

# Thermal fluctuations of (non)linearly charged BTZ black hole in massive gravity

---

Behnam Pourhassan,<sup>a</sup> Seyed Hossein Hendi,<sup>c,b</sup> Sudhaker Upadhyay<sup>12, d,a</sup>, İzzet Sakalli<sup>e</sup>  
Emmanuel N. Saridakis,<sup>f,g,h</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Physics, Damghan University, Damghan, 3671641167, Iran*

<sup>b</sup>*Canadian Quantum Research Center 204-3002 32 Ave Vernon, BC V1T 2L7 Canada*

<sup>c</sup>*Physics Department and Biruni Observatory, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran*

<sup>d</sup>*Department of Physics, K. L. S. College, Magadh University, Nawada-805110, India*

<sup>e</sup>*Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University, 99628 Famagusta, Cyprus*

<sup>f</sup>*National Observatory of Athens, Lofos Nymfon, 11852 Athens, Greece.*

<sup>g</sup>*Deep Space Exploration Laboratory/School of Physical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

<sup>h</sup>*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte, Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile*

*E-mail:* [b.pourhassan@du.ac.ir](mailto:b.pourhassan@du.ac.ir), [hendi@shirazu.ac.ir](mailto:hendi@shirazu.ac.ir),  
[sudhakerupadhyay@gmail.com](mailto:sudhakerupadhyay@gmail.com), [izzet.sakalli@emu.edu.tr](mailto:izzet.sakalli@emu.edu.tr), [msaridak@noa.gr](mailto:msaridak@noa.gr)

**ABSTRACT:** We consider a charged BTZ black hole in asymptotically AdS space-time of massive gravity to study the effect of the thermal fluctuations on the black hole thermodynamics. We consider the Einstein-Born-Infeld solution and investigate critical points and stability. We also compare the results with the case of Einstein-Maxwell solutions. Besides, we find that thermal fluctuations, which appear as a logarithmic term in the entropy, affect the stability of the black hole and change the phase transition point. Moreover, we study the geometrical thermodynamics and find that the behaviour of the linear Maxwell solution is the same as the nonlinear one.

**KEYWORDS:** Black Hole; Thermodynamics; Thermal Fluctuations; Massive Gravity.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

<sup>2</sup>Visiting Associate, Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA) Pune, Maharashtra-411007

---

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Thermodynamics of the Einstein-Maxwell solution</b>     | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Thermodynamics of the Einstein-Born-Infeld solution</b> | <b>10</b> |
| 3.1      | Critical points  | 13        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Geometrical thermodynamics</b>                          | <b>13</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Conclusions and discussions</b>                         | <b>20</b> |

---

## 1 Introduction

The quest to understand the fundamental laws of nature has been one of the most significant scientific endeavours in human history. One of the most exciting and challenging areas of study in modern physics is the investigation of the interplay between gravity and other fundamental forces. The study of (2+1) Einstein gravity, which is the three-dimensional analogue of the more familiar (3+1) Einstein's gravity has been of great interest to physicists for many years. One of the reasons for this is that (2+1) Einstein gravity is more tractable than its (3+1) counterpart and this makes it a useful testbed for exploring a wide range of ideas and concepts in gravitational physics. To decrease the algebraic complexity of calculations, one may consider  $(2+1)$ -dimensions instead of four-dimensional counterpart. For example, AdS3/CFT2 correspondence is one of the best-understood concepts of holography in three-dimensional gravitating systems [1]. Besides, the holographic description of non-relativistic strongly coupled systems, such as what happens in condensed matter physics, has been well investigated in three-dimensional spacetime [2, 3]. Furthermore, studying the three-dimensional solutions helps us to understand a profound insight in black hole physics, quantum gravity viewpoint and also its relations to string theory [4–8].

The addition of massive gravity to (2+1) Einstein's gravity is particularly interesting because it introduces new degrees of freedom into the theory, which can have significant implications for the behaviour of the gravitational field. Massive gravity is a relatively new field of study, and it involves introducing a mass term into the Einstein equations, which modifies the behaviour of the gravitational field at large distances. This modification can have important implications for how gravitational waves propagate and interact with matter, and it is an area of active research. In addition to new massive gravity [9] theory, which is formulated just in three dimensions, there is another ghost-free massive gravity, proposed by de Rham, Gabadadze and Tolley (dRGT) [10], that can be organized in arbitrary dimensions [11]. A subclass of dRGT theory was introduced by Vegh [12] with the

applications of gauge/gravity duality. Using this theory of massive gravity, Vegh showed that the massive graviton may behave like a lattice and exhibit a Drude peak [13]. In addition, it was shown that for an arbitrary singular metric, this theory of massive gravity is ghost-free and stable [14]. Besides, the effects of the mass of graviton in Vegh’s massive gravity model on the maximal tunnelling current and the coherence length of junction in the metal/superconductor phase transition is investigated [15]. Moreover, an interesting investigation of the phase transition of holographic entanglement entropy in this massive gravity has been reported in [16]. Therefore, one may be motivated to study black hole solutions in the presence of Vegh’s massive gravity in three and higher dimensions. Non-linear electrodynamics, on the other hand, is concerned with the study of electromagnetic fields in the presence of strong fields [17–25]. In the realm of theoretical physics, the Born–Infeld model [26, 27], also known as the Dirac–Born–Infeld action [28], represents a specific instance of what is commonly referred to as nonlinear electrodynamics. It originated in the 1930s to resolve the issue of the electron’s self-energy divergence in classical electrodynamics. This was achieved by imposing a limit on the electric field’s magnitude at its source. Adding non-linear electrodynamics to  $(2 + 1)$  Einstein gravity leads to the development of new solutions to the Einstein equations, which can have important implications for our understanding of the universe.

Black hole physics, especially its thermodynamics, is among the most interesting and hot theoretical physics topics [29–32]. It is known that the thermodynamics of black objects may be corrected due to thermal fluctuations [33, 34]. Such thermal fluctuations are significant when the black hole size reduces due to Hawking radiation. So, these fluctuations may affect the thermodynamics of small black holes effectively. As a result, it is interesting to study thermodynamical stability of small black holes to find the final stage of them. The lowest order of such corrections are logarithmic function in the entropy formula [35], while higher order corrections are also calculated [35].

