

# Error correctable efficient quantum homomorphic encryption using Calderbank–Shor–Steane codes

IlKwon Sohn,<sup>1,\*</sup> Boseon Kim,<sup>1</sup> Kwangil Bae,<sup>1</sup> and Wonhyuk Lee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141, South Korea*

(Dated: January 30, 2024)

To achieve fault-tolerant and secure cloud quantum computing, integrating quantum error correction codes and quantum homomorphic encryption schemes is essential. However, significant overhead challenges incurred in these schemes necessitate their efficiency. This study developed an efficient quantum homomorphic encryption based on quantum error correction codes. The proposed encryption scheme used a single encoding process to accomplish encryption and encoding. Using a longer quantum error-correcting code simultaneously improved the security and error correction capabilities. Based on the permutation key, the number of combinations exhibited an exponential growth of  $n^n$  compared with the conventional order of  $2^n$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing refers to using virtualized computing resources over the internet [1], i.e., processing the user information on computers connected to the cloud rather than the user machines. Cloud computing has gained prevalence owing to the increasing availability of internet connectivity for various devices, such as desktops, tablets, laptops, and smartphones. It offers advantages, including low initial setup costs, consistent user environment, and using various devices as terminals. However, cloud computing is limited in that the service providers always have access to data stored in the cloud, raising concerns about the potential leakage of personal and security data [2].

In cloud computing, all operations occur on the server and decryption is required before computation. Therefore, standard symmetric key encryption cannot solve the issue of personal information leakage. These security issues can be mitigated using homomorphic encryption (HE) [3], which is an encryption scheme that allows operations on encrypted data without decryption [4–6]. Ref. [4] was the first computationally secure HE technique. The HE scheme yields the same operation result on ciphertext without decryption, similar to the operations performed on plain-text data. Therefore, HE facilitates computations in a cloud-computing environment without revealing the encryption keys, thereby addressing the security concerns.

Cloud computing has also gained attention in quantum computing [7]. Several major IT companies, such as IBM [8], are developing quantum computers that offer services on cloud-computing platforms. Consequently, HE has been researched in the field of quantum information processing, leading to the development of various quantum homomorphic encryptions (QHEs) [9–18]. Quantum error correction codes (QECCs) are essential for achieving fault-tolerant quantum computing because

of the characteristics of qubits and low performance of quantum devices. Therefore, QECC-assisted QHE is a promising approach.

The most significant challenge for QECCs is the substantial overhead for fault-tolerant and universal quantum computing [19–23]. The issue of HE is attributed to large cipher text size. Therefore, the efficiency of QECCs is crucial for constructing QHE. Previous studies explored the implementation of QHE using the logical gate construction properties of QECCs [12, 13, 18]. The permutation key-based QHE scheme proposed in Ref. [12, 18] is advantageous in that it can be readily adapted for quantum error correction owing to its foundation on random quantum code. However, previous research considered error correction and HE separately, resulting in a concatenated code structure.

This study presents an efficient error-correctable QHE scheme with a single QECC encoding. Combining encryption and using a larger code for encoding into a single code simultaneously enhances the error-correction capability and security. Furthermore, using the same parameter  $n$  for encryption and encoding yields the number of combinations of permutation keys as  $n^n$ , thereby providing greater security compared with other schemes that have  $2^n$  permutation keys.

## II. PERMUTATION-KEY BASED QUANTUM HOMOMORPHIC ENCRYPTION SUPPORTS QUANTUM ERROR CORRECTION

Compared to the random Pauli key-based QHE scheme, the permutation key-based QHE is advantageous because of its simplicity in applying error correction assisted by QECC formalism. Fig. 2. represents the final state when a single qubit of data is encrypted using the previous scheme [18]. The data is expressed in the coordinates starting from the top-left corner as (1,1) and located at position (1,1), whereas the remaining  $mn - 1$  qubits are in maximally mixed states (MMSs) represented by grey spheres. First, QECC encoding is applied to the  $n$  qubits in the first column. Subsequently,

\* d2estiny@kisti.re.kr




FIG. 1. **Schematic picture of the proposed scheme** : (a) 1-qubit of data and  $k - 1$  maximally mixed stated (MMSs). (b) To perform QECC encoding,  $n - k$ -qubit zero-state ancillas are added. (c)  $n$ -qubit encoded state. (d) Addition of  $mn - n$  MMSs for QHE. (e) Grouping one qubit of the encoded state with  $m - 1$  MMSs. (f) Random permutation of  $m$  qubits within the group.




