(V_2) = 1$ , it follows that the parity of  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,\theta}^{0,\pm}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1$ ) is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .  $\square$

Define  $I_0(W) = \{X \in I(W) : \det X = 1\}$ .

**Corollary 3.60.** *(1) Assume that  $\gamma \geq 0$ . Then,  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,c}^{0,+} \notin I_0(W)$  happens only when  $m$  is odd and  $\gamma \leq 1$ .*

*(2) Assume that  $\gamma \geq 1$ . We always have  $R_{m,0,\gamma,c}^{0,-} \subset \Omega(W)$ .*

*(3) We always have  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,c}^i \subset I_0(W)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).*

*(4) Assume that  $\gamma \geq 0$ . We always have  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,c}^3 \subset \Omega(W)$ .*

*(5)  $R_{m,\alpha,\gamma,c}^4 \notin I_0(W)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 4$ ) happens only when  $m$  is odd and  $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ .*

*Proof.* These follow from Lemmas 3.55-3.59.  $\square$

Proofs in Lemmas 3.55-3.59 actually give an algorithm to calculate the parity of a general element in any basic subgroup  $R$  of an orthogonal group  $I(W)$ . With that, one can calculate  $R \cap I_0(W)$  and  $R \cap \Omega(W)$  and specify which elements lie outside  $I_0(W)$  (or  $\Omega(W)$ ). Doing so, we can classify radical 2-subgroups of  $\text{Spin}(W)$ .

### 3.V. Principal weights.

**Proposition 3.61.** *Basic subgroups giving principal weights are as in the following Table 1.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.46 and Remark 3.47, we get the list of basic subgroups. By Lemmas 3.55–3.59, we calculate their parities.  $\square$

In Tables 1, we list those basic subgroups  $R$  from Proposition 3.61 and their parities in the 4th and 5th columns respectively, list the information of the underlying spaces  $V$  in the 2nd and 3rd columns respectively, and list the number of such basic subgroups in the last column. In addition, we denote by  $0, (1, 0), (0, 1), (Z_2)^2$  the groups  $\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}, \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ , respectively.

Suppose that  $q$  is some power of an odd prime  $r$  and  $F_0$  is the standard Frobenius endomorphism which raises the entries of a matrix to the  $r$ -th power.

**Proposition 3.62.** *Let  $G = O_{2^{|c|,+}}(q)$ , and  $R = R_{1,0,0,c}^0$  or  $R_{1,1,0,c}^0$ , or  $G = O_{2^{1+\gamma+|c|,+}}(q)$  and  $R = R_{1,0,\gamma,c}^4$ . Then after a change of  $R$  by a suitable  $G$ -conjugate, the following statements hold.*

(1)  $R$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable.

	$\dim(V)$	$\text{disc}(V)$	$R$	parity	number
1	$2^n$ (with $n \geq 2$ )	+	$R_{1,0,\gamma,\mathbf{c}}^4$ with $\gamma +  \mathbf{c}  = n - 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$	0	$2^{n-2}$
2	$2^n$ (with $n \geq 2$ )	+	$R_{1,0,0,\mathbf{c}}^0$ with $ \mathbf{c}  = n$ and $c_1 \geq 2$	(1, 0)	$2^{n-2}$
3	$2^n$ (with $n \geq 2$ )	+	$R_{1,1,0,\mathbf{c}}^0$ with $ \mathbf{c}  = n$ and $c_1 \geq 2$	(0, 1)	$2^{n-2}$
4	$2^n$ (with $n \geq 2$ )	+	$R_{1,0,0,\mathbf{c}}^4$ with $ \mathbf{c}  = n - 1$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$2^{n-2}$
5	2	+	$R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	1
6	1	+	$\{\pm 1_V\}$	(1, 0)	1
7	1	-	$\{\pm 1_V\}$	(0, 1)	1

TABLE 1. Basic subgroups for principal weight subgroups of orthogonal groups

(2) One of the following holds.

- (a) The parity of  $N_G(R)$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .
- (b) The group  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Note that permutation matrices are  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. This statement will be proved once we prove it for the situation  $\mathbf{c} = \emptyset$ . If  $i = 0$ , then  $R$  is the center of  $G$  and thus it is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable. Now let  $i = 4$ . If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $R$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . We may take  $R$  to be the group generated by the image of the Sylow 2-subgroup of (3.33) (where we let  $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ ) and the permutation matrix of degree 2 and order 2. For  $\gamma > 0$ , one shows that  $R$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable by construction.

(2) Similar as above, it is sufficient to show this statement for the situation  $\mathbf{c} = \emptyset$ . The case  $i = 0$  is special by construction and we let  $i = 4$ . If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $N_G(R) = R$ , and so (2.b) holds. Now suppose that  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Then the parity of  $R$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ . First we assume that  $a \geq 3$  and let  $\hat{R} = Z_R([R, R])$ . Then  $N_G(R) = N'R$  with  $N' = Z_{N_G(R)}(Z(\hat{R}))$ . Note that  $Z(\hat{R})$  contains an element whose square is  $-I$  and thus the parity of  $N_G(R)$  can be computed by Lemma 3.54. Now  $Z_R(Z(\hat{R}))$  is a central product of a cyclic group of  $2^a$  and an extraspecial 2-group of order  $2^{2\gamma+1}$ . In addition, the group  $Z_R(Z(\hat{R}))$ , as a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\varepsilon q)$ , is  $R_{1,0,\gamma}$ . The determinant of the normalizer of  $\tilde{R}_{1,0,\gamma}$  in  $\text{GL}_{2^\gamma}(\varepsilon q)$  is determined in [43, Prop. 3.12]. From this, we see that the parity of  $N_G(R)$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ . Finally we let  $a = 2$  in which situation  $R_{1,0,\gamma}^4 = R_{1,0,\gamma+1}^0$ . If  $\gamma = 1$ , then a set of generators of  $N_G(R)$  can be found in the proof of [5, (1H)], from which we can check that  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $N_G(R)/R$ . If  $\gamma \geq 2$ , then  $N_G(R) = N'R$  with  $N'/R \cong \Omega_{2\gamma+2,+}(2)$  and thus by [62, Prop. 4.6.8], the parity of  $N_G(R)$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.63.** *Let  $B$  be the principal 2-block of one of the groups  $\text{O}_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $\text{O}_{2n,\pm}(q)$ ,  $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $\text{SO}_{2n,\pm}(q)$ ,  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $\Omega_{2n,\pm}(q)$  (with odd  $q$ ). Then every element of  $\text{Alp}(B)$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a non-degenerate finite-dimensional orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (with odd  $q$ ).

First we suppose that  $B$  is the principal 2-block of the group  $G = \text{O}(V)$ . The principal weight subgroups of  $G$  are listed in Theorem 3.37, Proposition 3.46, Remark 3.47, and Table 1. By Proposition 3.62, In every conjugacy class of principal weight subgroups of  $G$ , there is a representative which is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable. According to [5, §6], a principal weight subgroup of  $G$  provide only one principal weight of  $G$ . Thus every element of  $\text{Alp}(B)$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant.

Suppose that  $B$  is the principal 2-block of the group  $X = \Omega(V)$ . Let  $G = \text{O}(V)$ , and denote by  $\tilde{B}$  the principal 2-block of  $G$ . Let  $(S, \phi)$  be a  $B$ -weight of  $X$ . Then by Proposition 3.62, up to conjugacy, we may assume that  $(S, \phi)$  is covered by a  $\tilde{B}$ -weight  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $G$

such that  $R$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable, and the parity of  $N_G(R)$  is equal to  $\{(0, 0)\}$  or  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ . Thus  $S$  is  $F_0$ -stable. If  $N_G(R) \subseteq X$ , then  $(S, \phi) = (R, \varphi)$  and thus  $(S, \phi)$  is  $F_0$ -invariant. As  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts on  $G/X$ , the group  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on the  $G$ -orbits in  $\text{Alp}(B)$  containing  $\overline{(S, \phi)}$ . On the other hand, if  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ , then  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{Irr}(N_X(R) \mid \varphi)$ , which implies that the group  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on the  $G$ -orbits in  $\text{Alp}(B)$  containing  $\overline{(S, \phi)}$  by Lemma 2.20.

If  $B$  is the principal 2-block of one of the groups  $\text{SO}(V)$ , then this assertion follows by the above arguments.  $\square$

#### 4. RADICAL 2-SUBGROUPS OF $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$

Recall that The group  $G := \mathbf{F}_4(q)$  has two conjugacy classes of involutions ( [53], [57]). Write  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  ( [57]) for involutions with centralizers of types  $\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{C}_3$  and  $\mathbf{B}_4$ , respectively. Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{F}_4(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Define

$$A(R) = \Omega_1(Z(R)),$$

which is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup. Define

$$B(R) = \{1\} \cup \{x \in A(R) : x \sim \sigma_2\}.$$

From [91, §5], we know that  $B(R)$  is a subgroup of  $A(R)$ . It is clear that  $A(R)$  and  $B(R)$  are both normalized by  $N_G(R)$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of both  $Z_G(A(R))$  and  $Z_G(B(R))$ . Let  $r$  and  $s$  be the ranks of  $B(R)$  and  $A(R)/B(R)$  respectively. By results in [91, §5] and the ‘‘process of reduction modulo  $\ell$ ’’ [54, Thm. A.12], we know  $r \leq 2$  and  $s \leq 3$ , and the pair  $(r, s)$  determines the conjugacy class of  $A(R)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ . As in [91, §5], write  $F_{r,s}$  for an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{F}_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = r$  and  $\text{rank } A(R)/B(R) = s$ .

**4.I. The case of  $r = 0$ .** Assume that  $r = 0$ , i.e., all non-identity elements of  $A(R)$  are in the class of  $\sigma_1$ . When  $(r, s) = (0, 0)$ , it is clear that  $R = 1$ .

**4.I.1. The case of  $(r, s) = (0, 1)$ .** Assume that  $(r, s) = (0, 1)$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{F}_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)^{A(R)} \cong ((\text{Sp}(6, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \text{Sp}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)) / \langle (-I_6, -I_2) \rangle)$$

is connected. By the Lang-Steinberg theorem ( [65]), there is a unique  $\mathbb{F}_q$  form of  $A(R)$ , i.e., there is a unique conjugacy class of such  $A(R)$  in  $G = \mathbf{F}_4(q)$ . Write  $H = Z_G(A(R))$  and let  $H^0$  be the derived subgroup of  $H$ . Then,  $H$  is the fixed point subgroup of  $F_q$  on  $((\text{Sp}(6, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \text{Sp}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)) / \langle (-I_6, -I_2) \rangle)$ . Thus,

$$H^0 \cong ((\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{F}_q) \times \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)) / \langle (-I_6, -I_2) \rangle),$$

and  $H$  is generated by  $H^0$  and an element

$$\tau = (\text{diag}\{\eta I_3, \eta^{-1} I_3\}, \text{diag}\{\eta, \eta^{-1}\}),$$

where  $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and  $\eta^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times - (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ .

Let  $R' = R \cap H^0$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $H^0$ . By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.7,  $R' = (R_1 \times R_2) / \langle (-I_6, -I_2) \rangle$ , where  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{F}_q)$  (resp.  $\text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ ). As in §3.II, we define the subgroups  $A'_i(R_j)$  of  $R_j$  ( $i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2$ ). It is clear that  $A'_1(R_2) = \{\pm I_2\}$ . In any case  $A'_1(R_1)$  is conjugate to one of the following:  $\{\pm I_6\}$ ,  $\langle I_{4,2}, -I_6 \rangle$ ,  $\langle I_{4,2}, I_{2,4}, -I_6 \rangle$ . Note that  $R/R' \cong 1$  or  $Z_2$ . When  $A'_1(R_1) \neq \{\pm I_6\}$ , it always leads to  $A(R) = \Omega_1(Z(R))$  having order  $> 2$ . Thus,  $A'_1(R_1) = \{\pm I_6\}$ . Since  $\frac{6}{2}$  and  $\frac{2}{2}$  are odd, one further shows that  $A'_2(R_1) = \{\pm I_6\}$  and  $A'_2(R_2) = \{\pm I_2\}$ . Then,  $R_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) is a basic subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(n_j, \mathbb{F}_q)$ , where  $n_1 = 6$  and  $n_2 = 2$ . More precisely,

- When  $a = 2$  (i.e., when  $q^2 - 1$  is not a multiple of 16), the conjugacy class of  $R_j$  has three possibilities:

- (1)  $\{\pm I_{n_j}\}$ ;
  - (2)  $(Z_4 \cong) \text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 \subset \text{Sp}_{n_j}(q)$ ;
  - (3)  $(Q_8 \cong)$  Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_2(q) \subset \text{Sp}_{n_j}(q)$ .
- When  $a > 2$  (i.e., when  $q^2 - 1$  is a multiple of 16), the conjugacy class of  $R_j$  has five possibilities:
    - (1)  $\{\pm I_6\}$ ;
    - (2) a  $Q_8$  subgroup;
    - (3) another  $Q_8$  subgroup;
    - (4)  $\text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 \subset \text{Sp}_{n_j}(q)$ ;
    - (5) Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_2(q) \subset \text{Sp}_{n_j}(q)$ .

Note that  $R = O_2(N_H(R'))$  is always a radical 2-subgroup of  $H$ . However, there may exist other possibilities. To have a precise classification, one just calculates  $N_H(R')$  and classify radical 2-subgroups of  $N_H(R')/R'$  isomorphic to 1 or  $Z_2$ . For example, when  $R' = (\{\pm I_6\} \times \{\pm I_2\})/\langle(-I_6, -I_2)\rangle$ ,  $R$  has four possible conjugacy classes:

- (1)  $R'$ ;
- (2)  $\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc} & \eta I_3 \\ -\eta^{-1} I_3 & \end{array}\right), I_2\rangle$ ;
- (3)  $\langle(I_6, \left(\begin{array}{cc} & \eta \\ -\eta^{-1} & \end{array}\right))\rangle$ ;
- (4)  $\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc} & \eta I_3 \\ -\eta^{-1} I_3 & \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} & \eta \\ -\eta^{-1} & \end{array}\right)\rangle$ .

4.I.2. *The case of  $(r, s) = (0, 2)$ .* Assume that  $(r, s) = (0, 2)$ . Then, there are two  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms of  $A(R)$ , i.e., there are two conjugacy classes of such  $A(R)$  in  $G = \mathbf{F}_4(q)$ . Write  $H = Z_G(A(R))$  and let  $H^0$  be the derived subgroup of  $H$ .

(Inner class) Take

$$A(R) = \langle(I_6, -I_2), \left(\begin{array}{cc} & I_3 \\ -I_3 & \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{array}\right)\rangle$$

in  $((\text{Sp}(6, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \text{Sp}(2, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q))/\langle(-I_6, -I_2)\rangle)$ . Then,

$$H^0 \cong (\text{GL}_3(\varepsilon q) \times \text{GL}_1(\varepsilon q))/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle,$$

and  $H$  is generated by  $H^0$ ,

$$\tau_1 = \left(\eta \left(\begin{array}{cc} cI_3 & dI_3 \\ -dI_3 & cI_3 \end{array}\right), \eta \left(\begin{array}{cc} c & d \\ -d & c \end{array}\right)\right)$$

and

$$\theta_1 = \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} aI_3 & bI_3 \\ bI_3 & -aI_3 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b & -a \end{array}\right)\right),$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $a^2 + b^2 = -1$  and  $c^2 + d^2 = -\eta^{-2}$ . Note that  $\tau_1$  commutes with  $H^0$  and

$$\theta_1(X, \lambda)\theta_1^{-1} = ((X')^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}), \quad \forall (X, \lambda) \in \text{GL}_3 \times \text{GL}_1.$$

(Outer class) Take

$$A(R) = \langle(I_6, -I_2), \left(\begin{array}{cc} & \eta I_3 \\ -\eta^{-1} I_3 & \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} & \eta \\ -\eta^{-1} & \end{array}\right)\rangle$$

in  $((\text{Sp}(6, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q) \times \text{Sp}(2, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q))/\langle(-I_6, -I_2)\rangle)$ . Then,

$$H^0 \cong (\text{GL}_3(-\varepsilon q) \times \text{GL}_1(-\varepsilon q))/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle,$$

and  $H$  is generated by  $H^0$ ,

$$\tau_2 = \left( \begin{pmatrix} & \eta I_3 \\ -\eta^{-1} I_3 & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & \eta \\ -\eta^{-1} & \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \left( \begin{pmatrix} aI_3 & b\eta^2 I_3 \\ bI_3 & -aI_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b\eta^2 \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$  with  $a^2 + b^2\eta^2 = -1$ . Note that  $\tau_2$  commutes with  $H^0$  and

$$\theta_2(X, \lambda)\theta_2^{-1} = ((X')^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}), \quad \forall (X, \lambda) \in \mathrm{GL}_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1.$$

The following statement is clear.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any involution  $x \in G = \mathbf{F}_4(q)$  in the conjugacy class of  $\sigma_1$ ,  $[G^x, G^x]$  is an index 2 subgroup of  $G^x$ . If  $A = \langle x, y \rangle$  is a rank 2 elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $G$  with non-identity elements all in the class of  $\sigma_1$ , then it is in the inner class if and only if  $y \in [G^x, G^x]$ .*

For the inner class, let  $R' = R \cap H^0$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $H^0$ . By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.7,  $R' = (R_1 \times R_2)/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle$ , where  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_3(\varepsilon q)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)$ ). Like in the case of  $(r, s) = (0, 1)$ , again we have  $A'_1(R_1) = A'_2(R_1) = \{\pm I_3\}$  and  $A'_1(R_2) = A'_2(R_2) = \{\pm 1\}$ . Then,  $R_1 = \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 I_3$  and  $R_2 = \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2$ . Hence,  $R$  is conjugate to

$$\langle(\mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 I_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2)/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle, \tau_1\rangle$$

or

$$\langle(\mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2 I_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\varepsilon q)_2)/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle, \tau_1, \theta_1\rangle.$$

For the outer class, similarly one shows that:  $R$  is conjugate to

$$\langle(\mathrm{GL}_1(-\varepsilon q)_2 I_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1(-\varepsilon q)_2)/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle, \tau_2\rangle$$

or

$$\langle(\mathrm{GL}_1(-\varepsilon q)_2 I_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1(-\varepsilon q)_2)/\langle(-I_3, -1)\rangle, \tau_2, \theta_2\rangle.$$

4.I.3. *The case of  $(r, s) = (0, 3)$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *There are two conjugacy classes of rank 3 elementary abelian 2-subgroups  $A$  of  $G$  with all non-identity elements in the conjugacy class of  $\sigma_1$ .*

*Proof.* Define a function  $m : A \times A \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ . For two elements  $x, y \in A$ , if  $\langle x, y \rangle$  has rank  $< 2$ , define  $m(x, y) = 1$ ; if  $\langle x, y \rangle$  has rank 2 and it is the inner case as in Subsection 4.I.2, define  $m(x, y) = 1$ ; otherwise define  $m(x, y) = -1$ . It is clear that  $m$  is symmetric. By Lemma 4.1,  $m$  is multiplicative for both variables. Then,  $\ker m = 1$  or 3. With direct calculation in  $G^x$  ( $1 \neq x \in A$ ), one shows that there exists a unique conjugacy class of  $A$  for either value of  $\ker m$ .  $\square$

Now assume that  $(r, s) = (0, 3)$ . By Lemma 4.2, there are two  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms of  $A(R)$ . i.e., there are two conjugacy classes of such  $A(R)$  in  $G = \mathbf{F}_4(q)$ . In any case, we have  $Z_G(A(R)) = G' \times A(R)$  with  $G' \cong \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . Then,  $R = A(R)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $R$  is a radical subgroup of  $G$  with  $B(R) = 1$ , then  $R$  is not a principal weight subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  be a principal weight subgroup of  $G$  with  $B(R) = 1$ . Then  $r = 0$  and  $s = \text{rank } \Omega_1(Z(R))$ . By Remark 2.18,  $R$  is also a principal weight subgroup of  $Z_G(A(R))$ . According to Lemma 2.15, there is a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $Z_G(A(R))$  such that  $Z(S) \subset Z(R) \subset R \subset S$ . From the above calculation for  $Z_G(A(R))$ , one shows that: in any case,  $\Omega_1(Z(S))$  contains an element in the conjugacy class of  $\sigma_2$ . Hence,  $Z(S) \subset Z(R)$  could not hold. This gives a contradiction and thus  $R$  is not a principal weight subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$

4.II. **The case of  $r = 1$ .** When  $r = 1$ , we have  $B(R) \cong Z_2$  and  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(B(R)) \cong \text{Spin}_{9,+}(q)$ .

Moreover, as  $B(R)$  is normalized by  $N_G(R)$ . We have  $N_G(R) \subset N_G(B(R)) = Z_G(B(R))$ . Therefore, any radical 2-subgroup  $R$  of  $Z_G(B(R))$  (with giving  $B(R)$ ) is also a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Note that the condition of  $\text{rank } B(R) = 1$  poses a constraint on  $R$  as a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(B(R)) \cong \text{Spin}_{9,+}(q)$ .

Consider the projection  $p : \text{Spin}_{9,+}(q) \rightarrow \Omega_{9,+}(q)$  and inclusion  $\Omega_{9,+}(q) \rightarrow \text{O}_{9,+}(q)$ . Any radical 2-subgroup  $R$  of  $\text{Spin}_{9,+}(q)$  is of the form  $R = p^{-1}(R' \cap \Omega_{9,+})$  for some radical 2-subgroup  $R'$  of  $\text{O}_{9,+}(q)$ . Any  $R'$  is the form  $R' = R'_1 \times \cdots \times R'_s$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_q^9 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} V_i$  is an orthogonal decomposition and  $R'_i$  is a basic subgroup of  $I(V_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). Except when  $\dim V_i$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V_i) = 1$ , we have  $R'_i = \{\pm I\}$ . When  $\dim V_i$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V_i) = 1$ ,  $R'_i$  is classified as in Lemma 3.39. Using parities of  $R'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ), we can calculate  $R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}$  and then  $R = p^{-1}(R' \cap \Omega_{9,+})$ . We caution that some non-equal (resp. non-conjugate)  $R'$  may lead to equal (resp. conjugate)  $R$ . After excluding those ones with  $\text{rank } B(R) > 1$  and clarifying conjugate ones, we reach a classification of radical 2-subgroups of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 1$ .