Following the path integral formulation in the Euclidean quantum gravity quantization [36, 37], the temporal coordinate may be rotated in a complex plane. Hence, the quantum gravitational partition function, which is interpreted as statistical mechanics partition function [38] is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int [D] \exp(-I_E) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dE \rho(E) \exp(-\beta E), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $I_E$  is the Euclidean action,  $\beta$  denotes the thermodynamic beta [38–40], also known as coldness, is the reciprocal of the thermodynamic temperature of a system:  $\beta^{-1} \approx T$ . In this regard, the equilibrium temperature is given by  $T_0 \approx \beta_0^{-1}$ . In addition,  $\rho(E)$  stands for the density of states corresponding to above statistical mechanics partition function, which is given by

$$\rho(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta_0 - i\infty}^{\beta_0 + i\infty} d\beta \exp[S(\beta)],$$

where the entropy  $S$  is written in terms of both partition function and total energy  $E$ , as  $S = \beta E + \ln Z$ . Neglecting the thermal fluctuations, one can obtain  $S_0 = S(\beta)|_{\beta=\beta_0}$ . Moreover, the quantum fluctuations of a black hole increase when the size of the black hole decreases, which yields an increasing of the thermal fluctuations in the statistical mechanics partition function. As a result, there is no thermodynamic equilibrium at the Planck scale, whereas statistical fluctuations can be considered as thermal fluctuations in the partition function of Euclidean quantum gravity near the equilibrium in an intermediate regime, in which the black hole is large in comparison to the Planck scale but not large enough to ignore the quantum fluctuations. Therefore, using the series expansion, we can find the corrected entropy  $S(\beta)$  at a temperature  $\beta^{-1}$  as a perturbation around the equilibrium temperature  $\beta_0^{-1}$  as follow

$$S = S_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \beta_0)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 S(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{\beta=\beta_0} + \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where dots denote higher order corrections [35]. It is worth noting that the first derivative of entropy with respect to  $\beta$  does not appear in Eq. (1.2), since we have  $\left( \frac{\partial S(\beta)}{\partial \beta} \right)_{\beta=\beta_0}$  in equilibrium. Regarding Eq. (1.2), one can rewrite the density of states as

$$\rho(E) = \frac{\exp(S_0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 S(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{\beta=\beta_0} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

and therefore, one can write

$$S = S_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 S(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{\beta=\beta_0} \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

The mentioned calculations confirm that the thermal fluctuations to the entropy of a black hole appear as  $\ln S_0 T^2$ . It is also known that such a logarithmic correction to the entropy of a black object is obtained via different quantum gravity approaches, hence it seems to be a universal result, which does not depend on the given model. Indeed, such a logarithmic correction has already been obtained using non-perturbative quantum general relativity. Thus, the logarithmic corrected entropy can be prescribed by [41]

$$S = S_0 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln (S_0 T^2), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $S_0$  is the original entropy of the black hole without thermal fluctuations, and the correction parameter  $\alpha$  added by hand to see the effect of logarithmic correction in the analytical expressions.

When considering an ordinary thermodynamic system at equilibrium, statistical mechanics must be used to examine thermal fluctuations around the equilibrium. Assuming the black hole is the typical thermodynamic system which is in equilibrium, the same statistical thermal fluctuations should be studied. It is worth mentioning that the thermal fluctuation is an increasing function of temperature, and thus, it becomes dominant at high temperatures. Moreover, the temperature of the black hole increases when the size of

the black hole decreases due to the Hawking radiation [36]. Therefore, as a typical black hole becomes smaller, the effect of quantum fluctuations would increase, and we could not neglect their effects. The mentioned thermal fluctuations affect thermodynamic variables, such as entropy and free energy, to undergo possible correction. Following the classical argument presented in Ref. [33], one can find that the entropy correction arising from thermal fluctuations has a logarithmic functional form. Here, we only considered the mentioned logarithmic correction of entropy due to thermal fluctuations, and in this study, we ignore the possible effect of one-loop correction of massive graviton and matter fields.

Leading the thermal fluctuations to the semiclassical black hole entropy primarily calculated as simple  $\ln S_0$  by Kaul and Majumdar [42]. In that case, it has been suggested that the coefficient of the correction may be universal [43]. Such a logarithmic correction to the rotating extremal black hole entropy in four and five dimensions [44] as well as Schwarzschild and other non-extremal/non-rotating black holes in different dimensions [45] have been studied by Ashoke Sen. The fact mentioned in [45] is that at infinitesimal scales (smaller than Planck scale), the manifold description of space-time and hence, the equilibrium description of thermodynamics breaks down. Therefore, the equilibrium corrected thermodynamics is valid only at an intermediate scale. Therefore, we will consider the system at such an intermediate scale, where statistical fluctuations can be expressed as perturbations around equilibrium thermodynamics. We indeed assume thermal fluctuations as small perturbations around the equilibrium state. Hence, we can use thermodynamics relations like that in equilibrium thermodynamics. We should note that the one-loop effect of the massive graviton and other matter fields [45] is ignored in this paper. The mentioned logarithmic correction has already been used to study simple regular black hole solutions which satisfy the weak energy condition [46]. It is recently calculated from 3D black holes with soft hairy boundary conditions [47]. It is worth mentioning that similar logarithmic corrections to the black hole entropy were obtained from Kerr/CFT correspondence [48].

Recently, Eq. (1.5) has been used to study thermal fluctuations in a charged AdS black hole [49]. In addition, by using the Cardy formula, it has been found that microscopic degrees of freedom of black objects governing by a conformal field theory can also produce logarithmic corrections to the entropy. So, it agrees with the universal behaviour of this logarithmic term. It may also be noted that even though the form of the logarithmic correction seems to be a model-independent result; its coefficient depends on the model parameters, and therefore, the correction coefficient is model-dependent. In Ref. [50], a black Saturn is considered and showed that the logarithmic corrected entropy may be given by

$$S = S_0 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln(C_0 T^2), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $C_0$  is the original specific heat of the black Saturn. Then, it is shown that both corrected entropies is given by (1.5) and (1.6) yields to the similar results for the case of a black Saturn. The effect of the logarithmic correction on the entropy functional formalism was also investigated in Ref. [51], and it is found that the leading correction to the entropy in a microcanonical ensemble may be used to recover modified theories of gravity like  $F(R)$  theory [52]. Moreover, the effects of thermal fluctuations on the thermodynamics of

the modified Hayward black hole are given in Ref. [53], and it is demonstrated that the logarithmic correction helps to gain stability of the black hole. The thermodynamics of a small singly spinning Kerr-AdS black hole studied in Ref. [54] and it is proven that the logarithmic correction of the form given by Eq. (1.5) becomes important when the size of a black hole is sufficiently small. Since the black hole is a gravitating system, its quantum correction investigation may give us a proposal to study the quantum gravity effect with the possibility of its examination in the lab. The effects of thermal fluctuations which are given in Eq. (1.5) were studied for the Reissner-Nordström-AdS black hole [55] and shown that critical exponents are the same as critical exponents without thermal fluctuations. It is also possible to study critical phenomena; as an example,  $P - V$  criticality of the leading-order entropy-corrected AdS black holes in massive gravity theory has been recently studied [56].

On the other hand, the logarithmic corrected entropy may improve some hydrodynamic quantities as well as thermodynamic ones and such an improvement may be important from the AdS/CFT point of view [57]. In Ref. [57], it has been demonstrated that the lower bound of shear viscosity to entropy ratio [58–60] due to logarithmic correction may be violated. The effects of thermal fluctuations (see Eq. (1.5)) on a regular black hole of non-minimal Einstein-Yang-Mill theory with the gauge field of magnetic Wu-Yang type and a cosmological constant were studied in [61]. So, considering different black hole solutions with such thermal fluctuations can help us to take a deeper look at the applications of AdS/CFT correspondence.

