

# A NOTE ON THE $L^p$ -SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

SHENGBING DENG AND XINGLIANG TIAN\*

ABSTRACT. The usual Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , asserts that  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \mathcal{S}\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  for  $1 < p < N$  and  $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-p}$ , with  $\mathcal{S}$  being the sharp constant. Based on a recent work of Figalli and Zhang [Duke Math. J., 2022], a weak norm remainder term of Sobolev inequality in bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is established, i.e., for  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < N$  there is  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq \mathcal{C} \|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}^{\max\{p, 2\}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p - \max\{2, p\}}, \quad \text{for all } u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $\bar{p} = p^*(p-1)/p$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$  denotes the weak  $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norm. This result answers the long-standing open problem raised by Bianchi and Egnell [J. Funct. Anal., 1991]. Moreover, we establish a sharp upper bound of Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

## 1. Introduction

Given  $N \geq 2$  and  $p \in (1, N)$ , denote the homogeneous Sobolev space  $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be the closure of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$ . The Sobolev inequality states as

$$(1.1) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \mathcal{S}\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

with  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(N, p) > 0$  being the sharp constant, where  $p^* := \frac{pN}{N-p}$ . It is well known that Aubin [1] and Talenti [17] found the optimal constant and the extremal functions for (1.1). Indeed, equality is achieved precisely by the functions  $cU_{\lambda,z}(x) = c\lambda^{\frac{N-p}{p}}U(\lambda(x-z))$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where

$$U(x) = \gamma_{N,p}(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}, \quad \text{for some constant } \gamma_{N,p} > 0,$$

which solve the related Sobolev critical equation

$$(1.2) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = u^{p^*-1}, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

we refer to [15] for details. Define the set of extremal functions as

$$\mathcal{M} := \{cU_{\lambda,z} : c \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

For each bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , let us define

$$\mathcal{S}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}}.$$

\* Corresponding author.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 26D10.

*Key words and phrases.* Sobolev inequality; Weak Lebesgue-norm; Remainder term.

It is well known that  $\mathcal{S}(\Omega) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$  is never achieved then it is natural to consider the remainder terms. For  $p = 2$ , Brézis and Nirenberg [4] proved that if  $s < \frac{N}{N-2}$  then there is  $A = A(\Omega, N, s) > 0$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \mathcal{S}^2 \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)}^2 \geq A \|u\|_{L^s(\Omega)}^2, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Furthermore, the result is sharp in the sense that it is not true if  $s = \frac{N}{N-2}$ . However, the following refinement is proved by Brézis and Lieb [3] that

$$(1.4) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \mathcal{S}^2 \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)}^2 \geq A' \|u\|_{L_w^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{L_w^s(\Omega)}$  denotes the weak  $L^s$ -norm as

$$(1.5) \quad \|\cdot\|_{L_w^s(\Omega)} := \sup_{D \subset \Omega, \mu(D) > 0} \mu(D)^{-\frac{s-1}{s}} \int_D |\cdot| dx.$$

Here  $\mu(D)$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $D$ . Note that this weak  $L^s$ -norm is equivalent to the classical weak  $L^s$ -norm for  $s > 1$ , that is,  $u \in L_w^s(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\sup_{t>0} t\mu\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| > t\}^{1/s} < \infty$ , furthermore, for any  $0 < t < s$  and  $s > 1$  with  $u \in L_w^s(\Omega)$ , we have  $\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq C_{t,s} \|u\|_{L_w^s(\Omega)}$  which implies the result of (1.4) is stronger than (1.3), see [12, p.255] and also [5, Chapter 5] for details. Brézis and Lieb [3] asked a famous question whether a remainder term – proportional to the quadratic distance of the function  $u$  to be the optimizers manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  – can be added to the right hand side of (1.1). This question was answered affirmatively by Bianchi and Egnell [2] by using spectral estimate combined with Lions' concentration and compactness theorem (see [13]), which reads that there is  $c_{\text{BE}} > 0$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \mathcal{S}^2 \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \geq c_{\text{BE}} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u - v)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which can be regarded as a quantitative form of Lion's theorem. Besides, based on the result (1.6), Bianchi and Egnell [2] gave a simpler proof of (1.4).

