

# *MedSumm*: A Multimodal Approach to Summarizing Code-Mixed Hindi-English Clinical Queries

Akash Ghosh<sup>1</sup>, Arkadeep Acharya<sup>1</sup>, Prince Jha<sup>1</sup>, Aniket Gaudgaul<sup>1</sup>, Rajdeep Majumdar<sup>1</sup>, Sriparna Saha<sup>1</sup>, Aman Chadha<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Raghav Jain<sup>1</sup>, Setu Sinha<sup>4</sup>, and Shivani Agarwal<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science And Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Patna

<sup>2</sup> Stanford University

<sup>3</sup> Amazon GenAI

<sup>4</sup> Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences

**Abstract.** In the healthcare domain, summarizing medical questions posed by patients is critical for improving doctor-patient interactions and medical decision-making. Although medical data has grown in complexity and quantity, the current body of research in this domain has primarily concentrated on text-based methods, overlooking the integration of visual cues. Also prior works in the area of medical question summarisation have been limited to the English language. This work introduces the task of multimodal medical question summarization for codemixed input in a low-resource setting. To address this gap, we introduce the Multimodal Medical Codemixed Question Summarization (*MMCQS*) dataset, which combines Hindi-English codemixed medical queries with visual aids. This integration enriches the representation of a patient’s medical condition, providing a more comprehensive perspective. We also propose a framework named *MedSumm* that leverages the power of LLMs and VLMs for this task. By utilizing our *MMCQS* dataset, we demonstrate the value of integrating visual information from images to improve the creation of medically detailed summaries. This multimodal strategy not only improves healthcare decision-making but also promotes a deeper comprehension of patient queries, paving the way for future exploration in personalized and responsive medical care. Our dataset, code, and pre-trained models will be made publicly available. <https://github.com/ArkadeepAcharya/MedSumm-ECIR2024>

**Keywords:** Mutimodal Summarization, LLM, VLM, Codemixing, Clinical Queries.

## 1 Introduction

**Disclaimer:** The paper includes explicit medical imagery, necessary for an in-depth understanding of the subject matter.

---

\* Work does not relate to position at Amazon.

Recent surveys conducted by World Health Organisation (WHO) reveals a drastic uneven doctor to population ratio, estimating a deficit of 12 million healthcare workers by 2030. This augmented with advancements in information and communication technologies (ICTs) has experienced surge in telehealth [23]. The COVID-19 pandemic has further accelerated the utilization of the internet for healthcare services, marking a remarkable surge in the past two decades and establishing a new norm in healthcare. In this context, one of the biggest challenges that doctors face is to quickly comprehend and understand the patient’s query. To solve this problem, a medical question summarizer has emerged as a vital tool to distill information from consumer health questions, ensuring the provision of accurate and timely responses. Despite previous research efforts, the unexplored opportunity of combining textual information with visual data, such as images, has been largely ignored. Visual aids play a crucial role in medical question summarization (MQS) for several reasons. A considerable segment of the population isn’t well-versed in medical terminology, making it difficult to precisely convey symptoms. Additionally, certain symptoms are inherently challenging to express using only text. Patients can sometimes get mixed up when it comes to similar symptoms, like trying to tell the difference between skin dryness and a skin rash. Using a combination of text and images in medical question summaries can improve accuracy and efficiency, giving a holistic picture of the patient’s current medical status. This strategy acknowledges the intricate nature of patient inquiries, where visuals like symptom photos or medical reports can offer vital insights. By prioritizing image integration, researchers and healthcare professionals can address the changing demands of contemporary healthcare communication. By improving doctor-patient communication and understanding through multimodal summarization of health questions, this work has the potential to increase access to quality healthcare and promote health equity which is one of the SDG proposed by UNESCO <sup>5</sup>.

Large Language Models (LLMs) [17] and Vision Language Models (VLMs) [33] have showcased impressive capabilities in generating human-like text and multimedia content. This capability has led to their application in the medical field, primarily for specialized tasks such as summarizing chest X-rays [26] and generating COVID-19 CT reports [21]. However, their use in summarizing medical questions that involve both text and images is a relatively unexplored area. Utilizing the zero-shot and few-shot learning abilities of these models [11] can be advantageous, particularly for tasks like multimodal medical question summarization, which often suffer from a lack of sufficient data.

Nonetheless, there are certain limitations to consider when employing LLMs and VLMs in this context. Generic LLMs and VLMs may not possess specialized knowledge in medical domains, potentially leading to summaries that lack important details such as symptoms, diagnostic tests, and medical intricacies. On the visual side, although VLMs have excelled in typical visual-linguistic tasks, medical imaging presents unique challenges. Models like SkinGPT4 [37], which fine-tune on skin disease images and clinical notes based on MiniGPT4 [38],

---

<sup>5</sup> <https://en.unesco.org/sustainabledevelopmentgoals>

remain highly domain-specific. Medical images are inherently intricate, requiring a deep understanding of medical terminology and visual conventions, often necessitating input from expert medical professionals for accurate interpretation. This complexity, coupled with potential gaps in contextual comprehension, can result in models generating summaries that may be misleading or irrelevant.