FIG. 2. **Schematic picture of scheme in Ref. [18].**

the front  $m/2$  columns are encoded row-wise into random quantum codes. The blue spheres represent the encoded states. The final state is obtained by permuting the remaining half of the columns and those encoded with random quantum codes column-wise. The QECC used for error correction is concatenated the one that is a part of encryption and disperses data into MMSs. Thus,  $n$  and  $m$  should be increased to enhance the error correction capabilities and improve security.

Using concatenation provides advantages in sufficiently suppressing errors in a quantum computer [19, 24] or constructing gate sets for universal quantum computing [25, 26]. It's worth noting that there is the problem of exponentially increasing overhead as the concatenation level rises [19]. This problem is particularly impactful in the context of magic states required for non-transversal gate operations [27]. Consequently, various research efforts have been undertaken to mitigate the overhead arising from concatenation [23, 28, 29]. Therefore, as explained in the next section, the proposed scheme, which performs a single concatenation, exhibits lower computational overhead.

Concatenation is advantageous in suppressing errors in quantum computers [19, 24] or constructing gate sets for universal quantum computing [25, 26]. However, rising concatenation causes the exponentially increasing overhead [19], which is significant in the magic states required for non-transverse gate operations [27]. Consequently,

various studies have focused on mitigating the overhead introduced by concatenation [23, 28, 29]. Therefore, the proposed scheme, which performs single concatenation, exhibits a lower computational overhead.

### III. QHE SCHEME SUPPORTING QUANTUM ERROR CORRECTION USING SINGLE ENCODING

#### A. Encoding and Encryption

The proposed scheme is illustrated in Fig. 1.. To encode data for quantum computation,  $k$  qubits of data should be prepared for  $[[n, k, d]]$  QECCs. Fig. 1(a). shows the process of inserting a single-data qubit (marked in red) between  $k-1$  MMSs. Fig. 1(b), represents a state with  $k$  qubits, including a data qubit permuted to a random position and  $n - k$ -qubit zero state ancillas added for QECC encoding. Fig. 1(c). depicts  $n$ -qubit encoded state after QECC encoding.

The proposed scheme does not exploit all QECCs. Because of syndrome extraction and non-transversal gate operation, the QECCs are confined to self-dual and doubly even Calderbank–Shor–Steane (CSS) codes [30–32], such as the  $[[7, 1, 3]]$  Steane code. It may be advantageous to apply this code to arbitrary QECCs; however, transversality is prioritized owing to the quantum computing overhead, necessitating the usage of self-dual and doubly even CSS codes with transverse  $H$ ,  $CNOT$ , and  $S$  gates.

For the permutation key-based QHE, the MMSs are prepared using the encoded data. Fig. 1(d) shows the addition of  $mn - n$  MMSs for the permutation key-based QHE. Each  $n$ -qubit datum is separated individually and a random permutation is prepared for each  $m$ -qubit group. In Fig. 1(e), this step involves associating 1-qubit from the  $n$ -qubit encoded state with  $m - 1$  MMSs. The final state of the error-correctable QHE is obtained by applying a random permutation within each of the  $m$ -qubit state group, as shown in Fig. 1(f). Even if details such as the specifications of the used QECC

and number of qubits forming each group are disclosed, decryption remains information-theoretically challenging for the server or eavesdroppers.