In Table 2, we list some radical subgroup of  $G$ , say  $R_1$ – $R_{21}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $R$  is a principal weight subgroup of  $G$  with  $|B(R)| = 2$ , then  $R$  is conjugate to one of  $R_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 21$ ) as in Table 2.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a principal weight subgroup of  $G$  with  $|B(R)| = 2$ . Let  $H = Z_G(B(R))$  so that  $H \cong \text{Spin}_{9,+}(q)$ . By Remark 2.18,  $R$  is also a principal weight subgroup of  $H$ . We identify the principal weights of  $H$  with the principal weights of  $\Omega_{9,+}(q)$ , which implies that  $p(R)$  is a principal weight subgroup of  $\Omega_{9,+}(q)$ . According to Remark 2.19, there is a principal weight subgroup  $R'$  of  $\text{O}_{9,+}$  such that  $p(R) = R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}$ . By Proposition 3.61 and Remark 3.47, we deduce that  $R$  is conjugate to one of  $R_1$ – $R_{21}$ .  $\square$

Among radical 2-subgroups in Table 2,  $R_2, R_4, R_5, R_6, R_{12}$  lead to subgroups  $R$  with  $B(R) \cong Z_2^2$ , so should be excluded. All others lead to subgroups  $R$  with  $B(R) \cong Z_2$ . Let  $R'$  be one of  $R_2, R_4, R_5, R_6, R_{12}$ . Write  $R = p^{-1}(R' \cap \Omega_{9,+})$ .

- (1) For  $R' = R_2$ ,  $R_{1,0,0,0}^0 = \{\pm 1\}$  has parity  $(1, 0)$  and  $R_{1,1,0,(3)}^0$  has parity  $(0, 1)$ . Then,  $R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}(q) = R_{1,1,0,(3)}^0 \cap \Omega_{8,+}(q)$ . Therefore,  $B(R) \cong (Z_2)^2$ .
- (2) For  $R' = R_4$ ,  $R_{1,0,0,0}^0 = \{\pm 1\}$  has parity  $(1, 0)$  and  $R_{1,1,0,(1,2)}^0$  has parity  $(0, 1)$ . Then,  $R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}(q) = R_{1,1,0,(1,2)}^0 \cap \Omega_{8,+}(q)$ . Therefore,  $B(R) \cong (Z_2)^2$ .
- (3) For  $R' = R_5$ ,  $R_{1,0,0,0}^0 = \{\pm 1\}$  has parity  $(1, 0)$  and  $R_{1,0,2,0}^4$  has parity  $(0, 0)$ . Then,  $R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}(q) = R_{1,0,2,0}^4 \cap \Omega_{8,+}(q)$ . Therefore,  $B(R) \cong (Z_2)^2$ .
- (4) For  $R' = R_6$ ,  $R_{1,0,0,0}^0 = \{\pm 1\}$  has parity  $(1, 0)$  and  $R_{1,0,1,(1)}^4$  has parity  $(0, 0)$ . Then,  $R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}(q) = R_{1,0,1,(1)}^4 \cap \Omega_{8,+}(q)$ . Therefore,  $B(R) \cong (Z_2)^2$ .
- (5) For  $R' = R_{12}$ ,  $R_{1,0,0,0}^0 = \{\pm 1\}$  has parity  $(1, 0)$ ,  $R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0$  has parity  $(0, 1)$  and  $R_{1,0,1,0}^4$  has parity  $(0, 0)$ . Then,  $R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}(q) = (R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,1,0}^4) \cap \Omega_{8,+}(q)$ . Therefore,  $B(R) \cong (Z_2)^2$ .

	$R'$	parity	$\log_2  R/\{\pm 1\} $	$\log_2  Z(R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}) $
$R_1$	$R_{1,0,0,(3)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	(1,0)	11	1
$R_2$	$R_{1,1,0,(3)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$		
$R_3$	$R_{1,0,0,(1,2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	(1,0)	13	1
$R_4$	$R_{1,1,0,(1,2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$		
$R_5$	$R_{1,0,2,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	(1,0)		
$R_6$	$R_{1,0,1,(1)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	(1,0)		
$R_7$	$R_{1,0,0,(1,1)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	$4a + 6$	1
$R_8$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	$4a + 5$	1
$R_9$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	11	2
$R_{10}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	(1,0)	$a + 9$	2
$R_{11}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	$2a + 8$	2
$R_{12}$	$R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$		
$R_{13}$	$R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	$a + 8$	2
$R_{14}$	$R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	$3a + 5$	2
$R_{15}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times (R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^3$	$(Z_2)^2$	$a + 8$	4
$R_{16}$	$R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times (R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^3$	$(Z_2)^2$	$a + 8$	4
$R_{17}$	$R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times (R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^3$	$(Z_2)^2$	$2a + 5$	4
$R_{18}$	$R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times (R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^3$	$(Z_2)^2$	$3a + 5$	4
$R_{19}$	$(R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4)^3 \times (R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^3$	$(Z_2)^2$	$3a + 4$	5
$R_{20}$	$R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0 \times (R_{1,1,0,\emptyset}^0)^6$	$(Z_2)^2$	$a + 6$	6
$R_{21}$	$(R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^3 \times (R_{1,1,0,\emptyset}^0)^6$	$(Z_2)^2$	7	7

TABLE 2. Principal weight subgroups of  $\text{Spin}_{9,+}$

**Lemma 4.5.** *For any weight subgroups  $R'$  of  $O_{9,+}$  except  $R_2, R_4, R_5, R_6, R_{12}$  in Table 2. Write  $R'' = R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}$ . Then  $R' = O_2(N_{O_{9,+}}(R''))$ . In particular, the conjugacy class of  $R''$  determines that of  $R'$ .*

*Proof.* For each weight subgroups  $R'$  of  $O_{9,+}$  except  $R_2, R_4, R_5, R_6, R_{12}$  in Table 2, we can calculate  $R'' = R' \cap \Omega_{9,+}$  from parities of elements of  $R'$ . Then, we can calculate  $Z(R'')$  and  $N_{O_{9,+}}(Z(R''))$ . It is clear that  $N_{O_{9,+}}(R'') \subset N_{O_{9,+}}(Z(R''))$ . Finally, we can calculate  $N_{O_{9,+}}(R'')$  and see that  $R' = O_2(N_{O_{9,+}}(R''))$ .  $\square$

4.III. **The case of  $r = 2$ .** When  $r = 2$ ,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of

$$Z_G(B(R)) \cong \text{Spin}(V) \cong \text{Spin}_{8,+}(q),$$

where  $V$  is an 8-dimensional orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with discriminant 1. Consider the projection  $p : \text{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \Omega(V)$ . Any radical 2-subgroup  $R$  of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  is of the form  $R = p^{-1}(R' \cap \Omega(V))$  for some radical 2-subgroup  $R'$  of  $O(V)$ . Note that

$$N_G(B(R))/Z_G(B(R)) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3.$$

Choose an orthogonal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$  of  $V$  with  $(e_i, e_i) = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 8$ ). Define

$$z := e_1 \cdots e_8 \in \text{Spin}(V).$$

Then,  $Z(\text{Spin}(V)) = \{1, -1, z, -z\} \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$ . We have  $p(z) = -I \in \Omega(V)$ . Note that field automorphisms and diagonal automorphisms fix  $-1, z, -z$ , and triality (graph automorphism) permutes them. There are four involution classes in  $P\Omega(V)$  with representatives  $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$  as follows:

$$y_1 := [I_{2,6}], \quad y_2 := [I_{4,4}], \quad y_3 := [J_4], \quad y_4 := \text{diag}\{-J_1, J_3\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= e_1 e_2, \\ x_2 &= e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4, \\ x_3 &= \frac{1 + e_1 e_5}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_2 e_6}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_3 e_7}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_4 e_8}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ x_4 &= \frac{1 - e_1 e_2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_3 e_6}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_4 e_7}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_5 e_8}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $x_i \in \text{Spin}(V)$  is a pre-image of  $y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). We have  $x_1^2 = -1$ ,  $x_3^2 = z$ ,  $x_4^2 = -z$  and  $x_2^2 = 1$ . Note that, triality stabilizes the conjugacy class containing  $y_2$  and permutes three conjugacy classes containing  $y_1, y_3, y_4$ , respectively. Let  $\pi : \text{O}(V) \rightarrow \text{PO}(V)$  be the projection map. Define  $\tilde{A}(R)$  to be the pre-image of  $\Omega_1(Z(\pi(p(R))))$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $R$  is a principal weight subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 2$ , then  $R$  is conjugate to one of  $R_k$  ( $22 \leq k \leq 37$ ) as in Table 3.*

*Proof.* Similar as the proof of Lemma 4.4. □

	$R'$	parity	$\log_2  R/Z(\text{Spin}(V)) $	$\log_2  \tilde{A}(R)/Z(\text{Spin}(V)) $
$R_{22}$	$R_{1,0,0,(3)}^0$	(1,0)	9	3
$R_{23}$	$R_{1,1,0,(3)}^0$	(0,1)	9	3
$R_{24}$	$R_{1,0,0,(1,2)}^0$	(1,0)	11	1
$R_{25}$	$R_{1,1,0,(1,2)}^0$	(0,1)	11	1
$R_{26}$	$R_{1,0,2,\emptyset}^4$	0	$a + 4$	5
$R_{27}$	$R_{1,0,1,(1)}^4$	0	$2a + 6$	1
$R_{28}$	$R_{1,0,0,(1,1)}^4$	$(Z_2)^2$	$4a + 4$	1
$R_{29}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^4$	$(Z_2)^2$	$4a + 3$	2
$R_{30}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0$	$(Z_2)^2$	9	1
$R_{31}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4$	(1,0)	$a + 7$	1
$R_{32}$	$R_{1,0,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4$	$(Z_2)^2$	$2a + 6$	1
$R_{33}$	$R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4$	(0,1)	$a + 7$	1
$R_{34}$	$R_{1,1,0,(2)}^0 \times R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4$	$(Z_2)^2$	$2a + 6$	1
$R_{35}$	$R_{1,0,1,\emptyset}^4 \times R_{1,0,0,(1)}^4$	$(Z_2)^2$	$3a + 3$	1
$R_{36}$	$R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times (R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^0)^6$	$(Z_2)^2$	$a + 4$	5
$R_{37}$	$R_{1,0,0,\emptyset}^4 \times (R_{1,1,0,\emptyset}^0)^6$	$(Z_2)^2$	$a + 4$	5

TABLE 3. Principal weight subgroups of  $\text{Spin}_{8,+}$

The group  $\tilde{A}(R)$  has the following characterization.

**Lemma 4.7.** *An element  $x$  of  $R$  is contained in  $\tilde{A}(R)$  if and only if*

$$yxy^{-1}x^{-1} \in \{1, -1, z, -z\} (\forall y \in R) \text{ and } x^2 \in \{1, -1, z, -z\}.$$

Let  $\Gamma \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Z_G(B(R)))/\text{Int}(Z_G(B(R)))$  generated by diagonal automorphisms and graph automorphism. We identify  $\Gamma$  with  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ , identify

$$\Gamma \supset N_G(B(R))/Z_G(B(R))$$

with the subgroup

$$S = \langle (12), (13) \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_3,$$

identify  $\Gamma \supset O(V)/\Omega(V)$  with the subgroup

$$E = \langle (12), (34) \rangle \cong (Z_2)^2.$$

Let

$$\Gamma \supset N := \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \cong (Z_2)^2$$

and

$$\Gamma \supset D := \langle (12), (34), (13)(24) \rangle \cong D_8.$$

Then,  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $D$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $\sigma \in D - E$ . If  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  (resp.  $R$  appears in Table 3), then so is  $\sigma(R)$  (resp.  $\sigma(R)$  is conjugate to one in Table 3). For any  $x \in R$ , the parity of  $\sigma(x)$  is  $(t_2, t_1)$  if the parity of  $x$  is  $(t_1, t_2)$ , where  $t_1, t_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement is clear. Choose a generator  $\delta_0$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  and choose an element  $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $t^2 = \delta_0$ . We may realize  $\sigma$  as  $\sigma = \text{Ad}(tY)$ , where  $Y \in \text{GL}(V)$  with

$$(Yu, Yv) = \delta_0^{-1}(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

For any  $v \in V$  with  $(v, v) \neq 0$ , we have  $(Yv, Yv)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = \delta_0^{-\frac{q-1}{2}}(v, v)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = -(v, v)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . This implies the second statement of this lemma.  $\square$

We aim to determine  $S$  orbits on conjugacy classes of weight subgroups in Table 3 and calculate stabilizers. To achieve this goal, we consider the action of the larger group  $\Gamma$ , find representatives of orbits and calculate stabilizers. Then, the analysis of double cosets  $S \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_{[R]}$  leads to our goal, where  $[R]$  means the  $Z_G(B(R))$  conjugacy class containing  $R$ ; write  $\Gamma_{[R]}$  (resp.  $S_{[R]}$ ) for the stabilizer of  $\Gamma$  (resp.  $S$ ) at  $[R]$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *The following are representatives of  $S$  orbits of weight subgroups in Table 3 and their stabilizers in  $\Gamma$  and  $S$ :*

- (a1-1)  $R_{36}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = E$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{26}, R_{36}, R_{37}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle (12) \rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{36}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{26}$ .
- (a1-2)  $R_{37}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = E$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{26}, R_{36}, R_{37}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle (12) \rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{37}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{26}$ .
- (a2-1)  $R_{32}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = E$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{27}, R_{32}, R_{34}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle (12) \rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{32}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{27}$ .
- (a2-2)  $R_{34}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = E$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{27}, R_{32}, R_{34}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle (12) \rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{34}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{27}$ .
- (b1-1)  $R_{22}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{22}, R_{23}$ ;  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy classes from  $R_{22}$ .

- (b1-2)  $R_{23}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \langle(13), (34)\rangle$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{22}$ ,  $R_{23}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle(13)\rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{22}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{23}$ .
- (b2-1)  $R_{24}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{24}$ ,  $R_{25}$ ;  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy classes from  $R_{24}$ .
- (b2-2)  $R_{25}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \langle(13), (34)\rangle$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{24}$ ,  $R_{25}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle(13)\rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{24}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{25}$ .
- (b3-1)  $R_{31}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{31}$ ,  $R_{33}$ ;  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy classes from  $R_{31}$ .
- (b3-2)  $R_{33}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \langle(13), (34)\rangle$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of all conjugacy classes from  $R_{31}$ ,  $R_{33}$ ;  $S_{[R]} = \langle(13)\rangle$ ,  $S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{31}$  and two conjugacy classes from  $R_{33}$ .
- (c1)  $R_{28}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \Gamma$  and  $S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R] = S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{28}$ .
- (c2)  $R_{29}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \Gamma$  and  $S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R] = S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{29}$ .
- (c3)  $R_{30}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \Gamma$  and  $S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R] = S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{30}$ .
- (c4)  $R_{35}$ :  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \Gamma$  and  $S_{[R]} = S$ ,  $\Gamma \cdot [R] = S \cdot [R]$  consists of a conjugacy class from  $R_{35}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $a \geq 2$ . By comparing orders of  $R/Z(\text{Spin}(V))$  and  $A(R)/Z(\text{Spin}(V))$ , we divide possible  $\Gamma$  conjugate radical subgroups in Table 3 into groups with few exceptions:

- (a1) :  $R_{26}, R_{36}, R_{37}$ .  
(a2) :  $R_{27}, R_{32}, R_{34}$ .  
(b1) :  $R_{22}, R_{23}$ .  
(b2) :  $R_{24}, R_{25}$ .  
(b3) :  $R_{31}, R_{33}$ .  
(c1) :  $R_{28}$ .  
(c2) :  $R_{29}$ .  
(c3) :  $R_{30}$ .  
(c4) :  $R_{35}$ .

The few exceptional cases are: (1) when  $a = 3$ , those in groups (a2) and (c3) have the same orders; (2) when  $a = 4$ , those in groups (b2) and (b3) have the same orders. These exceptions are distinguished in the following argument.

(a) Take  $R = R_{36}$  (resp.  $R_{32}$ ) in group (a1) (resp. group (a2)). By Lemma 4.8, we get  $D_{[R]} = E$ . Then,  $\Gamma_{[R]} = E$  since no other subgroup of  $\Gamma$  having intersection with  $D$  being equal to  $E$ . Then,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of 6 conjugacy classes. Analyzing double cosets in  $S \setminus \Gamma / D$ , we get the conclusion.

(b) Take  $R$  one in groups (b1)-(b3). By Lemma 4.8, we get  $D_{[R]} = E_{[R]} = \langle(12)\rangle, \langle(34)\rangle$  or  $E$ . Using the argument in (a), one shows that  $D_{[R]} = E_{[R]} = E$  could not happen. We further show that  $\Gamma_{[R]} \sim S$ . Otherwise,  $\Gamma_{[R]}$  have order 2 and there should exist at least  $|\Gamma/\Gamma_{[R]}| = 12$  conjugacy classes of radical 2-subgroups in Table 3 having the same order as  $R$ , which is not the case. Then,  $\Gamma \cdot [R]$  consists of 4 conjugacy classes. Analyzing double cosets in  $S \setminus \Gamma / S$ , we get the conclusion.

(c) Take  $R$  one in groups (c1)-(c4). By Lemma 4.8, we get  $D_{[R]} = D$ . Moreover, we have  $\Gamma_{[R]} = \Gamma$  since  $\sigma([R])$  ( $\sigma \in \Gamma - D$ ) could not be a conjugacy class in any other group. Then,  $\Gamma \cdot [R] = [R]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *For any weight subgroup  $R'$  of  $O_{8,+}$  in Table 3, write  $R'' = R' \cap \Omega_{8,+}$ . Then, the parity of  $N_{O_{8,+}}(R'')$  is the same as that of  $R'$ .*

*Proof.* This is implied by the formula of  $\Gamma_{[R]}$  shown above. Alternatively, one could perform direct computation to reach this statement.  $\square$

With Lemma 4.9, we classify principal weight subgroups  $R$  of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 2$ . Similar method applies to classify radical 2-subgroups of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 2$  as well. Again, the crucial step is to classify  $S$  orbits of radical 2-subgroups and calculate their stabilizers in  $\Gamma$  and  $S$ . Due to there are much more candidates of radical 2-subgroups, the required computing load is heavier.

5. THE INDUCTIVE BAW CONDITION FOR GROUPS OF TYPES  $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{D}_n, {}^2\mathbf{D}_n$  AND  $\mathbf{F}_4$

In this section, we investigate the inductive BAW condition for 2-blocks of quasi-simple groups of types  $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{D}_n, {}^2\mathbf{D}_n$  and  $\mathbf{F}_4$  and prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a simple algebraic group over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  where  $q$  is prime power, and  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  be a Frobenius endomorphism endowing an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -structure on  $\mathbf{G}$ . Recall that an element  $t \in \mathbf{G}$  is called *quasi-isolated* in  $\mathbf{G}$  if the centralizer  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(t)$  is not contained in a proper Levi subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Quasi-isolated elements have been classified in [20].

Let  $\mathbf{G}^*$  be the Langlands dual of  $\mathbf{G}$  with corresponding Frobenius endomorphism also denoted by  $F$ . For a semisimple element  $s$  of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F}$ , we denote the Lusztig series corresponding to  $s$  by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$ . Every element  $s \in Z(\mathbf{G}^{*F})$  defines a linear character of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  (see [33, (8.19)]), which is denoted by  $\hat{s}$ . For a prime  $p$  (with  $p \nmid q$ ) and a semisimple  $p'$ -element  $s$  of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_p(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  the union of the Lusztig series  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^F, st)$ , where  $t$  runs through semisimple  $p$ -elements of  $\mathbf{G}^{*F}$  commuting with  $s$ . By [28], the set  $\mathcal{E}_p(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  is a union of  $p$ -blocks of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . If a semisimple  $p'$ -element  $s$  is quasi-isolated, then the blocks in  $\mathcal{E}_p(\mathbf{G}^F, s)$  are called *quasi-isolated blocks* of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . The quasi-isolated blocks of exceptional groups have been classified in [60].

The following assumption comes from the reduction of the inductive BAW condition to quasi-isolated blocks; see Hypothesis 5.5 and Theorem 5.7 of [46].

**Assumption 5.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  is a regular embedding and  $D$  is the group consisting of field and graph automorphisms of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Assume that  $p \nmid q$ . In every  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ -orbit of  $\text{IBr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  there exists a Brauer character  $\psi \in \text{IBr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  such that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{G}} \rtimes D)_{\psi} = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\psi} \rtimes D_{\psi}$ , and  $\psi$  extends to  $\mathbf{G}^F \rtimes D_{\psi}$ .*

Thanks to a recent work of Späth [83, Thm. A], Assumption 5.1 holds if  $\mathbf{G}^F$  has an  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ -stable unitriangular basic set.

**5.1. Groups of type  $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{D}_n$  and  ${}^2\mathbf{D}_n$ .** Let  $q$  be an odd prime power and let  $V$  be a non-degenerate finite-dimensional orthogonal space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Write  $G = \text{Spin}(V)$ ,  $I = \text{O}(V)$ ,  $H = \text{SO}(V)$  and  $w = \dim(V)$ . Let  $F$  be a Frobenius endomorphism such that  $G = \mathbf{G}^F$  and  $H = \mathbf{H}^F$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  are the spin group and special orthogonal group on  $\mathbf{V}$  respectively. Here  $\mathbf{V}$  is a non-degenerate  $w$ -dimensional orthogonal space over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ .

