

# The Metaplectic Representation is Faithful

Christopher Chang\*, Simeon Hellsten†, Mario Marcos Losada‡ and Sergiu Novac†

January 2024

## Abstract

We develop methods to show that infinite-dimensional modules over the Iwasawa algebra  $KG$  of a uniform pro- $p$  group are faithful and apply them to show that the metaplectic representation for the group  $G = \exp(p\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$  is faithful.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  be a uniform pro- $p$  group. Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with valuation ring  $R := \{x \in K : |x|_p \leq 1\}$ . We are interested in the prime ideals of the Iwasawa algebra  $KG := RG \otimes_R K$  where  $RG := \varprojlim R[G/N]$  and the inverse limit is taken over all open normal subgroups  $N \triangleleft_o G$ . If  $G$  has a closed normal subgroup  $N \triangleleft_c G$  such that  $G/N$  is uniform, then  $(N-1)KG = \ker(KG \rightarrow K(G/N))$  is a prime ideal of  $KG$  so we will restrict to almost simple groups  $G$ . We are motivated by the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1** — Let  $G$  be an almost simple uniform pro- $p$  group. Then every non-zero prime ideal of  $KG$  has finite codimension.

In particular, if the conjecture is true, then every infinite-dimensional representation of  $KG$  must be faithful. When the Lie algebra of  $G$  is of Type  $A$ , Conjecture 1.1 was proven in [Man23], but the methods there do not generalise to other types. Similar results of this form can also be found for example in [AW14]. In this paper, we develop methods to approach these types of questions more generally and we apply them to the case of  $G := \exp(p\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . We start with the metaplectic representation from Proposition 2.1 and explain how to lift this to an algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ , where  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  is the affinoid enveloping algebra and  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  is the completed Weyl algebra, both defined after Proposition 2.1. We can finally embed  $KG$  into  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  and in Theorem 4.8 we then prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2** — The metaplectic representation  $\rho|_{KG} : KG \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  is injective.

The main tool to do this is the following Gluing Lemma, a special case of the general version from Section 3. Here,  $U(\mathfrak{n})_K = U(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} K$ .

**Proposition 1.3** — Let  $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  be finite rank  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Lie algebras with corresponding uniform pro- $p$  groups  $N, H$  and  $G$  and let  $V$  be a  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$ -module and a  $K$ -Banach space, such that  $(N-1) \cdot B_V \subseteq pB_V$ , where  $B_V := \{v \in V : \|v\| \leq 1\}$ . Suppose there is a subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $V$  and for each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  a  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K$ -submodule  $W_v$  of  $V$  contained in  $U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot v$  such that

- $RH$  acts locally finitely on  $W := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} W_v$ , meaning that every cyclic  $RH$ -submodule of  $W$  is finitely generated over  $R$ .
- $KH$  acts faithfully on  $W$ .

\*St Anne's College, University of Oxford

†St John's College, University of Oxford

‡Brasenose College, University of Oxford

*Email addresses:* christopher.chang@st-annes.ox.ac.uk (C. Chang), simeon.hellsten@sjc.ox.ac.uk (S. Hellsten), mario.marcoslosada@bnc.ox.ac.uk (M. Marcos Losada), sergiu-ionut.novac@sjc.ox.ac.uk (S. Novac)

- For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  the multiplication map  $KN \otimes_K U(\mathfrak{n})_K / I'_v \rightarrow \widehat{U(\mathfrak{n})}_K / I_v$  is injective, where  $I'_v = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{n})_K}(v)$  and  $I_v = \text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{n})}_K}(v)$ .

Then any  $KG$ -submodule  $V_0$  of  $V$  containing  $W$  is faithful.

This allows us to deduce faithfulness results for a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  from similar results for  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We do this by exploiting the local finiteness conditions to replace the action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  by an action of  $U(\mathfrak{n})_K$ , thus turning the problem into a question involving only the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

In Section 4 we then apply this to the metaplectic representation. We first decompose  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$  into subalgebras  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  with associated uniform pro- $p$  groups  $A, B$  and  $C$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  acts locally finitely and  $\mathfrak{b}$  acts locally nilpotently, and proceed in three steps.

- In Section 4.1 we start by generalising [AW14, Theorem 3.8] to show that the multiplication map from the last condition of the Gluing Lemma is indeed injective for any subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{c}$ . To do this we use the generalisation of the Gluing Lemma from Section 3.
- In Section 4.2 we show that the second condition for the Gluing Lemma above holds, namely that the metaplectic representation is injective when restricted to  $KA$ . This involves exploiting symmetries of  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  to be able to apply the Gluing Lemma as stated above.
- In Section 4.3 we put together the previous results to conclude that the metaplectic representation is injective.

Finally, in Section 5 we use primary decomposition and a result from [Ard12] on ideals fixed by a particular class of action to give a different proof for abelian subalgebras of general Iwasawa algebras, before applying them to  $KB$  and  $KC$ .

The authors believe that the methods developed here can be generalised to an arbitrary highest weight module for  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$  and more generally to other simple Lie algebras.

## 1.1 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Konstantin Ardakov for his invaluable support, advice and feedback throughout this project. The first author thanks St Anne's College, Oxford for providing accommodation and funding for living expenses for the duration of this project. The second and last authors thank St John's College, Oxford for providing additional funding and accommodation for the duration of the project. The third author thanks the London Mathematical Society and the Mathematical Institute for funding this project. The last author also thanks the Mathematical Institute for funding the project.

## 2 Constructing the Metaplectic Representation

We will use lower-case letters to denote elements in a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the corresponding upper-case letter for the corresponding element in its associated uniform pro- $p$  group  $G := \exp(p\mathfrak{g})$ . It is a standard fact of Iwasawa algebras (see for example [Dix+03, §7]) that a general element  $\zeta \in KG$  can be uniquely written as

$$\zeta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \lambda_\alpha (\mathbf{G} - 1)^\alpha, \quad (\mathbf{G} - 1)^\alpha := (G_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (G_d - 1)^{\alpha_d},$$

where  $(G_1, \dots, G_d)$  is a topological generating set for  $G$  and  $\lambda_\alpha \in K$  are uniformly bounded with respect to the  $p$ -adic valuation on  $K$ .

For fixed  $n \geq 2$ , let

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^T \end{bmatrix} : A, B, C \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p), B^T = B, C^T = C \right\}$$

with associated uniform pro- $p$  group

$$G := \exp(p \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = \mathrm{SP}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap (I + pM_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)).$$

We will use the following map adapted from [Fol89] to construct an infinite dimensional  $KG$ -module.

**Proposition 2.1** (Metaplectic Representation) — There is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow A_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^T \end{bmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(A) - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} A_{ij} x_j \partial_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} B_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} C_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

Here  $A_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is the  $n^{\mathrm{th}}$  Weyl algebra on generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ . We will denote  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $\partial^\beta := \partial_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

We are interested in knowing if there are any non-zero prime ideals of  $KG$  of infinite codimension. To this end, we lift the above homomorphism to a map on  $KG$ . We tensor first with  $R$  to obtain a map of  $R$ -Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_R := \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(R) \rightarrow A_n(R)$  and lift this to a map of associative algebras  $U(\mathfrak{g}_R) \rightarrow A_n(R)$ , where  $U(\mathfrak{g}_R)$  is the universal enveloping algebra.