### B. Transversal gate operation

For the proposed scheme to function as a QHE, universal quantum computing must be possible. Although the QECC-encoded data retains the QECC characteristics in universal quantum computing, it is essential to investigate the influence of MMSs used for encryption on gate operations and syndrome extraction. This section discusses the operations involved in the universal gate set of the proposed scheme. Although various forms of universal gate sets exist, this study focuses on the  $\{H, T, CNOT\}$  set in a QECC-encoded environment [33]. Because the QECCs to self-dual and doubly even CSS codes are already constrained, the  $H$  and  $CNOT$  gates of the universal gate set are implemented transversally. Furthermore,  $S$ -gate correction can be executed transversally during gate teleportation to perform the non-transversal  $T$  gate operation.




FIG. 3. **Transversal gate operation** : Performing the same physical gate operation on all qubits allows for transversal gate operations.

The transversal gate operations in the proposed scheme are performed as follows: In an MMS, where the state remained unchanged for all unitary operations, applying gate operations to each qubit individually facilitates transversal gate operations. Because the logical Pauli and  $CNOT$  gates of all CSS codes are transversal [34], they are implemented as shown in Fig. 3.. Using the  $[[n, k, d]]$  code yields  $k$  independent logical Pauli operators and  $CNOT$  gates for each code. Choosing any specific operator could serve as a clue for decryption. Therefore, gate operations are performed by multiplying all  $k$  independent operators, ensuring that the non-transversal gates follow the same principle. For instance, if the logical  $X$  operators for bit-flipping the  $i$ th data qubit are denoted as  $\bar{X}_i$  in the  $[[n, k, d]]$  code, then it is sufficient to perform  $\bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2 \dots \bar{X}_k$  operations in the proposed scheme wherein bit-flip operations are necessary. Therefore, the server could not determine the location of the data bits.




FIG. 4. **Non-transversal gate operation** : The client responds the correction operators based on the measurement outputs sent by the server.

### C. Non-transversal gate operation

The gate teleportation approach in Ref. [18] is followed for non-transversal gate operations. After performing a logical  $CNOT$  operation with the magic state, the measurement outputs are sent to the client, as shown in Fig. 4.. The client interprets whether the results corresponded to logical zero or one. Ref. [18] utilizes the ancillary states pre-generated by the client for deterministic  $T$  gate teleportation.

These ancillary states comprise the following states: magic states, logical zero states, and logical one states. The client does not disclose a specific state at each position on the server. Based on the measurement outputs, the client informs the server about the ancilla state's position for use in controlled  $S$  gate correction, thereby performing encrypted  $T$  gate teleportation.

However, this method has certain limitations. First, in most QECCs, the controlled  $S$  gate is not transversal; therefore, its execution requires decomposition and additional  $T$  gates [35, 36]. In other words, multiple  $T$  gate operations are required to perform a single  $T$  gate operation, posing a considerable challenge in terms of computational overhead. Furthermore, the client may require information on how the server stores the states sent by the client. This information may not be ideal in scenarios involving HE [37]. Hence, the doubly even CSS code to should be used to obtain a transversal  $S$  gate.

To circumvent the aforementioned issues, the client performs the following steps after interpreting the measurement results: In gate teleportation, logical measurement result 0 indicates the execution of  $T$  gate teleportation, and  $S$  gate correction is performed when the result is 1 [18]. Therefore, in the proposed scheme, when the result is zero, the client instructs the server to apply the following configured operator: Identity operators to the data qubit positions and randomly arranged identity op-




FIG. 5. **Syndrome extraction** : Similarly to non-transversal gate operation, the client responds with the correction operators based on the measurement outputs send by the server.

erators and  $S$  gates to the remaining MMSs. However, when the result is one, the client instructs the server to apply the operator composed of  $S$  gates to the data qubit position and randomly arranges the identity operators and  $S$  gates within the remaining MMSs. Regardless of the logical measurement results, given that the operator comprises identity operators and  $S$  gates, the server remains oblivious to the logical measurement results and data qubit's positions. The aforementioned approach facilitates the non-transversal  $T$  gate operations. The requirement for client support is eliminated if the server can interpret the logical measurement results without client assistance. However, interpreting the logical measurement results is equivalent to knowing the positions of data qubits, which poses a challenge requiring further improvement for avoidance.