We recall the parametrisation of unipotent conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{H}$  (cf. [89]). To every unipotent class of  $\mathbf{H}$  we have a corresponding partition  $\lambda \vdash w$  such that any even part occurs an even number of times in  $\lambda$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_w$  for such partitions  $\lambda$ . Let  $c_i$  denote the number of parts of  $\lambda$  equals to  $i$ . Let  $a(\lambda)$  be the number of odd  $i$  with  $c_i > 0$ , and  $\kappa(\lambda)$  be the largest number in the set  $\{c_i \mid i \text{ is odd and } c_i > 0\}$ . In particular,  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) > 0$  if  $w$  is odd. Write  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  for the unipotent class of  $\mathbf{H}$  parametrised by  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is counted twice when  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . Sometimes we also write  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  as  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^{\pm}$  for the situation  $a(\lambda) = 0$  to distinguish those two conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  is  $I$ -stable if  $a(\lambda) > 0$ , while  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^+$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^-$  are fused by  $I$  when  $a(\lambda) = 0$ .

For the unipotent conjugacy classes of  $H$ , we have that  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^F = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{ty}(V) = -$  and  $a(\lambda) = 0$  (see for example [66, §3 and §7]). Define  $b(\lambda) = a(\lambda) - 1$  or  $0$  according as  $a(\lambda) > 0$  or  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^F$  consists of one conjugacy classes in  $H$  if  $\text{ty}(V) = +$  and  $a(\lambda) = 0$ , while  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}^F$  consists of  $2^{b(\lambda)}$  conjugacy classes in  $H$  if  $a(\lambda) > 0$ .

Denote by  $u(X)$  the number of unipotent conjugacy classes of a finite reductive group  $X$ . Now we determine  $u(I)$ , which also follows by [89, (2.6.17)]. First,  $u(\mathcal{O}_w^+(q)) + u(\mathcal{O}_w^-(q))$  is the coefficient of  $t^w$  in the generating function

$$(5.2) \quad (1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^k) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right) (1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{3k}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \cdots = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + t^{2k-1})^2}{(1 - t^{2k})}.$$

Next,  $u(\mathcal{O}_w^+(q)) - u(\mathcal{O}_w^-(q))$  is the coefficient of  $t^w$  in

$$(5.3) \quad \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \cdots = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - t^{4k}}.$$

In particular,  $u(\mathcal{O}_w^+(q)) \neq u(\mathcal{O}_w^-(q))$  occurs only when  $4 \mid w$ .

Denote by  $\omega_0(X)$  the number of conjugacy classes of principal weights of a finite group  $X$ . By the description of principal weights in §3, we determine  $\omega_0(I)$ . We mention that this is verified in [12], but for late use we still give some sketch. By the construction of weights in [5, §6], a radical 2-subgroup  $R$  of  $I$  is a principal weight 2-subgroup if and only if  $R$  is described as in Proposition 3.46 and Remark 3.47, and every radical 2-subgroup of  $I$  affords at most one weight for a given block. The associated basic subgroups are listed in Table 1. In addition, the multiplicity of each basic subgroup is a triangular number. Therefore, using the 2-core tower structure of partitions (cf. [3, (1A)]), the principal 2-weights of  $I$  are in bijection with the tuples  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \kappa_+, \kappa_-, \kappa)$  such that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$  are partitions,  $\kappa_+, \kappa_-, \kappa$  are 2-cores such that  $\text{disc}(V) = (-1)^{|\kappa_-|}$  and

$$(5.4) \quad 4(|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| + |\lambda_3| + |\lambda_4|) + |\kappa_+| + |\kappa_-| + 2|\kappa| = w.$$

So  $\omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,+}(q)) + \omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,-}(q))$  is the coefficient of  $t^w$  in

$$(5.5) \quad \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right)^4 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right)^4 \cdots \vartheta(t)^2 \vartheta(t^2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + t^k}{(1 - t^k)(1 + t^{2k})^2}.$$

Here  $\vartheta(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$ , and we use Jacobi's identity  $\vartheta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + t^k)(1 - t^{2k})$ . Now we determine  $\omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,+}(q)) - \omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,-}(q))$ . Since  $\omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,+}(q)) = \omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,-}(q))$  when  $w$  is odd, we may assume that  $w$  is even. Note that  $\sum_{\mu} t^{|\mu|} = \frac{\vartheta(t) + \vartheta(-t)}{2}$  and  $\sum_{\mu'} t^{|\mu'|} = \frac{\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(-t)}{2}$  where  $\mu, \mu'$  run through all 2-cores such that  $|\mu|$  and  $|\mu'|$  are even and odd respectively. Thus  $\omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,+}(q)) - \omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,-}(q))$  is the coefficient of  $t^w$  in the generating function

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right)^4 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right)^4 \cdots \vartheta(t^2) \left( \left( \frac{\vartheta(t) + \vartheta(-t)}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\vartheta(t) - \vartheta(-t)}{2} \right)^2 \right)$$

and thus using identities  $\vartheta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-t^{2k})^2}{1-t^k}$ ,  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{4k-2}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + t^{2k})$  and  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^k)(1-(-t)^k)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+t^{2k}}{(1-t^{2k})^2}$ , we see that the above generating function is equal to

$$(5.6) \quad \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-t^{2k})^3}{(1-t^{4k})^2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+t^{2k}}{(1-t^{2k})^2} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{4k}}.$$

In particular,  $\omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,+}(q)) \neq \omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,-}(q))$  occurs only when  $4 \mid w$ , and this forces that  $\omega_0(\mathcal{O}_{w,\epsilon}(q)) = \omega_0(\mathcal{O}_w^{\epsilon}(q))$  for any  $w$  and any  $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ . Notice that  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + t^k)^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + t^{2k-1})^2 (1 + t^{2k})^2$ , therefore, by (5.2), (5.3), (5.5) and (5.6), one gets that  $|\omega_0(I)| = |u(I)|$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *The blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for the principal 2-block of  $H = \text{SO}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* By [35, Prop. 2.7],  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H)) = u(H)$ , and thus it suffices to show that  $|\omega_0(H)| = |u(H)|$ . First we calculate  $u(H)$ . If  $w$  is odd or  $\text{ty}(V) = -$ , then  $u(H) = u(I)$ . If  $w$  is even and  $\text{ty}(V) = +$ , then  $u(H) - u(I)$  is equal to the number of the partitions  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  with  $a(\lambda) = 0$ , that is, the coefficient of  $t^w$  in (5.3). In particular,  $u(H) \neq u(I)$  occurs only when  $4 \mid w$  and  $\text{ty}(V) = +$ .

Next, we determine  $\omega_0(H)$ . Note that a principal weight of  $I$  covers one or two principal weights of  $H$ , and every principal weight of  $H$  is covered by a unique principal weight of  $I$ . The parity of the group  $H$  is  $(1, 1)$ , thus by Corollary 2.22 and Proposition 3.61, a principal weight  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $I$  covers two principal weights of  $H$  if and only if the parity of  $R$  is 0, which occurs only when  $4 \mid w$  and  $\text{ty}(V) = +$ . By Table 1, the principal weights of  $I$  which covers two principal weights of  $H$  are in bijection with the partitions  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  with  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . Thus  $\omega_0(H) = \omega_0(I)$  is also equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in (5.3). In particular,  $u(H) \neq u(I)$  occurs only when  $4 \mid w$  and  $\text{ty}(V) = +$ . From the arguments above, we get that  $|\omega_0(H)| = |u(H)|$ .  $\square$

Under the natural isogeny  $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ , the unipotent classes in these two algebraic groups are in bijection. From this we use the same parametrisation for unipotent conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$ . Moreover, this isogeny induces a bijection between the unipotent classes of  $G$  and of  $\Omega(V)$ . Define  $\delta(\lambda) = 1$  if  $a(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\delta(\lambda) = a(\lambda)$  if  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , and  $\delta(\lambda) = a(\lambda) - 1$  if  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$ .

We write  $A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}$  (resp.  $A_{\mathbf{G},\lambda}$ ) for the component group  $A_{\mathbf{H}}(u) = Z_{\mathbf{H}}(u)/Z_{\mathbf{H}}^{\circ}(u)$  (resp.  $A_{\mathbf{G}}(u) = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(u)/Z_{\mathbf{G}}^{\circ}(u)$ ) for  $u \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$ . The component group  $A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}$  is an elementary abelian 2-group of order  $2^{b(\lambda)}$ ; see [84, IV, 2.26 and 2.27]. In [67, §14], Lusztig gave a description of the component group  $A_{\mathbf{G},\lambda}$ , which has order  $2^{\delta(\lambda)}$  and contains  $A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}$  as a subgroup. In particular,  $A_{\mathbf{G},\lambda} = A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}$  if  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$ ,  $|A_{\mathbf{G},\lambda}/A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}| = 2$  if  $a(\lambda) = 0$  or  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , and  $A_{\mathbf{G},\lambda}$  is non-abelian if  $a(\lambda) > 2$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ . By [86, Lemma 2.39], if  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , then the group  $A_{\mathbf{G},\lambda}$  has  $2^{b(\lambda)} + 1$  or  $2^{b(\lambda)} + 2$  conjugacy classes according as  $w$  is odd or even.

Let  $X$  be arbitrary finite group and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\sigma$ -conjugate if there exists a  $g \in X$  with  $x_2 = \sigma(g)x_1g^{-1}$ . The equivalence classes for this relation are called  $\sigma$ -conjugacy classes of  $H$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $X$  be a finite group and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ .*

- (1) *The number of  $\sigma$ -conjugacy classes of  $X$  is equal to the number of the conjugacy classes of  $X$  fixed by  $\sigma$ .*
- (2) *If  $\sigma$  induces an inner automorphism on  $X$ , then the number of  $\sigma$ -conjugacy classes of  $X$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Then  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\sigma$ -conjugate in  $X$  if and only if  $x_1\sigma$  and  $x_2\sigma$  are  $X$ -conjugate in the semidirect product  $X \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ . So the number of  $\sigma$ -conjugacy classes of  $H$  is equal to the number of  $X$ -conjugacy classes in the coset  $X\sigma$ .

(1) Let  $g \in X$ . Denote by  $\text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)$  the fixed point under the conjugacy action of  $g$  on  $X\sigma$ . If  $x_1\sigma, x_2\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)$ . Then  $x_1x_2^{-1} = (x_1\sigma)(x_2\sigma)^{-1} = (gx_1\sigma g^{-1})(gx_2\sigma g^{-1})^{-1} = g(x_1x_2^{-1})g^{-1}$ , which implies that  $x_1x_2^{-1} \in Z_X(g)$ . So  $|\text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)| \leq |Z_X(g)|$ . On the other hand, if  $x\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)$  and  $c \in Z_X(g)$ , then  $cx\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)$ , and thus  $|Z_X(g)| \leq |\text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)|$ . Therefore,  $|\text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)| = |Z_X(g)|$  if  $\text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g) \neq \emptyset$ .

For  $x \in X$ , we have that  $x\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g)$  if and only if  $x\sigma = g(x\sigma)g^{-1} = (gxg^{-1})(g\sigma g^{-1}\sigma^{-1})\sigma$ , and thus if and only if  $x^{-1}gx = \sigma g\sigma^{-1}$ . This implies that  $\text{Fix}_{X\sigma}(g) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if the conjugacy class of  $X$  containing  $g$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Hence the assertion follows by Burnside's Lemma.  $\blacksquare$

(2) This follows by (1) immediately.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $X$  be a dihedral group of order 8.*

- (1) Let  $W$  be a set acted by  $X$  such that  $Z(X)$  acts transitively on  $W$ . Then  $W$  is a singleton.
- (2) Write  $X = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle$ . Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be two sets acted by  $X$  with  $|W_1| = |W_2| < \infty$ . Suppose that there is a bijection  $f$  between the  $Z(X)$ -orbits on  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  which preserves  $Z(X)$ -orbit lengths. Then there exists an  $X$ -equivariant bijection between  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  if the following conditions hold.
- (a) If  $O$  is a  $Z(X)$ -orbit on  $W_1$ , then  $f(\{x.O \mid x \in X\}) = \{x.f(O) \mid x \in X\}$ , that is,  $f$  preserves the  $X$ -orbits on the  $Z(X)$ -orbits.
- (b) If  $O$  is a  $Z(X)$ -orbit on  $W_1$  with length one, then  $f(x.O) = x.f(O)$  for any  $x \in X$ .
- (c) If  $O$  is a  $Z(X)$ -orbit on  $W_1$  with length two and satisfying that  $|X_w| = 2$  for  $w \in O$ , then  $O$  is  $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ -stable if and only if  $f(O)$  is  $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ -stable.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W$ . If  $W$  is not a singleton, then  $|W| = 2$  and the stabilizer  $X_w$  of  $w$  in  $X$  has four elements. Moreover,  $X = Z(X)X_w$ . However, this is impossible as  $Z(X)$  is contained in any normal subgroup of  $X$ . Thus (1) holds.

For (2), we mention that  $Z(X) = \langle a^2 \rangle$ . By (a), it suffices to prove this lemma for the situation that the actions of  $X$  on  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are transitive.

Now we assume that  $X$  acts transitively on  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ . By (b), we may assume that  $\langle a^2 \rangle$  acts non-trivially on  $W_1$  (and then non-trivially on  $W_2$ ). By (1), one has that  $|W_1| = |W_2| = 4$  or  $8$ . If  $|W_1| = |W_2| = 8$ , then it is clear that there exists an  $X$ -equivariant bijection between  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , as the actions are regular for this situation. Now we suppose that  $|W_1| = |W_2| = 4$ . If  $O$  is not  $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ -stable, then  $W_1 = O \sqcup b.O$ ,  $W_2 = f(O) \sqcup b.f(O)$  by (c). Recall that  $X$  has three conjugacy classes of involutions, i.e.,  $\{a^2\}$ ,  $\{b, a^2b\}$ ,  $\{ab, a^3b\}$ . So there exist  $w_1 \in W_1$ ,  $w_2 \in W_2$  such that  $X_{w_1} = X_{w_2} = \langle ab \rangle$ . If  $O$  and  $f(O)$  are  $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ -stable, then  $X_{w_1} = \langle b \rangle$  or  $\langle a^2b \rangle$  for  $w_1 \in O$ , and  $X_{w_2} = \langle b \rangle$  or  $\langle a^2b \rangle$  for  $w_2 \in f(O)$ . Thus we conclude this assertion, since  $\langle b \rangle$  and  $\langle a^2b \rangle$  are conjugate in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $\mathbf{M} \in \{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}\}$  and  $u$  be a unipotent element of  $\mathbf{M}$  such that the conjugacy class of  $\mathbf{M}$  containing  $u$  is  $F$ -fixed. Assume further that  $F$  acts trivially on  $Z(\mathbf{M})$ . Then the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of  $A_{\mathbf{M}}(u)$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $A_{\mathbf{M}}(u)$ .*

*Proof.* For special orthogonal group, this is trivial. Thus we only consider spin groups here and we also assume that  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ . By Lemma 5.8, it suffices to prove that  $F$  fixes every conjugacy class of  $A_{\mathbf{M}}(u)$ . The conjugacy classes of  $A_{\mathbf{M}}(u)$  are described in the proof of [86, Lemma 2.39], and this assertion can be deduced by the results there directly.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.11.** *The blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for the principal 2-block of  $G = \text{Spin}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $w$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = -$ , then  $\text{SO}(V) = \{\pm I_V\} \times \Omega(V)$  and thus this proposition follows from Lemma 5.7 immediately. So we assume that  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ .

By [35, Prop. 2.7],  $|\text{IBr}(B_0(G))| = u(G)$ . Let  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  be a unipotent class of  $\mathbf{G}$ . By [70, Thm. 21.11], the number of  $G$ -conjugacy classes in  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^F$  is equal to the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes in  $A_{\mathbf{G}, \lambda}$ . So by Lemma 5.10 and [86, Lemma 2.39], the number of  $G$ -conjugacy classes in  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^F$  is  $2^{\delta(\lambda)}$  if  $a(\lambda) = 0$  or  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$ , and if  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^F$  splits into  $2^{b(\lambda)} + 1$  or  $2^{b(\lambda)} + 2$  conjugacy classes in  $G$  according as  $w$  is odd or even.

We first consider the situation that  $w$  is odd. Then  $|\text{IBr}(B_0(G))| - |\text{IBr}(B_0(H))| = u(G) - u(H)$  is equal to the number of partitions  $\lambda$  of  $w$  with  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , which is thus equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in the generating function

$$(5.12) \quad (1+t) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right) (1+t^3) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \cdots = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+t^{2k-1}}{1-t^{4k}}.$$

Now we count the number of weights  $\omega_0(G) = \omega_0(\Omega(V))$ . Let  $(T, \eta)$  be a principal weight of  $\Omega(V)$ . Then  $(T, \eta)$  is covered by a unique weight  $(T_1, \eta_1)$  of  $H$ , which is also a principal

weight. Also,  $(T_1, \eta_1)$  is covered by a unique weight  $(R, \varphi)$  of  $I$ , which is a principal weight. Note that the parity of  $R$  always contains  $(1, 0)$  as a subgroup and a weight of  $H$  covers one or two weights of  $\Omega(V)$ . By Corollary 2.22,  $(T_1, \eta_1)$  covers two weights (up to conjugacy) of  $\Omega(V)$  if and only if  $|H/\Omega(V)T_1| = 2$ , and thus if and only if the parity of  $R$  is just  $(1, 0)$ , in which case the basic subgroup appearing in  $R$  only comes from lines 1, 2, 6 of Table 1. Using [3, (1A)] again, we conclude that the principal weights of  $H$  covering two weights (up to conjugacy) of  $\Omega(V)$  are in bijection with the triples  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \kappa)$  such that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are partitions,  $\kappa$  is a 2-core satisfying that

$$4(|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|) + |\kappa| = w.$$

Therefore,  $\omega_0(G) - \omega_0(H)$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in the generating function

$$(5.13) \quad \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k}\right)^2 \cdots \vartheta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + t^{2k-1}}{1 - t^{4k}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.7 and (5.12), (5.13), we get  $|\text{IBr}(B_0(G))| = \omega_0(G)$ .

Now we suppose that  $w$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ . If  $\text{ty}(V) = -$ , then  $4 \nmid w$  and thus  $a(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  with  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^F \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $u(G) - u(H)$  is equal to the number of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  with  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , which is thus equal to the double of the coefficient of  $t^w$  in (5.12). If  $\text{ty}(V) = +$ , then  $u(G) - u(H)$  is equal to the double of the number of  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  with  $a(\lambda) = 0$  or  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , which is thus equal to the double of the coefficient of  $t^w$  in (5.12). Now we count the number of weights  $\omega_0(G) = \omega_0(\Omega(V))$ . Similar as for the case that  $w$  is odd, we know that  $\omega_0(G) - \omega_0(H)$  is equal to the number of the conjugacy classes of principal weight subgroups of  $I$  with parities  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$  and  $0$  and such principal weight subgroups are counted once, once, twice respectively. From this,  $\omega_0(G) - \omega_0(H)$  is equal to the double of the coefficient of  $t^w$  in (5.13). Therefore, by Lemma 5.7 and (5.12), (5.13), we also get  $|\text{IBr}(B_0(G))| = \omega_0(G)$  for the situation that  $w$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ .  $\square$

Let  $F_0$  be the field automorphism defined as in §3.V.

**Lemma 5.14.** *Let  $s \in \mathbf{H}^{*F}$  such that the image of  $s$  in the adjoint quotient  $\mathbf{H}^*/Z(\mathbf{H}^*)$  is quasi-isolated. Then every character  $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}^F, s)$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_0^*$  be the standard field automorphism of  $\mathbf{H}^*$ . We first prove that the conjugacy class of  $\mathbf{H}^{*F}$  containing  $s$  is  $\langle F_0^* \rangle$ -stable. Quasi-isolated elements of adjoint simple groups were classified in [20, §5]. Under the notation in the proof of Lemma 3.43,  $m_\Gamma(s) > 0$  only when  $\Gamma = x - 1, x + 1$  or  $\Delta$ , where  $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}$  is the polynomial with roots  $\zeta$  and  $-\zeta$  where  $\zeta$  is a primitive 4th root of unity in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^{\times}$ . Thus  $F_0^*(s)$  is  $\mathbf{H}^{*F}$ -conjugate to  $s$ . Moreover, we note that the action of automorphism groups on unipotent characters is given in [69, Prop. 3.7 and 3.9]; from this we see that field automorphisms act trivially on unipotent characters.

Assume that  $w$  is odd. Since  $\mathbf{H}$  has connected center, by [39, Thm. 7.1], the action of  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  on  $\text{Irr}(G)$  is determined by its action on semisimple elements as well as on unipotent characters, via an equivariant Jordan decomposition. Thus every character  $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}^F, s)$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. Now assume that  $w$  is even. We take a regular embedding  $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ , so that  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$  is the special conformal orthogonal group  $\text{CSO}(V)$  over  $V$ . Let  $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^*$  such that its image is  $s$  under  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*$  and let  $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^F, \tilde{s}) \cap \text{Irr}(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^F | \chi)$ . Then  $F_0^*(\tilde{s})$  is  $(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^*)^F$ -conjugate to  $z\tilde{s}$  with  $z \in Z(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^*)^F$  and from [39, Thm. 7.1] we deduce that  $\tilde{\chi}^{F_0^*} = \hat{z}\tilde{\chi}$ . Thus  $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}^F, s)$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant by [83, Thm. A].  $\square$

**Corollary 5.15.** *Every Brauer character in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* By [35, Thm.2.9], there is unitriangular basic set  $I$  for the principal block  $B_0(H)$  of  $H$  such that every character in  $I$  lies in a  $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}^F, s)$  such that the image of  $s$  in the adjoint quotient  $\mathbf{H}^*/Z(\mathbf{H}^*)$  is quasi-isolated. So this assertion follows from Lemma 5.14 immediately.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.16.** *Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is odd and  $\Omega(V)$  is simple. If every element in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(G))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant, then the inductive BAW condition holds for the principal 2-block of  $\Omega(V)$ .*

*Proof.* To verify the inductive BAW condition for the principal block of  $G$ , we use the criterion of Brough–Späth [29]. For groups of type  $\mathbf{B}$ , we refer to [48, Thm. 3.3] for convenience, and under the notation there, we let  $X = S = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $\tilde{X} = H = \text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $D = \langle F_0 \rangle$ . Then the condition (1) of [48, Thm. 3.3] holds. Suppose that  $B$  is the principal 2-block of  $X$  and  $\tilde{B}$  is the principal 2-block of  $\tilde{X}$ . The conditions (3) and (4) of [48, Thm. 3.3] follows by Assumption 5.1 and Corollary 3.63 respectively, as every element of  $\text{IBr}(B) \cup \text{Alp}(B)$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant.