Now note that both  $U(\mathfrak{g}_R)$  and  $A_n(R)$  inherit  $p$ -adic valuations from  $R$ . Explicitly, as  $K$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  extension, the valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  can be extended to a valuation on  $K$ , which we denote by  $v$ . Let  $d = 2n^2 + n$  be the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and fix a basis  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We denote  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha := g_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_d^{\alpha_d}$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , and write  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ . Then, for an element of  $U(\mathfrak{g}_R)$  we define its valuation by

$$v_p \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} c_\alpha \mathbf{g}^\alpha \right) := \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} v(c_\alpha),$$

and similarly, for elements of  $A_n(R)$ ,

$$v_p \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \partial^\beta \right) := \min_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} v(c_{\alpha, \beta}).$$

We then note the algebra homomorphism is continuous with respect to the induced topologies. Therefore, this extends to a map  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g}_R)} \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}$  of the  $p$ -adic completions.

Then

$$\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g}_R)} := \varprojlim_{\lambda \geq 0} U(\mathfrak{g}_R) / U(\mathfrak{g}_R)_\lambda = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} c_\alpha \mathbf{g}^\alpha : c_\alpha \in R, c_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

with  $U(\mathfrak{g}_R)_\lambda := \{x \in U(\mathfrak{g}_R) : v_p(x) \geq \lambda\}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and similarly

$$\widehat{A_n(R)} := \varprojlim_{\lambda \geq 0} A_n(R) / A_n(R)_\lambda = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \partial^\beta : c_{\alpha, \beta} \in R, c_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| + |\beta| \rightarrow \infty \right\},$$

with  $A_n(R)_\lambda := \{y \in A_n(R) : v_p(y) \geq \lambda\}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we tensor with  $K$  and obtain a map  $\rho : \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ , where

$$\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K := \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g}_R)} \otimes_R K = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} c_\alpha \mathbf{g}^\alpha : c_\alpha \in K, c_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\widehat{A_n(R)}_K := \widehat{A_n(R)} \otimes_R K = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \partial^\beta : c_{\alpha, \beta} \in K, c_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| + |\beta| \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

We also define  $U(\mathfrak{g})_K := U(\mathfrak{g}_R) \otimes_R K \subseteq \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$ . Finally, as noted in [Man23, Corollary 2.5.4]  $KG$  embeds into  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  via  $g \mapsto e^{pg}$  for  $g \in G$ , so we can restrict  $\rho$  along this embedding to obtain a map  $\rho|_{KG} : KG \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ . The aim is to show that  $\ker \rho|_{KG} = 0$ .

Let us also mention the valuations defined on  $U(\mathfrak{g}_R)$  and  $A_n(R)$  extend in the natural way to valuations on  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$ , and  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  respectively. That these are indeed valuations follows from [AW14, Lemma 5.2] together with [ST02, Remark 4.6] for  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  and [Pan07, Lemma 1.2.4] for the Weyl algebra (and by continuity for the completed Weyl algebra as well).

From now on, we fix the following basis for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $e_{ij} = [\delta_{iJ}\delta_{jJ}]_{IJ}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$  denote the  $2n \times 2n$  unit matrices. Then, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  we let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= e_{ij} - e_{j+n, i+n} & b_{ij} &= e_{i, j+n} + e_{j, i+n} & c_{ij} &= e_{i+n, j} + e_{j+n, i} \\ \rho(a_{ij}) &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} - x_j\partial_i & \rho(b_{ij}) &= \partial_i\partial_j & \rho(c_{ij}) &= -x_ix_j \end{aligned}$$

so note that in particular  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$  and  $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$ . We record here the commutation relations for later reference.

**Lemma 2.2** — For all  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a_{ij}, a_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk}a_{il} - \delta_{il}a_{kj}, & [a_{ij}, b_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk}b_{il} + \delta_{jl}b_{ik}, & [a_{ij}, c_{kl}] &= -\delta_{il}c_{jk} - \delta_{ik}c_{jl}, \\ [b_{ij}, c_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk}a_{il} + \delta_{jl}a_{ik} + \delta_{ik}a_{jl} + \delta_{il}a_{jk}, & [b_{ij}, b_{kl}] &= 0, & [c_{ij}, c_{kl}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For all  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2n$  we have

$$[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{il}e_{kj}$$

which gives the relations above.  $\square$

In particular, we have the subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{a} = \langle a_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \quad \mathfrak{b} = \langle b_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \quad \mathfrak{c} = \langle c_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

and denote by  $A, B$  and  $C$  the corresponding uniform pro- $p$  groups. We present the root space decompositions of  $\mathfrak{sp}_4$  and of  $\mathfrak{sp}_6$  as illustrative examples. In particular, it is easy to see from these that  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  are abelian.




Figure 1: Root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{sp}_4$ , and image under  $\rho$




Figure 2: Root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{sp}_6$ , and image under  $\rho$

In order to translate results between the different subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we will make use of the following Fourier transforms of  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ . For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , let  $\tau_i : \widehat{A_n(R)}_K \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  be the continuous extension of the automorphism on  $A_n(R)_K$  given by

$$\tau_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} \partial_j & \text{if } i = j \\ x_j & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad \tau_i(\partial_j) = \begin{cases} -x_j & \text{if } i = j \\ \partial_j & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and note that  $\tau_i$  is still an automorphism.

**Lemma 2.3** — For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there is an automorphism  $\sigma_i : \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K \rightarrow \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ \widehat{A_n(R)}_K & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & \widehat{A_n(R)}_K \end{array}$$

Explicitly,

$$\sigma_i(a_{jk}) = \begin{cases} -a_{ii} & \text{if } j = k = i \\ -c_{ik} & \text{if } j = i, k \neq i \\ -b_{ji} & \text{if } j \neq i, k = i \\ a_{jk} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \sigma_i(b_{jk}) = \begin{cases} -c_{ii} & \text{if } j = k = i \\ a_{ki} & \text{if } j = i, k \neq i \\ a_{ji} & \text{if } j \neq i, k = i \\ b_{jk} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_i(c_{jk}) = \begin{cases} -b_{ii} & \text{if } j = k = i \\ a_{ik} & \text{if } j = i, k \neq i \\ a_{ij} & \text{if } j \neq i, k = i \\ c_{jk} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.1,  $\tau_i$  preserves the image  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$ , and  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is injective, so we can pull  $\tau_i|_{A_n(R)}$  back to an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and complete it to get an automorphism  $\sigma_i$  of  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  that makes the above diagram commute. Since  $\rho(\sigma_i(x)) = \tau_i(\rho(x))$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  we obtain the explicit formulas above.  $\square$

We also let the total Fourier transform on  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  be  $\tau := \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_n$  with the corresponding automorphism on  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$  be  $\sigma := \sigma_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n$ .

### 3 The Gluing Lemma

In this section we develop the main tool for proving the injectivity of  $\rho|_{KG}$ , partly reducing the problem to showing it is injective when restricted to various subalgebras of  $KG$ . Note that setting  $T = R$  gives Proposition 1.3.