While for the general  $p \in (1, N)$ , it needs much delicate analysis to deal with the stability of inequality (1.1). Egnell et al. [8] obtained a result of (1.3) type that

$$(1.7) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq A \|u\|_{L^s(\Omega)}^p, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain and  $s < \bar{p} := p^*(p-1)/p$ , furthermore, the inequality fails if  $s = \bar{p}$ . For this reason, the number  $\bar{p}$  is usually called the critical remainder exponent. Furthermore, Bianchi and Egnell [2] conjectured that for all  $1 < p < N$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq \mathcal{C} \|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}^p, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

for some  $\mathcal{C} > 0$ . Note that if  $1 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N+1}$ , then  $\bar{p} \leq 1$ , thus from the definition of weak norm (1.5) we have  $\|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} = \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1-\bar{p}}{\bar{p}}} \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , and the weak norm makes no sense. Therefore, combining with (1.7) we know (1.8) may holds only if  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < N$ .

When the domain is chosen to be the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , Cianchi et al. [6] first proved a stability version of Lebesgue-type for all  $1 < p < N$ , Figalli and Neumayer [9] proved the gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality when  $p \geq 2$ , Neumayer [14] extended the result

in [9] to all  $1 < p < N$ . Recently, Figalli and Zhang [11] obtained the sharp stability of Sobolev inequality (1.1) for all  $1 < p < N$ , i.e., there is  $c_{\text{FZ}} > 0$  such that

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} - \mathcal{S} \geq c_{\text{FZ}} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\|\nabla(u-v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}} \right)^\gamma, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\},$$

furthermore, the exponent  $\gamma := \max\{2, p\}$  is sharp. In fact, Figalli and Zhang proved the following equivalent form

$$(1.10) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \geq c'_{\text{FZ}} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u-v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\gamma \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-\gamma}.$$

When  $1 < p < 2$ , (1.10) looks like a degenerate stability result as in [10].

As mentioned above, it is natural to consider the weak norm remainder term of  $L^p$ -Sobolev inequality of (1.8) type which is an open problem given by Bianchi and Egnell [2]. Recently, Zhou and Zou in [18, Corollary 1.8] established remainder term inequality with weak norm when  $\sqrt{N} \leq p < N$ , under some assumptions on domain. In present paper, based on the sharp stability result (1.9), we give an answer to this problem given by Bianchi and Egnell [2].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < N$ , and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain. There is  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\Omega, N, p) > 0$  such that*

$$(1.11) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq \mathcal{C} \|u\|_{L_{w^{\bar{p}}}^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}^\gamma \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^{p-\gamma}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $\gamma := \max\{2, p\}$ ,  $\bar{p} = p^*(p-1)/p$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{w^{\bar{p}}}^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$  denotes the weak  $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norm as in (1.5).

**Remark 1.2.** Note that the condition  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < N$  indicates  $\bar{p} = p^*(p-1)/p > 1$ , then we have  $U \in L_{w^{\bar{p}}}^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (this can be easily verified) which is crucial for comparing  $\|u\|_{L_{w^{\bar{p}}}^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$  with  $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u-v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  (see (2.8)), however,  $\|U\|_{L_{w^{\bar{p}}}^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = +\infty$  if  $1 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N+1}$ . Note also that our result (1.11) holds for all  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < N$ , and  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < \sqrt{N}$  which indicates our region for  $p$  is slightly better than Zhou and Zou [18, Corollary 1.8].

As a result of Theorem 1.1, when  $1 < p < 2$  we give another form of (1.7) as the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume  $N \geq 2$ ,  $1 < p < 2$  and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain. Then for each  $t \in (0, \bar{p})$  with  $\bar{p} = p^*(p-1)/p$ , there is  $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}'(\Omega, N, p, t) > 0$  such that*

$$(1.12) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq \mathcal{C}' \|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)}^2 \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^{p-2}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Finally, following the arguments as those in the recent work [7], we give an upper bound of Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , which may has its own interests.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume  $1 < p < N$ . There is  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(N, p) > 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{B} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u-v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\zeta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p-\zeta} \geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p,$$

furthermore, the exponent  $\zeta := \min\{2, p\}$  is sharp.