By the arguments preceding Lemma 5.8,  $|\text{IBr}(\tilde{B})| = |\text{Alp}(\tilde{B})|$ , and by Corollary 3.63 and 5.15, every element of  $\text{IBr}(\tilde{B}) \cup \text{Alp}(\tilde{B})$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. As  $|\tilde{X}/X| = 2$ , one element in  $\text{IBr}(\tilde{B})$  (resp.  $\text{Alp}(\tilde{B})$ ) covers one or two elements  $\text{IBr}(B)$  (resp.  $\text{Alp}(B)$ ). Therefore, to prove condition (3) of [48, Thm. 3.3], it suffices to show that the number of irreducible Brauer characters of  $\tilde{B}$  covering two irreducible Brauer characters of  $B$  is equal to the number of weights (up to conjugacy) of  $\tilde{B}$  covering two weights of  $B$ , which was prove in the proof of Proposition 5.11. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.17.** *The inductive BAW condition holds for the principal 2-block of  $\text{Spin}_7(q)$  (with odd  $q$ ).*

*Proof.* Keep the notation and setup as above. Let  $\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$  be a regular embedding. Following Hézard [56] and Lusztig [68], Taylor [86, §5.2] describes a map  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda \mapsto \chi_\lambda$  from the set of unipotent classes of  $\mathbf{G}$  to  $\text{Irr}(G)$  with the following properties:  $\chi_\lambda$  lies in the Lusztig series of a quasi-isolated 2-element  $\tilde{s}_\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*$  with centralizer of type  $C_a C_b$  with  $a + b = n$  if  $\kappa(\lambda) \geq 2$ , and of type  $C_a A_b C_a$  with  $2a + b = n$  if  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  denote the Lusztig family of the  $Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^*}(\tilde{s}_\lambda)$  containing the Jordan correspondent of  $\chi_\lambda$ .

According to [52, Prop. 4.3] for each class  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  there exist  $|\mathbf{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}}(u)|$  (for  $u \in \mathbf{C}_\lambda$ ) characters  $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,i}$  in the Lusztig series  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F, \tilde{s}_\lambda)$  with Jordan correspondents in the family  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ , such that the matrix of multiplicities of their Alvis–Curtis–Kawanaka–Lusztig duals in the generalised Gelfand–Graev characters corresponding to  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  is the identity matrix. Further, Taylor [87, Prop. 5.4] shows that for each class  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  there exist  $|\mathbf{A}_\lambda|$  characters  $\rho_{\lambda,i} \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  (with  $1 \leq i \leq |\mathbf{A}_\lambda|$ ), which are just the characters lying below the  $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,i}$ , such that again the matrix of multiplicities of their duals in the generalised Gelfand–Graev characters corresponding to  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  is the identity matrix. Let  $\Xi$  be the set of characters  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  runs through the unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq |\mathbf{A}_\lambda|$ . By a result of Chaneb [35, Thm.2.9],  $\Xi$  is a unitriangular basic set of the principal 2-block of  $\text{Spin}_7(q)$ . Note that, in [35, Thm.2.9] spin and half spin groups are excluded as  $A_\lambda$  may be non-abelian in general. However, for our situation  $n = 3$ , the group  $A_\lambda$  is an elementary 2-group of rank  $\delta(\lambda)$  as  $a(\lambda) = 1$ , and the proof of [35, Thm.2.9] also applies.

By Corollary 5.15, those unitriangular basic sets are  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable. This means,  $B_0(H)$  has an  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable unitriangular basic set, such that the irreducible constituents of the restriction of those irreducible characters to  $S$  form an  $(H \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -stable unitriangular basic set for  $B_0(S)$ . Therefore, by Corollary 5.15, every element in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(S))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant and thus this assertion follows from Theorem 5.16.  $\square$

Let  $J$  (resp.  $\tilde{H}$ ) be the conformal orthogonal group  $\text{CO}(V)$  (resp.  $\text{CSO}(V)$ ) over  $V$ .

**Proposition 5.18.** *Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is even. There is a  $(J \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$  and the unipotent conjugacy classes of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Following Hézard [56] and Lusztig [68], Taylor [86, §6] describes a map  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda \mapsto \chi_\lambda$  from the set of unipotent classes of  $\mathbf{H}$  to  $\text{Irr}(H)$  such that  $\chi_\lambda$  lies below the Lusztig

series of a semisimple 2-element  $\tilde{s}_\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^*$  satisfying that the image of  $\tilde{s}_\lambda$  in the adjoint quotient  $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^*/Z(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^*)$  is quasi-isolated and the following properties hold:  $\tilde{s}_\lambda = 1$  and  $\chi_\lambda$  is a unipotent character parametrised by a non-degenerate Lusztig symbol if  $a(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{s}_\lambda$  has centralizer of type  $D_\mu D_\nu$  with  $\mu + \nu = w/2$  and the image of  $\chi_\lambda$  under Lusztig's Jordan decomposition is a special unipotent character parametrised by non-degenerate Lusztig symbols if  $a(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $\tilde{s}_\lambda$  has centralizer of type  $D_\mu A_\nu D_\mu$  with  $2\mu + \nu = w/2$  and the image of  $\chi_\lambda$  under Lusztig's Jordan decomposition is a special unipotent character parametrised by non-degenerate Lusztig symbols if  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $\tilde{s}_\lambda$  has centralizer of type  $A_{n-1}$  if  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . Here,  $\iota(\lambda) = 1$  if there exists an odd  $i$  with  $c_i > 0$ , and  $\iota(\lambda) = 0$  otherwise. For the partition  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  with  $a(\lambda) = 0$ , we also write  $\chi_\lambda$  as  $\chi_\lambda^\pm$  to distinguish  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^\pm$ .

According to [52, Prop. 4.3] for each class  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  there exist  $|A_{\tilde{\mathbf{H}},\lambda}|$  irreducible characters  $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,i}$  in the Lusztig series  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^F, \tilde{s}_\lambda)$  with Jordan correspondents in the same family as  $\chi_\lambda$ , such that the matrix of multiplicities of their Alvis–Curtis–Kawanaka–Lusztig duals in the generalised Gelfand–Graev characters corresponding to  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  is the identity matrix. Further, Taylor [87, Prop. 5.4] shows that for each class  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  there exist  $|A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}|$  characters  $\rho_{\lambda,i} \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  (with  $1 \leq i \leq |A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}|$ ), which are just the characters lying below the  $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,i}$ , such that again the matrix of multiplicities of their duals in the generalised Gelfand–Graev characters corresponding to  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  is the identity matrix. Let  $\Xi$  be the set of those characters  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  runs through the unipotent characters of  $\mathbf{H}$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq |A_{\mathbf{H},\lambda}|$ . By a result of Chaneb [35, Thm. 2.9],  $\Xi$  is a unitriangular basic set of the principal 2-block of  $H$ .

By Lemma 5.14, every character of  $\Xi$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. We partition

$$\Xi = \Xi_1 \coprod \Xi_2 \coprod \Xi_3 \coprod \Xi_4,$$

where  $\Xi_1$  consists those  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$  with  $a(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\Xi_2$  consists those  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$  with  $a(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $\Xi_3$  consists those  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$  with  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , and  $\Xi_4$  consists those  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$  with  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . The action of  $J$  on  $\text{Irr}(H)$  is determined in [39, Thm. 7.1] and [45, Appendix B]. Thus we deduce that every character in  $\Xi_1$  is  $J$ -invariant, every character in  $\Xi_2 \coprod \Xi_3$  is  $I$ -invariant, every character in  $\Xi_4$  is  $\tilde{H}$ -invariant. For  $a(\lambda) = 0$ , we have  $\rho_{\lambda,i} = \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,i}$  and  $i = 1$  and if we write  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$  as  $\rho_\lambda^\pm$  to distinguish  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^\pm$ , then  $\rho_\lambda^+$  and  $\rho_\lambda^-$  are fused by  $I$ . Moreover, the characters in  $\Xi_2$  (or  $\Xi_3$ ) present in pairs such that for each pair those two characters are fused by  $\tilde{H}$ . In particular,  $\Xi$  is  $(J \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -stable and this implies that there exists a  $(J \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\Xi$  and  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the set of unipotent conjugacy classes of  $H$ , and we also partition

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \coprod \mathcal{U}_2 \coprod \mathcal{U}_3 \coprod \mathcal{U}_4,$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_1$  consists of conjugacy classes of  $H$  contained in some  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  with  $a(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_2$  consists of conjugacy classes of  $H$  contained in some  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  with  $a(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\kappa(\lambda) > 1$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_3$  consists of conjugacy classes of  $H$  contained in some  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  with  $a(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\kappa(\lambda) = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{U}_4$  consists of conjugacy classes of  $H$  contained in some  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^\pm$  with  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . We note that every unipotent class of  $H$  is  $(J \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -stable unless the following situations:  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^+$  and  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda^-$  are  $I$ -fused if  $a(\lambda) = 0$ , and the elements in  $\mathcal{U}_2$  (or  $\mathcal{U}_3$ ) present in pairs such that for each pair those two elements are fused by  $\tilde{H}$ .

By construction  $|\Xi_k| = |\mathcal{U}_k|$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Precisely, for each  $k$ , we have a bijection  $\Xi_k \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_k$  such that the image of  $\rho_{\lambda,i}$  is a conjugacy class of  $H$  contained in  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$ . Therefore, we can get a bijection  $\Xi \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  which is  $(J \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle)$ -equivariant by the above arguments, and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.19.** *Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is even. For any  $\psi \in \text{IBr}(H)$ ,  $\sigma \in \tilde{H}$  and  $\sigma' \in I \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle$ , if  $\psi^\sigma = \psi^{\sigma'}$ , then  $\psi^\sigma = \psi^{\sigma'} = \psi$  and  $\psi$  extends to  $I_\psi \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle_\psi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $I\langle F_0 \rangle_\psi/H$  has cyclic Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroups for any odd prime  $\ell$ , the extendibility of  $\psi$  to  $I_\psi \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle_\psi$  is clear (see e.g. [71, Thm. 8.29]). Thanks to [83, Thm. A], it suffices to show that  $H$  has a  $J\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable unitriangular basic set. By the proof of Proposition 5.18, the principal 2-block of  $H$  has a  $J\langle F_0 \rangle$ -stable unitriangular basic set. For non-principal 2-blocks of  $H$ , the proof of [48, Cor. 4.6] also applies for our situation, as a Jordan decomposition for Brauer character as in [48, Prop. 4.5] also holds by Bonnafé–Rouquier Morita equivalence [22]; using [79, Thm. 16.4] and [45, Lemma B.1], we can argue as in the proof of [48, Prop. 4.5].  $\square$

Next, we determine the action of  $J$  on  $\text{Alp}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  and establish an equivariant bijection.

**Theorem 5.20.** *Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is even. There exists a  $J$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$ .*

**Remark 5.21.** Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is even. Then there is a  $J$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(G))$  and the unipotent conjugacy classes of  $G$  (which follows from the proof below).

*Proof.* First we determine the action of  $J$  on  $\text{Alp}(B_0(I))$ . In fact, the proof of Lemma 4.8 also applies for arbitrary dimension, not only when  $w = 8$ . In Tables 1, the basic subgroups are  $J$ -stable except the group  $R_{1,0,0,c}$  in line 2 and the group  $R_{1,0,0,c}$  in line 3 are  $J$ -fused, and the groups in line 6 and 7 are  $J$ -fused. Thus the  $J$ -invariant conjugacy classes of principal 2-weights of  $I$  are in bijection with the tuples  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are partitions,  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are 2-cores such that  $\text{disc}(V) = (-1)^{|\kappa_2|}$  and

$$4(|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|) + 8|\lambda_3| + 2(|\kappa_1| + |\kappa_2|) = w.$$

We denote by  $\omega'_{w,+}$  and  $\omega'_{w,-}$  the numbers of  $J$ -invariant conjugacy classes of principal 2-weights of  $I$  for the case  $\text{disc}(V) = +$  and  $-$  respectively. Then  $\omega'_{w,+} + \omega'_{w,-}$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in

$$(5.22) \quad \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \cdots \right)^2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{16k} \right) \cdots \vartheta(t^2)^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+t^{2k})^2}{1-t^{8k}},$$

while  $\omega'_{w,+} - \omega'_{w,-}$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in

$$(5.23) \quad \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \cdots \right)^2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{16k} \right) \cdots \vartheta(t^2) \vartheta(-t^2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{4k}}.$$

Here we use identities  $\vartheta(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-t^{2k})^2}{1-t^k}$  and  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^k)(1-(-t)^k)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+t^{2k}}{(1-t^{2k})^2}$ . In particular,  $\omega'_{w,+} \neq \omega'_{w,-}$  occurs only when  $4 \mid w$ .

The unipotent conjugacy classes of  $I$  are just those in  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  such that “ $a(\lambda) = 0$ ” or “ $a(\lambda) \geq 1, \kappa(\lambda) \geq 2$  and  $\iota(\lambda) = 0$ ”, i.e.,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_w$  such that  $c_i$  is even for all  $i$ . Let  $u'_{w,+}$  (resp.  $u'_{w,-}$ ) denote the numbers of unipotent conjugacy classes of  $I$  if  $\text{ty}(V) = +$  (resp.  $-$ ). Then  $u'_{w,+} + u'_{w,-}$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in

$$(5.24) \quad \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{2k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{4k} \right) \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{6k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{8k} \right) \cdots = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t^{4k-2})^2(1-t^{8k})},$$

while  $u'_{w,+} - u'_{w,-}$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^w$  in (5.3). In particular,  $u'_{w,+} \neq u'_{w,-}$  occurs only when  $4 \mid w$ . Note that  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^{4k-2}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1+t^{2k})$ , therefore, by (5.22), (5.23), (5.24) and (5.3), we have that  $\omega'_{w,\epsilon} = u'_{w,\epsilon}$  for  $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ .

Now we consider the principal 2-weights of the group  $H$ , which is determined in the proof of Lemma 5.7. Note that a principal 2-weight of  $I$  either covers a unique weight of

$H$  or covers four weights of  $\Omega(V)$ . Let  $\mathscr{W}_1$  denote the set of conjugacy classes of principal 2-weights of  $H$  which is  $I$ -stable and covered by a  $J$ -invariant weight of  $I$ , let  $\mathscr{W}_3$  denote the set of conjugacy classes of principal 2-weights of  $H$  which is not  $I$ -stable, and let  $\mathscr{W}_2 = \text{Alp}(B_0(H)) \setminus (\mathscr{W}_1 \amalg \mathscr{W}_3)$ . Then by above arguments, we have that  $|\Xi_1 \amalg \Xi_4| = |\mathscr{W}_1 \amalg \mathscr{W}_3|$ . On the other hand, by the proof of Lemma 5.7, one gets  $|\Xi_1| = |\mathscr{W}_1|$ , and thus  $|\Xi_4| = |\mathscr{W}_3|$  and  $|\Xi_2 \amalg \Xi_3| = |\mathscr{W}_2|$ .

Up to conjugacy, every weight in  $\mathscr{W}_1$  is  $J$ -invariant and every weight in  $\mathscr{W}_1$  covers a unique weight of  $\Omega(V)$ , the weights in  $\mathscr{W}_2$  present in pairs such that for each pair those two weights are fused by  $J$  and every weight in  $\mathscr{W}_2$  is  $I$ -stable, and the weights in  $\mathscr{W}_3$  present in pairs such that for each pair those two weights are fused by  $I$  and every weight in  $\mathscr{W}_3$  covered two weights of  $\Omega(V)$ .

Let  $\Theta$  be the canonical bijection between  $\Xi$  and  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$  as in [72, Lemma 2.1]. Of course,  $\Theta$  is  $J$ -equivariant. Let  $\mathscr{B}_1, \mathscr{B}_2, \mathscr{B}_3$  be the images of  $\Xi_1, \Xi_2 \amalg \Xi_3, \Xi_4$  under  $\Theta$  respectively. Then every Brauer character in  $\mathscr{B}_1$  is  $J$ -invariant, every Brauer character in  $\mathscr{B}_2$  is  $I$ -invariant, every Brauer character in  $\mathscr{B}_3$  is  $\tilde{H}$ -invariant. Moreover, the Brauer characters in  $\mathscr{B}_2$  present in pairs such that for each pair those two characters are fused by  $\tilde{H}$ , and the Brauer characters in  $\mathscr{B}_3$  present in pairs such that for each pair those two characters are fused by  $I$ . By [38, Remark 2.6],  $|\text{Irr}(\Omega(V) \mid \rho)|$  divides  $|\text{IBr}(\Omega(V) \mid \Theta(\rho))|$  for any  $\rho \in \Xi$ . Thus every Brauer character in  $\mathscr{B}_3$  covers two irreducible Brauer characters of  $\Omega(V)$ . Note that the outer automorphism group of  $\Omega(V)$  induced by  $J$  is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order 8 or a Klein four group according as  $\text{disc}(V) = +$  or  $-$ . If  $\text{disc}(V) = -$ , then the restriction of every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  to  $\Omega(V)$  is also irreducible. If  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ , then by Lemma 5.9 (1), the restriction of every irreducible Brauer character of  $\mathscr{B}_1$  to  $\Omega(V)$  is also irreducible.

It is clear that  $|\mathscr{B}_i| = |\mathscr{W}_i|$  for every  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Now we establish bijections between those sets. Let  $f_1$  be arbitrary bijection between  $\mathscr{B}_1$  and  $\mathscr{W}_1$  and let  $f_3$  be any  $I$ -equivariant bijection between  $\mathscr{B}_3$  and  $\mathscr{W}_3$ . By Proposition 5.11, the number of Brauer character in  $\mathscr{B}_2$  which covers two irreducible Brauer characters of  $\Omega(V)$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of weights in  $\mathscr{W}_2$  that covers two weights of  $\Omega(V)$ . Therefore, there exists a  $\tilde{H}$ -equivariant bijection  $f_2 : \mathscr{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathscr{W}_2$  such that  $\text{Res}_{\Omega(V)}^H(\psi)$  is irreducible if and only if  $f_2(\psi)$  covers a unique weight of  $\Omega(V)$  for any  $\psi \in \mathscr{B}_2$ . Combing  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$ , we get a bijection  $f$  between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$ .

Let  $\text{disc}(V) = -$ . Then  $H = \{\pm I_V\} \times \Omega(V)$  and so it suffices to prove that  $f$  is  $J$ -equivariant. Note that  $\mathscr{B}_3$  and  $\mathscr{W}_3$  are empty, and by the proof of Proposition 5.18, the group  $I$  acts trivially on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$ . So  $f$  is  $J$ -equivariant.

Now suppose that  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ , then outer automorphism group of  $\Omega(V)$  induced by  $J$  is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order 8. We will use Lemma 5.9 (2) to establish this assertion, where we take  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  as  $\text{IBr}(B_0(H))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$  respectively. The outer automorphism group of  $\Omega(V)$  induced by  $H$  (resp.  $I$ ) is interpreted as  $\langle a^2 \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ ). Then conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 5.9 (2) is clear. For (c), we note that the every element of  $\mathscr{B}_1 \cup \mathscr{B}_2 \cup \mathscr{W}_1 \cup \mathscr{W}_2$  is  $I$ -invariant, while every element of  $\mathscr{B}_3 \cup \mathscr{W}_3$  is not  $I$ -invariant. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.25.** *Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is even and  $P\Omega(V)$  is a simple group satisfying that  $w \neq 8$  or  $\text{disc}(V) = -$ . If every element of  $\text{IBr}(B_0(G))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant, then the inductive BAW condition holds for the principal 2-block of  $P\Omega(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Under the assumption, we have that  $J \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle$  induces all automorphisms on  $\Omega(V)$ . We use the version [44, Thm. 2.2] of the criterion of inductive BAW condition of Brough–Späth [29]. We remark that the condition (iv.b) can be replaced by:  $(\varphi_0)^0$  extends to  $(G \rtimes D)_{\varphi_0}$ . Take  $G, \tilde{G}, D, \mathscr{B}$  in [44, Thm. 2.2] to be  $P\Omega(V), \tilde{H}/Z(\tilde{H}), \langle \tau, F_0 \rangle, B_0(P\Omega(V))$  respectively, where  $\tau \in I \setminus H$  is of order 2 so that  $J = \langle \tilde{H}, \tau \rangle$ . However, by the hypothesis

and Corollary 3.63, every element in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V))) \cup \text{Alp}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant, so is every element in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\tilde{H})) \cup \text{Alp}(B_0(\tilde{H}))$ . Thus by Theorem 5.20, there exists a  $((\tilde{H}/Z(\tilde{H})) \rtimes \langle \tau, F_0 \rangle)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ .

Now  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  is the union of the 2-blocks of  $\tilde{H}/Z(\tilde{H})$  covering  $B_0(P\Omega(V))$ . We also identify  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  as a union of 2-blocks of  $\tilde{H}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \coprod_z \hat{z} \otimes B_0(\tilde{H})$ , where  $z$  runs through the 2'-elements in  $Z(\tilde{H}^*)^F$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  consisting of  $|\tilde{H}/\Omega(V)|_2$  blocks. As the  $J\langle F_0 \rangle/Z(J)\Omega(V)$  has cyclic Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroups for any odd prime  $\ell$ , the maximal extendibility properties of Brauer characters hold (see e.g. [71, Thm. 8.11 and Thm. 8.29]). Therefore, the condition (i) of [44, Thm. 2.2] holds. By similar arguments as in the proof of [45, Lemma 2.6], every irreducible Brauer character  $\psi$  of  $B_0(P\Omega(V))$ , the set  $\text{IBr}(\tilde{H}/Z(\tilde{H}) \mid \psi) \cap \text{IBr}(B_0(\tilde{H}/Z(\tilde{H})))$  is a singleton. On the other hand, each weight of  $B_0(P\Omega(V))$  is covered by a unique weight of  $B_0(\tilde{H}/Z(\tilde{H}))$ . From this, there exists an  $(\text{IBr}(\tilde{H}/\Omega(V)) \rtimes \langle \tau, F_0 \rangle)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  which preserves blocks, and thus the condition (ii) of [44, Thm. 2.2] is satisfied. Moreover, by [44, Prop. 2.5], the condition (v) of [44, Thm. 2.2] holds.

For the conditions (iii) and (iv) of [44, Thm. 2.2], we mention that the maximal extendibility properties of (Brauer) characters hold as above, and so it suffices to consider the conditions (iii.a) and (iv.a). As the actions of  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  are trivial, we may omit  $F_0$  and just let  $D = \langle \tau \rangle$  and  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ . The actions of  $\langle \tau \rangle$  on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  are determined in the proof of Theorem 5.20. It follows from the results there that the conditions (iii.a) and (iv.a) of [44, Thm. 2.2] are satisfied.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.26.** *Suppose that Assumption 5.1 holds for groups of type  $\mathbf{D}_4$  and Brauer characters in the principal 2-block. Then the inductive BAW condition holds for the principal 2-block of  $P\Omega_8^+(q)$  (with odd  $q$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $w = 8$  and  $\text{disc}(V) = +$ . Then  $\text{Out}(P\Omega(V)) \cong \mathfrak{S}_4 \times \langle F_0 \rangle$ . We use the criterion of inductive BAW condition in the proof of Theorem 5.25. We remark that by the arguments of [29, §4] the assumption that  $D$  is abelian in [44, Thm. 2.2] can be replaced by the following statement:  $D$  is isomorphic to the direct product of a cyclic group and the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ . The condition (iii) of [44, Thm. 2.2] follows by Assumption 5.1. So by the proof of Theorem 5.25, we only need to establish an  $\text{Aut}(P\Omega(V))$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ , as well as verify the condition (iv) of [44, Thm. 2.2].

By the proof of Proposition 3.62, we also have that  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$  for the basic subgroups  $R_{1,0,1,c}^4$ . Thus in every conjugacy class of principal weight subgroups of  $O_8^+(q)$ , there exists a subgroup  $R$  satisfying that  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $N_G(R)/RZ_G(R)$ . So for the extendibility properties, we may omit  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  and it follows from the fact that  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  has cyclic Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroups for any odd prime  $\ell$  that the condition (iv) of [44, Thm. 2.2] holds.

Next, we establish an  $\text{Aut}(P\Omega(V))$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ . In the following we will use the notation in §4.III. By the proofs of Proposition 5.18 and Theorem 5.20, the action of  $D \cong D_8$  on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ , and we will describe this action as follows.

Note that  $A_G(u)$  is abelian for all unipotent elements of  $\mathbf{G}$  as  $w = 8$ , and thus the result of Chaneb [35, Thm. 2.9] also holds for  $G = \text{Spin}_8^+(q)$ , which is analogous as in the proof of Corollary 5.17. We recall the unitriangular basic set  $\Xi$  of  $H$  from the proof of Proposition 5.18, and let  $\Xi'_i$  be the set of irreducible constituents of the restrictions of the characters in  $\Xi_i$  to  $\Omega(V)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Then  $\Xi' = \prod_{i=1}^4 \Xi'_i$  is a unitriangular basic set of  $\Omega(V)$ . So by [83, Thm. A] and Corollary 5.15,  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$ . By Corollary 3.63,  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{Alp}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , let  $\mathcal{B}'_i$  be the subset of  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  corresponding to  $\Xi'_i$  under the canonical bijection  $\Xi' \rightarrow \text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$ . Directly calculation shows that  $|\mathcal{B}'_1| = 4$ ,  $|\mathcal{B}'_2| =$

4,  $|\mathcal{B}'_3| = 12$ ,  $|\mathcal{B}'_4| = 8$ . Then every Brauer character in  $\mathcal{B}'_1$  is  $D$ -invariant, the set  $\mathcal{B}'_2$  consists of two  $D$ -orbits of length two and  $E$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{B}'_2$ , the set  $\mathcal{B}'_3$  consists of three  $D$ -orbits of length 4 and  $N$  acts transitively on each  $D$ -orbits, and the set  $\mathcal{B}'_4$  consists of two  $D$ -orbits of length 4 and  $E$  acts transitively on each  $D$ -orbits.

Now regard  $\mathcal{B}'_i$  (with  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ) as Brauer characters of  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ . Similar as in the proof of Proposition 4.9 (c) we can show that every Brauer character in  $\mathcal{B}'_1$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Similar as in the proof of Proposition 4.9 (a) we know that  $\mathcal{B}'_2 \cup \mathcal{B}'_4$  consists of two  $\Gamma$ -orbits of length 6, and in each orbit there is a Brauer character  $\psi$  with  $\Gamma_\psi = E$ . Therefore, the  $D$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{B}'_3$  must be  $\Gamma$ -stable, as otherwise  $\mathcal{B}'_3$  consists of one  $\Gamma$ -orbit and thus there exists at most two Brauer characters  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{B}'_3$  with  $\Gamma_\psi = \langle(1, 2)\rangle$  which contradicts to the fact that in each  $D$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{B}'_3$  there is at least such one  $\psi$ . Since there is a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of  $\Gamma$  of order 6, the action of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathcal{B}'_3$  is clear. Therefore, comparing with the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$  which is determined in Proposition 4.9, we get an  $\text{Aut}(P\Omega(V))$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0(P\Omega(V)))$ .  $\square$

According to Theorem 5.16 and 5.25, we propose the following question.

**Question 5.27.** *Let  $G$  be a finite spin group with rank  $\geq 3$ . Is there an  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0(G))$  and the unipotent conjugacy classes of  $G$ ?*

By above arguments and analyzing the action of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  on the unipotent conjugacy classes of  $G$ , Question 5.27 has a positive answer if and only if every Brauer character in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(G))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant.

**Question 5.28.** *Let  $\Xi'$  be the set of irreducible constituents of the restrictions of the characters in  $\Xi$  to  $\Omega(V)$ . Is  $\Xi'$  a unitriangular basic set for  $B_0(\Omega(V))$ ?*

It follows from [83, Thm. A] that a positive answer of Question 5.28 implies that the group  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{IBr}(B_0(G))$ , and thus implies a positive answer for Question 5.27.

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Thanks to [82, Thm. C], we may assume that  $q$  is odd. According to [46, Theorem 5.7] and Assumption 5.1, we only need to deal with quasi-isolated 2-blocks, as the inductive BAW condition has been verified for groups of type **A** in [47]. By [20], quasi-isolated 2-blocks of  $G$  are just unipotent 2-blocks, and by [33, Thm. 21.14], the principal 2-block of  $G$  is the unique unipotent 2-block.

We exclude the simple groups  $P\Omega_8^+(q)$ , as they are dealt with in Proposition 5.26. By Theorem 5.16 and 5.25, it suffices to show that every Brauer character in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant, which follows by Corollary 5.15 and Assumption 5.1 directly. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.29.** *If  $\dim(V)$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = -$ , then the inductive BAW condition holds for the simple groups  $\Omega(V)$  and the prime 2.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\dim(V)$  is even and  $\text{disc}(V) = -$ . Then  $\text{SO}(V) = \{\pm I_V\} \times \Omega(V)$  and the non-generic Schur multipliers do not occur. According to Corollary 5.15, every element in  $\text{IBr}(B_0(\Omega(V)))$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. It follows from Theorem 5.25 that the inductive BAW condition holds for the principal 2-block of  $\Omega(V)$ . Moreover, by Theorem 1, what is left is to establish Assumption 5.1, which follows from Corollary 5.19.  $\square$

**5.II. The principal 2-block of  $F_4(q)$ .** Now assume further that  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$  so that  $G = \mathbf{G}^F = \mathbf{F}_4(q)$  and assume that  $p = 2$ . Here we suppose that  $q$  is odd. Let  $q = r^f$  where

$r$  is an odd prime, and let  $F_0$  be a field automorphism of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $\mathbf{G}^{F_0} = \mathbf{F}_4(r)$  and  $F = F_0^f$ . Then

$$\text{Aut}(G) \cong G \rtimes \langle F_0 \rangle.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{G}^* = \mathbf{G}$ .

We will write the principal 2-block  $B_0(G)$  of  $G$  simply  $B_0$  when no confusion can arise. Keep the notation of §4. If  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $G$ , then by Lemma 4.3,  $\text{rank } B(R) = 1$  or  $2$ . For  $r = 1, 2$ , let  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_r$  be the subset of  $\text{Alp}(B_0)$  consisting of  $(R, \varphi)$  such that the rank of  $B(R)$  is  $r$ .

5.II.1. *The case  $r = 1$ .* First let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 1$  and  $H := N_G(B(R))$ . From §4.II, we know that  $H = Z_G(B(R)) \cong \text{Spin}_{9,+}(q)$ ,  $RZ_H(R) \leq H$  and  $N_G(R) = N_H(R)$ . Let  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/R)$ . Then by Lemma 2.3,  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $G$  if and only if it is a principal weight of  $H$ . From this we deduce that up to conjugacy  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_1$  is a subset of  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$  consisting of  $(R, \varphi)$  such that the rank of  $B(R)$  is 1. By the arguments preceding §4.III,  $R$  is conjugate to one of  $R_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 21$  and  $i \notin \{2, 4, 5, 6, 12\}$ . We identify the principal weights of  $H$  with the principal weights of  $H/Z \cong \Omega_{9,+}(q)$ . By [29, Lemma 2.7 and Cor. 2.12], the principal weights of  $\Omega_{9,+}(q)$  are the weights of  $\Omega_{9,+}(q)$  covered by the principal weights of  $O_{9,+}(q)$ . According to [5, §6], every principal weight subgroup of  $O_{9,+}(q)$  provide only one principal weight. So we can count the number of conjugacy classes of principal weights in  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_1$  by Corollary 2.22 and Table 2, i.e.,  $|\text{Alp}(B_0)_1| = 19$ .

5.II.2. *The case  $r = 2$ .* Now let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 2$  and let  $H := N_G(B(R))$  and  $L := Z_G(B(R))$ . Then  $R$  is conjugate to one of  $R_{22} - R_{37}$  in Table 3. From §4.III, we know that  $L \cong \text{Spin}_{8,+}(q)$ ,  $H/L \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$ ,  $RZ_H(R) \leq L$  and  $N_G(R) = N_H(R)$ . Let  $\varphi \in \text{dz}(N_G(R)/R)$ . Then by Lemma 2.3,  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $G$  if and only if it is a principal weight of  $H$ . Therefore up to conjugacy  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_2$  is the subset of  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$  consisting of  $(R, \varphi)$  with  $R \subset L$ . According to [29, Lemma 2.7], if  $R$  is a principal weight subgroup of  $H$ , then it is also a principal weight subgroup of  $L$ . More precisely, if  $(R, \varphi)$  is a principal weight of  $H$ , then by Lemma 2.1,  $(R, \vartheta)$  is a principal weight of  $L$  for any  $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(L \mid \varphi)$ .

Conversely, Let  $(R, \vartheta)$  be a principal weight of  $L$ . If  $(R, \varphi)$  is a weight of  $H$  covering  $(R, \vartheta)$ , then  $\varphi \in \text{rdz}(N_H(R) \mid \vartheta)$ , and moreover, by Lemma 2.1,  $O_2(N_H(R)_\vartheta/N_L(R)) = 1$ . As  $RZ_H(R) \leq L$ , if such a weight  $(R, \varphi)$  exists, then it is necessarily a principal weight of  $H$ .

The following Lemma 5.30 will be also used in the proof of Proposition 5.59.

**Lemma 5.30.** *Let  $Y \trianglelefteq X$  be arbitrary finite groups such that  $X/Y \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$  or  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ , and let  $\chi$  be an  $X$ -invariant irreducible character of  $Y$ . Then  $|\text{rdz}(X \mid \chi)| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* First we assume that  $X/Y \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$ . Then by [58, Thm. 35.10],  $\chi$  extends to  $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(X)$  and then  $\text{rdz}(X \mid \chi)$  consisting of the unique character  $\tilde{\chi}\kappa$  where  $\kappa$  is the unique character of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  of degree 2.

Now let  $X/Y \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ . We let  $V$  be a normal subgroup of  $X$  containing  $Y$  such that  $V/Y \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$  and  $X/V \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$ . Then by the above argument,  $|\text{rdz}(X \mid \chi)| = 1$  and we write  $\text{rdz}(X \mid \chi) = \{\eta\}$ . Since  $\chi$  is  $X$ -invariant, it follows that  $\eta$  is also  $X$ -invariant. Thus by the above argument again,  $|\text{rdz}(X \mid \eta)| = 1$ . This completes the proof as  $\text{rdz}(X \mid \eta) = \text{rdz}(X \mid \chi)$  by construction.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.31.** *Let  $(R, \vartheta)$  be a principal weight of  $L$ . Then  $|\text{rdz}(N_H(R) \mid \vartheta)| = 1, 0, 3, 1$  if  $|N_H(R)_\vartheta/N_L(R)| = 1, 2, 3, 6$  respectively.*

*Proof.* As  $N_H(R)_\vartheta/N_L(R)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$ . If  $|N_H(R)_\vartheta/N_L(R)| = 6$ , the this follows by Lemma 5.30. If  $|N_H(R)_\vartheta/N_L(R)| < 6$ , then  $N_H(R)_\vartheta/N_L(R)$  is cyclic and  $\vartheta$  extends to  $N_H(R)_\vartheta$ . So this lemma follows by Gallagher's Theorem.  $\square$

By Lemma 4.10, we see that for any principal weight  $(R', \vartheta')$  of  $O_{8,+}$ , the parity of  $N_{O_{8,+}}(R' \cap \Omega_{8,+})$  is equal to the parity of  $R'$ . Thus  $R' \cap \Omega_{8,+}$  provides a unique weight of  $\Omega_{8,+}$  covered by  $(R', \vartheta')$ . Hence  $N_H(R)_\vartheta = N_H(R)$  for any principal weight  $(R, \vartheta)$  of  $L$ . Under the notation of §4.III, one has that  $|N_H(R)/N_L(R)| = |S_{[R]}| \in \{2, 6\}$ . By [29, Lemma 2.7] and Lemma 5.31, we have the following.

**Proposition 5.32.** *There exists a bijection between the set of the conjugacy classes of principal weight subgroups  $R$  of  $L$  with  $S_{[R]} = S$  and the subset of  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$  consisting of  $\overline{(R, \varphi)}$  with  $R \subset L$ .*

From this, we can count the number of conjugacy classes of principal weights in  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_2$  by §4.III, i.e.,  $|\text{Alp}(B_0)_2| = 7$ .

Now we can establish the inductive BAW condition for the block  $B_0$ .

**Theorem 5.33.** *The inductive BAW condition holds for the principal 2-block  $B_0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $B_0$  is  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -stable and  $\text{Out}(G)$  is cyclic. To verify the inductive BAW condition holds for the block  $B_0$ , it suffices to establish an  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B_0)$  and  $\text{Alp}(B_0)$  (see for example [80, Lemma 2.10]).

According to [51, Prop. 7.14], the number of irreducible Brauer characters in the unipotent blocks  $\mathcal{E}_2(G, 1)$  is 28. By Théorème A and the table on page 349 of [40], the group  $G$  has three unipotent 2-blocks: the principal block and two unipotent blocks of defect zero. So  $|\text{IBr}(B_0)| = 26$ . According to [69, Prop. 3.7 and 3.9] and [51, Prop. 7.14], we see that  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{IBr}(B_0)$ .

By above arguments,  $|\text{Alp}(B_0)| = |\text{Alp}(B_0)_1| + |\text{Alp}(B_0)_2| = 26$ , and thus  $|\text{IBr}(B_0)| = |\text{Alp}(B_0)|$ , i.e., the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture holds for the block  $B_0$ . To verify the inductive BAW condition, we only need to prove that  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{Alp}(B_0)$ . First,  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_1$  by Corollary 3.63, as  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_1$  can be regarded as a subset of  $\text{Alp}(B_0(\text{Spin}_{9,+}(q)))$  by construction. Let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a principal weight of  $G$  with  $\text{rank } B(R) = 2$ . Then  $(R, \vartheta)$  is a principal weight of  $L$  covered by  $(R, \varphi)$  for any  $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(L \mid \varphi)$ . By Corollary 3.63,  $\overline{(R, \vartheta)}$  is  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. On the other hand,  $\overline{(R, \varphi)}$  is the unique element of  $\text{Alp}(B_0(H))$  covering  $\overline{(R, \vartheta)}$  by construction. From this  $\overline{(R, \varphi)}$  is also  $\langle F_0 \rangle$ -invariant. Then  $\langle F_0 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\text{Alp}(B_0)_2$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**5.III. Non-principal quasi-isolated 2-blocks of  $F_4(q)$ .** The group  $\mathbf{G}^F = F_4(q)$  possesses a non-unipotent quasi-isolated 2-block only when  $3 \nmid q$ .

Assume that  $3 \nmid q$ . Then there exists an element  $s_0 \in \mathbf{G}^F$  of order 3 such that  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(s_0)^F$  is an extension of  $(\text{SL}_{3,\text{long}}(\epsilon q) \times \text{SL}_{3,\text{short}}(\epsilon q)) / \langle (\delta_0 I, \delta_0^{-1} I) \rangle$  by  $Z_3$ , where  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  is the sign satisfying  $3 \mid (q - \epsilon)$ ,  $\delta_0$  is a cubic root of unity in  $\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ , and  $Z_3$  has a generator

$$(\text{diag}\{\eta, \eta, \eta^{-2}\}, \text{diag}\{\eta^{-1}, \eta^{-1}, \eta^2\})$$

with  $\eta^{q-\epsilon} = \delta_0$ . By the classification of quasi-isolated elements of reductive groups of Bonnafé [20], up to conjugacy,  $s_0$  is the unique quasi-isolated element of  $\mathbf{G}$  of odd order.

Write  $\mathbf{H} = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(s_0)$ ,  $H = \mathbf{H}^F$  and  $R_0 \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$ . Let  $B = \mathcal{E}_2(G, s_0)$ . Then by [60, Table 2],  $B$  is a single block of  $G$ , that is, the unique non-principal quasi-isolated 2-block of  $G$ , and by [78, Prop. 6.1],  $R_0$  is a defect group of  $B$ . Let  $B' = \mathcal{E}_2(H, s_0)$ . Note that  $B' = \mathcal{E}_2(H, s_0)$  is a single block by [33, Thm. 21.14], as any component of  $\mathbf{H}$  is of type A.

**5.III.1.  $B'$ -weights of  $H$ .**

**Lemma 5.34.** *Every radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{SL}_3(\epsilon q)$  is conjugate to one of the following.*

- (1)  $P_1 = 1$ ,
- (2)  $P_2 = \iota(R_{2,0,0}^1)$ ,
- (3)  $P_3 = \iota(R_{1,0,0}^1 \times R_{1,0,0}^1)$ ,

- (4)  $P_4 = \iota(R_{1,1,0}^1)$ ,  
(5)  $P_5 = \iota(R_{1,0,1}^1)$ ,  
(6)  $P_6 = \iota(R_{1,0,0,(1)}^1)$  or  $\iota(R_{1,0,1}^2)$  according as  $\epsilon = \varepsilon$  or  $\epsilon \neq \varepsilon$ . In this situation,  $P_6$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\epsilon q)$ .

where  $\iota$  is the embedding  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_3(\epsilon q)$ ,  $g \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(g, \det(g)^{-1})$ . Moreover, the radical subgroups  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_6$  are pairwise non-isomorphic.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.6 and the construction of radical subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_3(\epsilon q)$  in §3.I. See also [43, §4].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.35.** *Let  $L = \mathrm{SL}_3(\epsilon q)$ . Then  $|\mathrm{Alp}(B_0(L))| = 3$ . Precisely, each of the radical subgroups  $P_3, P_5$  and  $P_6$  (in Lemma 5.34) provides a unique principal weight of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the explicit description of the weights of special linear and unitary groups  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\epsilon q)$  in [43, §5] for  $n = 3$ .  $\square$

Note that  $B' = \hat{s}_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2(H, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2(H, 1)$  is the principal block of  $H$ . In particular,  $R_0 = P_6 \times P_6$  is a defect group of  $B'$ . Write  $H = J \rtimes Z_3$  with  $J \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(\epsilon q) \circ_3 \mathrm{SL}_3(\epsilon q)$ , then every radical 2-subgroup of  $H$  is contained in  $J$ .

**Lemma 5.36.**  $|\mathrm{Alp}(B')| = 9$ . *Precisely, each of the radical subgroups  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ , where  $R_1, R_2 \in \{P_3, P_5, P_6\}$ , provides a  $B'$ -weight of  $H$ .*

*In particular, if  $(R, \varphi)$  and  $(R', \varphi')$  are two  $B'$ -weights of  $H$  that are not  $H$ -conjugate, then the weight subgroups  $R$  and  $R'$  are not  $H$ -conjugate.*

*Proof.* Note that  $J$  is contained in the kernel of the linear character  $\hat{s}_0$ . So the block  $B'$  covers the principal block  $B_0(J)$  of  $J$ . In addition, there are exactly three blocks of  $H$  covering  $B_0(J)$ . So  $(R, \mathrm{Res}_{N_J(R)}^{N_H(R)}(\varphi))$  form a complete set of representatives of the  $J$ -conjugacy classes of  $B_0(J)$ -weights of  $J$  if  $(R, \varphi)$  runs through a complete set of representatives of the  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $B'$ -weights of  $H$ . In particular,  $|\mathrm{Alp}(B')| = |\mathrm{Alp}(B_0(J))|$ . Therefore, this lemma follows from Lemma 5.34 immediately.  $\square$

**Remark 5.37.** In Lemma 5.36, one shows that if  $(R, \varphi)$  and  $(R', \varphi')$  are two  $B'$ -weights of  $H$  that are not  $H$ -conjugate, then  $R$  and  $R'$  are not  $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ -conjugate.