**Proposition 3.1** (Gluing Lemma) — Let

- $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  be finite rank  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Lie algebras with corresponding uniform pro- $p$  groups  $N, H$  and  $G$ .
- $T = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} F_d T$  be an associative  $R$ -algebra with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -filtration by  $R$ -modules, and  $T_K := T \otimes_R K$ .
- $V$  be a  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K \otimes_K T_K$ -module and a  $K$ -Banach space, such that  $(N-1) \cdot B_V \subseteq pB_V$ , where  $B_V := \{v \in V : \|v\| \leq 1\}$ .
- $\mathcal{V}$  be a subset of  $V$  and for each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , let  $W_v$  be a  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K \otimes_K T_K$ -submodule of  $V$  contained in  $U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot v$ .
- $V_0$  be a  $KG \otimes_K T_K$ -submodule of  $V$  containing  $W := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} W_v$ .

Suppose the following conditions hold:

- For each  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $w \in W$ ,  $RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot w$  is finitely generated over  $R$ .
- $KH \otimes_K T_K$  acts faithfully on  $W$ .
- For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , the multiplication map

$$KN \otimes_K U(\mathfrak{n})_K / I'_v \rightarrow \widehat{U(\mathfrak{n})}_K / I_v$$

is injective, where  $I'_v := \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{n})_K}(v)$  and  $I_v := \text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{n})}_K}(v)$ .

Then  $V_0$  is a faithful  $KG \otimes_K T_K$ -module.

*Proof.* Let  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  be a basis for  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $(N_1, \dots, N_k)$  the corresponding topological generating set for  $N$ . Note that it is enough to show that  $V_0$  is faithful as an  $RG \otimes_R T$ -module. Take  $\zeta \in \text{Ann}_{RG \otimes_R T}(V_0)$  and note that we can write it as  $\zeta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} (\mathbf{N} - 1)^\alpha \zeta_\alpha$  where  $\zeta_\alpha \in RH \otimes_R F_d T$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  which does not depend on  $\alpha$ . Fix  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $w \in W_v$ . Now since

$$RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot w \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot v$$

is finitely generated over  $R$ , we can choose  $u_1, \dots, u_r \in U(\mathfrak{n})$  such that

$$RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot w \subseteq \langle u_i \cdot v : 1 \leq i \leq r \rangle_K$$

and  $u_1 + I'_v, \dots, u_r + I'_v$  are  $K$ -linearly independent in  $U(\mathfrak{n})_K / I'_v \cong U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot v$ . Moreover, by taking common denominators for the coefficients of  $u_i \cdot v$  in a finite generating set for  $RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot w$  over  $R$ , we see that

$$RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot w \subseteq p^{-m} \langle u_i \cdot v : 1 \leq i \leq r \rangle_R$$

for some  $m > 0$  and so we can write

$$\zeta_\alpha \cdot w = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{i,\alpha} u_i \cdot v$$

for some  $\lambda_{i,\alpha} \in K$  which are uniformly bounded in  $\alpha$  and  $i$ . The condition  $(N-1) \cdot B_V \subseteq pB_V$  then ensures

$$0 = \zeta \cdot w = \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} \lambda_{i,\alpha} (\mathbf{N}-1)^\alpha u_i \right] \cdot v$$

so

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} \lambda_{i,\alpha} (\mathbf{N}-1)^\alpha u_i \in I_v$$

and by the injectivity of the multiplication map we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left[ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} \lambda_{i,\alpha} (\mathbf{N}-1)^\alpha \right] \otimes_K (u_i + I'_v) = 0.$$

Since  $u_i + I'_v$  are linearly independent over  $K$ , this can only be the case if

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} \lambda_{i,\alpha} (\mathbf{N}-1)^\alpha = 0$$

in  $KN$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . But then  $\lambda_{i,\alpha} = 0$  so  $\zeta_\alpha \cdot w = 0$ . By linearity this is true for all  $w \in W$  and since  $KH \otimes_K T_K$  acts faithfully on  $W$  we get that  $\zeta_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ . Then  $\zeta = 0$ ,  $V_0$  is faithful as an  $RG \otimes_R T$ -module, and so also as a  $KG \otimes_K T_K$ -module.  $\square$

## 4 Faithfulness of the Metaplectic Representation

Our next goal is to show that  $\rho|_{KG} : KG \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  is injective. In order to do this, we will repeatedly apply Proposition 3.1 with the  $K$ -algebras

$$V := K\langle X_1^\pm, \dots, X_n^\pm \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \lambda_\alpha \in K, \lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| \rightarrow \infty \right\},$$

$$V_0 := K\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \lambda_\alpha \in K, \lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

which are naturally also  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ -modules, and so also  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K$ -modules along the map  $\rho : \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g})}_K \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ . Here,  $|\alpha| := |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + \dots + |\alpha_n|$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Note that both  $V$  and  $V_0$  are  $K$ -Banach spaces with the norm induced from the  $p$ -adic valuation:

$$v_p \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} \right) := \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} v(\lambda_\alpha)$$

and, from the definition of  $\rho$ , we have  $g \cdot B_V \subseteq B_V$ , for any  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$  so  $(G-1) \cdot B_V \subseteq pB_V$  since  $e^{pg} - 1 \in p\widehat{U(\mathfrak{g}_R)}$ .

It follows from [AW13, Theorem 7.3] that  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  is a simple ring, so  $V_0$  and  $V$  are faithful  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ -modules. This can also be seen explicitly as follows, where

$$\text{Ann}_{\widehat{A_n(R)}_K}(S) := \left\{ \zeta \in \widehat{A_n(R)}_K : \zeta \cdot s = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S \right\}$$

for a subset  $S \subseteq V_0$ .

**Lemma 4.1** — For  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq V_0$  we have  $\text{Ann}_{\widehat{A_n(R)}_K} K[X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$ . In particular,  $V_0$  and  $V$  are faithful  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ -modules.

*Proof.* Take

$$\zeta = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n} \in \text{Ann}_{\widehat{A_n(R)_K}} K[X_1, \dots, X_n].$$

We consider the lexicographic order  $<$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0^n$  and argue by induction on  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  that  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Indeed, for  $\beta = 0$  we have

$$0 = \zeta \cdot 1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_{\alpha, 0} X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n},$$

so  $\lambda_{\alpha, 0} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . More generally, note that for  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $\gamma < \beta$  we have

$$\partial_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n} \cdot X_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots X_n^{\gamma_n} = 0.$$

By induction, fix  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  such that for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $\beta < \gamma$  we have  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ . Then

$$\zeta \cdot X_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots X_n^{\gamma_n} = \gamma_1! \cdots \gamma_n! \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_{\alpha, \gamma} X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n},$$

so  $\lambda_{\alpha, \gamma} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . The conclusion then follows.  $\square$

In particular, whenever we have a  $K$ -algebra  $S$  with an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : S \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)_K}$ , we have that  $V_0$  is a faithful  $S$ -module along  $\varphi$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is injective.

## 4.1 The Multiplication Map

The image  $\rho \left( \widehat{U(\mathfrak{c})}_K \right)$  embeds into  $V$  by the map  $x_i \mapsto X_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and under this identification, the action of  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c})}_K$  on  $V$  is given by multiplication  $x \cdot v = \rho(x)v$ . Then since  $V$  is a domain, for any non-zero  $v \in V$  and subalgebra  $\mathfrak{c}' \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$  we have that

$$\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}(v) = \ker \rho|_{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}, \quad \text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}}(v) = \ker \rho|_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}},$$

and so in particular they are independent of the non-zero  $v \in V$ . There is a special class of subalgebras for which these annihilators are zero.

