

INFERFLOW: AN EFFICIENT AND HIGHLY CONFIGURABLE INFERENCE ENGINE FOR LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS

Shuming Shi¹ Enbo Zhao¹ Deng Cai¹ Leyang Cui¹ Xinting Huang¹ Huayang Li^{1,2*}

¹Tencent AI Lab

²Nara Institute of Science and Technology

 <https://github.com/inferflow/inferflow>

ABSTRACT

We present Inferflow, an efficient and highly configurable inference engine for large language models (LLMs). With Inferflow, users can serve most of the common transformer models by simply modifying some lines in corresponding configuration files, without writing a single line of source code. Compared with most existing inference engines, Inferflow has some key features. First, by implementing a modular framework of atomic build-blocks and technologies, Inferflow is compositionally generalizable to new models. Second, 3.5-bit quantization is introduced in Inferflow as a tradeoff between 3-bit and 4-bit quantization. Third, hybrid model partitioning for multi-GPU inference is introduced in Inferflow to better balance inference speed and throughput than the commonly-adopted partition-by-layer and partition-by-tensor strategies.

1 INTRODUCTION

Large language models (LLMs) (OpenAI, 2023; Touvron et al., 2023) have shown remarkable capabilities across a wide range of NLP tasks. Despite their impressive performance, deploying LLMs also poses several challenges, particularly in terms of computational complexity, resource requirements, and inference latency. The size of LLMs, often consisting of billions of parameters, makes them difficult to deploy in real-world applications, especially those that require low-latency and resource-constrained environments.

In light of these challenges, we release Inferflow, an efficient and highly configurable inference engine for LLMs. We optimize the inference process by targeting on inference speed, throughput, result quality, VRAM consumption, and extensibility.

Figure 1 lists major requirements for an LLM inference engine and possible technologies to address them. The implementation status of the technologies in Inferflow is also depicted in the figure.

Compared with existing popular inference engines (llama.cpp; DeepSpeed-MII; TensorRT-LLM; vLLM), Inferflow has the following features:

1. **Extensible and highly configurable** (Section 2): A typical way of using Inferflow to serve a new model is editing a model specification file, but not adding/editing source codes. We implement in Inferflow a modular framework of atomic building-blocks and technologies, making it compositionally generalizable to new models. A new model can be served by Inferflow if the atomic building-blocks and technologies in this model have been “known” to Inferflow.
2. **3.5-bit quantization** (Section 3): Inferflow implements 2-bit, 3-bit, 3.5-bit, 4-bit, 5-bit, 6-bit and 8-bit quantization. Among the quantization schemes, 3.5-bit quantization is a new one introduced by Inferflow.

*Work was done during the internship at Tencent AI Lab.




Figure 1: Implementation status of key technologies in Inferflow.

- Hybrid model partition for multi-GPU inference** (Section 4): Inferflow supports multi-GPU inference with three model partitioning strategies to choose from: partition-by-layer, partition-by-tensor, and hybrid partitioning. Among them, hybrid partitioning is a new strategy introduced in this report.
- Wide file format support** (and safely loading pickle data): Inferflow supports loading models of multiple file formats directly, without reliance on an external converter. Supported formats include pickle, safetensors, llama.cpp gguf, etc. It is known that there are security issues to read pickle files using Python codes¹. By implementing a simplified pickle parser in C++, Inferflow supports safely loading models from pickle data.
- Wide network type support**: Supporting three types of transformer models: decoder-only models, encoder-only models, and encoder-decoder models.
- GPU/CPU hybrid inference**: Supporting GPU-only, CPU-only, and GPU/CPU hybrid inference.

In this technical report, we give a brief description about the implementation of some key technologies in Inferflow for an efficient and extensible inference engine. The source codes of Inferflow can be found at <https://github.com/inferflow/inferflow>.