In addition to the motivations stated above, the logarithmic corrected entropy may be interested in various cosmology topics, like the logarithmic entropy-corrected holographic dark energy models [62]. As is well known, logarithmic corrections arise due to quantum effects, and they have important implications for how the gravitational field interacts with other fields in the universe. Besides, the logarithmic corrected thermodynamics of charged BTZ black hole obtained in the Einstein-Maxwell gravity was studied in [64, 65]. Now, we would like to study the corrected thermodynamics (1.5) for the Einstein-Born-Infeld solution of the charged BTZ black hole in massive gravity [66]. The graviton mass has bounded to  $m_g \leq 7.7 \times 10^{-23} eV/c^2$  using the observation of the gravitational wave. [67]. Besides, the mass of graviton is typically bounded to be a few times the current value of the Hubble parameter, i.e.,  $m_g \leq 10^{-30} - 10^{-33} eV/c^2$ , in which for graviton mass region  $m_g \ll 10^{-33} eV/c^2$ , its observable effects would be undetectable [68–72]. It was also discovered that nonlinear charged BTZ-mass black holes produce black remnants [66]. At this point it is worth noting that the Born-Infeld source introduces nonlinearity to the equations, and in certain conditions, this nonlinearity can influence the asymptotic behavior of the solution [73]. One possible modification involves cases where the Born-Infeld contribution becomes dominant in regions with extreme curvature, leading to deviations from the asymptotic behavior predicted by standard models [74]. Such modifications could have implications for the understanding of gravitational interactions in regimes characterized by strong fields. However, it is crucial to note that our decision to emphasize cases with unaltered asymptotic behavior does not negate the relevance of exploring modifications. The chosen focus allows us to delve deeply into specific aspects of the problem and draw attention to the nuanced effects of the Born-Infeld source. Future investigations may nat-

usually extend to scenarios where asymptotic modifications become a central theme, and we encourage further exploration in this direction. In summary, while our current analysis concentrates on cases with unaltered asymptotic behavior to underscore specific features, we recognize the significance of considering and discussing scenarios where modifications may occur.

In this paper, we mainly investigate the effects of thermal fluctuations on the (non)linearly charged BTZ black hole and study the behavior of this black hole at a small horizon radius. Thus, we aim to obtain the quantum effects. At that scale, the black hole entropy should be corrected by logarithmic term (in leading order) coming from statistical fluctuations, and hence, the black hole thermodynamics is modified by reducing black hole size. This situation will also affect the black hole stability in small areas. In the next section, Sec. 2, we first review the Einstein-Maxwell solutions under the effects of logarithmic corrections. In Sec. 3, we investigate the effects of logarithmic corrections on the thermodynamics of the Einstein-Born-Infeld solutions as nonlinearly charged black hole solutions. We shall also discuss the critical points and compare the results of both solutions. Section 4 is devoted to the study of geometrical thermodynamics. Finally, in Sec. 5, we draw our conclusions.

## 2 Thermodynamics of the Einstein-Maxwell solution

In this section, we introduce the model of our interest in this paper. As is well-known, 3-dimensional Einstein-massive gravity in the presence of abelian electrodynamics, as a matter field, is described by the following action [68, 69, 75, 76]

$$I = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \mathcal{R} - 2\Lambda + L(\mathcal{F}) + m^2 \sum_{i=1} c_i \mathcal{U}_i(g, f) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the scalar curvature,  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant,  $L(\mathcal{F})$  is an arbitrary Lagrangian of electrodynamics, and the last term contains the potential terms of massive gravity. Indeed,  $m$  denotes the massive parameter,  $c_i$ 's are some constants and interaction terms  $\mathcal{U}_i$ 's are symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mathcal{K}^\mu{}_\nu = \sqrt{g^{\mu\alpha} f_{\alpha\nu}}$  in an arbitrary  $d$ -dimensions. It is worth pointing out that in a  $d$ -dimensional spacetime, the interaction terms  $\mathcal{U}_i$  have no contribution for  $i > d - 2$ , and therefore, there is only one potential term of massive gravity in three dimensional spacetime as  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{K}^\mu{}_\mu$  (see [68–71, 75–77] for more details). Considering the action (2.1) and applying the variational principle, the field equations can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} (R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{m^2 c_1}{2} (\mathcal{U}_1 g_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} L(\mathcal{F}) - 2 \frac{dL(\mathcal{F})}{d\mathcal{F}} F_{\mu\lambda} F_\nu{}^\lambda, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \left( \frac{dL(\mathcal{F})}{d\mathcal{F}} F^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

Here, we intend to obtain static-charged black hole solutions of massive gravity in three dimensions. To do so, we should consider an appropriate ansatz for the auxiliary reference

metric  $f_{\mu\nu}$  (nonphysical metric) and a 3-dimensional line-element ansatz to describe the physical metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  [69, 76, 77]:

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(0, 0, c_0^2), \quad (2.4)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\left(-f(r), \frac{1}{f(r)}, r^2\right), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $c_0$  is a positive constant. Now, we would like to review the Einstein-Maxwell solution and then consider some corrected thermodynamics quantities. Regarding the Lagrangian of Maxwell field ( $L(\mathcal{F}) = -\mathcal{F}$ ) with the aforementioned field equations, one can find the metric function as [66]

$$f(r) = -\Lambda r^2 - m_0 - 2q^2 \ln\left(\frac{r}{l}\right) + m^2 c c_1 r. \quad (2.6)$$

In the above equation, two integration constants  $m_0$  and  $q$  are related to the mass  $M$  and electric charge  $Q$  of black hole as  $m_0 = 8M$  and  $q = 2Q$  (see [66] for more details). In addition, the massive parameter is denoted by  $m$ , while  $c$  and  $c_1$  are two positive constants. It is clear that the linearly charged BTZ black hole solution is obtained by setting  $m = 0$ . Considering the behaviour of the metric function, one finds that it is possible to have two horizons  $r_+$  (event horizon) and  $r_-$  (inner horizon). Since we would like to study the black hole thermodynamics at the event horizon, we calculate all quantities at  $r_+$ , which is the largest real positive root of the metric function (2.6).

Evaluating the action of this configuration diverges at spatial infinity. To overcome this problem, one must consider supplementary boundary terms in addition to the bulk action. These 2-dimensional boundary actions (called Gibbons-Hawking and counter-term actions) do not change the field equations arising from the variational principle. As a result, considering the obtained black hole solutions with AdS asymptote and inspired by AdS/CFT correspondence, one would use the counter-term method to calculate the finite mass of a black hole (see [78] for more details). So, after some straightforward calculations, we obtain  $M = \frac{m_0}{8}$ . In addition, one can use the standard ADM mass calculation and rewrite the Einstein's action in ADM form to obtain the finite mass, as discussed in Ref. [79]. At this stage, it is worth noting that in Ref. [79] the natural units of  $8G = 1$  were used; however in this work, we follow  $G = 1$ . It is worth mentioning that the result of finite mass in Ref. [78] is valid here since the dominant term of the metric function is  $\Lambda r^2$ -term at spatial infinity ( $r \rightarrow \infty$ ).