**Lemma 4.2** — Let  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}^2$  such that at most one of  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$  is in  $I$  for each  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and suppose  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{N}_0^I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0^n$  given by

$$f_k((\alpha_{ij})_{(i,j) \in I}) = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} \alpha_{ij} (\delta_{ik} + \delta_{kj})$$

is injective. Then  $\ker \rho|_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}} = 0$  for  $\mathfrak{c}' = \langle c_{ij} : (i, j) \in I \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  and so

$$KC' \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}')_K) \rightarrow \rho \left( \widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K} \right)$$

is injective.

*Proof.* Take

$$\zeta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^I} \lambda_\alpha \left( \prod_{(i,j) \in I} c_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} \right) \in \ker \rho|_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}}$$

for some  $\lambda_\alpha \in K$  uniformly bounded and note that by construction

$$0 = \rho(\zeta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^I} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{x}^{f(\alpha)}.$$

Since  $f$  is injective, then  $\lambda_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^I$  and the first part follows. Finally, by [AW14, Theorem 3.2] we have that  $KC' \otimes_K U(\mathfrak{c}')_K \rightarrow \widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}')_K}$  is injective so the last part also follows.  $\square$



We will then show by induction that

$$KC \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c})_K) \rightarrow \rho(\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c})}_K)$$

is injective, so it is convenient to introduce the following subalgebras for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}^k &:= \langle c_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle c_{1j} : k+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, & \mathfrak{c}_+^k &:= \sigma_k \cdots \sigma_n (\mathfrak{c}^k), & \mathfrak{c}_-^k &:= \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{k-1} (\mathfrak{c}^k) \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^k &:= \langle c_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq k \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle c_{1j} : k+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, & \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_+^k &:= \sigma_k \cdots \sigma_n (\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^k), & \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_-^k &:= \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{k-1} (\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^k) \end{aligned}$$

Note that these are all abelian by Lemma 2.2, and also that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^k \subseteq \mathfrak{c}^k$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_+^k \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_+^k$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_-^k \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_-^k$  and  $\mathfrak{c}^n = \mathfrak{c}$ . By Lemma 4.3, generators of the images of these subalgebras under  $\rho$  are shown below for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{cccc} x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1 x_{k-1} & x_1 x_k & \cdots & x_1 x_n \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ & & x_{k-1}^2 & x_{k-1} x_k & & \\ & & & x_k^2 & & \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} x_1 x_k & \cdots & x_1 x_n \\ \vdots & & \\ x_{k-1} x_k & & \\ x_k^2 & & \end{array} \\ \text{(a) Generators of } \rho(\mathfrak{c}^k) & & \text{(b) Generators of } \rho(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^k) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{cccc} x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1 x_{k-1} & x_1 \partial_k & \cdots & x_1 \partial_n \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ & & x_{k-1}^2 & x_{k-1} \partial_k & & \\ & & & \partial_k^2 & & \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} x_1 \partial_k & \cdots & x_1 \partial_n \\ \vdots & & \\ x_{k-1} \partial_k & & \\ \partial_k^2 & & \end{array} \\ \text{(c) Generators of } \rho(\mathfrak{c}_+^k) & & \text{(d) Generators of } \rho(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_+^k) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{cccc} \partial_1^2 & \cdots & \partial_1 \partial_{k-1} & \partial_1 x_k & \cdots & \partial_1 x_n \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ & & \partial_{k-1}^2 & \partial_{k-1} x_k & & \\ & & & x_k^2 & & \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} \partial_1 x_k & \cdots & \partial_1 x_n \\ \vdots & & \\ \partial_{k-1} x_k & & \\ x_k^2 & & \end{array} \\ \text{(e) Generators of } \rho(\mathfrak{c}_-^k) & & \text{(f) Generators of } \rho(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_-^k) \end{array}$$

**Lemma 4.3** — We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}_+^k &= \begin{cases} \langle b_{1i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \langle c_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{ki} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{i1} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle b_{kk} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n; \end{cases} \\ \mathfrak{c}_-^k &= \begin{cases} \langle c_{1i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \langle b_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{1i} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle c_{kk} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n; \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_+^k &= \begin{cases} \langle b_{1i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \langle a_{ki} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{i1} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle b_{kk} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n; \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_-^k &= \begin{cases} \langle c_{1i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \langle a_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{1i} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle c_{kk} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $k = 1$  by definition we have

$$\mathfrak{c}^1 = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^1 = \mathfrak{c}_+^1 = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_-^1 = \langle c_{1i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

and by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} c_{11} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} -b_{11}, \\ c_{1i} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1}} c_{1i} \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} a_{i1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} a_{i1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} -b_{1i} \end{aligned} \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n,$$

giving the desired equalities for  $\mathbf{c}_+^1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_+^1$ .

Now for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} c_{ij} && \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq k-1, \\ c_{ki} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} c_{ki} \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} a_{ki} && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ c_{kk} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} c_{kk} \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} -b_{kk} \\ c_{1i} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1}} c_{1i} \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} a_{i1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} a_{i1} && \text{if } k+1 \leq i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired equalities for  $\mathbf{c}_+^k$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_+^k$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{j+1}} c_{ij} \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} a_{ji} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{j-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1}} a_{ji} \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} -b_{ij} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} -b_{ij} && \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq k-1, \\ c_{ii} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1}} c_{ii} \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} -b_{ii} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} -b_{ii} && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ c_{ki} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1}} c_{ki} \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} a_{ik} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} a_{ik} && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ c_{kk} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} c_{kk} \\ c_{1i} &\xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} c_{1i} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} a_{1i} && \text{if } k+1 \leq i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

giving the equalities for  $\mathbf{c}_-^k$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_-^k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4** — For  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , the map  $K\tilde{C}_+^k \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathbf{c}_+^k)_K) \rightarrow \widehat{A_n(R)}_K$  is injective.

*Proof.* Fix  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_+^k &:= \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathbf{c}_+^k = \mathfrak{a} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_+^k = \langle a_{ki} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{i1} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \\ \mathfrak{a}_-^k &:= \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathbf{c}_-^k = \mathfrak{a} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_-^k = \langle a_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{1i} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equalities follow by Lemma 4.3. We proceed in three steps.

**Step 1:**  $\text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{a}_+^k)_K}} K[X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$ .

First note the action is well-defined since  $\mathfrak{a}_+^k$  acts by homogeneous operators of degree zero. Now take

$$\xi = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mu_{\alpha, \beta} a_{k1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_{k, k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} a_{k+1, 1}^{\beta_1} \cdots a_{n1}^{\beta_n} \in \text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{a}_+^k)_K}} K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

where the sum is over  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k-1}$  and  $\beta = (\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k}$  and  $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} \in K$  satisfy  $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \rightarrow \infty$ ; in particular, they are uniformly bounded. Recall that  $\rho(a_{ij}) = -x_j \partial_i$  so for any  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  we have

$$0 = \xi \cdot X_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots X_n^{\gamma_n} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)} X_1^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_1 + |\beta|} X_2^{\gamma_2 + \alpha_2} \cdots X_{k-1}^{\gamma_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}} X_k^{\gamma_k - |\alpha|} X_{k+1}^{\gamma_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1}} \cdots X_n^{\gamma_n - \beta_n},$$

where

$$c_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} \mu_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\gamma_k! \cdots \gamma_n!}{(\gamma_k - |\alpha|)! (\gamma_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1})! \cdots (\gamma_n - \beta_n)!}$$

whenever  $|\alpha| \leq \gamma_k$  and  $\beta_j \leq \gamma_j$  for  $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ , and  $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)} = 0$  otherwise. Since

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1} \mapsto (\gamma_1 + \alpha_1 + |\beta|, \alpha_2 + \gamma_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} + \gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k - |\alpha|, \gamma_{k+1} - \beta_{k+1}, \dots, \gamma_n - \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

is injective for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  we get that  $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{(\gamma)} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k-1}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then  $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| \leq \gamma_k$  and  $\beta_j \leq \gamma_j$  for all  $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $\gamma$  is arbitrary we get  $\xi = 0$ .