2 MODULAR FRAMEWORK OF ATOMIC BUILD-BLOCKS

Existing LLM inference engines typically adopt two approaches to support multiple models. The first way is adding “if-else” clauses in multiple places of the source codes and implementing model-specific logic in corresponding code sections. The second way is maintaining a dedicated file for each model. Taking the Huggingface Transformers (Wolf et al., 2020) as an example, the structure of Llama is defined in `MODELS/LLAMA/MODELING_LLAMA.PY`. With the two approaches, supporting a new model often lead to the adding of new codes. The second approach should be beneficial for exploring new training and inference techniques. However, if a new model is a combination of existing techniques, probably there are better ways to organize an inference engine to support the new model with less effort.

The design goal of Inferflow is to support a new model with zero code change, as long as the model is a combination of known techniques. The basic idea is to implement a modular framework

¹<https://huggingface.co/docs/hub/security-pickle>

of atomic building-blocks and technologies. The “if-else” or “switch” clauses are performed on the level of atomic building-blocks (or called modules) but not model types. Examples of atomic modules include normalization functions, activation functions, position embedding algorithms, the grouped-query attention mechanism, etc. In this way, a model is defined by determining the value or parameters of each atomic module. Naturally, this can be done with the aid of a configuration file. By implementing such a modular framework, a typical way of serving a new model in Inferflow is editing a model specification file, but not adding/editing source codes. Table 1 shows the contents of three model specification files (corresponding to three models) for comparison.

Model	Mistral-7B-Instruct Jiang et al. (2023)	Facebook-m2m100-418M Fan et al. (2020)	BERT-base-multilingual Devlin et al. (2018)
model_file_format	pickle	pickle	safetensors
tokenizer_file	tokenizer.json	vocab.json	tokenizer.json
tokenization_algorithm	bpe	bpe	bpe
generation_config	generation_config.json	generation_config.json	generation_config.json
network_structure:			
type	decoder_only	encoder_decoder	encoder_only
normalization_function	rms	std	std
activation_function	silu	relu	gelu
position_embedding	rope	sinusoidal	empty
qk_column_order	2	2	2
qkv_format	-	1	-
tensor_name_prefix	model.	model.	bert.
tensor_name_mapping	(1 line)	(16 lines)	(26 lines)

Table 1: The specification of three models.

3 QUANTIZATION

Quantization is a process that reduces the numerical precision of model weights and/or activations to lower precision data types, such as 8-bit or 4-bit integers, instead of using floating-point numbers (e.g., float32 or float16). The main benefits of quantization are:

- Reduced memory usage: Lower precision data types require less memory, enabling the deployment of large models on memory-constrained devices.
- Faster inference: Quantized operations can be significantly faster than their floating-point counterparts, leading to reduced inference time.

In Inferflow, we focus on **post-training quantization** (Dettmers et al., 2022b;a; Yao et al., 2022), which quantizes the model after training. It involves converting the model’s weights and activations to lower precision data types and then fine-tuning the quantization parameters to minimize the loss of accuracy. Like in llama.cpp, we implement fast block-level linear quantization algorithms in Inferflow. The process can be formulated as follows:

Let w be the weight vector in a tensor block of the original model, and q be the corresponding quantized weight vector. The quantization process maps w to q , where each element in q is a k-bit integer. The mapping is defined as:

$$q = \text{Round}\left(\frac{w - \min(w)}{\max(w) - \min(w)} \times (2^k - 1)\right) \tag{1}$$

where $\min(w)$ and $\max(w)$ are the minimum and maximum elements in the block, respectively, and $\text{Round}(\cdot)$ is the element-wise rounding function.

After quantization, the weights can be dequantized back to their original precision for inference:

$$w' = \frac{q}{2^k - 1} \times (\max(w) - \min(w)) + \min(w) \tag{2}$$

w	4-bit			3-bit			3.5-bit		
	q	w'	Δ	q	w'	Δ	q	w'	Δ
-1	0	-1.000	0.000	0	-1.000	0.000	0	-1.000	0.000
-0.9	1	-0.833	0.067	0	-1.000	0.100	0	-1.000	0.100
-0.6	2	-0.667	0.067	1	-0.643	0.043	2	-0.500	0.100
-0.4	4	-0.333	0.067	2	-0.286	0.086	2	-0.500	0.100
-0.2	5	-0.167	0.033	2	-0.286	0.086	3	-0.250	0.050
0	6	0.000	0.000	3	0.071	0.071	4	0.000	0.000
0.1	7	0.167	0.067	3	0.071	0.029	4	0.000	0.100
0.5	9	0.500	0.000	4	0.429	0.071	6	0.500	0.000
0.7	10	0.667	0.033	5	0.786	0.086	7	0.750	0.050
1	12	1.000	0.000	6	1.143	0.143	8	1.000	0.000
1.3	14	1.333	0.033	6	1.143	0.143	9	1.250	0.050
1.5	15	1.500	0.000	7	1.500	0.000	10	1.500	0.000
Avg error			0.031			0.075			0.046

Table 2: An example of quantization error statistics across various quantization bits.

Quantization name	FP16	Q8	Q6	Q5	Q4_B32	Q4_B64	Q3H	Q3_B32
Quantization bits	16-bit	8-bit	6-bit	5-bit	4-bit	4-bit	3.5-bit	3-bit
Block size	-	32/64	64	64	32	64	64	32
Actual bits/weight	16	9/8.5	6.5	5.5	5	4.5	4	4
Bloom-3B	18.056	18.056	18.095	18.169	18.304	18.557	19.208	19.882
LLAMA2-7B	7.175	7.177	7.173	7.198	7.454	7.569	7.914	8.817
LLAMA2-13B	6.383	6.382	6.391	6.434	6.514	6.647	6.897	7.278
Falcon-40B	6.965	6.965	6.971	6.980	7.014	7.052	7.153	7.331

Table 3: Perplexity of some models across various quantization bits on Wikitext-2.

where w' is the dequantized weight.

3.5-bit quantization. In k -bit post-training quantization, the model’s weights are quantized to k bits, which significantly reduces the model’s memory footprint and can speed up inference, especially on hardware that is optimized for low-precision computations. The value of k can be any integer, with common practice being 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8. However, we empirically found that LLMs perform reasonably well with 4-bit quantization, but the performance is relatively poor with 3-bit quantization. Therefore, we propose 3.5-bit quantization, where 7 bits are used to represent two adjacent weights.

Equation 1 is modified to

$$q = \text{Round}\left(\frac{w - \min(w)}{\max(w) - \min(w)} \times 10\right) \tag{3}$$

Then we use a 7-bit number q to represent two adjacent weights q_{2i} and q_{2i+1} :

$$q = q_{2i} \times 11 + q_{2i+1} \tag{4}$$

During dequantization, the weights are first decomposed by:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= \lfloor q/11 \rfloor \\ q_2 &= q \bmod 11 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then, q_1 and q_2 are fed into Equation 2 for dequantization.

Table 2 presents the quantization errors across various quantization levels, using an example of 12 weights. It is evident that the proposed 3.5-bit quantization significantly reduces quantization errors compared to 3-bit quantization. We report, in Table 3, the perplexity of several models on Wikitext-2 for different quantization levels. Several observations can be made: First, 8-bit quantization almost performs as well as the original FP16 version. Second, 6-bit quantization only leads to a tiny quality

Device	Assignments
Device-0	Layers: [1, 20], Heads: [1, 16]
Device-1	Layers: [1, 20], Heads: [17, 32]
Device-2	Layers: [21, 40], Heads: [1, 16]
Device-3	Layers: [21, 40], Heads: [17, 32]

Table 4: An illustration of hybrid partition.

Partition	Throughput (#tokens/s)	Decoding speed (#tokens/s)
Layer-wise	32	8
Tensor-wise	12	12
Hybrid	24	12

Table 5: Comparison of different partition strategies (model: Falcon-40B-Instruct, data type: FP16, device: Tesla V100, batch-size: 1)

loss. Third, 3.5-bit quantization performs significantly better than 3-bit quantization. This justifies 3.5-bit quantization as an additional choice for users. Please note that two FP16 numbers are stored for each block as auxiliary data for dequantization. As a result, the actual average bits per weight in memory is larger than quantization bits, as listed in the table. It can be seen that Q3_B32 (3-bit quantization with block size 32) has the same actual bits per weight as Q3H (3.5-bit quantization with block size 64). This observation means we should always adopt Q3H over Q3_B32.