Also in the 21st century where more than half of the population of the globe is multilingual, people generally switch between languages in conversation<sup>6</sup>. Though nowadays a lot of work is going on in this exciting area of codemixing, in the clinical domain there is a dearth of good datasets. This motivated us to study our proposed task in a codemixed context. We present a curated dataset tailored to this endeavor, *MMCQS* containing Hindi-English codemixed medical queries with their corresponding English summaries. This is the first dataset of its kind to validate this task. The dataset encompasses 3,015 medical questions along with their corresponding visual cues. Our approach is embedded within our novel architecture known as *MedSumm*, a vision-language framework for medical question summarization task. This comprehensive framework operates using two primary inputs: the codemixed patient question and its associated visual cue. The methodology comprises four distinct components. They are employing pre-trained large language models to generate textual embeddings, utilizing vision encoders like ViT [12] to encode visual information, harnessing QLoRA [9], a low-rank adapter technique for efficient fine-tuning and employing a precise inference process to generate the corresponding symptom name and the synopsis. This careful, step-by-step structuring of *MedSumm* allows it to bridge the divide between general-purpose models and the niche requirements of medical question summarization, effectively integrating textual and visual data to create precise and context-aware medical summaries. A pipeline showing the application of MedSumm has been shown in figure 3. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- A novel task of **Multimodal Medical Question Summarization** for generating medically nuanced summaries.
- A novel dataset, *MMCQS* Dataset, to further research in this area.
- A novel framework, *MedSumm* that employs a combination of pre-trained language models, state-of-the-art vision encoders, and efficient fine-tuning methodologies like QLoRA [9] to seamlessly integrate visual and textual information for the final summary generation of multimodal clinical questions.
- A novel metric *MMFCM* to quantify how well the model captures the multimodal information in the generated summary.

## 2 Related Works

The following work has been relevant to following two research areas namely Medical Question Summarization and Multimodal Summarization.

**Medical Question Summarization:** In 2019, the field of Medical Question Summarization (MQS) emerged with the introduction of the MeQSum dataset,

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.britishcouncil.org/voices-magazine/few-myths-about-speakers-multiple-languages>

specifically designed for this purpose by Abacha et al. [1]. Initial MQS research utilized basic seq2seq models and pointer generator networks to generate summaries. In 2021, a competition centered around generating summaries in the medical domain was organized, as outlined in Abacha et al.’s [2] overview. Contestants leveraged various pre-trained models, including PEGASUS [34], ProphetNet [25], and BART [19]. Some innovative techniques, such as multi-task learning, were employed, using BART to jointly optimize question summarization and entailment tasks [22]. Another approach involved the use of reinforcement learning with question-aware semantic rewards, derived from two subtasks: question focus recognition (QFR) and question type identification (QTR) [31].

**Multimodal Summarization:** In order to ensure accurate diagnosis and guidance from medical professionals, it is crucial for us to communicate our medical symptoms effectively and efficiently. One way to enhance this communication is by supplementing textual descriptions with visual cues. Previous research has demonstrated the benefits of incorporating multimodal information in various medical tasks. For instance, Tiwari et al. [27] highlighted how multimodal information improves the performance of Disease Diagnosis Virtual Assistants. Delbrouck et al. [8] showed that integrating images leads to better summarization of radiology reports, while Gupta et al. [14] illustrated the advantages of incorporating videos in medical question-answering tasks. Kumar et al. [18] shows how multimodal information can help in summarizing news articles. The most recent work in this domain is done by Ghosh et al. [13] where they incorporated CLIP with LLMs to generate the final multimodal summaries. To the best of our knowledge, our work represents the first attempt to address the task of question summarization in the medical domain, particularly within a codemixed multimodal context.

### 3 MMCQS Dataset

#### 3.1 Data Collection

Prior to this work, there was no multimodal codemixed question summarization dataset available in the healthcare domain with textual questions and corresponding medical images. We used the HealthCareMagic Dataset, derived from MedDialog data, with 226,395 samples, after removing 523 duplicates. We were led by medical doctors who were also the co-authors of the paper, identified 18 medical symptoms that are hard to convey through text, and divided into four groups: ENT, EYE, LIMB, and SKIN. The entire categorization is shown in Figure -1. We selected symptoms and obtained images using the Bing Image Search API<sup>7</sup>, which were verified by medical students. Our dataset features instances mentioning body parts in questions and summaries. We used FlashText<sup>8</sup> for term matching and Textblob4<sup>9</sup> to correct misspellings, resulting in a final dataset of 3,015 samples for multimodal summarization.

