

Marabou 2.0: A Versatile Formal Analyzer of Neural Networks

Haoze Wu¹, Omri Isac², Aleksandar Zeljić¹, Teruhiro Tagomori^{1,3},
 Matthew Daggitt⁴, Wen Kokke⁵, Idan Refaeli², Guy Amir², Kyle Julian¹,
 Shahaf Bassan², Pei Huang¹, Ori Lahav², Min Wu¹, Min Zhang⁶,
 Ekaterina Komendantskaya⁴, Guy Katz², and Clark Barrett¹

¹ Stanford University, USA

² The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

³ NRI Secure

⁴ Heriot-Watt University, UK

⁵ University of Strathclyde, UK

⁶ East China Normal University, China

Abstract. This paper serves as a comprehensive system description of version 2.0 of the Marabou framework for formal analysis of neural networks. We discuss the tool’s architectural design and highlight the major features and components introduced since its initial release.

1 Introduction

With the increasing pervasiveness of deep neural networks (DNNs), the formal analysis of DNNs has become a burgeoning research field within the formal methods community. Multiple DNN reasoners have been proposed in the past few years, including α - β -CROWN [67, 75, 79], ERAN [56–58], Marabou [40], MN-BaB [18], NNV [44, 62], nenum [4], VeriNet [29, 30], and many others.

We focus here on the Marabou [40] tool, which has been used by the research community in a wide range of formal DNN reasoning applications (e.g., [9, 12, 19, 20, 26, 32, 43, 46, 60, 65, 74, 76], inter alia). Initially, Marabou was introduced as a from-scratch re-implementation of the Reluplex [39] decision procedure, with a native linear programming engine and limited support for DNN-level reasoning. Over the years, fundamental changes have been made to the tool, not only from an algorithmic perspective but also to its engineering and implementation.

This paper introduces version 2.0 of Marabou. Compared to its predecessor, Marabou 2.0: (i) employs a new build/test system; (ii) has an optimized core system architecture; (iii) runs an improved decision procedure and abstract interpretation techniques; (iv) handles a wider range of activation functions; (v) supports proof production; (vi) supports additional input formats; and (vii) contains a more powerful Python API. Due to these changes, the original system description [40] no longer gives an accurate account of the tool’s current capabilities. Our goal in this paper is to close this gap and provide a comprehensive description of the current Marabou system. We highlight the major features introduced




Fig. 1: High-level overview of Marabou 2.0’s system architecture.

since the initial version, describe a few of its many recent uses, and report on its performance, as demonstrated by the VNN-COMP’23 results and additional runtime comparisons against an early version of Marabou.

2 Architecture and Core Components

In this section, we discuss the core components of Marabou 2.0. An overview of its system architecture is given in Figure 1. At a high level, Marabou performs satisfiability checking on a set of linear and non-linear constraints, supplied through one of the front-end interfaces. The constraints typically represent a verification query over a neural network and are stored in an *InputQuery* object. We distinguish variable bounds from other linear constraints, and piecewise-linear constraints (which can be reduced to linear constraints via case analysis) from more general, non-linear constraints.

Variables are represented as consecutive indices starting from 0. (In)equations are represented as *Equation* objects. Piecewise-linear constraints are represented by objects of classes that inherit from the *PiecewiseLinearConstraint* abstract class. The abstract class defines the key interface methods that are implemented in each sub-class. This way, all piecewise-linear constraints are handled uniformly in the back end. Similarly, each other type of non-linear constraint is implemented as a sub-class of the new *NonlinearConstraint* abstract class. Initially, Marabou only supported the ReLU and Max constraints. In Marabou 2.0, over ten types of non-linear constraints (listed in Appendix A.1) are supported.

2.1 Engine

The centerpiece of Marabou is called the Engine, which reasons about the satisfiability of the input query. The engine consists of several components: the Preprocessor, which performs rewrites and simplifications; the Network-level Reasoner, which maintains the network architecture and performs all analyses that require this knowledge; the SMT Solver, which houses complete decision procedures for sets of linear and piecewise-linear constraints; and the (MI)LP Interface, which manages interactions with external (MI)LP solvers for certain optional solving modes as explained below.

Two additional modules are built on top of the Engine. The Multi-thread Manager spawns multiple Engine instances to take advantage of multiple processors. The CEGAR Solver performs incremental linearization [13, 72] for non-linear constraints that cannot be precisely handled by the SMT Solver.

Preprocessor. Every verification query first goes through multiple preprocessing passes, which *normalize*, *simplify*, and *rewrite* the query. One new normalizing pass introduces auxiliary variables and entailed linear constraints for each of the piecewise-linear constraints, so that case splits on the piecewise-linear constraints can be represented as bound updates and consequently do not require adding new equations.⁷ This accelerates the underlying Simplex engine, as explained in the SMT Solver section below. Another significant preprocessing pass involves iterative bound propagation over all constraints. In this process, piecewise linear constraints might collapse into linear constraints and be removed. This pass was present in Marabou 1.0, but could become a runtime bottleneck; whereas Marabou 2.0 employs a data structure optimization that leads to a $\sim 60x$ speed up. Finally, the preprocessor merges any variables discovered to be equal to each other and also eliminates any constant variables. This results in updates to the variable indices, and therefore a mapping from old indices to new ones needs to be maintained for retrieving satisfying assignments.

SMT Solver. The SMT Solver module implements a sound and complete, lazy-DPLL(T)-based procedure for deciding the satisfiability of a set of linear and piecewise-linear constraints. It performs case analysis on the piecewise-linear constraints and, at each search state, employs a specialized procedure to iteratively search for an assignment satisfying both the linear and non-linear constraints.

Presently, the DeepSoI procedure [69] has replaced the Reluplex procedure [39, 40] as Marabou’s default procedure to run at each search state. The former provably converges to a satisfying assignment (if it exists) and empirically consistently outperforms the latter. DeepSoI extends the canonical sum-of-infeasibilities

⁷ For example, for a piece-wise linear constraint $y = \max(x_1, x_2)$, we would introduce $c_1 : y - x_1 = a_1 \wedge a_1 \geq 0 \wedge y - x_2 = a_2 \wedge a_2 \geq 0$, where a_1 and a_2 are fresh variables. This way, case splits on this constraint can be represented as $c_2 : a_1 \leq 0$ and $c_3 : a_2 \leq 0$, respectively. This preprocessing pass preserves satisfiability because the original constraint is equisatisfiable to $c_1 \wedge (c_2 \vee c_3)$.

method in convex optimization [10], which determines the satisfiability of a set of linear constraints by minimizing a cost function that represents the total violation of the constraints by the current assignment. The constraints are satisfiable if and only if the optimal value is 0. Similarly, DeepSoI formulates a cost function that represents the total violation of the current piecewise-linear constraints and uses a convex solver to stochastically minimize the cost function with respect to the convex relaxation of the current constraints. In addition, DeepSoI also informs the branching heuristics of the SMT Core, which performs a case split on the piecewise-linear constraint with the largest impact (measured by the *pseudocost* metric [69]) on the cost function. The DeepSoI procedure is implemented for all supported piecewise-linear activation functions. The convex solver can be instantiated either with the native Simplex engine or with an external LP solver via the (MI)LP interface (detailed below). The latter can be more efficient but requires the use of external commercial solvers.

One optimization in Marabou 2.0’s Simplex engine is that once the tableau has been initialized, it avoids introducing any new equations — a costly operation that requires re-computing the tableau from scratch. This is achieved by implementing case-splitting and backtracking as updates on variable bounds (as mentioned above), which only requires minimal updates to the tableau state. By our measure, this optimization reduces the runtime of the Simplex engine by over 50%. Moreover, the memory footprint of the solver is also drastically decreased, as the SMT Core no longer needs to save the entire tableau state during case-splitting (to be restored during backtracking).

Network-level Reasoner. Over the past few years, numerous papers (e.g., [50, 57, 66, 78, 80], *inter alia*) have proposed abstract interpretation techniques that rely on network-level reasoning (e.g., propagating the input bounds layer by layer to tighten output bounds). These analyses can be viewed as a stand-alone, incomplete DNN verification procedure, or as in-processing bound tightening passes for the SMT Solver. Marabou 2.0 features a brand new *NetworkLevelReasoner* class that supports this type of analysis. The class maintains the neural network topology as a directed acyclic graph, where each node is a *Layer* object. The *Layer* class records key information such as weights, source layers, and mappings between neuron indices and variable indices. Currently, seven different analyses are implemented: [i] 1. interval bound propagation [24]; 2. symbolic bound propagation [66]; 3. DeepPoly/CROWN analysis [57, 80]; 4. LP-based bound tightening [61]; 5. Forward-backward analysis [70]; 6. MILP-based bound tightening [61]; and 7. iterative propagation [68]. Analyses 2–7 are implemented in a parallelizable manner, and analyses 4–7 require calls to an external LP solver. By default, the DeepPoly/CROWN analysis is performed. The Network-level Reasoner tightly interleaves with the SMT Solver: the network-level reasoning is executed any time a new search state is reached (with the most up-to-date variable bounds), and the derived bound tightenings are immediately fed back to the search procedure.

It is noteworthy that the user does not have to explicitly provide the neural network topology to enable network-level reasoning. Instead, the network architecture is *automatically inferred* from the given set of linear and non-linear constraints, via the *constructNetworkLevelReasoner* method in the *InputQuery* class. The Network-level Reasoner is only initialized if such inference is successful. Apart from the abstract interpretation passes, the Network-level Reasoner can also evaluate concrete inputs. This is used to implement the LP-based bound tightening optimization introduced by the NNV tool [62].

(MI)LP Interface. Marabou can now optionally be configured to invoke the Gurobi Optimizer [27], a state-of-the-art Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) solver. The *GurobiWrapper* class contains methods to construct a MILP problem and invoke the solver. The *MILPEncoder* class is in charge of encoding the current set of linear and non-linear constraints as (MI)LP constraints. Piecewise-linear constraints can either be encoded precisely, or replaced with a convex relaxation, resulting in a linear program. For other non-linear constraints, only the latter option is available. The (MI)LP interface presently has three usages in the code base. Two have already been mentioned, i.e., in some of the abstract interpretation passes and optionally in the DeepSoI procedure. Additionally, when Marabou is compiled with Gurobi, a `--milp` mode is available, in which the Engine performs preprocessing and abstract interpretation passes, and then directly encodes the verification problem as a MILP problem to be solved by Gurobi. The mode is motivated by the observation that the performance of Gurobi and the SMT Solver can be complementary [59, 69].

Multi-thread Manager. Parallelization is an important way to improve verification efficiency. Marabou supports two modes of parallelization, both managed by the new *MultiThreadManager* class: the *split-and-conquer* mode [68] and the *portfolio* mode. In the split-and-conquer mode, the original query is dynamically partitioned and re-partitioned into independent sub-queries, to be handled by idle workers. The partitioning strategy is implemented as a sub-class of the *QueryDivider* abstract class. Currently, two strategies are available: one partitions the intervals of the input variables; the other splits on piecewise linear constraints. By default, the former is used only when the input dimension is less than or equal to ten. In the portfolio mode, each worker solves the same query with a different random seed, which takes advantage of the stochastic nature of the DeepSoI procedure. Developing an interface to define richer kinds of portfolios is work in progress.

CEGAR Solver. While the DNN verification community has by and large focused on piecewise-linear activation functions, other classes of non-linear connections exist and are commonly used for certain architectures [33, 64]. Apart from introducing support for non-linear constraints in the Preprocessor and the Network-level Reasoner, the latest Marabou version also incorporates a counterexample guided abstraction refinement (CEGAR) solving mode [72], based on

incremental linearization [13] to enable more precise reasoning about non-linear constraints that are not piecewise linear. Currently, the CEGAR solver only supports Sigmoid and Tanh, but the module can be extended to handle other activation functions.

2.2 Context-Dependent Data-Structures

When performing a case split or backtracking to a previous search state, the SMT Core needs to save or restore information such as variable bounds and the phase status of each piecewise-linear constraint (e.g., is a ReLU currently active, inactive, or unfixed). To efficiently support these operations, Marabou 2.0 uses the notion of a context level (borrowed from the CVC4 SMT solver [6]), and stores the aforementioned information in *context-dependent data structures*. These data structures behave similarly to their standard counterparts, except that they are associated with a context level and *automatically* save and restore their state as the context increases or decreases. This major refactoring has greatly simplified the implementation of saving and restoring solver states and is an important milestone in an ongoing effort to integrate a full-blown Conflict-Driven Clause-Learning (CDCL) mechanism into Marabou.

2.3 Proof Module

A proof module has recently been introduced into Marabou, enabling it to optionally produce proof certificates after an unsatisfiable (UNSAT) [36] result. This is common practice in the SAT and SMT communities and is aimed at ensuring solver reliability. Marabou produces proof certificates based on a constructive variant of the Farkas lemma [63], which ensures the existence of a *proof vector* that witnesses the unsatisfiability of a linear program. Specifically, the *proof vector* corresponds to a linear equation that is violated by the variable bounds [36]. The full certificate of UNSAT is comprised of a *proof tree*, whose nodes represent the search states explored during the solving. Each node may contain a list of *lemmas* that are used as additional constraints in its descendant nodes; and each leaf node contains the proof vector for the unsatisfiability of the corresponding sub-query. The lemmas encapsulate some of the variable bounds, newly derived by the piecewise-linear constraints of the query, and require their own witnesses (i.e., proof vectors). The *BoundExplainer* class is responsible for constructing all proof vectors, for updating them during execution, and for appending them to the node. The proof tree itself is implemented using the *UnsatCertificateNode* class.