4 HYBRID PARTITION

For a large model, we may have to split it to multiple GPU devices because it exceeds the VRAM of a single GPU. Using multiple GPU devices to serve a model may also increase the inference speed. There are two common strategies for model partitioning in inference time: *layer-wise partition* (pipeline parallelism) and *tensor-wise partition* (tensor parallelism). In layer-wise partition, each GPU device is in charge of a subset of model layers. The GPU devices process the layers sequentially to complete the inference process. On the other hand, tensor-wise partition involves dividing most tensors in the model into smaller segments, and then distributing these segments across multiple GPUs. Each GPU performs a partial computation, and the results are merged to obtain the final output. This method is particularly effective for the models with large weight matrices, as it reduces both the memory requirements and the computational load on each GPU. However, at each layer, the computation results on the GPUs have to be merged twice (for the self-attention sub-layer and the feed-forward sub-layer respectively). For machines with low inter-device communication bandwidth, the latency of merging the intermediate results may be high, especially when many GPU cards are involved in the merging process.

We propose and implement a new partitioning strategy called hybrid partitioning, with the goal of better balancing inference speed and throughput than the above two strategies. An example of hybrid partitioning on 4 devices is shown in Table 4. Table 5 shows a preliminary experiment on 4 NVIDIA Tesla V100 cards for comparing the throughput and decoding speed of various partition strategies. The proposed hybrid partition surpasses the layer-wise partition in terms of decoding speed, and exceeds the tensor-wise partition regarding throughput.

5 DYNAMIC BATCHING

Batching is a technique that allows the model to process multiple input sequences simultaneously. In traditional static batching, input sequences of varying lengths are typically padded to the max length in each batch. This strategy is suitable for offline training and inference, since all input sequences can be first sorted based on their lengths. This step ensures that sequences with similar lengths are processed together, reducing the overall padding required. While during online inference, it is common for different input sequences to be received and processed in real time. Inspired by Yu et al.

T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_5	T_6	T_7
S_1^1	S_2^1	S_3^1	S_4^1			
S_1^2	S_2^2	S_3^2				
				S_1^3	S_2^3	S_3^3

(a) Static batching

T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_5	T_6	T_7
S_1^1	S_2^1	S_3^1	S_4^1			
S_1^2	S_2^2	S_3^2				
		S_1^3	S_2^3	S_3^3		

(b) Dynamic batching

Figure 2: An illustration of two batching algorithms.

(2022), we implement a dynamic batching technique that allows for generating responses without waiting for the decoding process of previous input sequences to be completed. As illustrated in Figure 2, consider two sentences $[[S_1^1, S_2^1, S_3^1, S_4^1], [S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2]]$ undergoing the decoding process. The system receives an additional request S^3 to generate at time step 3 (T_3). With static batching, the current batch must be completed before generating S^3 at T_5 . In contrast, dynamic batching enables immediate inference of S^3 at T_3 by incorporating the sentence into the current inference batch. Inferflow implements two member functions in the InferenceEngine class as the interface of dynamic batching:

- `AddQuery(S)`: Add a new query (S) to the query pool
- `Infer()`: Conduct a single-step inference using the query pool, resulting in a set of next tokens.

Taking Figure 2b as an example, a user call `ADDQUERY(S^3)` at T_3 , then call `INFER()` to return S_3^1, S_3^2, S_1^3 .

6 DECODING STRATEGIES

Inferflow supports a diverse range of fast decoding strategies.

Top- k Sampling (Fan et al., 2018) is used to ensure that the less probable words, which are in the unreliable tail of the distribution, should not have any chance to be selected. Only top- k probable tokens are considered for generation.

Top- p Sampling (Holtzman et al., 2020) only considers the minimal set of most probable tokens \mathcal{V}^p that cover a specified percentage p of the distribution.