We show that the states encoded and encrypted using the proposed scheme enable universal quantum computation by demonstrating a method to perform transversal Pauli operators,  $H$  and  $CNOT$  gate, and non-transversal  $T$  gate within the QHE framework. To demonstrate the error-correction capabilities of the proposed scheme, secure implementation of syndrome extraction should be performed.

#### D. Syndrome extraction

Syndrome extraction, similar to a non-transversal gate operation, requires the processing of measurement results, necessitating client assistance. The restriction of QECCs renders them usable as CSS codes. To address the ambiguity in the data qubit's positions, the QECCs are constrained to CSS codes capable of handling bit- and phase-flip errors independently using the Steane method [30], as shown in Fig. 5.. While using syndrome

extraction directly to the QECC stabilizer generators, it is essential to align the operators of the stabilizer generator with the data qubit's positions. Such information can serve as a significant clue for the server to determine the data qubit's positions, posing a substantial threat to the security of encryption. Applying the aforementioned conditions, syndrome extraction proceeds as follows: Based on the Steane method, the server operates on the logical zero state, plus state, and encryption state with logical  $CNOT$  gates and subsequently measures the ancilla state. Thereafter, the server sends the measurement outputs to the client. Based on this sequence, the client transmits correction operators to the server, which are the same within each group of  $m$ -qubit states. The information in the syndrome only pertains to errors and does not impact the security. Thus, we demonstrated an QHE that is error-correctable and enables universal quantum computing.

#### IV. SECURITY PROOF

Based on the method described in Ref. [12], the security of the proposed method can be assessed by determining the maximal trace-distance between encrypted states  $\Delta$  defined as follows:

$$\Delta = \max_{\rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \rho'\|_1. \quad (1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is the trace-distance. With a smaller  $\Delta$ , it becomes more difficult for the server to distinguish between the encrypted messages of the client, which means there is less information accessible regarding the message from the cipher-text. Therefore, a smaller  $\Delta$  means that the safety of QHE was enhanced. Simplifying Eq. (1) from the server's perspective [18], the maximal trace distance between the encrypted quantum state and maximally mixed states is

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{2^r}{|K|}}. \quad (2)$$

where  $|K|$  represents the number of possible encryption combinations from the server's perspective while decrypting without encryption information,  $r$  represents the total number of  $T$  gates that must be executed. Therefore, the greater number of combinations increases security. Similar to Ref. [18], number of  $T$  gates weakens the security of the proposed scheme. Applying the proposed scheme to Eq. (2) yields

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{2^r}{|K|}} = \sqrt{\frac{2^r}{\binom{m}{1}^n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2^r}{m^n}}. \quad (3)$$

It shows the security and error correction capability of the proposed scheme improve simultaneously when  $n$  increases. When using an equal number of qubits ( $n \times n$  in

total), the  $\Delta$  of the proposed scheme is  $\sqrt{\frac{2^r}{n^n}}$ . The  $\Delta$  of the previous scheme is  $\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{2^r}{\binom{n}{n/2}}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2^r}{2^n}}$  using Stirling's formula [38] for the same number ( $n \times n$  in total) as the proposed scheme. The proposed scheme provides greater security under the same overhead because the growth rate of  $n^n$  is faster than that of  $2^n$ .