When the solver is run in proof-production mode, the Proof module closely tracks the steps of the SMT Solver module and constructs the proof tree on the fly: new nodes are added to the tree whenever a case split is performed; and a new proof vector is generated whenever a lemma is learned or UNSAT is derived for a sub-query. If the Engine concludes that the entire query is UNSAT, a proof checker (implemented as an instance of the *Checker* class) will be triggered to certify the proof tree. It does so by traversing the tree and certifying the

<pre> Q = Marabou.read_onnx("model.onnx") X, Y = Q.inputVars[0], Q.outputVars[0] Q.setLowerBound(X[0], 0.1) Q.addInequality([Y[0], Y[1]], [1, -0.5], 0) Q.solve() </pre>	<pre> Q = Marabou.read_onnx("model.onnx") X, Y = Q.inputVars[0], Q.outputVars[0] Q.addConstraint(Var(X[0]) >= 0.1) Q.addConstraint(Var(Y[0]) <= 0.5 * Var(Y[1])) Q.solve() </pre>
(a) The base Python API	(b) The “Pythonic” API

Fig. 2: Two ways to define the same verification query through the Python API.

correctness of the lemmas and the unsatisfiability of the leaf nodes. A formally verified and precise proof-checker is currently under development [16]. Note that, currently, proof production mode is only compatible with a subset of the features supported by Marabou. Adding support for the remaining features (e.g., for the parallel solving mode) is an ongoing endeavor.

2.4 Front End

Marabou provides interfaces to prepare input queries and invoke the back-end solver in multiple ways. The Marabou executable can be run on the command line, taking in network/property/query files in supported formats. The Python and C++ APIs support this functionality as well, but also contain methods to add arbitrary linear and (supported) non-linear constraints. In addition, a layer on top of the Python API was added to Marabou 2.0 which allows users to define constraints in a more *Pythonic* manner, resulting in more succinct code. For example, suppose one wants to check whether the first output of a network (stored in the ONNX format) can be less than or equal to half of its second output, when the first input is greater than or equal to 0.1. Figure 2a shows how to perform this check with the base Python API, while Figure 2b exhibits the “Pythonic” API.

Typically, a query consists of the encoding of (one or several) neural networks and the encoding of a property on the network(s). To encode a neural network, the user has two options: 1) pass in a neural network file to be parsed by one of the neural network parsers; or 2) manually add constraints to encode the neural network. The main network format for Marabou 2.0 is now ONNX, towards which the neural network verification community is converging. The NNet format and the Tensorflow protobuf format are still supported but will likely be phased out in the long run. To encode the property on top of the neural network encoding, the user can 1) pass in a property file to be parsed by one of the property parsers; or 2) manually encode the property. Currently Marabou has two property parsers, one for a native property file format [40], and a new one for the VNN-LIB format, supporting the standardization effort of the community.

In addition to the aforementioned network and property file formats, Marabou also supports a native query file format that describes a set of linear and non-linear constraints. This can be dumped/parsed from all interfaces.

2.5 Availability, License, and Installation

Marabou is available under the permissive modified BSD open-source license, and runs on Linux and macOS machines. The tool can be built from scratch using CMake. Marabou is now also available on The Python Package Index (PyPI) and can be installed through `pip`. The latest version of Marabou is available at: <https://github.com/NeuralNetworkVerification/Marabou>. The artifact associated with this tool description is archived on Zenodo [71].

3 Highlighted Features and Applications

In terms of performance, Marabou is on par with state-of-the-art verification tools. In the latest VNN-COMP [11], Marabou won the second place overall, and scored the highest among all CPU-based verifiers. We summarize the main results in Appendix B. In this section, we focus on the usability aspect of Marabou, and highlight some of its recent applications — as well as the features that make them possible. We believe this diverse set of use cases (as well as the relevant scripts in the artifact [71]) serve as valuable examples, which will inspire new ways to apply the solver. A runtime evaluation of Marabou 2.0 against an early version appears in Section 4.

Verifying the Decima job scheduler. Recently, Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) have been used to schedule jobs over multi-user, distributed-computing clusters, achieving state-of-the-art job completion time [47]. However, concerns remain over whether GNN-based solutions satisfy expected cost-critical properties beyond performance. Marabou has been used to verify a well-known fairness property called *strategy-proofness* [70] for a high-profile, state-of-the-art GNN-based scheduler called Decima [47]. The verified property states that “a user cannot get their job scheduled earlier by misrepresenting their resource requirement.” While it is challenging to represent a GNN directly in ONNX [25], Marabou’s Python API makes it possible to manually encode Decima and the specification as a set of linear and non-linear constraints. From these constraints, the Network-level Reasoner is able to automatically infer a feed-forward structure with residual connections and then use it for the purpose of abstract interpretation. Notably, Marabou was able to handle the *original* Decima architecture, proving that the property holds on the vast majority of the examined job profiles but can indeed be violated in some cases.

Formal XAI. Despite their prevalence, DNNs are considered “black boxes”, uninterpretable to humans. *Explainable AI* (XAI) aims to understand DNN decisions to enhance trust. Most XAI methods are heuristic-based and lack formal correctness guarantees [45, 54, 55], which can be problematic for critical, regulation-heavy systems. Recent work showed that Marabou can be utilized as a sub-routine in procedures designed for producing *formal and provable* explanations for DNNs [7, 8, 32, 46, 73]. For instance, it can be used in constructing

formal *abductive explanations* [8, 35], which are subsets of input features that are, by themselves, provably sufficient for determining the DNN’s output. This approach has been successfully applied to large DNNs in the domains of computer vision [8, 73], NLP [46], and DRL robotic navigation [7]. These studies highlight the potential of Marabou in tasks that go beyond formal verification.

Analyzing learning-based robotic systems. Deep Reinforcement Learning has extensive application in robotic planning and control. Marabou has been applied in these settings to analyze different safety and liveness properties [2, 3, 17, 65]. For example, Amir et al. [2] used Marabou to detect infinite loops in a real-world robotic navigation platform. This was achieved by querying whether there exists a state to which the robot will always return within a finite number of steps k , effectively entering an infinite loop. A multi-step property like this can be conveniently encoded in Marabou, by (i) encoding k copies of the control policy; (ii) for each time-step t , encoding the system transition as constraints over the current state (input to the policy at t), the decided action (output of the policy at t), and the next state (input to the policy at $t + 1$); and (iii) encoding the “loop” constraint that the initial state (t_1) is equal to the final state (t_k). From this set of constraints, the Network-level Reasoner can infer the structure of and perform abstract interpretations over a *concatenated network*, where the input is the initial state and the output is the final state. Moreover, due to the low input dimension, the split-and-conquer mode in the Multi-thread Manager can be used to perform input-splitting, effectively searching for such loops in independent input regions in parallel. Notably, Marabou can detect loops in the system for agents trained using state-of-the-art RL algorithms, in cases where gradient/optimization-based approaches fail to find any. Loops detected this way have also been observed in the real world [1].

Proof production for the ACAS-Xu benchmarks. A well-studied set of benchmarks in DNN verification derives from an implementation of the ACAS-Xu airborne system for collision avoidance [38]. Using Marabou, we were able to produce certificates of unsatisfiability for these benchmarks for the first time. Marabou was able to produce certificates for 113 out of the 180 tested benchmarks, with only mild overhead incurred by proof generation and certification. The proof certificates contained over 1.46 million proof-tree leaves, of which more than 99.99% were certified by the native proof checker, while the remaining were certified by a trusted SMT solver. Additional details are provided in [36].

Specifications on neural activation patterns. Properties of hidden neurons garner increasing interest [77], as they shed light on the internal decision-making process of the neural network. Gopinath et al. [23] observed that for a fixed neural network, certain *neuron activation patterns* (NAPs) empirically entail a fixed prediction. More recently, Geng et al. [20] formally verified (using Marabou) the aforementioned property, along with a variety of other properties

related to NAPs. Specifications related to NAPs can be conveniently encoded in Marabou. For example, specifying that a certain ReLU is activated amounts to setting the lower bound of the variable corresponding to the ReLU input to 0, using the general constraint-encoding methods in the Python/C++ API. Constraints on internal neurons, as with other constraints, can be propagated by the Preprocessor and Network-level Reasoner to tighten bounds.

Robustness against semantically meaningful perturbations. Considering specifications of perception networks, there is an ongoing effort in the verification community to go beyond *adversarial robustness* [5, 41, 48, 49, 72]. Marabou has been used to verify robustness against semantically meaningful perturbations that can be analytically defined/abstracted as linear constraints on the neural network inputs (e.g., brightness, uniform haze) [52]. More recently, Marabou has also been successfully applied in a neural symbolic approach, where the correct network behavior is defined with respect to that of another network [72, 74]. For example, Wu et al. [72] considered the specification that an image classifier’s prediction does not change with respect to outputs of an image generative model trained to capture a complex distribution shift (e.g., change in weather condition). A property like this can be conveniently defined in Marabou by loading the classifier and the generator through the Python API and adding the relevant constraints on/between their input and output variables.

Minimal modifications of neural networks. Recently, multiple papers have proposed techniques to *repair* a neural network (e.g., by altering selected weights or adding patches) against input points that result in buggy behaviors. Marabou has also been applied in this context to obtain provably *minimal* modification to the DNN’s weights so that a previously mispredicted input would become correctly predicted. We refer the reader to [22, 53] for additional details.

Verification of quantized neural Networks. *Quantization* [37] has become a standard technique for deploying deep neural networks on resource-constrained hardware. The process involves replacing floating point arithmetic with integer arithmetic. Recently, Huang, et.al. [31] have leveraged Marabou to verify quantized neural networks (QNNs). While mainstream platforms like PyTorch do not support saving QNN models in the standard ONNX format, Marabou’s flexible Python API makes it possible to manually encode the quantization operations with constraints such as Round and Clip.

Pruning and slicing neural networks. Another well-studied approach to reduce the computational cost of deep neural networks is *pruning* [28, 34], which involves identifying and removing neurons that contribute minimally to the network’s output. Most existing approaches are heuristic-based and lack formal guarantees that the simplified network preserves the behavior of the original. In contrast, it has been shown that Marabou can be used as a sub-procedure

in a pruning algorithm [21, 42], which is able to reduce the size of DNNs while provably preserving the output of the neural network up to a given error margin.

Integrating Marabou into complex system verification. There is a growing demand to embed neural network verification into verification of complex systems that rely on neural networks for perception or decision-making [51]. There are multiple challenges in verifying such systems, and we mention two of them here: (i) currently available provers struggle to overcome the *embedding gap*, which arises because complex system properties are stated using the abstractions of the problem domain, whereas neural network properties are stated at a low-level, in terms of normalized real vectors; and (ii) more generally, higher-order theorem provers like HOL, Coq, and Agda can prove more abstract properties of complex systems, but fail at neural network verification; and vice versa: neural network verifiers are not designed to reason about complex hybrid systems. The domain-specific language *Vehicle* [14, 15] aims at bridging this gap; and includes Marabou as its default verifier. This choice was made thanks to Marabou’s syntax, which is richer and more flexible than available in other, more specialized neural network verifiers.

4 Runtime Evaluation

We measure the performance improvement in Marabou 2.0 by comparing it against an early Marabou version (git commit [1c1c66](#)), which can handle ReLU and Max constraints and supports symbolic bound propagation [66]. We collected four benchmark sets from the applications described in Section 3: Alternating Loop [2], DeepCert [52], NAP [20, 23], and VeriX [73]. There are 745 instances in total. Details about the benchmarks can be found in Appendix C.1.

Figure 3 compares the runtime of the two Marabou versions on all the benchmarks with a 1 hour CPU timeout. Each configuration was given 1 core and 8GB of memory. Note that Marabou 2.0 was not configured with external solvers in this experiment. We see that Marabou 2.0 is significantly more efficient for a vast majority of the instances. Upon closer




Fig. 3: Runtime performance of Marabou 2.0 and an early version of Marabou on four applications supported by both versions. We see that Marabou 2.0 is significantly more efficient for a vast majority of the instances. Upon closer

examination, an at-least $2\times$ speed-up is achieved on 428 instances and an at-least $10\times$ speed-up is achieved on 263 instances. Moreover, Marabou 2.0 is also significantly more memory efficient, with a median peak usage of 57MB (versus 604MB with the old version). Solvers’ performance on individual benchmarks is reported in Appendix C.2.

5 Conclusion and Next Steps

We have summarized the current state of Marabou, a maturing formal analyzer for neural-network-enabled systems that is under active development. In its current form, Marabou is a versatile and user-friendly toolkit suitable for a wide range of formal analysis tasks. Moving forward, we plan to improve Marabou in several dimensions. Currently, we are actively integrating a CDCL mechanism in the SMT Solver module. Given that many applications involve repeated invocation of the solver on similar queries, we also plan to support incremental solving in the style of pushing and popping constraints, leveraging the newly introduced context-dependent data structures. In addition, adding GPU support (in the Network-level Reasoner) and handling other types of non-linear constraints are also on the development agenda for Marabou.

Acknowledgment The work of Wu, Zeljić, Tagomori, Huang and Wu was partially supported by the NSF (grant number 2211505), by the BSF (grant number 2020250), a Ford Alliance Project (199909), the Stanford Center for AI Safety, and the Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (HAI). The work of Daggit, Kokke and Komendantskaya was partially supported by the EP-SRC grant EP/T026952/1, AISEC: AI Secure and Explainable by Construction. The work of Isac, Refaeli, Amir, Bassan, Lahav and Katz was partially funded by the ISF (grant number 3420/21), by the BSF (grant numbers 2021769 and 2020250), and by the European Union (ERC, VeriDeL, 101112713). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. The work of Zhang was partially supported by the NSFC (grant number 62161146001).