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/bing/apis/bing-image-search-api>

<sup>8</sup> <https://pypi.org/project/flashtext/1.0/>

<sup>9</sup> <https://textblob.readthedocs.io/en/dev/>




Figure 1: Broad categorization of medical disorders in the MMCQS Dataset (MMCQSD). The number of data points corresponding to each category has been provided under each category in the above figure.

### 3.2 Data Annotation

We randomly selected 100 samples from the dataset and provided them to the medical experts, who are co-authors of this paper. They developed annotation guidelines for the annotation training process, divided into three categories: (A) Incorporation of Visual Cues, (B) Updating Golden Summaries, and (C) Hindi-English Codemixed Conversion.

**A. Incorporation of Visual Cues:** The methodology involved medical experts annotating samples with visual cues. For instance, when a patient’s description hints at a medical issue near their tonsils, the expert adds relevant statements and visual images to clarify the condition, such as adding *Please see what happened to my tonsils in the image below*. This process integrates visual medical signs into the textual medical question

#### B. Updating the Golden Summaries:

The medical experts recognized a misalignment between conventional golden summaries and multimodal queries, leading them to update the summaries. They revised the questions, incorporated visual information, and adapted the golden summaries for the 100 samples. These 100 samples are used for annotation guidelines for the annotators.

**C. Hindi-English Codemixed Annotation:** For this task, around 100 samples were annotated by medical experts. We conducted experiments using GPT-3.5 with few-shot prompting techniques on annotated samples due to its strong performance in generating codemixed text [32]. The goal was to generate a Hinglish (Hindi + English mixed) version of the English text. The generated Hinglish text had a code-mixing index [7] of 30.5, indicating a good mix of Hindi and English words. The specific prompt used is presented below. 80 samples were tested, with annotators rating code-mixing out of 5. After verification with the golden test examples, we get an average score of 3.2 out of 5 indicating the codemixed data is of reasonable quality. An instance of the Hindi-English

Codemixed annotated data along with their corresponding English query and updated golder summaries has been shown in figure 2

Prompt used for codemixed text generation

*You are a linguistic expert whose task is to convert the English passages into corresponding Hinglish codemixed ones. (Labelled Examples): English: {text} Hinglish: {text} . Given the English passage: {text}, convert it into the corresponding Hinglish passage shown in the (Labelled Examples).*

### 3.3 Annotation Training and Validation

To ensure high-quality annotation aligned with ethical guidelines, we engaged three postgraduate medical students proficient in Hindi and English. They received guidance and conducted sessions with medical experts to clarify task-related doubts. Breaks were provided every 45 minutes during the approximately 4-month, 90-training session annotation process<sup>10</sup>.

In the validation phase, the dataset was divided into three parts, and annotators assessed fluency, adequacy, informativeness, and persuasiveness, maintaining inter-annotator agreement using the Cohen-Kappa coefficient. Annotators reviewed and discussed inaccuracies in each other’s sets, leading to improved quality scores from the initial (fluency = 3.5, adequacy = 3.01, informativeness = 2.85, persuasiveness = 2.25) to the final phase (fluency = 4.8, adequacy = 4.7, informativeness = 4.1, persuasiveness = 4.45). The annotators achieved a kappa coefficient of 0.75, indicating annotation consistency.

## 4 Methodology

### 4.1 Problem Formulation

Each data point comprises a patient’s textual inquiry, denoted as  $Q$ , along with an accompanying image, represented as  $I$ , illustrating the medical issue or concern the patient is seeking assistance within their text. The ultimate objective is to generate a natural language sequence  $Y$  represented as:

$$Y = \{S, T\} \quad (1)$$

where  $S$  represents the succinct summary that incorporates insights from both the textual and visual modalities and  $T$  represents a brief note about the medical condition associated with the visual cue. Figure 4 shows a diagrammatic representation of our proposed architecture MedSumm. MedSumm has 3 main stages namely: (i) **Question and Visual Symptom Representation**, (ii) **Adaptation Methods**, and (iii) **Inference** .

<sup>10</sup> The medical students were compensated through gift vouchers and honorarium amount in lines with <https://www.minimum-wage.org/international/india>.