## V. CONCLUSION

We proposed an efficient quantum homomorphic encryption that supports error correction based on permutation-key encryption. Considering the current development of various quantum computers that support cloud computing and the essential role of quantum error correction codes for achieving fault-tolerant universal quantum computing in the future, the efficient use of error correction and homomorphic encryption is crucial. The proposed quantum homomorphic encryption enhances the efficiency by performing encryption and encoding in a single step. Therefore, the security and error

correction capability of the proposed scheme improve simultaneously when  $n$  increases because the encoding and encryption parameters are interlinked. Moreover, when utilizing the same resources, the term associated with security in the maximal trace-distance  $\Delta$  has been enhanced from  $2^n$  to  $n^n$ . We also presented a novel approach for secure gate operations and syndrome extraction. For non-transversal gate operations, the proposed scheme was simpler compared to the previous scheme because it does not require a secure pre-generated set of ancillas.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Y.S. Hwang, Dr. J. Bang, and Dr. T.W. Kim for helpful advice. This research was supported by Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information(KISTI).(No. K-24-L04-C02). This research was supported by the National Research Council of Science & Technology(NST) grant by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. CAP22052-000)

- 
- [1] L. Qian, Z. Luo, Y. Du, and L. Guo, "Cloud computing: An overview," in *Cloud Computing* (M. G. Jaatun, G. Zhao, and C. Rong, eds.), (Berlin, Heidelberg), pp. 626–631, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
  - [2] D. Chen and H. Zhao, "Data security and privacy protection issues in cloud computing," in *2012 International Conference on Computer Science and Electronics Engineering*, vol. 1, pp. 647–651, 2012.
  - [3] F. Zhao, C. Li, and C. F. Liu, "A cloud computing security solution based on fully homomorphic encryption," in *16th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology*, pp. 485–488, 2014.
  - [4] C. Gentry, "Fully homomorphic encryption using ideal lattices," in *Proceedings of the forty-first annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pp. 169–178, 2009.
  - [5] J. Fan and F. Vercauteren, "Somewhat practical fully homomorphic encryption," *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, 2012.
  - [6] Z. Brakerski, C. Gentry, and V. Vaikuntanathan, "(leveled) fully homomorphic encryption without bootstrapping," *ACM Transactions on Computation Theory (TOCT)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1–36, 2014.
  - [7] S. J. Devitt, "Performing quantum computing experiments in the cloud," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 94, p. 032329, Sep 2016.
  - [8] "IBM quantum." <https://quantum.ibm.com/>.
  - [9] M. Liang, "Symmetric quantum fully homomorphic encryption with perfect security," *Quantum information processing*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 3675–3687, 2013.
  - [10] A. Broadbent and S. Jeffery, "Quantum homomorphic encryption for circuits of low t-gate complexity," in *Annual Cryptology Conference*, pp. 609–629, Springer, 2015.
  - [11] M. Liang, "Quantum fully homomorphic encryption scheme based on universal quantum circuit," *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 2749–2759, 2015.
  - [12] Y. Ouyang, S.-H. Tan, and J. F. Fitzsimons, "Quantum homomorphic encryption from quantum codes," *Physical Review A*, vol. 98, no. 4, p. 042334, 2018.
  - [13] C.-Y. Lai and K.-M. Chung, "Quantum homomorphic encryption from quantum codes," *Quantum Information and Computation*, vol. 18, p. 0758, 2018.
  - [14] S.-H. Tan, J. A. Kettlewell, Y. Ouyang, L. Chen, and J. F. Fitzsimons, "A quantum approach to homomorphic encryption," *Scientific reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 33467, 2016.
  - [15] Y. Dulek, C. Schaffner, and F. Speelman, "Quantum homomorphic encryption for polynomial-sized circuits," in *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2016: 36th Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 14–18, 2016, Proceedings, Part III 36*, pp. 3–32, Springer, 2016.
  - [16] S.-H. Tan, Y. Ouyang, and P. P. Rohde, "Practical somewhat-secure quantum somewhat-homomorphic encryption with coherent states," *Physical Review A*, vol. 97, no. 4, p. 042308, 2018.
  - [17] Y. Ouyang, S.-H. Tan, J. Fitzsimons, and P. P. Rohde, "Homomorphic encryption of linear optics quantum computation on almost arbitrary states of light with asymptotically perfect security," *Physical Review Research*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 013332, 2020.
  - [18] Y. Ouyang and P. P. Rohde, "A general framework for the composition of quantum homomorphic encryption & quantum error correction," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.10471*, 2022.
  - [19] E. Knill, "Quantum computing with realistically noisy devices," *Nature*, vol. 434, no. 7029, pp. 39–44, 2005.
  - [20] C. Chamberland, T. Jochym-O'Connor, and R. Laflamme, "Overhead analysis of universal concatenated quantum codes," *Physical Review A*, vol. 95,