The following dimensionless mass is obtained from the fact that the metric function is zero at the event horizon

$$M = -\frac{\Lambda}{8} r_+^2 - Q^2 \ln\left(\frac{r_+}{l}\right) + \frac{m^2 c c_1}{8} r_+. \quad (2.7)$$

Applying the surface gravity interpretation, we can obtain the following relation for the temperature

$$T = \frac{-\Upsilon}{4\pi r_+}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\Upsilon \equiv 2\Lambda r_+^2 - m^2 c c_1 r_+ + 8Q^2$ . Also, the uncorrected black hole entropy is given by the area law [80]

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi}{2} r_+. \quad (2.9)$$

To avoid the dimensional problem of the logarithm argument in three dimensions, we use the following dimensionless thermodynamic quantities of the charged BTZ black hole, which are re-defined based on different powers of the length scale

$$T' = lT, \quad S'_0 = S_0/l. \quad (2.10)$$

To have a positive temperature, one has to regard  $\Upsilon < 0$  as an essential condition. Therefore, the logarithmic corrected (dimensionless) entropy (1.5) can be obtained as

$$S' = S'_0 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln(S'_0 T'^2) = \frac{\pi r_+}{2l} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln\left(\frac{l\Upsilon^2}{32\pi r_+}\right). \quad (2.11)$$

It should be noted that the dimensionless parameter  $\alpha$  is added by hand to trace the effect of logarithmic correction in analytical expressions. Hence, its value should be  $\alpha = 0$  (uncorrected) or  $\alpha = 1$  (corrected). However, we can consider it as a free parameter and investigate other possibilities. The first law of black hole thermodynamics is written as

$$dM = T' dS' + \Phi dQ, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\Phi$  denotes the electric potential, which is calculated using the the electric potential at the event horizon [66]

$$\Phi = -2Q \ln\left(\frac{r_+}{l}\right). \quad (2.13)$$

Hence, the Smarr formula is given by

$$M = 2T'S' + \Phi Q. \quad (2.14)$$

It has been found that the first law of thermodynamics (2.12) is valid only for the case of  $\alpha = 0$  (uncorrected entropy). However, in the presence of the logarithmic correction, we can examine the validity of the first law of black hole thermodynamics by choosing the appropriate parameters of the solutions. In that case (corrected entropy), the (dimensionless) internal energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int T' dS' \\ &= \left[ \frac{m^2 cc_1}{8} - \frac{\Lambda r_+}{8} \right] r_+ - Q^2 \ln\left(\frac{r_+}{l}\right) + \frac{\alpha l}{8\pi} \left[ 6\Lambda r_+ + \frac{8Q^2}{r_+} - m^2 cc_1 \ln\left(\frac{r_+}{l}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

It is obvious that  $\alpha$  decreases the value of  $E$ . In addition, one can obtain the dimensionless heat capacity as follow

$$C = T' \frac{dS'}{dT'} = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi r_+}{l} - \alpha\right) \Upsilon}{4l(\Lambda r_+^2 - 4Q^2)} - \frac{\alpha}{l}. \quad (2.16)$$

Regarding the mentioned condition ( $\Upsilon < 0$ ), in the case of  $\alpha = 0$ , we have thermodynamical stability when  $\Lambda r_+^2 - 4Q^2 < 0$ . The asymptotically AdS black hole is thermally stable.

Now, we would like to discuss two cases of  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha < 0$  by respecting the condition of having a positive temperature. It should be noted that to recover Einstein's gravity when we turn off the massive gravity couplings, the  $\alpha$  parameter should be positive. In the case

of a negative  $\alpha$ , the situation is the same as vanishing  $\alpha$ . In other words, the AdS black hole is thermally stable. However, for positive  $\alpha$ , the situation is not trivial. In this special case ( $\alpha > 0$ ), we should consider the following restriction to obtain stable solutions

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &> \frac{\pi r_+}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{4(\Lambda r_+^2 - 4Q^2)}{6\Lambda r_+^2 - m^2 c c_1 r_+ - 8Q^2} \right), \text{ for } r_+ < \frac{2Q}{\sqrt{|\Lambda|}}, \\ \alpha &< \frac{\pi r_+}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{4(\Lambda r_+^2 - 4Q^2)}{6\Lambda r_+^2 - m^2 c c_1 r_+ - 8Q^2} \right), \text{ for } r_+ > \frac{2Q}{\sqrt{|\Lambda|}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

As we mentioned already, the first law of thermodynamics is only valid under the special condition ( $\alpha = 0$ ). Near the equilibrium, we use the following expression

$$6\Lambda r_+^2 - m^2 c c_1 r_+ - 8Q^2 = \epsilon,\tag{2.18}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal parameter. In the case of  $\epsilon = 0$ , we have a complete equilibrium with the validity of the second law. In that case, the specific heat is reduced to the following expression

$$C = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi r_+}{L} - \alpha\right) \epsilon}{4(\Lambda r_+^2 - 4Q^2)} - \frac{\pi r_+}{L}.\tag{2.19}$$

For the cases of  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = \pm 1$ , we find specific heat is negative, hence the black hole is unstable. There is a singular point as

$$r_{+c} = \frac{2Q}{\sqrt{|\Lambda|}}.\tag{2.20}$$

which is not a phase transition point because the black hole is unstable before and after that point.

We can investigate the Helmholtz free energy via the following relation


$$F = E - TS.\tag{2.21}$$

Then, using Eqs. (2.8), (2.11) and (2.15), one can obtain the Helmholtz free energy as follows

$$\begin{aligned}F &= -\frac{\alpha l}{8\pi r_+} \left[ \Upsilon \ln \left( \frac{l\Upsilon^2}{32\pi r_+} \right) - 6\Lambda r_+^2 + c c_1 m^2 r_+ \ln \left( \frac{r_+}{l} \right) - 8Q^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\Lambda r_+^2}{8} + Q^2 \left[ 1 - \ln \left( \frac{r_+}{l} \right) \right]\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

In the plots of Fig. 1 we can see the typical behaviour of the Helmholtz free energy in terms of  $r_+$ .

The case of  $\alpha = 0$  (absence of thermal fluctuations) is approximately similar for all cases of  $\Lambda = 0, -1$ . We can see that there is a special radius where the effect of thermal fluctuations vanishes. In the case of  $\Lambda = -1$ , we have two separate radii where the effect of thermal fluctuations vanishes. In the presence of thermal fluctuations, the Helmholtz free energy has a maximum, which may indicate an instability or phase transition. It needs further study, which will be done via specific heat.