		<b>TARGET SUMMARY:</b> What are the possible explanations for the sudden appearance and continuous growth of lumps on a 16-year-old's legs?The image here shows a medical condition related to foot_swelling. The foot is swollen with some redness.		<b>TARGET SUMMARY:</b> Is it normal to have a locked jaw, black eye, swollen mouth, and mouth ulcers after wisdom teeth removal?The image shows the condition of mouth ulcers. A patch of irregular swelling on the lower lips immediate right inner side. Redness around the inflammation.
<b>ENGLISH</b>	Hi and thank you for your time. My sixteen year old daughter has formed some lumps or growths under her skin on her lower legs. They just appeared three to four weeks ago, starting with one lump on her right calf. she now has three lumps right calf, one large lump on right foot,Doctor You can give a look at patient some issue in my legs below. and two new lumps on her left calf. ultrasound was inconclusive and they have scheduled a surgical biopsy for 10/8. Lumps continue to get larger each day. i am just seeking a possible explanation or explanations to ease her mind Thank you again		I got my wisdom teeth removed just 5 days ago. My jaw has become locked where I cannot open it more than half an inch, I have a black eye and there is a lot of swelling in my mouth. Is this normal and what should I do for mouth swelling and pain? Please doctor, see the image of the affected area.	
<b>CODE-MIXED</b>	Hi and thank you for your time. Meri 16 saal ki beti ke lower legs ke niche unke skin ke neechhe kuch gaanth ya vridhiyan ban gayi hain. Inki shuruwaat teen se chaar hafte pehle hui, starting with one lump right calf par. Ab use teen gaanth right calf par, one large lump right foot par aur do naye gaanth left calf par hain. Ultrasound inconclusive tha aur 10/8 ko unhone surgical biopsy ka schedule bana diya hai. Gaanth roz badhti ja rahi hai. Main sirf uski mansik shanti ke liye ek sambhav vyakhya ya vyakhyaon ki talash kar raha hoon. Firse dhanyavaad.		Maine abhi 5 din pehle apne wisdom teeth remove karwaye hain. Meri jaw lock ho gayi hai jahan main ise half an inch se jyada nahi khol sakta, mujhe black eye hai aur bahut si swelling hai mouth me. Kya ye normal hai aur mouth ki swelling aur dard ke liye main kya karu? Kripya doctor, affected area ki image dekhein.	

Figure 2: Sample instance of the MMCQS Dataset with the corresponding English query and updated golden summary (target summary).




Figure 3: Pipeline demonstrating the application of MedSumm for the summarization of multimodal patient queries in a code-mixed environment, aimed at facilitating expedited comprehension of patient queries for doctors and healthcare professionals.

## 4.2 Textual and Visual Representation

We’ve utilized two distinct categories of data to represent a patient-clinician interaction: the patient’s question in textual form and visual elements that the patient shared alongside the query.

**Question Representation:** In this context, each patient’s query is presented as a text passage in which they explain their medical concerns to the doctor to receive relevant feedback. Recent advancements in language models, particularly decoder-based ones like LLaMA [28], GPT-3[5], have demonstrated superior performance in encoding textual presentations compared to encoder-based models like BERT[10]. This technique involves employing Large Language Models (LLMs) for next-word prediction, from which we generate sentence embeddings by extracting the hidden vectors corresponding to the final word. Various LLMs, such as Vicuna [36] Llama2 [29], FLAN-T5 [6], Mistral-7B [16] and Zephyr-7B [30], are utilized for this purpose. During this process, the text is tokenized into smaller units, and each token is ultimately transformed into a 4096-dimensional embedding. It is noteworthy that pre-trained models of these LLMs are employed in this task.

**Visual Representation:** We employ Vision-transformers ViT[12], for this task. These models take raw images as input and transform them into embeddings of size 768. Furthermore, we integrate a linear projection mechanism using a fully connected layer to map these 768-dimensional visual embeddings into a shared textual embedding space. This unified vision-language embedding is then fed into the decoder of the language model. Notably, only the linear projection layer is trainable in this process. This linear projection layer not only helps to extract meaningful information from the vision encoder but also helps in multimodal information fusion, thus producing richer information embeddings that can be effectively learned and finetuned.

## 4.3 Adaptation Methods

One of the biggest challenges of LLM-based techniques is fine-tuning them to domain-specific tasks as they are mostly bounded by resource constraints. There are several techniques that have been developed to overcome this problem namely prompt tuning, in-context learning, and low-rank adaptation techniques like LoRA [15] and QLoRA [9]. For this work, we are going with the latest and most parameter-efficient technique which is QLoRA. QLoRA is a more memory-efficient version of LoRA providing 4-bit quantization to enable fine-tuning of larger LLMs using the same hardware constraints.

## 4.4 Inference

The inference module is an LLM that receives the attended multi-modal fusion representation vector, which combines the patient’s textual query with visual cues. This module generates the corresponding symptom name and summary using a next-token prediction approach. In this method, the language model calculates probabilities for all tokens in its vocabulary, and these probabilities are used to predict the next predicted token. We use the following LLMs namely

Llama-2, Mistral-7B, Vicuna , FLAN-T5 and Zephyr-7B for the final summary generation




Figure 4: Model structure of MedSumm showing the different stages of our proposed architecture. The frozen and trainable layers have also been indicated.