References

1. Amir, G., Corsi, D., Yerushalmi, R., Marzari, L., Harel, D., Farinelli, A., Katz, G.: Verifying Learning-Based Robotic Navigation Systems: Supplementary Video (2022), <https://youtu.be/QIZq0gxLkAE>
2. Amir, G., Corsi, D., Yerushalmi, R., Marzari, L., Harel, D., Farinelli, A., Katz, G.: Verifying Learning-Based Robotic Navigation Systems. In: Proc. 29th Int. Conf. on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS). pp. 607–627 (2023)
3. Amir, G., Schapira, M., Katz, G.: Towards Scalable Verification of Deep Reinforcement Learning. In: Proc. 21st Int. Conf. on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD). pp. 193–203 (2021)
4. Bak, S., Tran, H.D., Hobbs, K., Johnson, T.T.: Improved Geometric Path Enumeration for Verifying ReLU Neural Networks. In: International Conference on Computer Aided Verification. pp. 66–96. Springer (2020)
5. Balunovic, M., Baader, M., Singh, G., Gehr, T., Vechev, M.: Certifying Geometric Robustness of Neural Networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **32** (2019)
6. Barrett, C., Conway, C.L., Deters, M., Hadarean, L., Jovanović, D., King, T., Reynolds, A., Tinelli, C.: CVC4. In: International Conference on Computer Aided Verification. pp. 171–177. Springer (2011)
7. Bassan, S., Amir, G., Corsi, D., Refaeli, I., Katz, G.: Formally Explaining Neural Networks within Reactive Systems. In: Proc. 23rd Int. Conf. on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD). pp. 10–22 (2023)
8. Bassan, S., Katz, G.: Towards Formal XAI: Formally Approximate Minimal Explanations of Neural Networks. In: Proc. 29th Int. Conf. on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS). pp. 187–207 (2023)
9. Bauer-Marquart, F., Boetius, D., Leue, S., Schilling, C.: SpecRepair: Counter-Example Guided Safety Repair of Deep Neural Networks. In: International Symposium on Model Checking Software (SPIN). Springer (2022)
10. Boyd, S.P., Vandenberghe, L.: *Convex optimization*. Cambridge university press (2004)
11. Brix, C., Bak, S., Liu, C., Johnson, T.T.: The Fourth International Verification of Neural Networks Competition (VNN-COMP 2023): Summary and Results. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16760 (2023)
12. Christakis, M., Eniser, H.F., Hermanns, H., Hoffmann, J., Kothari, Y., Li, J., Navas, J.A., Wüstholtz, V.: Automated Safety Verification of Programs Invoking Neural Networks. In: International Conference on Computer Aided Verification. pp. 201–224. Springer (2021)
13. Cimatti, A., Griggio, A., Irfan, A., Roveri, M., Sebastiani, R.: Incremental Linearization for Satisfiability and Verification modulo Nonlinear Arithmetic and Transcendental Functions. *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic (TOCL)* **19**(3), 1–52 (2018)
14. Daggitt, M., Kokke, W., Komendantskaya, E., Atkey, R., Arnaboldi, L., Slusarz, N., Casadio, M., Coke, B., Lee, J.: The Vehicle Tutorial: Neural Network Verification with Vehicle. In: Workshop on Formal Methods for ML-Enabled Autonomous Systems. vol. 16, pp. 1–5. EasyChair (2023)
15. Daggitt, M.L., Kokke, W., Atkey, R., Slusarz, N., Arnaboldi, L., Komendantskaya, E.: Vehicle: Bridging the embedding gap in the verification of neuro-symbolic programs (2024)

16. Desmartin, R., Isac, O., Passmore, G., Stark, K., Komendantskaya, E., Katz, G.: Towards a Certified Proof Checker for Deep Neural Network Verification. In: Proc. 33rd Int. Symposium on Logic-based Program Synthesis and Transformation (LOPSTR). pp. 198–209 (2023)
17. Eliyahu, T., Kazak, Y., Katz, G., Schapira, M.: Verifying Learning-Augmented Systems. In: Proc. Conf. of the ACM Special Interest Group on Data Communication on the Applications, Technologies, Architectures, and Protocols for Computer Communication (SIGCOMM). pp. 305–318 (2021)
18. Ferrari, C., Mueller, M.N., Jovanović, N., Vechev, M.: Complete Verification via Multi-Neuron Relaxation Guided Branch-and-Bound. In: International Conference on Learning Representations (2022)
19. Funk, N., Baumann, D., Berenz, V., Trimpe, S.: Learning Event-triggered Control from Data through Joint Optimization. *IFAC Journal of Systems and Control* **16** (2021)
20. Geng, C., Le, N., Xu, X., Wang, Z., Gurfinkel, A., Si, X.: Towards Reliable Neural Specifications. In: International Conference on Machine Learning. pp. 11196–11212. PMLR (2023)
21. Gokulanathan, S., Feldsher, A., Malca, A., Barrett, C., Katz, G.: Simplifying Neural Networks using Formal Verification. In: Proc. 12th NASA Formal Methods Symposium (NFM). pp. 85–93 (2020)
22. Goldberger, B., Adi, Y., Keshet, J., Katz, G.: Minimal Modifications of Deep Neural Networks using Verification. In: Proc. 23rd Int. Conf. on Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence and Reasoning (LPAR). pp. 260–278 (2020)
23. Gopinath, D., Converse, H., Pasareanu, C., Taly, A.: Property inference for deep neural networks. In: 2019 34th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE). pp. 797–809. IEEE (2019)
24. Gowal, S., Dvijotham, K., Stanforth, R., Bunel, R., Qin, C., Uesato, J., Arandjelovic, R., Mann, T., Kohli, P.: On the Effectiveness of Interval Bound Propagation for Training Verifiably Robust Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.12715 (2018)
25. Graph Neural Networks support in ONNX (2022): <https://github.com/microsoft/onnxruntime/issues/12103>
26. Guidotti, D., Leofante, F., Pulina, L., Tacchella, A.: Verification of Neural Networks: Enhancing Scalability Through Pruning. In: European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 2505–2512. IOS Press (2020)
27. Gurobi Optimization, LLC: Gurobi Optimizer Reference Manual (2023), <https://www.gurobi.com>
28. Han, S., Mao, H., Dally, W.J.: Deep Compression: Compressing Deep Neural Network with Pruning, Trained Quantization and Huffman Coding. In: International Conference on Learning Representations (2016)
29. Henriksen, P., Lomuscio, A.: DEEPSPLIT: An Efficient Splitting Method for Neural Network Verification via Indirect Effect Analysis. In: International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 2549–2555. ijcai.org (8 2021)
30. Henriksen, P., Lomuscio, A.R.: Efficient Neural Network Verification via Adaptive Refinement and Adversarial Search. In: Giacomo, G.D., Catalá, A., Dilkina, B., Milano, M., Barro, S., Bugarín, A., Lang, J. (eds.) European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. vol. 325, pp. 2513–2520. IOS Press (2020)
31. Huang, P., Wu, H., Yang, Y., Daukantas, I., Wu, M., Zhang, Y., Barrett, C.: Towards Efficient Verification of Quantized Neural Networks. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. AAAI Press (2023)
32. Huang, X., Marques-Silva, J.: From Robustness to Explainability and Back Again. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03048 (2023)

33. Huang, X., Liu, M.Y., Belongie, S., Kautz, J.: Multimodal Unsupervised Image-to-Image Translation. In: European conference on computer vision. pp. 172–189 (2018)
34. Iandola, F.N., Moskewicz, M.W., Ashraf, K., Han, S., Dally, W.J., Keutzer, K.: SqueezeNet: AlexNet-level Accuracy with 50x Fewer Parameters and <1MB model size. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.07360 (2016)
35. Ignatiev, A., Narodytska, N., Marques-Silva, J.: Abduction-based Explanations for Machine Learning Models. In: AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. vol. 33, pp. 1511–1519. AAAI Press (2019)
36. Isac, O., Barrett, C., Zhang, M., Katz, G.: Neural Network Verification with Proof Production. In: Proc. 22nd Int. Conf. on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD). pp. 38–48 (2022)
37. Jacob, B., Kligys, S., Chen, B., Zhu, M., Tang, M., Howard, A.G., Adam, H., Kalenichenko, D.: Quantization and Training of Neural Networks for Efficient Integer-Arithmetic-Only Inference. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 2704–2713 (2018)
38. Julian, K., Kochenderfer, M., Owen, M.: Deep Neural Network Compression for Aircraft Collision Avoidance Systems. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics* **42**(3), 598–608 (2019)
39. Katz, G., Barrett, C., Dill, D., Julian, K., Kochenderfer, M.: Reluplex: An Efficient SMT Solver for Verifying Deep Neural Networks. In: Proc. 29th Int. Conf. on Computer Aided Verification (CAV). pp. 97–117 (2017)
40. Katz, G., Huang, D., Ibeling, D., Julian, K., Lazarus, C., Lim, R., Shah, P., Thakoor, S., Wu, H., Zeljić, A., Dill, D., Kochenderfer, M., Barrett, C.: The Marabou Framework for Verification and Analysis of Deep Neural Networks. In: Proc. 31st Int. Conf. on Computer Aided Verification (CAV). pp. 443–452 (2019)
41. Katz, S.M., Corso, A.L., Strong, C.A., Kochenderfer, M.J.: Verification of Image-based Neural Network Controllers using Generative Models. *Journal of Aerospace Information Systems* **19**(9), 574–584 (2022)
42. Lahav, O., Katz, G.: Pruning and Slicing Neural Networks using Formal Verification. In: Proc. 21st Int. Conf. on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD). pp. 183–192 (2021)
43. Liu, C., Cofer, D., Osipychev, D.: Verifying an Aircraft Collision Avoidance Neural Network with Marabou. In: NASA Formal Methods Symposium. pp. 79–85. Springer (2023)
44. Lopez, D.M., Choi, S.W., Tran, H., Johnson, T.T.: NNV 2.0: The Neural Network Verification Tool. In: Enea, C., Lal, A. (eds.) International Conference on Computer Aided Verification. vol. 13965, pp. 397–412. Springer (2023)
45. Lundberg, S.M., Lee, S.I.: A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. *Advances in neural information processing systems* **30** (2017)
46. Malfa, E.L., Michelmore, R., Zbrzezny, A.M., Paoletti, N., Kwiatkowska, M.: On Guaranteed Optimal Robust Explanations for NLP Models. In: International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 2658–2665. ijcai.org (2021)
47. Matheson, R.: AI system optimally allocates workloads across thousands of servers to cut costs, save energy. Tech Xplore (2019), <https://techxplore.com/news/2019-08-ai-optimally-allocates-workloads-thousands.html>
48. Mirman, M., Hägele, A., Bielik, P., Gehr, T., Vechev, M.: Robustness Certification with Generative Models. In: ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation. pp. 1141–1154 (2021)

49. Mohapatra, J., Weng, T.W., Chen, P.Y., Liu, S., Daniel, L.: Towards Verifying Robustness of Neural Networks against a Family of Semantic Perturbations. In: IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 244–252 (2020)
50. Müller, M.N., Makarchuk, G., Singh, G., Püschel, M., Vechev, M.: Prima: general and precise neural network certification via scalable convex hull approximations. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* **6**(POPL), 1–33 (2022)
51. Pasareanu, C.S., Mangal, R., Gopinath, D., Yaman, S.G., Imrie, C., Calinescu, R., Yu, H.: Closed-Loop Analysis of Vision-Based Autonomous Systems: A Case Study. In: Enea, C., Lal, A. (eds.) *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 13964, pp. 289–303. Springer (2023)
52. Paterson, C., Wu, H., Grese, J., Calinescu, R., Păsăreanu, C.S., Barrett, C.: Deepcert: Verification of contextually relevant robustness for neural network image classifiers. In: *Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security*. vol. 12852, pp. 3–17. Springer (2021)
53. Refaeli, I., Katz, G.: Minimal Multi-Layer Modifications of Deep Neural Networks. In: *Proc. 5th Workshop on Formal Methods for ML-Enabled Autonomous Systems (FoMLAS)*. pp. 46–66 (2022)
54. Ribeiro, M.T., Singh, S., Guestrin, C.: ” Why should i trust you?” Explaining the Predictions of any Classifier. In: *ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. pp. 1135–1144 (2016)
55. Ribeiro, M.T., Singh, S., Guestrin, C.: Anchors: High-precision Model-agnostic Explanations. In: *AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*. vol. 32, pp. 1527–1535. AAAI Press (2018)
56. Singh, G., Ganvir, R., Püschel, M., Vechev, M.: Beyond the Single Neuron Convex Barrier for Neural Network Certification. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **32**, 15098–15109 (2019)
57. Singh, G., Gehr, T., Püschel, M., Vechev, M.: An Abstract Domain for Certifying Neural Networks. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* **3**(POPL), 1–30 (2019)
58. Singh, G., Gehr, T., Püschel, M., Vechev, M.: Boosting Robustness Certification of Neural Networks. In: *International Conference on Learning Representations* (2019)
59. Strong, C., Wu, H., Zeljić, A., Julian, K., Katz, G., Barrett, C., Kochenderfer, M.: Global Optimization of Objective Functions Represented by ReLU Networks. *Journal of Machine Learning* pp. 1–28 (2021)
60. Sun, Y., Usman, M., Gopinath, D., Păsăreanu, C.S.: VPN: Verification of Poisoning in Neural Networks. In: *International Workshop on Numerical Software Verification (NSV)*. pp. 3–14. Springer (2022)
61. Tjeng, V., Xiao, K.Y., Tedrake, R.: Evaluating robustness of neural networks with mixed integer programming. In: *International Conference on Learning Representations* (2019)
62. Tran, H.D., Yang, X., Manzanas Lopez, D., Musau, P., Nguyen, L.V., Xiang, W., Bak, S., Johnson, T.T.: NNV: the Neural Network Verification Tool for Deep Neural Networks and Learning-enabled Cyber-Physical Systems. In: *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*. pp. 3–17. Springer (2020)
63. Vanderbei, R.: *Linear Programming: Foundations and Extensions*. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* (1998)
64. Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, L., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems* **30** (2017)