5.III.2. *Self-centralizing B-Brauer pairs of  $G$ .* Take  $R_0$  a Sylow 2-subgroup of

$$\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{long}}(\epsilon q) \cdot \mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{short}}(\epsilon q) \subset \mathrm{SL}_{3, \text{long}}(\epsilon q) \cdot \mathrm{SL}_{3, \text{short}}(\epsilon q) \subset Z_G(s_0).$$

Let

$$A_0 = \Omega_1(Z(R_0)), \quad D_0 := Z_G(R_0), \quad D_1 = Z_G(Z(R_0)).$$

Note that the invariants  $r$  and  $s$  for  $A_0$  are both equal to 1, i.e.,  $A_0 \sim F_{1,1}$  (cf. beginning of §4). We have

$$Z_G(A_0) \cong ((\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)) / \langle (-I_4, -I_2, -I_2) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where

$$\tau = \left( \begin{pmatrix} & \eta I_2 \\ -\eta^{-1} I_2 & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & \eta \\ -\eta^{-1} & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & \eta \\ -\eta^{-1} & \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

with  $\eta \in \mathrm{GL}_1(q^2)_2$  and  $\eta^2$  a generator of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q)_2$ . Thus,  $\tau^2 = 1$  and

$$(\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q))^\tau = \mathrm{GL}_2(-\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(-\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(-\epsilon q).$$

Note that  $s_0 \in Z_G(A_0)$  and  $Z_{Z_G(A_0)}(s_0) = Z_{Z_G(s_0)}(A_0)$  is a central extension of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{long}}(\epsilon q) \cdot \mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{short}}(\epsilon q)$  by  $Z_3$ . Up to a permutation of the second and the third components, we may assume that  $s_0$  is conjugate to

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} & I_2 \\ -I_2 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, I_2 \right).$$

**Lemma 5.38.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $R \subset R_0$ . Then there exists a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $G$  if and only if there exists a self-centralizing  $B'$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b'_R)$  of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $R_0$  is a common defect group of both  $B$  and  $B'$ . By [71, Thm. (9.24)], we may assume that  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$ .

Let  $(R_0, b_{R_0})$  be a maximal  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$ . By [78, Lemma 5.4], there exists an  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $(\mathbf{T}^F)_2$  is the unique maximal abelian normal subgroup of  $R_0$  and  $Z_G((\mathbf{T}^F)_2) = \mathbf{T}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{H}$ . Then we have unique Brauer pairs  $((\mathbf{T}^F)_2, b_{(\mathbf{T}^F)_2})$  and  $(Z(R_0), b_{Z(R_0)})$  such that

$$(1, B) \leq (Z(R_0), b_{Z(R_0)}) \leq ((\mathbf{T}^F)_2, b_{(\mathbf{T}^F)_2}) \leq (R_0, b_{R_0}).$$

Then by [21, Thm. 4.14], up to conjugacy, we may assume that  $b_{(\mathbf{T}^F)_2} = \mathcal{E}_2(\mathbf{T}^F, s_0)$ . Here we also regard  $s_0$  as an element in  $\mathbf{T}^{*F}$ . Hence  $(R_0, b_{R_0})$  is a maximal  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$ . Note that any component of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$  is of classical type, so  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(Z(R_0)), s)$  is a single block for any semisimple 2'-element  $s$  of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$ . Thus by [21, Thm. 4.14] again,  $b_{Z(R_0)} = \mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(Z(R_0)), s_0)$  up to  $G$ -conjugacy, as  $\mathbf{T}$  is a maximal torus of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$ .

First let  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ . Then  $Z_G(Z(R_0)) \subset \mathbf{H}$  and  $Z_G(R) \subset \mathbf{H}$ . If  $(R, b_R)$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$ , then up to conjugacy we may assume that  $(1, B) \leq (Z(R_0), b_{Z(R_0)}) \leq (R, b_R) \leq (R_0, b_{R_0})$ . From this,  $(R, b_R)$  is also a  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$ . The converse is entirely analogous.

Now we suppose that  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ . Let  $(R, b_R)$  be a Brauer pair of  $G$ . As above, one shows that  $(R, b_R)$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  if and only if it is a  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(Z(R_0)), s_0)$ -Brauer pair of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$ . Similarly,  $(R, b'_R)$  is a  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$  if and only if it is a  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_H(Z(R_0)), s_0)$ -Brauer pair of  $Z_H(Z(R_0))$ . Note that  $\mathbf{T}$  is also a maximal torus of  $Z_H(Z(R_0))$  and  $Z_H(Z(R_0)) = Z_{Z_G(Z(R_0))}(s_0)$  is an  $F$ -stable Levi subgroup of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$ . By [21, Thm. 7.7], the block algebras of  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(Z(R_0)), s_0)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_H(Z(R_0)), s_0)$  are splendid Rickard equivalent. Applying Brauer functor and by [55, Thm. 1.7], we deduce that for any  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(Z(R_0)), s_0)$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$ , there exists a unique  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_H(Z(R_0)), s_0)$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b'_R)$  of  $Z_H(Z(R_0))$  such that the block algebras of  $b_R$  and  $b'_R$  are Rickard equivalent. Thus  $(R, b_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(Z(R_0)), s_0)$ -Brauer pair of  $Z_G(Z(R_0))$  if and only if  $(R, b'_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $\mathcal{E}_2(Z_H(Z(R_0)), s_0)$ -Brauer pair of  $Z_H(Z(R_0))$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.39.** *Let  $R$  be 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $R \subset R_0$ . If there exists a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $G$ , then  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$  and  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_2(Z_H(R))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $G$ . Therefore,  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$  follows from [71, Thm. (9.24)]. By Lemma 5.38, there exists a self-centralizing  $B'$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b'_R)$  of  $H$ . Let  $\hat{b}_R$  be the block of  $Z_J(R)$  covered by  $b'_R$ . Since  $B_0(J)$  is the unique block of  $J$  covered by  $H$ , by [64, Lemma 2.3],  $(R, \hat{b}_R)$  is a  $B_0(J)$ -Brauer pair of  $J$ , which implies that  $\hat{b}_R$  is the principal block of  $Z_J(R)$ . As  $|H/J| = 3$ ,  $b'_R$  and  $\hat{b}_R$  share a common defect group. Hence  $Z(R)$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $Z_H(R)$ , as wanted.  $\square$

By Construction 2.2 and Corollary 5.39, if  $R$  is a  $B$ -weight subgroup of  $G$ , then  $R$  provides a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$ , thus up to  $G$ -conjugacy we may assume that  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$  and  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_2(Z_H(R))$ .

5.III.3. *Candidates for the  $B$ -weight subgroups of  $G$ : the case of  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ .* When  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ , we employ the following approach of classifying such weight subgroups  $R$ . Let

$$D = Z_G(R) \text{ and } M = Z_G(D).$$

By Lemma 2.12,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $M$ . Since  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$ , then  $D_0 \subset D \subset D_1$  and  $Z_G(D_1) \subset Z_G(D) \subset Z_G(D_0)$ . Note that:  $D$  and  $M$  are centralizers of each other. We could calculate all possible centralizers  $Z_G(R)$  for subgroups  $R$  between  $Z(R_0)$  and  $R_0$  and

then calculate all possible  $M = Z_G(D)$ . Then, we classify radical 2-subgroups  $R$  of  $M$  with  $Z_G(R) = Z_G(M)$ .

When  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ , the centralizer subgroups  $D$  and  $M$  are difficult to be determined. Besides the above approach, we take more detailed study on subgroups between  $Z(R_0)$  and  $R_0$ .

Assume that  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ , i.e.,  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$ . Then, we have

$$R_0 \cong ((Z_{2^a} \times Z_{2^a}) \rtimes Z_2) \times ((Z_{2^a} \times Z_{2^a}) \rtimes Z_2)$$

and

$$Z(R_0) = \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I_2 \cong Z_{2^a} \times Z_{2^a}.$$

One can show that

$$D_1 = Z_G(Z(R_0)) = Z_{Z_G(A_0)}(s_0) = Z_{Z_G(s_0)}(A_0)$$

is a central extension of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{long}}(\epsilon q) \cdot \mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{short}}(\epsilon q)$  by  $Z_3$  as above, and  $D_0 = Z_G(R_0)$  is a central extension of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I_2 \cdot \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I_2$  by  $Z_3$ . Thus, both  $R$  and  $D$  are contained in  $D_1$ . Let  $R'$  be the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of the pre-image of  $R$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{long}}(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{short}}(\epsilon q)$ . Consider the decomposition of the restriction to  $R'$  of the natural representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{long}}(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{short}}(\epsilon q)$ , we see that  $D = Z_G(R)$  is a central extension of  $G'_1 \cdot G'_2$  by  $Z_3$  and  $M = Z_G(D)$  is central extension of  $G_1 \cdot G_2$  by  $Z_3$ , where  $G'_1$  (resp.  $G'_2$ ) is conjugate to one of the following in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{long}}(\epsilon q)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{GL}_{2, \text{short}}(\epsilon q)$ ):

- (S1)  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I_2$  (irreducible and with division ring  $\mathbb{F}_q$ );
- (S2)  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q^2)$  (irreducible and with division ring  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ );
- (S3)  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$  (sum of two inequivalent linear characters);
- (S4)  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$  (sum of two equivalent linear characters).

When  $G'_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is in item (S1), (S2), (S3), (S4),  $G_i$  is in item (S4), (S2), (S3), (S1) respectively. Then,  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  with  $R_i$  a radical 2-subgroup of  $G_i$  such that  $Z_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)}(R_i) = Z_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)}(G_i)$ . We have:

- (1) if  $G_i$  is in item (S1), then  $R_i = (\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q))_2 I_2$ ;
- (2) if  $G_i$  is in item (S2), then  $R_i = (\mathrm{GL}_1(q^2))_2$ ;
- (3) if  $G_i$  is in item (S3), then  $R_i = (\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q))_2 \times (\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q))_2$ ;
- (4) if  $G_i$  is in item (S3), then  $R_i$  either a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$  or a conjugate of  $(\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q))_2 I_2 \cdot Q_8$ .

That is to say, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.40.** *Suppose that  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ . Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$  and  $Z(R) \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(Z_H(R))$ . Then  $R$  is  $G$ -conjugate to a  $B'$ -weight subgroup of  $H$ , as listed in Lemma 5.36.*

5.III.4. *Candidates for the  $B$ -weight subgroups of  $G$ : the case of  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ .* Assume that  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ , i.e.,  $q \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}$ . Then, we have

$$R_0 \cong S_{2^{a+2}} \times S_{2^{a+2}}$$

and

$$Z(R_0) = A_0 = \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I_2 \cdot \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)_2 I_2 \cong Z_2 \times Z_2.$$

Then,

$$D_1 = Z_G(A_0) = ((\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)) / \langle (-I_4, -I_2, -I_2) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

We take

$$s_0 = \left( \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}I_2 & \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 I_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}I_2 & -\frac{1}{2}I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, I_2 \right).$$

For simplicity, we write

$$Z_G(A_0)^0 = (\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)) / \langle (-I_4, -I_2, -I_2) \rangle.$$

Note that  $3 \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times - (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ .

**Lemma 5.41.** *We have*

$$Z_{Z_G(A_0)}(s_0) = ((\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)) / \langle (-I_2, -1, -I_2) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$$

in  $Z_G(A_0)$ .

*Proof.* Note that the centralizer of  $\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}I_k & \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 I_k \\ -\frac{1}{2}I_k & -\frac{1}{2}I_k \end{pmatrix}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2k}(q)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{GL}_k(\epsilon q)$  and  $\tau$  commutes with  $s_0$ . Then, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

In the above,  $\mathrm{GL}_k(\epsilon q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2k}(q)$  in the following way:

- when  $\epsilon = 1$ ,

$$A + \sqrt{-\eta^2}B \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & -\eta^2 B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A, B \in M_k(q)$ ,  $A + \sqrt{-\eta^2}B \in \mathrm{GL}_k(q)$ ,  $A - \sqrt{-\eta^2}B = ((A + \sqrt{-\eta^2}B)^t)^{-1}$ .

- when  $\epsilon = -1$ ,

$$A + \sqrt{-\eta^2}B \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & -\eta^2 B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A, B \in M_k(q)$ ,  $A + \sqrt{-\eta^2}B \in \mathrm{GL}_k(-q)$ .

When  $(\epsilon, \epsilon) = (-1, 1)$ , choose  $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $u_0 - \sqrt{-1}v_0$  is a generator of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q^2)_2$  (hence  $u_0^2 + v_0^2 = -1$ ). Put

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & -v_0 \\ v_0 & u_0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $(\epsilon, \epsilon) = (1, -1)$ , choose  $u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\eta(u_1 - \sqrt{-1}v_1)$  is a generator of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q^2)_2$  and  $u_1^2 + v_1^2 = -\eta^{-2}$ . Put

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \eta u_1 & \eta v_1 \\ -\eta v_1 & \eta u_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,  $x_1, y_1 \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ ,  $o(x_1) = 2^{a+1}$ ,  $o(y_1) = 2$  and  $y_1 x_1 y_1^{-1} = x_1^{-1+2^a} = -x_1^{-1}$ . Put  $B_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$  and  $C_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle$ . For any integer  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq a$ , put

$$B_{1,k} = \langle x_1^{2^k}, y_1 \rangle, B'_{1,k} = \langle x_1^{2^k}, x_1 y_1 \rangle, C_{1,k} = \langle x_1^{2^k} \rangle.$$

Note that  $B_{1,0} = B'_{1,0} = B_1$  and  $C_{1,0} = C_1$ .

When  $(\epsilon, \epsilon) = (-1, 1)$ , choose  $g_0, h_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $g_0 - h_0\eta$  is a generator of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q^2)_2$  (hence  $g_0^2 - h_0^2\eta^2 = -1$ ). Let  $g'_0 - h'_0\eta = (g_0 - h_0\eta)^2$ . Put

$$x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} g'_0 & h'_0\eta^2 \\ h'_0 & g'_0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & -h_0\eta^2 \\ h_0 & -g_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $(\epsilon, \epsilon) = (1, -1)$ , put

$$x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^2 & \\ & \eta^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,  $x_2, y_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ ,  $o(y_2\tau) = 2^{a+1}$ ,  $y_2^2 = -I$  and  $x_2 = (y_2\tau)^2$ . Put  $B_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$ ,  $B'_2 = \langle y_2, \tau \rangle$ ,  $C_2 = \langle y_2\tau \rangle$ . For any integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq a$ , put

$$B_{2,k} = \langle x_2^{2^{k-1}}, y_2 \rangle, B'_{2,k} = \langle x_2^{2^{k-1}}, \tau \rangle, C_{2,k} = \langle x_2^{2^{k-1}} \rangle.$$

It is clear that  $B_1 \times B'_2$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $Z_{Z_G(A_0)}(s_0)$ . We take  $R_0 = B_1 \times B'_2$ .

**Lemma 5.42.** (1) Any subgroup of  $B_1$  containing  $-I = x_1^{2^a}$  is conjugate to one of  $B_1, C_1, B_{1,k}, B'_{1,k}, C_{1,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ).

(2) Any subgroup of  $B'_2$  containing  $-I = x_2^{2^{a-1}}$  is conjugate to one of  $B'_2, C_2, B_{2,k}, B'_{2,k}, C_{2,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ).

- (3) Any subgroup of  $B_2$  containing  $-I = x_2^{2^{a-1}}$  is conjugate to one of  $B_{2,k}, C_{2,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ).

*Proof.* (1) As  $B_1$  is a semi-dihedral group of order  $2^{a+2}$ , the conclusion follows easily.

(2) and (3) could be proven similarly.  $\square$

- Lemma 5.43.** (1) The centralizer of  $B_1$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ .  
 (2) The centralizer of  $C_1$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{GL}_1(q^2) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ .  
 (3) When  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , the centralizer of  $B_{1,k}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is equal to  $\Delta(\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)) \subset \mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ .  
 (4) When  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , the centralizer of  $B'_{1,k}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{O}_{2,-}(q)$ .  
 (5) When  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , the centralizer of  $C_{1,k}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is conjugate to  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ .  
 (6) When  $k = a$ , the centralizer of  $B_{1,a}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ .  
 (7) When  $k = a$ ,  $B'_{1,a} \sim C_{1,a-1}$  is a cyclic group of order 4 and the centralizer is as that in (5).  
 (8) When  $k = a$ , the centralizer of  $C_{1,a}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ .

*Proof.* For (4), first the centralizer of  $B'_{1,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ ) is equal to that of  $B'_{1,a-1}$ . One can check that  $B'_{1,a-1} \cong Q_8$ . Then, Lemma 3.24 indicates that the centralizer of  $B'_{1,a-1}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{O}_{2,+}(q)$  or  $\mathrm{O}_{2,-}(q)$ . By direct calculation, one shows that the cardinality of  $Z_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(B'_{1,a-1})$  is equal to twice of the solution of the equation

$$x^2 + \eta^2 y^2 = 1, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Note that  $\eta^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times - (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ . The above is equal to the cardinality of  $\mathrm{O}_{2,-}(q)$ , but not that of  $\mathrm{O}_{2,+}(q)$ . Therefore, the centralizer of  $B'_{1,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ ) in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{O}_{2,-}(q)$ .

For (5), first the centralizer of  $C_{1,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ ) in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  is equal to that of  $C_{1,a-1}$ . Note that  $C_{1,a-1}$  is generated by an element  $X$  with  $X^2 = -I$ . We must have

$$X \sim \begin{pmatrix} & I_2 \\ -I_2 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the centralizer of  $C_{1,k}$  is conjugate to  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ .

For (7), it is clear that  $B'_{1,a}$  is generated by an element  $X$  with  $X^2 = -I$ . We must have

$$X \sim \begin{pmatrix} & I_2 \\ -I_2 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the centralizer of  $B'_{1,a}$  is as that in (4).

One shows (1), (2), (3) and (6) with direct calculation; (8) is trivial.  $\square$

- Lemma 5.44.** (1) The centralizer of  $B'_2$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is equal to  $\{\pm I\}$ .  
 (2) The centralizer of  $C_2$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is isomorphic to a central extension of  $Z_2$  extension of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ .  
 (3) When  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , the centralizer of  $B_{2,k}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is equal to  $\{\pm I\}$ .  
 (4) When  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , the centralizer of  $B'_{2,k}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is equal to  $\{\pm I\}$ .  
 (5) When  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , the centralizer of  $C_{2,k}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is isomorphic to a central extension of  $Z_2$  extension of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ .  
 (6) When  $k = a$ , we have  $B_{2,a} \sim C_{2,a-1}$ .  
 (7) When  $k = a$ , the centralizer of  $B'_{2,a}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is isomorphic to a central extension of  $Z_2$  extension of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ .  
 (8) When  $k = a$ , the centralizer of  $C_{2,a}$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  is equal to  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ .

*Proof.* (6) Note that  $B_{2,a}$  is generated by an element  $X \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$  with  $X^2 = -I$ . Then,  $B_{2,a} \sim C_{2,a-1}$ . We must have

$$X \sim \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the centralizer of  $B_{2,a}$  is as described.

(7) Note that  $B'_{2,a}$  is generated by an element  $\eta^{-1}X \in \text{Sp}_2(q)$  with  $X^2 = -\eta^2 I$ . We must have

$$X \sim \begin{pmatrix} & \eta^2 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the centralizer of  $B'_{2,a}$  is as described.

One shows (1)-(5) with direct calculation; (8) is trivial.  $\square$

In items (5)-(6) above, it appears a central extension of  $Z_2$  by  $\text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)$ . Let's write  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_1(\epsilon q)$  for this group below.

**Lemma 5.45.** (a) Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_4(q)$  with  $R \subset \text{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ . Then  $R$  is conjugate to one of

$$B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, B_{1,a}, C_{1,a}.$$

(b) Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_2(q)$ . Then  $R$  is conjugate to one of

$$B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}.$$

(c) Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ . Then  $R$  is conjugate to one of

$$B'_2, C_2, B_{2,a-1}, B'_{2,a}, C_{2,a}.$$

*Proof.* (a) We may assume that  $R \subset B_1$ . By Lemma 5.42, we may assume that  $R$  is equal to one of  $B_1, C_1, B_{1,k}, B'_{1,k}, C_{1,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ). Write  $Z = Z_{\text{Sp}_4(q)}(R)$  and  $N = N_{\text{Sp}_4(q)}(R)$ . The group  $Z$  is described in Lemma 5.43 and the quotient  $N/Z$  is easy to find.

- (1) When  $R = B_{1,k}$   $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , we have  $N = B_{1,k-1}Z$  and  $O_2(N) = B_{1,k-1} \neq R$ .
- (2) When  $R = B'_{1,k}$   $1 \leq k \leq a-2$ , we have  $N = B'_{1,k-1}Z$  and  $O_2(N) = B'_{1,k-1} \neq R$ .
- (3) When  $R = C_{1,k}$   $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , we have  $N = B_1Z$  and  $O_2(N) = C_1 \neq R$ .
- (4) When  $R = B'_{1,a} \sim C_{1,a-1}$ , as shown in (4) it is not a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_4(q)$ .

The other subgroups  $B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, B_{1,a}, C_{1,a}$  are radical 2-subgroups.