## 5 Experiments and Results

To study the task of summarization of complex code-mixed medical queries we perform a meticulous fine-tuning and evaluation of both uni-modal and our multimodal model *MedSumm* on our *MMCQS* dataset. We have allocated 80% of the dataset for training, 5% for validation, and 15% for testing our fine-tuned models. We have leveraged the most recent and popular open source LLMs like Vicuna[36] Llama2 [29], FLAN-T5 [6], Mistral-7B [16] and Zephyr-7B [30] in both unimodal and multimodal (MedLLMSumm) setup. We utilized ROUGUE [20], BLEU [24], BERT score [35], METEOR [4] as automatic evaluation metrics<sup>11</sup>. For the purpose of human evaluation, we collaborate with a medical expert and a few medical postgraduate students. We have identified four distinct and medically nuanced metrics for this evaluation: clinical evaluation score, factual recall [3], hallucination rate [3], and our *MMFCM* metric.

**Automatic Evaluation:** The automatic evaluation results in Table 1 reveal that *MedSumm* consistently outperforms all other LLMs across all defined metrics. This demonstrates the significant advantage of incorporating visual cues for generating more nuanced summaries. In unimodal settings, Mistral outperforms the rest, while Zephyr, as the most recent LLM, demonstrates balanced performance in both unimodal and multimodal scenarios. In the multimodal setting, LLAMA-2 takes the lead, closely followed by Vicuna in terms of performance. FLAN-T5’s performance was the weakest across all metrics. This

<sup>11</sup> To maintain uniformity in the results post-processing like removing extra spaces, repeated sentences are performed.

led us to the conclusion that decoder-only architectures perform better than encoder-decoder models like FLAN T5.

Model		ROUGE			BLEU				BERTScore	METEOR
		R1	R2	RL	B1	B2	B3	B4		
LLAMA-2	Unimodal(only textual query)	39.92	19.57	33.9	28.9	18.05	11.76	9.97	0.74	34.81
	MedSumm	<b>46.75</b>	<b>25.59</b>	<b>38.41</b>	<b>32.50</b>	<b>22.55</b>	<b>17.56</b>	<b>14.88</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>35.74</b>
Mistral	Unimodal(only textual query)	37.58	17.14	29.01	23.67	14.46	9.42	6.15	0.77	41.68
	MedSumm	<b>42.54</b>	<b>23.81</b>	<b>34.85</b>	<b>27.21</b>	<b>19.04</b>	<b>14.89</b>	<b>12.22</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>36.00</b>
Vicuna	Unimodal(only textual query)	38.64	21.55	32.9	24.12	15.88	11.09	9.13	0.75	32.25
	MedSumm	<b>45.09</b>	<b>26.11</b>	<b>37.05</b>	<b>27.58</b>	<b>19.98</b>	<b>16.44</b>	<b>14.33</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>32.10</b>
FLAN-T5	Unimodal(only textual query)	36.3	17.22	29.81	22.1	12.14	8.51	6.15	0.67	28.25
	MedSumm	<b>41.5</b>	<b>23.02</b>	<b>33.96</b>	<b>26.2</b>	<b>18.04</b>	<b>14.12</b>	<b>11.8</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>35.09</b>
Zephyr	Unimodal(only textual query)	36.67	17.54	30.12	22.93	14.01	8.97	5.80	0.70	35.32
	MedSumm	<b>44.55</b>	<b>25.37</b>	<b>34.97</b>	<b>27.05</b>	<b>19.48</b>	<b>15.84</b>	<b>13.63</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>33.37</b>

Table 1: Performance of various *MedSumm* models and corresponding unimodal baselines, evaluated using automatic metrics with different LLMs.

**Human Evaluation:** Existing automatic evaluation metrics can provide a misleading assessment of summary quality in the medical domain, where a single incorrect detail or omission of vital information can be perilous. A team of medical students, led by a doctor, conducted a human evaluation using a random 10% of the dataset to rate summaries from uni-modal and multi-modal models. Evaluation metrics include: (1) Clinical Evaluation Score for relevance, consistency, fluency, and coherence (rated 1 to 5), (2) Multi-modal Fact Capturing Metric (MMFCM) assessing the incorporation of medical facts and disorder identification, and (3) Medical Fact-Based Metrics, which employ Factual Recall and Omission Recall to measure the capture of medical facts compared to gold standard summaries. See Algorithm 1 for the detailed algorithm. Table 2 provides a comparison of both the uni-modal models and multi-modal models, underscoring the advantage of multimodal models over unimodal models for our task. This claim is also seconded by the improvement in the Factual Recall, Hallucination Rate, and our proposed MMFCM metrics.

## 6 Qualitative Analysis

We conducted a thorough qualitative analysis of the summaries generated by different models in both unimodal and multimodal setting. We also performed some case studies; one such instance is shown in figure 5. The analysis led to the following conclusion : (a) All models perform better in multimodal setting

Models	Clinical-EvalScore	Factual Recall	Hallucination Rate	MMFCM Score
LLAMA-2(U)	3.1	0.34	0.35	NA
LLAMA-2(M)	3.52	0.35	0.32	0.42
Mistral-7B(U)	3.41	0.36	0.29	NA
Mistral-7B(M)	3.43	0.36	0.3	0.41
Vicuna-7B(U)	3.32	0.33	0.33	NA
Vicuna-7B(M)	3.45	0.34	0.36	0.41
FLAN-T5(U)	2.8	0.28	0.31	NA
FLAN-T5(M)	3.1	0.31	0.33	0.34
Zephyr 7B $\beta$ (U)	3.48	0.38	0.26	NA
Zephyr 7B $\beta$ (M)	3.54	0.36	0.29	0.44
<b>Annotated Summary</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.87</b>

Table 2: Human evaluation scores of various unimodal and multimodal models across different metrics.