Frustratingly Simple Decoding (FSD) (Yang et al., 2023) exploits the contrasts between the LLM and an auxiliary anti-LM constructed based on the current prefix. The anti-LM can be implemented as simple as an n -gram model. Specifically, the FSD score is defined as

$$\text{FSD}(y|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t}) = (1 - \alpha)P_\theta(y|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t}) - \alpha \times P_\omega(y|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t})$$

where P_θ and P_ω represent the LM and the anti-LM respectively. The hyperparameter $\alpha \geq 0$ is used to balance the two scores. In practice, it first selects the top- k most probable tokens according to $P_\theta(\cdot|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t})$, denoted by \mathcal{V}^k . The token in \mathcal{V}^k with the largest FSD score is chosen as the t -th token.

Randomized FSD is a randomized variant of FSD. Note that FSD is a deterministic decoding methods and users may require a diverse set of outputs in many real-world applications. Randomized FSD select between from FSD and sampling in the first T steps. In practice, we find that this strategy can produce diverse outputs without compromising the quality.

Temperature Sampling is a decoding strategy to control the randomness in the sampling process. Instead of directly sampling tokens from the predicted distribution, temperature sampling introduces a hyperparameter “temperature” τ that is used to adjust the skewness of the probability distribution:

$$P(y|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{u}_y/\tau)}{\sum_j \exp(\mathbf{u}_j/\tau)}$$

where \mathbf{u}_y is the logit of the token y before softmax.

Typical Sampling (Meister et al., 2023) sorts the vocabulary according to the differences between distribution entropy and token probabilities. This process aims to generate output sequences with information content closely aligned to the model’s expected information content, i.e., the conditional entropy. The candidate set \mathcal{V}^c is a solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathcal{V}^c} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{V}^c} |H(Y_t | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t}) + \log P(y | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t})| \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{y \in \mathcal{V}^c} P(y | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{<t}) \geq p \end{aligned}$$

Mirostat Sampling (Basu et al., 2021) directly control the perplexity rate of the generated text. It firstly estimates the value of s assuming words follow Zipf’s law where s is an exponent characterizing the distribution. Then it uses top- k sampling to generate the new token where k is a function of the estimated s and of the target perplexity τ of the output text.

MinP Sampling establishes a minimum probability threshold that a token must achieve to be included in the sampling process. This minimum value is calculated as a product of α and p_{\max} , where p_{\max} is the top token’s probability and α is a hyperparameter employed to manage the diversity of the sampling.

Tail Free Sampling (TFS) (Bricken, 2019) sorts token probabilities in descending order and truncates the tail of the distribution. Specifically, TFS calculates the first and second derivatives of the sorted distribution. A threshold z is used to determine what part of the cumulative distribution of the second derivative weights to define the “tail” of the distribution to be at. This is a hyperparameter that can be tuned to reduce the impact of most unlikely tokens.

7 GROUPED-QUERY ATTENTION

Grouped-query attention (Ainslie et al., 2023) is proposed to reduce the memory consumption of the KV cache and to increase inference speed. This technique has been used in more and more LLMs, such as Llama2-70B, Mistral-7B (Jiang et al., 2023), and Falcon-40B (Almazrouei et al., 2023). Inferflow supports grouped-query attention as an atomic module. Grouped-query attention is automatically enabled when the value of hyper-parameter *decoder_kv_heads* is smaller than another hyper-parameter *decoder_heads*.

Multi-head attention (Vaswani et al., 2017) splits the input into multiple heads, each responsible for attending to a different aspect of the input. The formulation of multi-head attention can be expressed as follows:

$$\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h)W^O \quad (6)$$

where each head is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{head}_i &= \text{Attention}(Q_i, K_i, V_i) \\ Q_i &= QW_i^Q, K_i = KW_i^K, V_i = VW_i^V \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In this formulation, Q_i , K_i , and V_i represent the query, key, and value matrices for the i -th head, and W_i^Q , W_i^K , W_i^V and W^o are the projection matrix. The Attention function can be any attention mechanism, such as scaled dot-product attention.

Despite their success, multi-head attention suffers from memory bandwidth in loading keys and values. To reduce KV-cache, grouped-query attention constructs each group key and value head by mean-pooling all the original heads within that group. Assumed that we have H attention heads

with G groups:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{group}_i &= \text{Attention}(Q_i, K^j, V^j) \\
 K^j &= \text{mean-pooling}(K_1^j, \dots, K_{H/G}^j) \\
 V^j &= \text{mean-pooling}(V_1^j, \dots, V_{H/G}^j)
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If the number of groups is equal to the number of heads ($H = G$), the grouped-query attention is reduced to multi-head attention.