(b) By Lemma 5.42, we may assume that  $R$  is equal to one of  $B_{2,k}, C_{2,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ). Write  $Z = Z_{\text{Sp}_2(q)}(R)$  and  $N = N_{\text{Sp}_2(q)}(R)$ . Using Lemma 5.43, one can describe the group  $Z$ . The quotient  $N/Z$  is easy to find.

- (1) When  $R = B_{2,k}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq a-2$ ), we have  $N = B_{2,k-1}$  and  $O_2(N) = B_{2,k-1} \neq R$ .
- (2) When  $R = C_{2,k}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq a-1$ ), we have  $N = B_2Z$  and  $O_2(N) = C_{2,1} \neq R$ .
- (3) When  $R = B_{2,a} \sim C_{2,a-1}$ , as shown in (2) it is not a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_2(q)$ .

The other subgroups  $B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}$  are radical 2-subgroups.

(c) By Lemma 5.42, we may assume that  $R$  is equal to one of  $B'_2, C_2, B_{2,k}, B'_{2,k}, C_{2,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ). Write  $Z = Z_{\text{Sp}_2(q)}(R)$  and  $N = N_{\text{Sp}_2(q)}(R)$ . Using Lemma 5.43, one can describe the group  $Z$ . The quotient  $N/Z$  is easy to find.

- (1) When  $R = B_{2,1} = B_2$ , we have  $N = B'_2$  and  $O_2(N) = B'_2 \neq R$ .
- (2) When  $R = B_{2,k}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq a-2$ ), we have  $N = B_{2,k-1}$  and  $O_2(N) = B_{2,k-1} \neq R$ .
- (3) When  $R = B'_{2,1}$ , we have  $N = B'_2$  and  $O_2(N) = B'_2 \neq R$ .
- (4) When  $R = B'_{2,k}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq a-1$ ), we have  $N = B'_{2,k-1}$  and  $O_2(N) = B'_{2,k-1} \neq R$ .
- (5) When  $R = C_{2,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ ), we have  $N = B'_2Z$  and  $O_2(N) = C_2 \neq R$ .
- (6) When  $R = B_{2,a} \sim C_{2,a-1}$ , as shown in (2) it is not a radical 2-subgroup of  $\text{Sp}_2(q)$ .

The other subgroups  $B'_2, C_2, B_{2,a-1}, B'_{2,a}, C_{2,a}$  are radical 2-subgroups.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.46.** We have

$$D_0 := Z_G(R_0) \sim \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q)I_2 \times \text{GL}_1(\epsilon q) \times I$$

in  $Z_G(A_0) = ((\text{Sp}_4(q) \times \text{Sp}_2(q) \times \text{Sp}_2(q)) / \langle (-I_4, -I_2, -I_2) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ .

*Proof.* We have  $R_0 = B_1 \times B'_2$ , which is generated by  $B_1 \times B_2$  and  $\tau$ . By Lemma 5.43 (1) and 5.44 (1), we see that the centralizer of  $B_1 \times B_2$  in  $Z_G(A_0)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times I$ . Calculating the centralizer of  $\tau$  in this group, we get the conclusion of this lemma.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{F}_4(q)$  between  $Z(R_0)$  and  $R_0$ . Note that  $R_0 = B_1 \times B'_2$  and  $R_0 \cap Z_G(A_0)^0 = B_1 \times B_2$ . Let  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) be the image of projection of  $R$  to  $B_1$  (resp.  $B'_2$ ). Let

$$R' = R \cap Z_G(A_0)^0 = R \cap (B_1 \times B_2)$$

and let  $R'_1$  (resp.  $R'_2$ ) be the image of projection of  $R'$  to  $B_1$  (resp.  $B_2$ ).

**Lemma 5.47.** *Let  $R_1$  be a subgroup of  $B_1$  and  $R'_1$  be an index 2 subgroup of  $R_1$  containing  $-I$ . Then, up to conjugacy the pair  $(R'_1, R_1 - R'_1)$  falls into the following list:*

- (1)  $(B_{1,k+1}, x_1^{2^k} B_{1,k+1})$  ( $0 \leq k \leq a-1$ );
- (2)  $(B'_{1,k+1}, x_1^{2^k} B'_{1,k+1})$  ( $0 \leq k \leq a-1$ );
- (3)  $(C_1, y_1 C_1)$ ;
- (4)  $(C_{1,k}, y_1 C_{1,k})$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ );
- (5)  $(C_{1,k}, x_1 y_1 C_{1,k})$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ );
- (6)  $(C_{1,k+1}, x_1^{2^k} C_{1,k+1})$  ( $0 \leq k \leq a-1$ ).

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.42, we may assume that  $R_1 = B_1, C_1, B_{1,k}, B'_{1,k}$  or  $C_{1,k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a$ ). Counting index 2 subgroups of them, we get the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.48.** *Let  $R'_2$  be a subgroup of  $B_2$  containing  $-I$ , and  $w_2 \in B'_2 - B_2$  be an element such that  $R'_2$  is an index 2 normal subgroup of  $\langle R'_2, w_2 \rangle$ . Then, up to conjugacy the pair  $(R'_2, w_2 R'_2)$  falls into the following list:*

- (1)  $(B_2, \tau B_2)$ ;
- (2)  $(C_{2,1}, \tau y_2 C_{2,1})$ ;
- (3)  $(C_{2,l}, \tau C_{2,l})$  ( $1 \leq l \leq a$ ).

*Proof.* By assumption we have  $\langle R'_2, w_2 \rangle \not\subset B_2$ . By Lemma 5.42, we may assume that  $\langle R'_2, w_2 \rangle = B'_2, C_2$  or  $B'_{2,l}$  ( $1 \leq l \leq a$ ). Then,  $R'_2 = B_2, C_{2,1}, C_{2,l}$  respectively. Thus, we get the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.49.** *Suppose that  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) = A_0$ . Then,  $R'_1$  is conjugate to one of*

$$B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, B_{1,a}, C_{1,a};$$

*$R'_2$  is conjugate to one of*

$$B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}.$$

*We have either  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ ; or  $R$  is generated by  $R' = R'_1 \times R'_2$  and another element of the form  $w = (w_1, w_2)$ , where  $w_1 \in R_1 - R'_1$ ,  $w_2 \in B'_2 - B_2$ ,  $w_i$  normalizes  $R'_i$  and  $w_i^2 \in R'_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(\Omega_1(Z(R))) = Z_G(A_0)$ . Then,  $R'$  is a radical 2-subgroup of

$$Z_G(A_0)^0 = (\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)) / \langle (-I_4, -I_2, -I_2) \rangle.$$

Now we know that  $R' \subset R'_1 \times R'_2 \subset \mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \times I \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$  and the image of projection of  $R'$  to  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ ) is equal to  $R'_1$  (resp.  $R'_2$ ). By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.7, we get  $R' = R'_1 \times R'_2$ . Moreover,  $R'_1$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  and  $R'_2$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ . By Lemma 5.45,  $R'_1$  and  $R'_2$  are as described in the conclusion.

Suppose that  $R \neq R_1 \times R_2$ . Then,  $R_1 \neq R'_1, R_2 \neq R'_2$  and  $R/R' \cong Z_2$ . Thus,  $R$  is generated by  $R' = R'_1 \times R'_2$  and an element  $w = (w_1, w_2)$ , where  $w_1 \in R_1 - R'_1$  and  $w_2 \in B'_2 - B_2$ . As  $R/R' \cong Z_2$ , then  $w_i$  normalizes  $R'_i$  and  $w_i^2 \in R'_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.50.** *Let  $R'_1 \subset B_1$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  and Let  $R'_2 \subset B_2$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ . Let  $w_1 \in \mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$  (resp.  $w_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)\tau$ ) be an element such  $w_1$  (resp.  $w_2$ ) normalizes  $R'_1$  (resp.  $R'_2$ ). Let  $R \subset Z_G(A_0)$  be generated by  $R'_1 \times R'_2$  and  $w = (w_1, w_2)$ . Then,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A_0)$  if and only if*

$$O_2(N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1)^{w_1\tau} = O_2((N_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)}(R'_2)/R'_2)^{w_2}) = 1.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$N_{Z_G(A_0)}(R') = (N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \times N_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)}(R'_2)/\langle(-I, -I, -I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle w \rangle.$$

Then,

$$N_{Z_G(A_0)}(R)/R \cong (N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1)^{w_1\tau} \times (\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)/\{\pm I\})^\tau \times (N_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)}(R'_2)/R'_2)^{w_2}.$$

It is known that  $O_2((\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)/\{\pm I\})^\tau) = 1$ . Therefore,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A_0)$  if and only if  $O_2(N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1)^{w_1\tau} = O_2((N_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)}(R'_2)/R'_2)^{w_2}) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.51.** *Let  $R_1$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ . For  $R_2 \subset \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  with  $R_2 = B'_{2,1}$ ,  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  is not a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A_0)$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $1 \neq \langle [y_2] \rangle = O_2((N_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)}(R'_2)/R'_2)^\tau)$ . By Lemma 5.50,  $R = R_1 \times R'_2$  is not a radical 2-subgroup of  $Z_G(A_0)$ .  $\square$

Write  $L = Z_G(A_0)^{s_0}$ .

**Lemma 5.52.** *Let  $R_1$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ . For  $R_2 \subset \mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  with  $R_2 = C_{2,1}$ ,  $Z_L(R)/Z(R)$  is not a 2'-group.*

*Proof.* It is due to  $1 \neq [y_2\tau] \in Z_L(R)/Z(R)$ .  $\square$

Choose  $u_2, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $u_2^2 + v_2^2\eta^2 = -1$ .

**Lemma 5.53.** *Suppose that  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) \neq A_0$ . Then,  $R$  is conjugate to one in the following list:*

- (1)  $B_1 \times B'_{2,a}, C_1 \times B'_{2,a}, B'_{1,a-1} \times B'_{2,a}, C_{1,a} \times B'_{2,a}$ ;
- (2)  $B_{1,a} \times B'_2, B_{1,a} \times C_2, B_{1,a} \times B_{2,a-1}, B_{1,a} \times C_{2,a}, B_{1,a} \times B_2, B_{1,a} \times C_{2,1}$ ;
- (3)  $B_{1,a} \times B'_{2,a}$ .

*Proof.* Note that elements in  $R_0 - A_0$  of order 2 are those of the form

$$y_1 x_1^{2k}, \tau x_2^l, y_1 \tau x_1^{2k} x_2^l, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Replacing  $R$  by a conjugate one, we may assume that  $\Omega_1(Z(R))$  is equal to one of the following: (1) $\langle A_0, \tau \rangle$ ; (2) $\langle A_0, y_1 \rangle$ ; (3) $\langle A_0, y_1 \tau \rangle$ ; (4) $\langle A_0, y_1, \tau \rangle$ .

(1)when  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) = \langle A_0, \tau \rangle$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of

$$Z_G(\Omega_1(Z(R))) = ((\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q))/\langle(-I, -I, -I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle (X_1, X_2, X_3) \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 I_2 & v_2 \eta^2 I_2 \\ v_2 I_2 & -u_2 I_2 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & v_2 \eta^2 \\ v_2 & -u_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition of  $R \subset R_0$  forces  $R \subset (\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q) \times I \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)) \times \langle \tau \rangle$ . Then,  $R = R_1 \times B'_{2,a}$  with  $R_1$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\epsilon q)$ . We must have  $\Omega_1(Z(R_1)) = \{\pm I\}$ . By the classification in Subsection 3.I,  $R_1$  is conjugate to one of  $B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, C_{1,a}$ .

(2)when  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) = \langle A_0, y_1 \rangle$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of

$$Z_G(\Omega_1(Z(R))) = (\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)^4/\langle(-I, -I, -I, -I)\rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle.$$

In the above, the product first two  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$  is the centralizer of  $y_1$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ . Write  $K = Z_G(\Omega_1(Z(R)))$  and  $K^0 = \mathrm{Sp}_2(q)^4/\langle(-I, -I, -I, -I)\rangle$ . By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.7,  $R' \cap K^0 = (L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3 \times L_4)/\langle(-I, -I, -I, -I)\rangle$  with each  $L_i$  a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ . The

condition of  $R \subset R_0$  forces  $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = \{\pm I\}$  and  $R = B_{1,a} \times R_2$ . Then,  $R'_2$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ . By Lemmas 5.45 and 5.48,  $R_2$  is conjugate to one of  $B'_2, C_2, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}, B_2, C_{2,1}$ .

(3) when  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) = \langle A_0, y_1 \tau \rangle$ . This group is conjugate to that in (1). The proof and the result is identical to that in (1).

(4) when  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) = \langle A_0, y_1, \tau \rangle$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of

$$Z_G(\Omega_1(Z(R))) = (\mathrm{GL}_1(\epsilon q)^4 / \langle (-1, -1, -1, -1) \rangle) \rtimes \langle (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4) \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where

$$Y_1 = Y_2 = Y_3 = Y_4 = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & v_2 \eta^2 \\ v_2 & -u_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition of  $R \subset R_0$  forces  $R = \Omega_1(Z(R)) = \langle A_0, y_1, \tau \rangle = B_{1,a} \times B'_{2,a}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.54.** *Let  $R \subset B_1 \times B'_2$  be a radical subgroup of  $G$  between  $Z(R_0)$  and  $R_0$ . Then  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  except when the pair  $(R'_1, w_1 R'_1)$  is conjugate to*

$$(B'_{1,a-1}, x_1 B'_{1,a-1}), \quad a = 2, \quad (C_{1,a}, y_1);$$

and the pair  $(R'_2, w_2 R'_2)$  is conjugate to one of

$$(C_{2,1}, y_2 \tau C_{2,1}), \quad (B_2, \tau B_2), \quad (C_{2,a}, \tau C_{2,a}).$$

*Proof.* Under the assumption, we have  $R_1 \neq R'_1$  and  $R_2 \neq R'_2$ . By Lemmas 5.49 and 5.53,  $R'_1$  is conjugate to one of  $B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, B_{1,a}, C_{1,a}$ ; and  $R'_2$  is conjugate to one of  $B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}$ . Due to  $R_1 \neq R'_1$ , the case of  $R'_1 \sim B_1$  could not happen. The case of  $R'_2 \sim B_{2,a-1}$  could not happen as well (except when  $a = 2$ , then  $B_{2,a-1} = B_2$ ). By Lemmas 5.47 and 5.48, we could take an extra generator  $w = (w_1, w_2)$  as follows:

- (1) When  $R'_1 = C_1$ , take  $w_1 = y_1$ . Then,  $[y_1] \in O_2(N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1)^{w_1 \tau}$ .
- (2) When  $R'_1 = B'_{1,a-1}$ , take  $w_1 = x_1^{2^{a-2}}$ .
  - (2-i) If  $a \geq 3$ , then  $[x_1^{2^{a-2}}] \in O_2(N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1)^{w_1 \tau}$ .
  - (2-ii) If  $a = 2$ , then  $w_1 \tau$  centralizes

$$Z_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1) \cong O_{2,-} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1 \cong O_{2,-} / \langle \{\pm I\} \rangle.$$

- (3) When  $R'_1 = B_{1,a}$ , take  $w_1 = x_1^{2^{a-1}}$ . Then,  $[x_1^{2^{a-1}}] \in O_2(N_{\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)}(R'_1)/R'_1)^{w_1 \tau}$ .
- (4) When  $R'_1 = C_{1,a}$ , take  $w_1 = x_1^{2^{a-1}}$  (note that  $x_1 y_1 \sim x_1^{2^{a-1}}$ ). Then,  $Z_L(R)/Z(R)$  is not a 2'-group.
- (5) When  $R'_1 = C_{1,a}$ , take  $w_1 = y_1$ .
- (6) When  $R'_2 = C_{2,1}$ , take  $w_2 = \tau$ . Then,  $[y_2] \in O_2(N_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)}(R'_2)/R'_2)^{w_2 \tau}$ .
- (7) When  $R'_2 = C_{2,1}$ , take  $w_2 = y_2 \tau$ .
- (8) When  $R'_2 = B_2$ , take  $w_2 = \tau$ .
- (9) When  $R'_2 = C_{2,a}$ , take  $w_2 = \tau$ .

By Lemma 5.50, we get the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.55.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  between  $Z(R_0)$  and  $R_0$ . If  $R = R'$ . Then,  $R \sim R_1 \times R_2$  with  $R_1$  one of*

$$B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, B_{1,a}, C_{1,a}$$

and  $R_2$  one of

$$B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) \neq A_0$ . By Lemma 5.53,  $R$  is conjugate to  $B_{1,a} \times R_2$  with  $R_2$  one of  $B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}$ .  $B_{1,a} \times C_{2,a}$ .

Suppose that  $\Omega_1(Z(R)) = A_0$ . By Lemma 5.49, we have  $R = R' \sim R_1 \times R_2$  with  $R_1$  one of  $B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, C_{1,a}$  and  $R_2$  one of  $B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.56.** *Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  between  $Z(R_0)$  and  $R_0$ . If  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \neq R'$ . Then,  $R_1$  is conjugate to one of*

$$B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, B_{1,a}, C_{1,a}$$

and  $R_2$  is conjugate to one of

$$B'_2, C_2, B'_{2,a}.$$

*Proof.* Under the assumption, we have  $R_1 = R'_1$  and  $R_2 \neq R'_2$ . By Lemmas 5.49 and 5.53,  $R_1$  is as described in the conclusion. By Lemmas 5.49 and 5.53,  $R'_2$  is conjugate to one of  $B_2, C_{2,1}, B_{2,a-1}, C_{2,a}$ . By Lemma 5.48, we see that  $R_2$  is as described in the conclusion. By a similar argument as in Lemma 5.51, one shows that  $R'_1 \times B'_{2,1}$  is not a radical 2-subgroup.  $\square$

By the above arguments, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.57.** *Suppose that  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ . Let  $R$  be a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$  and  $Z(R) \in \text{Syl}_2(Z_H(R))$ . Then  $R$  is  $G$ -conjugate to one of the following.*

- (1) *One of the  $B'$ -weight subgroup of  $H$ , as listed in Lemma 5.36.*
- (2)  *$R_1 \times B_2$ , where  $R_1 = B_1, C_1, B'_{1,a-1}, C_{1,a}$  or  $B_{1,a}$ . If  $a = 2$ , then these subgroups are also  $B'$ -weight subgroups of  $H$ .*
- (3)  *$\langle B'_{1,a-1} \times B_2, (x_1, \tau) \rangle$ . This occurs only when  $a = 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$  with  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$ , then it is one of the list in Proposition 5.54, 5.55 and 5.56. One shows that if  $R$  is not  $G$ -conjugate to one of the subgroups in (1)–(3), then  $Z_H(R)/Z(R)$  is not a 2'-group.  $\square$

5.III.5. *Classifying the  $B$ -weights of  $G$ .* By Corollary 5.39, if there exists a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $G$  such that  $R$  is a radical subgroup of  $G$ , then  $R$  is  $G$ -conjugate to one of the radical subgroup listed in Propositions 5.40 and 5.57. The Brauer pairs of general linear and unitary groups are classified in [27]. Precisely, up to conjugacy, each of the groups  $R$  listed in Propositions 5.40 and 5.57 provides exactly one self-centralizing  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $Z_H(Z(R_0))$ , and thus provide exactly one  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  by the proof of Lemma 5.38.

When  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ , for a  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $G$ , we will use the notation  $(R, b'_R)$  for the  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$  defined as in the proof of Lemma 5.38.

**Lemma 5.58.** *Let  $(R, b_R)$  be a Brauer pair of  $G$  such that  $Z(R_0) \subset R \subset R_0$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ .*
  - (a)  *$(R, b_R)$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  if and only if it is a  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$ .*
  - (b) *If  $(R, b_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  such that  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Z_G(R)$  is a torus with  $Z_G(R) \subset \mathbf{H}$  and  $N_G(R, b_R) = N_H(R)$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ .*
  - (a)  *$(R, b_R)$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  if and only if  $(R, b'_R)$  is a  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$ .*
  - (b) *If  $(R, b_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  such that  $R$  is a radical 2-subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N_G(R, b_R) = Z_G(R)N_H(R)$ .*

*Proof.* (1.a) and (2.a) follows by the proof of Lemma 5.38. Now we assume that  $(R, b_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  such that  $R$  is a radical subgroup of  $G$ .

First let  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ . Then (1.a) follows by the proof of Lemma 5.38. We assume that  $R$  is listed in Proposition 5.40. It can be checked case by case that  $Z_G(R) \subset Z_G(Z(R_0)) \subset \mathbf{H}$ . Now assume that  $(R, b_R)$  is a  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$ ; we also regard it is a  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$ . One checks that  $Z_G(R)$  is a torus case by case. Let  $\hat{b}_R$  be the block of  $Z_J(R)$  covered by  $b_R$ . Then by [64, Lemma 2.3],  $(R, \hat{b}_R)$  is a  $B_0(J)$ -Brauer pair of  $J$  by Brauer Third Main Theorem, which implies that  $\hat{b}_R$  is the principal block of  $Z_J(R)$ . Since  $b_R$  is not the principal block of  $Z_H(R)$ , we can assume that  $b_R = \mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(R), s_0)$  and the linear character  $\text{Res}_{Z_H(R)}^H(\hat{s}_0)$

is the canonical character of  $b_R$ . So using the fact that  $Z_G(R)$  is a torus, we deduce that  $N_G(R, b_R) = N_H(R)$ .