65. Vinzent, M., Sharma, S., Hoffmann, J.: Neural Policy Safety Verification via Predicate Abstraction: CEGAR. In: AAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 15188–15196. AAAI Press (2023)
66. Wang, S., Pei, K., Whitehouse, J., Yang, J., Jana, S.: Efficient Formal Safety Analysis of Neural Networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **31**, 6369–6379 (2018)
67. Wang, S., Zhang, H., Xu, K., Lin, X., Jana, S., Hsieh, C.J., Kolter, J.Z.: Beta-crown: Efficient Bound Propagation with Per-neuron Split Constraints for Neural Network Robustness Verification. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **34**, 29909–29921 (2021)
68. Wu, H., Ozdemir, A., Zeljić, A., Irfan, A., Julian, K., Gopinath, D., Fouladi, S., Katz, G., Pășăreanu, C., Barrett, C.: Parallelization Techniques for Verifying Neural Networks. In: Proc. 20th Int. Conf. on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD). pp. 128–137 (2020)
69. Wu, H., Zeljić, A., Katz, G., Barrett, C.: Efficient Neural Network Analysis with Sum-of-Infeasibilities. In: Proc. 28th Int. Conf. on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS). pp. 143–163 (2022)
70. Wu, H., Barrett, C., Sharif, M., Narodytska, N., Singh, G.: Scalable Verification of GNN-based Job Schedulers. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* **6**(OOPSLA), 1036–1065 (2022)
71. Wu, H., Isac, O., Zeljić, A., Tagomori, T., Daggitt, M., Kokke, W., Refaeli, I., Amir, G., Julian, K., Bassan, S., et al.: Artifact for Marabou 2.0: A Versatile Formal Analyzer of Neural Networks (Sep 2022). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11116016>, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11116016>
72. Wu, H., Tagomori, T., Robey, A., Yang, F., Matni, N., Pappas, G., Hassani, H., Pasareanu, C., Barrett, C.: Toward Certified Robustness against Real-world Distribution Shifts. In: IEEE Conference on Secure and Trustworthy Machine Learning. pp. 537–553. IEEE (2023)
73. Wu, M., Wu, H., Barrett, C.: VeriX: Towards Verified Explainability of Deep Neural Networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (2022)
74. Xie, X., Kersting, K., Neider, D.: Neuro-Symbolic Verification of Deep Neural Networks. In: International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 3622–3628. ijcai.org (2022)
75. Xu, K., Shi, Z., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Chang, K.W., Huang, M., Kailkhura, B., Lin, X., Hsieh, C.J.: Automatic Perturbation Analysis for Scalable Certified Robustness and Beyond. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **33**, 1129–1141 (2020)
76. Yerushalmi, R.: Enhancing Deep Reinforcement Learning with Executable Specifications. In: International Conference on Software Engineering. pp. 213–217. IEEE (2023)
77. Yosinski, J., Clune, J., Nguyen, A., Fuchs, T., Lipson, H.: Understanding Neural Networks through Deep Visualization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.06579 (2015)
78. Zelazny, T., Wu, H., Barrett, C., Katz, G.: On Reducing Over-Approximation Errors for Neural Network Verification. In: Proc. 22nd Int. Conf. on Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design (FMCAD). pp. 17–26 (2022)
79. Zhang, H., Wang, S., Xu, K., Li, L., Li, B., Jana, S., Hsieh, C.J., Kolter, J.Z.: General Cutting Planes for Bound-propagation-based Neural Network Verification. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **35**, 1656–1670 (2022)
80. Zhang, H., Weng, T.W., Chen, P.Y., Hsieh, C.J., Daniel, L.: Efficient Neural Network Robustness Certification with General Activation Functions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **31**, 4944–4953 (2018)

A Architecture and Core Components

A.1 Supported Non-linear Constraints in Marabou 2.0

Type	Preproc.	NLR	SMT	(MI)LP	CEGAR	Proof
ReLU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Max	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
DNF	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Sign	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Absolute value	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Leaky ReLU	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
Round	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
Clip	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
Sigmoid	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
Tanh	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
Softmax	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
Bi-linear	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗

B Marabou in VNN-COMP 2023 [11]

Marabou participated in the Fourth International Verification of Neural Networks Competition (VNN-COMP’23) and won the second place overall and scored the highest among all CPU-based verifiers. Table 1 shows the total number of instances solved by the participating solvers. Detailed information can be found in the VNN-COMP 2023 report [11]. The participating version is publicly available in the Marabou repo (commit [1a3ca6](#)). Overall, on the competition benchmarks, there is a non-trivial performance gap between Marabou and GPU-based solvers like α - β -CROWN, especially for larger networks. Supporting GPUs in the Network-level Reasoner is on the development agenda for Marabou.

C Runtime Evaluation

C.1 Descriptions of the Benchmarks

The **DeepCert** benchmarks come from a study [52] of the robustness of image classifiers against a set of analytically defined contextually relevant image perturbations. In particular, we focus on the haze perturbation on an image classifier (model 2A) for the GTSRB dataset. The **Alternating Loop** benchmarks come from a study of the existence of infinite loops in a robot navigation system [2]. The **NAP benchmarks** are less straightforward to procure: each benchmark considers an NAP mined on one of the ACAS-Xu networks by Gopinath et al. [23] and checks the *robustness* of that NAP as defined by Geng et al. [20]. We hope to

Table 1: Total number of solved instances in VNN-COMP’23

#	Tool	Count	GPU Support
1	α - β -CROWN	721	✓
2	Marabou	594	✗
3	NeuralSAT	452	✓
4	PyRAT	416	✓
5	nenum	319	✗
6	NNV	155	✗
7	FastBATLLNN	32	✗

also evaluate Marabou on the NAP robustness benchmarks described in the latter work, but they are not yet publicly available. Finally, the **VeriX** benchmarks involve performing a formal explanation extraction procedure (which repeatedly queries Marabou) on a MNIST classifier (the same one used in the original work).

C.2 Solver Performance on Individual Benchmarks

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REL.id114.ep67447	SAT	0.6	6.6	SAT	7.8	37.5
Alt. Loops	REL.id114.ep84297	UNSAT	55.7	10.6	UNSAT	1036.7	50.9
Alt. Loops	REL.id114.ep94159	SAT	0.6	6.7	SAT	22.3	42.6
Alt. Loops	REL.id114.ep94581	SAT	0.6	6.7	SAT	35.9	46.2
Alt. Loops	REL.id114.ep97993	SAT	0.5	6.7	SAT	139.3	48.4
Alt. Loops	REL.id128.ep68334	UNSAT	111.0	9.6	UNSAT	1749.2	56.0
Alt. Loops	REL.id128.ep85878	UNSAT	171.1	10.2	UNSAT	3478.2	60.4
Alt. Loops	REL.id128.ep87384	SAT	0.7	6.3	SAT	308.5	57.1
Alt. Loops	REL.id128.ep91042	UNSAT	94.9	9.2	UNSAT	1267.4	53.9
Alt. Loops	REL.id128.ep97983	UNSAT	127.2	10.0	UNSAT	2404.6	56.7
Alt. Loops	REL.id158.ep53113	SAT	70.9	9.7	SAT	16.9	34.8
Alt. Loops	REL.id158.ep53499	SAT	14.6	8.6	SAT	34.1	36.8
Alt. Loops	REL.id158.ep53554	SAT	10.4	8.9	SAT	17.7	39.0
Alt. Loops	REL.id158.ep59378	UNSAT	32.0	9.8	UNSAT	80.8	37.6
Alt. Loops	REL.id158.ep63427	UNSAT	23.7	9.4	UNSAT	68.9	38.7
Alt. Loops	REL.id165.ep82822	SAT	0.7	6.8	SAT	13.2	31.6
Alt. Loops	REL.id165.ep94134	SAT	0.7	6.7	SAT	14.4	33.9
Alt. Loops	REL.id165.ep94522	SAT	0.5	6.5	SAT	0.2	11.2
Alt. Loops	REL.id165.ep95976	SAT	0.5	6.8	SAT	30.7	34.6
Alt. Loops	REL.id165.ep96974	SAT	24.0	8.3	SAT	16.8	32.5
Alt. Loops	REL.id174.ep66258	SAT	10.5	9.6	SAT	0.1	5.8
Alt. Loops	REL.id174.ep81057	SAT	0.6	6.5	SAT	0.3	19.6

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REI.id174.ep91107	SAT	18.0	9.5	SAT	49.7	43.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id174.ep97933	SAT	0.7	6.7	SAT	10.6	41.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id174.ep98455	SAT	25.5	10.3	SAT	0.2	13.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id176.ep92206	UNSAT	507.3	10.8	UNK	3601.0	66.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id176.ep95516	UNSAT	438.3	10.4	UNK	3601.0	61.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id176.ep96138	UNSAT	364.7	10.1	UNK	3601.0	63.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id176.ep98430	UNSAT	816.4	10.8	UNK	3601.0	64.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id176.ep99427	UNSAT	410.6	10.3	UNK	3601.0	64.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id180.ep58329	SAT	0.5	6.2	SAT	0.3	15.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id180.ep58461	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	0.2	14.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id180.ep61293	SAT	0.6	6.4	SAT	0.6	28.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id180.ep69795	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	0.2	9.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id180.ep77624	SAT	0.4	6.6	SAT	0.1	9.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id196.ep41633	SAT	0.8	6.6	SAT	0.2	12.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id196.ep43251	SAT	0.3	6.4	SAT	0.1	9.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id196.ep73462	SAT	0.7	6.7	SAT	0.2	12.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id196.ep86142	SAT	0.3	6.4	SAT	0.1	8.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id196.ep99092	SAT	0.4	6.2	SAT	24.0	34.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id201.ep39282	SAT	21.5	9.6	SAT	4.0	39.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id201.ep48186	SAT	0.6	6.2	SAT	51.4	43.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id201.ep48219	SAT	0.6	6.4	SAT	0.2	10.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id201.ep56910	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	28.7	34.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id201.ep88825	SAT	0.2	6.0	SAT	49.6	45.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id215.ep96277	SAT	0.5	6.6	SAT	1.7	41.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id215.ep97333	SAT	0.3	6.4	SAT	4.4	40.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id215.ep97355	SAT	0.6	6.4	SAT	0.6	32.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id215.ep97421	SAT	0.5	6.9	SAT	1763.0	60.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id215.ep98767	SAT	0.5	6.5	SAT	2.1	35.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id234.ep70556	SAT	0.6	6.5	SAT	0.1	10.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id234.ep71035	SAT	0.3	6.2	SAT	0.3	24.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id234.ep71965	SAT	0.6	7.1	SAT	0.2	11.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id234.ep83703	SAT	0.7	6.7	SAT	0.3	22.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id234.ep83780	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	1.0	33.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id239.ep71386	UNSAT	209.9	10.2	UNSAT	1691.7	55.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id239.ep73100	UNSAT	210.3	10.4	UNSAT	1110.3	60.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id239.ep73504	SAT	6.7	9.1	SAT	3.4	51.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id239.ep79112	UNSAT	193.2	9.9	UNSAT	1643.7	56.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id239.ep90118	SAT	35.8	9.3	SAT	0.4	18.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id240.ep85050	UNSAT	37.2	9.7	UNSAT	308.5	47.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id240.ep89317	UNSAT	26.5	9.4	UNSAT	152.7	42.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id240.ep91714	UNSAT	28.2	9.9	UNSAT	177.1	42.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id240.ep98115	UNSAT	40.2	10.2	UNSAT	282.4	47.6