**Remark 1.5.** The sharpness of the exponent  $\zeta = \min\{2, p\}$  in (1.13) follows directly from [11, Remark 1.2].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give the proof of weak norm remainder term of Sobolev inequality in bounded domain  $\Omega$ . Section 3 is devoted to proving the upper bound of Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

## 2. SOBOLEV INEQUALITY WITH REMAINDER TERMS IN BOUNDED DOMAIN

Let us first consider the weak norm remainder term.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In order to prove (1.11), firstly, by homogeneity we can always assume that  $\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} = 1$ . Since

$$\|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p-\bar{p}}} = \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p-\bar{p}}}$$

by using Hölder's inequality, it suffices to prove (1.11) under  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} - \mathcal{S} \ll 1$ . Observe that, if  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} - \mathcal{S} \ll 1$  then  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} - \mathcal{S} \geq c_0(\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p)$  for some constant  $c_0 > 0$ , thus it suffices to prove

$$(2.1) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \geq \mathcal{C} \|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}^\gamma,$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} = 1$  and  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} - \mathcal{S} \ll 1$ , where  $\gamma := \max\{p, 2\}$ . Furthermore, we notice that  $|\nabla u| \geq |\nabla|u||$  thus it suffices to consider  $|u|$  instead of  $u$ . By the rearrangement inequality we have

$$\|\nabla u^*\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}_R)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad \|u^*\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{B}_R)} = \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}, \quad \|u^*\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{B}_R)} = \|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}.$$

Here,  $u^*$  denotes the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of nonnegative function  $u$  extended to zero outside  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu(\Omega) = \mu(\mathbb{B}_R)$  for some  $R > 0$  where  $\mathbb{B}_R := \mathbb{B}_R(0)$ , see [3, 8]. Therefore it suffices to consider the case in which  $\Omega$  is a ball of radius  $R$  at origin and  $u$  is nonnegative symmetric decreasing,

$$(2.2) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}_R)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \geq \mathcal{C} \|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{B}_R)}^\gamma,$$

for all  $u \in \mathfrak{R}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{B}_R)$  satisfying  $\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{B}_R)} = 1$  and  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}_R)} - \mathcal{S} \ll 1$ . Here  $\mathfrak{R}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{B}_R)$  consists of all nonnegative and radial functions in  $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{B}_R)$  with support in the closed ball  $\overline{\mathbb{B}_R}$ . Assume that (2.2) is not true, then there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathfrak{R}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{B}_R)$  satisfying  $\|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{B}_R)} = 1$  and  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}_R)} - \mathcal{S} \ll 1$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}_R)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p}{\|u_n\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{B}_R)}^\gamma} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, we will make use of (1.9) to derive a contradiction. Since

$$\|u_n\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{B}_R)} \leq \|u_n\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{B}_R)} \leq \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{B}_R)} \mu(\mathbb{B}_R)^{\frac{1}{p-\bar{p}}} = \mu(\mathbb{B}_R)^{\frac{1}{p-\bar{p}}},$$

we must have

$$(2.4) \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}_R)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and also} \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, combining with (2.4) and the Lions' concentration-compactness principle [13], we know that there exist two sequences  $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying  $c_n \rightarrow 1$  (up to

a multiplicative constant, in fact, it is  $\mathcal{S}^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}$ ) and  $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla(u_n - c_n U_{\lambda_n,0})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the support of  $u_n$  is contained in  $\overline{B_R}$ , then for  $n$  sufficiently large we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla(u_n - c_n U_{\lambda_n,0})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p &\geq |c_n|^p \int_{|x| \geq R} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n,0}|^p dx \\ &= \omega_{N-1} \left( \frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^p |c_n|^p \int_{R\lambda_n}^{+\infty} \frac{t^{N-1+\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(1+t^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dt \\ &\geq c_R |c_n|^p \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $c_R = c(N, p, R) \ll 1$  as  $n$  large enough, where  $\omega_{N-1}$  is the volume of  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , then

$$(2.7) \quad \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq c_R |c_n| \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-p}{p(p-1)}}.$$