Algorithm 1 Speculative Sampling

Input: number of lookahead step K , target sequence length T , target model $p(x)$, draft model $q(x)$, prefix input: x_1, \dots, x_t , whether accept based on sampling IS_TOP_SAMPLING
 Initialise $n \leftarrow t$.
while $n < T$ **do**
 for $t = 1 : K$ **do**
 Sample draft auto-regressively $\tilde{x}_t \sim p(x|x_1, \dots, x_n, \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{t-1})$
 end for
 In parallel, compute $K + 1$ sets of logits from drafts $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_K$:
 $q(x|x_1, \dots, x_n), q(x|x_1, \dots, x_n, \tilde{x}_1), \dots, q(x|x_1, \dots, x_n, \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_K)$
 for $t = 1 : K$ **do**
 Sample $a \sim U[0, 1]$ from a uniform distribution.
 if $q(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1})$ in TOP_SAMPLING($p(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1})$) **and** IS_TOP_SAMPLING,
 then
 Set $x_{n+t} \leftarrow \tilde{x}_t$ and $n \leftarrow n + 1$.
 elif $a < \min\left(1, \frac{q(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1})}{p(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1})}\right)$, **then**
 Set $x_{n+t} \leftarrow \tilde{x}_t$ and $n \leftarrow n + 1$.
 else
 sample $x_{n+t} \sim (q(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1}) - p(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1}))_+$ and exit for loop.
 end if
 end for
 If all tokens x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+K} are accepted, sample an extra token $x_{n+K+1} \sim q(x|x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+K})$ and set $n \leftarrow n + 1$.
end while

8 SPECULATIVE DECODING

To further enhance inference speed, Inferflow will soon incorporates speculative decoding (Chen et al., 2023; Leviathan et al., 2022), a technique that expedites the inference process for a large target LLM $p(x)$ by utilizing token proposals from a small draft model $q(x)$. The concept involves the draft model predicting the output K steps ahead, while the target model dictates the number of tokens to accept from those speculations.

As shown in Algorithm 19, the draft model decodes K tokens in the regular autoregressive fashion. We get the probability outputs of the target and draft model on the new predicted sequence. We compare the target and draft model probabilities to determine how many of the K tokens we want to keep based on some rejection criteria. If a token is rejected, we resample it using a combination of the two distributions and do not accept any more tokens. If all K tokens are accepted, we can sample an additional final token from the target model probability output.

The time complexity for the above algorithm is

$$O\left(\frac{N}{r(K+1)}(t_{\text{draft}})K + t_{\text{target}}\right) \tag{9}$$

where r is the acceptance rate indicating the percent of the draft tokens are accepted, which is the key factor of the speed-up ratio. Chen et al. (2023) accept the token by comparing a threshold

$a \sim U[0, 1]$ from a uniform distribution and the probability $\frac{q(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1})}{p(x|x_1, \dots, x_{n+t-1})}$. To further increase the acceptance rate r , we implement a flexible accept schema by consulting top-k / top-p decoding. If the draft token is present in the top-k / top-p pools, this token will be accepted by the target model.

9 CONCLUSION

We presented Inferflow, an efficient and highly configurable inference engine for LLMs. This technical report provided an overview of the key features and capabilities of Inferflow, briefly described the implementation of its key modules.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Inferflow is inspired by the awesome projects of llama.cpp² and llama2.c³. The CPU inference part of Inferflow is based on the ggml library⁴. The FP16 data type in the CPU-only version of Inferflow is from the Half-precision floating-point library⁵. We express our sincere gratitude to the maintainers and implementers of these source codes and tools.