**Figure 1.** Helmholtz free energy in terms of  $r_+$  for unit value of the model parameters.

### 3 Thermodynamics of the Einstein-Born-Infeld solution

Now, we will generalize our discussion to the case of nonlinear electrodynamics. So, we regard the same field equations as presented in Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) with the following Lagrangian of Born-Infeld theory

$$L(\mathcal{F}) = 4\beta^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2\beta^2}} \right),$$

where  $\beta$  is the nonlinearity parameter (notably, for  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  the Maxwell case is recovered). In this case, the nonlinearly charged AdS black hole is given by the metric (2.5) with the following metric function

$$f(r) = -\Lambda r^2 - m_0 + 2\beta^2 r^2(1 - \Gamma) + q^2 \left[ 1 - 2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{2l}(1 + \Gamma) \right) \right] + m^2 c c_1 r, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\beta$  is nonlinearity parameter and

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{1 + \frac{q^2}{r^2 \beta^2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since the asymptotic behavior of this nonlinearly charged solution is the same as that of linearly charged one, we find that  $m_0 = 8M$  and  $q = 2Q$ , as before. Since for very large  $r$ , the metric function of BI-BTZ-AdS black hole reduces to Maxwell-BTZ-AdS solution; one can find that the BI contribution does not change the asymptotic behavior of the solutions. Therefore, the previous finite mass discussion is also valid here and we can write  $m_0 = 8M$ . In the case of  $f(r = r_+) = 0$ , one can obtain

$$M = -\frac{\Lambda}{8} r_+^2 - Q^2 \ln \left( \frac{r_+}{l}(1 + \Gamma_+) \right) + \frac{2r_+^2 \beta^2 (1 - \Gamma_+) + 4Q^2 + m^2 c c_1 r_+}{8}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma|_{r=r_+}$ . The original black hole entropy of this case is similar to Eq. (2.9) while the Hawking temperature is given by

$$T = \left. \frac{f'(r)}{4\pi} \right|_{r=r_+} = -\frac{\Lambda r_+}{2\pi} - \frac{4Q^2}{\pi r_+(1+\Gamma_+)} + \frac{m^2 cc_1}{4\pi}. \quad (3.4)$$

In addition, the electric potential of the solution is given by [66]

$$\Phi = -2Q \ln \left( \frac{r_+}{2l} (1 + \Gamma_+) \right). \quad (3.5)$$

It is obvious that the first law (2.12) is valid for the case of  $\alpha = 0$ . In the presence of  $\alpha$ , we also have the validity of the first law of black hole thermodynamics under the following conditions (in units of  $l$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} & -6\beta^2\Lambda(\Gamma_+^3 + 2)r_+^4 + \beta^2 m^2 cc_1(\Gamma_+^3 + 2)r_+^3 + 8Q^2 m^2 cc_1 r_+ - 64Q^4 \\ & + \left( 16\beta^2 \left( \frac{1}{16} m^2 cc_1 r_+ - \frac{3}{8} \Lambda r_+^2 + Q^2 \right) \Gamma_+ + 16Q^2(\beta^2 - 3\Lambda) + 1 \right) r_+^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which satisfied at  $r_+ = r_+^*$ , meaning that, under effect of logarithmic correction, the first law of black hole thermodynamics, is only valid at radius  $r_+^*$ , an analogous behavior to the linear case.


Now, we can study Gibbs free energy graphically by Fig. 2. We can see the typical behavior of the Gibbs free energy in terms of  $r_+$  by variation of  $\alpha$  to see the effect of logarithmic correction. We can find a special radius where the logarithmic correction does not affect the Gibbs free energy. Hence, the effect of thermal fluctuations strongly depends on the  $r_+$ .

Now, we can study the stability of the black hole by using heat capacity given by the general equation  $C = T' \frac{dS'}{dT}$ . Results are illustrated by plots of Fig. 3.


According to the plots of Fig. 3, we can observe the behavior of specific heat. In the case of  $\beta = 0.5$ , we can see linear behavior of specific heat with a completely positive value for  $\alpha = -1$  as in the previous section. The denominator of specific heat is not affected by the logarithmic correction and gives the following critical radius

$$r_{+c} = \pm \frac{2(\Lambda - 2\beta^2)Q}{\beta\sqrt{\Lambda(4\beta^2 - \Lambda)}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Discussion and details about this relation are completely similar to those reported in Ref. [66]. In the case of  $\alpha = 0$  (dashed lines), we can see the linear behavior of specific heat as discussed in Ref. [66]. However, for  $\beta = 0.5$ , the logarithmic correction with  $\alpha = 1$  leads to a decreasing value of specific heat, while  $\alpha = -1$  increases its value, and hence, the black hole is completely stable. In the case of  $\beta = 1$  as discussed by Ref. [66], the specific heat may be negative at  $\alpha = 0$  corresponding to small  $r_+$ . However, there is no asymptotic behavior, and hence, there is no phase transition. In the presence of the thermal fluctuations with  $\alpha = -1$ , we can see a large/small phase transition at  $r_+ \approx 0.4$  through a first-order phase transition (dotted line right plot of Fig. 3). For  $\alpha = 1$ , there is



**Figure 2.** Gibbs free energy in terms of  $r_+$  for  $m = 2$  and  $\beta = 0.5$ ; we set unit values for all other parameters. Blue dashed lines represented the case of  $\alpha = 0$ , red solid lines represented the case of  $\alpha = 1$  and green dotted lines represented the case of  $\alpha = -1$ .



**Figure 3.** Specific heat in terms of  $r_+$  for  $m = 2$  and we set unit values for all other parameters. Blue dashed lines represented the case of  $\alpha = 0$ , red solid lines represented the case of  $\alpha = 1$  and green dotted lines represented the case of  $\alpha = -1$ .

a minimum of specific heat at the negative region, and therefore, the specific heat has two zeros for this case.

In the next section, we investigate the critical behavior of both linear and nonlinear solutions.

### 3.1 Critical points

To discuss the critical point, we should study the thermodynamics pressure.

Thermodynamic pressure in the context of BTZ thermodynamics has been extensively studied in the literature. For example, non-rotating BTZ black holes are considered by Ref. [81] and found that there is no critical point and holographically dual Van der Waals behavior. The same result already obtained for BTZ black holes in nonlinear electrodynamics [82]. Now, we show the same result for the (non)linearly charged BTZ black hole in massive gravity.

First of all, mention that the thermodynamics pressure  $P$  is related to the cosmological constant via,

$$P = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}. \quad (3.8)$$

Its conjugate quantity is the thermodynamics volume which is given by

$$V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_+^3. \quad (3.9)$$

In that case, it is possible to investigate the critical points and phase transition like a Van der Waals fluid.

In the Einstein-Maxwell solution, combining condition (2.20) with the pressure (3.8) gives [66]

$$P_M = -\frac{Q^2}{2\pi r_+^2}, \quad (3.10)$$

then using condition (2.18) with  $\epsilon = 0$  to have validity of the first law of thermodynamics in the presence of logarithmic correction, we obtain

$$P_M = \frac{m^4 c^2 c_1^2}{2^{11} \pi Q^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

We can see that there is no extremum, therefore there is no Van der Waals-like behavior, as well as the case of  $\alpha = 0$ . It means that there is no holographic dual Van der Waals fluid. Similar results may obtained for Einstein-Born-Infeld solution where [66]

$$P_{BI} = \pm \frac{\beta^2 Q^2}{\pi [4Q^2 + \beta^2 r_+^2 (1 + \Gamma_+)]}. \quad (3.12)$$

Obtaining  $r_+$  from (3.6) and inserting it in the  $P_{BI}$ , we find that there is no extremum. Hence, we can see there is no critical points and Van der Waals-like behavior. However, if we neglect the logarithmic correction, which is a good approximation for the large black hole, we may find holographic dual Van der Waals fluid [56].