Therefore, combining with Remark 1.2, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(B_R)} &\leq \|c_n U_{\lambda_n,0}\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(B_R)} + \|u_n - c_n U_{\lambda_n,0}\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(B_R)} \\ &\leq |c_n| \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-p}{p(p-1)}} \|U\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \mu(B_R)^{\frac{N-p}{Np(p-1)}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \|\nabla(u_n - c_n U_{\lambda_n,0})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq C_R \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C_R \gg 1$  as  $n$  large enough. Thus combining (2.3) and (2.8), (1.10) yields a contradiction then (2.2) follows. Now, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.  $\square$

Then based on Theorem 1.1, we are ready to give another form of (1.7) shown as in (1.12).

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let  $1 < p < 2$ . As stated in the introduction, for any  $0 < t < s$  and  $s > 1$  with  $u \in L_w^s(\Omega)$ , then  $\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq C_{t,s} \|u\|_{L_w^s(\Omega)}$ . If  $\frac{2N}{N+1} < p < 2$  which implies  $\bar{p} = p^*(p-1)/p > 1$ , then for all  $t \in (0, \bar{p})$  we have  $\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq C_t \|u\|_{L_w^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$ , thus in this case, (1.12) in Theorem 1.3 directly follows from (1.11).

Let us consider the remainder case  $1 < p \leq \frac{2N}{N+1}$ . By homogeneity we can always assume that  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ . Note that  $\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{p^*-t}{t \cdot p^*}} \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{p^*-t}{t \cdot p^*}} \mathcal{S}^{-1}$  for any  $0 < t < p^*$ , and  $\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{S}$ , thus it suffices to prove (1.12) under  $1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \ll 1$ . Observe that, if  $1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \ll 1$  then

$$1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq \left(1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p\right)^{2/p}$$

thanks to  $p < 2$ , thus it suffices to prove

$$(2.9) \quad 1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \geq C \|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)}^p,$$

for some  $C > 0$ , for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} = 1$  and  $1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^p \ll 1$ . From (1.7), we know (2.9) always holds for all  $t \in (0, \bar{p})$  with  $\bar{p} = p^*(p-1)/p$ , thus (1.12) also holds. Now, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.  $\square$

## 3. UPPER BOUND OF SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

In this section, we consider the upper bound of Sobolev inequality (1.1). In order to do this, firstly, we need the following algebraic inequalities.

**Lemma 3.1.** [16, Lemma A.4] *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , the following inequalities hold.*

(i) *If  $p \geq 2$  then*

$$(3.1) \quad |x + y|^p \leq |x|^p + p|x|^{p-2}x \cdot y + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}(|x| + |y|)^{p-2}|y|^2.$$

(ii) *If  $1 < p < 2$  then there exists a constant  $\gamma_p > 0$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad |x + y|^p \leq |x|^p + p|x|^{p-2}x \cdot y + \gamma_p|y|^p.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** [11, Lemma 2.1] *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then for any  $\kappa > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1(r, \kappa) > 0$  such that the following inequalities hold.*

(i) *If  $r \geq 2$  then*

$$|x + y|^r \geq |x|^r + r|x|^{r-2}x \cdot y + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}(r|x|^{r-2}|y|^2 + r(r-2)|\bar{\omega}|^{r-2}(|x| - |x+y|)^2) + \mathcal{C}_1|y|^r,$$

where

$$\bar{\omega} = \bar{\omega}(x, x+y) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } |x| < |x+y| \\ \left(\frac{|x+y|}{|x|}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-2}}(x+y), & \text{if } |x+y| \leq |x| \end{cases}.$$