REFERENCES

- Joshua Ainslie, James Lee-Thorp, Michiel de Jong, Yury Zemlyanskiy, Federico Lebron, and Sumit Sanghai. GQA: Training generalized multi-query transformer models from multi-head checkpoints. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2023.
- Ebtesam Almazrouei, Hamza Alobeidli, Abdulaziz Alshamsi, Alessandro Cappelli, Ruxandra Cojocaru, Merouane Debbah, Etienne Goffinet, Daniel Heslow, Julien Launay, Quentin Malartic, Badreddine Noune, Baptiste Pannier, and Guilherme Penedo. Falcon-40B: an open large language model with state-of-the-art performance. 2023.
- Sourya Basu, Govardana Sachitanandam Ramachandran, Nitish Shirish Keskar, and Lav R. Varshney. Mirostat: a neural text decoding algorithm that directly controls perplexity. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*, 2021.
- Trenton Bricken. <https://www.trentonbricken.com/tail-free-sampling/>, 2019.
- Charlie Chen, Sebastian Borgeaud, Geoffrey Irving, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Laurent Sifre, and John Jumper. Accelerating large language model decoding with speculative sampling, 2023.
- DeepSpeed-MII. <https://github.com/microsoft/deepspeed-mii>.
- Tim Dettmers, Mike Lewis, Younes Belkada, and Luke Zettlemoyer. GPT3.int8(): 8-bit matrix multiplication for transformers at scale. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022a.
- Tim Dettmers, Mike Lewis, Sam Shleifer, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 8-bit optimizers via block-wise quantization. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-29, 2022*, 2022b.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *CoRR*, abs/1810.04805, 2018. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805>.
- Angela Fan, Mike Lewis, and Yann Dauphin. Hierarchical neural story generation. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2018.

²<https://github.com/ggerganov/llama.cpp>

³<https://github.com/karpathy/llama2.c>

⁴<https://github.com/ggerganov/ggml>

⁵<https://half.sourceforge.net/>

- Angela Fan, Shruti Bhosale, Holger Schwenk, Zhiyi Ma, Ahmed El-Kishky, Siddharth Goyal, Man-deep Baines, Onur Celebi, Guillaume Wenzek, Vishrav Chaudhary, Naman Goyal, Tom Birch, Vitaliy Liptchinsky, Sergey Edunov, Edouard Grave, Michael Auli, and Armand Joulin. Beyond english-centric multilingual machine translation, 2020.
- Ari Holtzman, Jan Buys, Li Du, Maxwell Forbes, and Yejin Choi. The curious case of neural text degeneration. In *8th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2020, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April 26-30, 2020*, 2020.
- Albert Q. Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, L lio Renard Lavaud, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Pierre Stock, Teven Le Scao, Thibaut Lavril, Thomas Wang, Timoth e Lacroix, and William El Sayed. Mistral 7b, 2023.
- Yaniv Leviathan, Matan Kalman, and Yossi Matias. Fast inference from transformers via speculative decoding, 2022.
- llama.cpp. <https://github.com/ggerganov/llama.cpp>.
- Clara Meister, Tiago Pimentel, Gian Wiher, and Ryan Cotterell. Locally typical sampling. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 11, 2023.
- OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2303.08774, 2023.
- TensorRT-LLM. <https://github.com/nvidia/tensorrt-llm>.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2307.09288, 2023.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In Isabelle Guyon, Ulrike von Luxburg, Samy Bengio, Hanna M. Wallach, Rob Fergus, S. V. N. Vishwanathan, and Roman Garnett (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, December 4-9, 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA*, 2017.
- vLLM. <https://github.com/vllm-project/vllm>.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, 2020.
- Haoran Yang, Deng Cai, Huayang Li, Wei Bi, Wai Lam, and Shuming Shi. A frustratingly simple decoding method for neural text generation, 2023.
- Zhewei Yao, Reza Yazdani Aminabadi, Minjia Zhang, Xiaoxia Wu, Conglong Li, and Yuxiong He. Zeroquant: Efficient and affordable post-training quantization for large-scale transformers, 2022.
- Gyeong-In Yu, Joo Seong Jeong, Geon-Woo Kim, Soojeong Kim, and Byung-Gon Chun. Orca: A distributed serving system for Transformer-Based generative models. In *16th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 22)*, 2022. ISBN 978-1-939133-28-1.