**CODE-MIXED QUESTION:** Hello doctor,Do hafte se mujhe unbearable Itching hai. Mujhe iske saath ek rash bhi tha jo plaque rash ka jaisa dikhta tha lekin ab wo fade ho gaya hai. Please doctor, dekhiye is vartaman condition ko. Mujhe super itching skin hai jo itch karne par bumps ban jata hai lekin thode time baad fade ho jata hai. Ye itching mujhe paagal kar rahi hai. Maine do doctors se mila hai aur unhe pata nahi kya ho raha hai, sirf ye pata hai ki ye contagious nahi hai kyunki mere husband aur bachhe ise nahi hai.

**ENGLISH QUESTION:** Hello doctor,For two weeks, I have been unbearably itchy. I had a rash to go along with it that looked more like little plaque rashes but those have faded now.Please doctor see the current condition below. I am left with super itchy skin that bumps up when I itch but fades away after a while. The itching is driving me insane. I have seen two doctors and they have no clue what is going on, just that it is not contagious because my husband and kids do not have it.

**TARGET SUMMARY:** What could be causing the patient's unbearably itchy skin with plaque-like rashes that fade and are not contagious?The image shows the condition of skin rash. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area.



**IMAGE**

MODEL	UNIMODAL (USING ONLY TEXT QUERY)	MedSumm (IMAGE + TEXT QUERY)
LLAMA-2	40-year-old female with unbearable itching, rash, and bumps on skin. No contagiousness. <b>Condition: Eczema</b>	70-year-old male with unbearable itching, rash, and skin irritation. No contagion. The image shows the condition of skin rash. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area. Little swelling and dryness on the skin.
MISTRAL-7B	What could be the cause of unbearable itching, a plaque rash, and a skin condition that causes bumps and fades away?	2 weeks of unbearable itching with a previous plaque rash, now fading, causing bumps and driving the patient mad. The image shows the condition of skin rash. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area. Cluster of such spots. Little swelling and dryness on the spots. Possible skin irritation or allergy causing the rash. The image shows the condition of skin rash. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area. Cluster of such spots.
VICUNA-7B	10 days of unbearable itching with a fading plaque rash, bumps that fade, and no contagion.	48-year-old female with unbearable itching, rash, and bumps on skin. The image shows the condition of skin rash. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area. Condition- It could be a folliculitis or a boil. The exact diagnosis can be made only by a doctor after a physical examination. <b>The affected area needs to be cleaned with soap and water and kept dry.</b>
ZEPHYR-7B-BETA	What could be the cause of unbearable itching, a plaque rash, and skin bumps that fade away?	2 weeks of unbearable itching, rash that resembled plaque rash, bumps that fade, and driving me crazy. What could be the cause?The image shows the condition of skin rash. Round and swollen spots on the skin, red in color and multiple in the affected area. Condition- skin rash Skin rash on the <b>leg's skin</b> , red in color and multiple circular swelling on the skin. The circular swelling on the skin is red in color and swollen.
FLAN-T5	Describe the symptoms of a super itching rash on the skin, with a rash on the <b>shins</b> , and a bump on the <b>shin</b> .	What could be the cause of the itching and redness on the skin? The image shows the condition of skin rash. The skin is red and swollen with <b>little or no rash</b> .

Figure 5: Samples summaries generated by the LLMs in unimodal and multimodal setting. The hallucinated phrases has been shown in red.

---

**Algorithm 1** MMFCM Method

---

```

Require:  $F_m = \{ fact_{m,1}, fact_{m,2} \dots fact_{m,n-1}, fact_{m,n} \}$ 
  \\Relevant Medical facts from golden summary of query 'm'.
 $Sf_m = \{ Summfact_{m,1}, \dots, Summfact_{m,n} \}$ 
  \\Relevant Medical facts from the generated summary of query 'm'.
 $\#CorrectFacts_m = |F_m \cap Sf_m|$ 
if (Correct Medical Disorder phrase  $\in \{F_m \cap Sf_m\}$ ) then
   $\#CorrectFacts_m + = 2$ 
else if (Partially correct disorder phrase  $\in \{F_m \cap Sf_m\}$ ) then
   $\#CorrectFacts_m + = 1$ 
else if (Incorrect disorder phrase  $\in \{F_m \cap Sf_m\}$ ) then
   $\#CorrectFacts_m + = -1$ 
else
   $\#CorrectFacts_m + = 0$ 
end if
return  $MMFCM = \tanh(\#CorrectFacts_m/|F_m|)$ 

```

---

and is better at capturing the important visual information conveyed through the images and predicting the exact disorder phrase. (b) It was also observed that models like LLAMA-2 and Vicuna shows a tendency of hallucination (see figure 5) and sometimes generated facts which was completely out of context. The summaries generated by Mistral and Zephyr were more cohesive and showed lesser hallucinations. (c) FlanT5 showed the weakest results which made us conclude that decoder only LLMs perform better than LLMs with encoder-decoder architecture for our task.