(ii) *If  $1 < r < 2$  then*

$$|x + y|^r \geq |x|^r + r|x|^{r-2}x \cdot y + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}(r|x|^{r-2}|y|^2 + r(r-2)|\tilde{\omega}|^{r-2}(|x| - |x+y|)^2) + \mathcal{C}_1 \min\{|y|^r, |x|^{r-2}|y|^2\},$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}(x, x+y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{|x+y|}{(2-r)|x+y| + (r-1)|x|}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-2}}x, & \text{if } |x| < |x+y| \\ x, & \text{if } |x+y| \leq |x| \end{cases}.$$

Note that if  $1 < r < 2$ , then  $|x|^{r-2}|y|^2 + (r-2)|\tilde{\omega}|^{r-2}(|x| - |x+y|)^2 \geq 0$  for any  $x \neq 0$ , see [11, (2.2)] for details. Therefore, from Lemma 3.2 we deduce that for each  $r > 1$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad |a + b|^r \geq |a|^r + r|a|^{r-2}ab, \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The main ingredient of the upper bound of Sobolev inequality is contained in the following lemma, in which the behavior near the extremal functions set  $\mathcal{M}$  is studied.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $1 < p < N$ . There is a large constant  $\rho > 0$  such that for any sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$  and  $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\zeta} \leq \rho,$$

where  $\zeta = \min\{2, p\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$  and  $d_n := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ , from [11, Lemma 4.1] we know that  $d_n$  can always be attained for each sufficiently large  $n$ , i.e., there are  $c_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $z_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $d_n = \|\nabla(u_n - c_n U_{\lambda_n, z_n})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a smooth  $(N + 2)$ -manifold and the tangential space at  $c_n U_{\lambda_n, z_n}$  is given by

$$T_{c_n U_{\lambda_n, z_n}} \mathcal{M} = \text{Span} \left\{ U_{\lambda_n, z_n}, \frac{\partial U_{\lambda_n, z_n}}{\partial \lambda_n}, \frac{\partial U_{\lambda_n, z_n}}{\partial z_n^i}, i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

we rewrite  $u_n$  as

$$(3.5) \quad u_n = c_n U_{\lambda_n, z_n} + d_n w_n,$$

then  $w_n$  is perpendicular to  $T_{c_n U_{\lambda_n, z_n}} \mathcal{M}$ , satisfying  $\|\nabla w_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^{p-2} \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n} \cdot \nabla w_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\lambda_n, z_n}^{p^*-1} w_n dx = 0,$$

thanks to  $U_{\lambda_n, z_n}$  is the solution of Sobolev critical equation (1.2).

From (3.3) we have

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*} \geq |c_n|^{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^{p^*} dx + p |c_n|^{p^*-2} c_n d_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\lambda_n, z_n}^{p^*-1} w_n dx = |c_n|^{p^*} \|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p^*},$$

thus

$$(3.6) \quad \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \geq |c_n|^p \|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p,$$

When  $p \geq 2$ , from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |c_n \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n} + d_n \nabla w_n|^p dx \\ &\leq |c_n|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^p dx + p |c_n|^{p-2} c_n d_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^{p-2} \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n} \cdot \nabla w_n dx \\ &\quad + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} d_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|c_n \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}| + |d_n \nabla w_n|)^{p-2} |\nabla w_n|^2 dx \\ &= |c_n|^p \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} d_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|c_n \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}| + |d_n \nabla w_n|)^{p-2} |\nabla w_n|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|c_n \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}| + |d_n \nabla w_n|)^{p-2} |\nabla w_n|^2 dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|c_n \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}| + |d_n \nabla w_n|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{p}} \left( |c_n|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^p dx + d_n^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{p}} \left( |c_n|^p \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + d_n^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to  $(a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$  for all  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $p > 1$ . Since  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$ , then from Lemma 3.2 it is not difficult to verify that  $|c_n|$  is bounded. Therefore,

$$(3.7) \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq |c_n|^p \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + Cd_n^2.$$