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REI.id240.ep98891	UNSAT	28.1	9.6	UNSAT	76.3	45.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id267.ep91426	UNSAT	0.7	6.2	UNSAT	0.7	14.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id267.ep95713	UNSAT	1.7	6.4	UNSAT	2.5	16.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id267.ep96300	UNSAT	1.7	6.9	UNSAT	1.0	14.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id267.ep96333	UNSAT	1.1	6.2	UNSAT	0.5	12.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id267.ep96426	UNSAT	1.3	6.0	UNSAT	1.5	15.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id286.ep89988	UNSAT	32.9	8.5	UNSAT	41.8	35.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id286.ep89999	UNSAT	32.4	8.5	UNSAT	41.7	35.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id286.ep90032	UNSAT	39.1	9.0	UNSAT	59.7	37.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id286.ep94925	UNSAT	35.1	9.2	UNSAT	56.8	38.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id286.ep95719	UNSAT	50.8	9.0	UNSAT	63.4	38.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id296.ep74854	UNSAT	140.7	9.7	UNSAT	697.8	51.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id296.ep74969	UNSAT	119.7	9.6	UNSAT	559.8	55.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id296.ep76414	UNSAT	127.1	10.1	UNSAT	500.1	51.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id296.ep77867	UNSAT	100.3	9.4	UNSAT	131.1	48.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id296.ep79125	UNSAT	133.1	9.9	UNSAT	274.0	46.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id298.ep75768	UNSAT	240.6	10.9	UNK	3601.0	66.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id298.ep77187	SAT	0.8	6.8	SAT	0.4	36.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id298.ep79143	UNSAT	167.6	10.9	UNK	3601.0	70.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id298.ep85589	UNSAT	175.5	10.8	UNK	3601.0	65.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id298.ep97154	SAT	0.5	6.8	SAT	0.1	8.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id299.ep69103	UNSAT	57.5	11.2	UNSAT	479.8	49.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id299.ep69400	UNSAT	49.6	10.7	UNSAT	361.7	47.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id299.ep81175	UNSAT	40.3	9.7	UNSAT	284.3	45.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id299.ep92705	UNSAT	111.3	10.7	UNSAT	739.8	50.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id299.ep99426	UNSAT	69.2	9.8	UNSAT	569.1	49.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id303.ep68520	UNSAT	243.1	11.6	UNK	3601.0	64.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id303.ep69708	SAT	1.6	8.6	SAT	987.6	61.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id303.ep94994	SAT	0.6	6.6	SAT	72.8	58.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id303.ep95038	SAT	0.6	6.4	SAT	4.4	52.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id303.ep95137	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	1399.1	72.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id308.ep94787	UNSAT	19.9	8.7	UNSAT	95.0	46.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id308.ep97397	SAT	0.5	6.7	SAT	0.2	14.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id308.ep98363	SAT	0.7	6.6	SAT	125.7	45.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id308.ep98830	UNSAT	52.5	10.1	UNSAT	207.0	46.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id308.ep99303	SAT	0.8	6.9	SAT	6.1	35.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id318.ep75036	SAT	0.6	6.3	SAT	14.4	64.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id318.ep85341	SAT	96.4	10.7	SAT	164.6	57.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id318.ep89536	SAT	0.7	6.5	SAT	27.3	55.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id318.ep94480	SAT	0.5	6.5	SAT	0.9	37.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id318.ep96308	SAT	0.6	6.7	SAT	0.4	21.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id319.ep62661	SAT	0.4	6.5	SAT	71.0	54.8

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REI.id319.ep84434	SAT	0.6	6.9	SAT	2437.7	67.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id319.ep84478	SAT	0.3	6.4	SAT	0.3	14.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id319.ep86630	SAT	0.5	6.2	SAT	573.1	62.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id319.ep89649	SAT	0.3	6.6	SAT	0.3	12.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id321.ep55647	UNSAT	9.7	8.5	UNSAT	19.9	33.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id321.ep81083	UNSAT	18.2	8.5	UNSAT	404.1	53.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id321.ep86834	UNSAT	28.6	9.3	UNSAT	712.3	62.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id321.ep92994	UNSAT	25.0	9.5	UNSAT	389.5	54.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id321.ep93439	UNSAT	40.2	8.9	UNSAT	686.8	58.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id343.ep55239	UNSAT	62.6	9.2	UNSAT	149.7	47.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id343.ep63040	UNSAT	83.4	9.1	UNSAT	168.2	45.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id343.ep63051	UNSAT	83.2	9.1	UNSAT	166.6	45.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id343.ep73171	UNSAT	156.1	9.7	UNSAT	1022.7	54.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id343.ep96195	UNSAT	235.0	9.3	UNSAT	3113.7	57.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id35.ep61486	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	22.1	39.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id35.ep61788	SAT	0.5	6.7	SAT	0.2	10.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id35.ep81067	SAT	0.3	6.3	SAT	0.2	12.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id35.ep85842	SAT	0.2	6.1	SAT	0.2	10.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id35.ep94624	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	0.1	7.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id352.ep49376	UNSAT	7.6	8.2	UNSAT	58.8	39.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id352.ep51510	UNSAT	8.0	9.0	UNSAT	169.9	43.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id352.ep68171	UNSAT	12.1	9.2	UNSAT	87.1	39.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id352.ep69872	UNSAT	9.5	8.8	UNSAT	68.1	38.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id352.ep70312	UNSAT	8.1	8.2	UNSAT	24.0	37.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id379.ep71969	SAT	1.4	7.9	SAT	13.7	43.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id379.ep80359	SAT	0.7	6.8	SAT	0.2	11.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id379.ep87708	UNSAT	212.5	10.6	UNSAT	2425.8	57.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id379.ep96909	SAT	32.1	10.7	SAT	180.9	69.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id379.ep97133	SAT	1.2	7.2	SAT	0.7	36.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id381.ep85470	SAT	1.9	9.2	UNK	3601.0	64.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id381.ep85558	SAT	1.7	8.8	SAT	1140.9	62.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id381.ep92541	UNSAT	250.5	11.2	UNK	3600.9	59.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id381.ep92994	SAT	1.3	7.3	UNK	3601.0	64.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id381.ep93562	UNSAT	281.6	10.7	UNK	3601.0	64.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id393.ep88789	SAT	25.5	11.0	SAT	0.3	17.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id393.ep90550	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	64.9	56.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id393.ep97111	SAT	31.6	10.0	SAT	0.1	10.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id393.ep97199	SAT	43.0	10.6	SAT	0.2	15.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id393.ep97221	SAT	37.3	11.0	SAT	0.2	14.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id399.ep62611	UNSAT	42.9	9.2	UNSAT	490.9	56.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id399.ep69315	UNSAT	43.9	9.8	UNSAT	3342.7	68.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id399.ep85537	UNSAT	91.9	9.5	UNSAT	2220.3	60.8

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REI.id399.ep92145	UNSAT	47.1	8.8	UNSAT	1475.9	64.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id399.ep96449	SAT	1.5	7.1	SAT	140.4	64.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id418.ep91524	SAT	0.4	6.2	SAT	5.3	53.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id418.ep92561	SAT	0.6	6.6	SAT	19.8	51.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id418.ep92671	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	30.0	49.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id418.ep99126	SAT	0.9	6.4	SAT	134.2	51.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id418.ep99947	SAT	0.6	6.5	SAT	13.4	51.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id425.ep69300	SAT	0.6	6.7	SAT	0.8	37.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id425.ep83340	SAT	0.6	6.9	SAT	0.3	14.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id425.ep93745	UNSAT	113.7	10.0	UNSAT	1299.1	51.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id425.ep96981	SAT	0.5	6.6	SAT	0.1	8.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id425.ep98177	SAT	0.5	6.6	SAT	0.1	11.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id444.ep77347	UNSAT	90.7	10.7	UNSAT	501.8	50.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id444.ep78487	UNSAT	120.5	10.2	UNSAT	1094.5	51.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id444.ep87148	UNSAT	78.3	10.0	UNSAT	842.5	51.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id444.ep92635	UNSAT	105.6	10.1	UNK	3601.0	48.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id444.ep96160	UNSAT	89.3	9.7	UNSAT	1262.5	51.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id457.ep46821	SAT	11.0	9.3	SAT	0.1	8.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id457.ep69348	SAT	0.5	6.9	SAT	0.2	10.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id457.ep78403	SAT	0.3	6.4	SAT	0.1	7.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id457.ep79411	SAT	0.5	6.3	SAT	0.2	12.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id457.ep81404	SAT	0.6	6.5	SAT	0.1	8.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id47.ep79665	SAT	0.8	6.6	SAT	0.3	19.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id47.ep92041	SAT	0.3	6.3	SAT	0.1	10.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id47.ep96122	SAT	0.7	6.6	SAT	0.4	21.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id47.ep99600	SAT	0.6	6.4	SAT	0.3	18.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id47.ep99688	SAT	0.5	7.1	SAT	0.3	18.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id491.ep54571	SAT	0.3	6.6	SAT	0.6	25.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id491.ep67504	SAT	0.6	6.7	SAT	1.3	33.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id491.ep67924	SAT	0.4	6.6	SAT	0.2	12.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id491.ep70134	SAT	0.5	6.5	SAT	0.4	23.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id491.ep96326	SAT	0.4	6.1	SAT	5.6	39.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id502.ep71027	SAT	0.4	6.5	SAT	56.7	42.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id502.ep71445	SAT	0.4	6.5	SAT	15.9	46.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id502.ep84337	SAT	0.6	7.0	SAT	2.1	30.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id502.ep86449	SAT	0.8	6.9	SAT	21.3	42.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id502.ep90122	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	266.6	45.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id512.ep70217	SAT	0.6	6.6	SAT	1.3	41.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id512.ep70283	SAT	0.6	6.8	SAT	1.0	33.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id512.ep75804	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	986.8	50.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id512.ep97330	SAT	0.6	6.8	SAT	0.1	11.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id512.ep97385	SAT	0.4	6.3	SAT	567.2	47.5

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REI.id518.ep68431	UNSAT	97.2	9.9	UNSAT	523.8	46.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id518.ep70223	UNSAT	85.6	9.2	UNSAT	1358.8	49.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id518.ep95639	UNSAT	125.9	10.2	UNSAT	132.8	39.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id518.ep95900	UNSAT	123.8	9.9	UNSAT	602.4	45.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id518.ep96115	UNSAT	89.9	9.9	UNSAT	561.7	45.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id528.ep55711	SAT	1.4	7.4	SAT	1446.3	51.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id528.ep89177	SAT	0.9	6.5	SAT	53.8	53.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id528.ep89331	SAT	0.8	6.9	SAT	158.9	48.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id528.ep90341	SAT	1.0	6.6	SAT	36.3	39.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id528.ep95627	SAT	0.5	6.3	SAT	0.1	8.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id530.ep82130	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	0.2	13.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id530.ep82185	SAT	0.5	6.7	SAT	0.3	12.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id530.ep82207	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	0.2	13.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id530.ep91636	SAT	0.5	6.8	SAT	0.4	31.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id530.ep94463	SAT	0.6	6.7	SAT	0.2	12.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id535.ep76894	UNSAT	22.1	9.7	UNSAT	54.5	43.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id535.ep76916	UNSAT	58.5	9.3	UNSAT	51.4	39.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id535.ep87193	SAT	0.8	6.8	SAT	0.2	13.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id535.ep87226	SAT	0.6	6.9	SAT	0.5	22.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id535.ep91323	UNSAT	16.7	9.4	UNSAT	176.3	44.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id540.ep57595	UNSAT	1415.4	10.5	UNK	3601.0	65.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id540.ep57610	UNSAT	1984.2	10.8	UNK	3601.0	66.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id540.ep57775	UNSAT	1625.2	10.5	UNK	3601.0	68.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id540.ep85904	SAT	0.8	6.8	SAT	762.1	68.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id540.ep94690	SAT	0.5	6.2	SAT	0.1	12.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id549.ep85789	SAT	0.5	6.6	SAT	0.2	15.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id549.ep87887	SAT	506.8	10.8	SAT	1509.6	64.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id549.ep93366	SAT	0.4	6.4	SAT	28.6	51.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id549.ep99098	SAT	1.0	6.8	SAT	0.3	16.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id549.ep99331	SAT	0.6	6.5	SAT	0.1	8.2
Alt. Loops	REI.id67.ep82981	UNSAT	27.2	10.2	UNSAT	48.7	33.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id67.ep84585	UNSAT	53.2	10.6	UNSAT	98.8	39.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id67.ep87611	UNSAT	50.7	11.0	UNSAT	29.7	34.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id67.ep95115	SAT	0.6	6.6	SAT	111.2	41.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id67.ep99214	SAT	0.6	6.8	SAT	0.8	35.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id68.ep95777	SAT	0.5	6.8	SAT	0.4	20.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id68.ep95821	SAT	0.6	6.5	SAT	0.2	10.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id68.ep95854	SAT	0.6	6.8	SAT	0.3	16.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id68.ep95942	SAT	0.5	6.4	SAT	0.2	11.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id68.ep98804	SAT	0.5	6.6	SAT	0.3	23.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id73.ep74414	SAT	328.7	11.0	SAT	81.0	52.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id73.ep74469	SAT	308.8	11.4	SAT	23.7	50.4