## 7 Risk Analysis

We must acknowledge several limitations in our approach. Initially, we confined our task to 18 symptoms conducive to image sharing. Therefore, introducing an image outside this scope may lead to the model generating potentially erroneous information in the summary. While our multimodal model shows promise, it is prudent to engage a medical expert for the ultimate verification, particularly in high-stakes scenarios. Our AI model serves as a tool, not a substitute for medical professionals.

## 8 Conclusion and Future Work

In this study, we delve into the impact of incorporating multi-modal cues, particularly visual information, on question summarization within the realm of healthcare. We present the MMCQS dataset the first of its kind dataset, comprising 3015 multimodal medical queries in Hindi-English codemixed language with golden summaries in English that merge visual and textual data. This novel collection fosters new assessment techniques in healthcare question summarization.

We also propose a model *MedSumm*, that incorporates the power of both LLM and Vision encoders to generate the final summary. In our future endeavors, we aspire to develop a Vision-Language model capable of extracting the intensity and duration details of symptoms and integrating them into the patient query’s final summary generation. Furthermore, our expansion plans encompass incorporating medical videos and speeches data and addressing scenarios involving other low resources languages in Indian context.

## 9 Ethical Considerations

In healthcare summarization, we prioritize ethical considerations, including safety, privacy, and bias. We took extensive measures with the MMCQS dataset, collaborating with medical professionals, obtaining IRB approval, and adhering to legal and ethical guidelines during data handling, image integration, and summary annotation. The dataset is based on the HealthcareMagic Dataset, and medical experts supervised the task. Identity protection was ensured for user privacy.

## 10 Acknowledgements

Akash Ghosh and Sriparna Saha express their heartfelt gratitude to the SERB (Science and Engineering Research Board ) POWER scheme of the Department of Science and Engineering, Govt. of India, for providing the funding for carrying out this research

## Bibliography

- [1] A. B. Abacha and D. Demner-Fushman. On the summarization of consumer health questions. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2228–2234, 2019.
- [2] A. B. Abacha, Y. M'rabet, Y. Zhang, C. Shivade, C. Langlotz, and D. Demner-Fushman. Overview of the mediqa 2021 shared task on summarization in the medical domain. In *Proceedings of the 20th Workshop on Biomedical Language Processing*, pages 74–85, 2021.
- [3] A. B. Abacha, W.-w. Yim, G. Michalopoulos, and T. Lin. An investigation of evaluation metrics for automated medical note generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.17364*, 2023.
- [4] S. Banerjee and A. Lavie. Meteor: An automatic metric for mt evaluation with improved correlation with human judgments. In *Proceedings of the acl workshop on intrinsic and extrinsic evaluation measures for machine translation and/or summarization*, pages 65–72, 2005.
- [5] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [6] H. W. Chung, L. Hou, S. Longpre, B. Zoph, Y. Tay, W. Fedus, E. Li, X. Wang, M. Dehghani, S. Brahma, et al. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11416*, 2022.
- [7] A. Das and B. Gambäck. Identifying languages at the word level in code-mixed indian social media text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2014.
- [8] J.-B. Delbrouck, C. Zhang, and D. Rubin. QIAI at MEDIQA 2021: Multimodal radiology report summarization. In *Proceedings of the 20th Workshop on Biomedical Language Processing*, pages 285–290, Online, June 2021. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [9] T. Dettmers, A. Pagnoni, A. Holtzman, and L. Zettlemoyer. Qlora: Efficient finetuning of quantized llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14314*, 2023.
- [10] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [11] Q. Dong, L. Li, D. Dai, C. Zheng, Z. Wu, B. Chang, X. Sun, J. Xu, and Z. Sui. A survey for in-context learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00234*, 2022.
- [12] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [13] A. Ghosh, A. Acharya, R. Jain, S. Saha, A. Chadha, and S. Sinha. Clipsyntel: Clip and llm synergy for multimodal question summarization in healthcare. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11541*, 2023.