**Step 2:**  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is faithful as a  $KA_+^k \otimes_K K[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \partial_k, \dots, \partial_n]$ -module.

Take

$$\zeta = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \partial_k^{\beta_k} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n} \in \text{Ann}_{KA_+^k \otimes_K K[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \partial_k, \dots, \partial_n]} K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

where the sum is over  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k-1}$  and  $\beta = (\beta_k, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-k+1}$ , with only finitely many  $\zeta_{\alpha, \beta} \in KA_+^k$  non-zero. In particular, there are  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\zeta_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| \geq d_1$  or  $|\beta| \geq d_2$ . Now for any monomial  $f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  we have

$$0 = X_1^{d_1+d_2} \partial_k^{d_1} \zeta \cdot f = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (-1)^{d_1+|\beta|} \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} a_{k1}^{\beta_k+d_1-(\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_{k-1})} a_{k2}^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{k, k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} a_{k+1, 1}^{\beta_{k+1}} \dots a_{n, 1}^{\beta_n} \cdot X_1^{d_2+|\alpha|-|\beta|} f.$$

Fix  $d \geq -d_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}_+^k$  acts by homogeneous operators of degree 0, looking at the terms of total degree  $d + d_2 + \deg(f)$  in  $X_1^{d_1+d_2} \partial_k^{d_1} \zeta \cdot f$  we see that

$$0 = X_1^{d_2+d} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|-|\beta|=d} (-1)^{d_1+|\beta|} \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} a_{k1}^{\beta_k+d_1-(\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_{k-1})} a_{k2}^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{k, k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} a_{k+1, 1}^{\beta_{k+1}} \dots a_{n, 1}^{\beta_n} \cdot f \right).$$

But since  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is a domain and  $f$  is an arbitrary monomial we have that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|-|\beta|=d} (-1)^{d_1+|\beta|} \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} a_{k1}^{\beta_k+d_1-(\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_{k-1})} a_{k2}^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{k, k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} a_{k+1, 1}^{\beta_{k+1}} \dots a_{n, 1}^{\beta_n} \in \text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{a}_+^k)}_K} K[X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0.$$

Finally by [AW14, Theorem 3.2] we get  $\zeta_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| - |\beta| = d$ . Since  $d$  is arbitrary we get  $\zeta = 0$ .

**Step 3:**  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $K\tilde{C}_+^k \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}_+^k)_K)$ -module.

By applying the automorphism  $\sigma \otimes_K \tau$  it is enough to show that  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $K\tilde{C}_-^k \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}_-^k)_K)$ -module.

We apply Proposition 3.1 with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \langle c_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, & \mathfrak{h} &= \mathfrak{a}_-^k, \\ T &= \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}_-^k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R)), & \mathcal{V} &= \{X_k^{-2nr} : r \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq V, \end{aligned}$$

where we filter  $T \subseteq A_n(R)$  by total degree. Also note that  $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  is a subalgebra since by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [c_{ik}, a_{jk}] &= \delta_{ij} c_{kk} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k-1 \\ [c_{ik}, a_{1j}] &= \delta_{i1} c_{jk} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, k+1 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

and that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_-^k \subseteq \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  by Lemma 4.3. For  $v_r = X_k^{-2nr} \in \mathcal{V}$  let

$$W_{v_r} := \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \leq r, \alpha_1 + \alpha_i \leq r \text{ for } k+1 \leq i \leq n, |\alpha| \text{ even} \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot X_k^{-2nr}$$

where the inclusion follows since

$$X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} = (-1)^{nr + \frac{|\alpha|}{2}} c_{1k}^{\alpha_1} \dots c_{k-1, k}^{\alpha_{k-1}} c_{kk}^{\alpha_k + nr - \frac{|\alpha|}{2}} c_{k+1, k}^{\alpha_{k+1}} \dots c_{nk}^{\alpha_n} \cdot X_k^{-2nr}$$

for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\alpha|$  even and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \leq r, \alpha_1 + \alpha_i \leq r$  for  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ , since in particular  $|\alpha| \leq 2nr$ . Each  $W_{v_r}$  is both a  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K$ -submodule since it is stable by the actions of  $x_k \partial_1, \dots, x_k \partial_{k-1}$  and  $x_{k+1} \partial_1, \dots, x_n \partial_1$ , and a  $T_K$ -submodule as it is also stable under the action of  $\partial_i \partial_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k-1$  and under the action of  $x_k^2$ . Then  $W = \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} : |\alpha| \text{ even} \rangle_K$  and we have

- For  $f \in W$  we have

$$RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot f \subseteq \{g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] : \deg g \leq d + \deg f, v_p(g) \geq v_p(f)\},$$

which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence so is  $RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot f$  as  $R$  is noetherian.

- $KH \otimes_K T_K$  acts faithfully on  $W$  since if  $\zeta \in KH \otimes_K T_K$  annihilates  $W$ , it also annihilates  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] = W + \partial_1 \cdot W$  since  $\partial_1$  commutes with the image of  $KH \otimes_K T_K$  in  $\widehat{A_n(R)}_K$ , and then  $\zeta = 0$  by Step 2, after applying the automorphism  $\sigma \otimes_K \tau$ .
- For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , the multiplication map  $KN \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{n})_K) \rightarrow \rho(\widehat{U(\mathfrak{n})}_K)$  is injective by Lemma 4.2 as

$$(\alpha_{1k}, \dots, \alpha_{nk}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mapsto (\alpha_{1k}, \dots, \alpha_{k-1, k}, \alpha_{kk} + |\alpha|, \alpha_{k+1, k}, \dots, \alpha_{nk}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

is injective.


The result now follows from Proposition 3.1 □

**Proposition 4.5** — The multiplication map  $KC \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c})_K) \rightarrow \rho(\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c})}_K)$  is injective.

*Proof.* We show by induction that the multiplication map  $KC^k \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}^k)_K) \rightarrow \rho(\widehat{U(\mathfrak{c}^k)}_K)$  is injective for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . The base case  $k = 1$  follows from Lemma 4.2 as the map

$$(\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{1n}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mapsto (2\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12} + \dots + \alpha_{1n}, \alpha_{12}, \dots, \alpha_{1n}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

is injective. Now, for the induction, fix  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and note that it is enough to show that  $V_0$  is faithful over the algebra  $KC^k \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}^k)_K)$ . Applying the automorphism  $\sigma_k \cdots \sigma_n$  this is equivalent to showing that  $V_0$  is faithful over the algebra  $KC_+^k \otimes_K \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}_+^k)_K)$ . The following pictures provide an illustration of this argument for  $\mathfrak{sp}_4$ .