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
Alt. Loops	REI.id73.ep82370	UNSAT	221.5	11.0	UNSAT	1166.3	58.1
Alt. Loops	REI.id73.ep84023	UNSAT	137.7	10.5	UNSAT	946.3	54.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id73.ep91504	SAT	0.4	6.2	SAT	153.7	53.7
Alt. Loops	REI.id76.ep90543	UNSAT	216.7	10.3	UNSAT	2095.2	62.3
Alt. Loops	REI.id76.ep91480	UNSAT	289.6	10.8	UNSAT	1264.9	62.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id76.ep92143	UNSAT	208.5	10.6	UNSAT	411.0	46.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id76.ep98692	UNSAT	310.5	11.0	UNSAT	1011.0	54.6
Alt. Loops	REI.id76.ep99198	UNSAT	315.0	10.9	UNSAT	1862.4	56.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id77.ep49509	UNSAT	25.0	8.8	UNSAT	69.4	37.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id77.ep75183	UNSAT	29.6	8.9	UNSAT	102.2	38.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id77.ep91446	UNSAT	52.7	9.6	UNSAT	165.0	41.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id77.ep99119	UNSAT	79.7	9.7	UNSAT	147.6	38.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id77.ep99229	UNSAT	73.5	8.9	UNSAT	144.3	40.5
Alt. Loops	REI.id81.ep89983	UNSAT	176.4	10.7	UNK	3601.0	59.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id81.ep91408	UNSAT	146.9	10.7	UNK	3601.0	60.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id81.ep91571	UNSAT	177.1	10.4	UNSAT	2485.5	56.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id81.ep97775	UNSAT	204.1	11.0	UNSAT	3578.0	61.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id81.ep97833	UNSAT	186.6	10.6	UNK	3601.0	57.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id90.ep84292	UNSAT	318.8	10.2	UNK	3601.0	60.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id90.ep94747	UNSAT	285.4	10.6	UNK	3601.0	59.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id90.ep97680	UNSAT	174.2	10.3	UNK	3601.0	54.8
Alt. Loops	REI.id90.ep97955	UNSAT	167.0	10.2	UNK	3601.0	59.9
Alt. Loops	REI.id90.ep99033	UNSAT	202.8	10.3	UNK	3601.0	58.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id91.ep54663	UNSAT	46.6	8.7	UNSAT	1790.1	53.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id91.ep58150	UNSAT	51.6	10.6	UNK	3601.0	55.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id91.ep58172	UNSAT	38.4	9.2	UNSAT	2933.8	56.0
Alt. Loops	REI.id91.ep75275	UNSAT	104.4	10.7	UNSAT	649.1	48.4
Alt. Loops	REI.id91.ep95105	UNSAT	125.5	10.0	UNSAT	1257.0	56.5
DeepCert	ind0.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.7	399.7	UNSAT	268.9	603.7
DeepCert	ind0.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	8.5	412.4	UNSAT	830.0	2053.0
DeepCert	ind0.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	24.8	569.3	UNSAT	770.8	1252.2
DeepCert	ind14.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.5	402.8	UNSAT	457.9	1081.1
DeepCert	ind14.target6.eps0.4	SAT	26.9	561.2	SAT	617.3	1421.2
DeepCert	ind14.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.3	569.9	SAT	428.7	1086.1
DeepCert	ind15.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.5	401.4	UNSAT	398.0	602.4
DeepCert	ind15.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	39.4	560.2	UNSAT	476.5	951.0
DeepCert	ind15.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.4	568.7	SAT	177.8	753.4
DeepCert	ind19.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.5	402.8	UNSAT	442.5	938.9
DeepCert	ind19.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	33.0	560.7	UNSAT	532.1	1419.9
DeepCert	ind19.target6.eps0.6	SAT	25.5	569.5	SAT	419.0	754.9
DeepCert	ind20.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.6	403.3	UNSAT	352.1	603.2
DeepCert	ind20.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	25.8	560.9	UNSAT	1568.0	2244.6

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
DeepCert	ind20.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.3	570.9	SAT	436.5	1403.0
DeepCert	ind21.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.7	402.4	UNSAT	366.8	601.6
DeepCert	ind21.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	32.9	560.4	UNSAT	1054.4	1889.6
DeepCert	ind21.target6.eps0.6	SAT	27.9	568.9	SAT	874.6	2369.0
DeepCert	ind22.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.6	403.0	UNSAT	261.3	602.2
DeepCert	ind22.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	26.5	561.2	UNSAT	698.6	943.7
DeepCert	ind22.target6.eps0.6	SAT	27.3	569.6	SAT	376.1	3149.2
DeepCert	ind23.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.8	401.9	UNSAT	615.3	1075.1
DeepCert	ind23.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	33.7	560.4	UNSAT	727.4	1255.8
DeepCert	ind23.target6.eps0.6	SAT	25.8	568.0	SAT	162.7	910.2
DeepCert	ind24.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	10.0	401.6	UNSAT	829.5	1259.0
DeepCert	ind24.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	35.1	559.1	UNSAT	1477.3	1929.0
DeepCert	ind24.target6.eps0.6	SAT	26.8	567.9	SAT	272.1	933.5
DeepCert	ind25.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.5	403.3	UNSAT	933.3	1572.4
DeepCert	ind25.target6.eps0.4	SAT	24.6	560.6	SAT	293.6	925.2
DeepCert	ind25.target6.eps0.6	SAT	23.7	569.4	SAT	357.4	1251.2
DeepCert	ind26.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.6	404.0	UNSAT	515.5	781.7
DeepCert	ind26.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	25.1	561.0	UNSAT	1136.0	1887.4
DeepCert	ind26.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.0	568.7	SAT	1453.1	1568.0
DeepCert	ind27.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.6	402.4	UNSAT	500.2	781.4
DeepCert	ind27.target6.eps0.4	SAT	24.2	561.1	SAT	628.1	1271.7
DeepCert	ind27.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.1	568.9	SAT	905.0	2196.7
DeepCert	ind28.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.5	402.4	UNSAT	714.5	945.7
DeepCert	ind28.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	30.7	561.5	UNSAT	433.7	939.1
DeepCert	ind28.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.8	569.2	SAT	437.0	1892.1
DeepCert	ind29.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.4	402.1	UNSAT	597.4	782.6
DeepCert	ind29.target6.eps0.4	SAT	23.6	561.2	SAT	687.2	1112.0
DeepCert	ind29.target6.eps0.6	SAT	25.2	569.3	SAT	461.1	1248.9
DeepCert	ind31.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	26.4	540.6	UNSAT	549.4	949.7
DeepCert	ind31.target6.eps0.4	SAT	23.6	554.4	SAT	309.5	1089.2
DeepCert	ind31.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.1	564.2	SAT	366.0	1268.6
DeepCert	ind33.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	20.9	539.6	UNSAT	804.9	1414.6
DeepCert	ind33.target6.eps0.4	SAT	23.1	553.2	SAT	211.3	1409.0
DeepCert	ind33.target6.eps0.6	SAT	23.8	564.2	SAT	357.8	757.1
DeepCert	ind39.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.3	395.9	UNSAT	300.2	603.1
DeepCert	ind39.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	34.5	553.4	UNSAT	1185.9	3033.1
DeepCert	ind39.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	32.7	562.7	UNSAT	1742.9	2882.3
DeepCert	ind42.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.4	396.2	UNSAT	603.8	940.5
DeepCert	ind42.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	37.4	552.2	UNSAT	1143.6	3472.4
DeepCert	ind42.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	56.1	561.8	UNSAT	1596.8	2047.7
DeepCert	ind43.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.4	398.1	UNSAT	340.0	602.7
DeepCert	ind43.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	29.6	555.1	UNSAT	1673.5	2244.1

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
DeepCert	ind43.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	31.8	564.1	UNSAT	1622.2	3670.7
DeepCert	ind45.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.4	397.3	UNSAT	428.6	781.9
DeepCert	ind45.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	35.5	552.3	UNSAT	1208.6	2048.1
DeepCert	ind45.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	25.6	562.3	UNSAT	2229.4	3658.6
DeepCert	ind46.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.1	394.3	UNSAT	1287.0	1890.3
DeepCert	ind46.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	24.6	548.8	UNSAT	1902.9	2328.9
DeepCert	ind46.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	38.4	559.0	UNSAT	951.6	2042.0
DeepCert	ind47.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.1	393.1	UNSAT	631.0	941.5
DeepCert	ind47.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	24.4	547.9	UNSAT	736.4	1258.9
DeepCert	ind47.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	30.7	557.4	UNSAT	1700.8	1771.6
DeepCert	ind51.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.2	394.8	UNSAT	365.8	1102.2
DeepCert	ind51.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	24.1	551.1	UNSAT	1807.4	2528.8
DeepCert	ind51.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	40.6	559.9	UNSAT	2099.4	1973.8
DeepCert	ind53.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.0	392.8	UNSAT	376.4	783.7
DeepCert	ind53.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	24.5	547.4	UNSAT	1429.3	2523.9
DeepCert	ind53.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	34.4	556.1	UNSAT	1480.0	1731.3
DeepCert	ind55.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.9	389.9	UNSAT	235.3	600.9
DeepCert	ind55.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	24.4	544.1	UNSAT	1388.7	1972.8
DeepCert	ind55.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	30.8	553.0	UNSAT	875.4	2053.1
DeepCert	ind56.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.0	391.0	UNSAT	579.3	1101.2
DeepCert	ind56.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	23.5	544.3	UNSAT	809.9	1137.7
DeepCert	ind56.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	38.8	553.4	UNSAT	1320.3	1728.9
DeepCert	ind6.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	8.7	400.1	UNSAT	417.5	1098.1
DeepCert	ind6.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	23.9	555.5	UNSAT	701.0	1576.8
DeepCert	ind6.target6.eps0.6	SAT	26.1	564.1	SAT	734.7	1255.1
DeepCert	ind60.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	6.2	347.4	UNSAT	543.8	604.8
DeepCert	ind60.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	6.0	358.8	UNSAT	302.9	599.8
DeepCert	ind60.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	24.4	492.7	UNSAT	3366.6	2526.6
DeepCert	ind66.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.1	321.8	UNSAT	465.4	601.4
DeepCert	ind66.target6.eps0.4	SAT	14.5	443.9	SAT	1079.6	1255.9
DeepCert	ind66.target6.eps0.6	SAT	14.8	453.3	SAT	1648.3	2406.2
DeepCert	ind67.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.2	323.0	UNSAT	503.2	602.8
DeepCert	ind67.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	18.6	447.2	UNSAT	994.9	1110.3
DeepCert	ind67.target6.eps0.6	SAT	16.0	456.6	SAT	2728.2	2375.9
DeepCert	ind68.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	4.1	325.0	UNSAT	722.2	607.0
DeepCert	ind68.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	4.4	303.8	UNSAT	679.7	949.3
DeepCert	ind68.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	14.4	413.6	UNSAT	2760.5	1776.7
DeepCert	ind69.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	4.9	308.4	UNSAT	583.5	604.9
DeepCert	ind69.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	12.6	427.8	UNSAT	657.7	948.4
DeepCert	ind69.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	15.7	435.7	UNSAT	3417.4	2102.0
DeepCert	ind7.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	9.5	400.7	UNSAT	394.2	781.0
DeepCert	ind7.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	26.4	558.2	UNSAT	1031.4	1734.9

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
DeepCert	ind7.target6.eps0.6	SAT	26.6	567.1	SAT	1410.3	2172.1
DeepCert	ind70.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.1	310.3	UNSAT	721.9	604.4
DeepCert	ind70.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	11.9	428.5	UNSAT	756.1	787.1
DeepCert	ind70.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	17.1	437.9	UNSAT	2683.7	1617.1
DeepCert	ind71.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.3	320.0	UNSAT	731.5	607.8
DeepCert	ind71.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	5.0	330.5	UNSAT	1426.9	1421.8
DeepCert	ind71.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	17.1	453.4	UNSAT	744.2	941.4
DeepCert	ind72.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.3	330.3	UNSAT	536.4	604.2
DeepCert	ind72.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	5.8	342.9	UNSAT	1011.7	1107.8
DeepCert	ind72.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	16.3	469.0	UNSAT	934.7	944.2
DeepCert	ind73.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	6.3	346.6	UNSAT	683.5	605.1
DeepCert	ind73.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	6.1	359.2	UNSAT	826.2	942.2
DeepCert	ind73.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	17.9	494.0	UNSAT	1616.3	1736.5
DeepCert	ind74.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	6.4	351.5	UNSAT	722.7	606.0
DeepCert	ind74.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	6.5	364.1	UNSAT	433.7	781.5
DeepCert	ind74.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	19.6	500.8	UNSAT	1150.7	1734.0
DeepCert	ind75.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.8	342.0	UNSAT	802.0	943.3
DeepCert	ind75.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	5.9	353.1	UNSAT	423.9	601.3
DeepCert	ind75.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	17.7	485.2	UNSAT	482.7	780.5
DeepCert	ind76.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.8	339.9	UNSAT	705.4	604.7
DeepCert	ind76.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	6.3	349.8	UNSAT	1254.2	941.2
DeepCert	ind76.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	15.8	481.2	UNSAT	1929.3	1617.7
DeepCert	ind77.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	5.8	342.9	UNSAT	638.5	604.6
DeepCert	ind77.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	5.7	351.0	UNSAT	787.4	780.9
DeepCert	ind77.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	5.9	359.5	UNSAT	1240.1	1452.1
DeepCert	ind78.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.1	340.9	UNSAT	756.0	785.4
DeepCert	ind78.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	6.7	351.8	UNSAT	556.1	603.6
DeepCert	ind78.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	18.0	483.1	UNSAT	1791.8	2415.0
DeepCert	ind79.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.1	353.6	UNSAT	566.5	603.6
DeepCert	ind79.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	7.7	363.0	UNSAT	2526.8	1936.0
DeepCert	ind79.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	20.8	498.7	UNSAT	2616.3	1935.7
DeepCert	ind8.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	9.5	397.3	UNSAT	510.8	941.3
DeepCert	ind8.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	34.3	552.4	UNSAT	1365.8	1495.2
DeepCert	ind8.target6.eps0.6	SAT	24.7	561.8	SAT	226.7	910.8
DeepCert	ind80.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.9	367.0	UNSAT	527.5	604.0
DeepCert	ind80.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	7.9	376.7	UNSAT	581.7	1257.7
DeepCert	ind80.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	32.9	519.4	UNSAT	1696.3	1892.8
DeepCert	ind81.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.5	360.0	UNSAT	355.7	602.4
DeepCert	ind81.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	7.2	369.3	UNSAT	1711.7	2054.9
DeepCert	ind81.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	26.3	508.4	UNSAT	3017.8	2612.1
DeepCert	ind82.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.3	356.5	UNSAT	939.1	944.8
DeepCert	ind82.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	8.0	365.3	UNSAT	848.6	1099.6