Next, assume that  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ . We assume that  $R$  is listed in Proposition 5.57. We mention that the Lusztig induction  $\mathbf{R}_{Z_H(R)}^{Z_G(R)}$  induces a Morita equivalence between the block algebras of  $b_R$  and  $b'_R$  (cf. [77, Prop. 3.9]). In addition, the linear character  $\hat{s}_{0,R} = \text{Res}_{Z_H(R)}^H(\hat{s}_0)$  is the canonical character of  $b'_R$  and  $\pm \mathbf{R}_{Z_H(R)}^{Z_G(R)}(\hat{s}_{0,R})$  is the canonical character of  $b_R$ . We can check case by case that  $Z_H(R)$  is a maximal torus of  $Z_G(R)$ . Similar as in the proof of (1) one shows that  $b'_R = \mathcal{E}_2(Z_H(R), s_0)$  and thus  $b_R = \mathcal{E}_2(Z_G(R), s_0)$ . Note that the linear character  $\hat{s}_0$  is stabilized by all elements of  $H$ . So  $N_H(R) \subset N_G(R, b_R)$  and we have  $Z_G(R)N_H(R) \subset N_G(R, b_R)$ . Conversely, for any  $g \in N_G(R, b_R)$ , we have that  $g$  stabilizes the  $Z_G(R)$ -conjugacy class of the pair  $(Z_H(R), \hat{s}_{0,R})$ . So there exists  $c \in Z_G(R)$  such that  $gc^{-1}$  stabilizes the pair  $(Z_H(R), \hat{s}_{0,R})$ . Let  $\mathbf{L} = Z_G(Z_H(R))$ . Then  $\mathbf{L}$  is a Levi subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  contained in  $\mathbf{H}$ . By [33, (8.19)],  $\hat{s}_0$  defines a linear character of  $\mathbf{L}^F$  as  $\hat{s}_0 \in Z(\mathbf{L}^*)^F$ . Also,  $gc^{-1}$  stabilizes  $\hat{s}_0$  by construction. Therefore, according to [32, Prop. 1.9 (i)],  $gc^{-1} \in H$  since  $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{H}$ . This implies that  $N_G(R, b_R) \subset Z_G(R)N_H(R)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.59.**  $|\text{Alp}(B)| = 9$ . *Precisely, up to conjugacy, each of the  $B'$ -weight subgroup of  $H$  provides one  $B$ -weight of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $\varepsilon = \epsilon$ . We will establish this assertion by showing that the map

$$(R, \phi) \mapsto (R, \text{Ind}_{N_H(R)}^{N_G(R)}(\phi))$$

induces a bijection between  $\text{Alp}(B')$  and  $\text{Alp}(B)$ . Let  $(R, \phi)$  be a  $B'$ -weight of  $H$  and let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(Z_G(R) \mid \varphi)$ . Let  $b_R$  be the block of  $Z_G(R)$  containing  $\theta$  so that  $(R, b_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$ . Assume that  $R$  is listed in Proposition 5.40 and by Lemma 5.58,  $(R, b_R)$  be a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$  with  $N_G(R, b_R) = N_H(R)$ . Applying Construction 2.2, we see that  $(R, \text{Ind}_{N_H(R)}^{N_G(R)}(\phi))$  is a  $B$ -weight of  $G$ .

Conversely, let  $(R, \varphi)$  be a  $B$ -weight of  $G$  and  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(Z_G(R) \mid \varphi)$ . Let  $b_R$  be the block of  $Z_G(R)$  containing  $\theta$  so that  $(R, b_R)$  is a self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair of  $G$ . Moreover,  $\theta$  is the canonical character of  $b_R$ . Denote by  $\vartheta$  the inflation of  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(RZ_G(R)/R)$  to  $RZ_G(R)$ . Then  $N_G(R, b_R) = N_G(R)_\vartheta$  and the induction  $\text{Ind}_{N_G(R, b_R)}^{N_G(R)}$  induces a bijection

$$\text{rdz}(N_G(R, b_R) \mid \vartheta) \rightarrow \text{rdz}(N_G(R) \mid \vartheta).$$

By Lemma 5.58, one gets  $Z_G(R) = Z_H(R)$ ,  $N_G(R, b_R) = N_H(R)$ , and thus there exists a unique weight  $(R, \phi)$  of  $H$  such that  $\phi \in \text{rdz}(N_H(R) \mid \vartheta)$  and  $\varphi = \text{Ind}_{N_H(R)}^{N_G(R)}(\phi)$ . Furthermore, the fact that  $(R, b_R)$  is a  $B'$ -Brauer pair of  $H$  from Lemma 5.58 implies that  $(R, \phi)$  is a  $B'$ -weight.

Thus, from Construction 2.2 we see that the map  $(R, \phi) \mapsto (R, \text{Ind}_{N_H(R)}^{N_G(R)}(\phi))$  gives a injection from the  $B'$ -weights of  $H$  to the  $B$ -weights of  $G$ , which induces a surjection between  $\text{Alp}(B')$  and  $\text{Alp}(B)$ . By Lemma 5.36 and Remark 5.37, if  $(R, \varphi)$  and  $(R', \varphi')$  are  $B'$ -weights that are not  $H$ -conjugate, then  $R$  and  $R'$  are not  $G$ -conjugate. Hence the map  $(R, \phi) \mapsto (R, \text{Ind}_{N_H(R)}^{N_G(R)}(\phi))$  indeed induces a bijection between  $\text{Alp}(B')$  and  $\text{Alp}(B)$ .

Next, we let  $\varepsilon \neq \epsilon$ . By Lemma 5.38,  $(R, b_R)$  is self-centralizing if and only if  $(R, b'_R)$  is self-centralizing. Assume that  $R$  is listed in Proposition 5.57. Each of these radical 2-subgroups provides a unique self-centralizing  $B$ -Brauer pair  $(R, b_R)$  of  $G$ . Denote by  $\theta$  the canonical character of  $b_R$  and by  $\vartheta$  the inflation of  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(RZ_G(R)/R)$  to  $RZ_G(R)$ . By Lemma 5.58,  $N_G(R)_\vartheta = N_G(R, b_R) = Z_G(R)N_H(R)$  which implies that  $N_G(R)_\vartheta/RZ_G(R) \cong N_H(R)/RZ_H(R)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $O_2(N_H(R)/RZ_H(R)) = 1$ , and hence by Lemma 2.13,  $R$  is a radical subgroup of  $H$ . Calculations case by case shows that  $N_H(R)/RZ_H(R) \cong 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  or  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$  when  $R$  is a radical subgroup of  $H$ , then by Lemma 5.30, one sees that  $\text{rdz}(N_G(R)_\vartheta \mid \vartheta)$  has exactly one element, which implies that  $R$  provides exactly one  $B$ -weight of  $G$  by Construction 2.2. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.60.** In the proof of Proposition 5.59, we indeed get a correspondence between the weights of  $B$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2(H, 1)$ . Comparing with the Jordan decomposition for characters ([33, §15]) and for blocks ([21], [41]), this can be viewed as a Jordan decomposition for weights of quasi-isolated 2-blocks of groups of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ .

Now we are ready to establish the inductive BAW condition for the quasi-isolated block  $B$ . ■

**Theorem 5.61.** *The inductive BAW condition holds for the block  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $B$  is  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -stable. As in the proof of Theorem 5.33, it is sufficient to show that there is an  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B)$  and  $\text{Alp}(B)$ .

By [17, Prop. 5.3],  $\mathcal{E}(G, s_0)$  is a basic set of the block  $B$  and so  $|\text{IBr}(B)| = 9$ . In addition, by [17, Prop. 5.13], every character in  $\mathcal{E}(G, s_0)$  is  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -invariant. Thus every Brauer character in  $\text{IBr}(B)$  is  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -invariant.

By Proposition 5.59,  $|\text{Alp}(B)| = 9$ . Moreover, if  $(R, \varphi)$  and  $(R', \varphi')$  are  $B$ -weights that are not  $G$ -conjugate, then by Remark 5.37,  $R$  and  $R'$  are not  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -conjugate. Thus every element in  $\text{Alp}(B)$  is  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -invariant.

Therefore, there exists an  $\text{Aut}(G)$ -equivariant bijection between  $\text{IBr}(B)$  and  $\text{Alp}(B)$  and thus  $B$  satisfy the inductive BAW condition. □

Finally, we are able to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $G = \mathbf{F}_4(q)$  (with odd  $q$ ). By [46, Thm. 5.7], to establish the inductive BAW condition for 2-blocks of  $G$ , it suffices to consider the quasi-isolated 2-blocks for groups of types  $\mathbf{F}_4, \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, {}^2\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3, \mathbf{B}_3$ . Note that the papers [47], [48] treat the types  $\mathbf{A}_n, {}^2\mathbf{A}_n$  and  $\mathbf{C}_n$ , while groups of type  $\mathbf{B}_3$  are dealt with in Corollary 5.17. We mention that for classical groups of types  $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ , the quasi-isolated 2-blocks are just the principal 2-blocks.

Therefore, we are left with the task of establishing the inductive BAW condition for the quasi-isolated 2-blocks of  $G$ . By Théorème A and the table on page 349 of [40], the group  $G$  has three unipotent 2-blocks: the principal block and two unipotent blocks of defect zero. Thus by Theorem 5.33, the inductive BAW condition holds for all unipotent 2-blocks. By [60, §3], the group  $G$  possesses a non-unipotent quasi-isolated 2-block only when  $3 \nmid q$ , and in which situation, the non-unipotent quasi-isolated 2-block is unique and dealt with in Theorem 5.61. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are greatly indebted to Damiano Rossi for comments on an earlier version.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. ALPERIN, Weights for finite groups. In: *The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups, Arcata, Calif. (1986), Part I*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. **47**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987, pp. 369–379.
- [2] J. L. ALPERIN, A Lie approach to finite groups. In: *Groups–Canberra 1989*. Lecture Notes in Math., **1456**, Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 1–9.
- [3] J. L. ALPERIN, P. FONG, Weights for symmetric and general linear groups. *J. Algebra* **131** (1990), 2–22.
- [4] J. AN, 2-weights for general linear groups. *J. Algebra* **149** (1992), 500–527.
- [5] J. AN, 2-weights for classical groups. *J. reine angew. Math.* **439** (1993), 159–204.
- [6] J. AN, 2-weights for unitary groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339** (1993), 251–278.
- [7] J. AN, Weights for the simple Ree groups  ${}^2G_2(q^2)$ . *New Zealand J. Math.* **22** (1993), 1–8.
- [8] J. AN, Weights for classical groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342** (1994), 1–42.
- [9] J. AN, Weights for the Chevalley groups  $G_2(q)$ . *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **69** (1994), 22–46.
- [10] J. AN, Weights for the Steinberg triality groups  ${}^3D_4(q)$ . *Math. Z.* **218** (1995), 273–290.
- [11] J. AN, The Alperin and Dade conjectures for Ree groups  ${}^2F_4(q^2)$  in non-defining characteristics. *J. Algebra* **203** (1998), 30–49.

- [12] J. AN, M. CONDER, On the numbers of 2-weights, unipotent conjugacy classes, and irreducible Brauer 2-characters of finite classical groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 2297–2304.
- [13] J. AN, H. DIETRICH, Radical 3-subgroups of  $F_4(q)$  with  $q$  even. *J. Algebra* **398** (2014), 542–568.
- [14] J. AN, H. DIETRICH, S.-C., HUANG, Radical subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type  $E_6$ . *J. Algebra* **409** (2014), 387–429.
- [15] J. AN, H. DIETRICH, S.-C., HUANG, Radical 3-subgroups of the finite groups of Lie type  $E_6$ . *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **222** (2018), 4040–4067.
- [16] J. AN, H. DIETRICH, A. J. LITTERICK, Elementary abelian subgroups: from algebraic groups to finite groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, DOI: 10.1090/tran/9140.
- [17] J. AN, G. HISS, F. LÜBECK, The inductive Alperin weight condition for the Chevalley groups  $F_4(q)$ . To appear *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:2103.04597.
- [18] J. AN, S.-C. HUANG, Radical 3-subgroups and essential 3-rank of  $F_4(q)$ . *J. Algebra* **376** (2013), 320–340.
- [19] K. K. S. ANDERSEN, J. GRODAL, J. M. MØLLER, A. VIRUEL, The classification of  $p$ -compact groups for  $p$  odd. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167** (2008), 95–210.
- [20] C. BONNAFÉ, Quasi-isolated elements in reductive groups. *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), 2315–2337.
- [21] C. BONNAFÉ, J.-F. DAT, R. ROUQUIER, Derived categories and Deligne–Lusztig varieties II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **185** (2017), 609–676.
- [22] C. BONNAFÉ, R. ROUQUIER, Catégories dérivées et variétés de Deligne–Lusztig. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **97** (2003), 1–59.
- [23] A. BOREL, Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de Lie compacts connexes. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **13** (1961), 216–240.
- [24] A. BOREL, J. TITS, Éléments unipotents et sous-groupes paraboliques de groupes réductifs. I. *Invent. Math.* **12** (1971), 95–104.
- [25] S. BOUC, Modules de Möbius. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **299** (1984), 9–12.
- [26] S. BOUC, Homologie de certains ensembles ordonnés. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **299** (1984), 49–52.
- [27] M. BROUÉ, Les  $\ell$ -blocs des groupes  $GL(n, q)$  et  $U(n, q^2)$  et leurs structures locales. Séminaire Bourbaki, *Astérisque* **640** (1986), 159–188.
- [28] M. BROUÉ, J. MICHEL, Blocs et séries de Lusztig dans un groupe réductif fini. *J. reine angew. Math.* **395** (1989), 56–67.
- [29] J. BROUGH, B. SPÁTH, A criterion for the inductive Alperin weight condition. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **54** (2022), 466–481.
- [30] O. BRUNAT, O. DUDAS, J. TAYLOR, Unitriangular shape of decomposition matrices of unipotent blocks. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **192** (2020), 583–663.
- [31] K. S. BROWN, Euler characteristics of groups: the  $p$ -fractional part. *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), 1–5.
- [32] M. CABANES, M. ENGUEHARD, On blocks of finite reductive groups and twisted induction. *Adv. Math.* **145** (1999), 189–229.
- [33] M. CABANES, M. ENGUEHARD, *Representation Theory of Finite Reductive Groups*. New Math. Monogr., vol. **1**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [34] M. CABANES, B. SPÁTH, Descent equalities and the inductive McKay condition for types B and E. *Adv. Math.* **356** (2019), 106820.
- [35] R. CHANEBA, Basic sets for unipotent blocks of finite reductive groups in bad characteristic. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **16** (2021), 12613–12638.
- [36] E. C. DADE, Counting characters in blocks. I. *Invent. Math.* **109** (1992), 187–210.
- [37] E. C. DADE, Counting characters in blocks. II. *J. reine angew. Math.* **448** (1994), 97–190.
- [38] D. DENONCIN, Stable basic sets for finite special linear and unitary groups. *Adv. Math.* **307** (2017), 344–368.
- [39] F. DIGNE, J. MICHEL, On Lusztig’s parametrization of characters of finite groups of Lie type. *Astérisque* **181–182** (1990), 113–156.
- [40] M. ENGUEHARD, Sur les  $l$ -blocs unipotents des groupes réductifs finis quand  $l$  est mauvais. *J. Algebra* **230** (2000), 334–377.
- [41] M. ENGUEHARD, Vers une décomposition de Jordan des blocs des groupes réductifs finis. *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 1035–1115.
- [42] Z. FENG, The blocks and weights of finite special linear and unitary groups. *J. Algebra* **523** (2019), 53–92.
- [43] Z. FENG, C. LI, J. ZHANG, Equivariant correspondences and the inductive Alperin weight condition for type A. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374** (2021), 8365–8433.
- [44] Z. FENG, C. LI, J. ZHANG, On the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for type A. *J. Algebra* **631** (2023), 287–305.

- [45] Z. FENG, Z. LI, J. ZHANG, On the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for classical groups. *J. Algebra* **537** (2019), 381–434.
- [46] Z. FENG, Z. LI, J. ZHANG, Jordan decomposition for weights and the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture. *Adv. Math.* **408** (2022), 108594.
- [47] Z. FENG, Z. LI, J. ZHANG, Morita equivalences and the inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for type A. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **376** (2023), 6497–6520.
- [48] Z. FENG, G. MALLE, The inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for type C and the prime 2. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **113** (2022), 1–20.
- [49] Z. FENG, J. ZHANG, Alperin weight conjecture and related developments. *Bull. Math. Sci.* **12** (2022), 2230005.
- [50] P. FONG, B. SRINIVASAN, The blocks of finite classical groups. *J. reine angew. Math.* **396** (1989), 122–191.
- [51] M. GECK, A first guide to the character theory of finite groups of Lie type. In: *Local Representation Theory and Simple Groups*. EMS Ser. Lect. Math., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018, pp. 63–106.
- [52] M. GECK, D. HÉZARD, On the unipotent support of character sheaves. *Osaka J. Math.* **45** (2008), 819–831.
- [53] R. L. GRIESS, Elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of algebraic groups. *Geom. Dedicata* **39** (1991), 253–305.
- [54] R. L. GRIESS, A. J. E. RYBA, Embeddings of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(31)$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(32)$  in  $E_8(\mathbb{C})$ . With appendices by Michael Larsen and J.-P. Serre. *Duke Math. J.* **94** (1998), 181–211.
- [55] M. E. HARRIS, Splendid derived equivalences for blocks of finite groups. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **60** (1999), 71–82.
- [56] D. HÉZARD, *Sur le support unipotent des faisceaux-caracères*. Thèse, Université Claude Bernard – Lyon I, 2004.
- [57] J. S. HUANG, J. YU, Klein four-subgroups of Lie algebra automorphisms. *Pacific J. Math.* **262** (2013), 397–420.
- [58] B. HUPPERT, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*. De Gruyter Exp. Math., vol. **25**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998.
- [59] I. M. ISAACS, G. MALLE, G. NAVARRO, A reduction theorem for the McKay conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **170** (2007), 33–101.
- [60] R. KESSAR, G. MALLE, Quasi-isolated blocks and Brauer’s height zero conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **178** (2013), 321–384.
- [61] R. KESSAR, G. MALLE, Local-global conjectures and blocks of simple groups. In: *Groups St Andrews 2017 in Birmingham*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. **455**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019, pp. 70–105.
- [62] P. KLEIDMAN, M. LIEBECK, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. **129**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [63] R. KNÖRR, G. R. ROBINSON, Some remarks on a conjecture of Alperin. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **39** (1989), 48–60.
- [64] S. KOSHITANI, B. SPÄTH, Clifford theory of characters in induced blocks. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143** (2015), 3687–3702.
- [65] S. LANG, Algebraic groups over finite fields. *Amer. J. Math.* **78** (1956), 555–563.
- [66] M. W. LIEBECK, G. M. SEITZ, *Unipotent and Nilpotent Classes in Simple Algebraic Groups and Lie Algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **180**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [67] G. LUSZTIG, Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group. *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), 205–272.
- [68] G. LUSZTIG, Unipotent classes and special Weyl group representations. *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), 3418–3449.
- [69] G. MALLE, Height 0 characters of finite groups of Lie type. *Represent. Theory* **11** (2007), 192–220.
- [70] G. MALLE, D. TESTERMAN, *Linear Algebraic Groups and Finite Groups of Lie Type*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. **133**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [71] G. NAVARRO, *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. **250**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [72] G. NAVARRO, Decomposition matrices over unitriangulars. *J. Algebra* **619** (2023), 572–582.
- [73] G. NAVARRO, B. SPÄTH, On Brauer’s height zero conjecture. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **16** (2014), 695–747.
- [74] G. NAVARRO, P. H. TIEP, A reduction theorem for the Alperin weight conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **184** (2011), 529–565.
- [75] J. B. OLSSON, K. UNO, Dade’s conjecture for symmetric groups. *J. Algebra* **176** (1995), 534–560.

- [76] D. QUILLEN, Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial  $p$ -subgroups of a group. *Adv. Math.* **28** (1978), 101–128.
- [77] L. RUHSTORFER, Derived equivalences and equivariant Jordan decomposition. *Represent. Theory* **26** (2022), 542–584.
- [78] L. RUHSTORFER, The Alperin–McKay and Brauer’s Height Zero Conjecture for the prime 2. to appear *Ann. of Math. (2)*. see arXiv:2204.06373.
- [79] A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY, J. TAYLOR, Galois automorphisms and classical groups. *Transform. Groups* **28** (2023), 439–486.
- [80] E. SCHULTE, The inductive blockwise Alperin weight condition for  $G_2(q)$  and  ${}^3D_4(q)$ . *J. Algebra* **466** (2016), 314–369.
- [81] J.-P. SERRE, *1998 Moursund Lectures at the University of Oregon*. arXiv:math/0305257.
- [82] B. SPÄTH, A reduction theorem for the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture. *J. Group Theory* **16** (2013), 159–220.
- [83] B. SPÄTH, Extensions of characters in type D and the inductive McKay condition, II. arXiv:2304.07373.
- [84] T. A. SPRINGER, R. STEINBERG, Conjugacy classes. In: *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69)*. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **131**, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1970, pp. 167–266.
- [85] R. STEINBERG, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 80* (1968), Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society.
- [86] J. TAYLOR, *On Unipotent Supports of Reductive Groups With a Disconnected Centre*. PhD thesis, University of Aberdeen, 2012.
- [87] J. TAYLOR, On unipotent supports of reductive groups with a disconnected centre. *J. Algebra* **391** (2013), 41–61.
- [88] J. THÉVENAZ, *G-algebras and Modular Representation Theory*. Oxford Math. Monogr., The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.
- [89] G. E. WALL, On the conjugacy classes in the unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **3** (1963), 1–62.
- [90] S. YOSHIARA, The Borel–Tits property for finite groups. *Groups and Geometries (Siena, 1996)*. Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 237–249.
- [91] J. YU, Elementary abelian 2-subgroups of compact Lie groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, **167** (2013), 245–293.
- [92] J. YU, Maximal abelian subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of type E. *Geom. Dedicata* **185** (2016), 205–269.

ZHICHENG FENG, SHENZHEN INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN 518055, CHINA  
*Email address:* fengzc@sustech.edu.cn

JUN YU, BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, NO. 5 YIHEYUAN ROAD, BEIJING 100871, CHINA  
*Email address:* junyu@bicmr.pku.edu.cn

JIPING ZHANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, NO. 5 YIHEYUAN ROAD, BEIJING 100871, CHINA  
*Email address:* jzhang@pku.edu.cn