## 4 Geometrical thermodynamics

Geometrical thermodynamics is one of the interesting approaches to investigating the thermodynamic structure of black holes. In this approach, one may build a thermodynamic

phase space by considering mass or entropy as a potential, which is a function of its corresponding extensive parameters. Regarding the thermodynamic metric in such a phase space, the corresponding Ricci scalar carries information about thermodynamical properties. In other words, it is shown that the divergence points of thermodynamical Ricci scalar coincides with the bound point or associated phase transition points. There are different geometrical methods so far; Weinhold [83, 84], Ruppeiner [85, 86], Quevedo [87, 88] and HPEM [89, 90]. Such methods and a comparative study are considered in different researches in the context of black holes and superconductors [91–98]. Taking into account the thermodynamical concepts, it is obvious that no ensemble dependency should exist. The existence of ensemble dependency or anomalies in the geometrical thermodynamic models [99] may be observed as: I) characteristic points (bound point and/or phase transition points) are not matched with divergence point(s) of thermodynamical Ricci scalar. II) extra divergence point is observed for the Ricci scalar which is not matched with any characteristic points. Although, Quevedo introduced an interesting Legendre invariant method to remove the problems of Weinhold and Ruppeiner approaches, it is shown that the Quevedo metric may encounter an anomaly [89, 90]. The Legendre invariant HPEM metric which is conformally related to the Quevedo metric was proposed to avoid the mentioned anomalies.

In this section, we regard the geometrical approaches toward studying the thermodynamic structure of the solutions. The possible root and divergence points of the heat capacity were obtained before, and therefore, we examine their match/mismatch with divergences of the thermodynamical Ricci scalar. A successful method of geometrical thermodynamics covers all characteristic points that were observed in heat capacity. To put it more clearly, no mismatch between divergence points of the Ricci scalar and associated points of heat capacity should be observed and no extra divergence should exist.

The thermodynamic metrics of Weinhold [83, 84], Ruppeiner [85, 86], Quevedo [87, 88] and HPEM [89, 90] are, respectively, introduces as

$$ds^2 = \begin{cases} Mg_{ab}^W dX^a dX^b & \text{Weinhold} \\ -T^{-1} Mg_{ab}^R dX^a dX^b & \text{Ruppeiner} \\ (SM_S + QM_Q) (-M_{SS}dS^2 + M_{QQ}dQ^2) & \text{Quevedo} \\ \frac{M_S}{M_{QQ}^3} (-M_{SS}dS^2 + M_{QQ}dQ^2) & \text{HPEM} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $M_X = \partial M / \partial X$  and  $M_{XX} = \partial^2 M / \partial X^2$ . To find the divergence points of the Ricci scalar corresponding to the mentioned metrics, we can consider the roots of Ricci scalar's

denominator. In that case, one can obtain denominators of Ricci scalar as [89]


$$\text{denom}(\mathcal{R}) = \begin{cases} M^2 \left( M_{SS}M_{QQ} - M_{SQ}^2 \right)^2 & \text{Weinhold} \\ M^2 T \left( M_{SS}M_{QQ} - M_{SQ}^2 \right)^2 & \text{Ruppeiner} \\ M_{SS}^2 M_{QQ}^2 (SM_S + QM_Q)^3 & \text{Quevedo} \\ 2M_{SS}^2 M_S^3 & \text{HPEM} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Regarding the finite mass, one finds some extra roots of Ricci scalar's the denominator of all thermodynamic metrics, except HPEM case, e.g., an extra (mismatched) divergence point appears when  $M_{SS}M_{QQ} = M_{SQ}^2$  for both Weinhold and Ruppeiner cases, while for Quevedo, it appears when  $SM_S = -QM_Q$  or  $M_{QQ} = 0$ . The existence of  $M_{SS}$  and  $M_S$  in Ricci scalar's denominator of HPEM and Quevedo metrics shows that the Ricci scalar divergences are exactly matched with bound and phase transition points of the heat capacity.


Since the analytical discussion is impossible, we use numerical calculations and give the results in some diagrams (see Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7).

Comparing the plot of the Ricci scalar and heat capacity, we find that for zero  $\alpha$ , all the Ricci scalars have an extra divergence far from the root of heat capacity, except HPEM one. In other words, the heat capacity is a finite nonzero function in such an extra divergence. So, except for the HPEM case, other thermodynamic metrics have the mentioned anomaly.


For the case of nonzero  $\alpha$ , the same behavior is seen for the mentioned cases far from the root of heat capacity. Also, one may observe some extra divergences near the root of heat capacity. The existence of a divergence at the root of heat capacity is expected to characterize the bound point. However, there may exist additional divergence in this region, which is due to the logarithmic form of thermal fluctuations of entropy. So, for nonzero  $\alpha$ , we should take care of the mentioned extra divergence of the Ricci scalar, which is due to the functional form of corrected entropy. As a final point, we should note that all the mentioned figures and discussions in this section are related to the linear Maxwell solution and for the nonlinear one, the same behaviour is observed, which is ignored for the sake of brevity.




**Figure 4.** Weinhold's metric:  $C_Q$  (continuous line) and  $\mathcal{R}$  (dotted line) versus  $r_+$  for  $Q = c = c_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = -1$ ,  $l = 0.01$  and  $m = 2$ . Upper panels:  $\alpha = -1$ , middle panel:  $\alpha = 0$  and lower panels:  $\alpha = +1$ .



**Figure 5.** Ruppeiner's metric:  $C_Q$  (continuous line) and  $\mathcal{R}$  (dotted line) versus  $r_+$  for  $Q = c = c_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = -1$ ,  $l = 0.01$  and  $m = 2$ . Upper panels:  $\alpha = -1$ , middle panel:  $\alpha = 0$  and lower panels:  $\alpha = +1$ .



**Figure 6.** Quevedo's metric:  $C_Q$  (continuous line) and  $\mathcal{R}$  (dotted line) versus  $r_+$  for  $Q = c = c_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = -1$ ,  $l = 0.01$  and  $m = 2$ . Upper panels:  $\alpha = -1$ , middle panel:  $\alpha = 0$  and lower panels:  $\alpha = +1$ .



**Figure 7.** HPEM's metric:  $C_Q$  (continuous line) and  $\mathcal{R}$  (dotted line) versus  $r_+$  for  $Q = c = c_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = -1$ ,  $l = 0.01$  and  $m = 2$ .

Upper panels:  $\alpha = -1$ , middle panel:  $\alpha = 0$  and lower panels:  $\alpha = +1$ .