- [14] D. Gupta, K. Attal, and D. Demner-Fushman. A dataset for medical instructional video classification and question answering, 2022.
- [15] E. J. Hu, Y. Shen, P. Wallis, Z. Allen-Zhu, Y. Li, S. Wang, L. Wang, and W. Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- [16] A. Q. Jiang, A. Sablayrolles, A. Mensch, C. Bamford, D. S. Chaplot, D. d. l. Casas, F. Bressand, G. Lengyel, G. Lample, L. Saulnier, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*, 2023.
- [17] T. Kojima, S. Gu, M. Reid, Y. Matsuo, and Y. Iwasawa. Large language models are zero-shot reasoners. *arxiv*, 2023.
- [18] R. Kumar, R. Chakraborty, A. Tiwari, S. Saha, and N. Saini. Diving into a sea of opinions: Multi-modal abstractive summarization with comment sensitivity. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 1117–1126, 2023.
- [19] M. Lewis, Y. Liu, N. Goyal, M. Ghazvininejad, A. Mohamed, O. Levy, V. Stoyanov, and L. Zettlemoyer. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461*, 2019.
- [20] C.-Y. Lin. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*, pages 74–81, 2004.
- [21] G. Liu, Y. Liao, F. Wang, B. Zhang, L. Zhang, X. Liang, X. Wan, S. Li, Z. Li, S. Zhang, et al. Medical-vlbart: Medical visual language bert for covid-19 ct report generation with alternate learning. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(9):3786–3797, 2021.
- [22] K. Mrini, F. Dernoncourt, W. Chang, E. Farcas, and N. Nakashole. Joint summarization-entailment optimization for consumer health question understanding. In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Medical Conversations*, pages 58–65, 2021.
- [23] G. Nittari, R. Khuman, S. Baldoni, G. Pallotta, G. Battineni, A. Sirignano, F. Amenta, and G. Ricci. Telemedicine practice: review of the current ethical and legal challenges. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 26(12):1427–1437, 2020.
- [24] K. Papineni, S. Roukos, T. Ward, and W.-J. Zhu. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, 2002.
- [25] W. Qi, Y. Yan, Y. Gong, D. Liu, N. Duan, J. Chen, R. Zhang, and M. Zhou. Prophetnet: Predicting future n-gram for sequence-to-sequence pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.04063*, 2020.
- [26] O. Thawkar, A. Shaker, S. S. Mullappilly, H. Cholakkal, R. M. Anwer, S. Khan, J. Laaksonen, and F. S. Khan. Xraygpt: Chest radiographs summarization using medical vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07971*, 2023.
- [27] A. Tiwari, M. Manthena, S. Saha, P. Bhattacharyya, M. Dhar, and S. Tiwari. Dr. can see: towards a multi-modal disease diagnosis virtual assistant. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM international conference on information & knowledge management*, pages 1935–1944, 2022.

- [28] H. Touvron, T. Lavril, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozière, N. Goyal, E. Hambro, F. Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [29] H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone, P. Albert, A. Almahairi, Y. Babaei, N. Bashlykov, S. Batra, P. Bhargava, S. Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [30] L. Tunstall, E. Beeching, N. Lambert, N. Rajani, K. Rasul, Y. Belkada, S. Huang, L. von Werra, C. Fourier, N. Habib, N. Sarrazin, O. Sansevero, A. M. Rush, and T. Wolf. Zephyr: Direct distillation of lm alignment, 2023.
- [31] S. Yadav, D. Gupta, A. B. Abacha, and D. Demner-Fushman. Reinforcement learning for abstractive question summarization with question-aware semantic rewards. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.00176*, 2021.
- [32] Z. X. Yong, R. Zhang, J. Z. Forde, S. Wang, A. Subramonian, H. Lovenia, S. Cahyawijaya, G. I. Winata, L. Sutawika, J. C. B. Cruz, et al. Prompting multilingual large language models to generate code-mixed texts: The case of south east asian languages. In *Sixth Workshop on Computational Approaches to Linguistic Code-Switching*, 2023.
- [33] J. Zhang, J. Huang, S. Jin, and S. Lu. Vision-language models for vision tasks: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00685*, 2023.
- [34] J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, M. Saleh, and P. Liu. Pegasus: Pre-training with extracted gap-sentences for abstractive summarization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 11328–11339. PMLR, 2020.
- [35] T. Zhang, V. Kishore, F. Wu, K. Q. Weinberger, and Y. Artzi. Bertscore: Evaluating text generation with bert. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09675*, 2019.
- [36] L. Zheng, W.-L. Chiang, Y. Sheng, S. Zhuang, Z. Wu, Y. Zhuang, Z. Lin, Z. Li, D. Li, E. Xing, et al. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05685*, 2023.
- [37] J. Zhou, X. He, L. Sun, J. Xu, X. Chen, Y. Chu, L. Zhou, X. Liao, B. Zhang, and X. Gao. Skingpt-4: An interactive dermatology diagnostic system with visual large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10691*, 2023.
- [38] D. Zhu, J. Chen, X. Shen, X. Li, and M. Elhoseiny. Minigpt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*, 2023.