We then apply Proposition 3.1 with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \mathfrak{c}^{k-1}, & \mathfrak{h} &= \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_+^k, \\ T &= \rho(U(\mathfrak{c}_+^k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R)) \subseteq R[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \partial_k, \dots, \partial_n], & \mathcal{V} &= \{X_1^{-2nr} : r \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq V, \end{aligned}$$

where we filter  $T$  by total degree,  $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  is a subalgebra by Lemma 2.2 and  $\mathfrak{c}_+^k \subseteq \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  by Lemma 4.3. For  $v_r = X_1^{-2nr} \in \mathcal{V}$  let

$$W_{v_r} := \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_n \leq r, |\alpha| \text{ is even} \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot X_1^{-2nr}$$

where the inclusion follows since

$$X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} = (-1)^{nr+|\alpha|/2} c_{11}^{nr+\alpha_1-|\alpha|/2} c_{12}^{\alpha_2} \cdots c_{1n}^{\alpha_n} \cdot X_1^{-2nr}$$

for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $\alpha_i \leq r$  for all  $i \geq k$  and  $|\alpha|$  even.

These are both a  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K$ -submodule and a  $T_K$ -submodule as both  $\mathfrak{h} = \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_+^k$  and  $\mathfrak{c}_+^k$  act by homogeneous operators of even degree, which can only increase the degrees of  $X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}$ . Then  $W = \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : |\alpha| \text{ is even} \rangle_K$  and we have:

- For  $f \in W$  we have

$$RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot f \subseteq \{g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] : \deg g \leq d + \deg f, v_p(g) \geq v_p(f)\},$$

which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence so is  $RH \otimes_R F_d T \cdot f$  as  $R$  is noetherian.

- $KH \otimes_K T_K$  acts faithfully on  $W$  by Lemma 4.4.
- For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , the multiplication map is injective by the inductive hypothesis.

The result now follows from Proposition 3.1. □

## 4.2 The subalgebra $KA$

The next step is to show that  $KA$  acts faithfully on  $V_0$ , so that  $\rho|_{KA}$  is injective. We start by looking at a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a}_0 := \langle a_{ii} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Lemma 4.6** —  $KA_0$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq V_0$ .

*Proof.* We actually show that  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{a}_0)}_K$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , and note that the action is well-defined as  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{a}_0)}_K$  acts on  $V_0$  by homogeneous operators of degree 0. Let

$$\zeta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_\alpha a_{11}^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_{nn}^{\alpha_n} \in \text{Ann}_{\widehat{U(\mathfrak{a}_0)}_K} K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

for  $\lambda_\alpha \in K$  with  $\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , since  $\rho(a_{ii}) = -\frac{1}{2} - x_i \partial_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have

$$0 = \zeta \cdot X_1^{\beta_1} \cdots X_n^{\beta_n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_\alpha \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \left( -\frac{1}{2} - \beta_i \right)^{\alpha_i} \right) X_1^{\beta_1} \cdots X_n^{\beta_n} = f \left( -\frac{1}{2} - \beta_1, \dots, -\frac{1}{2} - \beta_n \right) X_1^{\beta_1} \cdots X_n^{\beta_n}$$

where

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \lambda_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} \in K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle.$$

Then by [AW14, Lemma 4.7] it follows that  $\lambda_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , and hence  $\zeta = 0$ .  $\square$


**Proposition 4.7** —  $\rho|_{KA}$  is injective.

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq k \leq n$  let

$$\mathfrak{a}_k := \langle a_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \oplus \langle a_{ii} : k+1 \leq i \leq n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \quad \mathfrak{b}_k := \langle b_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \quad \mathfrak{c}_k := \langle c_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_k^- := \mathfrak{a}_{k-1} \oplus \mathfrak{c}_k \quad \mathfrak{a}_k^+ := \sigma(\mathfrak{a}_k^-) = \mathfrak{a}_{k-1} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_k \quad \mathfrak{a}_k^\pm := \sigma_k(\mathfrak{a}_k) = \mathfrak{a}_{k-1} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{c}_k,$$

where the equalities follow by Lemma 2.3 and note that these are subalgebras by Lemma 2.2. We prove by induction on  $k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  that  $\rho|_{KA_k}$  is injective. By Lemma 4.1 this is equivalent to showing that  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $KA_k$ -module. The case  $k=1$  follows then from Lemma 4.6 as  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_0$ . Now fix  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and suppose  $\rho|_{KA_{k-1}}$  is injective. By applying the automorphism  $\sigma_k$ , it is then enough to show that  $KA_k^\pm$  acts faithfully on  $V_0$ , which we do in two steps. The following pictures provide an illustration of this argument for  $\mathfrak{sp}_4$ .



**Step 1:** The restriction  $\rho|_{KA_k^+}$  is injective.

We apply Proposition 1.3 with

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{c}_k, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_{k-1}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \{X_k^{r_k} \cdots X_n^{r_n} : r_k \in \mathbb{Z}, r_{k+1}, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq V$$

and note that  $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_k^-$ . For  $v = X_k^{r_k} \cdots X_n^{r_n} \in \mathcal{V}$  let

$$W_v := \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \alpha_k = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} + r_k, \alpha_i = r_i \text{ for } i > k \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot X_k^{r_k} \cdots X_n^{r_n},$$

where the inclusion follows since

$$X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} = (-1)^{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1}} c_{1k}^{\alpha_1} \cdots c_{k-1,k}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \cdot X_k^{r_k} \cdots X_n^{r_n}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $\alpha_k = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} + r_k$  and  $\alpha_i = r_i$  for  $i > k$ . These are  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K$ -submodules since  $\mathfrak{a}_{k-1}$  acts by homogeneous elements of degree 0 that cannot change the individual degrees in any of  $X_k, \dots, X_n$  nor the total degree in  $X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}$ . Then  $W = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and we have

- $RH$  acts locally finitely on  $W$  since for  $f \in W$ ,

$$RH \cdot f \subseteq \{g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] : \deg g = \deg f, v_p(g) \geq v_p(f)\},$$

which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence so is  $RH \cdot f$  as  $R$  is noetherian.

- $KH$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  since by the induction hypothesis  $\ker \rho|_{KH} = 0$  and  $\rho(KH)$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by Lemma 4.1.
- The multiplication map is injective by Proposition 4.5 (or alternatively by Lemma 4.2).

So  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $KA_k^-$ -module, and applying the automorphism  $\sigma$  we get that  $KA_k^+$  acts faithfully on  $V_0$  as well. Then  $\rho|_{KA_k^+}$  is injective.

**Step 2:**  $V_0$  is a faithful  $KA_k^\pm$ -module.

Now we apply Proposition 1.3 again, replacing  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_{k-1}$  with  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_k^+ = \mathfrak{a}_{k-1} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_k$  and keeping everything else unchanged. That is,

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{c}_k, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_k^+ \quad \mathcal{V} = \{X_k^{r_k} \cdots X_n^{r_n} : r_k \in \mathbb{Z}, r_{k+1}, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq V.$$

and

$$W_v := \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \alpha_k = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} + r_k, \alpha_i = r_i \text{ for } i > k \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot X_k^{r_k} \cdots X_n^{r_n}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_k^\pm$  and that  $W_v$  are still  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K$ -submodules since the action of  $\mathfrak{b}_k$  keeps  $\alpha_k - (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1})$  invariant. Then we have

- $RH$  still acts locally finitely on  $W$  since for  $f \in W$ ,

$$RH \cdot f \subseteq \{g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] : \deg g \leq \deg f, v_p(g) \geq v_p(f)\},$$

which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence so is  $RH \cdot f$  as  $R$  is noetherian.