Thus for  $p \geq 2$ , combing with (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq |c_n|^p \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + Cd^2 - |c_n|^p \|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = Cd_n^2.$$

When  $1 < p < 2$ , from (3.2) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p &\leq |c_n|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^p dx + p|c_n|^{p-2} c_n d_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n}|^{p-2} \nabla U_{\lambda_n, z_n} \cdot \nabla w_n dx \\ &\quad + \gamma_p d_n^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^p dx \\ &= |c_n|^p \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \gamma_p d_n^p, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $\gamma_p > 0$ . Thus for  $1 < p < 2$ , combing with (3.6) and (3.9) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p - \mathcal{S}^p \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq |c_n|^p \|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \gamma_p d_n^p - |c_n|^p \|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = \gamma_p d_n^p.$$

Therefore, (3.4) follows directly from (3.8) and (3.10).  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove the upper bound of Sobolev inequality.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** By homogeneity, we can assume that  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$ . Now, we argue by contradiction. In fact, if the theorem is false then there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$  such that

$$\frac{1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\zeta} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\zeta = \min\{2, p\}$ . Since  $0 \leq 1 - \mathcal{S}^p \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq 1$ , it must be  $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla(u_n - v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$  which leads to a contradiction by Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The research has been supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12371121).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aubin, T.: *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*. J. Differ. Geom. **11**, 573–598 (1976)
- [2] Bianchi, G., Egnell, H.: *A note on the Sobolev inequality*. J. Funct. Anal. **100**(1), 18–24 (1991)
- [3] Brézis, H., Lieb, E.H.: *Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms*. J. Funct. Anal. **62**, 73–86 (1985)
- [4] Brézis, H., Nirenberg, L.: *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36**(4), 437–477 (1983)
- [5] Castillo, R.E., Rafeiro, H.: *An introductory course in Lebesgue spaces*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [6] Cianchi, A., Fusco, N., Maggi, F., Pratelli, A.: *The sharp Sobolev inequality in quantitative form*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11**(5), 1105–1139 (2009)
- [7] Deng, S., Tian, X., Yang, M., Zhao, S.: *Remainder terms of a nonlocal Sobolev inequality*. Math. Nachr. **297**(5), 1652–1667 (2024)



- [8] Egnell, H., Pacella, F., Tricarico, M.: *Some remarks on Sobolev inequalities*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **13**(6), 671–681 (1989)
- [9] Figalli, A., Neumayer, R.: *Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: the case  $p \geq 2$* . *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **21**(2), 319–354 (2019)
- [10] Frank, R.L., Peteranderl, J.W.: *Degenerate stability of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality along the Felli-Schneider curve*. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **63**(2), Paper No. 44, 33 pp (2024)
- [11] Figalli, A., Zhang, Y.R.-Y.: *Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality*. *Duke Math. J.* **171**(12), 2407–2459 (2022)
- [12] Hunt, R.A.: *On  $L(p, q)$  spaces*. *Enseign. Math. (2)* **12**, 249–276 (1966)
- [13] Lions, P.-L.: *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I*. *Rev. Mat. Iberam.* **1**(1), 145–201 (1985)
- [14] Neumayer, R.: *A note on strong-form stability for the Sobolev inequality*. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **59**(1), Paper No. 25 (2020)
- [15] Sciunzi, B.: *Classification of positive  $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solutions to the critical  $p$ -Laplace equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* . *Adv. Math.* **291**, 12–23 (2016)
- [16] Shafir, I.: *Asymptotic Behaviour of Minimizing Sequences for Hardy’s Inequality*. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **2**(2), 151–189 (2000)
- [17] Talenti, G.: *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **110**, 353–372 (1976)
- [18] Zhou Y., Zou, W.: *Quantitative stability for the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality*. Preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.15735v2> (2023)

SHENGBING DENG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 400715, PEOPLE’S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* shbdeng@swu.edu.cn

XINGLIANG TIAN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 400715, PEOPLE’S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

*Email address:* xltian@email.swu.edu.cn