- no. 2, p. 022313, 2017.
- [21] A. G. Fowler and C. Gidney, “Low overhead quantum computation using lattice surgery,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.06709*, 2018.
- [22] M. A. Tremblay, N. Delfosse, and M. E. Beverland, “Constant-overhead quantum error correction with thin planar connectivity,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 129, no. 5, p. 050504, 2022.
- [23] K. Noh, C. Chamberland, and F. G. Brandão, “Low-overhead fault-tolerant quantum error correction with the surface-gkp code,” *PRX Quantum*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 010315, 2022.
- [24] C. Chamberland, T. Jochym-O’Connor, and R. Laflamme, “Thresholds for universal concatenated quantum codes,” *Physical review letters*, vol. 117, no. 1, p. 010501, 2016.
- [25] T. Jochym-O’Connor and R. Laflamme, “Using concatenated quantum codes for universal fault-tolerant quantum gates,” *Physical review letters*, vol. 112, no. 1, p. 010505, 2014.
- [26] T. J. Yoder, R. Takagi, and I. L. Chuang, “Universal fault-tolerant gates on concatenated stabilizer codes,” *Physical Review X*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 031039, 2016.
- [27] S. Bravyi and A. Kitaev, “Universal quantum computation with ideal clifford gates and noisy ancillas,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 71, no. 2, p. 022316, 2005.
- [28] P. Webster, S. D. Bartlett, and D. Poulin, “Reducing the overhead for quantum computation when noise is biased,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 92, no. 6, p. 062309, 2015.
- [29] I. Sohn, J. Bang, and J. Heo, “Dynamic concatenation of quantum error correction in integrated quantum computing architecture,” *Scientific reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 3302, 2019.
- [30] A. M. Steane, “Active stabilization, quantum computation, and quantum state synthesis,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 78, no. 11, p. 2252, 1997.
- [31] A. R. Calderbank and P. W. Shor, “Good quantum error-correcting codes exist,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 54, no. 2, p. 1098, 1996.
- [32] A. M. Steane, “Simple quantum error-correcting codes,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 54, no. 6, p. 4741, 1996.
- [33] B. M. Terhal, “Quantum error correction for quantum memories,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 87, pp. 307–346, Apr 2015.
- [34] C.-Y. Lai, Y.-C. Zheng, and T. A. Brun, “Fault-tolerant preparation of stabilizer states for quantum calderbank-shor-steane codes by classical error-correcting codes,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 95, p. 032339, Mar 2017.
- [35] V. Bergholm, J. J. Vartiainen, M. Möttönen, and M. M. Salomaa, “Quantum circuits with uniformly controlled one-qubit gates,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 71, no. 5, p. 052330, 2005.
- [36] T. Kim and B.-S. Choi, “Efficient decomposition methods for controlled-r n using a single ancillary qubit,” *Scientific reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 5445, 2018.
- [37] Y. Hu, Y. Ouyang, and M. Tomamichel, “Privacy and correctness trade-offs for information-theoretically secure quantum homomorphic encryption,” *Quantum*, vol. 7, p. 976, Apr. 2023.
- [38] J. Dutka, “The early history of the factorial function,” *Archive for history of exact sciences*, pp. 225–249, 1991.
- [39] D. Gottesman and I. L. Chuang, “Demonstrating the viability of universal quantum computation using teleportation and single-qubit operations,” *Nature*, vol. 402, no. 6760, pp. 390–393, 1999.