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
DeepCert	ind82.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	20.4	502.4	UNSAT	1232.6	1573.7
DeepCert	ind83.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	7.0	345.7	UNSAT	619.7	604.7
DeepCert	ind83.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	7.0	354.2	UNSAT	794.1	781.3
DeepCert	ind83.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	19.2	486.6	UNSAT	1028.2	1099.1
DeepCert	ind84.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	6.3	337.1	UNSAT	541.6	603.8
DeepCert	ind84.target6.eps0.4	UNSAT	6.2	348.5	UNSAT	464.5	601.9
DeepCert	ind84.target6.eps0.6	UNSAT	17.6	478.1	UNSAT	1290.3	1742.3
DeepCert	ind9.target6.eps0.2	UNSAT	9.6	401.3	UNSAT	335.5	605.0
DeepCert	ind9.target6.eps0.4	SAT	25.3	558.1	SAT	380.7	757.5
DeepCert	ind9.target6.eps0.6	SAT	26.2	567.5	SAT	761.4	3307.1
NAP	cls0.id1	SAT	2.7	43.2	UNK	3601.0	1002.2
NAP	cls0.id10	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.0	1170.2
NAP	cls0.id11	SAT	1839.6	58.7	UNK	3601.0	1035.4
NAP	cls0.id12	UNK	3601.0	55.7	UNK	3601.0	1035.2
NAP	cls0.id13	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.0	1090.6
NAP	cls0.id14	SAT	3.4	44.1	UNK	3601.0	952.8
NAP	cls0.id15	UNK	3601.0	57.5	UNK	3601.0	1139.4
NAP	cls0.id16	UNK	3601.0	59.2	UNK	3601.0	1074.2
NAP	cls0.id17	SAT	179.7	56.8	UNK	3601.1	1272.1
NAP	cls0.id18	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.1	1106.1
NAP	cls0.id19	UNK	3601.0	56.6	UNK	3601.0	1132.6
NAP	cls0.id2	UNK	3601.0	56.4	UNK	3601.0	1050.2
NAP	cls0.id20	UNK	3601.0	58.8	UNK	3601.1	1192.9
NAP	cls0.id21	SAT	2.3	42.8	UNK	3601.0	1197.1
NAP	cls0.id22	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.0	1024.7
NAP	cls0.id23	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.0	973.2
NAP	cls0.id24	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.1	1241.4
NAP	cls0.id25	SAT	186.1	56.5	UNK	3601.0	1087.6
NAP	cls0.id26	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	984.7
NAP	cls0.id27	UNK	3601.0	56.0	UNK	3601.0	1095.6
NAP	cls0.id28	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	1039.9
NAP	cls0.id29	UNK	3601.0	56.7	UNK	3601.0	1034.3
NAP	cls0.id3	SAT	1.7	43.2	UNK	3601.0	1219.9
NAP	cls0.id30	SAT	209.4	58.0	UNK	3601.0	846.8
NAP	cls0.id31	SAT	1583.9	57.1	UNK	3601.0	1058.1
NAP	cls0.id32	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.1	1208.1
NAP	cls0.id33	SAT	57.8	55.6	UNK	3601.0	753.6
NAP	cls0.id34	SAT	70.1	55.7	UNK	3601.0	970.2
NAP	cls0.id35	UNK	3601.0	58.3	UNK	3601.0	1094.9
NAP	cls0.id36	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.1	1128.7
NAP	cls0.id37	UNK	3601.0	55.8	UNK	3601.0	1008.3
NAP	cls0.id38	UNK	3601.0	56.7	UNK	3601.0	988.0

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
NAP	cls0.id39	SAT	3.8	47.4	UNK	3601.1	1298.8
NAP	cls0.id4	SAT	2.9	43.5	UNK	3601.0	1126.1
NAP	cls0.id40	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.0	1011.1
NAP	cls0.id41	UNK	3601.0	56.4	UNK	3601.0	1036.8
NAP	cls0.id42	SAT	120.8	55.8	UNK	3601.1	1413.4
NAP	cls0.id43	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.1	1215.2
NAP	cls0.id44	SAT	1415.6	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1372.7
NAP	cls0.id45	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.1	1435.9
NAP	cls0.id46	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.0	965.0
NAP	cls0.id47	UNK	3601.0	56.7	UNK	3601.1	1324.0
NAP	cls0.id48	UNK	3601.0	55.4	UNK	3601.0	1104.5
NAP	cls0.id49	UNK	3601.0	59.2	UNK	3601.0	928.7
NAP	cls0.id5	SAT	2.0	43.8	UNK	3601.1	1152.9
NAP	cls0.id50	SAT	403.0	55.9	UNK	3601.1	1138.8
NAP	cls0.id51	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.0	998.7
NAP	cls0.id52	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.1	1334.7
NAP	cls0.id53	UNK	3601.0	59.6	UNK	3601.0	1115.1
NAP	cls0.id54	UNK	3601.0	56.2	UNK	3601.1	1257.3
NAP	cls0.id55	UNK	3601.0	59.8	UNK	3601.0	846.1
NAP	cls0.id56	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.1	1247.0
NAP	cls0.id57	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.0	1078.0
NAP	cls0.id58	UNK	3601.0	58.9	UNK	3601.0	1090.7
NAP	cls0.id59	UNK	3601.0	57.7	UNK	3601.0	947.0
NAP	cls0.id6	UNK	3601.0	56.4	UNK	3601.0	1051.6
NAP	cls0.id60	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.0	970.5
NAP	cls0.id61	UNK	3601.0	55.5	UNK	3601.0	1106.2
NAP	cls0.id62	UNK	3601.0	58.0	UNK	3601.0	912.8
NAP	cls0.id63	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.0	1073.0
NAP	cls0.id64	UNK	3601.0	58.7	UNK	3601.0	937.1
NAP	cls0.id65	UNK	3601.0	57.9	UNK	3601.0	726.5
NAP	cls0.id66	SAT	584.2	57.6	UNK	3601.0	1064.6
NAP	cls0.id67	UNK	3601.0	55.8	UNK	3601.1	1391.0
NAP	cls0.id68	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	956.8
NAP	cls0.id69	UNK	3601.0	56.2	UNK	3601.1	1249.9
NAP	cls0.id7	SAT	1.6	43.8	UNK	3601.0	1106.7
NAP	cls0.id70	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.1	1110.0
NAP	cls0.id71	UNK	3601.0	56.2	UNK	3601.0	1027.2
NAP	cls0.id72	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1166.1
NAP	cls0.id73	SAT	1296.8	56.2	UNK	3601.0	1160.1
NAP	cls0.id74	UNK	3601.0	55.4	UNK	3601.1	962.4
NAP	cls0.id75	UNK	3601.0	55.9	UNK	3601.0	1137.9
NAP	cls0.id76	UNK	3601.0	55.4	UNK	3601.1	1317.1

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
NAP	cls0.id8	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.0	1043.1
NAP	cls0.id9	SAT	2.3	43.1	UNK	3601.0	1193.6
NAP	cls1.id77	UNK	3601.0	59.9	UNK	3601.1	1149.2
NAP	cls1.id78	UNK	3601.0	59.4	UNK	3601.0	1102.7
NAP	cls1.id79	SAT	5.2	50.7	UNK	3601.1	1157.8
NAP	cls1.id80	UNK	3601.0	62.0	UNK	3601.0	941.2
NAP	cls1.id81	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	981.8
NAP	cls1.id82	UNK	3601.0	62.1	UNK	3601.1	1297.8
NAP	cls1.id83	UNK	3601.0	58.7	UNK	3601.0	1002.1
NAP	cls1.id84	SAT	1077.2	64.1	UNK	3601.0	1093.0
NAP	cls1.id85	UNK	3601.0	61.2	UNK	3601.0	1135.2
NAP	cls1.id86	SAT	737.2	61.5	UNK	3601.0	837.2
NAP	cls1.id87	UNK	3601.0	58.3	UNK	3601.1	1222.5
NAP	cls1.id88	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.0	1068.2
NAP	cls2.id100	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.1	1246.2
NAP	cls2.id101	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.0	1035.8
NAP	cls2.id102	UNK	3601.0	57.6	UNK	3601.0	1107.1
NAP	cls2.id103	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.0	1088.9
NAP	cls2.id104	UNK	3601.0	56.4	UNK	3601.1	1166.8
NAP	cls2.id105	UNK	3601.0	55.5	UNK	3601.0	1220.3
NAP	cls2.id89	UNK	3601.0	58.3	UNK	3601.0	1030.3
NAP	cls2.id90	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.1	1142.3
NAP	cls2.id91	UNK	3601.0	55.6	UNK	3601.1	1096.6
NAP	cls2.id92	UNK	3601.0	58.4	UNK	3601.1	1205.4
NAP	cls2.id93	UNK	3601.0	60.4	UNK	3601.0	1057.7
NAP	cls2.id94	UNK	3601.0	58.4	UNK	3601.0	634.0
NAP	cls2.id95	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.0	881.4
NAP	cls2.id96	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.0	1049.3
NAP	cls2.id97	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.1	1166.9
NAP	cls2.id98	UNK	3601.0	62.1	UNK	3601.0	912.4
NAP	cls2.id99	UNK	3601.0	58.5	UNK	3601.1	1257.3
NAP	cls3.id106	UNK	3601.0	57.6	UNK	3601.0	899.9
NAP	cls3.id107	SAT	371.1	58.8	UNK	3601.1	1267.5
NAP	cls3.id108	UNK	3601.0	63.3	UNK	3601.0	1093.3
NAP	cls3.id109	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.1	1428.3
NAP	cls3.id110	UNK	3601.0	62.0	UNK	3601.0	737.1
NAP	cls3.id111	UNK	3601.0	59.3	UNK	3601.0	1164.7
NAP	cls3.id112	SAT	3146.8	63.5	SAT	160.5	677.5
NAP	cls3.id113	UNK	3601.0	57.7	UNK	3601.0	1062.7
NAP	cls3.id114	UNK	3601.0	57.6	UNK	3601.1	1432.3
NAP	cls3.id115	SAT	2.6	44.0	UNK	3601.1	1046.5
NAP	cls3.id116	SAT	3.6	44.0	UNK	3601.0	1010.6

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
NAP	cls3.id117	SAT	300.0	61.6	UNK	3601.0	931.4
NAP	cls3.id118	SAT	13.2	53.9	UNK	3601.0	926.1
NAP	cls3.id119	SAT	1019.9	61.1	UNK	3601.1	1147.0
NAP	cls3.id120	UNK	3601.0	59.4	SAT	3323.4	1127.4
NAP	cls3.id121	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.0	802.9
NAP	cls3.id122	UNK	3601.0	61.2	UNK	3601.0	789.5
NAP	cls3.id123	SAT	518.4	59.1	UNK	3601.0	1127.5
NAP	cls3.id124	UNK	3601.0	58.0	UNK	3601.1	1268.4
NAP	cls3.id125	SAT	2.6	43.7	UNK	3601.1	1013.2
NAP	cls3.id126	SAT	562.3	61.2	UNK	3601.1	1405.9
NAP	cls3.id127	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.1	1350.5
NAP	cls3.id128	UNK	3601.0	60.9	UNK	3601.1	1251.3
NAP	cls3.id129	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.0	1064.2
NAP	cls3.id130	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.0	886.6
NAP	cls3.id131	UNK	3601.0	61.4	UNK	3601.0	1146.7
NAP	cls3.id132	SAT	2.8	44.3	SAT	2882.8	955.8
NAP	cls3.id133	UNK	3601.0	62.7	UNK	3601.0	949.1
NAP	cls3.id134	UNK	3601.0	59.6	UNK	3601.1	1016.9
NAP	cls3.id135	UNK	3601.0	59.2	UNK	3601.0	1067.0
NAP	cls3.id136	UNK	3601.0	59.6	UNK	3601.0	928.2
NAP	cls3.id137	UNK	3601.0	58.6	UNK	3601.0	1060.5
NAP	cls3.id138	UNK	3601.0	56.6	UNK	3601.1	1055.6
NAP	cls3.id139	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	940.7
NAP	cls3.id140	UNK	3601.0	59.3	SAT	2539.1	1029.8
NAP	cls3.id141	UNK	3601.0	60.7	UNK	3601.0	1010.3
NAP	cls3.id142	UNK	3601.0	59.7	UNK	3601.1	1276.4
NAP	cls3.id143	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.1	1107.5
NAP	cls3.id144	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	980.8
NAP	cls3.id145	UNK	3601.0	57.9	SAT	81.3	905.3
NAP	cls3.id146	UNK	3601.0	61.9	SAT	842.4	933.9
NAP	cls3.id147	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.1	1170.6
NAP	cls3.id148	UNK	3601.0	62.0	UNK	3601.0	1140.8
NAP	cls3.id149	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	978.0
NAP	cls3.id150	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.1	1595.4
NAP	cls3.id151	UNK	3601.0	57.7	UNK	3601.0	896.0
NAP	cls3.id152	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	1099.5
NAP	cls3.id153	UNK	3601.0	62.1	UNK	3601.0	995.1
NAP	cls3.id154	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	1167.0
NAP	cls3.id155	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	1098.2
NAP	cls3.id156	UNK	3601.0	55.1	UNK	3601.0	1009.3
NAP	cls3.id157	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	1106.1
NAP	cls3.id158	UNK	3601.0	57.1	SAT	49.0	625.2