- $KH$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  since by the previous step  $\rho|_{KH}$  is injective, and  $\rho(KH)$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by Lemma 4.1.
- The multiplication map is injective by Proposition 4.5 (or alternatively by Lemma 4.2).


So  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $KA_k^\pm$ -module, completing the induction.  $\square$

### 4.3 Final Gluing

We can now glue all three subalgebras together to prove the main result.

**Theorem 4.8** —  $\rho|_{KG}$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\mathfrak{t} := \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$  and note that these are subalgebras by Lemma 2.2. The following pictures provide an illustration of this argument for  $\mathfrak{sp}_4$ .



We first show that  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $KS$ -module by applying Proposition 1.3 with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \mathfrak{c}, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \{1, X_1^{-1}\} \subseteq V, \\ W_1 &= \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \text{ is even} \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot 1, \\ W_{X_1^{-1}} &= \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \text{ is odd} \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot X_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inclusions follow as  $\{X_i X_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  generates  $W_1$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{s}$  and that  $W_1$  and  $W_{X_1^{-1}}$  are  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{h})}_K$ -modules since the elements of  $\mathfrak{a}$  act by homogeneous operators of degree 0. Then  $W = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and we have

- $RH$  acts locally finitely on  $W$  since for  $f \in W$ ,

$$RH \cdot f \subseteq \{g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] : \deg g = \deg f, v_p(g) \geq v_p(f)\},$$

which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence so is  $RH \cdot f$  as  $R$  is noetherian.

- $KH$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  since by Proposition 4.7  $\rho|_{KH}$  is injective, and  $\rho(KH)$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by Lemma 4.1.
- The multiplication map is injective by Proposition 4.5.

Then  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $KS$ -module and by applying the automorphism  $\sigma$  it is also faithful as a  $KT$ -module so  $\rho|_{KT}$  is injective.

We finally apply Proposition 3.1 again, only changing  $\mathfrak{h}$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \mathfrak{c}, & \mathfrak{h} &= \mathfrak{t}, & \mathcal{V} &= \{1, X_1^{-1}\} \subseteq V, \\ W_1 &= \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \text{ is even} \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot 1, \\ W_{X_1^{-1}} &= \langle X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \text{ is odd} \rangle_K \subseteq U(\mathfrak{n})_K \cdot X_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$  and  $W_1$  and  $W_{X_1^{-1}}$  are still  $\widehat{U(\mathfrak{t})}_K$ -submodules since the elements of  $\mathfrak{b}$  act by homogeneous operators of even non-positive degree. Then:

- $RH$  acts locally finitely on  $W = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  since for  $f \in W$ ,

$$RH \cdot f \subseteq \{g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] : \deg g \leq \deg f, v_p(g) \geq v_p(f)\},$$

which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, hence so is  $RH \cdot f$  as  $R$  is noetherian.

- $KH$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  since  $\rho|_{KH} = \rho|_{KT}$  is injective, and  $\rho(KH)$  acts faithfully on  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by Lemma 4.1.
- The multiplication map is injective by Proposition 4.5.

So  $V_0$  is faithful as a  $KG$ -module and hence  $\rho|_{KG}$  is injective. □

## 5 Abelian subalgebras

In this section, let  $G$  be any uniform pro- $p$  group, and  $H \leq G$  a torsion-free abelian pro- $p$  group. We give conditions for maps out of  $KH$  with infinite-dimensional image to be injective, first in terms of closed subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(H)$ , and then in terms of the normaliser of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### 5.1 Invariant ideals in commutative algebras

Let  $H$  have topological generating set  $H_1, \dots, H_d$ . We equip  $KH$  with the  $p$ -adic filtration

$$w_p \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \lambda_\alpha (\mathbf{H} - 1)^\alpha \right) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} v(\lambda_\alpha)$$

where  $(\mathbf{H} - 1)^\alpha = (H_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (H_d - 1)^{\alpha_d}$  and the  $\lambda_\alpha \in K$  are uniformly bounded. Note that  $w_p$  is complete and separated with  $\text{gr } KH \cong kH[s, s^{-1}]$ , where  $s$  is the image of the uniformiser of  $K$ .

We endow  $\text{Aut}(H)$  with the congruence topology as defined in [Dix+03, §5.2], and let  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(H)$  be a closed subgroup such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ -module;
- (ii)  $[\Gamma, H] := \{\gamma(h)h^{-1} : \gamma \in \Gamma, h \in H\} \subseteq H^p$ .

Here  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Lie algebra as in [Dix+03, §9.5]. Note that (ii) forces  $\Gamma$  to be isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the first congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_1 := 1 + M_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  of  $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , which is uniform by [Dix+03, Theorem 5.2]. In particular then,  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated pro- $p$  group, and every  $\Gamma^l := \langle \gamma^l : \gamma \in \Gamma \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(H)$  is also a closed subgroup by [Mar94].

We extend the action of  $\Gamma$  first to  $RH$  by linearity and then to  $KH$ , as the  $\Gamma$ -action does not affect the  $w_p$ -filtration. Similarly,  $\Gamma$  acts on the local ring  $kH$ , and is exactly chosen so that [Ard12, Corollary 8.1] gives

**Lemma 5.1** — For  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the only  $\Gamma^l$ -invariant prime ideals of  $kH$  are 0 and the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (H_1 - 1, \dots, H_d - 1)$ .

This uses the observation that  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma^l) = \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ , which follows directly from the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$ . This maximality condition lifts to a condition on  $\Gamma$ -invariant ideals in  $KH$ .

**Proposition 5.2** — Let  $I$  be a non-zero,  $\Gamma$ -invariant ideal in  $KH$ . Then  $I$  has finite  $K$ -codimension.

*Proof.* If  $I = KH$  this is trivial, so suppose not. Consider the gr  $K$ -module  $\text{gr } KH$ , and the non-zero, proper,  $\Gamma$ -invariant, graded submodule  $\text{gr } I$ . Identifying  $\text{gr } KH \cong kH[s, s^{-1}]$ , we see that  $s$  is a unit, and so each graded component of  $\text{gr } I$  is equal. Thus we may write  $\text{gr } I = J[s, s^{-1}]$  for some ideal  $J \subseteq kH$ .

As  $kH$  is noetherian,  $\text{rad}(J) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some non-zero prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ . As  $\text{rad}(J)$  is also  $\Gamma$ -invariant and  $\Gamma$  acts by automorphisms, each element of  $\Gamma$  permutes the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , so we can find an  $l \geq 1$  such that  $\Gamma^l$  fixes all of the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . So by Lemma 5.1,  $\text{rad}(J) = \mathfrak{m}$ .