## 5 Conclusions and discussions

It is believed that the logarithmic correction to the entropy of a black object is obtained in almost all approaches to quantum gravity. Therefore, one may consider it as a universal model-independent result. So, the first step is to calculate the non-perturbative entropy and temperature with the conventional method. As the second step, we should build the argument of logarithmic function which is proportional to " $S_0 \times T^2$ ". Since dimensions of temperature ( $T$ ) and entropy ( $S_0$ ) in arbitrary  $d$ -dimensions are, respectively,  $L^{-1}$  and  $L^{d-2}$ , one finds that the argument of logarithm ( $S_0 T^2$ ) is dimensionless only in 4-dimensions. So, we have to define dimensionless  $T$  and  $S_0$  in arbitrary dimensions  $d \neq 4$ . The third step is devoted to the calculation of the corrected entropy as presented in (1.5). As the last step, we can obtain different quantities related to the stability of the solutions (such as heat capacity and free energy) with new corrected entropy to find the stability criteria in the presence of thermal fluctuations.

Now, we summarize our results here. First, we have developed the corrected thermodynamical equation of states for static charged BTZ black hole with AdS asymptotes in the context of massive gravity by considering small statistical fluctuations to the system. In particular, we derived the dimensionless internal energy and heat capacity. In case when thermal fluctuation is considered, we must have nonzero  $\alpha$ . Remarkably, the negative  $\alpha$  does not impact the stability of the AdS solution. However, for positive  $\alpha$  the situation is not trivial stability can be achieved under certain restrictions.

We have found that the specific heat is negative for the cases of  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = \pm 1$ , hence the black hole is unstable, and there exists a singular point that is not a phase transition point because the black hole is unstable before and after that point. Therefore, the final stage of the black hole stability depends on the correction coefficient and non-linear parameter. Hence the mentioned black remnants in the introduction section which was in the absence of the logarithmic correction may be happen again which is the subject of the future work.

In the presence of thermal fluctuations, the Helmholtz free energy has a maximum which may be a sign of an instability or phase transition.

Furthermore, we extended our study for the case of nonlinearly charged AdS black hole. Here, we emphasized that there is not any considerable change in the behavior of Gibbs free energy under thermal correction. For parameter  $\beta = 0.5$ , we can see linear behavior of specific heat with a completely positive value for  $\alpha = -1$ . In presence of the thermal fluctuations with  $\alpha = -1$ , we found large/small phase transition at  $r_+ \approx 0.4$  through a first order phase transition. For  $\alpha = 1$ , there is a minimum of specific heat at the negative region and therefore, the specific heat has two zeros for this case. We found that the critical points and Van der Waals-like behavior don't occur here.

According to the results of Ref. [100], dynamical stability of a black hole is equivalent to its thermodynamic stability. Such equality may break in presence of thermal fluctuation. Ignoring thermal fluctuations (classical description), the nonlinearly charged AdS black hole in massive gravity may be stable thermodynamically and hence dynamically. But in presence of thermal fluctuations it is unstable thermodynamically at small sizes, but it is

stable dynamically. It may be a classical equivalent which violated in presence of thermal fluctuations. It means that log correction has no any effect on dynamical stability.

In order to investigate the thermodynamic structure, we utilized geometrical thermodynamics. The numerical calculations show that in thermal equilibrium, all the Ricci scalars have an extra divergence far from the root of heat capacity, except HPEM one. In the case of a thermally disturbed system, the same behavior is seen for the mentioned cases far from the root of heat capacity. Besides, one may observe some extra divergences near the root of heat capacity. The existence of a divergence at the root of heat capacity is expected to characterize the bound point. However, there may exist additional divergence in this region which is due to the logarithmic form of thermal fluctuations of entropy.

In addition to what was mentioned above, it is interesting to use the results of this paper to study the black hole remnants. Besides, studying the logarithmic corrections to the entropy may be considered via microscopic degrees of freedom of black objects in the context of conformal field theory. Moreover, it may be useful to focus on the information loss paradox based on the obtained results. Furthermore, it is interesting to investigate the shear viscosity to entropy ratio of this model due to the mentioned logarithmic correction and examine its lower bound. Finally, it will be worthy to consider higher order correction terms [101] to investigate black hole thermodynamics in the case of various modifications of gravity [102–104]. We leave these issues for future work.

## Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the Editor and the anonymous Referees for their valuable recommendations and feedback. İ.S. wishes to extend gratitude for the networking support received from COST Action CA18108, dedicated to quantum gravity phenomenology in the multimessenger approach. Additionally, he appreciates the generous support from TÜBİTAK, ANKOS, and SCOAP3.

## References

- [1] S. Basu, J. Bhattacharyya, D. Mattingly, M. Roberson, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 064072 (2016).
- [2] S. Janiszewski and A. Karch, *JHEP* 02, 123 (2013).
- [3] T. Griffin, P. Horava and C. M. Melby-Thompson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110, 081602 (2013).
- [4] S. Carlip, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* 12, 2853 (1995).
- [5] A. Ashtekar, J. Wisniewski and O. Dreyer, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 6, 507 (2002).
- [6] T. Sarkar, G. Sengupta and B. N. Tiwari, *JHEP* 11, 015 (2006).
- [7] E. Witten, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 2, 505 (1998).
- [8] S. Carlip, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* 22, R85 (2005).
- [9] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 201301 (2009).
- [10] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze and A. J. Tolley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106, 231101 (2011).
- [11] S. Kanzi, S. H. Mazharimousavi and İ. Sakallı, *Annals Phys.* 422, 168301 (2020).