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
NAP	cls3.id159	UNK	3601.0	58.1	UNK	3601.0	1099.7
NAP	cls3.id160	UNK	3601.0	61.4	UNK	3601.0	963.7
NAP	cls3.id161	UNK	3601.0	59.3	UNK	3601.1	950.2
NAP	cls3.id162	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	1014.4
NAP	cls3.id163	UNK	3601.0	61.0	UNK	3601.0	1058.5
NAP	cls3.id164	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.0	1028.0
NAP	cls3.id165	UNK	3601.0	57.4	UNK	3601.1	1209.0
NAP	cls3.id166	SAT	3.7	44.2	UNK	3601.1	1132.5
NAP	cls3.id167	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	962.5
NAP	cls3.id168	SAT	1130.6	59.8	UNK	3601.1	1217.6
NAP	cls3.id169	UNK	3601.0	62.1	UNK	3601.1	1200.4
NAP	cls3.id170	UNK	3601.0	59.4	UNK	3601.0	820.0
NAP	cls3.id171	UNK	3601.0	61.2	UNK	3601.1	1468.8
NAP	cls3.id172	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	993.7
NAP	cls4.id173	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.0	907.6
NAP	cls4.id174	UNK	3601.0	58.7	UNK	3601.0	975.6
NAP	cls4.id175	UNK	3601.0	59.5	UNK	3601.1	1343.9
NAP	cls4.id176	UNK	3601.0	58.9	UNK	3601.0	1095.5
NAP	cls4.id177	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1105.3
NAP	cls4.id178	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.0	982.6
NAP	cls4.id179	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.0	1010.6
NAP	cls4.id180	SAT	1157.6	63.1	UNK	3601.1	1028.0
NAP	cls4.id181	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1180.4
NAP	cls4.id182	UNK	3601.0	57.7	SAT	171.3	530.2
NAP	cls4.id183	UNK	3601.0	55.0	UNK	3601.0	890.4
NAP	cls4.id184	UNK	3601.0	61.1	UNK	3601.0	968.7
NAP	cls4.id185	UNK	3601.0	60.8	UNK	3601.1	1083.6
NAP	cls4.id186	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.0	1089.6
NAP	cls4.id187	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1143.1
NAP	cls4.id188	UNK	3601.0	58.2	UNK	3601.1	1324.8
NAP	cls4.id189	UNK	3601.0	57.5	UNK	3601.1	1257.3
NAP	cls4.id190	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.0	1255.5
NAP	cls4.id191	UNK	3601.0	57.8	UNK	3601.1	1081.5
NAP	cls4.id192	UNK	3601.0	56.1	UNK	3601.0	986.5
NAP	cls4.id193	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.1	1240.3
NAP	cls4.id194	UNK	3601.0	60.9	UNK	3601.0	824.6
NAP	cls4.id195	SAT	2.3	44.5	UNK	3601.1	1105.0
NAP	cls4.id196	UNK	3601.0	59.2	UNK	3601.0	1081.9
NAP	cls4.id197	UNK	3601.0	56.5	UNK	3601.1	1350.3
NAP	cls4.id198	SAT	2631.4	61.6	UNK	3601.1	1241.2
NAP	cls4.id199	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	793.0
NAP	cls4.id200	UNK	3601.0	57.6	UNK	3601.0	1015.7

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
NAP	cls4.id201	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.0	997.9
NAP	cls4.id202	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.0	812.3
NAP	cls4.id203	UNK	3601.0	60.1	UNK	3601.1	1084.9
NAP	cls4.id204	UNK	3601.0	56.4	UNK	3601.1	1514.7
NAP	cls4.id205	UNK	3601.0	60.5	UNK	3601.0	967.2
NAP	cls4.id206	UNK	3601.0	56.8	UNK	3601.0	949.8
NAP	cls4.id207	UNK	3601.0	56.7	UNK	3601.1	1294.3
NAP	cls4.id208	UNK	3601.0	56.7	UNK	3601.1	961.4
NAP	cls4.id209	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1251.7
NAP	cls4.id210	UNK	3601.0	59.1	UNK	3601.0	774.3
NAP	cls4.id211	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.0	992.3
NAP	cls4.id212	UNK	3601.0	57.0	UNK	3601.1	1356.3
NAP	cls4.id213	UNK	3601.0	58.9	UNK	3601.0	1095.2
NAP	cls4.id214	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.1	1091.5
NAP	cls4.id215	UNK	3601.0	58.4	UNK	3601.1	1154.9
NAP	cls4.id216	UNK	3601.0	57.2	UNK	3601.1	1044.2
NAP	cls4.id217	UNK	3601.0	56.2	UNK	3601.0	887.3
NAP	cls4.id218	UNK	3601.0	58.2	UNK	3601.1	1367.5
NAP	cls4.id219	UNK	3601.0	58.7	UNK	3601.1	1085.1
NAP	cls4.id220	UNK	3601.0	56.3	UNK	3601.1	1167.6
NAP	cls4.id221	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.0	1051.8
NAP	cls4.id222	UNK	3601.0	58.9	UNK	3601.1	1407.6
NAP	cls4.id223	SAT	267.4	61.3	UNK	3601.0	1072.7
NAP	cls4.id224	UNK	3601.0	57.7	UNK	3601.1	1041.2
NAP	cls4.id225	UNK	3601.0	56.0	UNK	3601.1	1136.6
NAP	cls4.id226	UNK	3601.0	54.0	UNK	3601.1	1185.4
NAP	cls4.id227	UNK	3601.0	58.8	UNK	3601.1	1159.9
NAP	cls4.id228	UNK	3601.0	56.3	UNK	3601.1	1199.0
NAP	cls4.id229	UNK	3601.0	56.7	UNK	3601.1	1493.2
NAP	cls4.id230	UNK	3601.0	56.4	UNK	3601.0	937.2
NAP	cls4.id231	UNK	3601.0	57.1	UNK	3601.0	822.6
NAP	cls4.id232	UNK	3601.0	57.3	UNK	3601.1	1199.7
NAP	cls4.id233	UNK	3601.0	56.9	UNK	3601.0	973.0
NAP	cls4.id234	UNK	3601.0	57.8	SAT	15.6	265.8
NAP	cls4.id235	UNK	3601.0	56.0	UNK	3601.1	1155.8
VeriX	ind0	OK	571.7	778.7	OK	1483.1	1048.9
VeriX	ind1	OK	568.9	790.1	OK	1504.1	1045.5
VeriX	ind10	OK	670.7	528.1	OK	1665.6	501.9
VeriX	ind100	OK	1368.8	528.1	UNK	3601.0	502.0
VeriX	ind101	OK	3230.5	528.4	UNK	3601.0	503.0
VeriX	ind102	OK	630.0	529.6	OK	1683.7	502.6
VeriX	ind103	OK	989.4	527.7	UNK	3601.0	501.8

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
VeriX	ind11	OK	1456.7	529.3	UNK	3601.0	502.2
VeriX	ind12	OK	775.5	528.3	OK	2642.2	1048.7
VeriX	ind13	OK	1777.8	966.2	UNK	3601.0	502.0
VeriX	ind14	OK	674.8	1101.1	OK	1556.6	503.3
VeriX	ind15	OK	635.6	527.4	OK	1791.4	502.0
VeriX	ind16	OK	1210.9	527.6	OK	2818.4	501.8
VeriX	ind17	OK	543.7	527.9	OK	1449.7	502.3
VeriX	ind19	OK	2235.1	527.7	UNK	3601.0	502.1
VeriX	ind2	OK	1174.1	581.2	OK	3257.5	1045.6
VeriX	ind20	OK	667.8	528.5	OK	2091.4	1050.2
VeriX	ind21	OK	762.4	528.0	OK	2303.0	502.5
VeriX	ind22	OK	2646.4	528.5	UNK	3601.0	503.4
VeriX	ind23	OK	666.4	528.2	OK	1715.9	502.1
VeriX	ind24	OK	2386.4	528.4	UNK	3601.0	501.9
VeriX	ind25	OK	1662.5	528.8	OK	2197.4	502.5
VeriX	ind26	OK	759.5	528.1	OK	2392.8	502.4
VeriX	ind27	OK	982.9	528.0	UNK	3601.0	501.8
VeriX	ind28	OK	636.4	528.1	OK	1961.3	501.8
VeriX	ind29	OK	633.3	528.4	OK	1484.9	501.8
VeriX	ind3	OK	936.6	966.8	OK	2748.7	1045.5
VeriX	ind30	OK	667.1	528.3	OK	1703.9	502.7
VeriX	ind31	OK	1168.0	528.4	OK	1955.8	501.9
VeriX	ind32	OK	603.8	528.7	OK	1627.3	501.8
VeriX	ind34	OK	591.5	528.4	OK	1409.0	502.1
VeriX	ind35	OK	816.2	528.7	OK	1722.8	501.6
VeriX	ind36	OK	848.3	528.6	OK	1508.8	501.2
VeriX	ind37	OK	1212.0	528.5	OK	1942.0	502.3
VeriX	ind38	OK	429.9	528.2	OK	1100.8	502.4
VeriX	ind39	OK	1108.5	528.2	OK	2102.3	502.3
VeriX	ind4	OK	1615.9	1098.9	UNK	3601.0	502.1
VeriX	ind40	UNK	3601.0	529.6	UNK	3601.0	501.7
VeriX	ind41	OK	1381.1	528.1	OK	1641.0	502.0
VeriX	ind42	OK	737.1	529.1	OK	2096.1	502.0
VeriX	ind43	OK	1199.7	528.4	OK	3025.6	502.0
VeriX	ind44	OK	530.9	528.0	OK	1329.1	501.7
VeriX	ind45	OK	703.2	529.5	OK	1860.3	502.2
VeriX	ind46	OK	537.4	528.8	OK	1274.5	501.6
VeriX	ind47	OK	633.7	528.0	OK	1715.8	502.6
VeriX	ind48	OK	632.7	528.7	OK	1684.1	502.9
VeriX	ind49	OK	3228.2	528.2	UNK	3601.0	501.6
VeriX	ind5	OK	1135.8	1099.1	OK	3101.4	1047.2
VeriX	ind50	OK	1210.4	528.4	OK	2965.5	501.6

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
VeriX	ind51	OK	681.8	528.8	OK	1804.2	502.2
VeriX	ind52	OK	730.1	528.2	OK	1957.1	502.0
VeriX	ind53	OK	1615.6	529.1	UNK	3601.0	501.6
VeriX	ind54	OK	651.7	528.2	OK	1567.8	502.6
VeriX	ind55	OK	664.8	528.4	OK	1829.7	501.7
VeriX	ind56	OK	1014.9	528.1	UNK	3600.0	501.5
VeriX	ind57	OK	1403.4	528.8	OK	2715.4	501.6
VeriX	ind58	OK	718.6	528.9	OK	2200.0	502.4
VeriX	ind59	OK	3330.6	528.8	UNK	3601.0	501.6
VeriX	ind6	OK	655.4	528.6	OK	2558.8	1041.0
VeriX	ind60	OK	579.6	528.0	OK	1638.1	501.5
VeriX	ind61	OK	431.9	527.4	OK	1103.4	503.1
VeriX	ind62	OK	483.9	543.7	OK	1212.7	502.2
VeriX	ind64	OK	581.6	528.4	OK	1476.5	501.7
VeriX	ind65	OK	628.2	528.1	OK	2251.1	502.0
VeriX	ind66	OK	604.7	528.3	OK	2301.6	501.0
VeriX	ind67	OK	1415.0	528.9	UNK	3601.0	501.8
VeriX	ind68	OK	665.7	528.9	OK	1825.2	502.1
VeriX	ind69	OK	614.5	528.4	OK	1700.0	502.3
VeriX	ind7	OK	656.8	1092.9	OK	1854.0	509.2
VeriX	ind70	OK	1254.9	528.9	OK	1947.7	502.8
VeriX	ind71	OK	728.4	528.0	OK	1972.6	502.4
VeriX	ind72	OK	652.7	528.1	OK	1701.5	501.1
VeriX	ind73	OK	871.9	528.5	OK	2224.3	502.1
VeriX	ind74	OK	1096.8	528.2	OK	1902.1	501.9
VeriX	ind75	OK	826.6	527.8	OK	1841.3	501.3
VeriX	ind76	OK	647.8	528.0	OK	1898.4	501.2
VeriX	ind77	OK	3181.5	529.1	UNK	3601.0	502.6
VeriX	ind78	OK	631.6	545.2	OK	1777.7	501.9
VeriX	ind79	OK	595.8	528.0	OK	1527.9	502.3
VeriX	ind80	OK	618.7	544.5	OK	1633.8	502.4
VeriX	ind81	OK	762.3	528.3	OK	2279.7	502.1
VeriX	ind82	OK	633.9	528.1	OK	1741.3	502.1
VeriX	ind83	OK	693.7	527.9	OK	1831.7	501.8
VeriX	ind84	OK	1008.4	528.7	OK	3061.4	502.0
VeriX	ind85	OK	664.7	528.0	OK	1853.2	502.1
VeriX	ind86	OK	889.0	529.4	OK	1896.5	501.7
VeriX	ind87	OK	488.7	544.5	OK	1265.4	502.9
VeriX	ind88	OK	1373.3	527.7	OK	2453.0	501.4
VeriX	ind89	OK	1076.0	527.4	OK	2290.9	503.0
VeriX	ind9	OK	796.1	532.4	OK	2213.8	502.1
VeriX	ind90	OK	649.4	529.3	OK	1842.2	502.9

Family	Benchmark	Marabou 2.0			Marabou (1c1c66)		
		Result	Time	Mem.	Result	Time	Mem.
VeriX	ind91	OK	754.0	528.4	OK	2204.0	501.3
VeriX	ind92	OK	589.6	528.5	OK	1653.2	501.5
VeriX	ind93	OK	617.3	527.3	OK	1608.4	502.3
VeriX	ind94	OK	692.8	528.5	OK	1637.0	502.8
VeriX	ind95	OK	614.4	529.1	OK	1863.5	503.2
VeriX	ind96	OK	1197.3	528.0	OK	1689.1	502.4
VeriX	ind97	OK	373.8	545.5	OK	979.6	501.7
VeriX	ind98	OK	600.4	528.7	OK	1500.1	501.9
VeriX	ind99	OK	1290.3	527.9	OK	3130.3	501.8