Thus we can find an  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^r \subseteq J$ , and since  $kH/\mathfrak{m}^n$  is finite-dimensional over  $k$  so is  $kH/J$ . Now note that

$$\text{gr } KH/\text{gr } I \cong kH[s, s^{-1}]/J[s, s^{-1}] \cong (kH/J)[s, s^{-1}]$$

so  $\text{gr } KH/\text{gr } I$  is finite-dimensional over  $\text{gr } K \cong k[s, s^{-1}]$ . By [LO96, Theorem I.4.2.4] we have  $\text{gr } KH/\text{gr } I \cong \text{gr} \left( \frac{KH}{I} \right)$  so  $\text{gr} \left( \frac{KH}{I} \right)$  is generated over  $\text{gr } K$  by finitely many elements of degree 0. Finally, by [LO96, Theorem I.5.7],  $\frac{KH}{I}$  has finite  $K$ -dimension.  $\square$

This immediately gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3** — Let  $T$  be a filtered  $K$ -algebra, and let  $\psi : KH \rightarrow T$  a filtered  $K$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $\psi(KH)$  is not finite  $K$ -dimensional. If  $\ker \psi$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, then  $\psi$  is injective.

## 5.2 Abelian subalgebras

A key example of such automorphism groups  $\Gamma$  comes from conjugation. Suppose now that  $H$  is subgroup of a uniform pro- $p$  group  $G$ , and let  $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}$  be their associated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Lie algebras. Let  $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be a subalgebra contained in the normaliser  $\{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [x, y] \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ for } y \in \mathfrak{h}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and  $N$  its associated pro- $p$  group. We assume that  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$  is torsion-free as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module so that by [Dix+03, Proposition 7.15],  $N \leq_c G$  is a closed uniform subgroup.

**Proposition 5.4** — Let  $T$  be a filtered  $K$ -algebra, and let  $\psi : KG \rightarrow T$  be a filtered  $K$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $\psi(KH)$  is not finite  $K$ -dimensional. If  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{L}(N)$ -module, then  $\psi|_{KH}$  is injective.

*Proof.* We first show that the conjugation homomorphism  $\varphi : N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$  by  $x \mapsto (\varphi_x : y \mapsto xyx^{-1})$  is continuous. To this end, suppose a net  $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  converges to  $1_N$  in  $N$ . It suffices to prove  $\varphi_{x_\lambda}$  converges to  $\text{id}_H$  in the congruence topology; that is, that for any open normal subgroup  $H' \triangleleft_o H$ , there is a  $\mu_{H'} \in \Lambda$  such that  $[\varphi_{x_\lambda}, H] \subseteq H'$  for  $\lambda \geq \mu_{H'}$ .

Fix some  $H' \triangleleft_o H$ . For any  $y \in H$ ,  $\varphi_{x_\lambda}(y)y^{-1} = [x_\lambda, y]$  converges to  $1_H$  in  $H$ , so there is a  $\mu_y \in \Lambda$  such that  $[x_\lambda, y] \in H'$  for  $\lambda \geq \mu_y$ . If  $h \in H'$ , we also see that  $[x_\lambda, hy] \in H'$  by normality, so  $[x_\lambda, g] \in H'$  whenever  $g \in H'$ ,  $\lambda \geq \mu_y$ . As  $H$  is profinite,  $H'$  has finite index in  $H$ , so it is enough to take  $\mu_{N'} \geq \mu_{y_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , for some finite collection  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  of coset representatives of  $H/H'$ .

We check that  $\Gamma = \varphi(N)$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii).

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}(\varphi) : \mathcal{L}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\varphi(N))$  is surjective by [Dix+03, §9, Ex. 7], so  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  is irreducible as an  $\mathcal{L}(\varphi(N))$ -module.
- (ii)  $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{h}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \cap p\mathfrak{g} = p\mathfrak{h}$  as  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a powerful Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is torsion-free. Then the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula guarantees that  $[\varphi(N), H] = [N, H] \leq H^p$ .



Finally,  $\ker \psi$  is a (two-sided) ideal of  $KG$  and the conjugation action of  $N$  on  $G$  fixes  $H$ , so both  $\ker \psi$  and  $KH$  are  $N$ -invariant. Thus  $\ker \psi|_{KH} = KH \cap \ker \psi$  is  $N$ -invariant, and we can apply Corollary 5.3 with  $\Gamma = \varphi(N)$ .  $\square$

We apply Proposition 5.4 with  $G = \exp(p\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ ,  $H = C$ ,  $N = A$  and  $\psi = \rho$  as in Section 2 to obtain the injectivity of the restrictions  $\rho|_{KB}$  and  $\rho|_{KB}$ . Note then  $\mathfrak{g} = p\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = p\mathfrak{c}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n} = p\mathfrak{c}$  in the notation of Section 2.

**Corollary 5.5** —  $\rho|_{KC}$  and  $\rho|_{KB}$  are injective.

*Proof.*  $KC$  acts on the Tate algebra  $K\langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle$  via  $\rho$  with

$$(C_{12} - 1) \cdot X_1^{n_1} X_2^{n_2} = (\exp(-px_1x_2) - 1) \cdot X_1^{n_1} X_2^{n_2} = -pX_1^{n_1+1} X_2^{n_2+1} + \frac{p^2}{2} X_1^{n_1+2} X_2^{n_2+2} + \dots$$

which shifts the total trailing degree by 2, and hence the actions of  $(C_{12} - 1)^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are linearly independent. Then the image of  $\rho(KC)$  is infinite dimensional.

By Lemma 2.2,  $p\mathfrak{a}$  is contained in the normaliser of  $p\mathfrak{c}$ .  $p\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  contains a copy of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ , so we can view  $p\mathfrak{c} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  as a  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ -module by restriction of scalars. Now under this action,  $p\mathfrak{c}$  is just a highest weight module with integral dominant weight, hence simple. Then  $\mathcal{L}(C) = p\mathfrak{c} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is simple for  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$  and therefore also for  $\mathcal{L}(A) = p\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .

The argument for  $H = B$  is completely analogous.  $\square$

## References

- [Fol89] Gerald B Folland. *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*. 122. Princeton University Press, 1989. DOI: 10.1515/9781400882427.
- [Mar94] Consuelo Martínez. “On power subgroups of profinite groups”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 345.2 (1994), pp. 865–869.
- [LO96] Li Huishi and Freddy van Oystaeyen. *Zariskian Filtrations*. Springer, 1996. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-015-8759-4.
- [ST02] Peter Schneider and Jeremy Teitelbaum. “Algebras of p-adic distributions and admissible representations”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 153 (2002), pp. 145–196.
- [Dix+03] John D Dixon et al. *Analytic Pro-p Groups*. 61. Cambridge University Press, 2003. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511470882.
- [Pan07] A Pangalos. “p-adic Weyl algebras”. PhD thesis. Munster University, 2007. URL: <https://d-nb.info/987679023/34>.
- [Ard12] Konstantin Ardakov. “Prime ideals in nilpotent Iwasawa algebras”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 190.2 (2012), pp. 439–503. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511470882.
- [AW13] Konstantin Ardakov and Simon Wadsley. “On irreducible representations of compact p-adic analytic groups”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* (2013), pp. 453–557.
- [AW14] Konstantin Ardakov and Simon Wadsley. “Verma modules for Iwasawa algebras are faithful”. In: *Münster J. of Math.* 7 (2014), 5–26 7 (2014), pp. 5–26. DOI: urn:nbn:de:hbz:6-22359595785.
- [Man23] Stephen Mann. “Faithfulness of generalised Verma modules for Iwasawa algebras”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 614 (2023), pp. 417–457. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2022.09.018.