- [12] D. Vegh, [arXiv:1301.0537].
- [13] C. F. Chen and A. Lucas, Phys. Lett. B 774, 569-574 (2017).
- [14] H. Zhang and X. Z. Li, Phys. Rev. D 93, 124039 (2016).
- [15] Y. P. Hu, H. F. Li, H. B. Zeng and H. Q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 93, 104009 (2016).
- [16] X. X. Zeng, H. Zhang and L. F. Li, Phys. Lett. B 756, 170 (2016).
- [17] D. A. Rasheed, hep-th/9702087 [hep-th].
- [18] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D 60, 104026 (1999).
- [19] R.-G. Cai and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 70, 064013 (2004).
- [20] H. A. Gonzalez, M. Hassaine and C. Martinez, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 104008.
- [21] O. Miskovic and R. Olea, Phys. Rev. D 77, 124048 (2008).
- [22] D. P. Sorokin, Fortsch.Phys. 70 (2022) 2200092.
- [23] H. Babaei-Aghbolagh, K. B. Velni, D. M. Yekta and H. Mohammadzadeh, Phys. Lett. B 829 (2022) 137079.
- [24] K. Lechner, P. Marchetti, A. Sainaghi and D. P. Sorokin, Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 016009.
- [25] G. Arenas-Henriquez, F. Diaz and Y. Novoa, JHEP 05 (2023) 072.
- [26] M. Born and L. Infeld, Nature 132, 1004 (1933).
- [27] M. Born and L. Infeld, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 144, 425 (1934).
- [28] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 268, 57 (1962).
- [29] A. Belin, L.-Yan Hung, A. Maloney, S. Matsuura, R. C. Myers and T. Sierens, JHEP 12 (2013) 059.
- [30] W. Cong, D. Kubiznak, R. Mann and M. Visser, JHEP 08 (2022) 174.
- [31] P. Bueno, P. A. Cano, J. Moreno and G. v. d. Velde, Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 064050.
- [32] B. Eslam Panah, Kh. Jafarzade and A. Rincon, arXiv:2201.13211.
- [33] S. Das, P. Majumdar, R.K. Bhaduri, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 2355 (2002).
- [34] A. Addazi, *et al.* Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 125, 103948 (2022).
- [35] S. S. More, Class. Quant. Grav. 22, 4129 (2005).
- [36] S. Hawking and D. N. Page, Commun. Math. Phys. 87, 577 (1983).
- [37] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B 138, 141 (1978).
- [38] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D. 15, 2752 (1977).
- [39] J. Meixner, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 57, 281 (1975).
- [40] İ. Sakalli and S. Kanzi, Turk. J. Phys. 46, 51 (2022).
- [41] J. Sadeghi, B. Pourhassan, M. Rostami, Phys. Rev. D 94, 064006 (2016).
- [42] R. K. Kaul, P. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5255 (2000).
- [43] S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. 17, 4175 (2000).
- [44] A. Sen, Gen. Rel. Grav. 44, 1947 (2012).
- [45] A. Sen, JHEP 1304, 156 (2013).

- [46] N. Morales-Durán, et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 559 (2016).
- [47] D. Grumiller, A. Perez, D. Tempo, R. Troncoso, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 107.
- [48] A. Pathak, A. P. Porfyriadis, A. Strominger, O. Varela, *JHEP* **1704**, 090 (2017).
- [49] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, *EPL* **111**, 40006 (2015).
- [50] M. Faizal, B. Pourhassan, *Phys. Lett. B* **751**, 487 (2015).
- [51] F. Hammad, M. Faizal, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **25**, 1650080 (2016).
- [52] C. Gao, Y-G. Shen, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **48**, 131 (2016).
- [53] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, and U. Debnath, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 145 (2016).
- [54] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, *Nucl. Phys. B* **913**, 834 (2016).
- [55] Ö. Ökcü, E. Aydiner, *arXiv:1703.09606*.
- [56] S. Upadhyay, B. Pourhassan and H. Farahani, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 106014 (2017).
- [57] B. Pourhassan and M. Faizal, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 96 (2017).
- [58] G. Policastro, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *JHEP* **0209**, 043 (2002).
- [59] P. Kovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *JHEP* **0310**, 064 (2003).
- [60] A. Buchel and J.T. Liu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 090602 (2004).
- [61] A. Jawad and M.U. Shahzad, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 349 (2017).
- [62] F. Darabi, F. Felegary and M. R. Setare, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 703 (2016).
- [63] S. Upadhyay, N. Islam and P. Ganai, *Journal of Holography Applications in Physics* **2**, 25 (2022).
- [64] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, Z. Zaz and A. Bhat, *Phys. Lett. B* **773**, 325 (2017).
- [65] G. G. L. Nashed and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 124072 (2020).
- [66] S. H. Hendi, B. Eslam Panah and S. Panahiyan, *JHEP* **1605**, 029 (2016).
- [67] B.P. Abbott et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 221101 (2017).
- [68] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze and A.J. Tolley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 231101 (2011).
- [69] C. de Rham, *Living Rev. Rel.* **17**, 7 (2014).
- [70] Y. F. Cai, F. Duplessis and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 064051 (2014) [*arXiv:1307.7150* [hep-th]].
- [71] Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 063528 (2014).
- [72] R. Gannouji, M. W. Hossain, M. Sami and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 123536 (2013).
- [73] W. Yan, *Nonlinearity* **32**, 4682 (2019).
- [74] M. Garrione, *arXiv:2306.13788*.
- [75] Y. F. Cai, D. A. Easson, C. Gao and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 064001 (2013).
- [76] D. Vegh, *CERN-PH-TH-2012-357* [*arXiv:1301.0537*].
- [77] R.G. Cai, Y.P. Hu, Q.Y. Pan and Y.L. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 024032 (2015).
- [78] S.H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, R. Mamasani, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**, 91 (2015).
- [79] S. Carlip, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, 2853 (1995).

- [80] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter and D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* 59, 044033 (1999).
- [81] N-ul-islam, P. A. Ganai and S. Upadhyay, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2019, 103B06 (2019).
- [82] Prosenjit Paul, S. I. Kruglov, *Indian J Phys* (2023) [arXiv:2302.05704].
- [83] F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* 63, 2479 (1975).
- [84] F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* 63, 2484 (1975).
- [85] G. Ruppeiner, *Phys. Rev. A* 20, 1608 (1979).
- [86] G. Ruppeiner, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 67, 605 (1995).
- [87] H. Quevedo, *J. Math. Phys.* 48, 013506 (2007).
- [88] H. Quevedo and A. Sanchez, *JHEP* 09, 034 (2008).
- [89] S. H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, B. Eslam Panah and M. Momennia, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75, 507 (2015).
- [90] S. H. Hendi, A. Sheykhi, S. Panahiyan and B. Eslam Panah, *Phys. Rev. D* 92, 064028 (2015).
- [91] Y. W. Han and G. Chen, *Phys. Lett. B* 714, 127 (2012).
- [92] A. Bravetti, D. Momeni, R. Myrzakulov and A. Altaibayeva, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* 2013, 549808 (2013).
- [93] M. S. Ma, *Phys. Lett. B* 735, 45 (2014).
- [94] M. A. García-Ariza, M. Montesinos and G. F. T. d. Castillo, *Entropy* 16, 6515 (2014).
- [95] J. L. Zhang, R. G. Cai and H. Yu, *JHEP* 02, 143 (2015).
- [96] J. X. Mo, G. Q. Li and Y. C. Wu, *JCAP* 04, 045 (2016).
- [97] S. Basak, P. Chaturvedi, P. Nandi and G. Sengupta, *Phys. Lett. B* 753, 493 (2016).
- [98] W. Y. Wen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 26, 1750106 (2017).
- [99] A. Bravetti and F. Nettel, *Phys. Rev. D* 90, 044064 (2014).
- [100] S. Hollands and R. M. Wald, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 321, 629 (2013).
- [101] A. Chatterjee and A. Ghosh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125 (2020) 041302
- [102] E. N. Saridakis *et al.* [CANTATA Collaboration], Springer, 2021, ISBN 978-3-030-83715-0 [arXiv:2105.12582 [gr-qc]].
- [103] P. A. Gonzalez, E. N. Saridakis and Y. Vasquez, *JHEP* 07, 053 (2012).
- [104] S. Capozziello, P. A. Gonzalez, E. N. Saridakis and Y. Vasquez, *JHEP* 02, 039 (2013).